Out of Equilibrium Solutions in the XY -Hamiltonian Mean Field model

Xavier Leon
ini and Tineke L. Van Den Berg Centre de Physique Théorique, Aix-Marseille Université,

CNRS, Luminy, Case 907, F-13288 Marseille cedex 9, France

Duccio Fanelli

Dipartimento di Energetica "Sergio Stecco", Universita' di Firenze, via s. Marta 3, 50139 Firenze, Italia e Centro interdipartimentale per lo Studio delle Dinamiche Complesse (CSDC)

(Dated: 8th November 2018)

Out of equilibrium magnetised solutions of the XY -Hamiltonian Mean Field $(XY$ -HMF) model are build using an ensemble of uncoupled pendula. Using these solutions we display an out-of equilibrium phase transition using a specific reduced set of the magnetised solutions.

Long-range interactions are such that the two-body potential decays at large distances with a power-law exponent whi
h is smaller than the spa
e dimension. A large number of fundamental physi
al systems falls in su
h a broad ategory, in
luding for instan
e gravitational for
es and unscreened Coulomb interactions[1], vortices in two dimensional fluid mechanics $[2, 3, 4]$ $[2, 3, 4]$ $[2, 3, 4]$ $[2, 3, 4]$ $[2, 3, 4]$ $[2, 3, 4]$, wave-particle systems relevant to plasma physics [5], Free-Electron Lasers $(FELs)$ [\[6,](#page-3-5) [7,](#page-3-6) 8] and even condensed matter physics for easy-axis anti-ferromagnetic spin chains, where dipolar effects become dominant. While for short-range systems only adjacent elements are effectively coupled, longrange for
es result in a global network of inter-parti
les connections, each element soliciting every other constitutive unit. Clearly, from su
h an enhan
ed degree of complexity stems the difficulties in addressing the fascinating realm of long-range systems, for whi
h standard te
hniques in physi
s, notably in the framework of equilibrium statisti
al me
hani
s, proves essentially inadequate. It is in particular customarily accepted that longrange systems display universal out-of-equilibrium features: Long-lived intermediate states an in fa
t emerge, where the system gets, virtually indefinitely, trapped (the time of escape diverging with the number of particles), before relaxing towards its deputed thermodynamic equilibrium. These are the so-called Quasi Stationary States (QSSs) which have been shown to arise in several different physical contexts, ranging from laser physics to cosmology, via plasma applications. A surprising, though general, aspe
t relates to the role of initial onditions of whi
h QSS keeps memory. More intriguingly, su
h a dependen
e an eventually materialise in a genuine outof-equilibrium phase transition: By properly adjusting dedi
ated ontrol parameters whi
h refers to the initial state, one observes the onvergen
e towards intimately distinct macroscopic regimes (e.g. homogeneous/non homogeneous) $[9, 10]$ $[9, 10]$. Recently, such phase transitions for systems embedded in one spatial dimension have been re-interpreted as a topologi
al hange in the single particles orbits [11]. This conclusion is achieved by performing a stroboscopic analysis of individual trajectories, which are being sampled at a specific rate imposed by the emerging time evolution of a collective variable and consequently sensitive to the intrinsic degree of microscopic self-organisation.

QSSs out of equilibrium regimes have been explained by resorting to a maximum entropy principle inspired to the Lynden-Bell's seminal work on the so-called *violent* relaxation theory, an analytical treatment based on the Vlasov equation and originally developed for astrophysi cal applications [12]. The proposed approach is founded on the observation that in the ontinuum limit (for an infinite number of particles) the discrete set of equations des
ribing the physi
al system under s
rutiny, onverges towards the Vlasov equation, whi
h governs the evolution of the particle distribution function. Within this scenario, the QSSs correspond to statistical equilibria of the continuous Vlasov model. The method predicts the existen
e of out of equilibrium phase transitions of the type discussed above and allows to explicitly single out the orresponding transition line. However su
h a theory has been so far developed with reference to a specific lass of initial onditions (the so alled water-bag type), possible extensions to more realistic settings representing at presen
e a hallenge.

In this letter we shall take one significant step forward by providing a strategy to construct a whole family of out-of-equilibrium solutions with referen
e to the paradigmati XY -Hamiltonian Mean Field (XY -HMF) model [13]. This procedure exploits the analogy with an ensemble made of uncoupled pendula and explicitly accommodate for self-consistency as a crucial ingredient. Even more importantly, out-of equilibrium phase transitions are displayed using a redu
ed set of the nonhomogeneous (magnetised) solutions.

The N -body Hamiltonian for the XY -HMF model with ferromagnetic interactions writes

$$
H = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left[\frac{p_i^2}{2} + \frac{1}{2N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} 1 - \cos(q_i - q_j) \right], \qquad (1)
$$

where p_i and q_i are respectively the (canonically conjugate) momentum and position of particle (rotor) i . To monitor the time evolution of the system, one can introduce the "magnetisation" as

$$
\mathbf{M} = \frac{1}{N} \left(\sum \cos q_i, \sum \sin q_i \right) = M \left(\cos \varphi, \sin \varphi \right) . (2)
$$

The equations of motion for the particles can be therefore ast in the form

$$
\begin{cases}\n\dot{p}_i = -M \sin (q_i - \varphi) \\
\dot{q}_i = p_i\n\end{cases},\n\tag{3}
$$

where the dot denotes the time derivative. Let us emphasise that the XY -HMF model displays QSSs, which can be alternatively characterised by either magnetised (nonhomogeneous) or demagnetised (homogeneous) phases, depending on the specific choice of the initial parameters. As anti
ipated, out of equilibrium transition are al
ulated for the water-bag s
enario whi
h amounts to initially assign the particles to populate, randomly and uniformly, the bound domain $[-q_0, q_0] \times [-p_0, p_0]$. This latter initial condition is then univoquely specified by the magnetisation at time zero, namely $M_0 = \sin(q_0)/q_0$, and the energy per particle $U = p_0^2/6 + (1 - M_0^2)/2$. Notice that it is tempting to imagine equations [\(3\)](#page-1-0) as resulting from a set of un
oupled, possibly driven, one dimensional pendula Hamiltonian. Inspired by this analogy, we here intend to shed light onto the out-of-equilibrium dynami
s of the original N -body model, by investigating the equilibrium properties of an asso
iated pendula system. More specifically, let us imagine that the system of coupled rotators has rea
hed some equilibrium state , su
h that in the $N \to \infty$ limit the magnetisation M of the XY -HMF model is constant and equal to m. Equations of motion [\(3\)](#page-1-0) implies that the system formally redu
es to an infinite set of uncoupled pendula whose Hamiltonian reads

$$
H = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{p_i^2}{2} + m(1 - \cos q_i).
$$
 (4)

Our strategy to onstru
t stationary solutions for [\(1\)](#page-0-0) is to consider the m -pendula system governed by [\(4\)](#page-1-1) and compute stationary solutions in the thermodynamic limit of this system $[14]$.

Given an initial condition each pendulum i is confined on a specific torus of the pendulum phase portrait depi
ted in Fig [1.](#page-1-2)

To build a stationary state we naturally onsider the ergodi measure on the torus whi
h originate from the pendulum motion and time averages. In order to pro eed further in the analysis and due to integrability, we employ the canonical transformation to the action-angle variables (I, θ) of the system (see for instance [15]). We thus obtain $H_m = H_m(I_i)$, with $\dot{\theta}_i = \partial H_m/\partial I = \omega(I_i)$, where I_i stands for the constant action, which is fixed by the initial state of the uncoupled pendulum i . For any selected initial condition, as time evolves, θ covers uniformly the circle $[-\pi, \pi]$, while the action I keeps its constant value. The ergodic measure reduces hence to

Figure 1: Phase portrait of the pendulum for $m = 0.5$. The gray region orrespond to a given water-bag.

 $\rho_i(I, \theta) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \delta(I - I_i)$, which immediately translates in

$$
\rho_E = \prod_{i=1}^N \rho_i \,,\tag{5}
$$

when considering an ensemble of N particles. Because of the above and sin
e parti
les are identi
al and non interacting one can straightforwardly deduce the following expression for the one particle density function:

$$
f(I, \theta) = \frac{g(I)}{2\pi} \,, \tag{6}
$$

where $g(\cdot)$ is a discrete valued function, solely determined by the selected initial conditions. In the limit $N \to \infty$, $g(\cdot)$ changes smoothly with the (continuous) action variable.

In order to get a stationary equilibrium solution of the system of pendula we can then consider a given positive and integrable function g , associate to it the function $f(I, \theta)$ according to [\(6\)](#page-1-3). Then we perform an "inverse" transform to obtain an expli
it expression for the one particle density function $\tilde{f}(p,q)$ as defined in the original phase space Γ_{pq} . This latter represents an equilibrium (time invariant) solution, and enables us to estimate any macroscopic observable, defined as a function of the sea of microscopic constituents. More concretely let us turn to consider the global magnetisation M as specified by eq. [\(2\)](#page-1-4)). In the infinite N limit the time average of the magnetisation, which coincides with the ergodic-spatial average, reads:

$$
\overline{\mathbf{M}} = \langle \mathbf{M} \rangle = \left(\int \tilde{f}(p, q) \cos q \, dp dq, 0 \right) , \tag{7}
$$

where the observation that \tilde{f} is even in p and q has been used. Expressing the above in term action-angle variables, one an write

$$
\bar{\mathbf{M}} = \langle \mathbf{M} \rangle = \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int g(I) \cos q(I, \theta) \, dI d\theta \, , 0 \right) \,. \tag{8}
$$

Figure 2: Stationary out of equilibrium distribution $f(p,q)$ with $m = \langle M \rangle = 0.5$ obtained from a water-bag with $q_0 =$ $2\pi/5$ and $p_0 = 1.37$.

The integration over the angle can be performed (see Appendix), yielding to the final form

$$
M = \int_0^{\frac{8}{\pi}\sqrt{m}} g(I) \left(2\frac{E(\kappa)}{K(\kappa)} - 1 \right) dI
$$

+
$$
\int_{\frac{8}{\pi}\sqrt{m}}^{\infty} g(I) \left(1 + \frac{2}{\kappa^2} \left(\frac{E(\kappa^{-1})}{K(\kappa^{-1})} - 1 \right) \right) dI,
$$
 (9)

with $\kappa = \kappa(I) = (H(I) + m)/2m$. Notice that if we consider an initial distribution given by f , then the mag-netisation [\(9\)](#page-2-0) stays constant as, by construction f is stationary. In order to reconcile the m -model of uncoupled pendula to the XY -HMF interacting rotors, we need to impose the ondition

$$
\langle \mathbf{M} \rangle = m \,. \tag{10}
$$

Should there exist an \tilde{f} for which equation [\(10\)](#page-2-1) had an $m \neq 0$ solution, then we would have obtained a stationary solution of the system of pendula, which is in turn also magnetised stationary solution of the XY -HMF model in the $N \to \infty$ limit. Indeed the equations of motion for the system of pendula write

$$
\begin{cases} \n\dot{p}_i = -m \sin q_i \\ \n\dot{q}_i = p_i \n\end{cases} , \n\tag{11}
$$

and given the imposed ondition [\(10\)](#page-2-1) they are formally identical to [\(3\)](#page-1-0) with a constant $\varphi = 0$. Note that the condition of the phase $\varphi = 0$, can be modified at will by a simple shift in the m -pendula Hamiltonian.

Su
h an out of equilibrium solution is displayed in Fig. [2,](#page-2-2) for a specific choice of the initial condition and output magnetisation amount. One typi
ally re
ognises the underlying pendulum phase portrait, with ea
h tori being differently populated according to the function $q(I)$. The non-uniformity of $f(p,q)$ on each torus stems from the nonlinearity of the transformation $q = q(I, \theta)$, $p = p(I, \theta).$

As previously mentioned out of equilibrium, phase transition have been previously reported separating

Figure 3: Magnetisation $\langle M \rangle$ as a function of energy density U for different values of M_0 . The symbols $+, \times, +, \circ$ correspond to non-zero solutions of [10](#page-2-1) computed respectively for $M_0 =$ 0.854, 0.637, 0.368, 0. One can notice a dependence on M_0 , as well as a first order transition for $M_0 = 0$. Computation of the function $q(I)$ is made using an approximate water-bag filled with $1.6\,10^7$ particles. Note also that the that the transition point is very close to that found, numerically in [9].

macroscopically distinct QSSs phases. These findings are here revisited in the framework of the proposed approa
h, which holds promise to generalise the conclusion beyond the water-bag regime so far inspe
ted via the Lynden-Bell ansatz.

Equation [\(10\)](#page-2-1) is implicit in m parametrised through the initial conditions which enter the definition of the function g. Such an equation admits $m = 0$ as a trivial solution. One can then look for more general solutions of Eq. [\(10\)](#page-2-1) with $m \neq 0$. Even though $\tilde{f}(p, q)$ is definitely not of the water-bag type, as clearly depicted in Fig. [2,](#page-2-2) we shall use the water-bag as initial conditions to calculate the function $g(I)$. This choice is motivated by the need of making contact with previous investigations. Reconstructing $q(I)$ is a difficult task which involves a delicate numerical procedure. To do so we consider a large ensemble of parti
les whose distribution approximates a waterbag, then for each particle and a given m we compute its corresponding action to construct an histogram of I and use it as an approximate form of $g(I)$. Finally we use this expression and check whether $m = \langle M \rangle$ and look for possible solutions. The results of this procedure implies a dependen
e on the set of initial onditions, and thus the number N of particles used to construct the approximate waterbag especially when we are close to the $m = \langle M \rangle = 0$ transition line.

Results for different M_0 are depicted in Fig. [3.](#page-2-3) One an learly appre
iate the transition from a magnetised state to a non magnetised one, as well as a first order type of transition for $M_0 = 0$.

A few omments are mandatory at this point. First, we insist on the fact that the phase space trajectories corresponding to derived solutions and its asso
iated time evolution in Γ_{pq} apply to two, intrinsically different, dy-

namical systems, namely [\(1\)](#page-0-0) and [\(4\)](#page-1-1). Second, consider the average energy per particle $U = E/N$. We point out that the onstants in Hamiltonians [\(1\)](#page-0-0) and [\(4\)](#page-1-1) are hosen in order to have 0 as ^a minimal value for the energy, which, in the thermodynamic limit, implies $U = 0$ for a zero temperature. Now focus on U_{HMF} for the XY -HMF model: We obtain $U_{HMF} = T/2 - M^2/2 + 1/2$, while for the pendula one gets $U_p = T/2 - M^2 + M$. Here, in both cases, $T/2$ is the average kinetic energy per particle. Different energies are thus associated to the same trajectory, depending on the dynamical system that is being chosen to generate it. In order to reconcile the two models one an infer that the hemi
al potential of the parti
le is different, yielding to $\delta \mu = \delta U = (M-1)^2/2$ for respectively the integrable un
oupled model and the globally oupled one. Furthermore, noti
e that the swit
h from Hamiltonian [\(1\)](#page-0-0) to Hamiltonian [\(4\)](#page-1-1) implies a loss of the translation invariance in the q_i and is thus related to a localisation phenomenon. Moreover, solutions with constant $M = m = 0$ do correspond to a one dimensional perfe
t gas: the observed phase transition an hen
e be seen as a sort of sublimation.

In conclusion, with reference to the XY-HMF model, we have designed an analytical scheme which allows to identify all possible stationary solutions with onstant magnetisation M using a set of integrable uncoupled pendula. This in
ludes as a subset the elebrated QSSs, which are therefore formally understood within a consistent mathemati
al framework. Following these lines, it an be inferred that the out of equilibrium states predicted by the statistical mechanics scenario pioneered by Lynden-Bell [\[10](#page-3-9)], should belong to the class of solutions here displayed. We are then providing de facto a testbed for accuracy of the controversial Lynden-Bell theory [12].

- [1] Dynamics and Thermodynamics of Systems with Long Range Intera
tions, Vol. 602 of Le
t. Not. Phys., edited by T. Dauxois, S. Ruffo, E. Arimondo, and M. Wilkens (Springer, ADDRESS, 2002).
- [2] L. Onsager, Nuovo Cimento, Suppl. 6, 279 (1949).
- [3] S. F. Edwards and J. B. Taylor, Proc. R. Soc. Lond. A 336. 257 (1974). 336, 257 (1974).
- [4] J. B. Weiss and J. C. McWilliams, Phys. Fluids A 3, 835 (1991).
- [5] Y. Elskens and D. F. Escande, *Microscopic Dynamics of* Plasmas and Chaos (IoP Publishing, Bristol, 2002).
- [6] R. Bonifacio, Riv. Nuovo Cimento 13, 1 (1990).
- [7] J. Barré et al., Phys. Rev. E 69, 045501(R) (2004) .
- [8] R. Bachelard et al., Eur. Phys. J. D 42, 125 (2007).
- [9] A. Antoniazzi, D. Fanelli, S. Ruffo, and Y. Yamaguchi,

A
knowledgments

We acknowledge usefull conversations with B. Fernandez, S. Ruffo and M. Vittot.

I. APPENDIX

We shall here review the main mathematical tools whi
h are employed in the above derivation. When it omes to the pendulum motion, trapped orbits (libration) are characterised by:

$$
q = 2\sin^{-1}\left[\kappa sn\left(\frac{2K(\kappa)\theta}{\pi}, \kappa\right)\right]
$$

$$
I = \frac{8}{\pi}\sqrt{m}\left[E(\kappa) - \kappa'^2 K(\kappa)\right]
$$

$$
\langle \cos q \rangle = 2\frac{E(\kappa)}{K(\kappa)} - 1
$$

while for the untrapped ones (rotation) the following re-rotation \mathcal{F} re-rotation) the following re-rotation (relations hold:

$$
q = 2am\left(\frac{2K(1/\kappa)\theta}{\pi}, \kappa^{-1}\right)
$$

$$
I = \frac{8\kappa}{\pi}\sqrt{m}E(1/\kappa)
$$

$$
\langle \cos q \rangle = 1 + 2\kappa^2 \left(\frac{E(\kappa^{-1})}{K(\kappa^{-1})} - 1\right)
$$

with $\kappa^2 = (h+m)/2m$. Here $\langle \cdot \rangle = \int_0^{2\pi} d\theta/(2\pi)$.

Phys. Rev. Lett. 99, 040601 (2007).

- [10] P. H. Chavanis, G. D. Ninno, D. Fanelli, and S. Ruffo, in Chaos, Complexity and Transport, edited by C. Chandre, X. Leoncini, and G. Zaslavsky (World Scientific, Singapore, 2008 , pp. $3-26$.
- [11] R. Bachelard et al., [arXiv:0809.3400v](http://arxiv.org/abs/0809.3400)1 [cond-mat.statmech (2008), submitted.
- [12] D. Lynden-Bell, Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. 136, 101 (1967).
- [13] M. Antoni and S. Ruffo, Phys. Rev. E 52, 3261 (1995).
- [14] T. L. Van den Berg, Master's thesis, Université Paris VII, 2008.
- [15] G. M. Zaslavsky, The Physics of Chaos in Hamiltonian Systems (Imperial College Press, London, 2007), second Edition.