

A class of Solvable Lie algebras

Yan Wang, Ran Cui, ShaoQiang Deng*

*School of Mathematical Sciences and LPMC,
Nankai University, Tianjin 300071, China*

Abstract: All finite-dimensional indecomposable solvable Lie algebras \mathfrak{g} , having the filiform Lie algebra Q_{2m+1} as the nilradical, are studied and classified. It turns out that the dimension of \mathfrak{g} is at most $\dim Q_{2m+1} + 2$.

Key words: Solvable Lie algebras; Nilradical; Filiform Lie algebras.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 17B30; 17B05.

1 Introduction

Solvable Lie algebras play an important role in Lie theory. A fundamental theorem due to Levi ([1]) asserts that any finite dimensional Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} can be decomposed into a semidirect sum

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{s} \dot{+} \mathfrak{r}, \quad [\mathfrak{s}, \mathfrak{s}] = \mathfrak{s}, \quad [\mathfrak{r}, \mathfrak{r}] = \mathfrak{r}, \quad [\mathfrak{s}, \mathfrak{r}] \subseteq \mathfrak{r},$$

where \mathfrak{s} is semisimple and \mathfrak{r} is the radical of \mathfrak{g} , i.e. its maximal solvable ideal. Semisimple Lie algebras over the field of complex numbers \mathbf{C} have been completely classified by Cartan ([2]), over the field of real numbers \mathbf{R} by Gantmacher ([3]). So, to complete the classification of all Lie algebras amounts to classify the solvable Lie algebras. However, for solvable Lie algebras such classifications exist only for low dimensions (e.g. [4], [5]). It seems to be very difficult to proceed by dimension in the classification of Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} beyond $\dim \mathfrak{g} = 6$, in particular solvable ones. It is however possible to proceed by structure.

The purpose of this paper is to classify a certain type of finite dimensional solvable Lie algebras over the field \mathbf{C} . It is well known that any solvable Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} has a uniquely defined nilradical N , i.e. maximal nilpotent ideal, satisfying $\dim N \geq \frac{1}{2} \dim \mathfrak{g}$. Hence we can consider a given nilpotent Lie algebra of dimension n as the nilradical and then find all of its extensions to solvable Lie algebras. Here, we regard filiform Lie algebra Q_n as the nilradical of the solvable Lie algebra. When $n = 2m$, Q_{2m} is a kind of naturally graded nilpotent

*Corresponding author. *E-mail address:* dengsq@nankai.edu.cn.

Lie algebras, which has been discussed in [6]. So we only need to concentrate on the case $N = Q_{2m+1}$ ($m \geq 2$) with Lie brackets

$$\begin{aligned} [e_1, e_k] &= e_{k+1}, \quad 2 \leq k \leq 2m, \\ [e_k, e_{2m+2-k}] &= (-1)^k e_{2m+1}, \quad 2 \leq k \leq m, \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

over the basis $\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_{2m+1}\}$. In previous articles the classification has been performed on the following nilpotent Lie algebras: Heisenberg algebras H_n ($n \geq 1$) ([7]), Abelian Lie algebras a_n ($n \geq 1$) ([8]), ‘triangular’ Lie algebras t_n ($n \geq 2$) ([9]), filiform Lie algebras L_n ($n \geq 4$) ([10]), quasifiliform algebras q_n ($n \geq 4$) ([11]).

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we recall some basic concepts related to Lie algebras and the definition of filiform algebras. In Section 3, we calculate the outer derivations and automorphisms for Q_{2m+1} , respectively, and obtain their matrix forms. Finally, in Section 5, we present the classification of the solvable Lie algebras with nilradical Q_{2m+1} .

2 Preliminaries

2.1 Basic concepts

Let \mathfrak{g} be a Lie algebra over a field \mathbf{F} . The derived series $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}^{(0)} \supseteq \mathfrak{g}^{(1)} \supseteq \dots \supseteq \mathfrak{g}^{(k)} \supseteq \dots$ is defined as

$$\mathfrak{g}^{(0)} = \mathfrak{g}, \quad \mathfrak{g}^{(k)} = [\mathfrak{g}^{(k-1)}, \mathfrak{g}^{(k-1)}].$$

If there exists $k \in \mathbf{N}$ such that $\mathfrak{g}^{(k)} = 0$, then \mathfrak{g} is called a solvable Lie algebra.

The lower central series $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}^0 \supseteq \mathfrak{g}^1 \supseteq \dots \supseteq \mathfrak{g}^k \supseteq \dots$ is defined as

$$\mathfrak{g}^0 = \mathfrak{g}, \quad \mathfrak{g}^k = [\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}^{k-1}].$$

If there exists $k \in \mathbf{N}$ such that $\mathfrak{g}^k = 0$, then \mathfrak{g} is called a nilpotent Lie algebra and the smallest integer satisfying $\mathfrak{g}^k = 0$ is called the nilindex of \mathfrak{g} .

Definition 1. ^[12] *The Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} is called filiform if $\dim \mathfrak{g}^k = n - k - 1$ for $k \geq 1$.*

A derivation D of a Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} is a linear transformation of \mathfrak{g} such that

$$D([x, y]) = [D(x), y] + [x, D(y)]. \tag{2}$$

If there exists an element $z \in \mathfrak{g}$ such that

$$D = \text{adz}, \quad \text{i.e.,} \quad D(x) = [z, x], \quad \forall x \in \mathfrak{g},$$

then the derivation is called an inner derivation. A derivation which is not inner will be called an outer derivation.

2.2 Basic classification methods

Any solvable Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} contains a unique nilradical N . We will assume that N is given, that is, we know the Lie brackets of N with respect to the basis $\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n\}$:

$$[e_a, e_b] = \sum_{c=1}^n C_{ab}^c e_c. \quad (3)$$

We study the problem of the classification of all the solvable Lie algebras \mathfrak{g} with nilradical N . This can be achieved by adding further elements h_1, h_2, \dots, h_t to the basis $\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n\}$ to form a basis of \mathfrak{g} . Since the derived algebra of such a solvable Lie algebra is contained in the nilradical ([13]), i.e.

$$[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}] \subseteq N, \quad (4)$$

we have

$$[h_i, e_a] = \sum_{b=1}^n (H_i)_a^b e_b, \quad 1 \leq i \leq t, \quad 1 \leq a \leq n, \quad (5)$$

$$[h_i, h_j] = \sum_{a=1}^n r_{ij}^a e_a, \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq t. \quad (6)$$

Obviously, each h_i is correspondent to one matrix H_i .

The matrix elements of the matrices H_i must satisfy certain linear relations following from the Jacobi identities between the elements (h_i, e_a, e_b) . In addition, since N is the maximal nilpotent ideal of \mathfrak{g} , the matrices H_i must satisfy another condition: no nontrivial linear combination of them is a nilpotent matrix, that is, they are linearly nil-independent.

Now, we will consider the matrices H_i from the other hand. Let us consider the adjoint representation of \mathfrak{g} , restrict it to the nilradical N and find $\text{ad}|_N(h_i)$. It follows from the Jacobi identities that $\text{ad}|_N(h_i)$ is a derivation of N . Moreover, it is an outer derivation. In other words, finding all sets of matrices H_i in (5) satisfying the Jacobi identities is equivalent to finding all sets of outer nil-independent derivations of N :

$$D_1 = \text{ad}|_N(h_1), \dots, D_t = \text{ad}|_N(h_t).$$

Furthermore, in view of (4), the commutators $[D_i, D_j]$ must be inner derivations of N . This requirement determines the structure constants r_{ij}^a .

Different sets of derivations may correspond to isomorphic Lie algebras. In terms of (5) and (6), it means that a classification of the solvable Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} thus amounts to a classification of the matrices H_i and the structure constants r_{ij}^a under the following transformations:

$$\tilde{h}_i = \sum_{j=1}^t G_{ij} h_j + \sum_{a=1}^n S_{ia} e_a, \quad (7)$$

$$\tilde{e}_a = \sum_{b=1}^n R_{ab} e_b, \quad (8)$$

where G is an invertible $t \times t$ matrix, S is a $t \times n$ matrix and the invertible $n \times n$ matrix R must be chosen so that the Lie brackets (3) are preserved.

3 The outer derivations of Q_{2m+1}

The nilpotent Lie algebra Q_{2m+1} is defined by the Lie brackets (1) in the introduction. From Section 2.2, we know that determining the set of outer derivations of N plays an important role in classification. Now, we will describe explicitly the set of outer derivations of Q_{2m+1} .

First, we introduce some notations. Let

$$[e_i, e_j] = B_{ij} e^\alpha,$$

where $B_{1j} = (\overbrace{0, \dots, 0}^j, 1, 0, \dots, 0)$ ($2 \leq j \leq 2m$), $B_{i, 2m+2-i} = (0, \dots, 0, (-1)^i)$ ($2 \leq i \leq m$), other B_{ij} 's are zero row vectors and $e^\alpha = (e_1, e_2, \dots, e_{2m+1})^T$. Let D be an outer derivation of Q_{2m+1} . Suppose $D(e_i) = \sum_{k=1}^{2m+1} d_{ik} e_k$. We have $D = (d_{ij})_{i,j=1}^{2m+1}$. Apply condition (2) to basis elements e_i and e_j , that is

$$D[e_i, e_j] = [De_i, e_j] + [e_i, De_j].$$

We obtain

$$B_{ij} D = d_{i1} B_{1j} + \dots + d_{i, 2m+1} B_{2m+1, j} + d_{j1} B_{i1} + \dots + d_{j, 2m+1} B_{i, 2m+1}. \quad (9)$$

In the following, we discuss (9) with given i and j in order to get the matrix D .

(1) $i = 1, 2 \leq j \leq m : (d_{j+1,1}, \dots, d_{j+1, 2m+1}) = (0, 0, d_{j2}, \dots, d_{11} + d_{jj}, \dots, d_{j, 2m} + (-1)^{j+1} d_{1, 2m+2-j})$;

(2) $i = 1, m+2 \leq j \leq 2m-1 : (d_{j+1,1}, \dots, d_{j+1, 2m+1}) = (0, 0, d_{j2}, \dots, d_{11} + d_{jj}, \dots, d_{j, 2m} + (-1)^j d_{1, 2m+2-j})$;

$$(3) \ i = 1, j = m+1 : (d_{m+2,1}, \dots, d_{m+2,2m+1}) = (0, 0, d_{m+1,2}, \dots, d_{11} + d_{m+1,m+1}, \dots, d_{m+2,2m}).$$

From the above three cases, we get

$$\begin{aligned} d_{j,k} &= 0, & k < j \ (3 \leq j \leq 2m), \\ d_{j,k} &= d_{2,k-j+2}, & (3 \leq j < k \leq 2m), \\ d_{11} + d_{jj} &= d_{j+1,j+1} & (2 \leq j \leq 2m-1). \end{aligned}$$

Somewhat differently, when $2 \leq j \leq m$, we have $d_{j+1,2m+1} = d_{j,2m} - (-1)^j d_{1,2m+2-j}$ and when $m+2 \leq j \leq 2m-1$, we have $d_{j+1,2m+1} = d_{j,2m} + (-1)^j d_{1,2m+2-j}$.

$$(4) \ i = 1, j = 2m : (d_{2m+1,1}, \dots, d_{2m+1,2m+1}) = (0, \dots, 0, d_{11} + d_{12} + d_{2m,2m});$$

$$(5) \ i + j = 2m + 2, \ i \leq m : ((-1)^i d_{2m+1,1}, \dots, (-1)^i d_{2m+1,2m+1}) = (0, \dots, 0, (-1)^i d_{ii} + (-1)^i d_{jj});$$

From (4) and (5), we have $d_{2m+1,k} = 0$ ($1 \leq k \leq 2m$), $d_{2m+1,2m+1} = d_{11} + d_{12} + d_{2m,2m}$ and $d_{ii} + d_{jj} = d_{2m+1,2m+1}$. Let $d_{11} = \alpha$ and $d_{22} = \beta$. It is easy to get $d_{kk} = (k-2)\alpha + \beta$ ($3 \leq k \leq 2m$), $d_{2m+1,2m+1} = (2m-2)\alpha + 2\beta$ and $d_{12} = \beta - \alpha$.

$$(6) \ i = 2, j = 3 : (0, \dots, 0) = (0, 0, 0, d_{21}, 0, \dots, 0, d_{2,2m-1} + d_{3,2m}).$$

Obviously, $d_{21} = 0$. Up to now, we can get that D is an upper triangular matrix in the basis $\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_{2m+1}\}$ of Q_{2m+1} . A further computation shows that

$$d_{2s} = 0 \ (m+2 \leq s \leq 2m-1 \text{ and } s \text{ is odd}) \text{ when } i = 2 \text{ and } j = 2m+2-s;$$

$$d_{2t} = 0 \ (3 \leq t \leq m+1) \text{ when } i = m+3-t \text{ and } j = m+1.$$

Therefore we have $d_{j+1,2m+1} = (-1)^j d_{1,2m+2-j}$ ($m+2 \leq j \leq 2m-1$) and $d_{m+2,2m+1} = d_{2,m-1} = 0$.

Finally, according to the equivalence of classification (7), we can simplify the matrices of the outer derivations by changing the basis in the space $\text{span}\{D_1, \dots, D_t\}$. Denote $\tilde{h}_k = h_k + \sum_{i=1}^{2m+1} a_i e_i$ ($1 \leq k \leq t$). We have the following lemma.

Lemma 1. *The outer derivation of Q_{2m+1} is upper triangular matrix in the basis $\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_{2m+1}\}$. The diagonal elements satisfy:*

$$d_{kk} = (k-2)\alpha + \beta \ (3 \leq k \leq 2m), \ d_{2m+1,2m+1} = (2m-2)\alpha + 2\beta,$$

where $d_{11} = \alpha$ and $d_{22} = \beta$. The specific form of the matrix D is

$$\begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta - \alpha & 0 & \cdots & d_{1,m+1} & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & d_{1,2m+1} - d_{2,2m+1} \\ 0 & \beta & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & d_{2,m+3} & 0 & \cdots & 0 & d_{2,2m} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \alpha + \beta & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & d_{2,m+3} & \cdots & d_{2,2m-2} & 0 & d_{2,2m} \\ & & & \ddots & & & & & & & d_{2,2m-2} & 0 \\ & & & & \ddots & & & & & & & d_{2,2m-2} \\ & & & & & & & & & & & \vdots \\ & & & & & & & & & & & d_{2,m+3} \\ & & & & & & & & & & & d_{1,m+2} \\ & & & & & & & & \ddots & & & 0 \\ & & & & & & & & & \ddots & & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & (2m-2)\alpha + \beta & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & (2m-2)\alpha + 2\beta \end{pmatrix} \quad (10)$$

when m is odd and

$$\begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta - \alpha & 0 & \cdots & d_{1,m+1} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & d_{1,2m+1} - d_{2,2m+1} \\ 0 & \beta & 0 & \cdots & 0 & d_{2,m+2} & 0 & \cdots & 0 & d_{2,2m} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \alpha + \beta & \cdots & 0 & 0 & d_{2,m+2} & \cdots & d_{2,2m-2} & 0 & d_{2,2m} \\ & & & \ddots & & & & & & d_{2,2m-2} & 0 \\ & & & & \ddots & & & & & & d_{2,2m-2} \\ & & & & & & & & & & \vdots \\ & & & & & & & & & & d_{2,m+2} - d_{1,m+2} \\ & & & & & & & & \ddots & & 0 \\ & & & & & & & & & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & (2m-2)\alpha + \beta & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & (2m-2)\alpha + 2\beta \end{pmatrix} \quad (11)$$

when m is even.

4 The automorphism of Q_{2m+1}

As we explained in Section 2.2, to find all solvable Lie algebras with nilradical Q_{2m+1} , we must find all nonequivalent nil-independent outer derivation sets $\{D_1, \dots, D_t\}$ of Q_{2m+1} . The equivalence is determined by the transformations (7) and (8). The transformation (7) has been considered in Section 3. Here, we consider the transformation (8). Denote the transformation by σ , we have $\tilde{e}_a = \sigma(e_a)$. It should be noted that σ must remain the Lie brackets, that is

$$[\sigma(e_i), \sigma(e_j)] = \sigma[e_i, e_j]. \quad (12)$$

Obviously, σ is an automorphism of Q_{2m+1} . In this section, we will determine the form of automorphism of Q_{2m+1} .

Let $\sigma(e_i) = \sum_{k=1}^{2m+1} a_{ik}e_k$. Then

$$\begin{pmatrix} \sigma e_1 \\ \sigma e_2 \\ \vdots \\ \sigma e_{2m+1} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & \cdots & a_{1,2m+1} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & \cdots & a_{2,2m+1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ a_{2m+1,1} & a_{2m+2,2} & \cdots & a_{2m+1,2m+1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} e_1 \\ e_2 \\ \vdots \\ e_{2m+1} \end{pmatrix}$$

In short, $(\sigma e_1, \dots, \sigma e_{2m+1})^T = Ae^\alpha$. By equation (12), we have

$$[a_{i1}e_1 + \cdots + a_{i,2m+1}e_{2m+1}, a_{j1}e_1 + \cdots + a_{j,2m+1}e_{2m+1}] = B_{ij}Ae^\alpha.$$

Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned} & (0, 0, a_{i1}a_{j2} - a_{i2}a_{j1}, \dots, a_{i1}a_{j,2m-1} - a_{i,2m-1}a_{j1}, (a_{i1}a_{j,2m} - a_{i,2m}a_{j1}) + \\ & (a_{i2}a_{j,2m} - a_{i,2m}a_{j2}) - \cdots + (-1)^m(a_{im}a_{j,m+2} - a_{i,m+2}a_{jm})) = B_{ij}A. \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

Similarly to the method of discussing the derivation D , we discuss the equation (13) with given i and j . It is easy to get that A is an upper triangular matrix and

$$\begin{aligned} a_{12} &= q - p, \quad a_{kk} = p^{k-2}q \quad (3 \leq k \leq 2m), \\ a_{2m+1,2m+1} &= p^{2m-2}q^2, \quad a_{ij} = p^{i-2}a_{2,j-i+2} \quad (3 \leq i < j \leq 2m), \end{aligned}$$

where $p = a_{11}$ and $q = a_{22}$. In addition, we can get the following three results:

- (1) $a_{2s} = 0$ ($x < m + 2$ and s is odd) when $i = m + 3 - s$ and $j = m + 1$;
- (2) $a_{2s} = 0$ ($x \leq m + 1$ and s is even) when $i = 2$ and $j = 2m + 2 - s$;
- (3) $a_{2s} = 0$ ($m + 2 \leq x \leq 2m - 1$ and s is odd) when $i = 3$ and $j = 2m + 1 - s$.

Now, we can give the simplest form of the matrix A ,

$$\begin{pmatrix} p & q - p & a_{13} & \cdots & a_{1,m+2} & a_{1,m+3} & a_{1,m+4} & \cdots & a_{1,2m-1} & a_{1,2m} & a_{1,2m+1} \\ 0 & q & 0 & \cdots & 0 & a_{2,m+3} & 0 & \cdots & 0 & a_{2,2m} & a_{2,2m+1} \\ 0 & 0 & pq & \cdots & 0 & 0 & pa_{2,m+3} & \cdots & pa_{2,2m-2} & 0 & a_{3,2m+1} \\ & & & \ddots & & & & & & p^2a_{2,2m-2} & a_{4,2m+1} \\ & & & & \ddots & & & & & & \vdots \\ & & & & & \ddots & & & & & a_{m+1,2m+1} \\ & & & & & & & & & & 0 \\ & & & & & & & & \ddots & & a_{m+3,2m+1} \\ & & & & & & & & & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & p^{2m-2}q & a_{2m,2m+1} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & p^{2m-2}q^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

when m is odd and

$$\begin{pmatrix} p & q - p & a_{13} & \cdots & a_{1,m+1} & a_{1,m+2} & a_{1,m+3} & \cdots & a_{1,2m-1} & a_{1,2m} & a_{1,2m+1} \\ 0 & q & 0 & \cdots & 0 & a_{2,m+2} & 0 & \cdots & 0 & a_{2,2m} & a_{2,2m+1} \\ 0 & 0 & pq & \cdots & 0 & 0 & pa_{2,m+2} & \cdots & pa_{2,2m-2} & 0 & a_{3,2m+1} \\ & & & \ddots & & & & & & p^2 a_{2,2m-2} & a_{4,2m+1} \\ & & & & \ddots & & & & & & \vdots \\ & & & & & \ddots & & & & & a_{m+1,2m+1} \\ & & & & & & & & & & 0 \\ & & & & & & & & \ddots & & a_{m+3,2m+1} \\ & & & & & & & & & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & p^{2m-2}q & a_{2m,2m+1} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & p^{2m-2}q^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

when m is even. It should be noted that

$$a_{i,2m+1} = (-1)^{i+1} p^{i-3} q a_{1,2m+3-i} \quad (m+2 < i < 2m+1).$$

Suppose that an automorphism σ of Q_{2m+1} makes a transformation for the basis $\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_{2m+1}\}$. Then σ can be treated as a transformation directly on D , i.e. σ acts on D as $\sigma D \sigma^{-1}$. Obviously, D is equivalent to $\sigma D \sigma^{-1}$. Hence, we can further simplify D by using automorphisms with the above form.

5 Classification of solvable Lie algebras with the nilradical Q_{2m+1}

Let D_i be one of the outer derivations of Q_{2m+1} . From Section 3, we know that the diagonal elements of the matrix D_i can be completely determined by α_i and β_i . Now, we assume that $\{D_1, D_2, D_3\}$ are linearly independent outer derivations. According to the theory of linear algebra, the equations

$$\begin{cases} X_1 \alpha_1 + X_2 \alpha_2 + X_3 \alpha_3 = 0 \\ X_1 \beta_1 + X_2 \beta_2 + X_3 \beta_3 = 0 \end{cases}$$

has nonzero solutions. Hence $X_1 D_1 + X_2 D_2 + X_3 D_3$ can be a nilpotent matrix. In other words, $\{D_1, D_2, D_3\}$ are not linearly nil-independent. So the number of nil-independent elements t can be at most two.

Theorem 1. *Any solvable Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} with nilradical Q_{2m+1} will have dimension $\dim \mathfrak{g} = 2m + 2$ or $\dim \mathfrak{g} = 2m + 3$.*

In the theorems, ‘solvable’ will always mean solvable, indecomposable, non-nilpotent.

For simplicity, in the matrix D , denote $d_{2,k}$ by d_k ($m+2 \leq k \leq 2m$), $d_{1,m+1}$ by a , $d_{1,2m+1}-d_{2,2m+1}$ by b , $d_{1,m+2}$ by c (when m is odd) and $d_{2,m+2}-d_{1,m+2}$ by c (when m is even). In the following, we will determine the solvable Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} .

5.1 The case $\dim \mathfrak{g} = 2m + 2$

The entire structure of \mathfrak{g} is determined by the matrix D . The Lie brackets of non-nilpotent element h with the basis $\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_{2m+1}\}$ of Q_{2m+1} are given by

$$[h, e_i] = D(e_i) = \sum_{k=1}^{2m+1} d_{ik} e_k.$$

We shall divide our discussion into two cases according to the values of the parameters α and β , at least one of which must be nonzero. Note that, each of the following step is independent. Only the values of the $(1, 2m+1)$ -element and c (m is even) will be changed when we take other changes. For simplicity, we still denote them by b and c .

(1) $\alpha \neq 0$. We rescale D to put $\alpha = 1$ and change the basis of Q_{2m+1}

$$\tilde{e}_1 = e_1, \quad \tilde{e}_i = e_i - \frac{1}{l-2} d_l e_{i+l-2} \quad (2 \leq i \leq 2m+3-l), \quad \tilde{e}_j = e_j \quad (2m+4-l \leq j \leq 2m+1).$$

Then we can change $d_{m+3}, d_{m+5}, \dots, d_{2m}$ (m is odd) or $d_{m+2}, d_{m+4}, \dots, d_{2m}$ (m is even) to zero sequentially. At the same time, the $(1, l)$ -element turns into $\frac{\beta-1}{l-2} d_l$ and the $(2m+3-l, 2m+1)$ -element turns into $\frac{1-\beta}{l-2} d_l$, where $l \in \{m+3, m+5, \dots, 2m\}$ when m is odd and $l \in \{m+4, m+6, \dots, 2m\}$ when m is even. If $l = m+2$, only the $(1, m+2)$ -element equals $\frac{\beta-1}{m} d_{m+2}$. The $(m+1, 2m+1)$ -element c is changed but can not be changed to its negative. We still denote it by c .

If $\beta \neq 2-m$, we continue to change the basis $\tilde{e}_1 = e_1 - \frac{a}{(m-2)+\beta} e_{m+1}$, which turns a to zero.

If $\beta \neq \frac{3-2m}{2}$, a further change of basis $\tilde{e}_1 = e_1 - \frac{b}{(2m-3)+2\beta} e_{2m+1}$ turns b to zero.

Now, all matrix elements in D are zeroes except the diagonal elements, c , the $(1, l)$ -element and the $(2m+3-l, 2m+1)$ -element, where $l \in \{m+3, m+5, \dots, 2m\}$ when m is odd and $l \in \{m+2, m+4, \dots, 2m\}$ when m is even. It is easily seen that c can not be changed to zero by any automorphism of Q_{2m+1} . In addition, if $\beta = 1$, it is easy to see that the $(1, l)$ -element and the $(2m+3-l, 2m+1)$ -element are zeroes. If $\beta \neq 1$, let

$$\tilde{e}_1 = e_1 - \frac{d_l}{(l-3)+\beta} e_l, \quad \tilde{e}_{2m+3-l} = e_{2m+3-l} + \frac{d_l}{(l-3)+\beta} e_{2m+1}.$$

This change turns the $(1, l)$ -element and the $(2m + 3 - l, 2m + 1)$ -element into zeroes, where $l \in \{m + 3, m + 5, \dots, 2m\}$ when m is odd and $l \in \{m + 4, m + 6, \dots, 2m\}$ when m is even. But if $l = m + 2$, we can only change the $(1, m + 2)$ -element to zero. Moreover, if the value of β fails to change the corresponding matrix elements to zero, then we can choose the automorphism

$$\sigma' = \text{diag}(p, p, \dots, p^{2m})$$

with appropriate p to change them to 1 (except this diagonal elements).

(2) $\alpha = 0, \beta \neq 0$. We rescale D to put $\beta = 1$ and take the change

$$\tilde{e}_1 = e_1 - ae_{m+1},$$

which transforms a to zero. We further change the basis

$$\tilde{e}_1 = e_1 - \frac{b}{2}e_{2m+1},$$

which turns b to zero. If now D is diagonal, it can not be further simplified. Let us assume that D is not diagonal. All we can do is to use the transformation σ' to change one of the nonzero elements to 1. In summarizing, we have proved:

Theorem 2. *Seven types of solvable Lie algebras with dimension $2m + 2$ exist for $m \geq 2$. They are mutually non-isomorphic and can be represented as the following:*

(1) $\mathfrak{g}_{2m+2,1} : [h, e_1] = e_1 + (\beta - 1)e_2, [h, e_i] = (i - 2 + \beta)e_i$ ($2 \leq i \leq 2m, i \neq m + 1$), $[h, e_{m+1}] = (m - 1 + \beta)e_{m+1} + \mu e_{2m+1}, [h, e_{2m+1}] = (2m + 2\beta - 2)e_{2m+1}$.

(2) $\mathfrak{g}_{2m+2,2} : [h, e_1] = e_1 - me_2, [h, e_i] = (i - m - 1)e_i$ ($2 \leq i \leq 2m, i \neq m + 1$), $[h, e_{m+1}] = \mu e_{2m+1}$ when m is odd;

$[h, e_1] = e_1 - me_2 + \nu e_{m+2}, [h, e_i] = (i - m - 1)e_i$ ($2 \leq i \leq 2m, i \neq m + 1$), $[h, e_{m+1}] = \mu e_{2m+1}$ when m is even.

(3) $\mathfrak{g}_{2m+2,3} : [h, e_1] = e_1 + (1 - m)e_2 + \mu e_{m+1}, [h, e_i] = (i - m)e_i$ ($2 \leq i \leq 2m, i \neq m + 1$), $[h, e_{m+1}] = e_{m+1} + \nu e_{2m+1}, [h, e_{2m+1}] = 2e_{2m+1}$.

(4) $\mathfrak{g}_{2m+2,4} : [h, e_1] = e_1 + (\frac{1}{2} - m)e_2 + \mu e_{2m+1}, [h, e_i] = (i - m - \frac{1}{2})e_i$ ($2 \leq i \leq 2m, i \neq m + 1$), $[h, e_{m+1}] = \frac{1}{2}e_{m+1} + \nu e_{2m+1}, [h, e_{2m+1}] = e_{2m+1}$.

(5) $\mathfrak{g}_{2m+2,5} : [h, e_1] = e_1 - e_2, [h, e_i] = (i - 2)e_i$ ($3 \leq i \leq 2m, i \neq m + 1$), $[h, e_{m+1}] = (m - 1)e_{m+1} + \mu e_{2m+1}, [h, e_{2m+1}] = (2m - 2)e_{2m+1}$.

(6) $\mathfrak{g}_{2m+2,6} : [h, e_1] = e_1 + (\beta - 1)e_2 - d_{3-\beta}e_{3-\beta}, [h, e_i] = (i - 2 + \beta)e_i$ ($2 \leq i \leq 2m, i \neq m + 1, 2m + \beta$), $[h, e_{m+1}] = (m - 1 + \beta)e_{m+1} + \mu e_{2m+1}, [h, e_{2m+\beta}] = (2m + 2\beta - 2)e_{2m+\beta} + d_{3-\beta}e_{2m+1}, [h, e_{2m+1}] = (2m + 2\beta - 2)e_{2m+1}$, where $\beta \in \{-2m + 3, \dots, -m - 2, -m\}$ when m is odd and $\beta \in \{-2m + 3, \dots, -m - 3, -m - 1\}$ when m is even.

(7) $\mathfrak{g}_{2m+2,7} : [h, e_1] = e_2, [h, e_i] = e_i + \sum_{k=\lfloor \frac{m+1}{2} \rfloor + 1}^m d_{2k} e_{i+2k-2} \ (2 \leq i \leq 2m, i \neq m+1), [h, e_{m+1}] = e_{m+1} + \mu e_{2m+1}, [h, e_{2m+1}] = 2e_{2m+1}.$

In all classification, $\mu = 0$ or 1 and $\nu \in \mathbf{F}$.

Corollary 1. $\mathfrak{g}_{2m+2,3}$ can not exist for $m = 2$.

5.2 The case $\dim \mathfrak{g} = 2m + 3$

Since any nonzero linear combinations of D^1, D^2 can not be nilpotent matrix, there are only one case: $\alpha_1 = 1, \beta_1 = 0$ and $\alpha_2 = 0, \beta_2 = 0$.

First, we take D_1 to its simplest form by changing the basis of Q_{2m+1} :

$$D_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ & & & \ddots & & & & \vdots \\ & & & & \ddots & & & \mu \\ & & & & & \ddots & & \vdots \\ & & & & & & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2m-2 \end{pmatrix},$$

where the value of u can only be 0 or 1. Let D_2 be the form of matrix (10) or (11). Since $[D_1, D_2] \in B = \text{span}\{\text{ade}_1, \text{ade}_2, \dots, \text{ade}_{2m+1}\}$, we compare $[D_1, D_2]$ with matrix B and immediately get

$$D_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ & & & \ddots & & & & \vdots \\ & & & & \ddots & & & \frac{\mu}{m-1} \\ & & & & & \ddots & & \vdots \\ & & & & & & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

In addition, $[D_1, D_2] = 0$.

Now, the corresponding Lie bracket of non-nilpotent elements h_1 and h_2 with $\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_{2m+1}\}$ can be determined. It remains to fix the Lie bracket between h_1 and h_2 . Note that D_1 and D_2 are commuting matrices, which means the Lie bracket of h_1 and h_2 must in the center of Q_{2m+1} , i.e. $[h_1, h_2] = \gamma e_{2m+1}$. The transformation

$$\tilde{h}_1 = h_1 + \frac{\gamma}{2} e_{2m+1}, \tilde{h}_2 = h_2$$

turns γ to zero while leaving other Lie brackets invariant. In summarizing, we get:

Theorem 3. *There is only one type of solvable Lie algebra of $\dim \mathfrak{g} = 2m + 3$ with nilradical Q_{2m+1} . Its Lie brackets are given by:*

$$\begin{aligned} [h_1, e_1] &= e_1 - e_2, [h_1, e_i] = (i - 2)e_i \quad (3 \leq i \leq 2m, i \neq m + 1), \\ [h_1, e_{m+1}] &= (m - 1)e_{m+1} + \mu e_{2m+1}, [h_1, e_{2m+1}] = (2m - 2)e_{2m+1}, \\ [h_2, e_1] &= e_2, [h_2, e_i] = e_i \quad (2 \leq i \leq 2m, i \neq m + 1), \\ [h_2, e_{m+1}] &= e_{m+1} + \frac{\mu}{m - 1}e_{2m+1}, [h_2, e_{2m+1}] = 2e_{2m+1}, \\ [h_1, h_2] &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

where $u = 0$ or 1 .

REFERENCES

- [1] E. E. Levi, Sulla struttura dei gruppi finiti e continui, Atti Accad. Sci. Torino CI. Sci. Fis. Mat. Natur. 40 (1905) 551-565.
- [2] E. Cartan, Sur la structure des groupes de transformations finis et continus (Paris: thesis, Nony), in: Oeuvres Completes, Partie I. Tome 1, 1894, pp. 137-287.
- [3] F. Gantmacher, Rec. Math. (Mat. Sbornik) N. S. 5 (1939) 217-250.
- [4] J. Patera, H. Zassenhaus, Solvable Lie algebras of dimension ≤ 4 over perfect fields, Linear algebra Appl. 142 (1990) 1-17.
- [5] W. A. de Graaf, Classification of solvable Lie algebras, Experimental Mathematics 14 (2005) 15-25.
- [6] J. M. Ancochea, R. Campoamor-Stursberg, L. Garcia Vergnolle, Solvable Lie algebras with naturally graded nilradicals and their invariants, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 39 (2006) 1339-1355.
- [7] J. Rubin, P. Winternitz, Solvable Lie algebras with Heisenberg ideals, J. phys. A: Math. Gen. 26 (1993) 1123-1138.
- [8] J. C. Ndogmo, P. Winternitz, Solvable Lie algebras with Abelian nilradicals, J. phys. A: Math. Gen. 27 (1994) 405-423.
- [9] S. Trembly, P. Winternitz, Solvable Lie algebras with triangular nilradicals, J. phys. A: Math. Gen. 31 (1998) 789-806.
- [10] L. Šnobl, P. Winternitz, A class of solvable Lie algebras and their Casimir invariants, J. phys. A: Math. Gen. 38 (2005) 2687-2700.
- [11] Y. Wang, J. Lin, S. Q. Deng, Solvable Lie algebras with quasifiliform nilradicals, Comm. Algebra, 36 (2008), 1-16.
- [12] M. Goze, Y. Khakimjanov, Nilpotent Lie algebras, Kluwer Academic Press, 1996.
- [13] N. Jacobson, Lie algebras, Courier Dover Publications, New York, 1979.