Multitransgression and regulators

Nicusor Dan[∗]

Abstract

In a parallel way to the work of Wang, we define higher order characteristic classes associated with the Chern character, generalizing the work of Bott-Chern and Gillet-Soul´e on secondary characteristic classes. Our formalism is simplicial and the computations are easier. As a consequence, we obtain the comparison of Borel and Beilinson regulators and an explicit formula for the real single-valued function associated with the Grasmannian polylogarithm.

1 Local multitransgression formula

Let X be a complex manifold. We denote by $A(X) = \bigoplus_{p,q} A(X)^{p,q}$ the space of complex differential forms on X. Let T be a real manifold. We denote by $d_X = d' + d''$ and d_T the differentiation operator on differential forms on $X \times T$ along X and T , respectively.

Let E be a holomorphic vector bundle on X . We denote also by E the inverse image of E on $X \times T$ by the projection $X \times T \to X$. Let $V = A(X \times T, E)$ be the global C^{∞} sections of E with coefficients differential forms. We denote by [,] the supercommutator on $\text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(V)$ associated to the total differential degree of differential forms on $X \times T$. We endow E with a C^{∞} metric $\lt,$ $>$ on $X \times T$, which is hermitian restricted to each $X \times \{t\}, t \in T$. Let $\nabla = \nabla(t) : A^0(X, E) \to$ $A¹(X, E)$ be the holomorphic unitary connection for this metric, for each point $t \in T$. We can see ∇ as an application $\nabla: A^0(X \times T, E) \to A^1(X \times T, E)$ and we can extend it in the usual manner to an application $\nabla: A^{i}(X \times T, E) \to$ $A^{i+1}(X \times T, E)$. We denote by ∇' , ∇'' the holomorphic and the anti-holomorphic components of ∇ with respect to X.

Let r be a positive integer and φ a symmetric $\mathbb C$ multilinear map on $M_N(\mathbb C)^r$, invariant by the adjoint action of $GL_N(\mathbb{C})$. We can associate to φ a characteristic class $\alpha := \varphi(\nabla^2, \dots, \nabla^2)$. If $\varphi = CH_r$, where $CH_r(A_1, \dots, A_r)$ =

[∗]This paper was realized in the framework of the contract CEx06-10/25.07.06. It was also supported by the contract CNCSIS 1338/2007.

 $(-1)^r (r!)^{-1} (r!)^{-1} \sum_{\sigma \in S_r} Tr(A_{\sigma 1} \cdots A_{\sigma r}),$ we obtain $\alpha = ch_r$, the r-th Chern character. We define the number operator $N \in A^1(X \times T, \text{End}(E))$ by the formula $d_T < e, f> =$, for any two sections $e, f \in A^0(X \times T, E)$. Locally, if h denotes the hermitian metric expressed in a base of local sections of E , $N = h^{-1}d_Th$. We introduce, for $1 \le n \le 2r - 1$, the local n-transgressed forms of the characteristic class α

$$
\alpha^{(n)} = \sum_{\substack{k,p,q \ge 0, k+p+q+1 \le r \\ 2k+p+q+1=n}} (-1)^{(k+q)} \frac{(k+p)!(k+q)!)(k+p+q+1)!}{k!p!q!}
$$

$$
\cdot \varphi(\nabla^{2^{}}, N, 1/2[N, N]^{}, [\nabla'', N]^{}, [\nabla', N]^{},
$$

where $X^{}$ means X, \dots, X (*m* times).

Theorem 1.1

$$
d'_{X}d''_{X}\alpha^{(1)} + d_{T}\alpha = 0,
$$

$$
d_{X}\alpha^{(n)} + nd_{T}\alpha^{(n-1)} = \varphi(\nabla^{2^{}}, [\nabla'', N]^{} - (-1)^{n}[\nabla', N]^{}) \quad (n \ge 2).
$$
 (1)

2 The definition of the regulator

Let X be a smooth projective complex variety. We denote by $A_{\mathbb{R}}(X)$ the set of complex differential forms on X invariant by complex conjugation. We define $A_{\mathbb{R}}(X)(r) := (2i\pi)^r A_{\mathbb{R}}(X) \subset A(X)$. We recall from [W], [B1] the definition of the complex $\mathcal{D}'(X,r)$ computing the real Deligne cohomology:

$$
\mathcal{D}^n(X,r) = A_{\mathbb{R}}^{n-1}(X)(r-1) \bigcap \bigoplus_{p+q=n-1, p < r, q < r} A^{p,q}(X) \quad \text{if } n \le 2r-1,
$$

$$
\mathcal{D}^n(X,r) = A_{\mathbb{R}}^n(X)(r) \bigcap \bigoplus_{p+q=n, p \ge r, q \ge r} A^{p,q}(X) \quad \text{if } n \ge 2r,
$$

with the differential of the element $x \in \mathcal{D}^n(X,r)$ given by

$$
d_{\mathcal{D}}x = dx \quad \text{if } n \ge 2r,
$$

$$
d_{\mathcal{D}}x = -2d'd''x \quad \text{if } n = 2r - 1,
$$

$$
d_{\mathcal{D}}x = -\pi(dx) \quad \text{if } n < 2r - 1,
$$

where $\pi(d)$ is the restriction of d to the $p \leq n, q \leq n$ pieces of the bigrading.

We define the simplicial set $T(X)$ of isomorphism classes of hermitian vector bundles on X. An element $E = (E_0, ... E_n; h_0, ..., h_n) \in T_n(X)$ consist of vector bundles $E_0, ... E_n$ on X endowed with hermitian metrics $h_0, ..., h_n$, and isomorphisms $\varphi_i : E_0 \to E_i$ for each $i = 1, ..., n$.

We denote by Δ^n the standard n-simplex $\Delta^n = \{(t_0, ... t_n), t_i \geq 0, \sum_{i=0}^n t_i = 1\}.$ We associate to an element $\bar{E} \in T_n(X)$ the hermitian vector bundle $\bar{\mathcal{E}} = (\mathcal{E}, h_t)$ on $X \times \Delta^n$, where the vector bundle \mathcal{E} is the inverse image of the vector bundle E_0 by the projection $X \times \Delta^n \to X$, and the metric h_t on $\mathcal E$ restricted to $X \times \{(t_0, ..., t_n)\}\$ is $t_0 h_0 + t_1 \varphi_1^* h_1 + ... t_n (\varphi_n ... \varphi_1)^* h_n$. We define:

$$
ch_r^{(n)}(\bar{E}) = \frac{1}{2n!} \int_{\Delta^n} ch_r^{(n)}(\bar{\mathcal{E}}).
$$

We observe that $ch_r^{(n)}(\overline{E}) \in \mathcal{D}^{2r-n}(X,r) \subset A^n(X)$. We extend by linearity the application ch⁽ⁿ⁾ to an application ch_r⁽ⁿ⁾: $\mathbb{Z}T_n(X) \to \mathcal{D}^{2r-n}(X,r)$. Theorem 1.1 and Stokes formula imply $d_{\mathcal{D}} \text{ch}_r^{(n)}(\mathcal{E}) = \text{ch}_r^{(n-1)}(\partial \mathcal{E})$ (the right term of equation (1) disappears because of its complex bigrading), i.e. $\text{ch}_r^{(\cdot)} : \mathbb{Z}T(X) \to \mathcal{D}^{2r-1}(X,r)$ is a morphism of complexes.

If we fix a metric h on the trivial rank N vector bundle 1^N on X and if we consider only those elements of the form $\overline{E} = (1^N, ..., 1^N; h, ..., h)$ in $T_n(X)$, we obtain an inclusion of simplicial sets $B.GL_N(X) \to T(X)$. We obtain therefore a morphism:

$$
ch_r^{(\cdot)}: \mathbb{Z}B. GL_N(X) \to \mathcal{D}^{2r-}(X, r). \tag{2}
$$

Proposition 2.1 *The morphism* (2) *is, up to homotopy, independent of the choice of the metric* h and compatible with the inclusion $B.GL_N(X) \subset B.GL_{N+1}(X)$.

So we obtain a morphism $H_n(B,GL_\infty(X)) \to H^{2r-n}_\mathcal{D}(X,\mathbb{R}(r))$. If $X = \text{Spec}\mathbb{C}$, we compose this morphism with the classical morphism $K_n(\mathbb{C}) = \pi_n(B.GL_\infty(\mathbb{C})^+) \to$ $H_n(B.GL_{\infty}(\mathbb{C})^+) = H_n(B.GL_{\infty}(\mathbb{C}))$ and we obtain a morphism

$$
\operatorname{ch}_r^{(n)}: K_n(\mathbb{C}) \to H^{2r-n}_\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{R}(r)).\tag{3}
$$

Theorem 2.2 *The morphism* (3) *coincides with the Beilinson regulator.*

Proof: Let $S(X)$ be the simplicial set computing the Waldhausen K-theory of X. Wang ([W]) constructs an explicit morphism of complexes $\mathbb{Z}S(X) \to$ $\mathcal{D}^{2r+1-1}(X,r)$ and Burgos-Wang ([BW]) proved that the composition $K_n(X) :=$ $\pi_{n+1}(S(X)) \to H_{n+1}(\mathbb{Z}S(X)) \to H^{2r-n}_\mathcal{D}(X,\mathbb{R}(r))$ is the Beilinson regulator. There is a canonical map $\Sigma BGL_N(X) \to S(X)$, so we deduce a morphism $ch_r^{(\cdot)}: \mathbb{Z}B. GL_N(X) \to \mathcal{D}^{2r-1}(X,r)$. We prove that this morphism coincides with the morphism (2) by using an explicit homotopy on the bisimplicial complex $\Sigma B _GL_N(X)$.

3 Comparison of Borel and Beilinson regulators

The morphism (2) applied to an element of $B_{2r-1}GL_N(X)$ written in the homogeneous form (g_0, \dots, g_{2r-1}) gives

$$
h_t = \sum_{i=0}^{2r-1} t_i g_i h \bar{g}_i^t,\tag{4}
$$

$$
\operatorname{ch}_r^{(2r-1)}(g_0, \cdots, g_{2r-1}) = -\frac{(r-1)!}{2(2r-1)!} \int_{\Delta^n} Tr(h_t^{-1}d_Th_t)^{2r-1}.
$$
 (5)

So the morphism $H_{2r-1}(B.GL_N(\mathbb{C})) \to H^1_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{R}(r)) = \mathbb{R}(r-1)$ giving rise to the Beilinson regulator can be explicited by these formulas. On the other side, the Borel regulator comes ([H]) from the explicit morphism $H_{2r-1}(B.GL_N(\mathbb{C})) \to \mathbb{R}$ given by $b'_r(g_0, \dots, g_{2r-1}) = \text{cst} \int_{\Delta^n} Tr(h_t^{-1}d_Th_t)^{2r-1}$ where $h_t = \sum_{i=0}^{2r-1} t_i g_i \bar{g_i}^t$ $(h = 1$ in (4)). Taking care of the normalizations, we obtain the theorem of [B2]

Theorem 3.1 *The normalized Borel regulator is twice the Beilinson regulator.*

4 Explicit presentation of the real period attached to the grasmmanian polylogarithm

The integral (5) still converges if the metric h is degenerate of rank $N - r + 1$ and we prove that we obtain the same morphism $H_{2r-1}(B.GL_N(X)) \to H^1_{\mathcal{D}}(X,\mathbb{R}(r)).$ When $N = r$ we can use a metric of rank one $h = v\bar{v}^t$ for a everywhere nonvanishing section $v \in H^0(X, 1^N)$. Let $v_i = g_i v$. We denote by \mathcal{I}^s the set of subsets of $\{0, \dots, 2r-1\}$ of cardinality s and for $I = \{i_1, \dots, i_s\} \in \mathcal{I}^s$ we denote $t_I = \prod_{i \in I} t_i$ and $v_I = v_{i_1}, \dots, v_{i_s}$. The morphism (2) is

Theorem 4.1

$$
\operatorname{ch}_{r}^{(2r-1)}(g_{0}, \cdots, g_{2r-1}) = -\frac{(r-1)!}{2(2r-1)!}.
$$

$$
\int_{\Delta^{n}} \frac{\sum_{\substack{I_{1}, \cdots, I_{2r-1} \in \mathcal{I}^{r-1} \\ 0 \leq i_{1}, \cdots, i_{2r-1} \leq 2r-1}} \prod_{j=1}^{2r-1} t_{I_{j}} dt_{i_{j}} \overline{det(v_{I_{j}}, v_{i_{j}})} det(v_{I_{j}}, v_{i_{j+1}}).
$$
(6)

Goncharov ([G]) associates to 2r non-zero vectors v_0, \dots, v_{2r-1} in \mathbb{C}^r a \mathbb{Z} mixed Hodge structure $\mathcal{G}(v_0, \dots, v_{2r-1})$ of Hodge-Tate type, the maximal period of which is the Grasmannian polylogarithm.

Conjecture 4.2 *The maximal period of the* \mathbb{R} -*MHS attached to* $\mathcal{G}(v_0, \dots, v_{2r-1})$ *is the right-hand side of* (6)*.*

Theorem 4.3 *The conjecture is true for* $r \leq 3$ *.*

For $r = 2$ we have some simplifications in the right-hand side of (6), beginning with the nice classical type

Proposition 4.4 *The following function is antisymmetric with respect to permutations in the variables* v_i *:*

 $f(v_0, v_1, v_2, v_3) = Im(det(v_0, v_1) det(v_2, v_3) det(v_0, v_3) det(v_1, v_2)).$

We obtain a new presentation of the Bloch-Wigner dilogarithm $\mathbb{R}Li_2$:

Theorem 4.5 Let v_0, v_1, v_2, v_3 be non-zero vectors in \mathbb{C}^2 and $r(v_0, v_1, v_2, v_3)$ the *cross-ratio of the four points they represent in* $\mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{C}}$ *. Then*

$$
\mathbb{R}Li_2(r(v_0, v_1, v_2, v_3)) = 12if(v_0, v_1, v_2, v_3) \cdot \int_{\Delta^3} \frac{dt_1 dt_2 dt_3}{(\sum_{i \neq j} t_i t_j | det(v_i, v_j)|^2)^2}.
$$

5 A speculation concerning the Beilinson-Soulé conjecture

It is remarkable that the vanishing of $\text{ch}_r^{(n)}$ for $n \geq 2r$ is a consequence of the local formalism of the section 1 and not of the vanishing of $\mathcal{D}^n(X,r)$ for $n \geq$ 2r. If instead of the complex $\mathcal{D}'(X,r)$ we had a complex $\mathcal{B}'(X,r)$ and a theory $ch_r^{(n)}: K_n(X) \to H^{2r-n}(\mathcal{B}(X,r))$ which: a) could be realized by a formalism as in section 1 and b) is injective on $K_n^{[r]}(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ (the weight r piece of K-theory for the Adams operations), we would have the Beilinson-Soulé conjecture.

References:

[BC]: R. Bott, S.S. Chern: Hermitian vector bundles and the equidistribution of zeroes of their holomorphic sections, Acta Math. 114(1968), p. 71-112

[B1]: J.I. Burgos: Green forms and their product, Duke. Math. J. 75(1994), p. 529-574

[B2]: J.I. Burgos: The Regulators of Beilinson and Borel, CRM Monogr. Ser., vol. 15, AMS, Providence, RI (2001)

[BW]: J.I. Burgos, S. Wang: Higher Bott-Chern forms and Beilinson's regulator, Invent. Math. 132(1998), p. 261-305

[G]: A. B. Goncharov: Chow polylogarithms and regulators, Math. Res. Letters 2(1995), p. 99-114

[GS]: H. Gillet, C. Soulé: Characteristic classes for algebraic vector bundles with hermitian metric, Ann. of Math. 131(1990), p. 163-238

[H]: N. Hamida: Description explicite du regulateur de Borel, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sr. I Math. 330(2000), p. 169-172

[W]: S. Wang: Higher-order characteristic classes in arithmetic geometry, Thesis Harvard, 1992

Institute of Mathematics of the Romanian Academy, Calea Grivitei 21, 010702 Bucharest, Romania

E-mail: ndan@dnt.ro