

# THE CELLULAR STRUCTURE OF THE CLASSIFYING SPACES OF FINITE GROUPS

RAMÓN J. FLORES AND RICHARD M. FOOTE

ABSTRACT. In this paper we obtain a description of the  $B\mathbb{Z}/p$ -cellularization (in the sense of Dror-Farjoun) of the classifying spaces of all finite groups, for all primes  $p$ .

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $A$  be a pointed space. A space  $X$  is called  $A$ -cellular if it can be constructed as an (iterated) pointed homotopy colimit of copies of  $A$ . The concept of  $A$ -cellularity was developed by Dror-Farjoun ([Far95]) and Chachólski ([Cha96]) with a two-fold goal: to classify the spaces in cellularity classes, whose properties should depend on those of the generator  $A$ , and to develop an  $A$ -homotopy theory, where the suspensions of  $A$  would play the role that the spheres play in classical homotopy. In this context these authors constructed an  $A$ -cellularization endofunctor  $\mathbf{CW}_A$  of the category of pointed spaces that mimics the well-known cellular approximation. The functor  $\mathbf{CW}_A$  turns out to be augmented and idempotent and is characterized by the fact that  $\text{map}_*(\mathbf{CW}_A, X)$  is weakly homotopy equivalent to  $\text{map}_*(A, X)$ .

These ideas have made a great impact both in Homotopy Theory ([CDI06], [CCS], [RS08]) and outside of it, because they can be generalized in a natural way to any framework where there is a notion of limit (see examples in [DGI01], [BKI08] or [Kie08]). A line of research of great relevance in the last years, and very related to our work, is cellular approximation in the category of groups ([RS01], [Flo07], [DGS07], [DGS08]).

The present paper culminates a program aimed to understand the mod  $p$  homotopy theory of the classifying spaces of finite groups  $G$  using tools from  $B\mathbb{Z}/p$ -homotopy theory, where  $p$  is any prime. The main underlying idea in our study is the relationship between the  $B\mathbb{Z}/p$ -cellular structure of  $BG$  and certain strongly closed subgroups of  $G$ . Recall that given a finite group  $G$ , a subgroup  $H$  of some Sylow  $p$ -subgroup  $S$

---

*Date:* February 5, 2019.

The first author was supported by MEC grant MTM2004-06686.

of  $G$  is said to be *strongly closed* in  $S$  with respect to  $G$  if whenever  $h \in H$  and  $g \in G$  are such that  $ghg^{-1} \in S$ , then  $ghg^{-1} \in H$ . The property of strong closure for  $H$  is independent of the Sylow  $p$ -subgroup containing it, so we usually just say  $H$  is strongly closed.

This paper gives a complete description of the  $B\mathbb{Z}/p$ -cellularization of classifying spaces of all finite groups. The philosophy behind our work is the following: whenever  $X$  is a space with a notion of  $p$ -fusion — and hence strong closure — knowledge of the strongly closed subobjects of  $X$  is deeply related (and in some cases, almost equivalent) to the  $A$ -cellular structure of  $X$ , for a certain  $p$ -torsion space  $A$ . This strategy opens up new perspectives for analyzing, from the point of view of (Co)localization Theory, the  $p$ -primary structure of a wide class of homotopy meaningful spaces, such as classifying spaces of compact Lie groups,  $p$ -local finite groups,  $p$ -compact groups or, more generally,  $p$ -local compact groups ([BLO07]).

In the specific case of  $G$  finite it became evident from [FS07] that the classification of the possible homotopy types of  $\mathbf{CW}_{B\mathbb{Z}/p}BG$  would require some kind of description of the strongly closed subgroups that  $G$  can possess. For  $p = 2$  this task was completed by the second author in [Foo97], while the case of an odd prime was solved in the separate paper [FF08], which is the group-theoretic underpinning of this work. The latter classification was a crucial ingredient we lacked in order to finish the characterization of  $\mathbf{CW}_{B\mathbb{Z}/p}BG$  for all finite groups  $G$  (the other was the role of the subgroup  $\mathcal{O}_A(G)$ , see below), solving a problem that was posed by Dror-Farjoun [Far95, 3.C] in the case  $G = \mathbb{Z}/p^r$ , and partially solved in [Flo07] and [FS07] (see Section 2 below for an analysis of the previous cases). The latter paper showed the relationship between the upper homotopy of  $\mathbf{CW}_{B\mathbb{Z}/p}BG$  and a specific strongly closed subgroup  $\mathfrak{A}_1(S)$  of  $G$ . More precisely, for  $S$  a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $G$ ,  $\mathfrak{A}_1(S)$  is the unique minimal strongly closed subgroup of  $S$  that contains all elements of order  $p$  in  $S$  (i.e., contains  $\Omega_1(S)$ , the group generated by elements of order  $p$  in  $S$  — sometimes called the  *$p$ -socle* of  $S$ ). The importance of the subgroup  $\mathfrak{A}_1(A)$  comes from the fact that it determines a great part of the structure of  $\mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}/p, G)$ , and then of  $\mathrm{map}_*(B\mathbb{Z}/p, BG)$ .

We are now in position to explain our main results. Given a finite group  $G$  and any  $p$ -subgroup  $A$  of  $G$  there is a unique subgroup of  $G$ , denoted by  $\mathcal{O}_A(G)$ , that is maximal with respect to the two properties:

- (i)  $\mathcal{O}_A(G)$  is a normal subgroup of  $G$ , and

(ii)  $A$  contains a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $\mathcal{O}_A(G)$ , i.e.,  $A \cap \mathcal{O}_A(G) \in \text{Syl}_p(\mathcal{O}_A(G))$ .

Note that  $A \leq \mathcal{O}_A(G)$  if and only if  $A$  is a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of its normal closure  $\langle A^G \rangle$  in  $G$ . In the latter circumstance  $A$  is strongly closed, i.e., Sylow  $p$ -subgroups of normal subgroups of  $G$  are the “generic” instances of strongly closed  $p$ -subgroups.

We are especially interested in the case when  $G$  is generated by its elements of order  $p$  and  $A = \mathfrak{A}_1(S)$  for some Sylow  $p$ -subgroup  $S$  of  $G$ . In this situation  $A = S$  if and only if  $A \leq \mathcal{O}_A(G)$ , i.e., if and only if  $A$  maps to the trivial group under the natural projection of  $G$  onto  $G/\mathcal{O}_A(G)$ . Our first main result — which is Theorem 3.2 in the paper — is the following:

**Theorem A.** *Let  $G$  be a finite group generated by its elements of order  $p$ , let  $A = \mathfrak{A}_1(S)$  be the minimal strongly closed subgroup of  $S$  containing  $\Omega_1(S)$ , and assume  $A \neq S$ . Let overbars denote passage from  $G$  to the quotient group  $G/\mathcal{O}_A(G)$ . Then there exists a fibration*

$$\mathbf{CW}_{B\mathbb{Z}/p}(BG_p^\wedge) \longrightarrow BG_p^\wedge \longrightarrow B(N_{\overline{G}}(\overline{A})/\overline{A})_p^\wedge.$$

Although it is relatively elementary to prove that for any strongly closed subgroup  $A$  the subgroup  $N_G(A)$  controls fusion of subgroups containing  $A$ , it does not generally control fusion inside  $A$ , or for subsets that intersect  $A$  but do not contain it. The much more delicate problem is to realize that factoring out the “correct” subgroup  $\mathcal{O}_A(G)$  combined with an explicit knowledge of the structure of the quotient  $G/\mathcal{O}_A(G)$  is crucial, in the sense that there is a part of the fusion inside  $A$  that can be “swept under the rug” for the topological considerations. The main result of the classification in [FF08] is a determination of the isomorphism type of  $\overline{G}$ , and hence of  $N_{\overline{G}}(\overline{A})$ , under the hypotheses of Theorem A (and more generally as well); roughly speaking, when  $\overline{A} \neq \overline{1}$  the quotient group  $\overline{G}$  is a direct product of simple groups from certain explicitly listed families, and in each family the subgroups  $\overline{A}$  and  $N_{\overline{G}}(\overline{A})$  are explicitly determined as well (although, more precisely, the simple factors may admit certain outer automorphisms — these too are classified). The full force of this classification is then invoked to yield the fusion analysis needed to complete the proofs of Theorem A and Theorem B below: namely, that  $N_{\overline{G}}(\overline{A})$  always controls strong fusion in  $\overline{A}$  (the definition of control of fusion appears in Section 2).

In the special case when  $G/\mathcal{O}_A(G)$  is just a single simple group isomorphic to one of the “obstruction groups” listed in the conclusions of [FF08, Theorems 1.1 and 1.2], in all but one family the subgroup  $N_{\overline{G}}(\overline{S})$  controls strong fusion in  $\overline{S}$  (and this was the situation for all “obstructions” when  $p = 2$ ). The Sylow-normalizer is always a subgroup of  $N_{\overline{G}}(\overline{A})$ , however it can be strictly smaller. In that one exceptional family alone,  $N_{\overline{G}}(\overline{S})$  is indeed smaller than  $N_{\overline{G}}(\overline{A})$ , and the smaller normalizer does *not* control strong fusion in  $\overline{A}$ . This illustrates that the ultimate classification for  $p$  odd involves more subtle, unavoidable configurations than those that arose for  $p = 2$ . These are explicated in more detail in Section 4 of [FF08].

To describe the second main result let  $\Omega_1(G)$  denote the subgroup of  $G$  generated by the elements of order  $p$  in  $G$  (where  $p$  is always clear from the context), and let  $BG_p^\wedge$  denote Bousfield-Kan  $p$ -completion of  $BG$ . For the definition and properties of this functor, we refer the reader to [BK72] for a thorough account and to [Flo07, Section 2] for a brief survey. By 4.1 and 4.3 of the latter, the inclusion  $\Omega_1(G) \hookrightarrow G$  induces a homotopy equivalence  $\mathbf{CW}_{B\mathbb{Z}/p}B\Omega_1(G) \simeq \mathbf{CW}_{B\mathbb{Z}/p}BG$ . Thus the following result — which is Theorem 3.3 — combines the information obtained in [Flo07], [FS07] with the present article to give all possible homotopy structures for  $\mathbf{CW}_{B\mathbb{Z}/p}BG$ . Recall from ([Flo07, Proposition 4.1]) that it is enough to consider the case in which  $G$  is generated by elements of order  $p$ .

**Theorem B.** *Let  $G$  be a finite group generated by its elements of order  $p$ , let  $S$  be a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $G$ , and let  $A = \mathfrak{A}_1(S)$  be the minimal strongly closed subgroup of  $S$  containing  $\Omega_1(S)$ . Let overbars denote passage from  $G$  to the quotient group  $G/\mathcal{O}_A(G)$ . Then the  $B\mathbb{Z}/p$ -cellularization of  $BG$  has one the following shapes:*

- (1) *If  $G = S$  is a  $p$ -group then  $BG$  is  $B\mathbb{Z}/p$ -cellular.*
- (2) *If  $G$  is not a  $p$ -group and  $A = S$  then  $\mathbf{CW}_{B\mathbb{Z}/p}BG$  is the homotopy fiber of the natural map  $BG \rightarrow \prod_{q \neq p} BG_q^\wedge$ .*
- (3) *If  $G$  is not a  $p$ -group and  $A \neq S$  then  $\mathbf{CW}_{B\mathbb{Z}/p}BG$  is the homotopy fiber of the map  $BG \rightarrow B(N_{\overline{G}}(\overline{A})/\overline{A})_p^\wedge \times \prod_{q \neq p} BG_q^\wedge$ .*

The classification of groups containing a strongly closed  $p$ -subgroup in [FF08] gives a very precise description of the fiber of the augmentation  $\mathbf{CW}_{B\mathbb{Z}/p}BG \rightarrow BG$  in terms of normalizers of strongly closed  $p$ -subgroups in the simple components of

$G/\mathcal{O}_A(G)$ . In this sense the results here further improve those of [FS07], where this degree of sharpness was only obtained in the description of some concrete examples.

The overall organization of the paper is as follows. Section 2 begins by recapitulating the basic terminology and previous results around the  $B\mathbb{Z}/p$ -cellularization of  $BG$ . Section 3 contains the main results and their proofs; and Section 4 illustrates the efficacy of our methods by describing precisely some families of examples. The latter are very illuminating in the sense that they give an alluring glimpse of what “should be” the  $B\mathbb{Z}/p$ -cellularization of more general objects.

**Acknowledgements.** We warmly thank Carles Broto, Bob Oliver and Jérôme Scherer for helpful discussions.

## 2. PREVIOUS RESULTS

Before undertaking the complete description of  $\mathbf{CW}_{B\mathbb{Z}/p}BG$  we describe what it is known so far about this problem. Throughout this section  $p$  is an arbitrary prime,  $G$  is a finite group and  $S \in \text{Syl}_p(G)$ .

As we said in the introduction, the starting point was the computation done by Dror-Farjoun in [Far95, 3.C], where he establishes that the  $B\mathbb{Z}/p$ -cellularization of the classifying space of a finite cyclic  $p$ -group has the homotopy type of  $B\mathbb{Z}/p$ .

Subsequently Rodríguez-Scherer investigated in [RS01] the  $M(\mathbb{Z}/p, 1)$ -cellularization, where  $M(\mathbb{Z}/p, 1)$  denotes the corresponding Moore space for  $\mathbb{Z}/p$ . When the target is  $BG$ , this can be considered a precursor to our study because  $M(\mathbb{Z}/p, 1)$  can be described as the 2-skeleton of  $B\mathbb{Z}/p$ . In their description the authors use the concept of cellularization in the category of groups (developed afterwards in [FGS07]). Their work in this subject allows one to prove, in particular, that the  $B\mathbb{Z}/p$ -cellularization of the classifying space of a  $p$ -group is the same as that of its  $p$ -socle; as the latter is  $B\mathbb{Z}/p$ -cellular in this case ([Flo07, Proposition 4.14]), one obtains that  $\mathbf{CW}_{B\mathbb{Z}/p}BG \simeq B\Omega_1(G)$  if  $G$  is a finite  $p$ -group.

The aforementioned is proved using a characterization of the cellularization discovered by Chachólski, that is perhaps the most useful tool available to attack these kind of problems. Because of its importance and ubiquity in our context we reproduce it here:

**Theorem** ([Cha96, 20.3]). *Let  $A$  and  $X$  be pointed spaces, and let  $C$  be the homotopy cofiber of the map  $\bigvee_{[A,X]*} A \rightarrow X$ , defined as evaluation over all the homotopy classes*

of maps  $A \rightarrow X$ . Then  $\mathbf{CW}_{B\mathbb{Z}/p}X$  has the homotopy type of the homotopy fiber of the composition  $X \rightarrow C \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_{\Sigma A}C$ .

Here  $\mathbf{P}$  denotes the nullification functor, first defined by A.K. Bousfield in [Bou94]. Recall that given spaces  $A$  and  $X$ ,  $X$  is called  $A$ -null if the natural inclusion  $X \hookrightarrow \text{map}(A, X)$  is a weak equivalence. In this way one defines a functor  $\mathbf{P}_A : \mathbf{Spaces} \rightarrow \mathbf{Spaces}$ , coaugmented and idempotent, such that  $\mathbf{P}_A X$  is  $A$ -null for every  $X$ , and such that for every  $A$ -null space  $Y$  the coaugmentation induces a weak equivalence  $\text{map}(\mathbf{P}_A X, Y) \simeq \text{map}(X, Y)$ . This functor can also be defined in the pointed category, and its main properties can be found in [Far95] and [Cha96].

In our case the role of  $A$  and  $X$  in the Chachólski result will be played by  $B\mathbb{Z}/p$  and  $BG$ , respectively. If  $C$  is the corresponding cofiber, from now on we shall denote the  $\Sigma B\mathbb{Z}/p$ -nullification of  $C$  by  $P$ .

As a consequence of previous results, describing  $\mathbf{CW}_{B\mathbb{Z}/p}BG$  is equivalent to describing  $P$ , which is in general a more accessible problem. In our particular situation it is convenient to assume  $G$  generated by order  $p$  elements because this implies automatically (using Whitehead's Theorem) that  $P$  is a simply-connected space. Thus we can use fracture lemmas [BK72, VI.8.1]; and as  $P$  is rationally trivial, we see that  $P \simeq \prod P_p^\wedge$ , the product of the  $q$ -completions for all primes  $q$ . On the other hand, note that the additional hypothesis on the generation of  $G$  causes no restriction, as for every finite  $G$  there is a homotopy equivalence  $\mathbf{CW}_{B\mathbb{Z}/p}B\Omega_1(G) \simeq \mathbf{CW}_{B\mathbb{Z}/p}BG$  induced by the natural inclusion ([Flo07, Proposition 4.1]).

It is easily proved that the  $q$ -torsion part of  $P$  (for every prime  $q \neq p$ ) has the homotopy type of  $\prod_{q \neq p} BG_q^\wedge$ . Hence the difficult part is to compute  $P_p^\wedge$ . According to [FS07, 3.2],  $P_p^\wedge$  coincides with the base of the Chachólski fibration when the total space is  $BG_p^\wedge$ , so we are actually studying the  $B\mathbb{Z}/p$ -cellularization of  $BG_p^\wedge$ . More precisely, if  $G$  is generated by order  $p$  elements, the equivalence  $\mathbf{CW}_{B\mathbb{Z}/p}(BG_p^\wedge) \simeq (\mathbf{CW}_{B\mathbb{Z}/p}BG)_p^\wedge$  is proved in [FS07, Proposition 3.2]. This is a very peculiar property for spaces which, in general, cannot be decomposed via an arithmetic square.

In the philosophy of [BLO03], the homotopy theory of  $BG_p^\wedge$  is codified in the  $p$ -fusion data of  $G$ . From this point of view it can be observed that the structure of  $P_p^\wedge$  strongly depends on the minimal strongly closed  $p$ -subgroup  $\mathfrak{A}_1(S)$  of  $S$  that contains the  $p$ -socle of  $S$  (called  $Cl S$  in [FS07]). In particular, it is a consequence of the Puppe

sequence and the definition of nullification that if  $\mathfrak{A}_1(S) = S$  then  $P_p^\wedge$  is trivial. This shows one should consider the case in which  $\mathfrak{A}_1(S)$  is strictly contained in  $S$ .

In [FS07] the latter case is studied under the additional assumption that  $N_G(S)$  controls (strong)  $G$ -fusion in  $S$ . Recall that the normalizer *controls (strong) fusion* if for every subgroup  $P \leq S$  and  $g \in G$  such that  $gPg^{-1} \leq S$ , there exist  $h \in N_G(S)$  and  $c \in C_G(P)$ , with  $g = hc$ . Since  $\mathfrak{A}_1(S)$  is normal in  $N_G(S)$ , [FS07] shows that  $P_p^\wedge$  is homotopy equivalent to the  $p$ -completion of  $B(N_G(S)/\mathfrak{A}_1(S))$ ; this also shows, roughly speaking, that the structure of the mapping space  $\text{map}_*(B\mathbb{Z}/p, BG_p^\wedge)$  depends heavily on  $\mathfrak{A}_1(S)$ . This result is used, in particular, to compute the  $B\mathbb{Z}/2$ -cellularization of classifying spaces of simple groups (relying on Theorem 5.6 there).

In the next subsection we describe the remaining cases, giving a very explicit description of  $P_p^\wedge$  when this space is not trivial.

### 3. THE DESCRIPTION OF $CW_{B\mathbb{Z}/p}BG$

In [FS07] it was already anticipated that a complete description of the  $B\mathbb{Z}/p$ -cellularization of classifying spaces of finite groups would depend on a structure theorem for groups that contain a non-trivial strongly closed  $p$ -subgroup that is not a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup; at that time such a classification was only known for  $p = 2$  ([Foo97]). But even in this case there were examples of groups such that  $\mathfrak{A}_1(S) \neq S$  and  $N_G(S)$  does not control fusion in  $S$  — in other words, groups that were beyond the scope of [FS07].

The key step missing in that paper was the role of the subgroup  $\mathcal{O}_A(G)$ , whose importance was already evident — in an independent group-theoretic context — in [Foo97]. First,  $\mathcal{O}_A(G)$  is by definition a subgroup of  $G$ , so in the case  $A = \mathfrak{A}_1(S)$  it is likely that it controls a “part” of the structure of  $\mathbf{CW}_{B\mathbb{Z}/p}BG$ . Moreover,  $\mathcal{O}_A(G)$  is normal in  $G$ , so one might expect a strong relationship between the cofiber of Chachólski fibration for  $BG_p^\wedge$  and for  $B(G/\mathcal{O}_A(G))_p^\wedge$ . The significance of  $\mathcal{O}_A(G)$  is also suggested by the fact that passing to the quotient  $G/\mathcal{O}_A(G)$  gives a considerable simplification in the  $p$ -fusion structure of this quotient group in the sense that — as we shall see in Section 4 — there are normalizers (of subgroups/elements) that do not control fusion in  $G$  with images that do so in the quotient. These ideas, combined with the explication of the role of  $\mathcal{O}_A(G)$  in the classification result [FF08, Theorem 1.2], allow us to prove the main theorem, Theorem 3.2, which covers all extant cases for  $\mathbf{CW}_{B\mathbb{Z}/p}BG$ , subsuming all by a uniform treatment.

For the remainder of this subsection  $A = \mathfrak{A}_1(S)$ , and overbars denote passage to the quotient  $G \rightarrow G/\mathcal{O}_A(G)$ . The normalizer of  $\overline{A}$  in  $\overline{G}$  will be denoted by  $\overline{N}$ , and  $\overline{N}/\overline{A}$  will be called  $\Gamma$ .

We begin with a technical lemma that we will need in the proof of the main theorem.

**Lemma 3.1.** *The group  $\Gamma$  is  $p$ -perfect, i.e., has no normal subgroup of index  $p$ .*

*Proof.* By contradiction, assume that there is a nontrivial homomorphism  $\varphi : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/p$ . Precomposing with the map  $B\overline{N} \rightarrow B\Gamma$  induced by the canonical projection yields an essential map  $B\overline{N} \rightarrow B\mathbb{Z}/p$ , which is trivial when restricted to  $B\overline{A}$ . Moreover, as  $\overline{N}$  controls  $p$ -fusion in  $\overline{G}$ , we have another nontrivial map  $BG_p^\wedge \rightarrow B\overline{N}_p^\wedge \rightarrow B\mathbb{Z}/p$  that is induced by a (also nontrivial) homomorphism  $\psi : G \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/p$ . As the image of  $A$  under the natural projection  $G \rightarrow \overline{G}$  is  $\overline{A}$ , the definition of  $\alpha$  implies that the composition  $BA \rightarrow BG \rightarrow BG_p^\wedge \rightarrow B\mathbb{Z}/p$  is homotopically trivial. In particular, every element of order  $p$  of  $G$  should go to zero under the map  $\psi$ . But  $G$  is generated by order  $p$  elements, so  $\psi$  should be nontrivial. This is a contradiction, and we are done.  $\square$

Alternatively, Theorem B of [Gol75] says that for any strongly closed  $A$  we have  $(G' \cap S)A = (N_G(A)' \cap S)A$ . Thus if  $G$  has no normal subgroup of index  $p$ , neither does  $N_G(A)/A$ . Corollary 1.5 in [FF08] therefore also shows  $\Gamma$  is  $p$ -perfect since each  $L_i$  is simple.

Now we are in a position to prove the principal result of this section.

**Theorem 3.2.** *In the previous notation,  $P_p^\wedge$  is homotopy equivalent to the  $p$ -completion of the classifying space of  $\overline{N}/\overline{A}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $D$  be the cofiber of the Chachólski map  $\bigvee B\mathbb{Z}/p \rightarrow BG_p^\wedge$  (extended to all the homotopy classes of maps  $B\mathbb{Z}/p \xrightarrow{v} BG_p^\wedge$ ), whose  $\Sigma B\mathbb{Z}/p$ -nullification is  $P_p^\wedge$ . We denote by  $h : BG_p^\wedge \rightarrow D$  the natural map, and by  $\eta : D \rightarrow P_p^\wedge$  the canonical coaugmentation. Moreover, if  $A_1 < A_2$ , we will call  $i_{A_1, A_2}$  the group inclusion  $A_1 \hookrightarrow A_2$ .

We claim there are maps  $B\Gamma_p^\wedge \rightarrow P_p^\wedge$  and  $P_p^\wedge \rightarrow B\Gamma_p^\wedge$  that are homotopy inverses to one another.

First we define  $P_p^\wedge \xrightarrow{g} B\Gamma_p^\wedge$ . Recall that, as  $\overline{N}$  controls  $\overline{G}$ -fusion in  $\overline{S}$ , the inclusion  $\overline{N} \hookrightarrow \overline{G}$  induces a homotopy equivalence  $B\overline{N}_p^\wedge \xrightarrow{(Bi_{\overline{N}, \overline{G}})_p^\wedge} B\overline{G}_p^\wedge$  (see for example [MP98,



Proposition 2.1]) . Now consider the diagram

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} \vee B\mathbb{Z}/p & \xrightarrow{v} & BG_p^\wedge & \xrightarrow{h} & D & \xrightarrow{\eta} & P_p^\wedge \\ & & \downarrow B\pi_p^\wedge & & \uparrow \text{dashed} & & \uparrow \text{dashed} \\ & & B\overline{G}_p^\wedge & & & & \\ & & \uparrow (Bi_{\overline{N}, \overline{G}})_p^\wedge \simeq & & \uparrow g' & & \uparrow g \\ & & B\overline{N}_p^\wedge & & & & \\ & & \downarrow Bp_p^\wedge & & \uparrow \text{dashed} & & \uparrow \text{dashed} \\ & & B\Gamma_p^\wedge & & & & \end{array}$$

and call  $\alpha$  the composition of all vertical maps.

According to the definition of  $\overline{G}$  and  $A$ , the composition  $B\mathbb{Z}/p \rightarrow BG_p^\wedge \xrightarrow{\alpha} B\Gamma_p^\wedge$  is inessential for every map  $B\mathbb{Z}/p \rightarrow BG_p^\wedge$ . This implies that the composition  $\alpha \circ v$  is so, and hence there exists the lifting  $g'$ . As  $B\Gamma_p^\wedge$  is  $\Sigma B\mathbb{Z}/p$ -null,  $g'$  also lifts to  $g$ , and that is the map we were looking for.

To construct  $f : B\Gamma_p^\wedge \rightarrow P_p^\wedge$  consider now the composition  $BA \xrightarrow{(Bi_{A,G})_p^\wedge} BG_p^\wedge \xrightarrow{\eta \circ h} P_p^\wedge$ . As the induced homomorphism of fundamental groups is trivial when restricted to every generator of  $A$  (by construction), the composition must be null-homotopic on  $BA$ . In particular, it is inessential when precomposing with the map  $B(\mathcal{O}_A(G) \cap A) \xrightarrow{Bi_{\mathcal{O}_A(G) \cap A, A}} BA$ . As  $P_p^\wedge$  is  $p$ -complete (by [FS07, 3.2]), and  $\mathcal{O}_A(G) \cap A$  is  $p$ -Sylow in  $\mathcal{O}_A(G)$  by definition of  $\mathcal{O}_A(G)$ , we can apply [Dwy96, Theorem 1.4] to obtain that the composition  $B\mathcal{O}_A(G) \xrightarrow{(Bi_{\mathcal{O}_A(G), G})_p^\wedge} BG_p^\wedge \rightarrow P_p^\wedge$  is again homotopically trivial. Then, by Zabrodsky Lemma [Dwy96, 3.4], there exists a lifting  $f'$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & B\mathcal{O}_A(G) & \\ & \downarrow Bi_{\mathcal{O}_A(G), G} & \\ & BG & \xrightarrow{\eta \circ h \circ (-)_p^\wedge} P_p^\wedge \\ & \downarrow B\pi & \uparrow \text{dashed } f' \\ & B\overline{G} & \end{array}$$

where  $(-)_p^\wedge$  denotes the  $p$ -completion  $BG \rightarrow BG_p^\wedge$ . Now we have another map  $B\overline{N} \rightarrow P_p^\wedge$  given by the composition

$$B\overline{N} \longrightarrow B\overline{N}_p^\wedge \xrightarrow{(Bi_{\overline{N}, \overline{G}})_p^\wedge} BG_p^\wedge \xrightarrow{(f')_p^\wedge} P_p^\wedge,$$

where we have used the fact that  $P_p^\wedge$  is  $p$ -complete.

The next diagram is clearly commutative by construction:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} BA & \xrightarrow{Bi_{A,G}} & BG & & \\ B\pi|_A \downarrow & & B\pi \downarrow & \searrow & \\ B\overline{A} & \xrightarrow{Bi_{\overline{A}, \overline{N}}} & B\overline{N} & \xrightarrow{Bi_{\overline{N}, \overline{G}}} & B\overline{G} \longrightarrow P_p^\wedge. \end{array}$$

Note that the composition  $BA \xrightarrow{Bi_{A,G}} BG \xrightarrow{\eta \circ h \circ (-)_p^\wedge} P_p^\wedge$  is homotopically trivial, and hence the composition  $BA \rightarrow B\overline{A} \xrightarrow{Bi_{\overline{A}, \overline{N}}} B\overline{G} \xrightarrow{f'} P_p^\wedge$  is so. As  $\overline{A}$  is by definition a quotient of  $A$ , every generator of the former comes from a generator from the latter. This implies that the composition  $B\overline{A} \xrightarrow{Bi_{\overline{A}, \overline{N}}} B\overline{G} \xrightarrow{f'} P_p^\wedge$  is also homotopically trivial, and thus again by [Dwy96, 3.4], the map  $(f')_p^\wedge$  lifts to a map  $f$ :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} B\overline{N}_p^\wedge & \xrightarrow{(f')_p^\wedge} & P_p^\wedge \\ \downarrow & \nearrow f & \\ B\Gamma_p^\wedge & & \end{array}$$

This is the map  $f$  that we wanted; and we have another commutative diagram:

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} B\overline{G}_p^\wedge & \xrightarrow{\eta \circ h} & P_p^\wedge \\ \alpha \downarrow & \nearrow f & \\ B\Gamma_p^\wedge & & \end{array}$$

It remains to prove that  $f \circ g \simeq \text{Id}_{P_p^\wedge}$  and  $g \circ f \simeq \text{Id}_{B\Gamma_p^\wedge}$ . In the first case, the universal property of the nullification functor implies that it is enough to prove that  $f \circ g'$  is homotopic to the coaugmentation  $\eta$ . As  $P_p^\wedge$  is simply connected, we only need to prove that  $f \circ g' \simeq \eta$  in the unpointed category. Hence we can use the long exact sequence of the cofibration  $BG_p^\wedge \xrightarrow{h} D \rightarrow \vee \Sigma B\mathbb{Z}/p$  to establish that  $f \circ g' \simeq \eta$  if and only if  $f \circ g' \circ h \simeq \eta \circ h$ . According to diagram 3.1,  $g' \circ h$  is homotopic to  $\alpha$ , and by diagram 3.2,  $f \circ \alpha \simeq \eta \circ h$ , so we are done.

To see that  $g \circ f$  is homotopic to the identity of  $B\Gamma_p^\wedge$ , a repeated application of the universal property of the quotient shows that it is enough to prove that  $g \circ f \circ \alpha \simeq \alpha$ . By Lemma 3.1 and [BK72, II.5],  $B\Gamma_p^\wedge$  is simply connected, and we can apply the previous arguments to ensure that it is enough to find the homotopy in the unpointed category. Again by diagram 3.2 the latter is homotopic to  $g \circ \eta \circ h$ , and has the same homotopy class as  $\alpha$  by diagram 3.1. So the statement is proved.  $\square$

When we combine the previous statement with [Flo07, Proposition 4.14] and [FS07, Theorem 2.5] we obtain a complete description of  $\mathbf{CW}_{B\mathbb{Z}/p}BG$  for every  $p$  and every finite group  $G$ .

**Theorem 3.3.** *Let  $G$  be a finite group generated by its elements of order  $p$ , let  $S \in \text{Syl}_p(G)$ , and let  $A = \mathfrak{A}_1(S)$  be the minimal strongly closed subgroup of  $S$  containing  $\Omega_1(S)$ . Let overbars denote passage from  $G$  to the quotient group  $G/\mathcal{O}_A(G)$ . Then the  $B\mathbb{Z}/p$ -cellularization of  $BG$  has one the following shapes:*

- (1) *If  $G = S$  is a  $p$ -group then  $BG$  is  $B\mathbb{Z}/p$ -cellular.*
- (2) *If  $G$  is not a  $p$ -group and  $A = S$  then  $\mathbf{CW}_{B\mathbb{Z}/p}BG$  is the homotopy fiber of the natural map  $BG \rightarrow \prod_{q \neq p} BG_q^\wedge$ .*
- (3) *If  $G$  is not a  $p$ -group and  $A \neq S$  then  $\mathbf{CW}_{B\mathbb{Z}/p}BG$  is the homotopy fiber of the map  $BG \rightarrow B(N_{\overline{G}}(\overline{A})/\overline{A})_p^\wedge \times \prod_{q \neq p} BG_q^\wedge$ .*

Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 and Corollary 1.5 in [FF08] determine  $N_G(\overline{A})/\overline{A}$ , whose structure is very rigid and depends on a restricted set of well-known simple groups. It is very likely that an analogous classification can be obtained exactly in the same way for  $\mathbf{CW}_{B\mathbb{Z}/p^r}BG$ ,  $r > 1$ , but we have restricted ourselves to the case  $r = 1$  for the sake of simplicity (cf. also [CCS, Theorem 3.6]).

In the cases where  $\mathcal{O}_A(G) = 1$  and  $A \trianglelefteq G$  — which are implicit in the computations — the  $B\mathbb{Z}/p$ -cellularization of  $BG_p^\wedge$  is the homotopy fiber of the natural map  $BG_p^\wedge \rightarrow B(G/A)_p^\wedge$ . It is then tempting to identify  $\mathbf{CW}_{B\mathbb{Z}/p}BG$  with  $BA$ . But this would mean, in particular, that  $\text{map}_*(B\mathbb{Z}/p, BG_p^\wedge)$  would be discrete. However, an analysis of the fibration

$$\text{map}_*(B\mathbb{Z}/p, BG_p^\wedge) \rightarrow \text{map}(B\mathbb{Z}/p, BG_p^\wedge) \rightarrow BG_p^\wedge$$

together with the description of its total space — which is given, for example, in [BK02, Appendix] — shows that  $\text{map}_*(B\mathbb{Z}/p, BG_p^\wedge)$  is non-discrete in general, and then usually  $\mathbf{CW}_{B\mathbb{Z}/p}BG$  is not an aspherical space.

It is conceivable that our results can also have interesting consequences from the point of view of homotopical representations of groups. In [FS07, Section 6] the results on cellularization gave rise to specific examples of nontrivial maps  $BG \rightarrow BU(n)_p^\wedge$  that enjoyed two particular properties: they did not come from group homomorphisms  $G \rightarrow U(n)$ , and they were trivial when precomposing with any map  $B\mathbb{Z}/p \rightarrow BG$ . While there are a number of examples in the literature with the first feature (see for example [BW95] or [MT89]), no representations were known at this point for which the second property holds. The classification results of this paper give hope of finding a systematic and complete treatment of all these kinds of representations. We plan to undertake this task in a separate paper.

In the next section we show the applicability of our results by computing the  $B\mathbb{Z}/p$ -cellularization of various specific families of classifying spaces. We have chosen the simple groups (as they have shown their cornerstone role in the computation of  $\mathbf{CW}_{B\mathbb{Z}/p}BG$ ), certain split extensions that signaled there was something beyond the results of [FS07], and certain nonsplit extensions of  $G_2(q)$  that illuminate the roles of the normalizers of  $A$  and  $S$  in the  $B\mathbb{Z}/p$ -cellular context.

#### 4. EXAMPLES

In Theorem 3.3 a description of the  $B\mathbb{Z}/p$ -cellularization of  $BG$  for every group  $G$  and every prime  $p$  is given, so in this section we describe some families of concrete examples for which  $\mathbf{CW}_{B\mathbb{Z}/p}BG$  is interesting. From now on,  $p$  will be any prime,  $G$  a finite group possessing a nontrivial Sylow  $p$ -subgroup  $S$  and  $\mathfrak{A}_1(S)$ , as before, denotes the unique smallest strongly closed (with respect to  $G$ ) subgroup of  $S$  that contains  $\Omega_1(S)$ . We begin with the case of simple groups.

**4.1. Simple groups.** In [FS07, Corollary 5.7] the  $B\mathbb{Z}/2$ -cellularization of the classifying spaces of all the simple groups was computed. In this section we use the classification for  $p$  odd to show that for every prime  $p$  and every simple group  $G$ ,  $\mathbf{CW}_{B\mathbb{Z}/p}BG$  is included in cases (ii) and (iii) of Theorem 3.3. More concretely, this fact is a consequence of [FF08, Theorems 1.1 and 1.2], and then Theorem 3.3 yields the following characterization:

**Proposition 4.1.** *Let  $G$  be a simple group, let  $p$  a prime and let  $S$  be a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $G$ . Then  $\mathbf{CW}_{B\mathbb{Z}/p}BG$  has one of the following two structures:*

- (1) If  $\mathfrak{A}_1(S) = S$ , then  $\mathbf{CW}_{B\mathbb{Z}/p}BG$  is the homotopy fiber of the natural map  $BG \rightarrow \prod_{q \neq p} BG_q^\wedge$ .
- (2) If  $\mathfrak{A}_1(S) \neq S$ , then we have a fibration

$$\mathbf{CW}_{B\mathbb{Z}/p}BG \rightarrow BG \rightarrow B(N_G(S)/\mathfrak{A}_1(S))_p^\wedge \times \prod_{q \neq p} BG_q^\wedge.$$

Note that the inclusion  $N_G(S) \hookrightarrow N_G(\mathfrak{A}_1(S))$  induces a homotopy equivalence  $BN_G(S)_p^\wedge \simeq BN_G(\mathfrak{A}_1(S))_p^\wedge$  when  $N_G(S)$  (and then  $N_G(\mathfrak{A}_1(S))$ ) controls  $p$ -fusion in  $S$ . This happens for every simple group in the second case of the previous proposition, and in particular a comparison of Chachólski fibrations for  $BN_G(S)_p^\wedge$  and  $BN_G(\mathfrak{A}_1(S))_p^\wedge$  (which are homotopy equivalent) gives that the induced map  $B(N_G(S)/\mathfrak{A}_1(S))_p^\wedge \simeq B(N_G(\mathfrak{A}_1(S))/\mathfrak{A}_1(S))_p^\wedge$  is also a homotopy equivalence.

The structure of the normalizers that appear in the previous characterization is described in [FF08, Section 4.1].

**4.2. Split extensions.** We turn now to the case of non-simple groups. Here we give some explicit examples of  $B\mathbb{Z}/p$ -cellularization of split extensions which are beyond the scope of [FS07], and show the usefulness of Theorems 3.2 and 3.3.

In [FS07] the  $B\mathbb{Z}/p$ -cellularization of  $BG$  is described when  $G$  is generated by elements of order  $p$ ,  $\mathfrak{A}_1(S)$  is a proper subgroup of  $S$ , and the normalizer of  $S$  controls strong fusion in  $S$ . No example was given there of a group for which the first two conditions hold but not the third. George Glauberman suggested an example of a group of the latter type: a wreath product  $(\mathbb{Z}/2) \wr Sz(2^n)$ . In this section we generalize this example, showing that many split extensions for which these conditions hold can be constructed. The computation of the cellularization of their classifying space is then easy from Corollaries 1.4 and 1.5 in [FF08].

Let  $R$  be a simple group, and assume that there exists a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup  $T$  of  $R$  for which  $\mathfrak{A}_1(T) \neq T$ . Let  $E$  be any elementary abelian  $p$ -group on which  $R$  acts faithfully. Then  $S = ET$  is a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of the semi-direct product  $G = E \rtimes R$ , and if we denote  $A = E\mathfrak{A}_1(T)$ , it is clear that  $E = \mathcal{O}_A(G)$ . As the extension is split, the canonical projection  $G \rightarrow R$  sends  $\mathfrak{A}_1(S)$  to  $\mathfrak{A}_1(T)$ . Then, according to Theorem 3.2, the  $B\mathbb{Z}/p$ -cellularization of  $BG_p^\wedge$  has the homotopy type of the fiber of the composition

$$BG_p^\wedge \longrightarrow BR_p^\wedge \longrightarrow B(N_R(\mathfrak{A}_1(T))/\mathfrak{A}_1(T))_p^\wedge.$$

The structure of  $G$  was studied in the [FF08, 4.1], and moreover, Section 4.2 there also describes the shape of the normalizer in a concrete example where  $R$  is a special linear group and  $T$  is a subgroup of diagonal matrices.

**4.3. Exotic extensions of  $G_2(q)$ .** We conclude with somewhat exotic examples which show that in Theorem 3.3, the normalizer of the subgroup  $\overline{A}$  in  $\overline{G}$  cannot be replaced by the normalizer of the corresponding Sylow subgroup. These groups are constructed as “half-split” extensions of the simple group  $G_2(q)$ , for  $q$  coprime to 3, as established in [FF08]. For the reader’s sake, we state that result here.

**Proposition** ([FF08, 4.3]) *Let  $p$  be a prime dividing the order of the finite group  $R$  and let  $X$  be a subgroup of order  $p$  in  $R$ . Then there is an  $\mathbb{F}_p R$ -module  $E$  and an extension*

$$1 \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow G \longrightarrow R \longrightarrow 1$$

*of  $R$  by  $E$  such that the extension of  $X$  by  $E$  does not split, but the extension of  $Z$  by  $E$  splits for every subgroup  $Z$  of order  $p$  in  $R$  that is not conjugate in  $R$  to  $X$ . In particular, for nonidentity elements  $x \in X$  and  $z \in Z$  every element in the coset  $xE$  has order  $p^2$  whereas  $zE$  contains elements of order  $p$  in  $G$ .*

We study the case  $R = G_2(q)$  with  $p = 3$  and  $(q, 3) = 1$ , and we let  $T \in \text{Syl}_3(R)$ . The description in [FF08, Proposition 2.7(3)] of the normalizer of  $T$  in  $R$  implies that  $BN_R(T)_3^\wedge$  is not homotopy equivalent to  $BG_2(q)_3^\wedge$ . Now apply the previous Proposition with  $Z = Z(T)$  and  $X = \langle x \rangle$  for any  $x \in T - Z$  of order 3 (all such subgroups  $X$  are conjugate in  $G$ , but none are conjugate to  $Z$ ). Then if  $S$  is the Sylow 3-subgroup of  $G$  containing  $E$  such that  $S/E = T$ , then the “half-split” construction forces  $E \leq \mathfrak{A}_1(S)$  and  $\mathfrak{A}_1(S)/E = ZE/E$  (unlike a split extension of  $R$  by  $E$  where  $\mathfrak{A}_1(S) = S$ ). Again the considerations in [FF08, Section 4.3] and Theorem 3.2 establish that the  $B\mathbb{Z}/3$ -cellularization of  $(BG)_3^\wedge$  has the homotopy type the homotopy fibre of the map  $(BG)_3^\wedge \rightarrow BPSL_3^*(q)_3^\wedge$ , but not of the map  $(BG)_3^\wedge \rightarrow B(N_R(T)/T)_3^\wedge$  when  $9 \mid q^2 - 1$ . Here  $N_R(Z) \cong PSL_3^*(q)$ , where the latter group denotes the projective version of the group  $SL_3(q)$  or  $SU_3(q)$  together with the outer (graph) automorphism of order 2 inverting its center.

From this computation one can deduce, then, that the object that determines the  $\Sigma B\mathbb{Z}/p$ -nullification of the cofibre of the Chachólski map in the case of finite groups is the normalizer of  $\overline{A}$ , and not the Sylow normalizer, as might be inferred from the

particular cases studied in [FS07]. This example also highlights the importance of having a classification of *all* groups possessing a nontrivial strongly closed  $p$ -subgroup that is not Sylow — not just the simple groups having such a subgroup that contains  $\Omega_1(S)$  — since the subgroup  $\mathfrak{A}_1(S)$  does not pass in a transparent fashion to quotients.

In conclusion, some interesting open questions remain. We have characterized with precision  $\mathbf{CW}_{B\mathbb{Z}/p}BG$  for every finite  $G$ , and in the course of the proof we have also described  $\mathbf{CW}_{B\mathbb{Z}/p}BG_p^\wedge$  when  $G$  is generated by order  $p$  elements. However we do not address the issue of what happens in general with the cellularization of  $BG_p^\wedge$  if we remove the generation hypothesis. There are some cases that can be deduced from the previous developments — for example if  $G$  is not equal to  $\Omega_1(G)$  but is mod  $p$  equivalent to a group that is so — but it would be nice to have a general statement.

The extensions of our techniques and results to more general  $p$ -local spaces with a notion of  $p$ -fusion seem to be the natural next step of our study; in particular, classifying spaces of  $p$ -local finite groups and some families of non-finite groups offer enticing possibilities.

## REFERENCES

- [AM94] A. Adem and R. J. Milgram. *Cohomology of finite groups*, volume 309 of *Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences]*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1994.
- [BK72] A. K. Bousfield and D. M. Kan. *Homotopy limits, completions and localizations*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1972. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 304.
- [BK02] C. Broto and N. Kitchloo. Classifying spaces of Kac-Moody groups. *Math. Z.*, 240(2):621–649, 2002.
- [BKI08] D. Benson, H. Krause and S. Iyengar. Local cohomology and support for triangulated categories. To appear in *Ann. Sci École Norm. Sup.*
- [BLO03] C. Broto, R. Levi, and B. Oliver. The homotopy theory of fusion systems. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 16(4):779–856 (electronic), 2003.
- [BLO07] C. Broto, R. Levi, and B. Oliver. Discrete models for the  $p$ -local homotopy theory of compact Lie groups and  $p$ -compact groups. *Geometry and Topology*, 11:315–427, 2007.
- [Bou94] A.K. Bousfield. Localization and periodicity in unstable homotopy theory. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 7(4): 831–873, 1994.
- [BW95] D. J. Benson and C. W. Wilkerson. Finite simple groups and Dickson invariants. In *Homotopy theory and its applications (Cocoyoc, 1993)*, volume 188 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 39–50. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1995.

- [CCS] N. Castellana, J. A. Crespo, and J. Scherer. Postnikov pieces and  $B\mathbb{Z}/p$ -homotopy theory. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 359(4):1791–1816, 2007.
- [CDI06] W. Chachólski, W.G. Dwyer and M. Interfont. The  $A$ -complexity of a space. *J. London Math. Soc. (2)*, 65(1):204–222, 2006.
- [Cha96] W. Chachólski. On the functors  $CW_A$  and  $P_A$ . *Duke Math. J.*, 84(3):599–631, 1996.
- [DGI01] W. G. Dwyer, J.P.C. Greenlees and S. Iyengar. Duality in algebra and topology. *Adv. Math.* 200, 200(2):357–402, 2006.
- [DGS07] E. Dror-Farjoun, R. Göbel and Y. Segev. Cellular covers of groups. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 208(1):61–76, 2007.
- [DGS08] E. Dror-Farjoun, R. Göbel, Y. Segev and S. Shelah. On kernels of cellular covers. *Groups Geom. Dyn.* 1, 4:409–419, 2007.
- [Dwy96] W. G. Dwyer. The centralizer decomposition of  $BG$ . In *Algebraic topology: new trends in localization and periodicity (Sant Feliu de Guíxols, 1994)*, volume 136 of *Progr. Math.*, pages 167–184. Birkhäuser, Basel, 1996.
- [Far95] E. Dror Farjoun. *Cellular spaces, null spaces and homotopy localization*, volume 1622 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1996.
- [FGS07] E. Dror Farjoun, R. Göbel and Y. Segev. Cellular covers of spaces. To appear in *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*.
- [Flo07] R.J. Flores. Nullification and cellularization of classifying spaces of finite groups. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 359, 1791–1816, 2007.
- [FF08] R.J. Flores and R. Foote. Strongly closed subgroups of finite groups. Preprint, available at arXiv:0809.3372.
- [Foo97] R. Foote. A characterization of finite groups containing a strongly closed 2-subgroup. *Comm. Algebra*, 25(2):593–606, 1997.
- [FS07] R.J. Flores and J. Scherer. Cellularization of classifying spaces and fusion properties of finite groups. *J. Lond. Math. Soc.(2)* 76(1):41–56, 2007.
- [Gol74] D. Goldschmidt. 2-Fusion in finite groups. *Ann. Math.*, 99(1974), 70–117.
- [Gol75] D. Goldschmidt. Strongly closed 2-subgroups of finite groups. *Ann. Math.*, 102(1975), 475–489.
- [Kie08] J. Kiessling. Classification of certain cellular classes of chain complexes. Preprint, available at arXiv:0801.3904.
- [MP98] J. Martino and S. Priddy. On the cohomology and homotopy of Swan groups. *Math. Z.*, 225(2):277–288, 1997. RI, 1998.
- [MT89] G. Mislin and C. Thomas. On the homotopy set  $[B\pi, BG]$  with  $\pi$  finite and  $G$  a compact connected Lie group. *Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. (2)*, 40(157):65–78, 1989.
- [RS01] J. L. Rodríguez and J. Scherer. Cellular approximations using Moore spaces. In *Cohomological methods in homotopy theory (Bellaterra, 1998)*, volume 196 of *Progr. Math.*, pages 357–374. Birkhäuser, Basel, 2001.



- [RS08] J. L. Rodríguez and J. Scherer. A connection between cellularization for groups and spaces via two-complexes. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 212:1664-1673, 2008.

Ramón J. Flores

Departamento de Estadística, Universidad Carlos III de Madrid,

Avda. de la Universidad Carlos III, 22

E – 28903 Colmenarejo (Madrid) — Spain

e-mail: [rflores@est-econ.uc3m.es](mailto:rflores@est-econ.uc3m.es)

Richard M. Foote

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Vermont,

16 Colchester Avenue, Burlington, Vermont 05405 — U.S.A.

e-mail: [foote@math.uvm.edu](mailto:foote@math.uvm.edu)