

On inequivalent factorizations of a cycle

G Berkolaiko¹, J M Harrison², M Novaes³

¹ Department of Mathematics, Texas A&M University,
College Station, TX 77843-3368, USA

² Department of Mathematics, Baylor University, Waco, TX 76798-7328, USA

³ Departamento de Física, Universidade Federal de São Carlos, 13565-905 São Carlos, SP, Brazil

November 22, 2018

Abstract

We introduce a bijection between inequivalent minimal factorizations of the n -cycle $(1\ 2\ \dots\ n)$ into a product of smaller cycles of given length, on one side, and trees of a certain structure on the other. We use this bijection to count the factorizations with a given number of different commuting factors that can appear in the first and in the last positions, a problem which has found applications in physics. We also provide a necessary and sufficient condition for a set of cycles to be arrangeable into a product evaluating to $(1\ 2\ \dots\ n)$.

1 Introduction

Counting factorizations of a permutation into a product of cycles of specified length is a problem with rich history, dating back at least to Hurwitz [1], and with many important applications, in particular in geometry (see e.g. [2]). Our interest in such problems is driven by applications encountered in physics, namely semiclassical trajectory-based analysis of quantum transport in chaotic systems [3, 4]. The main ingredient of this analysis is the existence of correlations between sets of long trajectories connecting an input channel of the quantum system to an output channel. The trajectories organize themselves into families, with the elements of a family differing among themselves only by their behavior in small regions (see Fig. 1) in which some of them have crossings while others have anti-crossings. Enumerating possible configurations of crossing regions and their inter-connectivity is a question of combinatorial nature. In a certain special case it was found in [4] to be connected to the *inequivalent minimal* factorizations of the n -cycle $(1\ 2\ \dots\ n)$ into a product of smaller cycles.




Figure 1: Two schematic examples of correlated sets of classical trajectories through a quantum system. One set of trajectories is represented by solid lines, while the other set is drawn in dashed lines. The circles mark the crossing regions, where the trajectories from different sets differ significantly: dashed lines cross while solid lines narrowly avoid crossings.

The base for our results is a simple and highly pictorial bijection between said factorizations and plane trees. This bijection allows us to recover easily some already known results and to answer new questions about the structure of the set of factorizations. To be more specific we need to introduce some notation.

Let $\sigma_m \cdots \sigma_1$ be a factorization of the cycle $(12 \dots n)$ into a product of smaller cycles. By convention, the first entry of a cycle is always its smallest element. We say the factorization is of type α if among $\{\sigma_j\}$ there are exactly α_2 2-cycles (transpositions), α_3 3-cycles and so on. Let us define

$$|\alpha| = \sum_{j \geq 2} \alpha_j, \quad \langle \alpha \rangle = \sum_{j \geq 2} (j-1) \alpha_j. \quad (1)$$

The quantity α satisfies

$$\langle \alpha \rangle \geq n - 1. \quad (2)$$

If the above relation becomes equality, the factorization is called *minimal*. We only consider minimal factorizations.

If two factorizations differ only in the order of commuting factors, they are said to be *equivalent*. An example of two equivalent factorizations is

$$(1234) = (34)(12)(24) = (12)(34)(24). \quad (3)$$

From now on we will refer to equivalence classes of factorizations simply as factorizations, unless the distinction is of particular importance. In Theorem 1 we establish a bijection between factorizations of type α and plane trees with vertex degrees determined by α . In turn, the trees have been enumerated by Erdélyi and Etherington [5] (see also Tutte [6] and Stanley [7], theorem 5.3.10). We are interested in counting the factorizations of type α . This number will be denoted¹ by $\tilde{H}(\alpha)$. In Theorem 2 we give an equation for its generating function and its relation to Catalan numbers.

¹We use the same notation as [12]; there H was counting *all* factorizations

Given an equivalence class of factorizations of the form $\sigma_m \cdots \sigma_1$, we refer to the number of different cycles that can appear in the position σ_m , the *number of heads* of the factorization. Similarly, the *number of tails* is the number of cycles that can appear in the position σ_1 . For example, the factorization in (3) has 2 heads (transpositions (1 2) and (3 4)) and 1 tail. In Theorem 3 we derive a generating function for the number of inequivalent minimal factorizations with the specified number of heads and tails, denoted by $\tilde{H}_{\mathbf{h},\mathbf{t}}(\boldsymbol{\alpha})$. The vectors $\mathbf{h} = (h_2, h_3, \dots)$ and $\mathbf{t} = (t_2, t_3, \dots)$ characterize the number of heads and tails. Namely, h_j is the number of j -cycle heads and t_j is the number of j -cycle tails. The quantity $\tilde{H}_{\mathbf{h},\mathbf{t}}(\boldsymbol{\alpha})$ is of importance in applications to quantum chaotic transport [4]. Looking again at Fig. 1, the vector \mathbf{h} (corresp. \mathbf{t}) counts the number of crossings that can happen close to the left (corresp. right) opening of the system. For example, $t_2 = 1$ on the diagram (a) while $t_2 = 0$ on the diagram (b), since the 3-crossing prevents the 2-crossing from getting close to the right opening.

Finally, we will give a complete characterization (necessary and sufficient conditions) for a set $\{\sigma_j\}$ of cycles to give rise to a minimal factorization of the n -cycle $(1 2 \dots n)$. This characterization is given in Theorem 4 (the corresponding result for the factorization into transpositions can be traced back to Eden and Schützenberger [8]). Here we only mention one of its corollaries: a factorization equivalence class is completely determined by the factors. In other words, two factorizations composed of the same factors are equivalent.

Some of the results discussed in this paper are already known, although they have been derived using different methods. Namely, the number of inequivalent factorizations into a product of transpositions (i.e. $\boldsymbol{\alpha} = (n-1, \mathbf{0})$) has been obtained by Eidswick [9] and Longyear [10]. Springer [11] derived a formula for $\tilde{H}(\boldsymbol{\alpha})$ using a different bijection to trees of the same type. Irving [12] reproduced the result of Springer using more general machinery involving cacti. The novelty of our approach is in the type (and simplicity!) of the bijection used. Being very visual, our bijection allows us to obtain answers to new questions, namely to count factorizations with specified number of heads and tails, which proved to be invaluable in applications [4, 13, 14].

Of other related results we would like to mention Hurwitz [1] who suggested a formula for the number of minimal transitive factorizations (counting equivalent factorizations as different) of a general permutation into a product of 2-cycles. A factorization is called *transitive* if the group generated by the factors $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_m$ acts transitively on the set $1, \dots, n$. However, Hurwitz gave only a sketch of a proof and his paper was largely unknown to the combinatorialists. For a special case of factorizations of the n -cycle, the formula was (re-)derived by Dénes [15], with alternative proofs given by Lossers [16], Moszkowski [17], Goulden and Pepper [18]. For general permutations, Strehl [19] reconstructed the original proof of Hurwitz, filling in the gaps, while Goulden and Jackson [20] gave an independent proof. Generalizations of Hurwitz formula to factorizations into more general cycles were considered in Goulden and Jackson [21] and Irving [12]. Finally, *inequivalent* minimal transitive factorizations of a permutation consisting of $m = 2$ cycles have been counted in




Figure 2: Visualizing a product of transpositions using a “shuttle diagram”. Each term $(k_1 k_2)$ in the product corresponds to a vertical edge (“shuttle”) connecting lines k_1 and k_2 . The lines are ordered in the same way as the terms in the product. Part (a) depicts the product $(12)(24)(23)$ and finding the image of 3 under the resulting permutation (dashed line). Part (b) is the representation of $(34)(124)$, where the longer cycle is represented by a directed shuttle. Note that these two shuttle diagrams correspond to the trajectory reconnections depicted on Fig. 1: the solid lines connecting h_j to t_j correspond to the trajectories drawn in solid lines and labelled γ_j in Fig. 1. The dashed lines of Fig. 1 correspond to the paths from t_j to h_{j+1} via the shuttles.

Goulden, Jackson and Latour [22] (into transpositions) and in Irving [12] (into general cycles). For permutations with $m = 3$ and 4 cycles formulas have been found [23] using the technique presented in the current manuscript but generalizations to larger m appear to be difficult.

2 Visualizing a product of cycles

A particularly nice way to visualize a product of transpositions was suggested in [24] (see also [25]). A permutation from S_n is represented as n labeled horizontal lines with several vertical lines (“shuttles”) connecting some pairs of the horizontal lines, see Fig. 2. The right and left ends of a line k are labeled with t_k (for “tail”) and h_k (for “head”) correspondingly. For every horizontal line, start at the right and trace the line to the left. Wherever an end of a shuttle is encountered, trace this shuttle vertically till its other end and then resume going to the left (towards h). Continue in this manner until you reach the left end of one of the horizontal lines. It is clear that the mapping “right ends to left ends” thus described is invertible and therefore one-to-one.

In this construction, a shuttle connecting lines k_1 and k_2 represents the transposition $(k_1 k_2)$. The transpositions are ordered in the same way as shuttles: right to left. If the two neighboring transpositions $(k_1 k_2)$ and $(k_3 k_4)$ commute (if and only if all four k_j are distinct), the corresponding shuttles can be swapped around without affecting the dynamics. We can view the resulting diagram as a graph (with horizontal and vertical edges). If the diagram represents a factorization of an n -cycle, the graph is connected. By counting vertices and edges, one concludes that




Figure 3: Visualizing a product of transpositions as a directed graph. Depicted are the steps in constructing the graph corresponding to the product $(34)(24)(14)$. To read off the image of k under the resulting permutation we start at t_k and follow the directions of the edges, choosing the next edge in the counterclockwise order at each vertex, until arriving to $h_{\pi(k)}$. The path traced starting with t_1 is illustrated by the dashed line in (d).

if a factorization is *minimal*, the resulting graph is a tree.

Suppose now that the diagram represents a minimal factorization of the cycle $(12\dots n)$. In addition to the right-to-left motion described above we define the left-to-right motion as going horizontally, ignoring the shuttles. Then, starting at t_1 and going left we arrive to h_2 . Going right from there we arrive to t_2 and from there, to h_3 . Continuing in this fashion, we obtain a closed walk with several important features. It visits the vertices $t_1, h_2, t_2, \dots, h_n, t_n, h_1$ in this sequence. It traverses each edge of the graph exactly twice: once in each direction (this follows, for example, from the invertibility of the motion). Since the graph is a tree, we conclude that it goes from one vertex to the next one along the shortest possible route. This walk traversing the entire tree will play an important role in what follows.

Another way to visualize a product of transpositions as a directed plane graph is illustrated on Fig. 3. We start with n disjoint directed edges labeled 1 to n . For a product $\pi = (k_{j-1} k_j) \cdots (k_3 k_4)(k_1 k_2)$, we start by joining the heads of the edges labeled k_1 and k_2 at a new vertex and add two more *outgoing* edges also labeled k_1 and k_2 . We arrange them around the vertex so that, going counter-clockwise, the outgoing edge k_1 is followed by the incoming k_1 , then by the outgoing k_2 and, finally, by the incoming k_2 . At this and all later stages of the procedure for each $k = 1, \dots, n$ there is exactly one “free” head of an edge labeled k , and one free tail of possibly different edge also labeled k . We now repeat the procedure for the transposition $(k_3 k_4)$, joining free heads of edges marked k_3 and k_4 , adding new outgoing edges to new vertex and ordering the edges in the similar fashion: outgoing k_3 , incoming k_3 , outgoing k_4 and incoming k_4 . On Fig. 3(d) we identified the free heads and tails by labeling them with h_j and t_j correspondingly.

The resulting graph is closely related to the diagrams described earlier. Namely,




Figure 4: (a) Transforming the diagram representation of a product of transpositions into a directed graph representation. The “shuttle” edge is shrunk and its end-vertices are merged. The edges on the left are labeled in the order they are traversed by the walk $t_1, h_2, t_2, \dots, h_n, t_n, h_1, t_1$. (b) Visualization of the cycle $(1\ 2\ 3)$ as a “shuttle diagram” and as a directed graph.

the graph is obtained from the diagram by shrinking the shuttle edges and re-ordering the edges at the newly merged vertices, see Fig. 4(a). Moreover, the ordering of edges has been designed so that, to determine the image of k under the product permutation π , one would start at t_k and travel along the direction of the edges, at each vertex taking the next edge in the counterclockwise order, finally arriving to $h_{\pi(k)}$. This is illustrated by the dashed line on Fig. 3(d). Starting at h_k and going *against* the direction of the edges, taking the next counterclockwise edge at each vertex, will get one to t_k .

Thus, if π is the cycle $(1\ 2 \dots n)$, the corresponding graph is a tree with $n - 1$ vertices of total degree 4 (henceforth called *internal vertices*), $2n$ vertices of degree 1 (henceforth called *leaves*) and $3n - 2$ edges. The walk $t_1, h_2, t_2, \dots, h_n, t_n, h_1, t_1$, discussed in the context of diagrams, now circumnavigates the entire tree in the counter-clockwise direction. As before, it traverses each edge exactly once in each direction. The leaves of the tree are thus marked $h_1, t_1, h_2, t_2, \dots, h_n, t_n$ going counter-clockwise, see Fig. 3.

The generalization of this construction from a product of transpositions to a product of general cycles is straightforward. For a m -cycle $(k_1\ k_2 \dots k_m)$, the corresponding shuttle is realized as m directed edges indicating transitions from horizontal line k_j to horizontal line k_{j+1} . In the directed graph visualization, the cycle corresponds to a vertex of total degree $2m$, with outgoing edge marked k_1 followed by the incoming edge k_1 , then by outgoing edge k_2 and so on. We illustrate this in Fig. 2(b) using the cycle $(1\ 2\ 4)$ as an example.




Figure 5: Reconstructing the factorization from an undirected rooted plane tree. Note that at step (d) and (e) one could choose to remove the vertex adjacent to leaves t_4 and t_6 instead.

3 Main Results

As described in section 2, a factorization (up to equivalence) of the n -cycle into $n - 1$ transpositions is naturally represented as a plane tree with $n - 1$ internal vertices of total degree 4, $2n$ leaves of degree 1 and $3n - 2$ edges. If we designate the leaf h_1 as the root of the tree, the labeling of all other leaves and the directions of edges can be reconstructed uniquely. This representation of a factorization as an undirected rooted plane tree turns out to be a bijection.

Theorem 1. *Inequivalent minimal factorizations of type α of the n -cycle $(1 2 \dots n)$ are in one-to-one correspondence with undirected rooted plane trees having α_j vertices of degree $2j$ and $2n$ leaves of degree 1.*

Proof. The mapping of factorization equivalence classes to trees, described in section 2 is well defined. Indeed, the construction steps corresponding to commuting factors also commute. We need to show that this mapping is invertible and onto.

The mapping can be inverted by taking the following steps (see Fig. 5 for an example):

1. Label the leaves of the tree with $h_1, t_1, h_2, \dots, t_n$ starting with the root and going counterclockwise, Fig. 5(b) (to avoid clutter we will omit the h -labels).
2. For some value of j , choose a vertex with degree $2j$ which has j t -leaves adjacent to it. Such a vertex exists by pigeonhole principle. The indices of the t -leaves give the next (in the right to left order) factor in the expansion, Fig. 5(c).

3. Remove the vertex. The edges connecting the vertex to leaves are removed entirely. The edges connecting the vertex to other vertices, if any, are cut in half. This creates one or more new leaves and their labels are inherited from the leaves neighboring them in the counterclockwise direction, Fig. 5(c).
4. Repeat from step 2, Fig. 5(d)-(f).

Notice that the number of choices one has when first running step 2 corresponds to the total number of tails.

To verify that the mapping is onto we have to check that the above inversion applied to any tree produces a factorization of the n -cycle $(1\ 2\ \dots\ n)$. To this end we observe that the deletion-relabeling process coupled with the application of the cycles read at step 2 transports an object initially at t_j to the leaf h_{j+1} for all j (assuming the convention $n + 1 \equiv 1$). \square

Before we proceed to counting trees, we would like to present a short corollary of the above theorem.

Lemma 1. *Let $\sigma = (s_1 \dots s_{|\sigma|})$ be a cycle in a factorization of the n -cycle $(1\ 2\ \dots\ n)$. Then σ is increasing: $s_1 < s_2 < \dots < s_{|\sigma|}$.*

Proof. When reading the factorization off the tree as described in the proof of Theorem 1, the labels are assigned initially to the leaves of the tree in the counterclockwise order. The operation of removing a vertex and inheriting the labels preserves this ordering of the labels. If a new connected component is created by the removal operation, its labels are also ordered counterclockwise. Thus, when a vertex σ is removed, the labels of its leaves, $t_{s_1}, \dots, t_{s_{|\sigma|}}$ satisfy $s_1 < s_2 < \dots < s_{|\sigma|}$ (provided the starting index s_1 is chosen appropriately). Thus each factor read off the tree is an increasing cycle. \square

Theorem 2. *The generating function of the number $\tilde{H}(\boldsymbol{\alpha})$, defined by*

$$\xi(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} \tilde{H}(\boldsymbol{\alpha}) x_2^{\alpha_2} x_3^{\alpha_3} \dots, \quad (4)$$

where the sum over $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ is unrestricted, satisfies the recurrence relation

$$\xi(\mathbf{x}) = 1 + x_2 \xi^3(\mathbf{x}) + x_3 \xi^5(\mathbf{x}) + \dots \quad (5)$$

It follows that

$$\sum_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}: \langle \boldsymbol{\alpha} \rangle = n} (-1)^{|\boldsymbol{\alpha}|+n} \tilde{H}(\boldsymbol{\alpha}) = \frac{1}{n+1} \binom{2n}{n} = c_n, \quad (6)$$

where c_n is the n -th Catalan number.

The above statement is a simple consequence of the bijection between factorizations and trees and the known results enumerating the trees, see Erdélyi and

Etherington [5], Tutte [6] or Stanley [7, Theorem 5.3.10]. We will give a short proof in section 4 to introduce the methods used in the next result.

For factorizations with specified numbers of heads and tails we have

Theorem 3. *Let $g(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{u})$ be the generating function of the number $\tilde{H}_{\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{t}}(\boldsymbol{\alpha})$ of inequivalent minimal factorizations of the n -cycle $(1\ 2 \dots n)$ of type $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ with specified number of heads and tails, defined by*

$$g(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{u}) = \sum_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} \sum_{\mathbf{h}=(0,0,\dots)}^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} \sum_{\mathbf{t}=(0,0,\dots)}^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} \tilde{H}_{\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{t}}(\boldsymbol{\alpha}) x_2^{\alpha_2} u_2^{h_2} v_2^{t_2} x_3^{\alpha_3} u_3^{h_3} v_3^{t_3} \dots$$

Then $g(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{u})$ can be found as

$$g = f - \sum_{n \geq 2} x_n (1 - u_n) f^n \tag{7}$$

$$= f \hat{f} - \sum_{n \geq 2} x_n (f \hat{f})^n, \tag{8}$$

where f satisfies the recursion relation

$$f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{u}) = 1 + \sum_{n \geq 2} x_n (f^n - 1 + v_n) \hat{f}^{n-1} \tag{9}$$

and \hat{f} is obtained from f by exchanging the roles of u and v ,

$$\hat{f}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{u}) = f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}).$$

Moving on to the characterization of all possible sets of factors, we remind the reader that a cycle $(s_1\ s_2 \dots s_{|\sigma|})$ is called *increasing* if $s_1 < s_2 < \dots < s_{|\sigma|}$. Here by $|\sigma|$ we denote the size of the cycle σ .

Another natural way to visualize factorizations of cycles is to draw the factors on a circle. We start by arranging the numbers $1, \dots, n$ on the circle in the anti-clockwise direction. For a cycle $(s_1\ s_2 \dots s_{|\sigma|})$ we will draw curves *inside* the circle connecting s_j to s_{j+1} for $j = 1, \dots, |\sigma|$, with the last curve connecting $s_{|\sigma|}$ to s_1 . We draw the curves without intersections (apart from at the vertices s_j), which is possible if and only if the cycle is increasing or decreasing. For uniformity, in case of a transposition $\sigma = (s_1, s_2)$, we draw two curves. An example with all cycles in a factorization drawn on a circle is shown on Fig. 6.

Theorem 4. *The cycles $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_m$ can be arranged into a factorization of the n -cycle $(1\ 2 \dots n)$ if and only if the following conditions hold*

1. any number $j = 1, \dots, n$ belongs to at least one of the cycles,
2. all cycles are increasing,




Figure 6: Cycles $(1\ 5\ 6\ 8)$, $(2\ 3\ 5)$, $(4\ 5)$ and $(6\ 7)$ drawn on a circle. The cycles satisfy conditions of Theorem 4 and can be arranged into a factorization $(1\ 2\ 3\ 4\ 5\ 6\ 7\ 8) = (4\ 5)(2\ 3\ 5)(1\ 5\ 6\ 8)(6\ 7)$.

3. *the cycles can be drawn on a circle without intersecting themselves and one another (apart from at the vertices $j = 1, \dots, n$ on the circle),*
4. *the closed union of the resulting curvilinear polygons is simply connected.*

All factorizations formed out of these cycles are equivalent.

Remark 1. Either requirement number 1 or requirement number 4 can be substituted by the condition that

$$1 + \sum_{j=1}^m (|\sigma_j| - 1) = n. \quad (10)$$

Example 1. The cycles $\{(1\ 4\ 5), (1\ 3), (2\ 4)\}$ cannot be arranged into a factorization since the curves connecting $(1\ 3)$ and $(2\ 4)$ cannot be drawn inside a circle without intersecting. The cycles $\{(1\ 4\ 5), (1\ 2\ 3), (3\ 4)\}$ cannot be arranged into a factorization since the resulting circle drawing has a non-retractable loop $1, 4, 3, 1$. The cycles $\{(1\ 4\ 5), (1\ 2), (2\ 3)\}$ satisfy the conditions of Theorem 4 and yield the factorization $(1\ 4\ 5)(1\ 2)(2\ 3)$.

Remark 2. The result of drawing the cycles on the circle (after the circle has been erased) is a cactus, precisely the object that was used by Irving[12] to count factorizations. We will prove Theorem 4 in Section 5 by establishing a bijection between our trees and cacti drawn on a circle. An informal explanation for the bijection is rather simple: while trees were obtained from the shuttle diagrams by shrinking vertical edges, the cacti are obtained by shrinking horizontal edges (provided the cycles are drawn as shown on Fig. 4(b)).




Figure 7: (a) A tree with characteristic $\alpha = (3, 1, 0)$ separates at the top internal vertex into five subtrees characterized by $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = \alpha_4 = (0)$, $\alpha_3 = (1, 0)$ and $\alpha_5 = (2, 0)$. (b) A tree with characteristic $\alpha = (1, 1, 0)$.

4 Counting factorizations

While Theorem 2 is a simple consequence of Theorem 1 and known counting results for trees ([5], [6] or [7, Theorem 5.3.10]), we provide a brief proof in order to introduce the methods used in the proof of Theorem 3.

Proof of Theorem 2. We are going to enumerate the plane trees which have α_j vertices of degree $2j$. The set of all such trees will be denoted by \mathcal{T}_α , where $\alpha = (\alpha_2, \alpha_3, \dots)$.

To derive a recurrence relation for $|\mathcal{T}_\alpha|$ we break the tree at the top vertex adjacent to the root. The top vertex has degree $2(d+1)$ for some $d \geq 1$ and, when splitting the tree, it becomes the root of $2d+1$ subtrees T_1, \dots, T_{2d+1} , characterized by vectors $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{2d+1}$ (some of them possibly empty). Clearly $\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^{2d+1} \alpha_i + e_d$ where e_d has 1 in its d -th component² and zero elsewhere, representing the top vertex that was removed. Figure 7(a) shows a tree with characteristic $(3, 1, 0)$. This tree splits at the top vertex, degree six ($d = 2$), into five subtrees. The number of all possible trees with the top vertex of degree $2(d+1)$ is given by the number of combinations of subtrees, $\prod_{j=1}^{2d+1} |\mathcal{T}_{\alpha_j}|$, where $\sum_{j=1}^{2d+1} \alpha_j = \alpha - e_d$. Summing over the possible degrees of the top vertex establishes the recursion relation,

$$\tilde{H}(\alpha) = |\mathcal{T}_\alpha| = \sum_{d \geq 1} \sum_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_{2d+1}} \prod_{j=1}^{2d+1} \tilde{H}(\alpha_j) \delta_{\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_{2d+1}, \alpha - e_d}. \quad (11)$$

Computing the generating function $\xi(\mathbf{x})$, equation (4), we recover (5).

To relate $\tilde{H}(\alpha)$ to Catalan numbers (something important in applications, [4]), we take $x_j = -r^{j-1}$, $j \geq 2$. These particular values lead to

$$x_2^{\alpha_2} x_3^{\alpha_3} \dots = (-1)^{|\alpha|} r^{\langle \alpha \rangle}.$$

²we remind the reader that the k -th component of vector α is α_{k+1}

On the other hand, recursion (5) implies that

$$\tilde{\xi} = 1 - r\tilde{\xi}^3 - r^2\tilde{\xi}^5 - \dots, \quad \text{where} \quad \tilde{\xi}(r) = \xi(-r, -r^2, \dots).$$

The right-hand side is almost a geometric series; we multiply the equation by $1 - r\tilde{\xi}^2$ to arrive at

$$r\tilde{\xi}^2 + \tilde{\xi} - 1 = 0.$$

This can be solved for $\tilde{\xi}$ and results in the well known generating function of $(-1)^n c_n$. \square

A recurrence relation for $\tilde{H}_{\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{t}}(\boldsymbol{\alpha})$ can be established in a similar manner.

Proof of Theorem 3. We recap that we are counting the factorizations with a given number of heads and tails. On a tree, a tail corresponds to a vertex of degree $2j$ which has j free t -labeled edges attached to it. For example, on Fig. 7, there are $t = 2$ tails. Similarly a head is a degree $2j$ vertex with j free h -labeled edges attached (we omitted h labels from Fig. 7 and other figures to avoid clutter). Note that the top vertex can be both a tail and a head, although not simultaneously, at least for trees with more than one vertex. The root counts as being h -labeled and is always free. For example, the tree on Fig. 7(a) has the top vertex as its only head, $h = 1$. We also introduce a variable h' counting all heads excluding the top vertex. We will refer to it as the *reduced head count* and for the tree on Fig. 7(a) it is $h' = h - 1 = 0$, while for the tree on Fig. 7(b) $h' = h = 1$. We will first derive a recursion counting the trees with a given reduced head count and from there obtain the number of trees with full head count.

The tail and (reduced) head count are further specialized to count the number of heads and tails of a certain degree. Thus, in general, \mathbf{h} , \mathbf{h}' and \mathbf{t} are infinite vectors with finitely many nonzero components. Let ϕ be a partial generating function with respect to the tail and reduced head count

$$\phi(\boldsymbol{\alpha}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{u}) = \sum_{\mathbf{h}'=(0,0,\dots)}^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} \sum_{\mathbf{t}=(0,0,\dots)}^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} \tilde{H}_{\mathbf{h}', \mathbf{t}}(\boldsymbol{\alpha}) u_2^{h'_2} v_2^{t_2} u_3^{h'_3} v_3^{t_3} \dots, \quad \phi(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{u}) = 1.$$

To establish the recursion relation we again consider breaking the tree into subtrees T_1, \dots, T_{2d+1} at the top vertex of degree $2(d+1)$, numbering the subtrees left to right. As before, the subtrees are characterized by vectors $\boldsymbol{\alpha}_1, \dots, \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{2d+1}$. We introduce a special notation for the sum of odd-indexed vectors and for the sum of even-indexed ones,

$$\boldsymbol{\alpha}^o = \sum_{j=0}^d \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{2j+1} \quad \boldsymbol{\alpha}^e = \sum_{j=1}^d \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{2j}. \quad (12)$$

The reduced head count $\mathbf{h}' = (h_2, h_3, \dots)$ of the full tree can be obtained by summing the appropriate counts for the subtrees, namely

$$\mathbf{h}'(T) = \mathbf{h}'(T_1) + \sum_{j=1}^d (\mathbf{t}(T_{2j}) + \mathbf{h}'(T_{2j+1})). \quad (13)$$

Note that for the even-numbered subtrees, we need to add the number of tails rather than heads. This corresponds to a change in the labeling of the leaves on the subtrees with even index. On subtrees with odd index the first (leftmost) leaf is always t -labeled, while the first leaf of an even-numbered subtree is h -labeled, see Fig. 7(b) for an example.

For the tail count of the complete tree, the procedure is analogous, with the addition of the possible contribution of the top vertex. The top vertex is a tail if all the odd subtrees are empty, i.e. $\alpha_{2j+1} = \mathbf{0}$, $j = 0, \dots, d$. Figure 7(b) shows a tree where the top vertex is a tail. Therefore,

$$\mathbf{t}(T) = \mathbf{t}(T_1) + \sum_{j=1}^d (\mathbf{h}'(T_{2j}) + \mathbf{t}(T_{2j+1})) + \delta_{\alpha^o, \mathbf{0}} \mathbf{e}_d.$$

Consequently $\phi(\alpha, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{u})$ is expressed in terms of functions $\phi(\alpha_j, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{u})$ generated by the subtrees,

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(\alpha, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{u}) = \sum_{d \geq 1} \sum_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_{2d+1}} \phi(\alpha_1, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{u}) \prod_{j=1}^d \phi(\alpha_{2j}, \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) \phi(\alpha_{2j+1}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{u}) \\ \times (1 - (1 - v_{d+1}) \delta_{\alpha^o, \mathbf{0}}) \delta_{\alpha^o + \alpha^e, \alpha - \mathbf{e}_d}. \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

It is important to observe that the functions ϕ with even-indexed vectors α_{2j} have their arguments \mathbf{u} and \mathbf{v} switched around. Calculating the generating function

$$f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) = \sum_{\alpha} \phi(\alpha, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{u}) x_2^{\alpha_2} x_3^{\alpha_3} \dots,$$

we recover recurrence relation (9).

The complete head count can be obtained from the appropriate counts for the subtrees in a slight variation of (13),

$$\mathbf{h}(T) = \mathbf{h}'(T_1) + \sum_{j=1}^d (\mathbf{t}(T_{2j}) + \mathbf{h}'(T_{2j+1})) + \delta_{\alpha^e, \mathbf{0}} \mathbf{e}_d, \quad (15)$$

where α^e was defined in equation (12).

The partial generating function with respect to the full head count is then

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(\alpha, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{u}) = \sum_{\mathbf{h}=(0,0,\dots)}^{\alpha} \sum_{\mathbf{t}=(0,0,\dots)}^{\alpha} \tilde{H}_{\mathbf{h},\mathbf{t}}(\alpha) u_2^{h_2} v_2^{t_2} u_3^{h_3} v_3^{t_3} \dots \\ = \sum_{d \geq 1} \sum_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_{2d+1}} \phi(\alpha_1, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{u}) \prod_{j=1}^d \phi(\alpha_{2j}, \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) \phi(\alpha_{2j+1}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{u}) \\ \times [1 - (1 - v_{d+1}) \delta_{\alpha^o, \mathbf{0}} - (1 - u_{d+1}) \delta_{\alpha^e, \mathbf{0}}] \delta_{\alpha^o + \alpha^e, \alpha - \mathbf{e}_d} \end{aligned}$$

Opening the square brackets, using the recursion (14) for ϕ , and the fact that $\alpha^e = \mathbf{0}$ implies $\phi(\alpha_{2j}, \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) = 1$, we obtain

$$\psi(\alpha, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{u}) = \phi(\alpha, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{u}) - \sum_{d \geq 1} \sum_{\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_{2d+1}} \prod_{j=0}^d \phi(\alpha_{2j+1}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{u}) (1 - u_{d+1}) \delta_{\alpha^o, \alpha - e_d}.$$

Calculating the full generating function $g(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v})$ we obtain

$$g(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{u}) = f - \sum_{d \geq 1} x_{d+1} (1 - u_{d+1}) f^{d+1},$$

which is the same as (7) after the substitution $n = d + 1$. We now transform this relation to form (8), which confirms that, in contrast to $f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{u})$, the generating function $g(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{u})$ is symmetric with respect to the exchange of \mathbf{u} and \mathbf{v} . We exchange \mathbf{u} and \mathbf{v} in (9) to obtain a recursion for \hat{f} ,

$$\hat{f} = 1 + \sum_{n \geq 2} x_n (\hat{f}^n - 1 + u_n) f^{n-1},$$

multiply it by f and rearrange,

$$f \hat{f} = f - \sum_{n \geq 2} x_n (1 - u_n) f^n + \sum_{n \geq 2} x_n \hat{f}^n f^n,$$

from which (8) immediately follows. □

5 Trees and cacti

As seen in Section 2 and Theorem 1, a factorization can be visualized as a tree with vertices representing factors. An alternative visualization involves drawing cycles as (curvilinear) polygons inscribed in a circle, leading to an inscribed cactus. As we mentioned earlier, the two models can be viewed as two ways of removing redundant information from the shuttle diagrams of Section 2. The trees are obtained by shrinking vertical edges (shuttles), while cacti are obtained by shrinking horizontal edges.

In this Section we will give a direct mapping between trees and cacti inscribed on a circle. Namely, we will show that cacti satisfying conditions 1- 4 of Theorem 4 are in one-to-one correspondence with the rooted plane trees of Theorem 1. Then the statement of Theorem 4 follows directly from Theorem 1 and Lemma 1. We will keep our exposition slightly informal since a formal proof of a similar result for transpositions is available, for example, in [8] (see also an exposition in Section 4.5 of [26]).




Figure 8: Turning a cactus into a tree: (a) original cactus inscribed in a circle, (b) adding edges (bold) between touching corners of polygons, (c) cutting circle between labels j and $j + 1$ and (d) shrinking polygons to produce internal vertices of the tree.

5.1 From an inscribed cactus to a tree

To transform an inscribed cactus into a tree we start by separating on the circle the touching corners of polygons. This creates more edges (shown in bold lines on Fig. 8). The new vertices on the circle will receive the same label as the original vertex. Thus, if k polygons touched at vertex j there are now k vertices labeled j in consecutive positions around the circle. The new edges will become the internal edges (i.e. not ending in a degree one vertex) of the resulting graph. We observe that after this step no two polygons have any points in common. Also, each corner of each polygon is connected to exactly two edges.

In the next step, every arc of the circle connecting vertices j and $j + 1$ (by $n + 1$ we understand 1) is cut in half. The new vertices receive labels t_j and h_{j+1} , so that t_j is connected to vertex j and h_{j+1} is connected to $j + 1$, see an example on Fig. 8, part (c).

Finally, all polygons are shrunk to form vertices, Fig. 8(d). Since the original




Figure 9: Expanding a tree vertex into a polygon. A vertex of degree $2m$ becomes a polygon with m corners. Each corner is connected to a pair of edges. If the vertex has t -type, we group the edges in pairs according to figure (b). Vertices of h -type become polygons depicted on figure (c).

cactus was simply connected, the result is a tree with (by construction) the correct vertex degrees to satisfy Theorem 1. Thus it corresponds to a minimal factorization of the full n -cycle.

5.2 From a tree to an inscribed cactus

To make a cactus out of a tree we essentially reverse the procedure outlined in the previous section. The only difficult point is the “inflation” of internal vertices into polygons. Each vertex of degree $2m$ will become a polygon with m corners and two edges attached to each corner. Since the circular ordering of the edges around the polygon is determined by the ordering around the tree vertex, there are only two possibilities to group edges into pairs (depicted in Fig. 9).

To decide which vertex becomes which type of polygon, we determine the “type” of a vertex v . Imaging a counter-clockwise walk starting at the leaf h_1 and following the exterior of the tree. If the last leaf visited by the walk prior to coming to v for the first time was a t -leaf, the vertex v is of type h . Otherwise, it is of type t . Note that this definition works for leaves as well as internal vertices, and produces for them the “correct” type. The type is also preserved in building a tree from the top down. Having determined the type of a vertex, the vertices of type t become polygons of the type depicted on Fig. 8(b) and vertices of type h become polygons similar to Fig. 8(c).

From this point, we merge pairs of vertices t_j and h_{j+1} to form the circle and then shrink the internal edges, obtaining an inscribed cactus.

6 Conclusions and outlook

The simple pictorial bijection introduced in Theorem 1 has allowed us to perform an in-depth analysis of the set of inequivalent minimal factorizations of the n -cycle.

The next logical step is to apply similar ideas to inequivalent minimal transitive factorizations of a general permutation. Our preliminary explorations showed that the ideas of the present manuscript provide a method for deriving a recursion for the generating function for any finite m , where m is the number of cycles in the cycle representation of the target permutation ($m = 1$ corresponds to an n -cycle). We have also found [27] that this question is directly applicable in computing non-linear moments of transmission probability through a chaotic quantum system. However, for the above application some information on the number of tails and heads in a factorization is again required.

Acknowledgment

The authors acknowledge the discussions they had with P. Lima-Filho and F. Sottile and thank them for making useful suggestions. The authors are extremely grateful to J. Irving for sending us a copy of manuscript [11].

References

- [1] A. Hurwitz, “Ueber Riemann’sche Flächen mit gegebenen Verzweigungspunkten,” *Math. Ann.*, vol. 39, no. 1, pp. 1–60, 1891.
- [2] T. Ekedahl, S. Lando, M. Shapiro, and A. Vainshtein, “Hurwitz numbers and intersections on moduli spaces of curves,” *Invent. Math.*, vol. 146, no. 2, pp. 297–327, 2001.
- [3] S. Müller, S. Heusler, P. Braun, and F. Haake, “Semiclassical approach to chaotic quantum transport,” *New J. Phys.*, vol. 9, no. 1, p. 12, 2007.
- [4] G. Berkolaiko, J. M. Harrison, and M. Novaes, “Full counting statistics of chaotic cavities from classical action correlations,” *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.*, vol. 41, no. 36, p. 365102 (12pp), 2008.
- [5] A. Erdélyi and I. M. H. Etherington, “Some problems of non-associative combinations. II,” *Edinburgh Math. Notes*, vol. 1941, no. 32, pp. 7–12, 1941.
- [6] W. T. Tutte, “The number of planted plane trees with a given partition,” *Amer. Math. Monthly*, vol. 71, pp. 272–277, 1964.
- [7] R. P. Stanley, *Enumerative combinatorics. Vol. 2*, vol. 62 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1999. With a foreword by Gian-Carlo Rota and appendix 1 by Sergey Fomin.
- [8] M. Eden and M. P. Schützenberger, “Remark on a theorem of Dénes,” *Magyar Tud. Akad. Mat. Kutató Int. Közl.*, vol. 7, pp. 353–355, 1962.

- [9] J. A. Eidswick, “Short factorizations of permutations into transpositions,” *Discrete Math.*, vol. 73, no. 3, pp. 239–243, 1989.
- [10] J. Q. Longyear, “A peculiar partition formula,” *Discrete Math.*, vol. 78, no. 1-2, pp. 115–118, 1989.
- [11] C. M. Springer, “Factorizations, trees, and cacti,” in *Eighth International Conference on Formal Power Series and Algebraic Combinatorics, University of Minnesota, June 25-29*, pp. 427–438, 1996.
- [12] J. Irving, “Minimal transitive factorizations of permutations into cycles,” *Canad. J. Math.*, vol. 61, no. 5, pp. 1092–1117, 2009.
- [13] J. Kuipers, D. Waltner, C. Petitjean, G. Berkolaiko, and K. Richter, “Semi-classical gaps in the density of states of chaotic andreev billiards,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, vol. 104, p. 027001, Jan 2010.
- [14] G. Berkolaiko and J. Kuipers, “Moments of the Wigner delay times,” *J. Phys. A*, vol. 43, no. 3, pp. 035101, 18, 2010.
- [15] J. Dénes, “The representation of a permutation as the product of a minimal number of transpositions, and its connection with the theory of graphs,” *Magyar Tud. Akad. Mat. Kutató Int. Közl.*, vol. 4, pp. 63–71, 1959.
- [16] A. J. Schwenk and O. P. Lossers, “Problems and Solutions: Solutions of Elementary Problems: E3058,” *Amer. Math. Monthly*, vol. 93, no. 10, pp. 820–821, 1986.
- [17] P. Moszkowski, “A solution to a problem of Dénes: a bijection between trees and factorizations of cyclic permutations,” *European J. Combin.*, vol. 10, no. 1, pp. 13–16, 1989.
- [18] I. P. Goulden and S. Pepper, “Labelled trees and factorizations of a cycle into transpositions,” *Discrete Math.*, vol. 113, no. 1-3, pp. 263–268, 1993.
- [19] V. Strehl, “Minimal transitive products of transpositions—the reconstruction of a proof of A. Hurwitz,” *Sém. Lothar. Combin.*, vol. 37, pp. Art. S37c, 12 pp. (electronic), 1996.
- [20] I. P. Goulden and D. M. Jackson, “Transitive factorisations into transpositions and holomorphic mappings on the sphere,” *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, vol. 125, no. 1, pp. 51–60, 1997.
- [21] I. P. Goulden and D. M. Jackson, “Transitive factorizations in the symmetric group, and combinatorial aspects of singularity theory,” *European J. Combin.*, vol. 21, no. 8, pp. 1001–1016, 2000.
- [22] I. P. Goulden, D. M. Jackson, and F. G. Latour, “Inequivalent transitive factorizations into transpositions,” *Canad. J. Math.*, vol. 53, no. 4, pp. 758–779, 2001.

- [23] G. Berkolaiko and J. Irving, “Inequivalent transitive factorizations of permutations into transpositions.” in preparation, 2010.
- [24] M. Gardner, “Mathematical games,” *Scientific American*, vol. 201, Dec 1959.
- [25] A. Bogomolny, “What, how, and the web: play with braids and knots from interactive mathematics miscellany and puzzles.” <http://www.cut-the-knot.org/SimpleGames/TransExample.shtml>.
- [26] C. Berge, *Principles of combinatorics*. Translated from the French. Mathematics in Science and Engineering, Vol. 72, New York: Academic Press, 1971.
- [27] G. Berkolaiko and J. Kuipers, “Transport moments beyond the leading order.” in preparation, 2010.

