

# Lenard scheme for two dimensional periodic Volterra chain

Jing Ping Wang

Institute of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Kent,  
Canterbury, Kent, CT2 7NF, United Kingdom

## Abstract

We prove that for compatible weakly nonlocal Hamiltonian and symplectic operators, hierarchies of infinitely many commuting local symmetries and conservation laws can be generated under some easily verified conditions no matter whether the generating Nijenhuis operators are weakly nonlocal or not. We construct a recursion operator of the two dimensional periodic Volterra chain from its Lax representation and prove that it is a Nijenhuis operator. Furthermore we show this system is a (generalised) bi-Hamiltonian system. Rather surprisingly, the product of its weakly nonlocal Hamiltonian and symplectic operators gives rise to the square of the recursion operator.

# 1 Introduction

Integrable nonlinear evolution equations possess many hidden properties such as infinitely many symmetries and conservation laws. These symmetries can be generated by so-called recursion operators [1, 2], which map a symmetry to a new symmetry. All known recursion operators including operands [3] for nonlinear integrable equations in the 2 + 1-dimension are Nijenhuis operators. The important property of such an operator is to construct an abelian Lie algebra. This property was independently studied by Fuchssteiner [4] and Magri [5], where they named the operator hereditary symmetry. For example, the famous Korteweg-de Vries (KdV) equation

$$u_t = u_{xxx} + 6uu_x$$

possesses a recursion operator

$$\mathfrak{R} = D_x^2 + 4u + 2u_x D_x^{-1},$$

where  $D_x^{-1}$  stands for the left inverse of  $D_x$ . Thus this recursion operator is only defined on  $\text{Im } D_x$ . It is a Nijenhuis operator and generates the KdV hierarchy

$$u_{t_j} = \mathfrak{R}^j(u_x), \quad j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

Any polynomial of  $\mathfrak{R}$  with constant coefficients such as  $\mathfrak{R}^2$  is also a recursion operator of the KdV. However, the operator  $\mathfrak{R}^2$  doesn't generate the whole KdV hierarchy starting from  $u_x$ .

The concept of Hamiltonian pairs was introduced by Magri [6]. He found that some systems admitted two distinct but compatible Hamiltonian structures (Hamiltonian pairs) and named them twofold Hamiltonian system, nowadays known as bi-Hamiltonian systems. The KdV equation is a bi-Hamiltonian system. It can be written

$$u_t = D_x \delta\left(-\frac{u_x^2}{2} + u^3\right) = (D_x^3 + 4uD_x + 2u_x) \delta\left(\frac{u^2}{2}\right),$$

where  $\delta$  is variational derivative with respect to the dependent variable. These two differential operators  $D_x$  and  $D_x^3 + 4uD_x + 2u_x$  form a Hamiltonian pair.

Interrelations between Hamiltonian pairs and Nijenhuis operators were discovered by Gel'fand & Dorfman [7] and Fuchssteiner & Fokas [8, 9]. For example, the Nijenhuis recursion operator of the KdV equation can be obtained via the Hamiltonian pair, that is,

$$\mathfrak{R} = (D_x^3 + 4uD_x + 2u_x) D_x^{-1}.$$

Such a decomposition of the operator  $\mathfrak{R}$  corresponds to the Lenard scheme used to construct the hierarchies of infinitely many symmetries and cosymmetries. The story why this concept was named after Lenard is told in [10].

In fact, the decomposition of  $\mathfrak{R}$  is not unique since it can also be represented as

$$\mathfrak{R} = D_x (D_x + 2uD_x^{-1} + 2D_x^{-1}u),$$

where the operator  $D_x$  is Hamiltonian and the operator  $D_x + 2uD_x^{-1} + 2D_x^{-1}u$  is symplectic.

The majority of 1 + 1-dimensional Hamiltonian integrable equations possess the same property as the KdV equation: their Nijenhuis recursion operators can be decomposed into the products of weakly nonlocal [11] Hamiltonian and symplectic operators of order not less than  $-1$  ( see [12] for a list of integrable systems). An exceptional recursion operator can be found in [13] although it can be represented as a ratio of two compatible weakly nonlocal Hamiltonian operators [14].

In applications, the Lenard scheme for both Hamiltonian and symplectic pairs [15] requires that one of the operators is invertible, which is not clearly defined for (pseudo-)differential operators in the sense that the inverses of many differential operators are no longer local. For compatible Hamiltonian and symplectic operators, there is no need to invert any operator in construction of Nijenhuis operators although the operators considered are likely to be nonlocal. The nonlocality has motivated Dorfman to introduce Dirac structures to the field of soliton theory [16]. Dirac structures unify and extend both Hamiltonian and symplectic structures. She showed that pairs of Dirac structures give rise to Nijenhuis relations, which is a generalization of the Nijenhuis operators associated with pairs of Hamiltonian structures, and further generalised the Lenard scheme [15].

In this paper, we restrict to weakly nonlocal differential operators. Without using Dirac structures, we prove that for compatible Hamiltonian and symplectic operators, hierarchies of infinitely many commuting local symmetries and conservation laws can be generated under some easily verified conditions. Their nonlocal terms suggest the starting points of the Lenard scheme. We treat the case when the generating Nijenhuis operators are weakly nonlocal in Theorem 1 in section 3.1 and the case when the generating Nijenhuis operators may not be weakly nonlocal in Theorem 2 in section 3.2.

We apply these results to study the algebraic structures of the following system

$$\begin{cases} \phi_{1,t} = \phi_{1,xx} + 2\phi_{2,xx} + 2\phi_{1,x}\phi_{2,x} + \phi_{1,x}^2 + 3e^{2\phi_2} - 3e^{-2\phi_1-2\phi_2} \\ \phi_{2,t} = -2\phi_{1,xx} - \phi_{2,xx} - 2\phi_{1,x}\phi_{2,x} - \phi_{2,x}^2 - 3e^{2\phi_1} + 3e^{-2\phi_1-2\phi_2} \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

We construct a recursion operator of system (1) from its Lax representation by applying the idea in [17] for Lax pairs invariant under reduction groups [18, 19, 20, 21] and prove it is a Nijenhuis operator in section 4.2. In section 5, we show this system is a bi-Hamiltonian system by constructing a Hamiltonian operator and a symplectic operator. Rather surprisingly, the product of its weakly nonlocal Hamiltonian and symplectic operators gives rise to the square of the Nijenhuis recursion operator. The Nijenhuis operator itself does not possess such a decomposition. This phenomenon is very rare. The only known example to me in the literature is the system of gas dynamics [22], which is of hydrodynamical type and where the operators are local.

System (1) corresponds to the two dimensional periodic Volterra chain

$$\begin{cases} \phi_{n,t} = \theta_{n,x} + \theta_n\phi_{n,x} - e^{2\phi_{n-1}} + e^{2\phi_{n+1}}, \\ \theta_{n+1} - \theta_n + \phi_{n+1,x} + \phi_{n,x} = 0, \end{cases} \quad \phi_{n+N} = \phi_n, \quad \sum_{n=1}^N \phi_n = 0. \quad (2)$$

with period  $N = 3$  [18, 19]. It has also appeared in the classification of integrable systems of nonlinear Schrödinger type [23].

The two dimensional Volterra system (2) can be viewed as a discretisation of the Kadomtsev-Petviashvili equation. Indeed, in the limit  $N \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_n(x, t) &= h^2 u(\xi, \eta, \tau), \quad h = N^{-1}, \\ \tau &= h^3 t, \quad \xi = nh + 4ht, \quad \eta = h^2 x\end{aligned}$$

system (2) goes to

$$u_\tau = \frac{2}{3} u_{\xi\xi\xi} + 8uu_\xi - 2D_\xi^{-1} u_{\eta\eta} + O(h^2).$$

For integrable equations in the  $2 + 1$ -dimension, their recursion operators are no longer pseudo-differential operators [24, 25, 3]. To study the family of discrete integrable system (2) for fixed  $N$ , we wish to shed some light on this issue. The exact solutions of system (2) have much in common with  $2 + 1$ -dimensional integrable equations, which have recently been investigated by Bury and Mikhailov [26].

## 2 Definitions of geometric operators

In this section, we sketch the basic definitions of Hamiltonian, symplectic and Nijenhuis operators following [7, 15, 27]. We begin with the construction of a complex of variational calculus.

### 2.1 Complex of variational calculus

Let  $x, t$  be the independent variables and  $u$  be a  $N$ -dimensional vector-valued dependent variable, where  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  is finite. All smooth functions depending on  $u$  and  $x$ -derivatives of  $u$  up to some finite, but unspecified order form a differential ring  $\mathcal{A}$  with total  $x$ -derivation

$$D_x = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} u_{k+1} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial u_k}, \quad \text{where } u_k = \partial_x^k u.$$

Here  $\cdot$  denotes the inner product of vectors. The highest order of  $x$ -derivative we refer to the order of a given function. For any element  $g \in \mathcal{A}$ , we define an equivalence class (or a functional)  $\int g$  by saying that  $g$  and  $h$  are equivalent if and only if  $g - h \in \text{Im } D_x$ . The space of functionals, denoted by  $\mathcal{A}'$ , does not inherit the ring structure from  $\mathcal{A}$ .

Any derivation  $\partial$  on the ring  $\mathcal{A}$  can be written as  $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} h_k \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial u_k}$ , where  $h_k$  is an  $N$ -dimensional vector field with entries from  $\mathcal{A}$ . We denote the space of such vector fields as  $h_k$  by  $\mathcal{A}^N$ . The derivation  $\partial$  is uniquely defined by its action on the dependent variable  $u$  and its  $x$ -derivatives. The derivation commuting with  $D_x$  can be recovered from its action on the dependent variable, that is,  $h_0$  since we have  $h_k = D_x^k h_0$ . This is known as an evolutionary vector field. Let  $\mathfrak{h}$  denote the space of all such  $h_0$ . For any  $P \in \mathfrak{h}$ , there is a unique derivation  $\partial_P = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} D_x^k P \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial u_k}$ . The natural commutator of derivations leads to the Lie bracket on  $\mathfrak{h}$ :

$$[P, Q] = D_Q[P] - D_P[Q], \quad P, Q \in \mathfrak{h}, \quad (3)$$

where  $D_Q = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{\partial Q}{\partial u_i} \cdot D_x^i$  is the Fréchet derivative of  $Q$ .

We define the action of any element  $P \in \mathfrak{h}$  on  $\int g \in \mathcal{A}'$  as follows:

$$P \int g = \int \partial_P(g) = \int \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} D_x^k P \cdot \frac{\partial g}{\partial u_k} = \int D_g[P]. \quad (4)$$

Direct computation shows that such an action is a representation of the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{h}$ . Having a representation space of Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{h}$ , we can build an associated Lie algebra complex. This complex is called the complex of variational calculus. Let us give the first few steps since we do not need the general theory.

We denote the space of functional  $n$ -forms by  $\Omega^n$  starting with  $\Omega^0 = \mathcal{A}'$ . Now we consider the space  $\Omega^1$ . For any vertical 1-form on the ring  $\mathcal{A}$ , i.e.,  $\omega = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} h^k \cdot du_k$ , where  $h^k \in \mathcal{A}^N$ , there is a natural non-degenerate pairing with the derivations  $\partial_P$ :

$$\langle \omega, P \rangle = \int \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} h^k \cdot D_x^k P = \int \left( \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-D_x)^k h^k \right) \cdot P. \quad (5)$$

This can be viewed as the pairing of 1-forms of the form  $\xi du$  with  $P \in \mathfrak{h}$ . Thus any element of  $\Omega^1$  is completely defined by  $\xi \in \mathcal{A}^N$ . For a given  $\omega$ , we have  $\xi = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-D_x)^k h^k$ .

The pairing between Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{h}$  and 1-forms  $\Omega^1$  allows us to give the definition of (formal) adjoint operators to linear (pseudo)-differential operators [28].

**Definition 1.** *Given a linear operator  $\mathcal{S} : \mathfrak{h} \rightarrow \Omega^1$ , we call the operator  $\mathcal{S}^* : \mathfrak{h} \rightarrow \Omega^1$  the adjoint operator of  $\mathcal{S}$  if  $\langle \mathcal{S}P_1, P_2 \rangle = \langle \mathcal{S}^*P_2, P_1 \rangle$ , where  $P_i \in \mathfrak{h}$  for  $i = 1, 2$ .*

Similarly, we can define the adjoint operator for an operator mapping from  $\Omega^1$  to  $\mathfrak{h}$ , from  $\mathfrak{h}$  to  $\mathfrak{h}$  or from  $\Omega^1$  to  $\Omega^1$ .

The variational derivative associates with each functional  $\int g \in \mathcal{A}'$  its Euler-Lagrange expression  $\delta(\int g) \in \Omega^1$  defined so that

$$\langle \delta(\int g), P \rangle = (d \int g)(P) = P \int g = \langle \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-D_x)^k \frac{\partial g}{\partial u_k}, P \rangle, \quad (6)$$

where  $d : \Omega^n \rightarrow \Omega^{n+1}$  is a coboundary operator. Due to the non-degeneracy of the pairing (5), we have  $\delta(\int g) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-D_x)^k \frac{\partial g}{\partial u_k} \in \Omega^1$ . In the literature one often uses  $E$  referring to the Euler operator instead of  $\delta$ . For any  $\xi \in \Omega^1$ , by direct calculation we obtain  $d\xi = D_\xi - D_\xi^*$ . We say that the 1-form  $\xi$  is closed if  $d\xi = 0$ .

Finally, we give the formulas of Lie derivatives along any  $K \in \mathfrak{h}$  using Fréchet derivatives, cf. [15] for the details.

**Definition 2.** *Let  $L_K$  denote the Lie derivative along  $K \in \mathfrak{h}$ . We have*

$$\begin{aligned} L_K \int g &= \int D_g[K] \text{ for } \int g \in \mathcal{A}'; \\ L_K h &= [K, h] \text{ for } h \in \mathfrak{h}; \\ L_K \xi &= D_\xi[K] + D_K^*(\xi) \text{ for } \xi \in \Omega^1; \\ L_K \mathfrak{R} &= D_{\mathfrak{R}}[K] - D_K \mathfrak{R} + \mathfrak{R} D_K \text{ for } \mathfrak{R} : \mathfrak{h} \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}; \\ L_K \mathcal{H} &= D_{\mathcal{H}}[K] - D_K \mathcal{H} - \mathcal{H} D_K^* \text{ for } \mathcal{H} : \Omega^1 \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}; \\ L_K \mathcal{I} &= D_{\mathcal{I}}[K] + D_K^* \mathcal{I} + \mathcal{I} D_K \text{ for } \mathcal{I} : \mathfrak{h} \rightarrow \Omega^1. \end{aligned}$$

In this complex we can identify all the important concepts in the study of integrable systems such as symmetries, cosymmetries, conservation laws and recursion operators. They are all characterised by the vanishing of the Lie derivatives with respect to a given evolution equation. This will be discussed further in section 4.1.

## 2.2 Symplectic, Hamiltonian and Nijenhuis operators

**Definition 3.** A linear operator  $\mathcal{S} : \mathfrak{h} \rightarrow \Omega^1$  (or  $\Omega^1 \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}$ ) is anti-symmetric if  $\mathcal{S} = -\mathcal{S}^*$ .

Given an anti-symmetric operator  $\mathcal{I} : \mathfrak{h} \rightarrow \Omega^1$ , there is an anti-symmetric 2-form associated with it. Namely,

$$\omega(P, Q) = \langle \mathcal{I}(P), Q \rangle = - \langle \mathcal{I}(Q), P \rangle = -\omega(Q, P), \quad P, Q \in \mathfrak{h}. \quad (7)$$

Here the functional 2-form  $\omega$  has the canonical form [28]

$$\omega = \frac{1}{2} \int du \wedge \mathcal{I} du. \quad (8)$$

**Definition 4.** An operator  $\mathcal{I} : \mathfrak{h} \rightarrow \Omega^1$  is called symplectic if and only if the anti-symmetric 2-form (8) is closed, i.e.,  $d\omega = 0$ .

The symplecticity condition  $d\omega = 0$  can be presented in several explicit and equivalent versions. The details can be found in Theorem 6.1 in [15].

For an anti-symmetric operator  $\mathcal{H} : \Omega^1 \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}$ , we can define a Poisson bracket of two functionals

$$\{ \int f, \int g \} = \langle \delta(f), \mathcal{H}\delta(g) \rangle. \quad (9)$$

**Definition 5.** The operator  $\mathcal{H}$  is Hamiltonian if the Poisson bracket defined by (9) is anti-symmetric and satisfies the Jacobi identity

$$\{ \{ \int f, \int g \}, \int h \} + \{ \{ \int g, \int h \}, \int f \} + \{ \{ \int h, \int f \}, \int g \} = 0.$$

For the Jacobi identity, there are several equivalent formulas given in [15] (see Theorem 5.1). In [28] (see p. 443), it was formulated as the vanishing of the functional tri-vector:  $\int \theta \wedge D_{\mathcal{H}}[\mathcal{H}\theta] \wedge \theta = 0$ , which makes it feasible to check.

The Jacobi identity is a quadratic relation of the operator  $\mathcal{H}$ . In general, the linear combination of two Hamiltonian operators is no longer Hamiltonian. If it is, we say that two such Hamiltonian operators form a Hamiltonian pair. Hamiltonian pairs play an important role in the theory of integrability. They naturally generate Nijenhuis operators.

**Definition 6.** A linear operator  $\mathfrak{R} : \mathfrak{h} \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}$  is called a Nijenhuis operator if it satisfies

$$[\mathfrak{R}P, \mathfrak{R}Q] - \mathfrak{R}[\mathfrak{R}P, Q] - \mathfrak{R}[P, \mathfrak{R}Q] + \mathfrak{R}^2[P, Q] = 0, \quad P, Q \in \mathfrak{h}. \quad (10)$$

Using the definition of Lie bracket (3), formula (10) is equivalent to

$$L_{\mathfrak{R}P}\mathfrak{R} = \mathfrak{R}L_P\mathfrak{R}. \quad (11)$$

An equivalent formulation is:  $D_{\mathfrak{R}}[\mathfrak{R}P](Q) - \mathfrak{R}D_{\mathfrak{R}}[P](Q)$  is symmetric with respect to  $P$  and  $Q$ , cf. [9].

The properties of Nijenhuis operators [15] provide us with the explanation how the infinitely many commuting symmetries and conservation laws of integrable equations arise.

### 3 Lenard Scheme of Integrability

The Lenard scheme was first used to generate the KdV hierarchy [10]. After the discovery of the interrelations between Hamiltonian pairs and Nijenhuis operators [7, 9] it was applied to bi-Hamiltonian systems. In 1987, Dorfman ([16]) introduced the concept of Dirac structures into the field of soliton theory in order to deal with nonlocal terms in the operators.

In this section, we consider *weakly nonlocal* [11] Hamiltonian and symplectic operators, i.e., pseudo-differential operators with only a finite number of nonlocal terms of the form  $P \otimes D_x^{-1}Q$ , where  $P$  and  $Q$  are in the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{h}$  for Hamiltonian operators and in the space of 1-forms  $\Omega^1$  for symplectic operators. We prove that for compatible weakly nonlocal Hamiltonian and symplectic operators, hierarchies of commuting local symmetries and cosymmetries can be generated under some easily verified conditions without using Dirac structures. This is independent of the generating Nijenhuis operators being weakly nonlocal or not. Their nonlocal terms suggest the starting points of the Lenard scheme.

**Definition 7.** *A Hamiltonian operator  $\mathcal{H} : \Omega^1 \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}$  and a symplectic operator  $\mathcal{I} : \mathfrak{h} \rightarrow \Omega^1$  are compatible if  $\mathfrak{R} = \mathcal{H}\mathcal{I}$  is a Nijenhuis operator.*

We assume without loss of generality the nonlocal terms of a weakly nonlocal Hamiltonian operator  $\mathcal{H} : \Omega^1 \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}$  are of the form [29]

$$\sum_{j=1}^m \epsilon_j P_j \otimes D_x^{-1}P_j, \quad \text{where } \epsilon_j \in \{-1, 0, 1\} \text{ and } P_j \in \mathfrak{h} \quad (12)$$

and those of a symplectic operator  $\mathcal{I} : \mathfrak{h} \rightarrow \Omega^1$  are of the form

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \tilde{\epsilon}_k \gamma_k \otimes D_x^{-1}\gamma_k, \quad \text{where } \tilde{\epsilon}_k \in \{-1, 0, 1\}, \gamma_k \in \Omega^1 \text{ and } D_{\gamma_k} = D_{\gamma_k}^* \quad (13)$$

with the convention that if  $\epsilon_j = 0$  or  $\tilde{\epsilon}_k = 0$ , we take  $P_j = 0$  or  $\gamma_k = 0$ . Here  $\otimes$  denotes the matrix product of two vectors ( $N \times 1$  column matrices), producing a  $N \times N$  matrix.

For a given weakly nonlocal operator  $\mathcal{S}$ , its highest power of  $D_x$  is the order of an operator. We say that the operator  $\mathcal{S}$  is degenerate if there exists a non-zero weakly nonlocal operator  $\mathcal{T}$  such that  $\mathcal{S}\mathcal{T} = 0$ . Otherwise, we say that the operator  $\mathcal{S}$  is non-degenerate.

Notice that the pairing between  $P_j \in \mathfrak{h}$  and  $\gamma_k$  appears in the computation of  $\mathcal{H}\mathcal{I}$ . It is important to determine whether the pairing is zero or not. The pairings being zero implies that operator  $\mathcal{H}\mathcal{I}$  is again weakly nonlocal.

**Lemma 1.** For any  $Q \in \mathfrak{h}$  and  $\xi \in \Omega^1$  if  $D_\xi = D_\xi^*$  then  $L_Q \xi = \delta \langle \xi, Q \rangle$ .

**Proof.** Indeed, for any  $P \in \mathfrak{h}$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \delta \langle \xi, Q \rangle, P \rangle &= \langle D_\xi[P], Q \rangle + \langle \xi, D_Q[P] \rangle = \langle D_\xi^* Q + D_Q^* \xi, P \rangle \\ &= \langle D_\xi Q + D_Q^* \xi, P \rangle = \langle L_Q \xi, P \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Since the pairing is non-degenerate, we obtain  $L_Q \xi = \delta \langle \xi, Q \rangle$ . ■

**Proposition 1.** Let the nonlocal terms of operators,  $\mathcal{H}$  and  $\mathcal{I}$  be of the form as (12) and (13). Assume that  $P_j, j = 1, \dots, m$  and  $\gamma_k, k = 1, \dots, n$  are linear independent over  $\mathbb{C}$ , respectively. If  $L_{P_j} \mathcal{I} = L_{P_j} \mathcal{H} = 0$ , then there exists anti-symmetric constant  $m \times m$  matrix  $A^{(j)}$  and  $n \times n$  matrix  $B^{(j)}$  such that

$$\epsilon_i L_{P_j} P_i = \sum_{k=1}^m P_k A_{ki}^{(j)} \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\epsilon}_i L_{P_j} \gamma_i = \sum_{k=1}^n \gamma_k B_{ki}^{(j)}.$$

**Proof.** The assumption  $L_{P_j} \mathcal{I} = L_{P_j} \mathcal{H} = 0$  implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^m (\epsilon_i L_{P_j} P_i \otimes D_x^{-1} P_i + P_i \otimes D_x^{-1} \epsilon_i L_{P_j} P_i) &= 0; \\ \sum_{i=1}^n (\tilde{\epsilon}_i L_{P_j} \gamma_i \otimes D_x^{-1} \gamma_i + \gamma_i \otimes D_x^{-1} \tilde{\epsilon}_i L_{P_j} \gamma_i) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Applying Theorem 8, we obtain the results as stated. ■

If for all  $j = 1, \dots, m$  the matrices  $B^{(j)}$  are zero, the operator  $\mathcal{H}\mathcal{I}$  is weakly nonlocal since  $\delta \langle \gamma_i, P_j \rangle = L_{P_j} \gamma_i = 0$  according to Lemma 1. A lot of work has been done for this case, e.g. [30, 31]. We give the Lenard scheme including all the starting points in section 3.1. If there exists  $B^{(j)} \neq 0$ , the operator  $\mathcal{H}\mathcal{I}$  is no longer weakly nonlocal. The locality in this case has not been answered so far. In section 3.2, we tackle this problem and work out concrete examples.

### 3.1 Case I: operator $\mathcal{H}\mathcal{I}$ is weakly nonlocal

**Theorem 1.** Let  $\mathcal{H}$  and  $\mathcal{I}$  be compatible Hamiltonian and symplectic operators, as defined above. Assume that  $L_{P_j} P_l = L_{P_j} \gamma_k = L_{P_j} \mathcal{I} = L_{P_j} \mathcal{H} = L_{\mathcal{H}\gamma_k} \gamma_s = 0$  and  $\mathcal{I}\mathcal{H}\gamma_k$  is closed, where  $j, l = 1, \dots, m$  and  $k, s = 1, \dots, n$ . Then for all  $i, i_1 = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ ,

1.  $\xi_k^i = (\mathcal{I}\mathcal{H})^i \gamma_k \in \Omega^1$  are closed 1-forms and  $h_k^i = \mathcal{H}\xi_k^i \in \mathfrak{h}$  commute;
2.  $p_j^i = (\mathcal{H}\mathcal{I})^i P_j \in \mathfrak{h}$  commute and  $\zeta_j^i = \mathcal{I}p_j^i \in \Omega^1$  are closed 1-forms;
3. vector fields  $h_k^i$  and  $p_j^{i_1}$  commute for all  $j = 1, \dots, m, k = 1, \dots, n$ .

If there exist  $f_k^i$  and  $g_j^i$  such that  $\xi_k^i = \delta f_k^i$  and  $\zeta_j^i = \delta g_j^i$ , then all  $h_k^i$  and  $p_j^i$  are Hamiltonian vector fields and their Hamiltonian are in involution.



Before we proceed with the proof, we first give a few lemmas. Part of Lemma 2 and Lemma 3 have been formulated and proved in abstract manner in [15] (see Proposition 2.4 and 2.8). Here we give a straightforward proof.

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $\mathcal{I}$  be a symplectic operator. For  $P \in \mathfrak{h}$  such that  $\mathcal{I}P \in \Omega^1$ , then  $\mathcal{I}P$  is closed if and only if  $L_P\mathcal{I} = 0$ .*

**Proof.** We know  $D_{\mathcal{I}P} = D_{\mathcal{I}P}^*$  if and only if for any  $Q, H \in \mathfrak{h}$ ,

$$\langle D_{\mathcal{I}P}[Q], H \rangle = \langle D_{\mathcal{I}P}^*(H), Q \rangle = \langle D_{\mathcal{I}P}[H], Q \rangle,$$

that is,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \langle D_{\mathcal{I}P}[Q], H \rangle - \langle D_{\mathcal{I}P}[H], Q \rangle \\ &= \langle D_{\mathcal{I}}[Q](P), H \rangle + \langle \mathcal{I}D_P[Q], H \rangle - \langle D_{\mathcal{I}}[H](P), Q \rangle - \langle \mathcal{I}D_P[H], Q \rangle \\ &= - \langle D_{\mathcal{I}}[P](H), Q \rangle - \langle D_P^*\mathcal{I}[H], Q \rangle - \langle \mathcal{I}D_P[H], Q \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

where we used the fact that  $\mathcal{I}$  is a symplectic operator. This leads to

$$D_{\mathcal{I}}[P] + D_P^*\mathcal{I} + \mathcal{I}D_P = 0.$$

From Definition 2, it follows that  $L_P\mathcal{I} = 0$ . ■

**Lemma 3.** *Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a Hamiltonian operator. If  $\mathcal{H}\xi = P$  for some  $\xi \in \Omega^1$  and  $D_\xi = D_\xi^*$ , then  $L_P\mathcal{H} = 0$ .*

**Proof.** We know that  $L_P\mathcal{H} = D_{\mathcal{H}}[P] - D_P\mathcal{H} - \mathcal{H}D_P^*$ . For any  $p, q \in \Omega^1$ , we compute

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle (L_P\mathcal{H})(p), q \rangle = \langle D_{\mathcal{H}}[\mathcal{H}\xi](p), q \rangle - \langle D_{\mathcal{H}\xi}[\mathcal{H}p], q \rangle - \langle \mathcal{H}D_{\mathcal{H}\xi}^*(p), q \rangle \\ &= - \langle D_{\mathcal{H}}[\mathcal{H}q](\xi), p \rangle - \langle \mathcal{H}D_\xi[\mathcal{H}p], q \rangle + \langle D_{\mathcal{H}\xi}[\mathcal{H}q], p \rangle = 0, \end{aligned}$$

where we used  $D_\xi = D_\xi^*$  and  $\mathcal{H}$  being a Hamiltonian operator. This leads to  $L_P\mathcal{H} = 0$ . ■

**Proof of Theorem 1.** The assumption that  $L_{P_j}\gamma_k = 0$  and the closedness of  $\xi$  leads to  $\delta \langle \gamma_k, P_j \rangle = 0$ . Thus the operator  $\mathfrak{R} = \mathcal{H}\mathcal{I}$  is weakly nonlocal with nonlocal terms as follows:

$$\sum_{j=1}^m \epsilon_j P_j \otimes D_x^{-1}(\mathcal{I}P_j) + \sum_{k=1}^n \tilde{\epsilon}_k(\mathcal{H}\gamma_k) \otimes D_x^{-1}\gamma_k .$$

Besides,  $\mathfrak{R}$  is Nijenhuis since  $\mathcal{H}$  and  $\mathcal{I}$  are compatible.

We first check the conditions of statement 2 in Lemma 7 in the Appendix. For the first statement in the theorem, we only need to check  $L_{P_j}\mathfrak{R} = L_{\mathcal{H}\gamma_k}\mathfrak{R} = 0$ . We know

$$L_{P_j}\mathfrak{R} = L_{P_j}(\mathcal{H}\mathcal{I}) = L_{P_j}(\mathcal{H})\mathcal{I} + \mathcal{H}L_{P_j}(\mathcal{I}) = 0.$$

Now we show  $L_{\mathcal{H}\gamma_k}\mathfrak{R} = 0$ . Since  $L_{P_j}\gamma_k = 0$ , so  $\mathcal{H}\gamma_k$  is local. Together with  $D_{\gamma_k} = D_{\gamma_k}^*$ , we have  $L_{\mathcal{H}\gamma_k}\mathcal{H} = 0$  by Lemma 3. The assumption that  $\mathcal{I}\mathcal{H}\gamma_k$ , which is local due to  $L_{\mathcal{H}\gamma_k}\gamma_i = 0$ , is closed leads to  $L_{\mathcal{H}\gamma_k}\mathcal{I} = 0$  from Lemma 2. So  $L_{\mathcal{H}\gamma_k}\mathfrak{R} = L_{\mathcal{H}\gamma_k}(\mathcal{H}\mathcal{I}) = 0$ . Thus we prove that 1-forms  $\xi_k^i = (\mathcal{I}\mathcal{H})^i\gamma_k$  are local and closed.

For the second statement in the theorem, we need to show  $L_{P_j}(\mathcal{I}P_l) = L_{\mathcal{H}\gamma_k}(\mathcal{I}P_j) = 0$  and  $\mathcal{I}\mathcal{H}\mathcal{I}P_j$  is closed.

It is clear that  $L_{P_j}(\mathcal{I}P_l) = L_{P_j}(\mathcal{I})(P_l) + \mathcal{I}L_{P_j}(P_l) = 0$  by the assumptions. We also know

$$L_{P_j}(\mathcal{H}\gamma_k) = L_{P_j}\mathcal{H}(\gamma_k) + \mathcal{H}(L_{P_j}\gamma_k) = 0. \quad (14)$$

So  $L_{\mathcal{H}\gamma_k}(\mathcal{I}P_j) = L_{\mathcal{H}\gamma_k}(\mathcal{I})(P_j) + \mathcal{I}L_{\mathcal{H}\gamma_k}(P_j) = 0$ . From Lemma 2,  $\mathcal{I}\mathcal{H}\mathcal{I}P_j$  being closed is equivalent to  $L_{\mathcal{H}\mathcal{I}P_j}\mathcal{I} = L_{\mathfrak{R}P_j}\mathcal{I} = 0$ . It follows from Lemma 2 and  $L_{P_j}\mathcal{I} = 0$  that  $\mathcal{I}P_j$  is closed. We also know  $\mathfrak{R}P_j$  is local since we have proved  $L_{P_j}(\mathcal{I}P_l) = 0$ . Thus  $L_{\mathfrak{R}P_j}\mathcal{H} = 0$  according to Lemma 3. Since  $\mathfrak{R}$  is Nijenhuis, we have  $L_{\mathfrak{R}P_j}\mathfrak{R} = \mathfrak{R}L_{P_j}\mathfrak{R} = 0$ , that is,  $0 = (L_{\mathfrak{R}P_j}\mathcal{H})\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{H}L_{\mathfrak{R}P_j}\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{H}L_{\mathfrak{R}P_j}\mathcal{I}$  implying  $L_{\mathfrak{R}P_j}\mathcal{I} = 0$ . We can now draw the conclusion that 1-forms  $\zeta_j^i = \mathcal{I}p_j^i$  are local and closed.

Next the conditions of statement 1 in Lemma 7 in Appendix are satisfied when we take into account what we have proved. Thus we can conclude for fixed  $k$  and  $j$ , vector fields  $h_k^i = \mathcal{H}\zeta_k^i$  commute and  $p_j^i = (\mathcal{H}\mathcal{I})^i P_j$  commute.

The third statement in the theorem follows from the fact  $\mathfrak{R}$  is Nijenhuis and

$$L_{P_j}P_l = L_{\mathcal{H}\gamma_k}(\mathcal{H}\gamma_s) = L_{P_j}(\mathcal{H}\gamma_k) = 0$$

for all  $j, l = 1, \dots, m$  and  $k, s = 1, \dots, n$ .

Finally, we prove  $\{f_k^i, g_j^{i_1}\} = 0$  if  $f_k^i$  and  $g_j^{i_1}$  exist. The other cases  $\{f_k^i, f_{k_1}^{i_1}\} = 0$  and  $\{g_{j_1}^i, g_j^{i_1}\} = 0$  can be proved in the same way. We have

$$\{f_k^i, g_j^{i_1}\} = \langle \xi_k^i, \mathcal{H}\zeta_j^{i_1} \rangle = \langle (\mathcal{I}\mathcal{H})^i \gamma_k, (\mathcal{H}\mathcal{I})^{i_1+1} P_j \rangle = \langle \gamma_k, \mathfrak{R}^{i_1+i+1} P_j \rangle$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \delta \langle \gamma_k, \mathfrak{R}^{s+1} P_j \rangle &= L_{\mathfrak{R}^{s+1} P_j} \gamma_k = \delta \langle \mathcal{I}\mathcal{H}\gamma_k, \mathfrak{R}^s P_j \rangle = L_{\mathfrak{R}^s P_j}(\mathcal{I}\mathcal{H}\gamma_k) \\ &= (L_{\mathfrak{R}^s P_j}(\mathcal{I}\mathcal{H}))\gamma_k + \mathcal{I}\mathcal{H}L_{\mathfrak{R}^s P_j}(\gamma_k) = \mathcal{I}\mathcal{H}L_{\mathfrak{R}^s P_j}(\gamma_k). \end{aligned}$$

By induction, we obtain  $L_{\mathfrak{R}^{s+1} P_j} \gamma_k = \delta \langle \gamma_k, \mathfrak{R}^{s+1} P_j \rangle = 0$  implying  $\{f_k^i, g_j^{i_1}\} = 0$ . By now, we complete the proof.  $\blacksquare$

This theorem gives rise to the Lenard scheme as shown in Figure 1 for fixed  $k$  and  $j$ .

In fact, such a scheme has been implicitly used for some integrable equations including the new systems in [32]. Since we have, for  $P, Q \in \mathfrak{h}$  or  $P, Q \in \Omega^1$ ,

$$P \otimes D_x^{-1}Q + Q \otimes D_x^{-1}P = \frac{1}{2}(P + Q) \otimes D_x^{-1}(P + Q) - \frac{1}{2}(P - Q) \otimes D_x^{-1}(P - Q),$$

we can easily adapt Theorem 1 in terms of  $P$  and  $Q$  instead of  $P + Q$  and  $P - Q$  since all the operations involved in the theorem such as Lie derivatives and Poisson bracket are linear.

**Example 1.** *The Sawada-Kotera equation*

$$u_t = u_{5x} + 5uu_{3x} + 5u_x u_{2x} + 5u^2 u_x \quad (15)$$




Figure 1: Lenard scheme for compatible Hamiltonian and symplectic operators when the generating Nijenhuis operator is weakly nonlocal

has a Hamiltonian operator

$$\mathcal{H} = D_x^3 + 2uD_x + 2D_xu$$

and a compatible symplectic operator [33]

$$\mathcal{I} = D_x^3 + uD_x + D_xu + (u_{xx} + \frac{u^2}{2})D_x^{-1} + D_x^{-1}(u_{xx} + \frac{u^2}{2}).$$

Starting from both 1 and  $u_{xx} + \frac{u^2}{2}$  as  $\xi_1^0$  and  $\xi_2^0$  in Figure 1, we generate the hierarchies of symmetries and cosymmetries of the Sawada-Kotera equation.

### 3.2 Case II: operator $\mathcal{H}\mathcal{I}$ is not weakly nonlocal

We start with a known example: although both the Hamiltonian and the symplectic operator are weakly nonlocal, the operator  $\mathcal{H}\mathcal{I}$  is *not* weakly nonlocal.

**Example 2.** *The two-component system*

$$\begin{cases} u_t = u_{xxx} + 9uu_xv + 3u^2v_x \\ v_t = v_{xxx} + 9uvv_x + 3v^2u_x \end{cases} \quad (16)$$

possesses a Hamiltonian operator

$$\mathcal{H} = \begin{pmatrix} -uD_x^{-1}u & D_x + uD_x^{-1}v \\ D_x + vD_x^{-1}u & -vD_x^{-1}v \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & D_x \\ D_x & 0 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} u \\ -v \end{pmatrix} D_x^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} u & -v \end{pmatrix}$$

and a symplectic operator

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I} &= \begin{pmatrix} 3vD_x^{-1}v & D_x + 2uD_x^{-1}v + 3vD_x^{-1}u \\ D_x + 2vD_x^{-1}u + 3uD_x^{-1}v & 3uD_x^{-1}u \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & D_x \\ D_x & 0 \end{pmatrix} + 3 \begin{pmatrix} v \\ u \end{pmatrix} D_x^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} v & u \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \end{pmatrix} D_x^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} u & v \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} u \\ -v \end{pmatrix} D_x^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} u & -v \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

These compatible Hamiltonian and symplectic structures of system (16) first appeared in [34], although the given symplectic operator was incorrect as stated there.

Using the notations in (12) and (13), we have

$$P_1 = \begin{pmatrix} u \\ -v \end{pmatrix}; \quad \gamma_1 = \begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \end{pmatrix}; \quad \gamma_2 = \begin{pmatrix} u \\ -v \end{pmatrix}; \quad \gamma_3 = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{3}v \\ \sqrt{3}u \end{pmatrix}. \quad (17)$$

Indeed,

$$(L_{P_1}\gamma_1, -L_{P_1}\gamma_2, L_{P_1}\gamma_3) = (\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -2 & 0 \\ 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \neq 0$$

and thus we can not present  $\mathcal{HI}$  as a weakly nonlocal operator.

**Definition 8.** We say  $Q_1 \equiv Q_2$ , where  $Q_1, Q_2 \in \mathfrak{h}$ , with respect to  $\{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n\}$ , where  $\beta_i \in \Omega^1$  if  $L_{Q_1 - Q_2}\beta_i = 0$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ .

**Lemma 4.** Let  $\gamma_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, 3$  and  $P_1$  be defined as (17) in Example 2. For any  $Q \in \mathfrak{h}$  and anti-symmetric  $3 \times 3$  constant matrix  $A$ , if  $\tilde{\epsilon}_i L_Q \gamma_i = \sum_{k=1}^3 \gamma_k A_{ki}$ , then  $Q \equiv \text{Span}_{\mathbb{C}} \langle P_1 \rangle$  with respect to  $\{\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3\}$ .

**Proof.** From  $L_Q \gamma_1 = A_{21}\gamma_2 + A_{31}\gamma_3$ , we obtain  $Q \equiv \frac{1}{2} (A_{21}u + A_{31}\sqrt{3}v, A_{31}\sqrt{3}u - A_{21}v)^{\text{tr}}$  with respect to  $\gamma_1$ . For such  $Q$ , it follows  $A_{32} = 0$  from  $-L_Q \gamma_2 = -A_{21}\gamma_1 + A_{32}\gamma_3$  and further  $A_{31} = 0$  from  $L_Q \gamma_3 = -A_{31}\gamma_1$ . Thus we have  $Q \equiv \frac{A_{21}}{2} (u, -v)^{\text{tr}}$ .  $\blacksquare$

This lemma is inspired by Theorem 8 in Appendix. The idea is to identify  $Q \in \mathfrak{h}$  such that  $\tilde{\epsilon}_k L_Q \gamma_k = \sum_{i=1}^n \gamma_i A_{ik}$  when the anti-symmetric  $n \times n$  constant matrix  $A \neq 0$  for given a set of linear independent  $\gamma_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$  over  $\mathbb{C}$ . Such an evolutionary vector field is rather strict. Therefore, we introduce the following definition.

**Definition 9.** We say  $\xi \in \Omega^1$  is proper for the operators  $\mathcal{H}$  and  $\mathcal{I}$  if for all  $1 \leq l \in \mathbb{N}$ , vectors  $(\mathcal{HI})^l \mathcal{H}\xi$  have no intersection with  $\text{Span}_{\mathbb{C}} \langle P_1, \dots, P_n \rangle$ .

**Theorem 2.** Let Hamiltonian and symplectic operators,  $\mathcal{H}$  and  $\mathcal{I}$  with nonlocal terms being (12) and (13), be compatible. Assume that

1.  $\mathcal{H}$  is non-degenerate;
2.  $\gamma_k$  are linear independent over  $\mathbb{C}$  for  $k = 1, \dots, n$ ;
3.  $L_{P_j} \mathcal{I} = L_{P_j} \mathcal{H} = 0$  for  $j = 1, \dots, m$ ;
4. For an anti-symmetric  $n \times n$  constant matrix  $A$  and  $Q \in \mathfrak{h}$ , if  $\tilde{\epsilon}_k L_Q \gamma_k = \sum_{i=1}^n \gamma_i A_{ik}$ , then  $Q \equiv \text{Span}_{\mathbb{C}} \langle P_1, \dots, P_n \rangle$  with respect to  $\{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n\}$ .

Then for a proper closed 1-form  $\xi^0$  satisfying  $L_{P_j} \xi^0 = L_{\mathcal{H}\xi^0} \gamma_k = L_{\mathcal{H}\xi^0} \xi^0 = 0$  such that  $\mathcal{IH}\xi^0$  is closed, all  $\xi^i = (\mathcal{IH})^i \xi^0 \in \Omega^1$  are closed 1-forms and  $h^i = \mathcal{H}\xi^i \in \mathfrak{h}$  commute for  $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ . If, moreover,  $\xi^i = \delta f^i$ , then all  $h^i$  are Hamiltonian vector fields and their Hamiltonians are in involution.

**Proof.** Since  $\mathcal{H}$  and  $\mathcal{I}$  are compatible, we have that  $\mathfrak{R} = \mathcal{H}\mathcal{I}$  is Nijenhuis. From the definition of  $h^0$  and Lemma 2 and 3 this leads to  $L_{h^0}\mathcal{H} = L_{h^0}\mathcal{I} = 0$  and thus  $L_{h^0}\mathfrak{R} = 0$ . Therefore, under the assumptions, if  $h^i \in \mathfrak{h}$  and  $\xi^i \in \Omega^1$ , i.e., local, then the  $h^i$  commute,  $L_{h^i}\mathfrak{R} = 0$  and the  $\xi^i$  are closed. Thus we only need to show that  $h^i$  and  $\xi^i$  are local.

Assume that  $h^{l-1}$  and  $\xi^l$  are local and  $L_{P_j}\xi^l = 0$  for  $l \geq 1$ . We show that  $h^l$  and  $\xi^{l+1}$  are local and  $L_{P_j}\xi^{l+1} = 0$  by induction. It follows from Lemma 1 that  $\delta \langle P_j, \xi^l \rangle = 0$ . Thus we have  $h^l = \mathcal{H}\xi^l \in \mathfrak{h}$ . Moreover,  $L_{h^l}\mathcal{H} = 0$  from Lemma 3. Since

$$0 = L_{h^l}\mathfrak{R} = (L_{h^l}\mathcal{H})\mathcal{I} + \mathcal{H}L_{h^l}\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{H}L_{h^l}\mathcal{I},$$

this leads to  $L_{h^l}\mathcal{I} = 0$  due to the non-degeneracy of  $\mathcal{H}$ . This implies that

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \tilde{\epsilon}_k (L_{h^l}\gamma_k \otimes D_x^{-1}\gamma_k + \gamma_k \otimes D_x^{-1}L_{h^l}\gamma_k) = 0. \quad (18)$$

It follows from Theorem 8 in Appendix that  $\tilde{\epsilon}_i L_{h^l}\gamma_i = \sum_{k=1}^n \gamma_k A_{ki}$ , where the  $A_{ki}$  are constant and  $A_{ki} = -A_{ik}$ . From assumption 4, if the matrix  $A \neq 0$ , then  $h^l$  contains the vector in  $\text{Span}_{\mathbb{C}} \langle P_1, \dots, P_n \rangle$ , which cannot be true since  $\xi^0$  is proper with respect to operator  $\mathcal{H}$  and  $\mathcal{I}$ . Therefore, the matrix  $A$  must be zero and this implies  $L_{h^l}\gamma_k = 0$ . By Lemma 1, we have  $\delta \langle h^l, \gamma_k \rangle = 0$  and thus  $\xi^{l+1} = \mathcal{I}h^l \in \Omega^1$ . Using the Leibnitz rule for the Lie derivative and the assumption  $L_{P_j}\mathcal{I} = L_{P_j}\mathcal{H} = 0$ , we obtain  $L_{P_j}\xi^{l+1} = L_{P_j}(\mathcal{I}\mathcal{H}\xi^l) = 0$ .

Finally, we prove that  $\{f^i, f^{i_1}\} = 0$  if  $\xi^i = \delta f^i$  in the same way as we did for Theorem 1. We have  $\{f^i, f^{i_1}\} = \langle \xi^i, \mathcal{H}\xi^{i_1} \rangle = \langle (\mathcal{I}\mathcal{H})^i \xi^0, \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{I}\mathcal{H})^{i_1} \xi^0 \rangle = \langle \xi^0, \mathfrak{R}^{i+i_1} h^0 \rangle$  and

$$\begin{aligned} \delta \langle \xi^0, \mathfrak{R}^{i+i_1} h^0 \rangle &= L_{\mathfrak{R}^{i+i_1} h^0} \xi^0 = \delta \langle \mathcal{I}\mathcal{H}\xi^0, \mathfrak{R}^{i+i_1-1} h^0 \rangle = L_{\mathfrak{R}^{i+i_1-1} h^0} (\mathcal{I}\mathcal{H}\xi^0) \\ &= (L_{\mathfrak{R}^{i+i_1-1} h^0} (\mathcal{I}\mathcal{H})) \xi^0 + \mathcal{I}\mathcal{H} L_{\mathfrak{R}^{i+i_1-1} h^0} (\xi^0) = \mathcal{I}\mathcal{H} L_{\mathfrak{R}^{i+i_1-1} h^0} (\xi^0). \end{aligned}$$

By induction, we obtain  $L_{\mathfrak{R}^{i+i_1} h^0} \xi^0 = \delta \langle \xi^0, \mathfrak{R}^{i+i_1} h^0 \rangle = 0$  implying  $\{f^i, f^{i_1}\} = 0$  and this completes the proof.  $\blacksquare$

This theorem gives rise to the Lenard scheme as shown in Figure 2.




Figure 2: Lenard scheme for compatible Hamiltonian and symplectic operators when the generating Nijenhuis operator is not weakly nonlocal

Before we apply it to concrete examples, we make a few remarks.

**Remark 1.** *The assumption 4 was inspired by Lemma 4. From the proof of the theorem, the purpose of Definition 9 and this assumption is to enable us to draw the conclusion  $L_h^{l+1}\xi_k = 0$  from identity (18). For concrete examples, it is possible we do not require such strong assumptions. If  $\gamma_k$  is polynomial and we restrict  $Q$  to be polynomial, we only need to check that  $h^l$  does not contain linear terms in dependent variables since only such vector fields preserve the order and the degree of  $\gamma_k$ .*

**Remark 2.** *In application to nonlinear evolution equations, the  $\gamma_k$  in Theorem 2 are good candidates for  $\xi^0$ . If one of them, say  $\gamma_n$  without loss of generality, is indeed the starting point, we only need to check the assumptions 2 and 4 in Theorem 2 for  $\gamma_k$ ,  $k = 1, \dots, n-1$ .*

**Proposition 2.** *Starting from  $\xi^0 = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{3}\gamma_3$  the hierarchy of commuting local symmetries and conservation laws for system (16) (cf. Definition 10) can be generated using the Lenard scheme as shown in Figure 2.*

**Proof.** To prove the statement, we check the conditions in Theorem 2. The operator  $\mathcal{H}$  is non-degenerate since the determinant of the coefficient matrix of  $D_x$  is non-zero. Following Remark 2, we only consider  $\gamma_1$  and  $\gamma_2$ , which are obviously linear independent over  $\mathbb{C}$ . Secondly, by direct calculation we have  $L_{P_1}\mathcal{H} = L_{P_1}\mathcal{I} = 0$ . Finally, as we remarked in Remark 1 we only need to check for all  $l$  that the vectors  $h^l$  do not contain any terms linear in  $u$  and  $v$ , which is true for the given operators and  $\xi^0$  (although assumption 4 has been proved in Lemma 4).

Now we check the conditions on  $\xi^0$ . Notice that  $\xi^0$  is closed and  $L_{P_1}\xi^0 = 0$ . Moreover,

$$\mathcal{H}\xi^0 = \begin{pmatrix} u_x \\ v_x \end{pmatrix}; \quad \mathcal{I}\mathcal{H}\xi^0 = \begin{pmatrix} v_{xx} + 4uv^2 \\ u_{xx} + 4u^2v \end{pmatrix} = \delta \int (-u_x v_x + 2u^2 v^2).$$

So all the conditions of Theorem 2 are satisfied and thus we proved the statement.  $\blacksquare$

**Example 3.** *Consider the vector modified KdV equation [35]*

$$V_t = V_{xxx} + 3 \langle V, V \rangle V_x + 3 \langle V, V_x \rangle V, \quad (19)$$

where the dimension of vector  $V$  is  $N$ . Let  $J_{ij}$  and  $S_{ij}$  be  $N \times N$  matrices. We represent its Hamiltonian operator and symplectic operator [36] as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H} &= D_x + \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} (J_{ij}V) \otimes D_x^{-1}(J_{ij}V); & (J_{ij})_{kl} &= \delta_i^k \delta_j^l - \delta_i^l \delta_j^k \\ \mathcal{I} &= D_x + 2V \otimes D_x^{-1}V + \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} \frac{1}{1 + \delta_i^j} (S_{ij}V) \otimes D_x^{-1}(S_{ij}V); & (S_{ij})_{kl} &= \delta_i^k \delta_j^l + \delta_i^l \delta_j^k. \end{aligned}$$

**Proposition 3.** *Starting from  $\xi^0 = V$  the hierarchy of commuting local symmetries and conservation laws for equation (19) can be generated using the Lenard scheme as shown in Figure 2.*

We first check assumption 3 of Theorem 2 in the following lemma.

**Lemma 5.** *The Lie derivatives of  $\mathcal{H}$  and  $\mathcal{I}$  as defined in Example 3 along the vector  $J_{ij}V$  vanish, that is,  $L_{J_{ij}V}\mathcal{H} = L_{J_{ij}V}\mathcal{I} = 0$ .*

**Proof.** According to Definition 10, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
L_{J_{ij}V}\mathcal{H} &= D_{\mathcal{H}}[J_{ij}V] - J_{ij}\mathcal{H} + \mathcal{H}J_{ij} \\
&= \sum_{1 \leq k < l \leq N} \{([J_{kl}, J_{ij}]V) \otimes D_x^{-1}(J_{kl}V) + (J_{kl}V) \otimes D_x^{-1}([J_{kl}, J_{ij}]V)\}; \\
L_{J_{ij}V}\mathcal{I} &= D_{\mathcal{I}}[J_{ij}V] - J_{ij}\mathcal{I} + \mathcal{I}J_{ij} \\
&= \sum_{1 \leq k < l \leq N} \{([S_{kl}, J_{ij}]V) \otimes D_x^{-1}(J_{kl}V) + (J_{kl}V) \otimes D_x^{-1}([S_{kl}, J_{ij}]V)\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Using the fact that

$$\begin{aligned}
[J_{kl}, J_{ij}] &= J_{kj}\delta_l^i + J_{ik}\delta_l^j + J_{jl}\delta_k^i + J_{li}\delta_k^j; \\
[S_{kl}, J_{ij}] &= S_{kj}\delta_l^i - S_{ik}\delta_l^j + S_{jl}\delta_k^i - S_{li}\delta_k^j;
\end{aligned}$$

we can prove that  $L_{J_{ij}V}\mathcal{H} = L_{J_{ij}V}\mathcal{I} = 0$ . ■

**Proof of Proposition 3.** We check the conditions in Theorem 2 one by one. First the operator  $\mathcal{H}$  is non-degenerate since the determinant of the coefficient matrix of  $D_x$  is non-zero. For assumption 2, following Remark 2, we only consider  $S_{ij}V$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq j \leq N$ , which are linear independent over  $\mathbb{C}$ . Assumption 3 is proved in Lemma 5. Finally, according to Remark 1, instead of checking assumption 4, we only need to check for all  $l$  that the vectors  $h^l$  do not contain any terms linear in  $u$  and  $v$ , which is true for the given operators and  $\xi^0$ .

Now we check the conditions on  $\xi^0$ . Notice that  $\xi^0$  is closed and  $L_{J_{ij}V}\xi^0 = 0$ . Moreover,

$$\mathcal{H}\xi^0 = V_x; \quad \mathcal{I}\mathcal{H}\xi^0 = V_{xx} + 2 \langle V, V \rangle V = \delta \int -\frac{1}{2}(\langle V_x, V_x \rangle - \langle V, V \rangle^2).$$

So following the proof of Theorem 2 we obtain the results in the statement. ■

The following lemma show how to check assumption 4 for Example 3 although it is not necessary since the objects we considered are differential polynomials.

**Lemma 6.** *Let  $J_{ij}V$  and  $S_{ij}V$  be defined as (3) in Example 2. For any  $Q \in \mathfrak{h}$  and anti-symmetric constant matrix  $A$ , if*

$$\frac{1}{1+\delta_i^j}L_Q(S_{ij}V) = \sum_{1 \leq k < l \leq N} A_{klij}(S_{kl}V), \quad (20)$$

where  $A_{klij} = -A_{ijkl}$ ,  $A_{klij} = A_{lkij}$  and  $A_{klij} = A_{klji}$ , then  $Q \equiv \text{Span}_{\mathbb{C}}\langle J_{ij}, 1 \leq i < j \leq N \rangle$  with respect to  $\{S_{ij}, 1 \leq i \leq j \leq N\}$ .

**Proof.** When  $i = j$ , we know  $\frac{1}{2}L_Q(S_{ii}V) = \sum_{1 \leq k < l \leq N} A_{klii}(S_{kl}V)$ . From Definition 2, it follows that the  $k$ -th component of  $Q$  is equivalent to  $\sum_{j=1}^N A_{kjkk}V^{(j)}$ , where  $A_{kjkk} = A_{jkkk}$  and  $V^{(j)}$  is the  $j$ -th component of the vector  $V$ . For such  $Q$  and  $i < j$ , we compute

$$L_Q(S_{ij}V) = \sum_{l=1}^N (A_{ilii}S_{lj}V + A_{jljj}S_{li}V).$$

Comparing to the coefficient of  $S_{ii}V$  in (20), we obtain that  $A_{iiij} = A_{jijj} = -A_{jjij}$ . This leads to  $Q \equiv \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} A_{ijii}J_{ij}V$  with respect to  $\{S_{ij}, 1 \leq i \leq j \leq N\}$ . ■

## 4 Construction of recursion operators

In this section, we construct a recursion operator of system (1) from its Lax representation. In general, it is not easy to construct a recursion operator for a given integrable equation although the explicit formula is given, cf. Definition 10. The difficulty lies in how to determine the starting terms of  $\mathfrak{R}$ , i.e., the order of the operator, and how to construct its nonlocal terms. Many papers are devoted to this subject, see [37, 38, 30]. If the Lax representation of the equation is known, there is an amazingly simple approach to construct a recursion operator proposed in [17]. The idea in [17] can be developed for the Lax pairs that are invariant under the reduction groups. The general setting up and results will be published later. Here we only treat system (1).

### 4.1 Integrability of evolution equations

Before we proceed, we first give the basic definitions for symmetries, cosymmetries and recursion operators, etc. for evolution equations [15, 28] in the context of the variational complex described in Section 2. Meanwhile we fix the notation.

To each element  $K \in \mathfrak{h}$ , we can associate an evolution equation of the form

$$u_t = K. \quad (21)$$

Strictly speaking, this association is not as innocent as it looks, since one associates to the evolution equation the derivation

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} D_x^k K \frac{\partial}{\partial u_k}.$$

As long as objects concerned are time-independent as in this paper, one does not see the difference, but in the time dependent case one really has to treat the equation and its symmetries as living in different spaces, cf. [27] for details.

**Definition 10.** *Given an evolution equation (21), when Lie derivatives of the following vanish along  $K \in \mathfrak{h}$  we call:  $\int g \in \mathcal{A}$  a conserved density;  $h \in \mathfrak{h}$  a symmetry;  $\xi \in \Omega^1$  a cosymmetry;  $\mathfrak{R} : \mathfrak{h} \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}$  a recursion operator; a Hamiltonian operator  $\mathcal{H} : \Omega^1 \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}$  a Hamiltonian operator for the equation; a symplectic operator  $\mathcal{I} : \mathfrak{h} \rightarrow \Omega^1$  a symplectic operator for the equation.*

Here we use the standard definition of a recursion operator in the literature. We refer the reader to [39] for a discussion of the problems with this definition when symmetries are time-dependent.

From the above definitions, we can show that if  $\int f$  is a conserved density of the equation, then  $\delta(\int f)$  is its cosymmetry. Moreover, if  $\mathcal{H}$  is a Hamiltonian operator and  $\mathcal{I}$  is a symplectic operator of a given equation, then  $\mathcal{H}\mathcal{I}$  is a recursion operator. Operator  $\mathcal{H}$  maps cosymmetries to symmetries while  $\mathcal{I}$  maps symmetries to cosymmetries.



We say that the evolution equation (21) is a Hamiltonian system if for a (pseudo-differential) Hamiltonian operator  $\mathcal{H}$ , there exists a functional  $\int f$ , called the Hamiltonian, such that  $\mathcal{H} \delta(\int f)$  is a symmetry of the equation. Additionally, if for a (pseudo-differential) symplectic operator  $\mathcal{I}$ , which is compatible with  $\mathcal{H}$ , there exists a functional  $\int g$  such that

$$\mathcal{I}u_t = \mathcal{I}K = \delta(\int g),$$

we say that the evolutionary equation is a (generalised) bi-Hamiltonian system.

The Sawada-Kotera equation (15) is a bi-Hamiltonian system since we have

$$u_t = \mathcal{H} \delta \left( -\frac{u_x^2}{2} + \frac{u^3}{6} \right)$$

and

$$\mathcal{I}u_t = \delta \int \left( \frac{1}{2}u_{4x}^2 - \frac{7}{2}uu_{3x}^2 + \frac{8}{3}u_{2x}^3 + 8u^2u_{2x}^2 - \frac{17}{6}u_x^4 - \frac{25}{3}u^3u_x^2 + \frac{2}{9}u^6 \right).$$

## 4.2 Construction of a recursion operator

Consider a matrix operator of the form

$$L(\lambda) = D_x + \lambda^{-1}V\Delta - \lambda\Delta^{-1}V, \quad (22)$$

where  $\lambda$  is the spectral parameter and  $\Delta$  is a  $3 \times 3$  matrix satisfying

$$\Delta = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad V = \begin{pmatrix} v_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & v_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & v_3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} e^{\phi_1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{\phi_2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & e^{\phi_3} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Here  $\Delta$  acts as a shift operator. Clearly we have  $\Delta^3 = I$  and  $\Delta^T = \Delta^{-1} = \Delta^2$ .

**Notation 1.** From now on, we often write  $v_i = e^{\phi_i}$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, 3$ , where  $\sum_{i=1}^3 \phi_i = 0$ .

The operator  $L(\lambda)$  is invariant under the following two transformations

$$s : L(\lambda) \mapsto S^{-1}L(\sigma\lambda)S \quad \text{and} \quad r : L(\lambda) \mapsto -L^*\left(\frac{1}{\lambda}\right),$$

where  $S$  is a diagonal  $3 \times 3$  matrix given by  $S_{ii} = \sigma^i$  and  $\sigma = e^{2\pi i/3}$ . These two transformations satisfy

$$s^2 = r^2 = id, \quad rsr = s^{-1}$$

and therefore generate the dihedral group  $\mathbb{D}_3$ . The reduction groups of Lax pairs have been studied in [19, 20, 21].

Assume that  $\sum_{j=1}^3 \phi_j = 0$ . Consider the zero curvature equation

$$L(\lambda)_t = [L(\lambda), A(\lambda)], \quad (23)$$

where  $A(\lambda) = \lambda^{-1}\mathbf{b}V\Delta - \lambda\Delta^{-1}V\mathbf{b} + 3\lambda^{-2}(V\Delta)^2 - 3\lambda^2(\Delta^{-1}V)^2$  and  $\mathbf{b}$  is a diagonal  $3 \times 3$  matrix with entries  $\mathbf{b}_{ii} = \phi_{i+1,x} - \phi_{i+2,x}$  under the convention  $\phi_i = \phi_{i \bmod 3}$  for  $i > 3$ . It gives us a 3-component system

$$\phi_{i,t} = \phi_{i+1,xx} - \phi_{i+2,xx} + (\phi_{i+1,x} - \phi_{i+2,x})\phi_{i,x} + 3e^{2\phi_{i+1}} - 3e^{2\phi_{i+2}}, \quad i = 1, 2, 3. \quad (24)$$

Substituting the constraint  $\sum_{j=1}^3 \phi_j = 0$  into (24), we obtain system (1).

Notice that system (24) is homogeneous if we assign the weights of  $v_i = e^{\phi_i}$  as 1 and the weights of  $\phi_i$  as zero. We can also consider system (1) to be homogeneous under the same weights since we derive it from homogeneous system (24). This homogeneity enables us directly apply the results in [30].

The operator  $A(\lambda)$  in (23) is also invariant under the transformations  $s$  and  $r$ . In the commutator of the operators  $L(\lambda)$  and  $A(\lambda)$ , the coefficients of positive powers of  $\lambda$  are transposes of the negative powers and thus give no extra information. The constant term, i.e., the coefficient of  $\lambda^0$ , is trivially satisfied. This is true in general.

For given  $L(\lambda)$ , we can build up a hierarchy of nonlinear systems by choosing different operators  $A(\lambda)$  starting with  $\lambda^{-n}(V\Delta)^n$ . It is easy to check  $(V\Delta)^3 = I$  when  $\sum_{j=1}^3 \phi_j = 0$ . This implies that system (1) has no symmetries of order  $3n$ .

The idea to construct a recursion operator directly from a Lax representation is to relate the different operators  $A(\lambda)$  using ansatz  $\bar{A}(\lambda) = \mathcal{P}A(\lambda) + R$  and then to find the relation between two flows corresponding to  $\bar{A}(\lambda)$  and  $A(\lambda)$ . Here  $\mathcal{P}$  commutes with  $L(\lambda)$  and  $R$  is the reminder [17].

Since the operator  $L(\lambda)$  given by (22) is invariant, we require that the ansatz  $\mathcal{P}A(\lambda) + R$  is also invariant. We take  $\mathcal{P} = \lambda^3 + \lambda^{-3}$ , which is a primitive automorphic function of the group  $\mathbb{D}_3$  and  $R$  is of the form

$$R = \sum_{j=1}^3 (\lambda^{-j}d_j(V\Delta)^j - \lambda^j(\Delta^{-1}V)^j d_j) \quad \text{where} \quad d_j = \begin{pmatrix} d_{j,1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & d_{j,2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & d_{j,3} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (25)$$

This leads to

$$L(\lambda)_\tau = [\mathcal{P}A(\lambda) + R, L(\lambda)] = \mathcal{P}L(\lambda)_t + [R, L(\lambda)]. \quad (26)$$

Substituting the ansatz (25) into (26) and collecting the coefficient of negative powers of  $\lambda$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda^{-4} : & \quad V_t\Delta - V\Delta d_3 + d_3V\Delta = 0; \\ \lambda^{-3} : & \quad d_2 - D_x d_3 - V\Delta d_2(V\Delta)^2 = 0; \\ \lambda^{-2} : & \quad -\Delta^{-1}V_t - D_x(d_2(V\Delta)^2) - V\Delta d_1(V\Delta) + \Delta^{-1}Vd_3 + d_1(V\Delta)^2 - d_3\Delta^{-1}V = 0; \\ \lambda^{-1} : & \quad V_\tau\Delta = -D_x(d_1V\Delta) + \Delta^{-1}Vd_2(V\Delta)^2 - d_2(V\Delta)^2\Delta^{-1}V. \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

We introduce the notation

$$\phi = \begin{pmatrix} \phi_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \phi_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \phi_3 \end{pmatrix}$$

and define  $\Delta^i \phi = \phi^{(i)} \Delta$ , where  $i = 1, 2$ . Using this notation, we have  $V_t = V \phi_t$  and  $D_x(V \Delta)^j = \sum_{i=0}^{j-1} \phi_x^{(i)} (V \Delta)^j$ . Now formula (27) can be simplified as follows

$$\phi_t - d_3^{(1)} + d_3 = 0; \quad (28)$$

$$D_x d_3 + d_2^{(1)} - d_2 = 0; \quad (29)$$

$$-2\Delta^{-1} \phi_t V^2 \Delta - D_x(d_2) - d_2 \phi_x - d_2 \phi_x^{(1)} - d_1^{(1)} + d_1 = 0; \quad (30)$$

$$\phi_\tau = -D_x(d_1) - d_1 \phi_x + \Delta^{-1} d_2 V^2 \Delta - d_2 V^2(1). \quad (31)$$

Under the assumption  $\sum_{j=1}^3 \phi_j = 0$ , we can solve system (28). The unique solution for traceless matrix  $d_3$  is

$$d_3 = -\frac{1}{3}(2\phi_t + \phi_t^{(1)}) = \frac{1}{3}(\phi_t^{(2)} - \phi_t).$$

Since  $d_3$  is traceless, system (29) is consistent. Its general solution is

$$d_2 = \frac{1}{3}(2D_x d_3 + D_x d_3^{(1)}) + c_2 I = \frac{1}{3} \phi_{xt}^{(2)} + c_2 I,$$

where  $c_2$  is a constant. In order to solve system (30), the trace of its both sides should be equal, that is,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \text{Tr}(-2\Delta^{-1} \phi_t V^2 \Delta - D_x(d_2) - d_2 \phi_x - d_2 \phi_x^{(1)}) \\ &= -D_t(e^{2\phi_1} + e^{2\phi_2} + e^{2\phi_3}) - 3D_x c_2 + \frac{1}{6} D_t(\phi_{1,x}^2 + \phi_{2,x}^2 + \phi_{3,x}^2), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\text{Tr}$  denotes the trace of a matrix. So we can take

$$c_2 = -\frac{1}{3} \sum_{j=1}^3 D_x^{-1}(v_j^2 - \frac{1}{6} \phi_{j,x}^2)_t.$$

We now substitute  $d_2$  into (30). It follows

$$-2\phi_t^{(2)} V^2(2) - \frac{1}{3} \phi_{xxt}^{(2)} - (D_x c_2) I + \frac{1}{3} \phi_x^{(2)} \phi_{xt}^{(2)} + c_2 \phi_x^{(2)} - d_1^{(1)} + d_1 = 0.$$

Solving for  $d_1$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} d_1 &= \frac{1}{3} \left( 2\phi_t^{(2)} V^2(2) + \frac{1}{3} \phi_{xxt}^{(2)} - \frac{1}{3} \phi_x^{(2)} \phi_{xt}^{(2)} - c_2 \phi_x^{(2)} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - 2\phi_t^{(1)} V^2(1) - \frac{1}{3} \phi_{xxt}^{(1)} + \frac{1}{3} \phi_x^{(1)} \phi_{xt}^{(1)} + c_2 \phi_x^{(1)} \right) + c_1 I, \end{aligned}$$

where  $c_1$  is a constant. Again due to the consistence of system (31),  $c_1$  satisfies

$$0 = -3D_x c_1 - \text{Tr}(d_1 \phi_x - d_2^{(2)} V^2(2) + d_2 V^2(1)).$$

Using the solutions of  $d_2$  and  $d_1$ , we can write it as

$$3D_x c_1 = \frac{1}{9} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 & \alpha_2 & \alpha_3 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \phi_{1,t} \\ \phi_{2,t} \\ \phi_{3,t} \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $\alpha_i = (\phi_{i+2,x} - \phi_{i+1,x})(D_x^2 - \phi_{i,x}D_x + 6v_i^2) + 3(v_{i+1}^2 - v_{i+2}^2)D_x$ . Now we have determined  $d_2$  and  $d_1$ . Substituting them into (31), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
3\phi_{i,\tau} &= D_x \left( -2\phi_{i+2,t}v_{i+2}^2 - \frac{1}{3}\phi_{i+2,xtt} + \frac{1}{3}\phi_{i+2,x}\phi_{i+2,xt} - c_2\phi_{i+2,x} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + 2\phi_{i+1,t}v_{i+1}^2 + \frac{1}{3}\phi_{i+1,xtt} - \frac{1}{3}\phi_{i+1,x}\phi_{i+1,xt} + c_2\phi_{i+1,x} + 3c_1 \right) \\
&\quad + \phi_{i,x} \left( -2\phi_{i+2,t}v_{i+2}^2 - \frac{1}{3}\phi_{i+2,xtt} + \frac{1}{3}\phi_{i+2,x}\phi_{i+2,xt} - c_2\phi_{i+2,x} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + 2\phi_{i+1,t}v_{i+1}^2 + \frac{1}{3}\phi_{i+1,xtt} - \frac{1}{3}\phi_{i+1,x}\phi_{i+1,xt} + c_2\phi_{i+1,x} + 3c_1 \right) \\
&\quad + (\phi_{i+1,xt}v_{i+2}^2 - \phi_{i+2,xt}v_{i+1}^2) + 3c_2(v_{i+1}^2 - v_{i+2}^2) \\
&= D_x \left( -2\phi_{i+2,t}v_{i+2}^2 - \frac{1}{3}\phi_{i+2,xtt} + \frac{1}{3}\phi_{i+2,x}\phi_{i+2,xt} + 2\phi_{i+1,t}v_{i+1}^2 + \frac{1}{3}\phi_{i+1,xtt} - \frac{1}{3}\phi_{i+1,x}\phi_{i+1,xt} \right) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{3} \sum_{j=1}^3 (2v_j^2\phi_{j,t} - \frac{1}{3}\phi_{j,x}\phi_{j,xt})(\phi_{i+1,x} - \phi_{i+2,x}) + (\phi_{i+1,xt}v_{i+2}^2 - \phi_{i+2,xt}v_{i+1}^2) \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{9} \sum_{j=1}^3 ((\phi_{j+2,x} - \phi_{j+1,x})(6v_j^2\phi_{j,t} + \phi_{j,xtt} - \phi_{j,x}\phi_{j,xt}) + 3(v_{j+1}^2 - v_{j+2}^2)\phi_{j,t}) \\
&\quad + \phi_{i,x} \left( -2\phi_{i+2,t}v_{i+2}^2 - \frac{1}{3}\phi_{i+2,xtt} + \frac{1}{3}\phi_{i+2,x}\phi_{i+2,xt} + 2\phi_{i+1,t}v_{i+1}^2 + \frac{1}{3}\phi_{i+1,xtt} - \frac{1}{3}\phi_{i+1,x}\phi_{i+1,xt} \right) \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{9} (3(v_{i+1}^2 - v_{i+2}^2) + (\phi_{i+1,xx} - \phi_{i+2,xx}) + \phi_{i,x}(\phi_{i+1,x} - \phi_{i+2,x})) \sum_{j=1}^3 \phi_{j,x}\phi_{j,t} \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{9}\phi_{i,x} \sum_{j=1}^3 ((\phi_{j+2,x} - \phi_{j+1,x})(\phi_{j,xt} - \phi_{j,x}\phi_{j,t}) + 3(v_{j+1}^2 - v_{j+2}^2)\phi_{j,t} - (\phi_{j+2,xx} - \phi_{j+1,xx})\phi_{j,t}) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{3} (3(v_{i+1}^2 - v_{i+2}^2) + (\phi_{i+1,xx} - \phi_{i+2,xx}) + \phi_{i,x}(\phi_{i+1,x} - \phi_{i+2,x})) D_x^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^3 (2v_j^2 + \frac{1}{3}\phi_{j,xx})\phi_{j,t} \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{9}\phi_{i,x} D_x^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^3 ((\phi_{j+2,x} - \phi_{j+1,x})(6v_j^2 + \phi_{j,xx}) + (\phi_{j+2,xxx} - \phi_{j+1,xxx}) \\
&\quad \quad + \phi_{j,x}(\phi_{j+2,xx} - \phi_{j+1,xx}) - 6(v_{j+1}^2\phi_{j+1,x} - v_{j+2}^2\phi_{j+2,x})) \phi_{j,t} .
\end{aligned}$$

We substitute  $\phi_3 = -\phi_1 - \phi_2$  into the above expression. This leads to a recursion operator  $\mathfrak{R}$  of system (1) mapping the flow  $(\phi_{1,t}, \phi_{2,t})^T$  to the flow  $(\phi_{1,\tau}, \phi_{2,\tau})^T$ , that is,

$$\begin{pmatrix} \phi_{1,\tau} \\ \phi_{2,\tau} \end{pmatrix} = \mathfrak{R} \begin{pmatrix} \phi_{1,t} \\ \phi_{2,t} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathfrak{R}_{11} & \mathfrak{R}_{12} \\ \mathfrak{R}_{21} & \mathfrak{R}_{22} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \phi_{1,t} \\ \phi_{2,t} \end{pmatrix}.$$

**Theorem 3.** *System (1) possesses a recursion operator of order 3 with entries*

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{R}_{11}(\phi_1, \phi_2) &= \frac{1}{3}D_x^3 + \frac{2}{3}(\phi_{1,x} + \phi_{2,x})D_x^2 \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{9}(3\phi_{1,xx} - \phi_{1,x}\phi_{2,x} + 3\phi_{2,xx} - \phi_{1,x}^2 - \phi_{2,x}^2 + 21v_3^2 + 3v_2^2 + 3v_1^2)D_x \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{9}(7\phi_{1,x}\phi_{2,xx} + \phi_{1,xx}\phi_{2,x} + 2\phi_{1,x}\phi_{1,xx} + 2\phi_{2,x}\phi_{2,xx} + 4\phi_{1,x}^3 + 7\phi_{1,x}\phi_{2,x} + \phi_{1,x}\phi_{2,x}^2) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{3}(5v_1^2 - 4v_2^2 - 7v_3^2)\phi_{1,x} + \frac{1}{3}(8v_1^2 - v_2^2 - 13v_3^2)\phi_{2,x} \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{9}\phi_{1,x}D_x^{-1}s_{2,\phi_1} - \frac{1}{9}\phi_{1,t}D_x^{-1}s_{1,\phi_1}; \\
\mathfrak{R}_{12}(\phi_1, \phi_2) &= \frac{2}{3}D_x^3 + \frac{2}{3}\phi_{1,x}D_x^2 + \frac{1}{9}(3\phi_{1,xx} - 2\phi_{1,x}^2 - 2\phi_{1,x}\phi_{2,x} - 2\phi_{2,x}^2 + 6v_1^2 + 24v_2^2 + 24v_3^2)D_x \\
&\quad + \frac{2}{9}(\phi_{1,x}\phi_{1,xx} - \phi_{1,x}\phi_{2,xx} - \phi_{2,x}\phi_{1,xx} - 2\phi_{2,x}\phi_{2,xx} - \phi_{1,x}^3 - \phi_{1,x}\phi_{2,x} - \phi_{1,x}\phi_{2,x}^2) \\
&\quad + \frac{2}{3}(v_1^2 + v_2^2 - 5v_3^2)\phi_{1,x} + 4(v_2^2 - v_3^2)\phi_{2,x} - \frac{1}{9}\phi_{1,x}D_x^{-1}s_{2,\phi_2} - \frac{1}{9}\phi_{1,t}D_x^{-1}s_{1,\phi_2};
\end{aligned}$$

and  $\mathfrak{R}_{21}(\phi_1, \phi_2) = -\mathfrak{R}_{12}(\phi_2, \phi_1)$ ;  $\mathfrak{R}_{22}(\phi_1, \phi_2) = -\mathfrak{R}_{11}(\phi_2, \phi_1)$ . Here

$$\begin{cases} s_{1,\phi_1} = -2\phi_{1,xx} - \phi_{2,xx} - 6e^{2\phi_1} + 6e^{-2\phi_1-2\phi_2} \\ s_{1,\phi_2} = -\phi_{1,xx} - 2\phi_{2,xx} - 6e^{2\phi_2} + 6e^{-2\phi_1-2\phi_2} \end{cases} \quad (32)$$

$$\begin{cases} s_{2,\phi_1} = -3\phi_{2,xxx} - 4\phi_{1,x}\phi_{1,xx} + 2\phi_{2,x}\phi_{2,xx} - 2\phi_{1,xx}\phi_{2,x} - 2\phi_{1,x}\phi_{2,xx} \\ \quad - 12e^{2\phi_1}\phi_{2,x} - 12e^{2\phi_2}\phi_{2,x} - 12e^{-2\phi_1-2\phi_2}\phi_{2,x} \\ s_{2,\phi_2} = 3\phi_{1,xxx} - 2\phi_{1,x}\phi_{1,xx} + 4\phi_{2,x}\phi_{2,xx} + 2\phi_{1,xx}\phi_{2,x} + 2\phi_{1,x}\phi_{2,xx} \\ \quad + 12e^{2\phi_1}\phi_{1,x} + 12e^{2\phi_2}\phi_{1,x} + 12e^{-2\phi_1-2\phi_2}\phi_{1,x} \end{cases} \quad (33)$$

and  $\phi_{1,t}, \phi_{2,t}$  is the system itself.

In Theorem 3, (32) and (33) are two co-symmetries of system (1). They are variational derivatives of the following two conservation laws:

$$\begin{aligned} H_1 &= \phi_{1,x}^2 + \phi_{1,x}\phi_{2,x} + \phi_{2,x}^2 - 3(v_1^2 + v_2^2 + v_3^2); \\ H_2 &= 3\phi_{1,x}\phi_{2,xx} + \phi_{1,x}^2\phi_{2,x} - \phi_{1,x}\phi_{2,x}^2 + \frac{2}{3}\phi_{1,x}^3 - \frac{2}{3}\phi_{2,x}^3 + 6v_1^2\phi_{3,x} + 6v_2^2\phi_{1,x} + 6v_3^2\phi_{2,x}. \end{aligned}$$

The nonlocal terms of the recursion operator are determined by the symmetries and co-symmetries of the corresponding orders. Such structures of recursion operators have been discussed in [30, 31]. It has been shown that such recursion operator gives rise to hierarchies of infinitely many commuting local symmetries if it is a Nijenhuis operator.

**Theorem 4.** *The operator  $\mathfrak{R}$  defined in Theorem 3 is Nijenhuis.*

**Proof.** We need to check that the expression  $H := D_{\mathfrak{R}}[\mathfrak{R}P](Q) - \mathfrak{R}D_{\mathfrak{R}}[P](Q)$  is symmetric with respect to two-component vectors  $P$  and  $Q$ . We use subindex  $i$  to denote  $i$ -th component. The first component of  $H$  will be written as  $H_1$ . The calculation is straightforward, but rather complicated. Here we only pick out the constant terms in  $H$ , i.e., terms are independent of the dependent variables  $\phi_1, \phi_2$  and their  $x$ -derivatives. We denote these terms by  $H^0$ .

For the recursion operator  $\mathfrak{R}$ , its constant and linear terms are

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{R}^0 &= \frac{1}{3} \begin{pmatrix} D_x^3 & 2D_x^3 \\ -2D_x^3 & -D_x^3 \end{pmatrix}; \\ \mathfrak{R}^1 &= \frac{1}{3} \begin{pmatrix} (\phi_{1,x} + \phi_{2,x})D_x^2 + D_x(\phi_{1,x} + \phi_{2,x})D_x & \phi_{1,x}D_x^2 + D_x\phi_{1,x}D_x \\ -\phi_{2,x}D_x^2 - D_x\phi_{2,x}D_x & -(\phi_{1,x} + \phi_{2,x})D_x^2 - D_x(\phi_{1,x} + \phi_{2,x})D_x \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

So  $H^0 = D_{\mathfrak{R}^1}[\mathfrak{R}^0P](Q) - \mathfrak{R}^0D_{\mathfrak{R}^1}[P](Q)$ . Due to the relations among the entries of the operator  $\mathfrak{R}$ , it is easy to see the second component of  $H^0$ , i.e.,  $(H^0)_2$  is related to its first component as follows:

$$(H^0)_2(P_1, P_2; Q_1, Q_2) = (H^0)_1(P_2, P_1; Q_2, Q_1).$$

Thus we only require to check whether the first component is symmetric with respect to  $P$  and  $Q$  or not. Notice that

$$\begin{aligned} &9(H^0)_2(P_1, P_2; Q_1, Q_2) \\ &= (P_{2,4x} - P_{1,4x})Q_{1,2x} + D_x((P_{2,4x} - P_{1,4x})Q_{1,x} + (P_{1,4x} + 2P_{2,4x})Q_{2,x}) \\ &\quad + (P_{1,4x} + 2P_{2,4x})Q_{2,2x} - D_x^3((P_{1,x} - P_{2,x})Q_{1,2x} - (P_{1,x} + 2P_{2,x})Q_{2,2x}) \\ &\quad - D_x^4((P_{1,x} + P_{2,x})Q_{1,x} + P_{1,x}Q_{2,x} - 2(P_{1,x} + P_{2,x})Q_{2,x} - 2P_{2,x}Q_{1,x}) \\ &= (P_{2,5x} - P_{1,5x})Q_{1,x} + (P_{1,5x} + 2P_{2,5x})Q_{2,x} + (P_{2,x} - P_{1,x})Q_{1,5x} + (P_{1,x} + 2P_{2,x})Q_{2,5x} \\ &\quad + 3D_x^2((P_{2,2x} - P_{1,2x})Q_{1,2x} + (P_{1,2x} + 2P_{2,2x})Q_{2,2x}) \\ &\quad - 3((P_{2,3x} - P_{1,3x})Q_{1,3x} + (P_{1,3x} + 2P_{2,3x})Q_{2,3x}) \\ &\quad - D_x^4((P_{1,x} + P_{2,x})Q_{1,x} + P_{1,x}Q_{2,x} - 2(P_{1,x} + P_{2,x})Q_{2,x} - 2P_{2,x}Q_{1,x}). \end{aligned}$$

This is symmetric with respect to  $P$  and  $Q$  and thus we proved the statement.  $\blacksquare$

This Nijenhuis operator  $\mathfrak{R}$  defined in Theorem 3 has two seeds: the trivial symmetry  $u_x = (\phi_{1,x}, \phi_{2,x})^T$  and system (1). We can generate the local symmetries of order




Figure 3: Interrelations between  $\mathfrak{R}$  and  $\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{I}$  of system (1)

$3k + 1$  and  $3k + 2$  for  $k \geq 0$  of system (1) by recursively applying the operator  $\mathfrak{R}$  on these two seeds. In particular,  $\mathfrak{R}(u_x)$  gives us a local symmetry of order 4 with first component

$$\begin{aligned}
G(\phi_1, \phi_2)_{\phi_1} = & \frac{1}{3}\phi_{1,4x} + \frac{2}{3}\phi_{2,4x} + \frac{2}{3}\phi_{1,x}\phi_{1,3x} + \frac{2}{3}\phi_{1,x}\phi_{2,3x} + \frac{2}{3}\phi_{2,x}\phi_{1,3x} + \frac{1}{3}\phi_{1,2x}^2 + \frac{2}{3}\phi_{1,2x}\phi_{2,2x} \\
& - \frac{2}{9}(\phi_{1,x}^2 + \phi_{1,x}\phi_{2,x} + \phi_{2,x}^2)(\phi_{1,2x} + 2\phi_{2,2x}) - \frac{5}{27}\phi_{1,x}^4 - \frac{2}{9}\phi_{1,x}^2\phi_{2,x}^2 - \frac{4}{9}\phi_{1,x}^3\phi_{2,x} - \frac{4}{27}\phi_{1,x}\phi_{2,x}^3 \\
& - e^{-2\phi_2} - e^{-4\phi_1-4\phi_2} + e^{2\phi_1+2\phi_2} + e^{4\phi_2} + \frac{1}{3}(6\phi_{1,x}^2 + 12\phi_{1,x}\phi_{2,x} + 2\phi_{1,2x} + 4\phi_{2,2x})e^{2\phi_1} \\
& - \frac{1}{3}(2\phi_{1,x}^2 - 4\phi_{1,x}\phi_{2,x} - 13\phi_{2,x}^2 - 2\phi_{1,2x} - 10\phi_{2,2x})e^{2\phi_2} \\
& - \frac{1}{3}(7\phi_{1,x}^2 + 22\phi_{1,x}\phi_{2,x} + 13\phi_{2,x}^2 - 8\phi_{1,2x} - 10\phi_{2,2x})e^{-2\phi_1-2\phi_2}
\end{aligned}$$

and the second component  $G(\phi_1, \phi_2)_{\phi_2} = -G(\phi_2, \phi_1)_{\phi_1}$ .

The adjoint operator of  $\mathfrak{R}^*$  gives rise to the cosymmetries of order  $3n + 2$  and  $3n + 3$ . In figure 3, we list out the orders of symmetries in the left row and orders of cosymmetries in the right row. We use a circle around a number  $k$  to denote that the system does not possess the symmetries or cosymmetries of order  $k$ .

## 5 Symplectic and Hamiltonian structures

In this section, we show system (1) is generalised bi-Hamiltonian by presenting its Hamiltonian and symplectic operators. Surprisingly, the product of these two operator does not lead to the recursion operator we constructed in section 4.2, but to its square.

We know Hamiltonian operators map cosymmetries to symmetries while symplectic operators map symmetries to cosymmetries. For system (1), from Figure 3 we can draw the conclusion that the possible order of Hamiltonian operators can only be  $3k + 2$  and of symplectic operators  $3k + 1$ . Here we consider positive orders, i.e.,  $k \geq 0$ .

For system (1), there exists an anti-symmetric operator  $\mathcal{H}$  such that

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{H}_{11} & \mathcal{H}_{12} \\ \mathcal{H}_{21} & \mathcal{H}_{22} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} s_{1,\phi_1} \\ s_{1,\phi_2} \end{pmatrix} = -9 \begin{pmatrix} \mathfrak{R}_{11} & \mathfrak{R}_{12} \\ \mathfrak{R}_{21} & \mathfrak{R}_{22} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \phi_{1,x} \\ \phi_{2,x} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (34)$$

where  $\mathfrak{R}$ ,  $s_1^1$  and  $s_1^2$  are defined in Theorem 3 and

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{H}_{11} &= \phi_{1,x}D_x + D_x\phi_{1,x} + 2\phi_{2,x}D_x + 2D_x\phi_{2,x} - \phi_{1,x}D_x^{-1}\phi_{1,t} - \phi_{1,t}D_x^{-1}\phi_{1,x} \\ \mathcal{H}_{12} &= 3D_x^2 + 2\phi_{1,x}D_x - 2\phi_{2,x}D_x + \phi_{1,xx} - \phi_{2,xx} - \phi_{1,x}^2 - \phi_{2,x}^2 - \phi_{1,x}\phi_{2,x} \\ &\quad + 3(v_1^2 + v_2^2 + v_3^2) - \phi_{1,x}D_x^{-1}\phi_{2,t} - \phi_{1,t}D_x^{-1}\phi_{2,x}\end{aligned}$$

and  $\mathcal{H}_{21}(\phi_1, \phi_2) = -\mathcal{H}_{12}(\phi_2, \phi_1)$ ;  $\mathcal{H}_{22}(\phi_1, \phi_2) = -\mathcal{H}_{11}(\phi_2, \phi_1)$ .

**Theorem 5.** *The operator  $\mathcal{H}$  defined above is Hamiltonian.*

**Proof.** We prove this by checking the condition of Theorem 7.8 in [28]. The associated bi-vector of  $\mathcal{H}$  is by definition

$$\Theta = \frac{1}{2} \int \theta \wedge \mathcal{H}\theta, \quad \text{where } \theta = (\theta_1, \theta_2)^T.$$

We need to check the vanishing of the tri-vector:  $\text{Pr } \mathbf{v}_{\mathcal{H}\theta}(\Theta) = 0$ . Instead of writing out the full calculation, we pick out terms with highest degree in  $x$ -derivatives of dependant variables  $\phi_1$  and  $\phi_2$  in 3-form of  $\theta_1$ . The relevant terms in  $\mathcal{H}\theta$  are

$$\begin{pmatrix} -\phi_{1,x} \left( (2\phi_{1,x}\phi_{2,x} + \phi_{1,x}^2)\theta_1 \right)_{-1} - (2\phi_{1,x}\phi_{2,x} + \phi_{1,x}^2) (\phi_{1,x}\theta_1)_{-1} \\ -\phi_{2,x} \left( (2\phi_{1,x}\phi_{2,x} + \phi_{1,x}^2)\theta_1 \right)_{-1} + (2\phi_{1,x}\phi_{2,x} + \phi_{2,x}^2) (\phi_{1,x}\theta_1)_{-1} \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $(p)_{-1}$  denotes  $D_x^{-1}(p)$  and such terms in  $\Theta$  are

$$\begin{aligned}\int -\phi_{1,x}\theta_1 \wedge \left( (2\phi_{1,x}\phi_{2,x} + \phi_{1,x}^2)\theta_1 \right)_{-1} &= \int \phi_1\theta_{1,x} \wedge \left( (2\phi_{1,x}\phi_{2,x} + \phi_{1,x}^2)\theta_1 \right)_{-1} \\ &= -\int (2\phi_{1,x}\phi_{2,x} + \phi_{1,x}^2)\theta_1 \wedge (\phi_{1,x}\theta_1)_{-1}\end{aligned}$$

using integration by parts. Thus the terms we look at in  $\text{Pr } \mathbf{v}_{\mathcal{H}\theta}(\Theta)$  are

$$\begin{aligned}\int -(2\phi_{1,x}\phi_{2,x} + \phi_{1,x}^2) (\phi_{1,x}\theta_1)_{-1} \wedge \theta_{1,x} \wedge \left( (2\phi_{1,x}\phi_{2,x} + \phi_{1,x}^2)\theta_1 \right)_{-1} \\ + \int 2(\phi_{2,x} + \phi_{1,x})\phi_{1,xx} \left( (2\phi_{1,x}\phi_{2,x} + \phi_{1,x}^2)\theta_1 \right)_{-1} \wedge \theta_1 \wedge (\phi_{1,x}\theta_1)_{-1} \\ + \int 2\phi_{1,x}\phi_{2,xx} \left( (2\phi_{1,x}\phi_{2,x} + \phi_{1,x}^2)\theta_1 \right)_{-1} \wedge \theta_1 \wedge (\phi_{1,x}\theta_1)_{-1} \\ = 0.\end{aligned}$$

Similarly we can prove that the tri-vector  $\text{Pr } \mathbf{v}_{\mathcal{H}\theta}(\Theta)$  vanishes, which implies that  $\mathcal{H}$  is a Hamiltonian operator.  $\blacksquare$

We now construct a symplectic operator of system (1). Its lowest positive order is 1.

**Proposition 4.** *No weakly nonlocal symplectic operator of order 1 exists for system (1).*

**Proof.** Assume that any of the nonlocal terms of the symplectic operator is of the form  $\xi_1 D_x^{-1} \xi_2$ , where  $\xi_i \in \Omega^1$ . Since system (1) is homogeneous in the variables  $\phi_i$  and  $v_i$ ,  $\xi_i$  is also homogeneous; its possible weights are 0, 1. From the results in [30], the  $\xi_i$  are cosymeries of the system. One can check that the system has no cosymeries of weight 1 and 0, that is, no conserved densities of weight 0 and 1.  $\blacksquare$

We now look for next lowest order symplectic operator, which is 4. Indeed, there exists an operator  $\mathcal{I}$  such that

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{I}_{11} & \mathcal{I}_{12} \\ \mathcal{I}_{21} & \mathcal{I}_{22} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \phi_{1,t} \\ \phi_{2,t} \end{pmatrix} = \delta \int g, \quad (35)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} g = & 3\phi_{1,3x}^2 + 3\phi_{1,3x}\phi_{2,3x} + 3\phi_{2,3x}^2 - \frac{15}{2}\phi_{1,xx}\phi_{2,xx}(\phi_{1,xx} + \phi_{2,xx}) - (24v_1^2 + 15v_2^2 + 24v_3^2)\phi_{1,xx}^2 \\ & + 5(\phi_{1,x}^2 + \phi_{1,x}\phi_{2,x} + \phi_{2,x}^2)(\phi_{1,xx}^2 + \phi_{1,xx}\phi_{2,xx} + \phi_{2,xx}^2) - (15v_1^2 + 24v_2^2 + 24v_3^2)\phi_{2,xx}^2 \\ & - (15v_1^2 + 15v_2^2 + 33v_3^2)\phi_{1,xx}\phi_{2,xx} + \frac{15}{2}(2v_1^2 - v_2^2 - v_3^2)\phi_{1,xx}\phi_{2,x}^2 \\ & + \frac{15}{2}(2v_2^2 - v_1^2 - v_3^2)\phi_{1,x}^2\phi_{2,xx} - \frac{5}{2}\phi_{1,x}^2\phi_{2,x}^2(\phi_{1,xx} + \phi_{2,xx}) + \frac{5}{6}(\phi_{1,x}^4\phi_{2,xx} + \phi_{1,xx}\phi_{2,x}^4) \\ & + \frac{7}{27}(\phi_{1,x}^6 + \phi_{2,x}^6) + \frac{7}{9}(\phi_{1,x}^5\phi_{2,x} + \phi_{1,x}\phi_{2,x}^5) + \frac{5}{9}(3v_2^2 + \phi_{2,x}^2)\phi_{1,x}^4 + \frac{5}{9}(3v_1^2 + \phi_{1,x}^2)\phi_{2,x}^4 \\ & + \frac{89}{3}(v_1^2 + v_3^2)\phi_{1,x}^4 + \frac{89}{3}(v_2^2 + v_3^2)\phi_{2,x}^4 - \frac{5}{27}\phi_{1,x}^3\phi_{2,x}^3 + (\frac{421}{3}v_3^2 - \frac{65}{3}v_2^2 + \frac{10}{3}v_1^2)\phi_{1,x}\phi_{2,x}^3 \\ & + (\frac{421}{3}v_3^2 - \frac{65}{3}v_1^2 + \frac{10}{3}v_2^2)\phi_{2,x}\phi_{1,x}^3 + (223v_3^2 - 5v_1^2 - 5v_2^2)\phi_{1,x}^2\phi_{2,x}^2 \\ & + (15v_2^4 + 60v_1^4 + 60v_3^4 + 66v_1^2v_2^2 + 66v_2^2v_3^2 + 84v_1^2v_3^2)\phi_{1,x}^2 \\ & + (15v_1^4 + 60v_2^4 + 60v_3^4 + 66v_1^2v_2^2 + 66v_1^2v_3^2 + 84v_2^2v_3^2)\phi_{2,x}^2 \\ & + (15v_1^4 + 15v_2^4 + 105v_3^4 + 48v_1^2v_2^2 + 84v_1^2v_3^2 + 84v_2^2v_3^2)\phi_{1,x}\phi_{2,x} - 3(v_1^2 + v_2^2 + v_3^2)^3 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}_{11} = & (2\phi_{1,x} + \phi_{2,x})D_x^3 + D_x^3(2\phi_{1,x} + \phi_{2,x}) + qD_x + D_xq + \frac{1}{3}(s_{1,\phi_1}D_x^{-1}s_{2,\phi_1} + s_{2,\phi_1}D_x^{-1}s_{1,\phi_1}); \\ \mathcal{I}_{12} = & 3D_x^4 + 2(\phi_{1,x} - \phi_{2,x})D_x^3 + p_2D_x^2 + p_1D_x + p_0 + \frac{1}{3}(s_{1,\phi_1}D_x^{-1}s_{2,\phi_2} + s_{2,\phi_1}D_x^{-1}s_{1,\phi_2}). \end{aligned}$$

Here  $s_{j,\phi_1}$ ,  $s_{j,\phi_2}$  are the components of cosymmetries and

$$\begin{aligned} q = & -2\phi_{1,xxx} - \phi_{2,xxx} - 2\phi_{1,x}\phi_{2,xx} - \phi_{2,x}\phi_{2,xx} - \frac{4}{3}\phi_{1,x}^3 - 2\phi_{1,x}^2\phi_{2,x} + \frac{1}{3}\phi_{2,x}^3 \\ & + (13\phi_{2,x} - 4\phi_{1,x})v_1^2 - (4\phi_{1,x} + 2\phi_{2,x})v_2^2 - (4\phi_{1,x} + 17\phi_{2,x})v_3^2; \\ p_2 = & 3\phi_{1,xx} - 3\phi_{2,xx} - \phi_{1,x}^2 - \phi_{1,x}\phi_{2,x} - \phi_{2,x}^2 + 15(v_1^2 + v_2^2 + v_3^2); \\ p_1 = & \phi_{1,xxx} - \phi_{2,xxx} - 2\phi_{1,x}\phi_{2,xx} - 4\phi_{2,x}\phi_{2,xx} - \frac{4}{3}\phi_{1,x}^3 - \phi_{1,x}^2\phi_{2,x} + \phi_{1,x}\phi_{2,x}^2 + \frac{4}{3}\phi_{2,x}^3 \\ & + (11\phi_{1,x} + 4\phi_{2,x})v_1^2 - (4\phi_{1,x} - 49\phi_{2,x})v_2^2 - (19\phi_{1,x} + 41\phi_{2,x})v_3^2; \\ p_0 = & -(\phi_{1,x} + 2\phi_{2,x})\phi_{2,xxx} + 2\phi_{1,xx}^2 + \phi_{1,xx}\phi_{2,xx} - 2(\phi_{1,x}^2 + \phi_{1,x}\phi_{2,x})\phi_{1,xx} - (\phi_{1,x}^2 - 2\phi_{2,x}^2)\phi_{2,xx} \\ & + (10\phi_{1,xx} + 2\phi_{2,xx} - 2\phi_{1,x}^2 - 4\phi_{2,x}^2)v_1^2 - (2\phi_{1,xx} - 29\phi_{2,xx} + 4\phi_{1,x}^2 + 8\phi_{1,x}\phi_{2,x} - 36\phi_{2,x}^2)v_2^2 \\ & - (14\phi_{1,xx} + 25\phi_{2,xx} - 6\phi_{1,x}^2 - 38\phi_{1,x}\phi_{2,x} - 28\phi_{2,x}^2)v_3^2 + 12(v_1^2 + v_2^2 + v_3^2)^2; \end{aligned}$$

And  $\mathcal{I}_{21}(\phi_1, \phi_2) = -\mathcal{I}_{12}(\phi_2, \phi_1)$ ;  $\mathcal{I}_{22}(\phi_1, \phi_2) = -\mathcal{I}_{11}(\phi_2, \phi_1)$ .

**Theorem 6.** *The operator  $\mathcal{I}$  defined above is symplectic.*

**Proof.** We use the notation  $d\phi = (d\phi_1, d\phi_2)^T$ . The 2-form defined by the operator  $\mathcal{I}$  is

$$\begin{aligned} \omega = & \frac{1}{2} \int d\phi \wedge \mathcal{I}d\phi \\ = & \int ((2\phi_{1,x} + \phi_{2,x})d\phi_1 \wedge d\phi_{1,3x} + q(\phi_1, \phi_2) d\phi_1 \wedge d\phi_{1,x} + \frac{1}{3}s_{1,\phi_1}d\phi_1 \wedge D_x^{-1}(s_{2,\phi_1}d\phi_1) \\ & + 3d\phi_1 \wedge d\phi_{2,4x} + 2(\phi_{1,x} - \phi_{2,x})d\phi_1 \wedge d\phi_{2,3x} + p_2d\phi_1 \wedge d\phi_{2,2x} + p_1d\phi_1 \wedge d\phi_{2,x} \\ & + p_0d\phi_1 \wedge d\phi_2 + \frac{1}{3}s_{1,\phi_1}d\phi_1 D_x^{-1}(s_{2,\phi_2}d\phi_2) + \frac{1}{3}s_{2,\phi_1}d\phi_1 D_x^{-1}(s_{1,\phi_2}d\phi_2) \\ & - (2\phi_{2,x} + \phi_{1,x})d\phi_2 \wedge d\phi_{2,3x} - q(\phi_2, \phi_1) d\phi_2 \wedge d\phi_{2,x} + \frac{1}{3}s_{1,\phi_2}d\phi_2 \wedge D_x^{-1}(s_{2,\phi_2}d\phi_2)) . \end{aligned}$$

We now compute  $d\omega$ . Instead of carrying out the whole computation, we only demonstrate the method by picking out 3-forms of  $\phi_1$  and its  $x$ -derivatives in  $d\omega$ . These are as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} & \int (2d\phi_{1,x} \wedge d\phi_1 \wedge d\phi_{1,3x} - 2d\phi_{1,3x} \wedge d\phi_1 \wedge d\phi_{1,x} - \frac{2}{3}d\phi_{1,xx} \wedge d\phi_1 \wedge D_x^{-1}(s_{2,\phi_1}d\phi_1) \\ & - \frac{1}{3}s_{1,\phi_1}d\phi_1 \wedge D_x^{-1}((4\phi_{1,x} + 2\phi_{2,x})d\phi_{1,xx} \wedge d\phi_1 + (4\phi_{1,xx} + 2\phi_{2,xx})d\phi_{1,x} \wedge d\phi_1)) \\ = & \int (\frac{2}{3}s_{2,\phi_1}D_x^{-1}(d\phi_{1,xx} \wedge d\phi_1) \wedge d\phi_1 - \frac{1}{3}s_{1,\phi_1}d\phi_1 \wedge (4\phi_{1,x} + 2\phi_{2,x})d\phi_{1,x} \wedge d\phi_1) \\ = & \int \frac{2}{3}s_{2,\phi_1}d\phi_{1,x} \wedge d\phi_1 \wedge d\phi_1 = 0. \end{aligned}$$



By working out for other terms, we can show  $d\omega = 0$ . Thus we prove  $\mathcal{I}$  is symplectic. ■

**Theorem 7.** *System (1) is a bi-Hamiltonian system.*

**Proof.** We only need to show that the symplectic operator  $\mathcal{I}$  defined in Theorem 6 and Hamiltonian operator  $\mathcal{H}$  defined in Theorem 5 are compatible. Operator  $\mathcal{H}$  is of order 2 and operator  $\mathcal{I}$  is of order 4. This leads to that  $\mathcal{H}\mathcal{I}$  is of order 6. By straightforward computation, one can verify that  $\mathcal{H}\mathcal{I} = 27\mathfrak{R}^2$ , where  $\mathfrak{R}$  is defined in Theorem 3. From Theorem 4, we know  $\mathfrak{R}$  is Nijenhuis. So is the operator  $\mathfrak{R}^2$ . Thus these two operators are compatible. Hence we proved the statement. ■

We can check that the conditions in Theorem 1 are satisfied for  $\mathcal{H}$  and  $\mathcal{I}$ . Therefore, starting from four starting points, two symmetries appeared in  $\mathcal{H}$  and two cosymmetries appeared in  $\mathcal{I}$ , we can generate a hierarchy of commuting local symmetries, which are all Hamiltonian vector fields and their Hamiltonian are in involution.

## 6 Discussion

In this paper, we prove that for compatible weakly nonlocal Hamiltonian and symplectic operators, hierarchies of infinitely many commuting local symmetries and conservation laws can be generated under some easily verified conditions no matter whether the generating Nijenhuis operators are weakly nonlocal or not. The problem how to generate local symmetries and conservation laws when the Nijenhuis operators are no longer weakly nonlocal has not been studied before. As in Example 2 and 3 where the objects are differential polynomials, we believe that assumption 4 in Theorem 2 can be relaxed in general. However, we are not able to simplify this assumption yet.

We construct a recursion operator  $\mathfrak{R}$ , a Hamiltonian operator  $\mathcal{H}$  and a symplectic operator  $\mathcal{I}$  for system (1). We show that  $\mathcal{H}\mathcal{I} = \mathfrak{R}^2$ . This leads to  $\mathfrak{R}^{2k}\mathcal{H}$  being Hamiltonian and compatible to  $\mathcal{H}$  for  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . An immediate question is: is  $\mathfrak{R}\mathcal{H}$  Hamiltonian and compatible to  $\mathcal{H}$ ? We conjecture the answer is positive. However, the computation involved is rather big and we have not found an elegant way to prove it.

In the Lax representation  $L(\lambda)$  of system (1), cf. formula (22),  $\Delta$  is a  $3 \times 3$  matrix. The natural generalisation is  $\Delta$  being  $n \times n$  matrix. The construction in this paper works for any finite  $n$ . For a given  $n$ , the corresponding system possesses a recursion operator  $\mathfrak{R}$  of order  $n$ , which can be constructed in the same manner as in Section 4.2. The system is bi-Hamiltonian with the lowest positive order of Hamiltonian operator  $\mathcal{H}$  being  $n - 1$  and that of a symplectic operator  $\mathcal{I}$  being  $n + 1$ . These operators have the same properties as we discussed for  $n = 3$ , namely,  $\mathcal{H}\mathcal{I}$  does not give rise to  $\mathfrak{R}$ , but  $\mathfrak{R}^2$ .

If we treat arbitrary  $n$  by considering  $\Delta$  as a shift operator, this leads to a 2+1-dimensional lattice-field integrable equation. Recently, Blaszk and Szum have constructed Hamiltonian operators for such type of equations with a certain type of Lax operator [40]. It would be interesting to construct the bi-Hamiltonian structure for the 2 + 1-dimensional lattice-field equation and to see how this structure is related to the ones with finite periods.

# Acknowledgements

The author would like to thank A.N.W. Hone, A.V. Mikhailov, V.S. Novikov, P.J. Olver, J.A. Sanders and A. Sergyeyev for inspiring discussions and useful comments.

# Appendix

Here we give the lemmas used in proof of Theorem 1 and Theorem 2. Lemma 7 is due to Sergyeyev [31]. To increase the readability, we include the statement here.

**Lemma 7.** *Let the nonlocal terms of a Nijenhuis operator  $\mathfrak{R} : \mathfrak{h} \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}$  be of the form*

$$\sum_{j=1}^l Q_j \otimes D_x^{-1} \beta_j, \quad \text{where } P_j \in \mathfrak{h} \quad \text{and} \quad \beta_j \in \Omega^1.$$

1. *If for all  $j, k = 1, \dots, l$ , we have  $L_{Q_j} \beta_k = 0$  and both  $\beta_j$  and  $\mathfrak{R}^*(\beta_j)$  are closed, then for any  $h_0 \in \mathfrak{h}$  such that  $L_{h_0} \mathfrak{R} = 0$  and  $L_{h_0} \beta_j = 0$ , all  $h_i = \mathfrak{R}^i(h_0)$  are local and commute, where  $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ .*
2. *If  $L_{Q_j} \mathfrak{R} = 0$ , then for any  $\xi_0$  such that  $L_{Q_j} \xi_0 = 0$  and both  $\xi_0$  and  $\mathfrak{R}^*(\xi_0)$  are closed, all  $\xi_i = \mathfrak{R}^i(\xi_0)$  are local and closed, where  $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ .*

The following theorem was proved by A.V. Mikhailov, Applied Mathematics Department, University of Leeds and published here with his kind permission.

**Theorem 8.** *Let  $\mathbf{f}_k, \mathbf{g}_k \in \mathcal{A}^N$  (either in  $\mathfrak{h}$  and/or in  $\Omega^1$ ), vector-columns  $\mathbf{f}_1, \dots, \mathbf{f}_n$  be linearly independent over  $\mathbb{C}$  and*

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \mathbf{f}_k D_x^{-1} \mathbf{g}_k^{tr} + \mathbf{g}_k D_x^{-1} \mathbf{f}_k^{tr} = 0, \tag{36}$$

then

$$\mathbf{g}_k = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{f}_i A_{i k}$$

where

$$A_{i k} = -A_{k i}, \quad D_x(A_{i k}) = 0.$$

The proof of the Theorem is based on two Lemmas.

**Lemma 8.** *If*

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \mathbf{f}_k D_x^{-1} \mathbf{g}_k^{tr} + \mathbf{g}_k D_x^{-1} \mathbf{f}_k^{tr} = 0$$

then for any  $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$

$$\sum_{k=1}^n D_x^p(\mathbf{f}_k) D_x^q(\mathbf{g}_k^{tr}) + D_x^p(\mathbf{g}_k) D_x^q(\mathbf{f}_k^{tr}) = 0. \tag{37}$$

**Proof.** Indeed, it follows from (36) that

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (-1)^j \left( \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbf{f}_k D_x^j \mathbf{g}_k^{\text{tr}} + \mathbf{g}_k D_x^j \mathbf{f}_k^{\text{tr}} \right) D_x^{-1-j} = 0$$

and thus for any  $q \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \mathbf{f}_k D_x^q(\mathbf{g}_k^{\text{tr}}) + \mathbf{g}_k D_x^q(\mathbf{f}_k^{\text{tr}}) = 0, \quad q = 0, 1, \dots \quad (38)$$

In order to demonstrate (37) we use induction. It follows from (38) that the statement is true for  $p = 0$  and any  $q \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ . Let us assume that (37) is valid for any  $q$  and  $p \leq l - 1$  and then show that it is true for  $p = l$ . For  $p = l - 1$  we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^n D_x^{l-1}(\mathbf{f}_k) D_x^q(\mathbf{g}_k^{\text{tr}}) + D_x^{l-1}(\mathbf{g}_k) D_x^q(\mathbf{f}_k^{\text{tr}}) = 0.$$

Applying  $D_x$  we get

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \left( D_x^l(\mathbf{f}_k) D_x^q(\mathbf{g}_k^{\text{tr}}) + D_x^l(\mathbf{g}_k) D_x^q(\mathbf{f}_k^{\text{tr}}) \right) + \sum_{k=1}^n \left( D_x^{l-1}(\mathbf{f}_k) D_x^{q+1}(\mathbf{g}_k^{\text{tr}}) + D_x^{l-1}(\mathbf{g}_k) D_x^{q+1}(\mathbf{f}_k^{\text{tr}}) \right) = 0.$$

The last sum vanishes due to the induction assumption. Thus (37) is true for  $p = l$ .  $\blacksquare$

Let  $\mathbf{F}_k, \mathbf{G}_k$  denote infinite dimensional vector-columns

$$\mathbf{F}_k = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{f}_k \\ D_x(\mathbf{f}_k) \\ \vdots \\ D_x^p(\mathbf{f}_k) \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{G}_k = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{g}_k \\ D_x(\mathbf{g}_k) \\ \vdots \\ D_x^p(\mathbf{g}_k) \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix},$$

and  $F, G$  denote matrices

$$F = (\mathbf{F}_1, \dots, \mathbf{F}_n), \quad G = (\mathbf{G}_1, \dots, \mathbf{G}_n).$$

Then (37) can be written in the form

$$FG^{\text{tr}} + GF^{\text{tr}} = 0. \quad (39)$$

**Lemma 9.** *Let vectors  $\mathbf{f}_1, \dots, \mathbf{f}_n$  be linearly independent over  $\mathbb{C}$ , then vectors  $\mathbf{F}_1, \dots, \mathbf{F}_n$  are linearly independent over  $\mathcal{A}$  and thus*

$$\text{rank}(F) = n.$$

**Proof.** Let us assume the opposite, i.e.  $\text{rank}(F) = m < n$ . Without a loss of generality we shall assume that the first  $m$  vectors  $\mathbf{F}_1, \dots, \mathbf{F}_m$  are linearly independent over  $\mathcal{A}$  and thus the rest vectors  $\mathbf{F}_k, k = m + 1, \dots, n$  can be expressed as

$$\mathbf{F}_k = \sum_{s=1}^m \mathbf{F}_s \alpha_{sk}, \quad \alpha_{sk} \in \mathcal{A}, \quad k = m + 1, \dots, n.$$

The latter is equivalent to

$$D_x^p(\mathbf{f}_k) = \sum_{s=1}^m D_x^p(\mathbf{f}_s)\alpha_{sk}, \quad k = m+1, \dots, n, \quad p \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}. \quad (40)$$

Differentiating (40) we find

$$D_x^{p+1}(\mathbf{f}_k) = \sum_{s=1}^m D_x^p(\mathbf{f}_s)D_x(\alpha_{sk}) + \sum_{s=1}^m D_x^{p+1}(\mathbf{f}_s)\alpha_{sk} = \sum_{s=1}^m D_x^p \mathbf{f}_s D_x(\alpha_{sk}) + D_x^{p+1}(\mathbf{f}_k)$$

and thus

$$\sum_{s=1}^m D_x^p(\mathbf{f}_s)D_x(\alpha_{sk}) = 0.$$

Since vectors  $\mathbf{F}_1, \dots, \mathbf{F}_m$  are assumed to be linearly independent, we have  $D_x(\alpha_{sk}) = 0$  and thus  $\alpha_{sk} \in \mathbb{C}$ . It follows from (40) at  $p = 0$  that vectors  $\mathbf{f}_k$  are linearly dependent over  $\mathbb{C}$ . ■

**Proof of Theorem 8.** From Lemma 2 it follows that the rank of matrix  $F$  in (39) is  $n$ , thus vector columns of  $F$  and  $G$  span the same linear space and therefore

$$\mathbf{G}_k = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{F}_i A_{ik} \quad (41)$$

or

$$D_x^p(\mathbf{g}_k) = \sum_{i=1}^n D_x^p(\mathbf{f}_i)A_{ik} \quad (42)$$

Substitution of (41) in (39) gives  $F(A^{\text{tr}} + A)F^{\text{tr}} = 0$  and since  $\text{rank } F = n$  we get  $A^{\text{tr}} + A = 0$ . From (42) it follows that

$$D_x^{p+1}(\mathbf{g}_k) = \sum_{i=1}^n D_x^p(\mathbf{f}_i)D_x(A_{ik}) + D_x^{p+1}(\mathbf{f}_i)A_{ik} = D_x^{p+1}(\mathbf{g}_k) + \sum_{i=1}^n D_x^p(\mathbf{f}_i)D_x(A_{ik})$$

which leads to  $D_x(A_{ik}) = 0$  (since  $\text{rank } F = n$ ). ■

## References

- [1] M.J. Ablowitz, D.J. Kaup, A.C. Newell and H. Segur, *Stud. Appl. Math.* **53**, 249 (1974).
- [2] P.J. Olver, *J. Math. Phys.* **18**, 1212 (1977).
- [3] C. Athorne and I. Ya. Dorfman, *J. Math. Phys.* **34**, 3507 (1993).
- [4] B. Fuchssteiner, *Nonlinear Anal. Theor. Meth. Appl.* **3**, 849 (1979).
- [5] F. Magri, *Lecture Notes in Physics* **120**, (Springer, 1980), p. 233.

- [6] F. Magri, J. Math. Phys. **19**, 1156 (1978).
- [7] I.M. Gel'fand and I.Ya. Dorfman, Funct. Anal. Appl. **13**, 248 (1979).
- [8] A. S. Fokas and B. Fuchssteiner, Lett. Nuovo Cimento (2) **28**, 299 (1980).
- [9] B. Fuchssteiner and A. S. Fokas, Physica D **4**, 47 (1981).
- [10] J. Praught and G. Smirnov, SIGMA **1**, 005 (2005).
- [11] A.Ya. Maltsev and S.P. Novikov, Physica D **156**, 53 (2001).
- [12] J.P. Wang, J. Nonlinear Math. Phys. **9**, 213 (2002).
- [13] A. Karasu (Kalkanli), A. Karasu and S.Y. Sakovich, Acta Appl. Math. **83**, 85 (2004).
- [14] A. Sergyeyev, J. Phys. A **38**, L257 (2005).
- [15] I. Dorfman, *Dirac structures and integrability of nonlinear evolution equations* (John Wiley & Sons Ltd., Chichester, 1993).
- [16] I.Y. Dorfman, Phys. Lett. A **125**, 240 (1987).
- [17] M. Gürses, A. Karasu and V. V. Sokolov, J. Math. Phys. **40**, 6473 (1999).
- [18] A.V. Mikhailov, JETP Lett. **30**, 414 (1979).
- [19] A.V. Mikhailov, Physica D **3**, 73 (1981).
- [20] S. Lombardo and A.V. Mikhailov, J. Phys. A **37**, 7727 (2004).
- [21] S. Lombardo and A.V. Mikhailov, Comm. Math. Phys. **258**, 179 (2005).
- [22] P. J. Olver and Y. Nutku, J. Math. Phys. **29**, 1610 (1988).
- [23] A. V. Mikhailov, A. B. Shabat and R. I. Yamilov, Comm. Math. Phys. **115**, 1 (1988).
- [24] P. M. Santini and A. S. Fokas, Commun. Math. Phys. **115**, 375 (1988).
- [25] A. S. Fokas and P. M. Santini, Commun. Math. Phys. **116**, 449 (1988).
- [26] R. Bury and A.V. Mikhailov, *Solitons and wave fronts in periodic two dimensional volterra system.*, In preparation (2008).
- [27] J. P. Wang, *Symmetries and Conservation Laws of Evolution Equations*, PhD thesis, Amsterdam, 1998.
- [28] P. J. Olver, *Applications of Lie groups to differential equations*, 2nd ed. (Springer-Verlag, New York, 1993).
- [29] A.Ya. Maltsev, Int. J. Math. and Math. Sci. **30**, 393 (2002).
- [30] J. A. Sanders and J. P. Wang, Nonlinear Anal. Theor. Meth. Appl. **47**, 5213 (2001).

- [31] A. Sergyeyev, J. Phys. A **38**, 3397 (2005).
- [32] A.V. Mikhailov, V.S. Novikov and J. P. Wang, Stud. Appl. Math. **118**, 419 (2007).
- [33] B. Fuchssteiner and W. Oevel, J. Math. Phys. **23**, 358 (1982).
- [34] A. Sergyeyev and D. Demskoi, J. Math. Phys. **48**, 042702 (2007).
- [35] S. I. Svinolupov and V. V. Sokolov, Teoret. Mat. Fiz. **100**, 214 (1994).
- [36] S.C. Anco, SIGMA **2**, 044 (2006).
- [37] B. Fuchssteiner, W. Oevel and W. Wiwianka, Comput. Phys. Comm. **44**, 47 (1987).
- [38] Ü. Göktaş, *Algorithmic Computation of Symmetries, Invariants and Recursion Operators for Systems of Nonlinear Evolution and Differential-Difference Equations*, PhD thesis, Golden, 1998.
- [39] J. A. Sanders and J. P. Wang, Physica D **149**, 1 (2001).
- [40] M. Blaszak and A. Szum, J. Math. Phys. **42**, 225 (2001).