

Optimal network for passenger traffic

A. K. Nandi, K. Bhattacharya and S. S. Manna

Satyendra Nath Bose National Centre for Basic Sciences Block-JD, Sector-III, Salt Lake, Kolkata-700098, India

The optimal solution of the inter-city passenger transport network has been studied using the Zipf's law for the city populations and Gravity law describing the fluxes of inter-city passenger traffic. Assuming a fixed value for the cost of transport per person per kilometer we observe that while the total traffic cost decreases, the total wiring cost increases with the density of links. As a result the total cost to maintain the traffic distribution is optimal at a certain link density which vanishes on increasing the network size. At a finite link density the network is scale-free. Using this model the air-route network of India has been generated and an one-to-one comparison of the nodal degree with the real network has been made.

PACS numbers: 89.75.Hc, 89.75.Fb, 05.60.-k 45.10.Db

Identification of certain crucial controlling parameters that ensures the characteristic structure of a random network, be it a network created by a natural process or a network evolved due to the social requirements, has been a focal point of research interests for quite some time [1, 2, 3, 4]. For example, different algorithms have been proposed to generate the well known scale-free structures of highly heterogeneous networks which successfully reproduce the statistical features of important networks like the Internet [5], World-Wide-Web [6] and airport networks [7] etc.

On the other hand, not much attention has been paid in reproducing the structural features specific to a particular network and make an one-to-one comparison of the real and the model networks. Intuitively it is evident that such a modeling would need information specific to such a network. Here we argue that for a network of passenger traffic one can construct an optimized network using only two ingredients, namely the node-wise population distribution as well as a rule for the passenger traffic.

A transport network should be efficient as well as cost effective. Efficiency is ensured when the communication between an arbitrary pair of nodes takes only a finite and short duration even when the network is large. This implies that the network must have the 'small-world' features. In addition the network should be robust with respect to random failures. If a link is down, the transport process should not be grossly affected. This implies that the network must not have a tree structure which is most economic but has extreme sensitivity to failures. In practice the network should be such that when the flow is not possible along a certain path, there should always exist alternative path(s), even of longer length, to maintain the flow. Indeed real-world transport networks are never tree like. Actually they have multiple loops of many different scales and therefore hardly affected by link or node failures. A prominent example of this is the Internet and its robustness to random failures in its structure is quite well known [8]. Secondly the laying cost of the network is another controlling factor. If every node is connected to all other nodes it would have been excellent, but that would involve large establishment and maintenance cost.

Planners and administrators of railway networks, city bus transport system, or even for postal networks establish and upgrade their networks keeping mainly these two aspects in mind.

Recently optimal networks embedded in the Euclidean space have attracted much attention. Given a spatial distribution of human population the locations of the different facilities so that the mean distance is a minimum was discussed in [9]. Signatures of topology and patterns are explored in [10]. A minimal spanning tree structure of the optimal network was proposed in [11].

Here we study a model network for the passenger traffic among different cities. We ask that given the populations and locations of all cities in a country can one predict the structure of the network optimized with respect to the connection robustness and wiring cost? Our study is based on the framework of Zipf's law [12, 13] of city population distribution and the Gravity law [14] of social and economic sciences describing the strength of the passenger traffic between a pair of cities. Finally we apply this scheme to Indian air traffic network which gives good correspondence with the real network.

The Zipf's law for the frequency of occurrence of the English words has also been applied to rank-size distribution of the city populations [12]. In a country the maximally populated city is assigned rank 1, second largest is put in rank 2 etc. It is known that the population size $p(r)$ varies inversely with rank r . This also implies that the population distribution is a power law [13].

The passenger traffic among N different cities and towns in a country is given by the well-established gravity model [14]. In its introductory form the magnitude of the passenger flow from the city i to the city j is jointly proportional to their individual populations p_i and p_j and at the same time is penalized by an inversely proportional factor which is the square of their distance of separation ℓ_{ij} as: $F_{ij} \propto p_i p_j / \ell_{ij}^2$. This equation has been generalized to the following asymmetric parametric form [15]:

$$F_{ij} = p_i^\alpha \left(\frac{p_j^\beta}{\ell_{ij}^\theta} / \sum_{k \neq i} \frac{p_k^\beta}{\ell_{ik}^\theta} \right) \quad (1)$$




FIG. 1: Display of finite size scaling analysis demonstrated by the collapse of the data for network sizes $N = 128, 256$ and 512 : (a) the total wiring cost $C_{net}N^{-2}$ scales with the link density ρ , (b) the total travel cost $C_{tra}N^{0.15}$ to maintain the traffic scales with ρN , (c) the total cost $(C_{net} + 10NC_{tra})N^{-0.8}$ also scales with ρN .

where α, β and θ are suitable parameters and k runs over all $N - 1$ nodes except i .

While applying these two laws we assume that not only the total population of the country is conserved but also the individual city populations remain constant. More specifically we assume that in a certain unit of time F_{ij} tourists travel from the city i to city j but they eventually return to their own city i within the same time interval. Of course there are a few who migrate from one city to the other and start living there but their number must be very small compared to the tourist traffic and we ignore this flow. Therefore neither the city populations nor the inter-city traffic flow change with time. Therefore our model is also very appropriate for the postal distribution network.

In a simple model we take a unit square box on the $x - y$ plane to represent the country and N points distributed at random positions within the box as the locations of different cities. Though the periodic boundary condition has no physical meaning in this context we use it along both the transverse directions on the box to make the data more well behaved. Given the set of co-ordinates of N points $\{x_i, y_i\}, i = 1, N$ all inter-city distances ℓ_{ij} are determined. Cities are then assigned populations $p_i, (i = 1, N)$ (in real numbers) by drawing




FIG. 2: The nodal degree distribution $P(k, N)$ vs. k of the optimized network at the link density $\rho = 0.1$. (a) The distribution for network sizes $N = 128, 256$ and 512 . (b) Finite size scaling of the same distributions gives a value of the exponent $\gamma = 1$.

them from a power law distribution $\text{Prob}(p) \sim p^{-\nu}$ with $\nu = 1$ as per the Zipf's law. Using $p_{min} = 0.001$ and $p_{max} = 1$, city populations are generated using the relation $p = p_{min} (p_{max}/p_{min})^{r_1}$ where r_1 is a uniformly distributed random fraction and finally normalized $\sum_i p_i = 1$.

Knowing the values for the city populations p_i and the mutual inter-city distances the magnitudes of passenger fluxes F_{ij} and F_{ji} are calculated using Eqn. (1) and for a certain set of values of α, β and θ . By definition this flow pattern is inherently directed. However we consider only an undirected traffic flux between i and j by considering the net flow $\tilde{F}_{ij} = F_{ij} + F_{ji}$. Let at some arbitrary intermediate stage all N cities be linked by a singly connected network. Since nodes are randomly distributed on a continuous plane, there exists one and only one shortest path between a pair of nodes. We assume that the entire flow \tilde{F}_{ij} passes through the shortest path on the network connecting the nodes i and j and therefore each link on this path is assigned \tilde{F}_{ij} . When this assignment has been completed for all distinct $N(N - 1)/2$ node pairs, the net flow through a link measures the net traffic w through that link. On a graph having loops the shortest paths are found using the Dijkstra algorithm [16].

The cost function for this traffic distribution has two competing factors. Given a network there is a cost to maintain the traffic along every link. We assume a constant value for the cost to transport a unit population through unit distance along every link of the network




FIG. 3: Air route connection network of 80 civilian airports in India. (a) The real air route network by all possible airline companies in India. (b) The network obtained in our model using 2001 Census data for the city populations of the same Indian cities and using Gravity law with $\alpha = \beta = 1$ and $\theta = 2$.

(e.g., per person per kilometer). Therefore if w_{ij} is the net flow between the two end nodes i and j of a link of length ℓ_{ij} then the cost involved to maintain this traffic is $C_{tra} = \sum_{i \neq j} w_{ij} \ell_{ij} a_{ij}$. The second factor is the establishment cost to construct the connections which is, $C_{net} = \sum_{i \neq j} \ell_{ij} a_{ij}$. Here a_{ij} s are the elements of the adjacency matrix and $a_{ij} = 1$ if there exists a link between i and j otherwise it is 0. Therefore the total cost function to maintain the whole traffic distribution of the network is the sum of these two factors:

$$\mathcal{C} = C_{net} + \lambda C_{tra} = \sum_{i \neq j} (1 + \lambda w_{ij}) \ell_{ij} a_{ij} \quad (2)$$

where λ is a type of conversion factor that makes an equivalence between the two types of costs.

The Minimal Spanning Tree (MST) graph covering all N nodes using the Euclidean distances ℓ_{ij} as the link weights has the minimal value of the networking cost C_{net} . Using the Kruskal's algorithm [17] to generate the MST, the whole set of $N(N-1)/2$ links are arranged in a sequence of increasing lengths. Links are then dropped one by one from this sequence starting from the minimal length. Links which form loops are rejected. This is checked by the well known Hoshen Kopelman algorithm in Percolation theory [18]. The MST is obtained when one successfully places $N-1$ links.

We start by constructing the MST connecting all the nodes and the cost components C_{tra} , C_{net} and \mathcal{C} are calculated. After that we drop additional links into the graph to minimize C_{tra} using the following method. First, all node pairs are sorted out which are not linked. Our aim is to pick up that particular unlinked node pair which if connected decreases C_{tra} by the maximal amount. If the shortest path between a typical pair of unlinked nodes i and j is d_{ij} then the reduction in travel cost when the pair is linked is $\Delta C_{tra} = (d_{ij} - \ell_{ij}) \bar{F}_{ij}$. This difference has been calculated for all unlinked node pairs in a similar way. We select that particular node pair for which ΔC_{tra}




FIG. 4: (a) The degree $k(r)$ of an airport in India has been plotted with its rank r in the population, real data in red and model data in blue. Average slopes are shown by solid lines. (b) The one-to-one comparison of the nodal degree using the $k_{factor}(r)$ as defined in the text. This factor has been plotted with the nodal rank r .

is maximum and link them. After that we recalculate all shortest paths and w_{ij} values afresh and repeat the whole process to add the next link. In this way links are added one by one and values of both the cost components and the total cost are measured with increasing link density.

We first analyze the finite size scaling of the variation of different cost components with the link density $\rho(N, L) = 2L/\{N(N-1)\}$ using $\alpha = \beta = 1$ and $\theta = 2$. In Fig. 1(a) the scaled total wiring cost $C_{net}N^{-2}$ increases monotonically with the link density for all N . In Fig. 1(b) the total traffic cost initially decreases very sharply but then almost saturates and $C_{tra}N^{0.15}$ scales with ρN . Finally to obtain a scaling of the total cost function we have to use the conversion factor $\lambda = 10N$ and define $\mathcal{C} = C_{net} + 10NC_{tra}$ which scales as (Fig. 1(c)):

$$\mathcal{C}N^{-0.8} \sim \mathcal{F}(\rho N)$$

where $\mathcal{F}(x)$ is a scaling function independent of N . The total cost so defined first decreases and then increases and has a distinct minimum at a specific link density ρ_c . We call the network at this link density ρ_c as the globally optimized traffic solution in terms of the total cost.

The nodal degree distribution has been calculated on the optimized network at the link density ρ_c . From Fig. 1(c) we see that $\rho_c \rightarrow 0$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$. Consequently the degree distribution at $\rho = \rho_c$ is nearly the same as the

Poisson distribution, the distribution of the MST. However, for finite link density the network become even more heterogeneous. This is manifested by the appearance of many small degree nodes and few large degree hubs. In Fig. 2(a) we plotted the degree distribution $P(k, N)$ for three different network sizes but at the same link density. The finite size scaling has been shown in Fig. 2(b) as:

$$P(k, N)N^\eta \sim \mathcal{G}(k/N^\zeta) \quad (3)$$

where $\eta = 1$ and $\zeta = 1$ are used to obtain the best data collapse giving the degree distribution exponent $\gamma = \eta/\zeta = 1$. This clearly shows that at finite link density the resulting network is a scale-free network. At a different link density the exponent value is the same and the scaling range increases with the density. The air-route network of India has a scale-free structure [19]. It is also observed that the model network is a small-world network from the density ρ_c and beyond.

This model has been applied to the air-traffic network in India. There are $N=80$ civilian airports in India for passenger transports. Considering all 12 airline companies [20] that are active in air-space of India there are $L = 265$ links connecting different pairs of airports [21]. Every airport is associated with a city or town. We have collected the 2001 census (in India general census is done every ten years) data for the populations of these cities from the website [22]. We have checked that indeed the available data for top 185 populated Indian cities do follow the Zipf's law quite closely. The mutual distances of separation among these cities are calculated by the arc lengths of the great circle on the surface of the Earth joining a pair of cities. The latitudes ϕ and longitudes ψ of these cities are available at the website [23]. Knowing these angles the inter-city distances are measured by:

$$l_{ij} = R_E \text{Cos}^{-1}([\text{Sin}(\phi_i).\text{Sin}(\phi_j)] \\ + [\text{Cos}(\phi_i).\text{Cos}(\phi_j).\text{Cos}(\psi_i - \psi_j)])$$

where $R_E = 3962.6$ miles is the Earth's radius and angles are measured in radians. As per our scheme we start by constructing a MST using l_{ij} as the weights. Links are then dropped one by one using the optimized procedure described above till the link density in the real network is reached. Once the fully connected network has been formed we draw the airline networks for both the real as well as model data in Fig. 3(a) and 3(b) respectively. The visual similarity of these two figures is quite striking. For both networks we measure the nodal degree k_i and the rank r of every node. The degree vs. rank plot of the 80 node networks of India are compared between the real and model networks in Fig. 4(a). The comparison is good and both seem to obey a power law of $k(r) \sim r^{-\nu}$ with $\nu = 0.67$ and 0.75 for the real and model networks. Secondly we study the one-to-one comparison of the model and the real networks. For this purpose we calculate the factor $k_{factor}(r) = (k_{model}(r) - k_{real}(r))/k_{real}(r)$ and plot it with the rank r in Fig. 4(b). We observe that $k_{factor}(r)$

remains limited within ± 2 for 80% nodes. We conclude that the correspondance between the real and the model network is quite good.

To summarize, we present evidence that a precise one-to-one reproduction of a network is possible once the key ingredients controlling the structure of the network are identified. We justify this claim by an optimized transport network of inter-city traffic in which traffic is controlled by both the city populations (Zipf's law) and by the rule of traffic fluxes (Gravity law). The total cost function is determined by two competing factors i.e., the cost of maintaining the traffic and the establishment cost of the network. This procedure has been applied to the airport network of India having 80 civilian airports and a node-to-node comparison of nodal degree with the real network is made. The correspondence is found to be very good.

-
- [1] R. Albert and A.-L. Barabási, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **74**, 47 (2002).
 - [2] S. N. Dorogovtsev and J. F. F. Mendes, *Evolution of Networks*, Oxford University Press, 2003.
 - [3] M. E. J. Newman, *SIAM Review* **45**, 167 (2003).
 - [4] G. Mukherjee and S. S. Manna, *Phys. Rev. E* **74**, 036111 (2006).
 - [5] M. Faloutsos, P. Faloutsos and C. Faloutsos, *Proc. ACM SIGCOMM, Comput. Commun. Rev.*, **29**, 251 (1999).
 - [6] R. Albert, H. Jeong, and A.-L. Barabási, *Nature* **401**, 130 (1999).
 - [7] A. Barrat, M. Barthélemy, R. Pastor-Satorras, A. Vespignani, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. (USA)*, **101**, 3747 (2004).
 - [8] R. Albert, H. Jeong and A.-L. Barabasi, *Nature*, **406**, 378 (2000).
 - [9] M. T. Gastner and M. E. J. Newman, *Phys. Rev. E.*, **74**, 016117 (2006).
 - [10] M. T. Gastner and M. E. J. Newman, *Eur. Phys. J. B* **49**, 247 (2006).
 - [11] M. Barthélemy and A. Vespignani, *J. Stat. Mech.* L07002 (2006).
 - [12] G. K. Zipf, *Human Behavior and the Principle of Least Effort* (Addison-Wesley, 1949).
 - [13] Details in en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Zipf's_law and mathworld.wolfram.com/ZipfsLaw.html.
 - [14] J. Tinbergen, 1962, in *Shaping the World Economy*, Tinbergen, ed. New York: Twentieth Century Fund.
 - [15] K. Head, *Gravity for Beginners*, 2003, economics.ca/keith/gravity.pdf.
 - [16] D. Jungnickel, *Graphs, Networks, and Algorithms, Algorithm and computation in mathematics*; vol. 5. Springer, 1999.
 - [17] J. B. Kruskal, *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, **7**, 48 (1956).
 - [18] J. Hoshen and R. Kopelman, *Phys. Rev. B.*, **1**, 3438 (1976).
 - [19] G. Bagler, *Physica A* **387**, 2972 (2008).
 - [20] www.mapsofindia.com/india-domestic-flights.html
 - [21] www.mapsofindia.com/flight-schedule/index.html
 - [22] www.citypopulation.de/India-Aggllo.html
 - [23] www.mapsofworld.com/lat_long/india-lat-long.html

