

Generating Static Spherically Symmetric Black-holes in Lovelock Gravity

S. Habib Mazharimousavi,^{*} O. Gurtug,[†] and M. Halilsoy[‡]

*Department of Physics, Eastern Mediterranean University,
G. Magusa, North Cyprus, Mersin 10 - Turkey.*

Abstract

Generalization of a known theorem to generate static, spherically symmetric black-hole solutions in higher dimensional Lovelock gravity is presented. Particular limits, such as Gauss-Bonnet (GB) and/or Einstein-Hilbert (EH) in any dimension N yield all the solutions known to date with an energy-momentum. In our generalization, with special emphasis on the third order Lovelock gravity, we have found two different class of solutions characterized by the matter field parameter. Several particular cases are studied and properties related to asymptotic behaviours are discussed. Our general solution which covers topological black holes as well, splits naturally into distinct classes such as Chern-Simon (CS) and Born-Infeld (BI) in higher dimensions. The occurrence of naked singularities are studied and it is found that, the spacetime behaves nonsingular in quantum mechanical sense when it is probed with quantum test particles. The theorem is extended to cover Bertotti-Robinson (BR) type solutions in the presence of the GB parameter alone. Finally we prove also that extension of the theorem for a scalar-tensor source of higher dimensions ($N > 4$) fails to work.

^{*}Electronic address: habib.mazhari@emu.edu.tr

[†]Electronic address: ozay.gurtug@emu.edu.tr

[‡]Electronic address: mustafa.halilsoy@emu.edu.tr

I. INTRODUCTION

One of the most interesting features of the string theory is to provide an arena for higher dimensional space-times. String theory together with higher dimensions supports also the description of objects known as branes. There is no doubt that, the most intriguing solution in higher dimensional space-times is the one that is associated with black holes. The pioneering work in this regard belong to Boulware and Deser [1]. They obtained the most general static black hole solutions in Einstein - Gauss - Bonnet (EGB) theory. Recent studies show that there is a growing interest to find black-hole solutions in higher dimensional gravity. This task is accomplished by the use of the most general action that describes black-hole solutions in Einstein-Lovelock theory[2]. This is the most general theory that hosts higher order invariants in particular combinations so that field equations remain second order and therefore do not contain ghosts. Physical properties of the Einstein - Lovelock theory that admits black holes is analyzed in detail in the Ref.[3]. Black hole solutions in Lovelock theory is important in the sense that the higher order curvature terms contribute to the inner structure of black holes. For example, in 4- dimensional general relativity, inner (Cauchy) and outer (event) horizons arise in the Reissner-Nordström black hole in which the corresponding space-time admits two Killing vectors orthogonal to each other. However, it is demonstrated in [4] that, double horizons may arise in the Einstein - Lovelock theory in the absence of the matter fields as well. Another remarkable aspect of the Lovelock theory is to provide topological black holes in which the curvature scalar is not positive[5, 6, 7].

In general theory of relativity, static, spherically symmetric space-times constitute one of the simplest and tractable model as far as the analytic, exact solutions are concerned. Recently, Salgado [8], has developed a theorem, to generate exact black-hole solutions by imposing some conditions on the energy-momentum tensor. This theorem has been extended to the higher dimensional spacetimes [9] in which Schwarzschild, Reissner-Nordström and global monopole solutions in higher dimensions are particular cases. Later on, another version of the theorem has been introduced to generate exact radiative (dynamic) black-hole solutions [10]. This can be interpreted as the dynamic extension of the Salgado's theorem in which the generalized, spherically symmetric Type II fluid solution is obtained. Many known solutions are shown to be the particular limits of this generalized solution. The extension of this non-static radiative solution in the EGB and Born-Infeld nonlinear

electrodynamic theory is also considered in [11]. More recently, it has been shown that the N -dimensional extension of radiative, dynamic black-hole solutions are possible as well[12].

In this paper, we wish to extend Salgado's theorem to the arbitrary dimensional, Lovelock gravity coupled with matter fields, starting with the third order. In our case, matter fields couple to the system through an arbitrary constant parameter. It is shown that, our general solution includes the well-known solutions in particular limits, namely, the GB and Einstein limits in any lower dimensional solutions. Besides, in $N = 7$, we present a black hole solution with interesting properties that, depending on the constant parameters α_2 (the GB parameter), α_3 (the third order Lovelock parameter) and C (the energy momentum parameter), one or two horizons may develop. Moreover, we emphasize that depending on these parameters our solutions are either flat or de Sitter / anti - de Sitter types. We discuss also the behavior of the naked singularity when it is probed with quantum particles. It is found quantum mechanically that, the classical timelike curvature singularity at the origin remains nonsingular .

It is a known fact that all standard black hole solutions in spherically symmetric spacetimes possess a central singularity at $r = 0$. Our analysis has shown for $N = 7$ that the third order Lovelock term is effective in removing the black hole property and leaving the central singularity at $r = 0$ as a *naked* singularity. It can be shown that for $N > 7$, higher order parameters α_s , ($s > 3$) plays a similar role. Following the analysis of Ref.[13] we can scan the family of black holes in general Lovelock theory which can be labelled as Chern-Simon (CS), Born-Infeld (BI) types and those that fit neither scheme. This is related with the odd/even dimensionality and fine-tuning of the coupling parameter which plays crucial roles in the thermodynamical properties. We consider the implication of the Salgado's theorem within the context of Bertotti-Robinson (BR) type spacetimes. As a final application of the Salgado's theorem we investigate whether it is applicable for a scalar field in higher dimensions: It turns out that the theorem is valid only in $N = 4$.

The paper is organized as follows: In Sec. *II* we give a brief summary of the N -dimensional Einstein - third order Lovelock gravity. We present the static spherically symmetric 7 - dimensional solution in third order Lovelock theory with physical properties in Sec. *III*. In section *IV* we present the general solution to the Lovelock gravity that confirms Salgado's theorem . In Sec. *V*, we introduce the general form of the theorem concerning BR type solutions with GB term in any dimension and investigate applicability of

the theorem to the scalar - tensor theory. The paper ends with a conclusion in Sec. VI.

II. N -DIMENSIONAL THIRD ORDER EINSTEIN - LOVELOCK GRAVITY.

The action describing N -dimensional third order Einstein - Lovelock gravity coupled with matter fields is given by;

$$S = \int dx^N \sqrt{-g} \{ \mathcal{L}_{EH} + \alpha_2 \mathcal{L}_{GB} + \alpha_3 \mathcal{L}_L \} + S_{matter}, \quad (1)$$

where \mathcal{L}_{EH} (the first order, or Einstein-Hilbert term), \mathcal{L}_{GB} (the second order, or Gauss-Bonnet term) and \mathcal{L}_L (the third order Lovelock term) are defined as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{EH} &= R, \\ \mathcal{L}_{GB} &= R_{\mu\nu\gamma\delta} R^{\mu\nu\gamma\delta} - 4R_{\mu\nu} R^{\mu\nu} + R^2, \\ \mathcal{L}_L &= 2R^{\mu\nu\sigma\kappa} R_{\sigma\kappa\rho\tau} R^{\rho\tau}_{\mu\nu} + 8R^{\mu\nu}_{\sigma\rho} R^{\sigma\kappa}_{\nu\tau} R^{\rho\tau}_{\mu\kappa} + 24R^{\mu\nu\sigma\kappa} R_{\sigma\kappa\nu\rho} R^{\rho}_{\mu} \\ &\quad + 3RR^{\mu\nu\sigma\kappa} R_{\sigma\kappa\mu\nu} + 24R^{\mu\nu\sigma\kappa} R_{\sigma\mu} R_{\kappa\nu} + 16R^{\mu\nu} R_{\nu\sigma} R^{\sigma}_{\mu} - 12RR^{\mu\nu} R_{\mu\nu} + R^3. \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

The constants α_2 and α_3 stand for arbitrary constants whereas S_{matter} represents the action of the matter fields. We recall that for $S_{matter} = 0$, \mathcal{L}_{GB} and \mathcal{L}_L terms become meaningful only for $N \geq 5$ and $N \geq 7$, respectively. Variation of the action with respect to the metric tensor $g_{\mu\nu}$ yields the field equations in the form

$$G_{\mu\nu}^{EH} + \alpha_2 G_{\mu\nu}^{GB} + \alpha_3 G_{\mu\nu}^L = T_{\mu\nu}, \quad (3)$$

where $T_{\mu\nu}$ is the energy-momentum tensor representing the matter fields. $G_{\mu\nu}^{EH}$ is the Einstein tensor, and $G_{\mu\nu}^{GB}$ and $G_{\mu\nu}^L$ are given as:

$$G_{\mu\nu}^{GB} = 2(-R_{\mu\sigma\kappa\tau} R^{\kappa\tau\sigma}_{\nu} - 2R_{\mu\rho\nu\sigma} R^{\rho\sigma} - 2R_{\mu\sigma} R^{\sigma}_{\nu} + RR_{\mu\nu}) - \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{L}_{GB} g_{\mu\nu}, \quad (4)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
G_{\mu\nu}^L = & -3(4R^{\tau\rho\sigma\kappa}R_{\sigma\kappa\lambda\rho}R^\lambda{}_{\nu\tau\mu} - 8R^{\tau\rho}{}_{\lambda\sigma}R^{\sigma\kappa}{}_{\tau\mu}R^\lambda{}_{\nu\rho\kappa} + 2R_\nu{}^{\tau\sigma\kappa}R_{\sigma\kappa\lambda\rho}R^{\lambda\rho}{}_{\tau\mu} \\
& - R^{\tau\rho\sigma\kappa}R_{\sigma\kappa\lambda\rho}R_{\nu\mu} + 8R^\tau{}_{\nu\sigma\rho}R^{\sigma\kappa}{}_{\tau\nu}R^\rho{}_{\kappa} + 8R^\sigma{}_{\nu\tau\kappa}R^{\tau\rho}{}_{\sigma\mu}R^\kappa{}_{\rho} \\
& + 4R_\nu{}^{\tau\sigma\kappa}R_{\sigma\kappa\mu\rho}R^\rho{}_{\tau} - 4R_\nu{}^{\tau\sigma\kappa}R_{\sigma\kappa\tau\rho}R^\rho{}_{\mu} + 4R^{\tau\rho\sigma\kappa}R_{\sigma\kappa\tau\mu}R_{\nu\rho} + 2RR_\nu{}^{\kappa\tau\rho}R_{\tau\rho\kappa\mu} \\
& + 8R^\tau{}_{\nu\mu\rho}R^\rho{}_{\sigma}R^\sigma{}_{\tau} - 8R^\sigma{}_{\nu\tau\rho}R^\tau{}_{\sigma}R^\rho{}_{\mu} - 8R^{\tau\rho}{}_{\sigma\mu}R^\sigma{}_{\tau}R_{\nu\rho} - 4RR^\tau{}_{\nu\mu\rho}R^\rho{}_{\tau} \\
& + 4R^{\tau\rho}R_{\rho\tau}R_{\nu\mu} - 8R^\tau{}_{\mu}R_{\tau\rho}R^\rho{}_{\mu} + 4RR_{\nu\rho}R^\rho{}_{\mu} - R^2R_{\nu\mu}) - \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{L}_L g_{\mu\nu}.
\end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

III. THE STATIC SOLUTION.

Generalization of the Salgado's theorem to the third order Lovelock theory together with arbitrary matter fields is as follows:

Theorem 1 *Let (M, g_{ab}) be a N -dimensional space-time with $\text{sign}(g_{ab}) = N - 2$, $N \geq 3$, such that : (1) it is static and spherically symmetric, (2) it satisfies the Einstein field equations, (3) the energy momentum tensor T^{ab} satisfies the conditions $T_r^r = T_t^t$ and $T_{\theta_i}^{\theta_i} = kT_r^r$ ($1 \leq i \leq n - 2$, $k = \text{constant} \in \mathbb{R}$), (4) it possess a regular Killing horizon or a regular origin. Then, the metric of the space-time is given by*

$$ds^2 = -f(r)dt^2 + f(r)^{-1}dr^2 + r^2d\sigma_n^2, \tag{6}$$

where

$$d\sigma_n^2 = \begin{cases} d\theta_1^2 + \sin^2\theta_1 \sum_{i=2}^n \prod_{j=2}^{i-1} \sin^2\theta_j d\theta_i^2, & 0 \leq \theta_n \leq 2\pi, 0 \leq \theta_i \leq \pi, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n-1, \text{ for } \chi = 1, \\ \sum_{i=1}^n d\theta_i^2, & 0 \leq \theta_i \leq 2\pi, \quad \text{for } \chi = 0, \\ d\theta_1^2 + \sinh^2\theta_1 \sum_{i=2}^n \prod_{j=2}^{i-1} \sin^2\theta_j d\theta_i^2, & 0 \leq \theta_n \leq 2\pi, 0 \leq \theta_i \leq \pi, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n-1, \text{ for } \chi = -1, \end{cases}$$

stands for the line element of the n -dimensional base manifold Σ which is assumed to be compact, without boundary, and of constant curvature $n(n-1)\chi$ that without loss of the generality, one may take $\chi = \pm 1, 0$. This implies that the surface is locally isometric to the sphere S^n , flat space R^n , or to the hyperbolic manifold H^n for $\chi = 1; 0; -1$, respectively. and the energy momentum tensor in general is in the following form

$$T_{\mu(Diag.)}^\nu = \frac{C}{r^{n(1-k)}} [1, 1, k, \dots, k], \tag{7}$$

in which C is an integration constant.

Proof. From the hypothesis (1), the related spacetime can be described by the metric,

$$ds^2 = -N^2(r)dt^2 + A^2(r)dr^2 + r^2d\Omega_n^2. \quad (8)$$

Hypothesis (2), implies that this metric must satisfy the Einstein-Lovelock equations described by,

$$\mathcal{G}_{\mu\nu} = G_{\mu\nu}^{EH} + \alpha_2 G_{\mu\nu}^{GB} + \alpha_3 G_{\mu\nu}^L = T_{\mu\nu}. \quad (9)$$

From the hypothesis (3), $T_t^t - T_r^r = 0$ and hence, one finds $\mathcal{G}_t^t - \mathcal{G}_r^r = 0$ whose explicit form on integration gives $|g_{00}g_{11}| = C_0 = \text{constant}$, and it remains to choose the time scale at infinity to make this constant equal to unity. This leads to choose the metric functions such that,

$$N^2(r) = f(r) \quad \text{and} \quad A^2(r) = f^{-1}(r). \quad (10)$$

Among others, the rr -component of the Eq. (9) is the simplest one and can be written as,

$$T_r^r = \frac{n}{2r^6} \{ [r^5 - 2\tilde{\alpha}_2 r^3 g(r) + 3\tilde{\alpha}_3 r g(r)^2] g'(r) + (n-1)r^4 g(r) - (n-3)\tilde{\alpha}_2 r^2 g(r)^2 + (n-5)\tilde{\alpha}_3 g(r)^3 \} \quad (11)$$

in which a prime denotes derivative with respect to r , $g(r) = f(r) - \chi$, $\tilde{\alpha}_2 = (n-1)(n-2)\alpha_2$, $\tilde{\alpha}_3 = (n-1)(n-2)(n-3)(n-4)\alpha_3$ and $n = N - 2$. From the conservation laws $\nabla_\mu T^\mu_\nu = 0$, we have,

$$\partial_r T_r^r = \frac{1}{2f} (T_t^t - T_r^r) \frac{\partial f}{\partial r} - \frac{n}{r} (T_r^r - T_{\theta_1}^{\theta_1}). \quad (12)$$

Using hypothesis (3), this equation reduces to,

$$\partial_r T_r^r = -\frac{n}{r} (T_r^r - T_{\theta_1}^{\theta_1}), \quad (13)$$

whose integration gives,

$$T_r^r = \frac{C}{r^{n(1-k)}}. \quad (14)$$

These results can be combined, so that we have the diagonal T^μ_ν as,

$$T^\mu_{\nu(Diag.)} = \frac{C}{r^{n(1-k)}} [1, 1, k, \dots, k]. \quad (15)$$

The general solution of the Eq.(9) under (11) in any dimension is obtained for two different broad classes A and B as follows. ■

Class A: The solution in this class is categorized according to the energy-momentum parameter $k \neq -\frac{1}{n}$, given by;

$$f(r) = \chi + \frac{\tilde{\alpha}_2}{3\tilde{\alpha}_3} r^2 - 2G \left(1 - \frac{\tilde{\alpha}_2^2}{3\tilde{\alpha}_3} \right) r^{n-1} \xi_2^{-1/3} + \frac{1}{6\tilde{\alpha}_3 G r^{n-5}} \xi_2^{1/3}, \quad \text{for } k \neq -\frac{1}{n}, \quad (16)$$

in which,

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_2 &= r^{n-9} \left[216C\tilde{\alpha}_3^2 r^{n(1+k)} + 8G\tilde{\alpha}_2 \left(\tilde{\alpha}_2^2 - \frac{9}{2}\tilde{\alpha}_3 \right) r^{2n} + \right. \\ &\quad \left. 12\tilde{\alpha}_3 r^4 \left(\sqrt{3\xi_1} - 9\frac{mG\tilde{\alpha}_3}{n} r^{n-5} \right) \right] G^2, \\ \xi_1 &= 108C^2\tilde{\alpha}_3^2 r^{2n(1+k)-8} + \frac{G}{n} \left\{ r^{n(3+k)-8} \left(8n\tilde{\alpha}_2^2 C \left(\tilde{\alpha}_2^2 - \frac{9}{2}\tilde{\alpha}_3 \right) + 108mC\tilde{\alpha}_3^2 r^{-n-1} \right) - \right. \\ &\quad \left. \frac{G}{n} r^{2(n-2)} \left[4mn\tilde{\alpha}_2 r^{n-2} \left(\tilde{\alpha}_2^2 - \frac{9}{2}\tilde{\alpha}_3 \right) + n^2 \left(\tilde{\alpha}_2^2 - 4\tilde{\alpha}_3 \right) r^{2(n-2)} - 27\tilde{\alpha}_3^2 m^2 r^{-6} \right] \right\}, \\ G &= n(nk + 1). \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

Class B: This class represents the solution that belongs to the energy-momentum parameter $k = -\frac{1}{n}$ and is given by;

$$f(r) = \chi + \frac{\tilde{\alpha}_2}{3\tilde{\alpha}_3} r^2 - 2n \left(1 - \frac{\tilde{\alpha}_2^2}{3\tilde{\alpha}_3} \right) r^{n+5} \zeta_2^{-1/3} + \frac{1}{6\tilde{\alpha}_3 n r^{n+1}} \zeta_2^{1/3}, \quad \text{for } k = -\frac{1}{n}, \quad (18)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_2 &= n^2 r^{2(n+3)} \left[216\tilde{\alpha}_3^2 r^2 \left(C \ln r - \frac{m}{2} \right) + 8\tilde{\alpha}_2 \left(\tilde{\alpha}_2^2 - \frac{9}{2}\tilde{\alpha}_3 \right) r^{n+3} + 12\tilde{\alpha}_3 \sqrt{3\zeta_1} \right], \\ \zeta_1 &= -n^2 \left(\tilde{\alpha}_2^2 - 4\tilde{\alpha}_3 \right) r^{2(n+3)} + 27(m - 2C \ln r) \times \\ &\quad \left[-\frac{4}{27} n \tilde{\alpha}_2 r^{n+5} \left(\tilde{\alpha}_2^2 - \frac{9}{2}\tilde{\alpha}_3 \right) + r^4 \tilde{\alpha}_3^2 (m - 2C \ln r) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

In both classes *A* and *B*, m is an integration constant that may be related with the mass. We note that in $(n+2)$ -dimensions for $k = -1$ and $C = -q^2$, class *A* overlaps with the solution in [4]. Also by setting $k = \frac{n-4}{n}$ and $C = -\frac{1}{2}n(n-1)Q^2$ one recovers the Einstein-Yang-Mills (EYM) black hole solutions [14, 15]. Another case also in class *A* is to take $k = 1$ which leads us to a perfect-fluid type energy momentum tensor with constant energy density (ρ), tension (τ) and pressure (p), such that $C = \rho = \tau = p$. We wish to remark that

with the choices of $m = C = \tilde{\alpha}_2 = 0$, leaving behind only $\tilde{\alpha}_3 \neq 0$, leads to the flat space $f(r) = \chi$. This implies that the presence of $\tilde{\alpha}_3 \neq 0$, alone amounts to none other than the trivial contribution except when $m \neq 0$, and/or $C \neq 0$.

We observe from the class B which is a new solution that, in any higher dimension it is possible to have a logarithmic term. We recall that such solutions were encountered in 5-dimensional EYM [14] theories. As we demonstrate in Eqs.(18-19) similar solutions are also possible in Einstein-Third Order Lovelock gravity. However, their physical interpretation for higher than 5- dimensional cases needs further investigation.

A. Properties of the General Solution.

Since the foregoing solutions are complicated enough for physical interpretation, we prefer to relate the constants $\tilde{\alpha}_2$ and $\tilde{\alpha}_3$ in such a way that $3\tilde{\alpha}_3 = \tilde{\alpha}_2^2$. Let us note that the particular combination $\tilde{\alpha}_2^2 - 3\tilde{\alpha}_3$ arises naturally in the formalism. Choosing this to vanish seems to be the easiest simplifying assumption which accounts for both of the parameters. Given the complexity of the theory this choice doesn't sacrifice much from the essence of the Lovelock theory. This choice simplifies the above results to; for class A ,

$$\begin{aligned}
f(r) &= \chi + \frac{1}{2\tilde{\alpha}_2^2 Gr^{n-5}} \left(\xi_2^{1/3} + 2G\tilde{\alpha}_2 r^{n-3} \right) \quad \text{for} \quad k \neq -\frac{1}{n} \quad (20) \\
\xi_2 &= 4\tilde{\alpha}_2^2 \left[6r^{n(2+k)-9} C \tilde{\alpha}_2^2 - 3\tilde{\alpha}_2^2 \frac{G}{n} m r^{2(n-5)} - G\tilde{\alpha}_2 r^{3(n-3)} + \sqrt{3\xi_1} r^{n-5} \right] G^2 \\
\xi_1 &= 3 \left\{ 4r^{2(n-4+nk)} C^2 \tilde{\alpha}_2^2 + \left[4\tilde{\alpha}_2 C r^{n(k+2)} \left(\frac{nr^{n-8}}{3} + r^{-9} \tilde{\alpha}_2 m \right) + \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. \left(\frac{2m}{3} n \tilde{\alpha}_2 r^{3n-9} + \tilde{\alpha}_2^2 r^{2n-10} m^2 + \frac{n^2 r^{4(n-2)}}{9} \right) (nk+1) \right] (nk+1) \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

and class B :

$$\begin{aligned}
f(r) &= \chi + \frac{r^2}{\tilde{\alpha}_2} + \frac{1}{2n\tilde{\alpha}_2^2 r^{n+1}} \zeta_2^{1/3}, \quad \text{for} \quad k = -\frac{1}{n}, \quad (21) \\
\zeta_2 &= 4n^2 \tilde{\alpha}_2^2 r^{2(n+3)} \left[6\tilde{\alpha}_2^2 r^2 \left(C \ln r - \frac{m}{2} \right) - n\tilde{\alpha}_2 r^{n+3} + \sqrt{3\zeta_1} \right], \\
\zeta_1 &= \frac{\tilde{\alpha}_2^2}{3} \left\{ n^2 r^{2(n+3)} + 9(m - 2C \ln r) \tilde{\alpha}_2 \left[\frac{2}{3} n r^{n+5} + r^4 \tilde{\alpha}_2 (m - 2C \ln r) \right] \right\},
\end{aligned}$$

respectively. The matter fields are coupled to the system through the constant parameters C and k . The inclusion of the matter fields must satisfy certain energy conditions as far as the physically acceptable solutions are concerned. These energy conditions are given in Appendix. According to these conditions our general solution satisfies the Dominant Energy Condition (DEC) and restricts the constant parameters as $C \leq 0$ and $-1 \leq k \leq 0$, while the causality condition bounds the parameter k further to $-1 \leq k \leq -\frac{1}{n}$.

We wish to underline the special case when $k = \frac{1}{n}$. The general solution for this particular case is, (from class A , either through a tedious reduction procedure or directly from Eq. (16))

$$f(r) = \chi + \frac{r^2}{\tilde{\alpha}_2} \left\{ 1 - \sqrt[3]{1 - \frac{3C\tilde{\alpha}_2}{nr^{n-1}} + \frac{3m\tilde{\alpha}_2}{nr^{n+1}}} \right\}. \quad (22)$$

This is a black hole solution with horizon r_h which can be found from $f(r_h) = 0$. This implies,

$$nr_h^{n-5}\tilde{\alpha}_2^2 + 3nr_h^{n-3}\tilde{\alpha}_2 + 3nr_h^{n-1} + 3Cr_h^2 - 3m = 0. \quad (23)$$

It is important to note that this solution (22) does satisfy only the Weak Energy Condition (WEC), and therefore the limitations on the constant parameters are given by $C \leq 0$ and $k \leq 1$. Although this particular case yields a negative pressure (see Appendix) which may contribute to the accelerated expansion of the universe, the fact that the Dominant (DEC) and Strong energy conditions (SEC) and causality are violated limits its applicability.

1. Seven - Dimensional Case.

As it was clarified in Ref.[4], the physically acceptable description of the solution obtained in the third order Lovelock gravity is to reduce the general solution to a seven - dimensional spacetime. The seven - dimensional spacetimes represent the most general Lagrangian producing second order field equations, as in the four - dimensional gravity the Einstein - Hilbert action constitutes the most general such Lagrangian. Further, $N = 7$ belongs at the same time to the class of the CS family of black holes[13]. Hence the class A solution reduces to;

$$\begin{aligned}
f(r) &= \chi + \frac{r^2}{\tilde{\alpha}_2} + \frac{\xi_2^{1/3}}{10(5k+1)\tilde{\alpha}_2^2} \quad \text{for} \quad k \neq -\frac{1}{5} \\
\xi_2 &= 100\tilde{\alpha}_2^2(5k+1)^2 \left[\sqrt{3\xi_1} + 6C\tilde{\alpha}_2^2 r^{5k+1} - (5k+1)(5r^6 + 3m\tilde{\alpha}_2) \right], \\
\xi_1 &= -12\tilde{\alpha}_2^2 \left\{ (5k+1) \left(m\tilde{\alpha}_2 + \frac{5}{3}r^6 \right) \left[C\tilde{\alpha}_2 r^{5k+1} - \frac{1}{4} \left(m\tilde{\alpha}_2 + \frac{5}{3}r^6 \right) (5k+1) \right] \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \tilde{\alpha}_2^2 C^2 r^{2(5k+1)} \right\},
\end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

This result generalizes the formerly obtained solutions for any choice of matter fields upon choosing specific values for k and C . For example, if we choose $k = -1$ and $C = -q^2$, we recover the solution obtained in [4].

The other solution (class B) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
f(r) &= \chi + \frac{r^2}{\tilde{\alpha}_2} + \frac{\zeta_2^{1/3}}{10\tilde{\alpha}_2^2 r^6}, \quad \text{for} \quad k = -\frac{1}{5}, \\
\zeta_2 &= 100\tilde{\alpha}_2^2 r^{16} \left[6\tilde{\alpha}_2^2 r^2 \left(C \ln r - \frac{m}{2} \right) - 5\tilde{\alpha}_2 r^8 + \sqrt{3\zeta_1} \right], \\
\zeta_1 &= \tilde{\alpha}_2^2 r^4 \left\{ [\tilde{\alpha}_2 (m - 2C \ln r) + 5r^6]^2 - \frac{50}{3} r^{12} \right\}.
\end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

It can be checked that depending on the signs of $\tilde{\alpha}_2$ and ζ_2 we may have both cases of black hole and non - black hole solutions.

B. Asymptotic Behavior of the General Solutions For $N = 7$:

For class A , the matter field parameter is bounded by $5k + 1 < 6$: The asymptotic behavior (as $r \rightarrow \infty$) of class A solution is investigated for the following possible conditions. According to these conditions the solutions are given below.

Case 1: $\tilde{\alpha}_2 \neq 0$ and $\tilde{\alpha}_3 \neq 0$. The general solution for this case is rather complicated so that we prefer to give only its asymptotic form,

$$f(r) \simeq \chi + \Lambda_{eff} r^2, \tag{26}$$

where

$$\Lambda_{eff} = \frac{\sqrt[3]{2}(\tilde{\alpha}_2^2 - 3\tilde{\alpha}_3)}{3\tilde{\alpha}_3\sqrt[3]{\delta}} + \frac{1}{6\tilde{\alpha}_3} \left(2\tilde{\alpha}_2 + \sqrt[3]{4\delta} \right),$$

in which $\delta = 3\tilde{\alpha}_3\sqrt{3}\sqrt{4\tilde{\alpha}_3 - \tilde{\alpha}_2^2} - 9\tilde{\alpha}_2\tilde{\alpha}_3 + 2\tilde{\alpha}_2^3$. This is nothing but a de-Sitter (anti - de Sitter) like behavior.

Case 2: $\tilde{\alpha}_2 = 0$ and $\tilde{\alpha}_3 \neq 0$. The general solution now takes the form (from Eq.s (16) and (17)),

$$f(r) = \chi - \frac{10(1+5k)}{\sqrt[3]{\xi_2}}r^4 + \frac{\sqrt[3]{\xi_2}}{30(1+5k)\tilde{\alpha}_3}, \quad (27)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_2 &= \tilde{\alpha}_3^2(5k+1)^2 \left[5400r^{5k+1} + 300\sqrt{3\xi_1} - 40500(5k+1)m \right], \\ \xi_1 &= -1620(5k+1)mCr^{5k+1} + 108C^2r^{2(5k+1)} + 6075(5k+1)^2 \left(m^2 + \frac{4}{243\tilde{\alpha}_3}r^{12} \right) \end{aligned}$$

This solution asymptotically behaves as,

$$f(r) \simeq \chi. \quad (28)$$

which implies a flat space. The physical implications of this particular case will be explored in the next section.

Case 3: $\tilde{\alpha}_2 \neq 0$ and $\tilde{\alpha}_3 = 0$. The general solution is,

$$f(r) = \chi + \frac{5r^3(5k+1) \pm 10\sqrt{\frac{(5k+1)}{5} \left[15(5k+1) \left(\frac{r^6}{12} + M\tilde{\alpha}_2 \right) - 2\tilde{\alpha}_2Cr^{5k+1} \right]}}{5\tilde{\alpha}_2(5k+1)r}, \quad (29)$$

whose asymptotic behavior is

$$f(r) \simeq \chi + \frac{r^2}{\tilde{\alpha}_2} (1 \pm 1). \quad (30)$$

Case 4: $3\tilde{\alpha}_3 = \tilde{\alpha}_2^2$. The general solution for this case is,

$$\begin{aligned} f(r) &= \chi + \frac{r^2}{\tilde{\alpha}_2} + \\ &\frac{1}{5\tilde{\alpha}_2(5k+1)} \sqrt[3]{(5k+1)^2 \left[150\tilde{\alpha}_2Cr^{5k+1} - 5625 \left(\tilde{\alpha}_2M + \frac{r^6}{9} \right) \left(\frac{5k+1}{5} \right) \right]}, \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

The asymptotic behavior is,

$$f(r) \simeq \chi. \quad (32)$$

i.e. flat.

For class B :

Case 1: $\tilde{\alpha}_2 \neq 0$ and $\tilde{\alpha}_3 \neq 0$. Due to the complexity of the general solution, we prefer to give only the asymptotic solution,

$$f(r) \simeq \chi + \Lambda_{eff} r^2, \quad (33)$$

where

$$\Lambda_{eff} = \frac{\tilde{\alpha}_2}{3\tilde{\alpha}_3} + \frac{1}{6\tilde{\alpha}_3} \sqrt[3]{\delta} + \frac{2(\tilde{\alpha}_2^2 - 3\tilde{\alpha}_3)}{3\tilde{\alpha}_3 \sqrt[3]{\delta}},$$

in which $\delta = 8\tilde{\alpha}_2^3 - 36\tilde{\alpha}_2\tilde{\alpha}_3 + 12\sqrt{3}\tilde{\alpha}_3\sqrt{4\tilde{\alpha}_3 - \tilde{\alpha}_2^2}$.

Case 2: $\tilde{\alpha}_2 = 0$ and $\tilde{\alpha}_3 \neq 0$. The general solution is,

$$f(r) = \chi + \frac{1}{30\tilde{\alpha}_3} \sqrt[3]{\tilde{\alpha}_3^2 \left[5400C \ln r - 40500m + 300\sqrt{3\xi} \right] - \frac{10r^4}{\sqrt[3]{\tilde{\alpha}_3^2 \left[5400C \ln r - 40500m + 300\sqrt{3\xi} \right]}}}, \quad (34)$$

where

$$\xi = \frac{1}{\tilde{\alpha}_3} \left[100r^{12} + 27\tilde{\alpha}_3 (2C \ln r - 15M)^2 \right].$$

This solution asymptotically behaves as

$$f(r) \simeq \chi. \quad (35)$$

This particular case is important as far as the effect of the third order Lovelock parameter is concerned. Hence, its physical interpretation will be discussed in the next section.

Case 3: $\tilde{\alpha}_2 \neq 0$ and $\tilde{\alpha}_3 = 0$. The general solution is,

$$f(r) = \chi + \frac{1}{10\tilde{\alpha}_2 r} \left(5r^3 \pm \sqrt{25r^6 - 40\tilde{\alpha}_2 C \ln r + 300\tilde{\alpha}_2 m} \right), \quad (36)$$

The asymptotic behavior is

$$f(r) \simeq \chi + \frac{1 \pm 1}{5\tilde{\alpha}_2} r^2. \quad (37)$$

Case 4: $3\tilde{\alpha}_3 = \tilde{\alpha}_2^2$. The general solution for this case is,

$$f(r) = \chi + \frac{r^2}{\tilde{\alpha}_2} + \frac{1}{\tilde{\alpha}_2} \sqrt[3]{\frac{6}{5} \tilde{\alpha}_2 C \ln r - r^6 - 9m\tilde{\alpha}_2}, \quad (38)$$

whose asymptotic behavior is,

$$f(r) \simeq \chi. \quad (39)$$

C. The case for $k = \frac{1}{5}$.

Another interesting case occurs for $k = \frac{1}{5}$ which is a subclass of class *A*: In order to study its physical properties we first look for the location of horizons. We have already remarked before that this particular class satisfies only the WEC (see Appendix) while it violates the other energy and causality conditions. For this reason we just wish to mention the existence of such a class without further investigation. For this particular case, the metric function given in Eq.(22) becomes,

$$f(r) = \chi + \frac{r^2}{\tilde{\alpha}_2} \left\{ 1 - \sqrt[3]{1 - \frac{3C\tilde{\alpha}_2}{5r^4} + \frac{3m\tilde{\alpha}_2}{5r^6}} \right\}, \quad (40)$$

whose radius of horizon is obtained from Eq.(23) as

$$r_h = \sqrt{\frac{\tilde{Q} \pm \sqrt{\tilde{Q}^2 + 20(m - m_c)}}{10}} \quad (41)$$

where

$$m_c = \frac{5}{3}\tilde{\alpha}_2^2, \quad \tilde{Q} = -(C + 5\tilde{\alpha}_2). \quad (42)$$

We wish to remind that, with this particular choice of k , the resulting solution satisfies only the WEC. This condition further implies that $C \leq 0$. These limitations induce a number of possible cases for the constant parameters C and $\tilde{\alpha}_2$ appearing in the Eq. (41). These particular cases can be classified in three different classes such as $\tilde{Q} > 0$, $\tilde{Q} = 0$ and $\tilde{Q} < 0$, which we shall not consider here any further.

1. Naked Singularities

In this subsection, we wish to emphasize another important property of the Lovelock theory. We stated previously that in some special cases no horizon forms so that the singularity at $r = 0$ becomes naked with a timelike character. In classical 4- dimensional general relativity, this is a curvature singularity, indicating timelike geodesic incompleteness. However, our main concern here is to analyze this naked singularity when probed with quantum test particles. In other words, we are aiming to see whether this singular spacetime (in classical sense) remains nonsingular quantum mechanically. To achieve this we adopt the method initiated by Wald [16] and developed by Horowitz and Marolf [17], for static spacetimes having timelike curvature singularities. This method states that a spacetime is quantum mechanically nonsingular if the time evolution of any wave packet is uniquely determined by the initial wave function. The method is briefly as follows:

A scalar quantum particle with mass M is described by the Klein-Gordon equation $(\nabla^\mu \nabla_\mu - M^2) \psi = 0$. This equation can be written by splitting the temporal and spatial portion as $\frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial t^2} = -A\psi$, such that the spatial operator A is defined by $A = -\sqrt{f} D^i (\sqrt{f} D_i) + fM^2$, where $f = -\xi^\mu \xi_\mu$ with ξ^μ the timelike Killing field, while D_i is the spatial covariant derivative defined on the static slice Σ . The method requires essential self-adjointness of the spatial operator A . That is, a *unique* extension of the operator A_E . Then, the Klein-Gordon equation for a free relativistic particle satisfies $i \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} = \sqrt{A_E} \psi$, with the solution $\psi(t) = \exp(it\sqrt{A_E}) \psi(0)$. The ambiguity occurs in the future time evolution of the wave function ($\psi(t) = \exp(it\sqrt{A_E}) \psi(0)$), if A_E is not essentially self-adjoint. Consequently, a sufficient condition for the operator A to be essentially self-adjoint is to analyse the solutions satisfying,

$$A\psi \pm i\psi = 0. \quad (43)$$

The separable solution to Eq.(43) is assumed in the form of $\psi = \phi(r)Y(\text{angles})$. The radial part becomes,

$$\frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial r^2} + \frac{1}{fr^5} \frac{\partial(fr^5)}{\partial r} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial r} - \frac{c}{fr^2} \phi - \frac{M^2}{f} \phi \pm i \frac{\phi}{f^2} = 0, \quad (44)$$

in which $c \geq 0$ is the eigenvalue of the Laplacian on the 5-sphere. Equation (44) can be solved with the help of Fuchsian equation [17] by assuming the massless case (i.e. $M = 0$) and ignoring the term $\pm i \frac{\phi}{f^2}$ (since it is negligible near the origin, $r = 0$). The Fuchsian equation

is $\frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial r^2} + r^{-1}p(r)\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial r} + r^{-2}q(r)\phi = 0$, such that $p(r)$ and $q(r)$ are analytic at the origin. This equation admits solution in the form of $\phi(r) = r^\beta F(r)$, where $F(r)$ is an analytic function and β is a complex number that solves the indicial equation $\beta(\beta - 1) + \beta p(0) + q(0) = 0$. Substituting Eq.(40) in Eq.(44), we find that $p(0) = 5$ and $q(0) = -\frac{c}{1 - \left(\frac{3m}{5\alpha_2}\right)^{1/3}}$. For $c = 0$ (corresponds to S -wave), one of the two solutions to indicial equation, solves the Eq.(44) and the resulting solution diverges as fast as $|\phi(r)|^2 = r^{-8}$. This solution always has infinite norm near $r = 0$ since

$$\langle \phi | \phi \rangle = \int \frac{|\phi(r)|^2 r^5}{f} dr. \quad (45)$$

Consequently, $\phi(r)$ fails to be square integrable near the origin. This divergence of the norm creates an infinite repulsive barrier so that any particle remains away, and in the safer region from the origin. For further detail in this regard we refer to [18]. According to the Horowitz - Marolf criteria, the timelike curvature singularity at the origin turns out to be quantum mechanically nonsingular when probed with quantum test particles. Similar analysis is also shown in Ref. [3] for the 5-dimensional Boulware-Deser metric which also remains regular when tested by quantum probes. A similar proof of quantum regularity applies for $N > 5$ as well.

IV. GENERALIZATION TO THE CASE FOR HIGHER ORDER LOVELOCK THEORY

In this section we give a generalization for the Lovelock gravity in higher order. To do so, we start with an action in the form of

$$S = \int dx^{n+2} \sqrt{-g} \left\{ -\frac{n(n+1)}{3} \Lambda + \mathcal{L}_1 + \alpha_2 \mathcal{L}_2 + \alpha_3 \mathcal{L}_3 + \alpha_4 \mathcal{L}_4 + \dots + \alpha_{\lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \rfloor} \mathcal{L}_{\lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \rfloor} \right\} + S_{matter}, \quad (46)$$

where

$$\mathcal{L}_n = 2^{-n} \delta_{c_1 d_1 \dots c_n d_n}^{a_1 b_1 \dots a_n b_n} R_{a_1 b_1}^{c_1 d_1} \dots R_{a_n b_n}^{c_n d_n}, \quad n \geq 1, \quad (47)$$

and the bracket $\lfloor . \rfloor$ refers to integer part. As before, Einstein equation reads

$$\mathcal{G}_\mu^\nu = \frac{n(n+1)}{6} \Lambda \delta_\mu^\nu + G_\mu^{\nu(1)} + \alpha_2 G_\mu^{\nu(2)} + \alpha_3 G_\mu^{\nu(3)} + \dots + \alpha_{\lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \rfloor} G_\mu^{\nu(\lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \rfloor)} = T_\mu^\nu. \quad (48)$$

Our static spherically symmetric metric is given by (6) which after we rewrite

$$f(r) = \chi - r^2 F(r), \quad (49)$$

the tt component of (48) becomes

$$-\frac{\Lambda}{3} + F + \tilde{\alpha}_2 F^2 + \tilde{\alpha}_3 F^3 + \dots + \tilde{\alpha}_{\lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \rfloor} F^{\lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \rfloor} = \frac{M}{r^{1+n}} - \frac{2}{nr^{1+n}} \int r^n T_t^t dr, \quad (50)$$

in which M is an integration constant and

$$\tilde{\alpha}_s = \prod_{i=3}^{2s} (n+2-i) \alpha_s. \quad (51)$$

T_t^t is given by (15) which leads to

$$-\frac{\Lambda}{3} + F + \tilde{\alpha}_2 F^2 + \tilde{\alpha}_3 F^3 + \dots + \tilde{\alpha}_{\lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \rfloor} F^{\lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \rfloor} = \begin{cases} \frac{M}{r^{1+n}} - \frac{2C}{n(nk+1)} r^{n(k-1)} & nk+1 \neq 0 \\ \frac{M}{r^{1+n}} - \frac{2C}{n} \frac{\ln r}{r^{1+n}} & nk+1 = 0 \end{cases}. \quad (52)$$

Here we would like to set the coefficients as

$$\tilde{\alpha}_s = \frac{\bar{\alpha}_s}{\bar{\alpha}_1}, \text{ for } s \geq 2 \text{ and } -\frac{\Lambda}{3} = \frac{\bar{\alpha}_0}{\bar{\alpha}_1}, \quad (53)$$

which leads to

$$\sum_{s=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \rfloor} \bar{\alpha}_s F^s = \bar{\alpha}_1 \times \begin{cases} -\frac{M}{r^{1+n}} - \frac{2C}{n(nk+1)} r^{n(k-1)} & nk+1 \neq 0 \\ -\frac{M}{r^{1+n}} - \frac{2C}{n} \frac{\ln r}{r^{1+n}} & nk+1 = 0 \end{cases} \quad (54)$$

and then we choose a specific case

$$\bar{\alpha}_s = (\pm 1)^{s+1} \binom{\lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \rfloor}{s} \ell^{2s-\Delta} \quad (55)$$

where $-\frac{\Lambda}{3} = \frac{\bar{\alpha}_0}{\bar{\alpha}_1} = \pm \frac{\ell^{-2}}{\binom{\lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \rfloor}}.$ Following this, Eq. (52) gives

$$(1 \pm \ell^2 F)^{\lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \rfloor} = \pm \ell^\Delta \bar{\alpha}_1 \times \begin{cases} \frac{M}{r^{1+n}} - \frac{2C}{n(nk+1)} r^{n(k-1)} & nk+1 \neq 0 \\ \frac{M}{r^{1+n}} - \frac{2C}{n} \frac{\ln r}{r^{1+n}} & nk+1 = 0 \end{cases} \quad (56)$$

and consequently

$$f(r) = \chi \pm \frac{r^2}{\ell^2} \mp \frac{r^2}{\ell^2} \left(\pm \binom{\lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \rfloor}{s} \ell^2 \times \begin{cases} \frac{M}{r^{1+n}} - \frac{2C}{n(nk+1)} r^{n(k-1)} & nk+1 \neq 0 \\ \frac{M}{r^{1+n}} - \frac{2C}{n} \frac{\ln r}{r^{1+n}} & nk+1 = 0 \end{cases} \right)^{1/\lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \rfloor}. \quad (57)$$

After this general solution we specify the solution for even and odd dimensions separately. To do so, we put $\lceil \frac{n+1}{2} \rceil = \frac{n+1}{2}$ for odd dimensions and $\lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \rfloor = \frac{n}{2}$ for even dimensions into (57) to obtain

$$f_{even}(r) = \chi \pm \frac{r^2}{\ell^2} \mp \left(\pm \frac{n}{2} \frac{1}{\ell^{n-2}} \begin{cases} \frac{M}{r} - \frac{2C}{n(nk+1)} r^{nk} & nk+1 \neq 0 \\ \frac{M}{r} - \frac{2C}{n} \frac{\ln r}{r} & nk+1 = 0 \end{cases} \right)^{2/n} \quad (58)$$

and

$$f_{odd}(r) = \chi \pm \frac{r^2}{\ell^2} \mp \left(\pm \frac{n+1}{2} \frac{1}{\ell^{n-1}} \begin{cases} M - \frac{2C}{n(nk+1)} r^{nk+1} & nk+1 \neq 0 \\ M - \frac{2C}{n} \ln r & nk+1 = 0 \end{cases} \right)^{2/(n+1)}. \quad (59)$$

The latter two solutions are nothing but the BI and CS solutions [13]. It is observed that fractional powers on the paranthesis put severe restrictions on the parameters. As a final remark in this section we note with reference to [22] that as long as our source contains an abelian gauge field such as electromagnetism the static solution obeys the Birkhoff's theorem. For a non-abelian gauge field, however, the problem remains open for a general proof, which will be considered in the future separately.

V. GENERATING BR TYPE SOLUTIONS IN EGB THEORY AND THE THEOREM IN SCALAR-TENSOR THEORY

A. BR type solutions

Closely related with the black hole solutions is the class of BR type solutions in GB gravity. This class arises as a limiting case of extremal black holes so that an analogous theorem can be stated to cover this class as well. In $N = 4$, the BR solution is the unique, conformally flat EM solution. In higher dimensions ($N > 4$) we found that both, conformal and asymptotical flatness fail[15]. Being almost as important as black holes, specifically in supergravity, we wish to present conditions on the energy momentum involved in order to generate solutions of BR form in the EYMGB theory.

To this end we adopt the metric in the form

$$ds^2 = -f dt^2 + f^{-1} dr^2 + h^2 d\Omega_{N-2}^2, \quad (60)$$

where h is a constant to be specified and $f = f(r)$ is a function to be found. The energy momentum tensor is assumed in the form

$$T_b^a = C [-1, -1, k, k, \dots] \quad (61)$$

where C and k are constants that characterize the matter fields. This energy-momentum satisfies the WEC, SEC and DEC conditions (see Appendix) provided $C \geq 0$ and $k \geq \frac{1}{N-2}$. In order to satisfy also the causality condition we must have $C \geq 0$ and $\frac{1}{N-2} \leq k < \frac{2}{N-2}$. The Einstein's tensor in N -dimensions is given by,

$$G_b^a = \left[-\frac{(N-3)(N-2)}{2h^2}, -\frac{(N-3)(N-2)}{2h^2}, -\frac{(N-3)(N-4) + f''h^2}{2h^2}, \dots \right]. \quad (62)$$

in which the higher terms repeat the third one. From the Einstein's equation $G_{ab} = T_{ab}$, we have,

$$2Ch^4 + (N-3)(N-2)h^2 + \tilde{\alpha}(N-5)(N-4) = 0, \quad (63)$$

where $\tilde{\alpha} = \alpha(N-3)(N-2)$, and

$$(h^2 + 2\tilde{\alpha}^2) f'' - (N-3)(N-4) - (N-5)(N-6)\tilde{\alpha} = 2kC \quad (64)$$

Solving this with the help of Eq.(63) we get,

$$f(r) = \frac{(2Ck + (N-3)(N-4) + (N-5)(N-6)\tilde{\alpha})}{2(h^2 + 2\tilde{\alpha}^2)} r^2 + C_1 r + C_2, \quad (65)$$

where C_1 and C_2 are integration constants. This general result includes some of the well-known solutions for particular choices of C and k . It can be anticipated that for $C_1 \neq 0 \neq C_2$, $f(r) = 0$, admits roots resulting in non-asymptotically flat black hole solutions. Beside this, for $C = q^2$ (q is the electric charge) and $k = 1$ it corresponds to N - dimensional BR like solution in the Einstein-Maxwell theory. Note that we choose the integration constants, $C_1 = C_2 = 0$ for this particular case. Another interesting solution is obtained for the EYM theory if one takes $C = \frac{(N-3)(N-2)}{2Q^2}$ and $k = -\frac{N-6}{N-2}$.

B. Salgado's theorem in higher dimensions and Scalar Tensor Theory (STT) of gravity

We start with an action in $n + 2$ -dimensions[21]

$$I = \int d^{n+2}x \sqrt{-g} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} F(\phi) R - \frac{1}{2} (\nabla\phi)^2 \right\} \quad (66)$$

in which ϕ is a massless scalar field a function of only radial coordinate r and $F(\phi)$ is a function of ϕ to be identified later. The field equations by using the usual variation method are given by

$$G_{\mu\nu} = T_{\mu\nu}, \quad (67)$$

$$T_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{F(\phi)} \left[\nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu F(\phi) + \nabla_\mu \phi \nabla_\nu \phi - g_{\mu\nu} \nabla^2 F(\phi) - \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu} (\nabla\phi)^2 \right], \quad (68)$$

$$\nabla^2 \phi = -\frac{1}{2} F'(\phi) R. \quad (69)$$

Now the trace of (68) manifests

$$R = \frac{\left(\frac{n}{2} + (n+1) F''\right) (\nabla\phi)^2}{\frac{n}{2} F + \frac{(n+1)}{2} (F')^2}, \quad (70)$$

which, while $\nabla\phi \neq 0$, we wish to make it zero i.e.,

$$\frac{n}{2} + (n+1) F'' = 0, \quad (71)$$

which yields

$$F(\phi) = -\frac{n}{4(n+1)} \phi^2 + C_1 \phi + C_2 \quad (72)$$

where C_1 and C_2 are integration constants. In order to follow our goal we set $C_1 = 0$ and $C_2 = 1$ such that

$$F(\phi) = 1 - \frac{n}{4(n+1)} \phi^2. \quad (73)$$

We put these results into the field equations

$$\nabla^2 \phi = 0 \quad (74)$$

which gives

$$\partial_r \left(r^n \frac{N}{A} \phi_r \right) = 0 \quad (75)$$

and

$$T_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{F(\phi)} \left[(1 - 2\zeta) \nabla_\mu \phi \nabla_\nu \phi + \left(2\zeta - \frac{1}{2} \right) g_{\mu\nu} \nabla^\alpha \phi \nabla_\alpha \phi - 2\zeta \phi \nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu \phi \right] \quad (76)$$

where $\zeta = \frac{n}{4(n+1)}$. The later expression directly gives

$$T_r^r = \frac{1}{FA^2} \left[\frac{1}{2} \phi_r^2 + 2\zeta \phi \phi_r \frac{A_r}{A} - 2\zeta \phi \phi_{rr} \right], \quad (77)$$

and

$$T_t^t = -\frac{\phi_r}{FA^2} \left[\left(\frac{1}{2} - 2\zeta \right) \phi_r + 2\zeta \phi \frac{N_r}{N} \right]. \quad (78)$$

Now we use (75) to get $\phi_{rr} = -\phi_r \left[\partial_r \ln \left(r^n \frac{N}{A} \right) \right]$ and then

$$T_r^r - T_t^t = \frac{n+2}{2(n+1)} \frac{\phi_r}{FA^2} \frac{1}{(r^n N^2)^{\frac{n}{n+2}}} \partial_r \left[\phi (r^n N^2)^{\frac{n}{n+2}} \right], \quad (79)$$

which, as the first requirement in our theorem, must be zero i.e.,

$$\partial_r \left[\phi (r^n N^2)^{\frac{n}{n+2}} \right] = 0, \quad (80)$$

or

$$\phi (r^n N^2)^{\frac{n}{n+2}} = d, \quad (81)$$

where d is a constant. Also after knowing $A = \frac{1}{N}$ (this was proved before), from (75), one gets

$$\phi_r = a \frac{A^2}{r^n}, \quad (82)$$

which together with (81) admit a solution for scalar field as

$$\phi = \left(-\frac{e_n}{r-M} \right)^{\frac{n}{2}}, \quad (83)$$

$$N^2 = \frac{1}{A^2} = \frac{d^{1+\frac{2}{n}}}{(-e_n)^{1+\frac{n}{2}}} r^{1-\frac{n}{2}} \left(1 - \frac{M}{r} \right)^{1+\frac{n}{2}}, \quad (84)$$

where $e_n = \frac{n}{2} \frac{d^{1+\frac{2}{n}}}{a}$ and M is a constant. These result help us to find the closed form of $T_r^r = T_t^t$ and T_θ^θ as

$$T_r^r = T_t^t = \frac{\phi_r}{2(n+1)FA^2} \frac{n}{2} \left[\phi_r + \frac{n}{r} \phi \right], \quad (85)$$

$$T_\theta^\theta = -\frac{\phi_r}{2(n+1)FA^2} \left[\phi_r + \frac{n}{r} \phi \right] \quad (86)$$

which after considering $k = \frac{T_\theta^\theta}{T_t^t}$ (from the theorem) one finds

$$k = \frac{T_\theta^\theta}{T_t^t} = -\frac{2}{n}. \quad (87)$$

The last requirement to fulfill the conditions in the theorem, is to adjust the free parameters such that $T_r^r = T_t^t \sim \frac{1}{r^{n(1-k)}} = \frac{1}{r^{n+2}}$ i.e.,

$$\frac{\phi_r}{2(n+1)FA^2} \frac{n}{2} \left[\phi_r + \frac{n}{r}\phi \right] = \frac{C}{r^{n+2}} \quad (88)$$

which after substitution the closed form of all functions on gets

$$\frac{\phi \left[\frac{1}{2e_n} \phi_n^2 + \frac{1}{r} \right]}{1 - \frac{n}{4(n+1)} \phi^2} = \frac{4C(n+1)}{an^2 r^2} \quad (89)$$

or simply

$$\frac{r(r-2M)}{\left[(r-M)^{1+\frac{n}{2}} - \frac{n}{4(n+1)} (-e_n)^n (r-M)^{1-\frac{n}{2}} \right]} = \frac{8C(n+1)}{an^2 (-e_n)^{\frac{n}{2}}} = cons.. \quad (90)$$

As one may notice, this is a very strong condition and only in 4-dimensions can be satisfied, i.e., for $n = 2$ we get

$$\frac{r(r-2M)}{\left[(r-M)^2 - \frac{1}{6}e^2 \right]} = -\frac{6C}{d^2} \quad (91)$$

which gives

$$d^2 = -6C \quad \text{and} \quad e^2 = 6M^2. \quad (92)$$

Nevertheless one finds

$$T_r^r = T_t^t = -\frac{d^2}{6r^4} \quad (93)$$

and

$$\phi = \left(-\frac{e}{r-M} \right), \quad (94)$$

$$N(r)^2 = A(r)^{-2} = \chi - \frac{2m}{r} - \frac{C}{r^2} = \frac{d^2}{e^2} \left(1 - \frac{M}{r} \right)^2. \quad (95)$$

For S_2 i.e., $\chi = 1$ one gets $d^2 = e^2 = 6M^2$, $m = M$ and $C = -M^2$ which reveal

$$T_r^r = T_t^t = -\frac{M^2}{r^4}, \quad N(r)^2 = A(r)^{-2} = \left(1 - \frac{M}{r} \right)^2, \quad (96)$$

$$\phi = \left(\pm \frac{\sqrt{6}M}{r-M} \right). \quad (97)$$

For H_2 ($\chi = -1$) we find $\frac{d^2}{e^2} = -1$, $m = -M$ and $C = M^2$ which means

$$T_r^r = T_t^t = \frac{M^2}{r^4}, \quad N(r)^2 = A(r)^{-2} = -\left(1 - \frac{M}{r} \right)^2, \quad (98)$$

$$\phi = \left(\pm \frac{\sqrt{6}M}{r-M} \right). \quad (99)$$

Finally for $\chi = 0$ this solution is not applicable.

VI. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we have extended the Salgado's theorem to generate static, spherically symmetric black hole solutions in higher dimensional Lovelock gravity with matter fields. We have shown explicitly that our general solution recovers formerly obtained solutions in particular limits. A new class of black hole solutions in 7-dimensions known as Chern-Simon black holes with specific matter fields is presented in detail. The matter fields couple to the system through the constant parameters C and k . It is shown that these parameters are restricted as a result of energy conditions.

Before attempting the most general solution, firstly, we derive the general form of the N -dimensional black hole solutions in the third order Lovelock gravity. Due to the technical reasons, we constraint, the parameters $\tilde{\alpha}_2$ and $\tilde{\alpha}_3$ as in Ref. [4], so that we obtain solutions that overlap with the known solutions in 7- dimensions.

A new black hole solution in 7-dimensions with $k = \frac{1}{5}$ is obtained. It is shown that depending upon the values of C and $\tilde{\alpha}_2$, one or two horizons may develop. Asymptotically, depending on the parameters, our new solutions are either flat or de Sitter/anti- de Sitter types. Under some special conditions the *naked* singularity becomes inevitable. In classical 4- dimensional general relativity, this singularity is a timelike curvature singularity. The structure of this singularity is further analyzed by quantum test particles according to the method developed by Horowitz and Marolf [17]. Our analysis has revealed that, although $r = 0$ is singular in classical sense, it becomes nonsingular when probed with quantum test particles. It has also been shown for $N = 7$ that the third order Lovelock parameter plays an effective role in removing the black hole property and leaving the singularity at $r = 0$ as naked. Higher Lovelock parameters, α_s , ($s > 3$) play the similar role for $N > 7$. Under the light of these results, the Lovelock theory of gravity becomes important in the sense that, it provides an arena to investigate the contribution of higher order curvature terms at short distances; especially for the solutions that incorporate black holes. Our final remark is to extend the theorem to cover Bertotti-Robinson type solutions and scalar-tensor theory. It is found that in the scalar-tensor theory Salgado's theorem for $N > 4$ does not work.

[1] D. G. Boulware and S. Deser, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **55** 2656 (1985).

- [2] D. Lovelock, *J. Math. Phys.* **12**, 498 (1971);
N. Deruelle and L. Farina-Busto, *Phys. Rev.* **D41**, 3696 (1990);
G. A. Mena Marugan, *ibid.* **46**, 4320 (1992); 4340 (1992).
- [3] C. Garraffo and G. Giribet, *Mod. Phys. Lett.* **A23**, 1801 (2008).
- [4] M. H. Dehghani and M. Shamirzaie, *Phys. Rev.* **D72** 124015 (2005).
- [5] R. Aros, R. Troncoso and J. Zanelli, *Phys. Rev.* **D63** 084015 (2001).
- [6] Rong-Gen Cai, *Phys. Rev.* **D65** 084014 (2002), *Phys. Lett.* **B582** 237 (2004);
Rong-Gen Cai and Qi Guo, *Phys. Rev.* **D69** 104025 (2004);
Rong-Gen Cai and Kwang-Sup Soh, *Phys. Rev.* **D59** 044013 (1999).
- [7] M. H. Dehghani, N. Alinejadi and S.H. Hendi, *Phys. Rev.* **D77** 104025 (2008).
- [8] M. Salgado, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **20** 4551 (2003).
- [9] E. Gallo, *Gen. Rel. Grav.* **36** 1463 (2004).
- [10] A. K. Dawood and S. G. Ghosh, *Phys. Rev.* **D70** 104010 (2004).
- [11] A.E. Dominguez and E. Gallo, *Phys. Rev.* **D73** 064018 (2006).
- [12] S. G. Ghosh and A. K. Dawood, *Gen. Rel. Grav.* **40** 9 (2008).
- [13] J. Crisostomo, R. Troncoso and J. Zanelli, *Phys. Rev.* **D62** 084013 (2000);
H. Maeda, M. Hassaine, and C. Martínez, *Phys. Rev.* **D79** 044012 (2009);
M. Banados, C. Teitelboim, and J. Zanelli, *Phys. Rev.* **D49** 975 (1994);
R. G. Cai and N. ohta, *Phys. Rev.* **D74** 064001 (2006);
R. G. Cai, *Phys. Lett.* **B582** 237 (2004).
- [14] S. H. Mazharimousavi and M. Halilsoy, *Phys. Rev.* **D76** 087501 (2007);
S. H. Mazharimousavi and M. Halilsoy, *Phys. Lett.* **B659** 471 (2008);
S. H. Mazharimousavi and M. Halilsoy, *Phys. Lett.* **B681** 190471 (2009).
- [15] S. H. Mazharimousavi and M. Halilsoy, *J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys.* **12** 005 (2008).
- [16] R. M. Wald, *J. Math. Phys.* **21** 2802 (1980).
- [17] G. T. Horowitz and D. Marolf, *Phys. Rev.* **D52** 5670 (1995).
- [18] S. Hassani, *Foundations of Mathematical Physics*, (Allyn and Bacon, 1991).
- [19] R. C. Myers and J. Z. Simon, *Phys. Rev.* **D38** 2434 (1988);
D. L. Wiltshire, *Phys. Lett.* **B169** 36 (1986).
- [20] M. H. Dehghani, *Phys. Rev.* **D70** 064019 (2004).
- [21] M. Nadalini, L Vanzo and S. Zerbini, *Phys. Rev.* **D77** 024047 (2008); and the references

therein.

[22] R. Zegres, *J. Math. Phys.* **46** 072502 (2005).

APPENDIX A: Energy Conditions

When a matter field couples to any system, energy conditions must be satisfied for physically acceptable solutions. We follow the steps as given in [8].

A. Weak Energy Condition (WEC)

The WEC states that,

$$\rho \geq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \rho + p_i \geq 0 \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, n+1) \quad (\text{A1})$$

in which ρ is the energy density and p_i are the principal pressures given by

$$\rho = -T_t^t = -T_r^r = -\frac{C}{r^{n(1-k)}}, \quad p_i = T_i^i \quad (\text{no sum convention}) \quad (\text{A2})$$

The WEC imposes the following conditions on the constant parameters C and k ;

$$C \leq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad k \leq 1, \quad (\text{A3})$$

B. Strong Energy Condition (SEC)

This condition states that;

$$\rho + \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} p_i \geq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \rho + p_i \geq 0. \quad (\text{A4})$$

This condition together with the WEC constrain the parameters as,

$$C \leq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad k \leq 0. \quad (\text{A5})$$

C. Dominant Energy Condition (DEC)

In accordance with DEC, the effective pressure p_{eff} should not be negative i.e. $p_{eff} \geq 0$ where

$$p_{eff} = \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} T_i^i = -\frac{(1+nk)}{1+n} \rho. \quad (\text{A6})$$

One can show that DEC, together with SEC and WEC impose the following conditions on the parameters

$$C \leq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad -1 \leq k \leq 0. \quad (\text{A7})$$

It is observed that the simplest case is provided by $k = -\frac{1}{n}$ (class B) which yields $p_{eff} = 0$.

D. Causality Condition

In addition to the energy conditions one can impose the causality condition

$$0 \leq \frac{p_{eff}}{\rho} < 1, \quad (\text{A8})$$

which implies

$$C \leq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad -1 \leq k \leq -\frac{1}{n} \quad (\text{A9})$$

Our set of class B solutions automatically satisfy the causality condition. Concerning the class A solutions some members, such as $k = \frac{1}{n}$ violates causality.