

Exciting the unstable modes of a negative mass Schwarzschild spacetime

Gustavo Dotti and Reinaldo J. Gleiser

*Facultad de Matemática, Astronomía y Física (FaMAF),
Universidad Nacional de Córdoba. Ciudad Universitaria, (5000) Córdoba, Argentina*

Schwarzschild spacetime with negative mass M is known to admit gravitational instabilities in the form of exponentially growing solutions of Zerilli's equation, satisfying appropriate boundary conditions. However, it is not known how to evolve general initial data using Zerilli's equation. The reason is that when $M < 0$ the Zerilli function, as defined in terms of regular metric perturbation, has in general a simple pole at $r = r_s > 0$, and also the Zerilli potential has a second order pole at r_s . As a consequence, Zerilli functions are not square integrable, and it is not possible to regard the radial piece of Zerilli's equation as a self-adjoint operator, whose mode expansion allows the evolution of arbitrary initial data, as is done in the positive mass case. We do not know how to evolve initial data even after having specified boundary conditions at the $r = 0$ singularity. In this paper we show how this problem can be overcome using the technique of intertwining potentials. This allows to fill in some gaps in the proof of instability of the negative mass Schwarzschild spacetime, by showing that generic initial data supported away from the $r = 0$ singularity do in fact excite the unstable modes.

PACS numbers: 04.50.+h, 04.20.-q, 04.70.-s, 04.30.-w

I. INTRODUCTION

The linear stability under gravitational perturbations of the negative mass Schwarzschild spacetime was first considered in [1], where a proof of stability for the vector (or odd) modes is given. The scalar (even) modes, reconsidered in [2], are far more subtle, because the behaviour of the Zerilli potential V_z [3, 4] at the $r = 0$ singularity implies a one parameter ambiguity in boundary conditions at this point (parameterized by S^1 [1]). The potential V_z also has a second order pole at $r = r_s$ ($r_s > 0$) in the domain of interest, referred to as a “kinematic” singularity in [2]. None of these problems are present in the positive mass case, for which $r > 2M$ and the kinematic singularity occurs for negative r .

The ambiguity in boundary conditions at $r = 0$ was addressed to in [1, 2] where it was shown that, requiring that the first order corrections to the Riemann tensor algebraic invariants do not diverge faster, as $r \rightarrow 0^+$, than their zeroth order piece, selects a unique boundary condition. Note that this requirement is natural if we want our first order formalism to provide approximate solution of Einstein's equations that can be interpreted as arbitrarily small perturbations of the unperturbed metric. Also, this same choice of boundary conditions at $r = 0$ selects perturbations with finite energy, using the energy notion obtained by going to second order perturbation theory [1]. Regarding the kinematic singularity, its origin lies in the fact that, as defined, the Zerilli function Ψ_z has a built in simple pole at this point for generic smooth gravitational perturbations [2]. The Zerilli function is still useful in decoupling the full set of linearized Einstein's equations in the negative mass case, but the usual approach of solving Zerilli's equation by regarding its radial piece as a self adjoint operator in $L^2((0, \infty), dx)$, $dx = dr/(1 - 2M/r)$ breaks down, since Ψ_z does not belong to this space, and V_z has a second order pole at $r = r_s > 0$. This problem cannot be fixed by introducing alternative radial variables or integrating factors, which can be seen to merely move the singularity from the coefficients of the differential equation to the measure that makes its radial piece self adjoint. Since we lack a self adjoint radial operator in the space of physically relevant Zerilli functions, we do not actually know how to evolve initial data $\Psi_z(t = 0, r)$, $\partial\Psi_z/\partial t(t = 0, r)$, even after having specified boundary conditions at $r = 0$.

In [2], unstable (exponentially growing in time) solutions of Zerilli's equation satisfying the chosen boundary condition at $r = 0$, exponentially decaying for large r , and leading to a smooth perturbed metric, are given in an explicit form. It is also argued in [2] that these unstable modes can be excited by initial data compactly supported away from $r = 0$. Yet, since we do not know how to evolve in time a given initial configuration, we do not have a proof of the fact that these modes can actually be excited by such initial data.

In this paper we show how the problem of evolution of initial data for gravitational scalar perturbations on a negative mass Schwarzschild spacetime can be solved using the technique of intertwining potentials (see [5] and references therein). An intertwining operator is constructed that sends physically relevant Zerilli functions onto $L^2((0, \infty), dx)$ and that, at the same time, converts the Zerilli potential into another potential, free of kinematic singularities and having a unique self adjoint extension that corresponds precisely to our physically motivated choice of boundary condition at $r = 0$. In Section II we give a brief account of Zerilli's approach to (scalar type) gravitational perturbations of Schwarzschild spacetime, stressing the problems that arise in the negative mass case. In Section III

we introduce the intertwining operator technique. An account of the results we need from [5] is given in Lemma 1, then we prove some further results that are relevant to our problem (Lemma 2 and the Theorem below). In Section IV the intertwining technique is applied to Zerilli's equation for a negative mass Schwarzschild spacetime, and shown to map the space of physically relevant Zerilli functions onto $L^2((0, \infty), dx)$, while converting Zerilli's potential into one free of kinematic singularities. In the construction of the intertwining operator, the generalization to higher harmonics of the zero mode found in [1] is obtained and used. Section V summarizes our results.

II. SCALAR PERTURBATIONS OF THE NEGATIVE MASS SCHWARZSCHILD SPACETIME

In the Regge-Wheeler gauge [6], the scalar perturbations for the angular mode (ℓ, m) are described by four functions $H_0(r, t)$, $H_1(r, t)$, $H_2(r, t)$ and $K(r, t)$, in terms of which the perturbed metric takes the form,

$$ds^2 = - \left(1 - \frac{2M}{r}\right) (1 - \epsilon H_0 Y_{\ell, m}) dt^2 + 2 \epsilon H_1 Y_{\ell, m} dt dr + \left(1 - \frac{2M}{r}\right)^{-1} (1 + \epsilon H_2 Y_{\ell, m}) dr^2 + r^2 (1 + \epsilon K Y_{\ell, m}) (d\theta^2 + \sin^2(\theta) d\phi^2) \quad (1)$$

where ϵ is an auxiliary parameter, used to keep track of the order of the perturbation, and $Y_{\ell, m} = Y_{\ell, m}(\theta, \phi)$ are standard spherical harmonics on the sphere. The linearized Einstein equations for the metric (1) imply $H_0(r, t) = H_2(r, t)$, and a set of coupled differential equations for H_1 , H_2 and K , which can be "diagonalized" by introducing the Zerilli function $\Psi_z(t, r)$, by the replacements,

$$\begin{aligned} K &= q(r)\Psi_z + \left(1 - \frac{2M}{r}\right) \frac{\partial \Psi_z}{\partial r} \\ H_1 &= h(r) \frac{\partial \Psi_z}{\partial t} + r \frac{\partial^2 \Psi_z}{\partial t \partial r} \\ H_2 &= \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left[\left(1 - \frac{2M}{r}\right) \left(h(r)\Psi_z + r \frac{\partial \Psi_z}{\partial r} \right) \right] - K \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

where,

$$\begin{aligned} q(r) &= \frac{\lambda(\lambda + 1)r^2 + 3\lambda M r + 6M^2}{r^2(\lambda r + 3M)} \\ h(r) &= \frac{\lambda r^2 - 3\lambda r M - 3M^2}{(r - 2M)(\lambda r + 3M)} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\lambda = \frac{(\ell - 1)(\ell + 2)}{2}. \quad (3)$$

The linearized Einstein's equations then reduce to Zerilli's wave equation

$$\frac{\partial^2 \Psi_z}{\partial t^2} + \mathcal{H}_z \Psi_z = 0 \quad (4)$$

where,

$$\mathcal{H}_z = -\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + V \quad (5)$$

looks like a quantum Hamiltonian operator with potential

$$V = 2 \left(1 - \frac{2M}{r}\right) \frac{\lambda^2 r^2 [(\lambda + 1)r + 3M] + 9M^2(\lambda r + M)}{r^3(\lambda r + 3M)^2}, \quad (6)$$

and x is the "tortoise" coordinate, related to r by $\frac{dx}{dr} = \left(1 - \frac{2M}{r}\right)^{-1}$. We choose the integration constant such that $x = 0$ for $r = 0$, then

$$x = r + 2M \ln \left| \frac{r - 2M}{2M} \right|. \quad (7)$$

The usual approach to (4) is separation of variables: $e^{\pm iE^{1/2}t}\psi_E(x)$ is a solution of (4) if $\mathcal{H}_z\psi_E = E\psi_E$. In the positive mass case, the exterior static region $r > 2M$ of a Schwarzschild black hole gets mapped onto $-\infty < x < \infty$, the black hole horizon sitting at $x = -\infty$, and the relevant solutions of (4) belong to $L^2(\mathbb{R}, dx)$, where \mathcal{H}_z is self adjoint, as follows from (5) and (6). In this case one solves (4) by expanding Ψ_z and $\partial\Psi_z/\partial t$ at $t = 0$ in a complete set ψ_E of eigenfunctions of \mathcal{H}_z , then (4) reduces to the following ordinary differential equations for $a_E(t) \equiv \int \psi_E(x)^* \Psi_z(t, x) dx$:

$$\ddot{a}_E = -Ea_E \quad (8)$$

$$\dot{a}_E(0) = \int \psi_E^* \left(\frac{\partial\Psi_z}{\partial t} \right) \Big|_{t=0} dx \quad (9)$$

$$a_E(0) = \int \psi_E^* \Psi_z|_{t=0} dx \quad (10)$$

Moreover, since \mathcal{H}_z is positive definite in this case, the E eigenvalues are positive, $e^{\pm iE^{1/2}t}\psi_E(r)$ oscillatory, and Ψ_z bounded at all times, for any initial conditions. This proves that the exterior, static region of a Schwarzschild black hole is stable.

In the negative mass case, the domain of (4) is $x > 0$, so we need to specify boundary conditions at $x = 0$ besides the initial values of Ψ_z and $\partial\Psi_z/\partial t$, in order that (4) has a unique solution. Also, the potential has a singularity at the boundary,

$$V \simeq -1/(4x^2) + \dots \quad \text{for } x \rightarrow 0^+. \quad (11)$$

Consider a quantum mechanical problem $\mathcal{H}\psi_E = E\psi_E$ on the half axis $x > 0$, with a smooth potential behaving as (11) and vanishing at infinity. For *any* E , the general local solution for $x \gtrsim 0$ of the differential equation $\mathcal{H}\psi_E = E\psi_E$ is

$$\psi_E \simeq a_0 \left[\left(\frac{x}{|M|} \right)^{1/2} + \dots \right] + b_0 \left[\left(\frac{x}{|M|} \right)^{1/2} \ln \left(\frac{x}{|M|} \right) + \dots \right], \quad x \gtrsim 0. \quad (12)$$

with E showing up at higher order. Note that (12) is square integrable near $x = 0$ for any a_0 and b_0 , a peculiarity of the potential $V \simeq \alpha/x^2$ when $\alpha < 3/4$ (for $\alpha \geq 3/4$, only one of the local solutions is square integrable near $x = 0$ [7].) If E is negative, there is always an asymptotic solution ψ_E^o which is square integrable at infinity. Since ψ_E^o behaves as (12) near zero, then $\psi_E^o \in L^2((0, \infty), dx)$. Such a potential allows a square integrable eigenfunction for any negative E ! This introduces an ambiguity, as a choice of an appropriate boundary condition needs to be imposed to define a domain where \mathcal{H}_z is self adjoint. The allowed choices for this purpose can be parameterized by S^1 , with $(a_0, b_0) \propto (\cos\theta, \sin\theta)$ [1, 7, 8]. In the space S_θ of functions behaving like (12) with $(a_0, b_0) \propto (\cos\theta, \sin\theta)$, the continuum of negative eigenvalues get discretized, \mathcal{H} is self adjoint, and arbitrary functions can be expanded in a basis of \mathcal{H} eigenfunctions [1, 7, 8].

However, as discussed in Section 7 of [2], the quantum mechanical problem associated to \mathcal{H}_z is not directly relevant to the gravitational perturbation problem when $M < 0$. To understand this crucial difference between the positive and negative mass cases, consider the relations (2), which can be inverted and give

$$\Psi_z(r, t) = \frac{r(r-2M)}{(\lambda+1)(\lambda r+3M)} \left(H_2 - r \frac{\partial K}{\partial r} \right) + \frac{r}{\lambda+1} K. \quad (13)$$

Zerilli's function succeeds in reducing the full set of linearized Einstein's equations to a single wave equation, however, for $M < 0$, this function is singular in the relevant $r > 0$ range. The "kinematic" singularity at $r_s = -3M/\lambda$ in (13) indicates that physically acceptable Zerilli functions, i.e., those corresponding to smooth metric perturbations decaying for large r , have a simple pole at r_s . This built in singularity in Ψ_z is certainly related to the singularity in the coefficients of the wave equation it satisfies, the second order pole at r_s in the potential (6). Thus, even if \mathcal{H}_z were extended to a self adjoint operator in some subspace of $L^2(\mathbb{R}, dx)$, this space would not be the natural setting for physically acceptable gravitational perturbations, which, because of the kinematic singularity, generically, are not square integrable. In fact, the relevant Zerilli functions are those admitting a Laurent expansion

$$\Psi_z = \sum_{j \geq -1} c_j (r - r_s)^j, \quad c_0 = \frac{\lambda^2 c_{-1}}{3M(3+2\lambda)} \quad (14)$$

for r near r_s . The second of the above equations (which fixes a sign error in the analogous equation (16) in [2]) follows from requiring that the metric functions in (2) be C^2 at r_s .

Regarding the boundary condition at $x = 0$, we note that (12) gives the behaviour of any solution of (4) in the $x = 0$ limit (the t dependence showing up at higher orders). As proved in [1, 2], if we did not make the choice $b_0 = 0$, the first order correction to the Kretschmann invariant would diverge faster than the zeroth order piece as $x \rightarrow 0^+$, and it would not be possible to treat the solution as an acceptable perturbation [2]. Also, the choice $b_0 = 0$ selects perturbations with finite energy [11].

Motivated by these facts, we choose as boundary condition at $x = 0 = r$

$$\Psi_z \simeq a_0 \left[\left(\frac{x}{|M|} \right)^{1/2} + \dots \right] = a_0 \left[\left(\frac{r}{|M|} \right) + \dots \right] \quad (15)$$

Our problem is now well defined: we are interested in solutions of (4) satisfying (14) and (15). Since \mathcal{H}_z preserves these two conditions [2], they will be preserved by the evolution (4) if they are satisfied by the initial data $\Psi_z|_{t=0}$ and $\partial\Psi_z/\partial t|_{t=0}$.

An unstable solution of (4) for every harmonic number, satisfying (14) and (15), was found in [2], and soon recognized by Cardoso and Cavaglia [9] to correspond to Chandrasekhar's algebraic special modes [10]. It is separable with $E = -k^2$, $\Psi_z^{unst} = \psi_{unst} e^{kt}$, $k = \frac{2\lambda(\lambda+1)}{6|M|}$ and

$$\psi_{unst} = C_1 r e^{-kr} \frac{(r-2M)^{2k|M|}}{2\lambda r + 6M}. \quad (16)$$

The existence of these modes constitute a proof of instability for the negative mass Schwarzschild spacetime.

There remains the question, however, of how would one evolve arbitrary initial data satisfying (14) and (15), since neither the space of functions nor the differential operator in (4) fit in the standard settings of functional analysis and differential equation theory. A change of radial variable and integrating factor is easily seen not to solve the problem, it just moves the singularity from the function to the integration measure. The tool we need is that of intertwining potentials, which uses a map $\Psi_z \rightarrow \hat{\Psi} := \partial\Psi_z/\partial x - g(x)\Psi_z$ that, with a suitable chosen g , transforms a physically sensible Ψ_z (i.e., satisfying (14) and (15)) into a smooth and square integrable $\hat{\Psi}$, obeying a Zerilli like equation with a potential \hat{V} free of singularities. This provides a method to evolve initial data, and to show that arbitrary initial data with compact support away from $r = 0$ does excite the unstable modes (16).

III. INTERTWINING OPERATORS

Consider a two dimensional wave equation with a space dependent potential V

$$\left[\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + V(x) \right] \Psi = 0, \quad (17)$$

and a linear operator $\mathcal{I} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} - g(x)$ such that [5]

$$\mathcal{I} \left[-\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + V(x) \right] = \left[-\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + \hat{V}(x) \right] \mathcal{I} \quad (18)$$

for some potential $\hat{V}(x)$. Since \mathcal{I} commutes with $\partial/\partial t$, any solution Ψ of (17) gives a -possibly trivial- solution $\hat{\Psi} := \mathcal{I}\Psi$ for the equation

$$\left[\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + \hat{V}(x) \right] \hat{\Psi} = 0. \quad (19)$$

Separation of variables $\Psi = \exp(i\omega t)\psi(x)$ ($\hat{\Psi} = \exp(i\omega t)\hat{\psi}(x)$) reduces (17) and (19) to Schrödinger like equations

$$\mathcal{H}\psi = \left[-\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + V(x) \right] \psi = \omega^2 \psi, \quad (20)$$

$$\hat{\mathcal{H}}\hat{\psi} = \left[-\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + \hat{V}(x) \right] \hat{\psi} = \omega^2 \hat{\psi}, \quad (21)$$

If we do not specify boundary conditions, there will be two linearly independent solutions of (20) for any chosen eigenvalue. Let us denote any two such solutions as $\psi_\omega^{(j)}$, $j = 1, 2$. Note from (18) that $\mathcal{I}\psi_\omega^{(j)}$ are (possibly trivial)

solutions of (21).

The conditions for the existence of an intertwining operator can be obtained by applying (18) to an arbitrary function ψ , and then isolating terms in ψ and $\psi' := \partial\psi/\partial x$. The coefficient of ψ' gives

$$\hat{V} = V - 2g', \quad (22)$$

and that of ψ gives $(g' + g^2 - V)' = 0$, i.e. $g' + g^2 = V - \omega_o^2$ for some constant ω_o . This last condition is more transparent in terms of $\psi_{\omega_o} = \exp(\int^x g(x')dx')$, which satisfies $\psi'_{\omega_o}/\psi_{\omega_o} = g$:

$$\left[-\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + V \right] \psi_{\omega_o} = \omega_o^2 \psi_{\omega_o}, \quad (23)$$

i.e., ψ_{ω_o} is an ω_o^2 eigenvalue of \mathcal{H} . From this follows [5],

Lemma 1: From any eigenfunction (23) it is possible to construct an intertwining operator $\mathcal{I} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} - g(x)$ by choosing $g = \psi'_{\omega_o}/\psi_{\omega_o}$. This gives $\hat{V} = V - 2g'$ in (18).

Lemma 1 collects the results we need from [5], but we need to elaborate further on these results to get some information about the possible ways to invert the effect of \mathcal{I} . To fix the notation, let $\psi_{\omega_o}^{(j=1)} = \psi_{\omega_o}$, $\psi_{\omega_o}^{(j=2)}$ be linearly independent ω_o^2 eigenfunction of \mathcal{H} . The kernel of \mathcal{I} is the span of $\psi_{\omega_o}^{(j=1)}$, since $0 = \mathcal{I}\psi = \psi' - \psi_{\omega_o}^{(j=1)}/\psi_{\omega_o}^{(j=1)}\psi$ implies that ψ is proportional to $\psi_{\omega_o}^{(j=1)}$. The form of an intertwiner $\hat{\mathcal{I}} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} - h(x)$ satisfying

$$\hat{\mathcal{I}} \left[-\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + \hat{V}(x) \right] = \left[-\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + V(x) \right] \hat{\mathcal{I}} \quad (24)$$

can be guessed from Lemma 1 by noting that, since $\hat{V} - 2h' = V = \hat{V} + 2g'$, the only possible way back to V is to find an $\hat{\mathcal{H}}$ eigenfunction $\hat{\psi}_o$ for which $\hat{\psi}'_o/\hat{\psi}_o = -g$. Since $g = \psi_{\omega_o}^{(j=1)}/\psi_{\omega_o}^{(j=1)}$ this could only be possible if $1/\psi_{\omega_o}^{(j=1)}$ were an eigenfunction of $\hat{\mathcal{H}}$. That this is actually the case can be checked by a direct calculation using our previous results, from where we obtain $\hat{\mathcal{H}}(1/\psi_{\omega_o}^{(j=1)}) = \omega_o^2/\psi_{\omega_o}^{(j=1)}$. We will set $\hat{\psi}_{\omega_o}^{(j=2)} := 1/\psi_{\omega_o}^{(j=1)}$ and choose $\hat{\psi}_{\omega_o}^{(j=1)}$ such that $\hat{\mathcal{I}}\hat{\psi}_{\omega_o}^{(j=1)} = \psi_{\omega_o}^{(j=1)}$. It follows that $\hat{\mathcal{I}} := \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + g(x)$ satisfies (24), and a simple calculation shows that $\hat{\mathcal{I}}\mathcal{I}\psi = (\omega_o^2 - \mathcal{H})\psi$, i.e., the non trivial kernels of \mathcal{I} and $\hat{\mathcal{I}}$ combine in such a way that the kernel of $\hat{\mathcal{I}}\mathcal{I}$ is the two dimensional ω_o^2 eigenspace of \mathcal{H} . Note that we have shown that we can label the ω_o^2 eigenfunctions such that

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_{\omega_o}^{(j=1)} &= \hat{\mathcal{I}}\hat{\psi}_{\omega_o}^{(j=1)} \\ \mathcal{I}\psi_{\omega_o}^{(j=2)} &= \hat{\psi}_{\omega_o}^{(j=2)} = 1/\psi_{\omega_o}^{(j=1)} \\ \mathcal{I}\psi_{\omega_o}^{(j=1)} &= \hat{\mathcal{I}}\hat{\psi}_{\omega_o}^{(j=2)} = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

Lemma 2: The kernel of $\mathcal{I} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} - \psi_{\omega_o}^{(j=1)}/\psi_{\omega_o}^{(j=1)}$ is the subspace spanned by $\psi_{\omega_o}^{(j=1)}$. If $\hat{\mathcal{I}} = \partial/\partial x + \psi_{\omega_o}^{(j=1)}/\psi_{\omega_o}^{(j=1)}$, then (24) holds, $\hat{\mathcal{I}}\mathcal{I} = (\omega_o^2 - \mathcal{H})$, and the \mathcal{H} and $\hat{\mathcal{H}}$ eigenfunctions can be labeled such that (25) hold.

The intertwining operator (18) will be useful whenever \hat{V} is simpler than V . However, information is lost when solving (19) instead of (17), and we need to know how to recover it. This problem is addressed in the theorem below.

Theorem: Assume $\Psi(t, x)$ satisfies the wave equation (17) with initial conditions $\Psi(0, x) = f(x)$ and $\partial\Psi/\partial t(0, x) = k(x)$. Let $\hat{\Psi} := \mathcal{I}\Psi$, $\hat{f} := \mathcal{I}f$ and $\hat{k} := \mathcal{I}k$, then:

- (i) $\hat{\Psi}$ satisfies the wave equation (19) with initial conditions $\hat{\Psi}(0, x) = \hat{f}(x)$ and $\partial\hat{\Psi}/\partial t(0, x) = \hat{k}(x)$.
- (ii) If $\hat{\Psi} := \hat{\mathcal{I}}\Psi = \hat{\mathcal{I}}\mathcal{I}\Psi$ then

$$\Psi(t, x) = \cos(\omega_o t)f(x) + \frac{\sin(\omega_o t)}{\omega_o}k(x) + \frac{1}{\omega_o} \left(\sin(\omega_o t) \int_0^t \cos(\omega_o t')\hat{\Psi}(t', x)dt' - \cos(\omega_o t) \int_0^t \sin(\omega_o t')\hat{\Psi}(t', x)dt' \right) \quad (26)$$

unless $\omega_o = 0$, in which case

$$\Psi(t, x) = \int_0^t \left(\int_0^{t'} \hat{\Psi}(t'', x)dt'' \right) dt' + tk(x) + f(x) \quad (27)$$

Proof: (i) is trivial. To prove (ii) note from Lemma 2 that

$$\tilde{\Psi} = \hat{\mathcal{I}}\mathcal{I}\Psi = (\omega_o^2 - \mathcal{H})\Psi = (\omega_o^2 + \partial^2/\partial t^2)\Psi \quad (28)$$

where we have used that Ψ satisfies (17) in the last equality. The solution of (28), regarded as a differential equation on Ψ , is

$$\Psi(t, x) = \cos(\omega_o t)F(x) + \sin(\omega_o t)K(x) + \frac{1}{\omega_o} \left(\sin(\omega_o t) \int_0^t \cos(\omega_o t') \tilde{\Psi}(t', x) dt' - \cos(\omega_o t) \int_0^t \sin(\omega_o t') \tilde{\Psi}(t', x) dt' \right) \quad (29)$$

if $\omega_o^2 \neq 0$, and

$$\Psi(t, x) = \int_0^t \left(\int_0^{t'} \tilde{\Psi}(t'', x) dt'' \right) dt' + tR(x) + Q(x) \quad (30)$$

if $\omega_o = 0$. The unknown functions F and K (Q and R) is the information about Ψ that we have lost when applying $\hat{\mathcal{I}}\mathcal{I}$. Fortunately, this information is contained in the initial conditions, since it can readily be seen that $F(x) = \Psi(0, x) = f(x)$ and $\omega_o K(x) = \partial\Psi/\partial t(0, x) = k(x)$. This gives (26), and (27) follows similarly \square

The theorem above provides a procedure to solve eq.(17) given $\Psi|_{(t=0)} = f(x)$ and $\partial\Psi/\partial t|_{(t=0)} = k(x)$, using intertwining operators. The steps to follow are:

1. Find $\hat{f} := \mathcal{I}f$ and $\hat{k} := \mathcal{I}k$.
2. Solve eq.(19) subject to $\hat{\Psi}|_{(t=0)} = \hat{f}(x)$ and $\partial\hat{\Psi}/\partial t|_{(t=0)} = \hat{k}(x)$. This can be done by using a mode expansion of $\hat{\mathcal{H}}$, that is, for every eigenvalue E of $\hat{\mathcal{H}}$ with normalized eigenfunction $\hat{\psi}_E$ ($\hat{\mathcal{H}}\hat{\psi}_E = E\hat{\psi}_E$), solve the elementary ordinary differential equation $\ddot{a}_E = -Ea_E$ subject to the initial conditions $\dot{a}_E(0) = \int \hat{\psi}_E^* \hat{k} dx$ and $a_E(0) = \int \hat{\psi}_E^* \hat{f} dx$, then

$$\hat{\Psi}(t, x) = \int dE a_E(t) \hat{\psi}_E(x), \quad (31)$$

where the integral above is symbolic, and is meant to denote an integral over the continuum piece of the spectrum plus a sum over the discrete part of it.

3. Define $\tilde{\Psi} = \hat{\mathcal{I}}\hat{\Psi}$, where $\hat{\Psi}$ is given in (31).
4. $\Psi(t, x)$ is given by eqn.(26) or (27).

Note that the initial conditions f and k have to be used twice because of the information lost caused by applying \mathcal{I} and $\hat{\mathcal{I}}$, both of which have a nonzero kernel.

IV. INTERTWINING OPERATOR FOR THE NEGATIVE MASS ZERILLI EQUATION

The intertwining operator technique above can be used to reformulate the problem (4)-(12)-(14) and end up with a self adjoint operator in a Hilbert space. This could have been guessed from Lemma 1: since generic solutions of $\mathcal{H}_z\psi_E = E\psi_E$ behave as (14) [2], there is a good chance that the transformed potential (22) be nonsingular at r_s , and this may well be a consequence of

$$\hat{\Psi} = \Psi'_z - g\Psi_z \quad (32)$$

being a smooth function of the perturbed metric. All these expectations turn out to be right. The \mathcal{H}_z eigenfunction that we will use in Lemma 1 is the generalization to arbitrary harmonic number ℓ of the zero mode ($\omega_0 = 0$) in [1]. The zero mode equation is of the form,

$$\mathcal{H}_z\psi_0 = 0 \quad (33)$$

with V of the form (6), and $M < 0$, and the resulting potential is then

$$\hat{V} = V - 2(\psi'_0/\psi_0)' \quad (34)$$

We need to find solutions ψ_0 such that \hat{V} has no ‘‘kinematic’’ singularities. These may arise from singularities in V or in $(\psi'_0/\psi_0)'$. Let us first consider the singularity for $r = r_s$, with $r_s = -(3M/\lambda)$. Using the fact that ψ_0 is a solution of (33), and turning to r (instead of x) derivatives, we find,

$$\hat{V} = \frac{2(r-2M)^2}{r^2\psi_0^2} \left(\frac{d\psi_0}{dr} \right)^2 - V(r) \quad (35)$$

Now, if $\psi(r)$ is *any* solution of (33), then, near $r = r_s$, we have,

$$\psi(r) = a_0(r-r_s)^{-1} + \frac{a_0(\ell+2)^2(\ell-1)^2}{12M(\ell^2+\ell+1)} + a_3(r-r_s)^2 + \mathcal{O}((r-r_s)^3) \quad (36)$$

where a_0 , and a_3 are arbitrary constants. Replacing in (35), assuming $a_0 \neq 0$, and expanding in powers of $(r-r_s)$, we find,

$$\hat{V} = \frac{(\ell^2+\ell+2)(\ell+2)^3(\ell-1)^3}{216M^2} + \left[\frac{(\ell^2+\ell+1)(\ell+2)^4(\ell-1)^4}{648M^3} - \frac{4(\ell^2+\ell+1)^2a_3}{3a_0} \right] (r-r_s) + \mathcal{O}((r-r_s)^2) \quad (37)$$

which shows that \hat{V} is smooth for $r = r_s$, provided $a_0 \neq 0$. If $a_0 = 0$, \hat{V} has a second order pole at r_s . We consider therefore, ψ_0 of the form,

$$\psi_0 = \frac{\chi(r)}{6M+r(\ell+2)(\ell-1)} \quad (38)$$

with χ smooth in $r \geq 0$. Replacing (38) in (33), we find that χ satisfies,

$$\frac{d^2\chi}{dr^2} + \frac{[6M^2+2r\lambda(3M-r)]}{r(r-2M)(3M+\lambda r)} \frac{d\chi}{dr} - \frac{[6M^2+2r\lambda(3M+\lambda r)]}{r^2(r-2M)(3M+\lambda r)} \chi = 0 \quad (39)$$

Using this result we may write (34) as,

$$\hat{V} = \frac{2(r-2M)^2}{r^2\chi^2} \left(\frac{d\chi}{dr} \right)^2 - \frac{4(r-2M)^2\lambda}{r^2(3M+\lambda r)\chi} \frac{d\chi}{dr} - \frac{(r-2M)(6M^2+\lambda r(2\lambda r+4M))}{r^4(3M+\lambda r)} \quad (40)$$

Then, since, as shown, \hat{V} is actually free from the singularity for $r = r_s$, the only remaining possible singularities for $r > 0$ would correspond to the zeros of χ . Therefore, we must require that χ in (38) be not only smooth, but also non vanishing for $r > 0$. It turns out that (39) admits, for every $\ell \geq 2$, a polynomial solution of the form,

$$\chi(r) = \sum_{n=1}^{\ell+2} \frac{(n-2)[(n-4)\ell(\ell+1)+n-1]\Gamma(\ell+n-1)}{2^n\Gamma(n)^2\Gamma(\ell-n+3)(-M)^n} r^n \quad (41)$$

For $\ell = 2$ we have the mode found in [1],

$$\chi(r) = -\frac{3r}{2M} + \frac{3r^3}{4M^3} + \frac{r^4}{4M^4} \quad (42)$$

which is positive for $M < 0$ and $r > 0$. Similarly, for $M < 0$, and $\ell \geq 3$ we have,

$$\chi(r) = \frac{r}{|M|} \left[\frac{3}{2} - \frac{\ell(\ell+2)(\ell^2-1)}{32} \frac{r^2}{|M|^2} + \frac{\ell(\ell+2)(\ell^2-1)}{96} \frac{r^3}{|M|^3} + \frac{\ell(\ell^2+\ell+4)(\ell+3)(\ell^2-4)(\ell^2-1)}{6144} \frac{r^4}{|M|^4} + \dots \right] \quad (43)$$

where all the remaining terms, indicated by dots, are non negative for $r > 0$. Consider now the fourth degree polynomial given explicitly between the brackets in (43). Clearly, it is positive (equal to 3/2) for $r = 0$, and it is also positive for sufficiently large r . Therefore, it can only have a zero if its derivative vanishes at least at one point for $r > 0$. One can check that for $r > 0$ there is only one root given by,

$$r_0 = \frac{4|M| \left(\sqrt{6\ell(\ell^2-1)(\ell+2)} - 108 - 6 \right)}{(\ell-2)(\ell+3)(\ell^2+\ell+4)} \quad (44)$$

which must, therefore, correspond to a minimum of the polynomial in $r > 0$. Replacing $r = r_0$ in (43) we find,

$$\chi(r_0) \geq \frac{16(\rho - 6) ((\ell^3 - \ell)(\ell + 2) - 18) ((\ell^3 - \ell)(\ell + 2)(\rho - 18) + 288)}{(\ell^2 + \ell + 4)^4(\ell - 2)^4(\ell + 3)^4} \quad (45)$$

where $\rho = \sqrt{6\ell(\ell^2 - 1)(\ell + 2) - 108}$. The right hand side of (45) is positive for $\ell \geq 3$, and, therefore, $\chi(r) > 0$ for $r > 0$, and the potential \hat{V} is smooth for $r > 0$, and free from "kinematical singularities", as intended. The explicit form of \hat{V} as a function of r is very complicated but, fortunately, it is not required for the rest of our analysis.

We may, nevertheless, obtain several features of \hat{V} , directly from (40). First, since χ is a polynomial of degree $L + 2$, from (40) we find that for large r ,

$$\hat{V} = \frac{(L + 2)(L + 1)}{r^2} + \mathcal{O}(r^{-3}) \quad (46)$$

and, therefore, $\hat{V} > 0$, and $\hat{V} \rightarrow 0$, for sufficiently large r . Also, from (41), for $r \rightarrow 0$ we have, in general

$$\hat{V} = 12M^2r^{-4} - 2M(\ell^2 + \ell + 3)r^{-3} + \mathcal{O}(r^{-2}) \quad (47)$$

From (7), for $M < 0$ we have

$$r = 2|M|^{1/2}x^{1/2} + \frac{2}{3}x + \frac{1}{18|M|}x^{3/2} + \mathcal{O}(x^2), \quad (48)$$

which, inserted in (48) gives

$$\hat{V} = \frac{3}{4x^2} + \frac{\ell^2 + \ell - 1}{4|M|^{1/2}x^{3/2}} - \frac{\ell(\ell + 1)}{4|M|x} + \mathcal{O}(x^{-1/2}) \quad (49)$$

and thus the general local solution of the differential equation $\hat{\mathcal{H}}_z \hat{\psi}_E = E \hat{\psi}_E$, for $x \rightarrow 0$ is of the form,

$$\hat{\psi}_E = a_0 \left(x^{3/2} + \dots \right) + b_0 \left(x^{-1/2} + \dots \right) \quad (50)$$

which is not square integrable near $x = 0$ unless $b_0 = 0$, as anticipated in Section II (see the discussion below eq. (11)). As can be checked using (32), this last condition corresponds precisely to (15). Moreover, (32) and (14) imply that $\hat{\psi} = \mathcal{I}\psi_z$ admits a Taylor expansion around $r = r_s$, which is, of course consistent with fact that \hat{V} is smooth there. Of particular relevance is the transformed of (16). This is of the form,

$$\hat{\psi}_{unst} = C_1 r e^{-kr} \frac{(r - 2M)^{2k|M|}}{2\lambda r + 6M} \left[\frac{\ell(\ell + 2)(\ell^2 - 1)}{12M} + \frac{r - 2M}{r^2} \left(1 - \frac{r}{\chi} \frac{d\chi}{dr} \right) \right] \quad (51)$$

which, in accordance with our previous discussion, is smooth for $r = r_s$, and vanishes as r^3 for $r \rightarrow 0$. Since χ is polynomial that does not vanish in $r > 0$, the function between square brackets on the right in (51) is also smooth and bounded in the full interval $0 \leq r \leq \infty$, and the function $\hat{\psi}$ is a square integrable eigenfunction of $\hat{\mathcal{H}}_z$, which, therefore, has, at least, one bound state. This, by the way, implies that \hat{V} must have a region where it takes negative values, as can be explicitly checked for particular values of L . Figure 1 shows a graph of \hat{V} and $\hat{\psi}_{unst}$ for $\ell = 2$ and $M = -2$.

The intertwining transformation changed the original problem into that of finding the solutions of (19) with the potential (34), which behaves as $\frac{3}{4x^2} + \dots$ as $x \rightarrow 0^+$. Since $\hat{\mathcal{H}}_z$ is a self adjoint operator on this space, arbitrary functions in this space can be expanded using a basis of eigenfunctions of $\hat{\mathcal{H}}_z$, among which is the unstable mode (51). Thus, generic initial data will excite this mode and will therefore grow exponentially in time, and this will also be true for the corresponding solution of the Zerilli equation, as follows from (27).

V. SUMMARY

Gravitational perturbations propagating on a negative mass Schwarzschild background is a subtle problem for two reasons. First, this space is not globally hyperbolic. As a consequence, the perturbation equations can be reduced to




FIG. 1: Modified potential \hat{V} for $\ell = 2$ and $M = -2$ (solid line), and unstable mode (dotted line) as functions of x . This mode satisfies $\hat{\mathcal{H}}\hat{\psi}_{unst} = -\hat{\psi}_{unst}$, see(16) and (51) .

a single $1 + 1$ wave equation on a semi-infinite domain $x > 0$, (t, x) standard inertial coordinates of two dimensional Minkowski space, $x = 0$ the position of the singularity. This implies that a physically motivated choice of boundary conditions at $x = 0$ is required. There is a unique choice dictated simultaneously by two conditions [1, 2]: (i) that the linearized regime be valid in the whole domain, and, in particular, that the invariants made out of the Riemman tensor behave such that their first order piece does not diverge faster than their zeroth order piece as the singularity is approached; (ii) that the energy of the perturbation, as measured using the second order correction to the Einstein tensor [1] be finite.

The second issue is that Zerilli's function Ψ_z is a singular function of the first order metric coefficients. As a consequence, the wave equation it obeys has a potential with a “kinematic” singularity, and it is not clear how to evolve initial data, since the usual approach of separation of variables leading to a well behaved quantum Hamiltonian operator for the x coordinate breaks down.

In this paper we have shown that this second problem can be overcome using the technique of intertwining potentials given in [5] and references therein. It is shown that the function $\hat{\Psi} = \Psi_z - \psi'_0/\psi_0\Psi_z =: \mathcal{I}\Psi_z$ obeys a wave equation with a smooth potential if ψ_0 is a particular zero mode of Zerilli's Hamiltonian. Moreover, the new Hamiltonian (spatial piece of the modified wave equation), naturally selects the boundary condition that is physically relevant.

We have also shown that, in spite of the fact that \mathcal{I} has a non trivial kernel, it is possible to evolve the perturbation equations using, at two different steps, the initial condition for the Zerilli function. A straightforward application of this formalism allows us to show that the unstable mode found in [2] can actually be excited by initial data compactly supported away from the singularity. This closes a gap in our proof in [2] of instability of the negative mass Schwarzschild spacetime.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported in part by grants from CONICET (Argentina) and Universidad Nacional de Córdoba. RJG and GD are supported by CONICET.

-
- [1] Gibbons G W, Hartnoll D and Ishibashi A 2005 *Prog.Theor.Phys.* **113** 963-978, hep-th/0409307.
 - [2] R. J. Gleiser and G. Dotti, *Class.Quant.Grav.***23**, 5063 (2006) [arXiv:gr-qc/0604021]
 - [3] F. J. Zerilli, *Phys. Rev.* **D2**, 2141 (1970).
 - [4] H. Kodama and A. Ishibashi, *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **110**, 901 (2003) [arXiv:hep-th/0305185]; *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **110**, 701 (2003) [arXiv:hep-th/0305147]; *Phys. Rev. D* **62**, 064022 (2000) [arXiv:hep-th/0004160];
 - [5] A. Anderson and R. H. Price, *Phys. Rev. D* **43**, 3147 (1991).
 - [6] T. Regge, J. Wheeler, *Phys. Rev.* **108**, 1063 (1957).
 - [7] K. Meetz, *Il Nuovo Cimento* **34** 690 (1964).

- [8] M. Reed and B. Simon, *Methods of modern mathematical physics* (v. 2: Fourier analysis, self-adjointness), section X, Academic Press (1975).
- [9] V. Cardoso and M. Cavaglia, arXiv:gr-qc/0604101 (to appear in Phys. Rev. D)
- [10] S. Chandrasekhar Proc. Roy. Soc. Lond. A **392**, 1 (1983).
- [11] Using the energy notion obtained by going to second order perturbation theory [1]. The one associated to \mathcal{H}_z as a quantum Hamiltonian is not relevant to the gravitational problem, in view of our comments above.