

CP* Violation in B^0 decays to Charmonium and Charm Final States at *BABAR

Chunhui Chen

*Department of Physics, University of Maryland, College Park, Maryland 20742-4111, U.S.A**Representing the BABAR Collaboration*

We report on measurements of time-dependent *CP*-violation asymmetries in neutral B meson decays to charmonium and charm final states. The results are obtained from a data sample of $(467 \pm 5) \times 10^6$ $\Upsilon(4S) \rightarrow B\bar{B}$ decays collected with the *BABAR* detector at the PEP-II B factory.

1. Introduction

In the Standard Model (SM), *CP* violation is described by the Cabibbo-Kobayashi-Maskawa (CKM) quark mixing matrix, V [1]. In particular an irreducible complex phase in the 3×3 mixing matrix is the source of all the SM *CP* violation. Measurements of time-dependent *CP* asymmetries in the B^0 meson decays, through the interference between decays with and without $B^0 - \bar{B}^0$ mixing, have provides stringent test on the mechanism of *CP* violation in the SM.

In this paper, we present the most updated measurements of *CP* violation in neutral B meson decays to charmonium and charm final states at *BABAR*. The data used in this analysis were collected with the *BABAR* detector operating at the PEP-II B Factory located at the Stanford Linear Accelerator Center. The *BABAR* dataset comprises $(467 \pm 5) \times 10^6$ $B\bar{B}$ pairs collected from 1999 to 2007 at the center-of-mass (CM) energy $\sqrt{s} = 10.58$ GeV, corresponding to the $\Upsilon(4S)$ resonance.

2. Analysis Technique

To measure time-dependent *CP* asymmetries, we typically fully reconstruct a neutral B meson decaying to a common final state (B_{rec}). We identify (tag) the initial flavor of the B_{rec} candidate using information from the other B meson (B_{tag}) in the event. The decay rate g_+ (g_-) for a neutral B meson decaying to a *CP* eigenstate accompanied by a B^0 (\bar{B}^0) tag can be expressed as

$$g_{\pm}(\Delta t) = \frac{e^{-|\Delta t|/\tau_{B^0}}}{4\tau_{B^0}} \left\{ (1 \mp \Delta w) \pm (1 - 2w) \times \left[-\eta_f S \sin(\Delta m_d \Delta t) - C \cos(\Delta m_d \Delta t) \right] \right\} \quad (1)$$

where

$$S = -\eta_f \frac{2\text{Im}\lambda}{1 + |\lambda|^2}, \quad C = \frac{1 - |\lambda|^2}{1 + |\lambda|^2}, \quad (2)$$

the *CP* eigenvalue $\eta_f = +1$ (-1) for a *CP* even (odd) final state, $\Delta t \equiv t_{\text{rec}} - t_{\text{tag}}$ is the difference between the proper decay times of B_{rec} and B_{tag} , τ_{B^0} is the neutral B lifetime, and Δm_d is the mass difference of the B meson mass eigenstates determined from $B^0 - \bar{B}^0$ oscillations [2]. We assume that the corresponding decay-width difference $\Delta\Gamma_d$ is zero. Here, $\lambda = (q/p)(\bar{A}/A)$, where q and p are complex constants that relate the B -meson flavor eigenstates to the mass eigenstates, and \bar{A}/A is the ratio of amplitudes of the decay without mixing of a \bar{B}^0 or B^0 to the final state under study. The average mistag probability w describes the effect of incorrect tags, and Δw is the difference between the mistag probabilities for B^0 and \bar{B}^0 mesons. The sine term in Eq. 1 results from the interference between direct decay and decay after $B^0 - \bar{B}^0$ oscillation. A non-zero cosine term arises from the interference between decay amplitudes with different weak and strong phases (direct *CP* violation $|\bar{A}/A| \neq 1$) or from *CP* violation in $B^0 - \bar{B}^0$ mixing ($|q/p| \neq 1$).

In the SM, CP violation in mixing is negligible. When only one diagram contributes to the decay process and no other weak phase appears in the process, we expect $C = 0$ and $S = -\eta_f \sin 2\beta$ for B^0 decay that is governed by a $b \rightarrow c$ transition, where $\beta \equiv \arg[-V_{cd}V_{cb}^*/V_{td}V_{tb}^*]$.

3. $B^0 \rightarrow (c\bar{c})K^{(*)0}$

In the SM, the decay $B^0 \rightarrow (c\bar{c})K^{(*)0}$ is dominated by a color-suppressed $b \rightarrow c\bar{c}s$ tree diagram and the dominate penguin diagram has the same weak phase. As a result, the parameter $C = 0$ and $S = -\eta_f \sin 2\beta$ are valid to a good accuracy. Recent theoretical calculations suggest that the correction on S is of the order of $10^{-3} - 10^{-4}$ [3], well below the precision of the current experimental measurement.

At *BABAR*, we reconstruct B^0 decays to the final states $J/\psi K_S^0$, $J/\psi K_L^0$, $\psi(2S)K_S^0$, $\chi_{c1}K_S^0$, $\eta_c K_S^0$, and $J/\psi K^{*0}$ ($K^{(*)0} \rightarrow K_S^0 \pi^0$). The $J/\psi K_L^0$ final state is CP even, and the $J/\psi K^{*0}$ final state is an admixture of CP even and CP odd amplitudes. Ignoring the angular information in $J/\psi K^{*0}$ results in a dilution of the measured CP asymmetry by a factor $1 - 2R_\perp$, where R_\perp is the fraction of the $L=1$ contribution. In Ref. [4] we have measured $R_\perp = 0.233 \pm (\text{stat}) \pm 0.005 (\text{syst})$, which gives an effective $\eta_f = 0.504 \pm 0.033$ for $f = J/\psi K^{*0}$, after acceptance corrections. By assuming the same CP asymmetries for all the final states, we measure [5]

$$\begin{aligned} S_f &= 0.691 \pm 0.029 (\text{stat}) \pm 0.014 (\text{syst}), \\ C_f &= 0.026 \pm 0.020 (\text{stat}) \pm 0.016 (\text{syst}), \end{aligned}$$

where we define $C_f = \eta_f C$ and $S_f = \eta_f S$ to be consistent with other time-dependent CP asymmetry measurements. In addition, *BABAR* also performs measurements, including systematic uncertainties, using individual mode, because the theoretical corrections could in principle be different among those modes. The complete results can be found in reference [5]. Our result is consistent with the SM expectation that $C_f = 0$ and $S_f = \sin 2\beta$, as well as our previous measurement [6]. Figure 1 shows the Δt distributions and asymmetries in yields between B^0 tags and \bar{B}^0 tags for the $\eta_f = -1$ and $\eta_f = +1$ samples as a function of Δt , overlaid with the projection of the likelihood fit result.




Figure 1: Left: a) Number of $\eta_f = -1$ candidates ($J/\psi K_S^0$, $\psi(2S)K_S^0$, $\chi_{c1}K_S^0$, and $\eta_c K_S^0$) in the signal region with a B^0 tag (N_{B^0}) and with a \bar{B}^0 tag ($N_{\bar{B}^0}$), and b) the raw asymmetry, $(N_{B^0} - N_{\bar{B}^0}) / (N_{B^0} + N_{\bar{B}^0})$, as functions of Δt ; Right: the corresponding distributions for the $\eta_f = +1$ mode $J/\psi K_L^0$. The solid (dashed) curves represent the fit projections in Δt for B^0 (\bar{B}^0) tags. The shaded regions represent the estimated background contributions.

4. $B^0 \rightarrow D^{(*)\pm} D^{(*)\mp}$

The $B^0 \rightarrow D^{(*)\pm} D^{(*)\mp}$ decays are dominated by a color-allowed tree-level $b \rightarrow c\bar{c}d$ transition. When neglecting the penguin (loop) amplitude, the mixing-induced CP asymmetry of $B^0 \rightarrow D^{(*)\pm} D^{(*)\mp}$ is also determined by $\sin 2\beta$ [7].

The effect of this assumption has been estimated in models based on factorization and heavy quark symmetry, and the corrections are expected to be a few percent [8, 9]. A large deviation of S in $B^0 \rightarrow D^{(*)\pm}D^{(*)\mp}$ with respect to $\sin 2\beta$ determined from $b \rightarrow (c\bar{c})s$ transition could indicate physics beyond the SM [10, 11, 12].

The final state D^+D^- is a CP eigenstate so $S = -\sin 2\beta$ and $C = 0$ in the SM when neglecting the penguin contribution. Most recently, the Belle collaboration reported evidence of large direct CP violation in $B^0 \rightarrow D^+D^-$ where $C_{D^+D^-} = -0.91 \pm 0.23(\text{stat}) \pm 0.06(\text{syst})$ [13], in contradiction to the SM expectation. This has not been observed by *BABAR* nor is it verified by the other $B^0 \rightarrow D^{*\pm}D^{(*)\mp}$ decay modes [14, 15] which involve the same quark-level diagrams. We updated our measurement with the complete $\Upsilon(4S)$ data sample collected at *BABAR* and find [16]

$$\begin{aligned} S_{D^+D^-} &= -0.63 \pm 0.36(\text{stat}) \pm 0.05(\text{syst}), \\ C_{D^+D^-} &= -0.07 \pm 0.23(\text{stat}) \pm 0.03(\text{syst}), \end{aligned}$$

which is consistent with the SM with small penguin contributions.

The final state $D^{*\pm}D^{*\mp}$ is a mixture of CP even and CP odd states. The fraction of CP odd component R_T is determined to be $R_T = 0.158 \pm 0.028(\text{stat}) \pm 0.006(\text{syst})$ using a transversity analysis at *BABAR* [16]. We performed a combined analysis of the angular distribution and its time-dependence to extract the time-dependent CP asymmetry. We measure [16]

$$\begin{aligned} S_+ &= -0.76 \pm 0.16(\text{stat}) \pm 0.04(\text{syst}), \\ C_+ &= 0.02 \pm 0.12(\text{stat}) \pm 0.02(\text{syst}), \\ S_\perp &= -1.81 \pm 0.71(\text{stat}) \pm 0.16(\text{syst}), \\ C_\perp &= 0.41 \pm 0.50(\text{stat}) \pm 0.08(\text{syst}), \end{aligned}$$

where S_+ and C_+ are the CP asymmetries of the CP even component, and S_\perp and C_\perp are the CP asymmetries of the CP odd component. In the absence of penguin contribution, $S_+ = S_\perp = -\sin 2\beta$ and $C_+ = C_\perp = 0$. Additionally we fit the data constraining $S_+ = S_\perp = S_{D^{*+}D^{*-}}$ and $C_+ = C_\perp = C_{D^{*+}D^{*-}}$ and find [16]

$$\begin{aligned} S_{D^{*+}D^{*-}} &= -0.71 \pm 0.16(\text{stat}) \pm 0.03(\text{syst}), \\ C_{D^{*+}D^{*-}} &= 0.05 \pm 0.09(\text{stat}) \pm 0.02(\text{syst}). \end{aligned}$$

Because $B^0 \rightarrow D^{*\pm}D^{*\mp}$ is not a CP eigenstate, the expressions for S and C are related, $S_{D^{*\pm}D^{*\mp}} = -\sqrt{1 - C_{D^{*\pm}D^{*\mp}}^2} \sin(2\beta_{\text{eff}} \pm \delta)$, where δ is the strong phase difference between $B^0 \rightarrow D^{*+}D^-$ and $B^0 \rightarrow D^+D^{*-}$ [17]. When neglecting the penguin contributions, $\beta_{\text{eff}} = \beta$, and $C_{D^{*+}D^-} = -C_{D^+D^{*-}}$. We measure [16]

$$\begin{aligned} S_{D^{*+}D^-} &= -0.62 \pm 0.21(\text{stat}) \pm 0.03(\text{syst}), \\ S_{D^+D^{*-}} &= -0.73 \pm 0.23(\text{stat}) \pm 0.05(\text{syst}), \\ C_{D^{*+}D^-} &= 0.08 \pm 0.17(\text{stat}) \pm 0.04(\text{syst}), \\ C_{D^+D^{*-}} &= 0.00 \pm 0.17(\text{stat}) \pm 0.03(\text{syst}). \end{aligned}$$

All of the S parameters of $B^0 \rightarrow D^{(*)\pm}D^{(*)\mp}$ measured in *BABAR* are consistent with the value of $\sin 2\beta$ measured in $b \rightarrow c\bar{c}s$ transitions and with the expectation from the SM for small penguin contributions. The C parameters are consistent with zero in all modes. In particular, we see no evidence of the large direct CP violation reported by the Belle Collaboration in the $B^0 \rightarrow D^+D^-$ channel.

5. $B^0 \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^0$

The $B^0 \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^0$ decay has the same quark level diagrams as $J/\psi K^0$ except that the s quark in $b \rightarrow c\bar{c}s$ is substituted by a d quark. Therefore, the dominant tree diagram is Cabibbo suppressed compared to that of $J/\psi K^0$.

However, unlike $J/\psi K^0$, the dominant penguin diagram in $J/\psi \pi^0$, whose CKM element factor is in the same order as the tree, has a different weak phase from the tree. Therefore the deviation in S from the $\sin 2\beta$ of $J/\psi K^0$ could be substantial. This mode is also useful to constrain the penguin pollution in $B^0 \rightarrow (c\bar{c})K^0$ mode in a more model-independent way (assuming SU(3) symmetry) [18].

BABAR has recently updated the CP violation measurement in this decay. We measure [19]

$$\begin{aligned} S &= -1.23 \pm 0.21 \text{ (stat)} \pm 0.04 \text{ (syst)}, \\ C &= -0.20 \pm 0.19 \text{ (stat)} \pm 0.03 \text{ (syst)}. \end{aligned}$$

The significance of S or C being nonzero has been estimated to be 4.0σ using ensembles of MC simulated experiments including the systematic uncertainties. This constitutes evidence of the CP violation in $B^0 \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^0$ decay. The numerical values of S and C are consistent with the SM expectations for a tree-dominated $b \rightarrow c\bar{c}d$ transition.

6. Conclusion

We have presented most unadapted measurements of time-dependent CP -violation asymmetries in neutral B meson decays to charmonium and charm final states from *BABAR* experiment. The results are in a good agreement with the SM expectations.

References

- [1] N. Cabibbo, Phys. Rev. Lett. **10**, 531 (1963); M. Kobayashi and T. Maskawa, Prog. Theor. Phys. **49**, 652 (1973).
- [2] W. M. Yao *et al.* (Particle Data Group), J. Phys. G **33** (2006) 1.
- [3] H. Boos, T. Mannel and J. Reuter, Phys. Rev. D **70**, 036006 (2004).
- [4] B. Aubert *et al.*, (*BABAR* Collaboration), Phys. Rev. D **76**, 031102(R) (2007).
- [5] B. Aubert *et al.*, (*BABAR* Collaboration), arXiv:0808.1903 [hep-ex].
- [6] B. Aubert *et al.*, (*BABAR* Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. **99**, 171803 (2007).
- [7] A. I. Sanda and Z. z. Xing, Phys. Rev. D **56**, 341 (1997).
- [8] Z. z. Xing, Phys. Lett. B **443**, 365 (1998).
- [9] Z. z. Xing, Phys. Rev. D **61**, 014010 (2000).
- [10] Y. Grossman and M. P. Worah, Phys. Lett. B **395**, 241 (1997).
- [11] M. Gronau, J. L. Rosner and D. Pirjol, Phys. Rev. D **78**, 033011 (2008).
- [12] R. Zwicky, Phys. Rev. D **77**, 036004 (2008).
- [13] S. Fratina *et al.*, (Belle Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. **98**, 221802 (2007).
- [14] B. Aubert *et al.*, (*BABAR* Collaboration), Phys. Rev. D **76**, 111102 (2007).
- [15] B. Aubert *et al.*, (*BABAR* Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. **99**, 071801 (2007).
- [16] B. Aubert *et al.*, (*BABAR* Collaboration), arXiv:0808.1866 [hep-ex].
- [17] R. Aleksan, A. Le Yaouanc, L. Oliver, O. Pene and J. C. Raynal, Phys. Lett. B **317**, 173 (1993).
- [18] M. Ciuchini, M. Pierini and L. Silvestrini, Phys. Rev. Lett. **95**, 221804 (2005).
- [19] B. Aubert *et al.*, (*BABAR* Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. **101**, 021801 (2008).