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#### Abstract

The symplectic wavelet transformation [Opt. Lett. 31 (2006) 3432], which is related to quantum optical Fresnel transform, is developed to the symplectic-dilation mixed wavelet transform (SDWT). The SDWT involves both a real-variable dilation-transform and a complex-variable symplectic transform, and possesses well-behaved properties such as Parseval theorem and inversion formula. The entangled-coherent state representation (ESCR) not only underlies the SDWT, but also helps to derive the corresponding quantum transform operator whose counterpart in classical optics is the lens-Fresnel mixed transform [Phys. Lett. A 357 (2006) 163].
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In recent years wavelet transform (WT) [1, 2, 3, 4, [5] has been developed which can overcome the shortcomings of classical Fourier analysis and it is now recognized as a very useful tool in signal and image processing. A wavelet has its energy concentrated in time to give a tool for the analysis of transient, nonstationary, or time-varying phenomena (it is a wavelet because it is localized and it resembles a wave because it oscillates). The 1-dimensional continuous WT of a signal function $f(x) \in L^{2}(R)$ by a mother wavelet $\varphi(x)$ (restricted by the admissibility condition $\left.\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \varphi(x) \mathrm{d} x=0\right)$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{\varphi} f(\mathrm{a}, b)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{|\mathrm{a}|}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) \varphi^{*}\left(\frac{x-b}{\mathrm{a}}\right) \mathrm{d} x . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$(0 \neq \mathrm{a} \in R, b \in R)$. Dirac's coordinate representation $|x\rangle$ in quantum mechanics underlies the WT in (11), since (11) can be recast as $W_{\varphi} f(\mathrm{a}, b)=\langle\varphi| U^{(\mathrm{a}, b)}|f\rangle$ [6], where $\langle\varphi|$ is the bra vector corresponding to the given mother wavelet, $|f\rangle$ is the state to be transformed, $f(x)=$ $\langle x \mid f\rangle$, and $U^{(\mathrm{a}, b)}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{\mid \mathrm{aa\mid}}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left|\frac{x-b}{\mathrm{a}}\right\rangle\langle x| \mathrm{d} x$, is a dilatedtranslated operator, $U^{(\mathrm{a}, 0)}=\exp \left[\frac{1}{2}\left(a_{1}^{\dagger 2}-a_{1}^{2}\right) \ln \mathrm{a}\right]$ (for a $>$ 0 ) is the single-mode squeezing operator $[7,[8]$. Motivated by the consideration that the symplectic transforms $\left(\begin{array}{cc}s & -r \\ -r^{*} & -s^{*}\end{array}\right)$, where $s, r$ are both complex parameters, $|s|^{2}-|r|^{2}=1$, are more general than the squeezing transform, in Ref. [9] Fan and Lu proposed the symplectictransformed translated wavelet family $\phi_{r, s}^{*}(z-\kappa)$ generated from the mother wavelet $\phi(z)_{z=z_{1}+\mathrm{i} z_{2}}$ and the symplectic WT (SWT) for a complex function $f(z)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{\phi} f(r, s ; \kappa)=\int \frac{\mathrm{d}^{2} z}{\pi} f(z) \phi_{r, s}^{*}(z-\kappa), \quad \mathrm{d}^{2} z=\mathrm{d} z_{1} \mathrm{~d} z_{2}, \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\kappa$ is a complex translation parameter, $\phi_{r, s}(z-\kappa) \equiv \sqrt{s^{*}} \phi\left[s(z-\kappa)-r\left(z^{*}-\kappa^{*}\right)\right]$. The quantum mechanical representation that underlies the SWT is the coherent state [10, 11, 12],
$|z\rangle=\exp \left(z a_{1}^{\dagger}-z^{*} a_{1}\right)|0\rangle \equiv\left|\binom{z}{z^{*}}\right\rangle$, possessing the completeness relation $\int \frac{\mathrm{d}^{2} z}{\pi}|z\rangle\langle z|=1$. The quantum mechanical version of (2) for $f(z) \equiv\langle z \mid f\rangle$ and $\phi_{r, s}(z-\kappa) \equiv\left\langle s(z-\kappa)-r\left(z^{*}-\kappa^{*}\right) \mid \phi\right\rangle$, is

$$
\begin{align*}
W_{\phi} f(r, s ; k) & =\sqrt{s} \int \frac{\mathrm{~d}^{2} z}{\pi}\left\langle\phi \mid s(z-\kappa)-r\left(z^{*}-\kappa^{*}\right)\right\rangle\langle z \mid f\rangle \\
& =\langle\phi| F^{(r, s, \kappa)}|f\rangle, \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

where $F^{(r, s ; \kappa)}$ is defined as $F^{(r, s ; \kappa)}=$ $\sqrt{s} \int \frac{\mathrm{~d}^{2} z}{\pi}\left|s z-r z^{*}\right\rangle\langle z+\kappa|, \quad\left|s z-r z^{*}\right\rangle \quad \equiv$ $\left|\left(\begin{array}{cc}s & -r \\ -r^{*} & -s^{*}\end{array}\right)\binom{z}{z^{*}}\right\rangle$. So the SWT is performed over the mother wavelet gained through a translating transform followed by a symplectic transform. The SWT is worth mentioning because $F^{(r, s ; \kappa=0)}=e^{-\frac{r}{2 s^{*}} a_{1}^{\dagger 2}} e^{\left(a_{1}^{\dagger} a_{1}+\frac{1}{2}\right) \ln \frac{1}{s^{*}}} e^{\frac{r^{*}}{2 s^{*}} a_{1}^{2}}$ is just the single-mode Fresnel operator (13] corresponding to the classical Fresnel optical transform [14].

Recalling that in Ref. [15] we have constructed a new entangled-coherent state representation $|\alpha, x\rangle$,

$$
\begin{align*}
|\alpha, x\rangle= & \exp \left[-\frac{1}{2} x^{2}-\frac{1}{4}|\alpha|^{2}+\left(x+\frac{\alpha}{2}\right) a_{1}^{\dagger}\right. \\
& \left.+\left(x-\frac{\alpha}{2}\right) a_{2}^{\dagger}-\frac{1}{4}\left(a_{1}^{\dagger}+a_{2}^{\dagger}\right)^{2}\right]|00\rangle \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

which is the common eigenvector of the operator $\left(X_{1}+X_{2}\right) / 2$ and $a_{1}-a_{2}$, i.e., $\left(a_{1}-a_{2}\right)|\alpha, x\rangle=\alpha|\alpha, x\rangle$ and $\frac{1}{2}\left(X_{1}+X_{2}\right)|\alpha, x\rangle=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} x|\alpha, x\rangle$, where $X_{i}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(a_{i}+\right.$ $\left.a_{i}^{\dagger}\right)$ is coordinate operator, $(i=1,2) .|\alpha, x\rangle$ constitutes a complete representation,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\mathrm{d} x}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int \frac{\mathrm{~d}^{2} \alpha}{2 \pi}|\alpha, x\rangle\langle\alpha, x|=1, \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and exhibits partly non-orthogonal property (for $\alpha$ ) and

Delta-function orthonormal property (for $x$ ),

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\langle\alpha^{\prime}, x^{\prime} \mid \alpha, x\right\rangle \\
= & \sqrt{\pi} \exp \left[-\frac{1}{4}\left(|\alpha|^{2}+\left|\alpha^{\prime}\right|^{2}\right)+\frac{1}{2} \alpha \alpha^{\prime *}\right] \delta\left(x^{\prime}-x\right) . \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

so $|\alpha, x\rangle$ possess behaviour of both the coherent state and the entangled state. An interesting question thus naturally arises: Can we introduce a new kind of continuous WT for which the $|\alpha, x\rangle$ representation underlies? The answer is affirmative. Our motivation of this issue comes from the mixed lens-Fresnel transform in classical optics [16] (see (33) and (35) below).

By synthesizing (1) and (2) and in reference to (5) we propose the mixed WT for $g(\alpha, x)\left(\alpha=\alpha_{1}+\mathrm{i} \alpha_{2}\right.$ is a complex and is independent of $x$ ):
$W_{\psi} g(s, r, \kappa ; \mathrm{a}, b) \equiv \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\mathrm{d} x}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int \frac{\mathrm{~d}^{2} \alpha}{2 \pi} g(\alpha, x) \psi_{s, r, \kappa ; a, b}^{*}(\alpha, x)$.
where $\mathrm{d}^{2} \alpha=\mathrm{d} \alpha_{1} \mathrm{~d} \alpha_{2}$, the family of mother wavelet $\psi$ involves both the the symplectic transform of $\alpha$ and the dilation-transform of $x$,
$\psi_{s, r, \kappa ; \mathrm{a}, b}(\alpha, x)=\sqrt{\frac{s^{*}}{|\mathrm{a}|}} \psi\left[s(\alpha-\kappa)-r\left(\alpha^{*}-\kappa^{*}\right), \frac{x-b}{\mathrm{a}}\right]$.
Letting $g(\alpha, x) \equiv\langle\alpha, x \mid g\rangle$, the wave function of state vector $|g\rangle$ in the $\langle\alpha, x|$ representation, then Eq. (7) can be expressed as quantum mechanical version

$$
\begin{align*}
& W_{\psi} g(s, r, \kappa ; \mathrm{a}, b) \\
= & \sqrt{\frac{s}{|\mathrm{a}|}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\mathrm{d} x}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int \frac{\mathrm{~d}^{2} \alpha}{2 \pi} g(\alpha, x) \\
& \times \psi^{*}\left[s(\alpha-\kappa)-r\left(\alpha^{*}-\kappa^{*}\right), \frac{x-b}{\mathrm{a}}\right] \\
= & \langle\psi| U(s, r, \kappa ; \mathrm{a}, b)|g\rangle \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

where $U(s, r, \kappa ; \mathrm{a}, b)$ is defined as

$$
\begin{align*}
U(s, r, \kappa ; \mathrm{a}, b)= & \sqrt{\frac{s}{|\mathrm{a}|}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\mathrm{d} x}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int \frac{\mathrm{~d}^{2} \alpha}{2 \pi} \\
& \times\left|s \alpha-r \alpha^{*}, \frac{x-b}{\mathrm{a}}\right\rangle\langle\alpha+\kappa, x| \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

$U(s, r, \kappa=0 ; \mathrm{a}, b=0)$ is just the generalized squeezing operator $U(s, r$, a) (see (27) below), which causes a lensFresnel mixed transform.

For Eq. (7) being qualified as a new WT we must prove that it possesses fundamental properties of the usual WTs, such as the admissibility condition, the Parseval theorem and the inversion formula. It is straightforward to evaluate the transform (7) and its reciprocal transform when $g(\alpha, x)$ is the exponential $g_{1}(\alpha, x)=$
$\exp \left(\alpha^{*} \beta-\alpha \beta^{*}-\mathrm{i} p x\right)$,

$$
\begin{align*}
W_{\psi} g_{1}= & \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\mathrm{d} x}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int \frac{\mathrm{~d}^{2} \alpha}{2 \pi} \psi_{s, r, \kappa ; a, b}^{*}(\alpha, x) e^{\alpha^{*} \beta-\alpha \beta^{*}-\mathrm{i} p x} \\
= & \sqrt{\frac{s}{|\mathrm{a}|}} e^{\kappa^{*} \beta-\kappa \beta^{*}-\mathrm{i} p b} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\mathrm{d} x}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int \frac{\mathrm{~d}^{2} \alpha}{2 \pi} \\
& \times \psi^{*}\left[s \alpha-r \alpha^{*}, \frac{x}{\mathrm{a}}\right] e^{\alpha^{*} \beta-\alpha \beta^{*}-\mathrm{i} p x} \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

Making the integration variables transform $s \alpha-r \alpha^{*} \rightarrow$ $w, \frac{x}{a} \rightarrow x^{\prime}$, leading to $\mathrm{d}^{2} \alpha \rightarrow \mathrm{~d}^{2} w$ and $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathrm{d} x \rightarrow$ $|\mathrm{a}| \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathrm{d} x^{\prime}$, Eq. (11) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{\psi} g_{1}=\sqrt{s|\mathrm{a}|} \Phi^{*}\left(s^{*} \beta^{*}-r^{*} \beta, \mathrm{a} p\right) e^{\kappa^{*} \beta-\kappa \beta^{*}-\mathrm{i} p b} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Phi^{*}$ is just the Fourier transform of $\psi^{*}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Phi^{*}\left(s^{*} \beta^{*}-r^{*} \beta, \mathrm{a} p\right)= & \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\mathrm{d} x^{\prime}}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^{2} w}{2 \pi} \psi^{*}\left[w, x^{\prime}\right] \\
& \times e^{\left.w^{*}\left(s \beta-r \beta^{*}\right)-w\left(s^{*} \beta^{*}-r^{*} \beta\right)-\mathrm{i} \text { (異 } 3^{\prime}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then we perform the adjoint WT of (7), using (8) and (12) we see

$$
\begin{align*}
& W_{\psi}^{*}\left(W_{\psi} g_{1}\right)(\alpha, x) \\
= & \sqrt{\frac{s^{*}}{|\mathrm{a}|}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\mathrm{d} b}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int \frac{\mathrm{~d}^{2} \kappa}{2 \pi} W_{\psi} g_{1} \\
& \times \psi\left[s(\alpha-\kappa)-r\left(\alpha^{*}-\kappa^{*}\right), \frac{x-b}{\mathrm{a}}\right] \\
= & |s| \Phi^{*}\left(s^{*} \beta^{*}-r^{*} \beta, \mathrm{a} p\right) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\mathrm{d} b}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int \frac{\mathrm{~d}^{2} \kappa}{2 \pi} \\
& \times e^{\kappa^{*} \beta-\kappa \beta^{*}-\mathrm{i} p b} \psi\left[s(\alpha-\kappa)-r\left(\alpha^{*}-\kappa^{*}\right), \frac{x-b}{\mathrm{a}}\right] \\
= & |s||\mathrm{a}| g_{1}(\alpha, x) \Phi^{*}\left(s^{*} \beta^{*}-r^{*} \beta, \mathrm{a} p\right) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\mathrm{d} b^{\prime}}{\sqrt{\pi}} \\
& \times \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^{2} \kappa^{\prime}}{2 \pi} e^{\kappa^{\prime} \beta^{*}-\kappa^{\prime *} \beta+\mathrm{iapb}} \psi\left[s \kappa^{\prime}-r \kappa^{\prime *}, b^{\prime}\right] \\
= & |s||\mathrm{a}| g_{1}(\alpha, x)\left|\Phi\left(s^{*} \beta^{*}-r^{*} \beta, \mathrm{a} p\right)\right|^{2} . \tag{14}
\end{align*}
$$

From Eq. (14) we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\mathrm{da}}{\mathrm{a}^{2}} \int \frac{\mathrm{~d}^{2} s}{|s|^{2}} W_{\psi}^{*}\left(W_{\psi} g_{1}\right)(\alpha, x) \\
= & g_{1}(\alpha, x) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\mathrm{da}}{|\mathrm{a}|} \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^{2} s}{|s|}\left|\Phi\left(s^{*} \beta^{*}-r^{*} \beta, \mathrm{a} p\right)\right|^{2}(, \tag{}
\end{align*}
$$

which leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{1}(\alpha, x)=\frac{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\mathrm{d} a}{a^{2}} \int \frac{\mathrm{~d}^{2} s}{|s|^{2}} W_{\psi}^{*}\left(W_{\psi} g_{1}\right)(\alpha, x)}{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\mathrm{d} a}{|a|} \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^{2} s}{|s|}\left|\Phi\left(s^{*} \beta^{*}-r^{*} \beta, a p\right)\right|^{2}} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Eq. (16) implies that we should impose the normalization

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\mathrm{da}}{|\mathrm{a}|} \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^{2} s}{|s|}\left|\Phi\left(s^{*} \beta^{*}-r^{*} \beta, \mathrm{a} p\right)\right|^{2}=1 \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

such that the reproducing process exists

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{1}(\alpha, x)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\mathrm{da}}{\mathrm{a}^{2}} \int \frac{\mathrm{~d}^{2} s}{|s|^{2}} W_{\psi}^{*}\left(W_{\psi} g_{1}\right)(\alpha, x) \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

(17) may be named the generalized admissibility condition. Now we can have the corresponding Parseval theorem: For any $g$ and $g^{\prime}$ we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\mathrm{dad} b}{\mathrm{a}^{2}} \int \frac{\mathrm{~d}^{2} \kappa \mathrm{~d}^{2} s}{|s|^{2}} W_{\psi} g(s, r, \kappa ; \mathrm{a}, b) W_{\psi}^{*} g^{\prime}(s, r, \kappa ; \mathrm{a}, b) \\
= & \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathrm{d} x \int \mathrm{~d}^{2} \alpha g(\alpha, x) g^{* *}(\alpha, x) . \tag{19}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof: Assuming $F(\beta, p)$ and $F^{\prime}(\beta, p)$ be the Fourier transform of $g(\alpha, x)$ and $g^{\prime}(\alpha, x)$, respectively,

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(\beta, p)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\mathrm{d} x}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} \int \frac{\mathrm{~d}^{2} \alpha}{\pi} g(\alpha, x) e^{\alpha \beta^{*}-\alpha^{*} \beta+\mathrm{i} p x} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

whose inversion formula is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(\alpha, x)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\mathrm{d} p}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} \int \frac{\mathrm{~d}^{2} \beta}{\pi} F(\beta, p) e^{\alpha^{*} \beta-\alpha \beta^{*}-\mathrm{i} p x} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order to prove (19), we first calculate $W_{\psi} g(s, r, \kappa ; \mathrm{a}, b)$. In similar to deriving Eq.(12), using (77), (8) and (21) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& W_{\psi} g(s, r, \kappa ; \mathrm{a}, b) \\
= & \sqrt{\frac{s}{|\mathrm{a}|}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\mathrm{d} x}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int \frac{\mathrm{~d}^{2} \alpha}{2 \pi} g(\alpha, x) \\
& \times \psi^{*}\left[s(\alpha-\kappa)-r\left(\alpha^{*}-\kappa^{*}\right), \frac{x-b}{\mathrm{a}}\right] \\
= & \sqrt{\frac{s}{|\mathrm{a}|}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\mathrm{d} p}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} \int \frac{\mathrm{~d}^{2} \beta}{\pi} F(\beta, p) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\mathrm{d} x}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int \frac{\mathrm{~d}^{2} \alpha}{2 \pi} \\
& \times e^{\alpha^{*} \beta-\alpha \beta^{*}-\mathrm{i} p x} \psi^{*}\left[s(\alpha-\kappa)-r\left(\alpha^{*}-\kappa^{*}\right), \frac{x-b}{\mathrm{a}}\right] \\
= & \sqrt{s|\mathrm{a}|} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\mathrm{d} p}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} \int \frac{\mathrm{~d}^{2} \beta}{\pi} F(\beta, p) \\
& \times \Phi^{*}\left(s^{*} \beta^{*}-r^{*} \beta, \mathrm{a} p\right) e^{\kappa^{*} \beta-\kappa \beta^{*}-\mathrm{i} p b} . \tag{22}
\end{align*}
$$

It then follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathrm{d} b \int \mathrm{~d}^{2} \kappa W_{\psi} g(s, r, \kappa ; \mathrm{a}, b) W_{\psi}^{*} g^{\prime}(s, r, \kappa ; \mathrm{a}, b) \\
= & |s||\mathrm{a}| \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathrm{d} p \mathrm{~d} p^{\prime} \int \mathrm{d}^{2} \beta \mathrm{~d}^{2} \beta^{\prime} F(\beta, p) F^{\prime *}\left(\beta^{\prime}, p^{\prime}\right) \\
& \times \Phi^{*}\left(s^{*} \beta^{*}-r^{*} \beta, \mathrm{a} p\right) \Phi\left(s^{*} \beta^{\prime *}-r^{*} \beta^{\prime}, \mathrm{a} p^{\prime}\right) \\
& \times \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\mathrm{d} b}{2 \pi} \int \frac{\mathrm{~d}^{2} \kappa}{\pi^{2}} e^{\kappa^{*}\left(\beta-\beta^{\prime}\right)-\kappa\left(\beta^{*}-\beta^{\prime *}\right)+\mathrm{i}\left(p^{\prime}-p\right) b} \\
= & |\mathrm{a} s| \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathrm{d} p \int \mathrm{~d}^{2} \beta F(\beta, p) F^{\prime *}(\beta, p) \mid \Phi\left(s^{*} \beta^{*}-r^{*} \beta, \mathrm{a} p(23)\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

Substituting (23) into the left-hand side (LHS) of (19) and using (17) we see

$$
\begin{align*}
\text { LHS of (19) }= & \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathrm{d} p \int \mathrm{~d}^{2} \beta F(\beta, p) F^{*}(\beta, p) \\
& \times \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\mathrm{da}}{|\mathrm{a}|} \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^{2} s}{|s|}\left|\Phi^{*}\left(s^{*} \beta^{*}-r^{*} \beta, \mathrm{a} p\right)\right|^{2} \\
= & \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathrm{d} p \int \mathrm{~d}^{2} \beta F(\beta, p) F^{* *}(\beta, p) \\
= & \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathrm{d} x \int \mathrm{~d}^{2} \alpha g(\alpha, x) g^{\prime *}(\alpha, x) \tag{24}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus we complete the proof of Eq.(19).
Inversion Formula: From Eq. (19) we have
$g(\alpha, x)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\mathrm{dad} b}{\sqrt{\pi} \mathrm{a}^{2}} \int \frac{\mathrm{~d}^{2} \kappa \mathrm{~d}^{2} s}{2 \pi|s|^{2}} W_{\psi} g(s, r, \kappa ; \mathrm{a}, b) \psi_{s, r, \kappa ; \mathrm{a}, b}(\alpha, x)$,
that is the inversion formula for the original signal $g(\alpha, x)$ expressed by a superposition of wavelet functions $\psi_{s, r, \kappa ; \mathrm{a}, b}(\alpha, x)$, with the value of continuous WT $W_{\psi} g(s, r, \kappa ; \mathrm{a}, b)$ serving as coefficients. In fact, in Eq. (77) when we take $g(\alpha, x)=$ $\delta\left(\alpha-\alpha^{\prime}\right) \delta\left(\alpha^{*}-\alpha^{\prime *}\right) \delta\left(x-x^{\prime}\right)$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
W_{\psi} g(s, r, \kappa ; \mathrm{a}, b)= & \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\mathrm{d} x}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int \frac{\mathrm{~d}^{2} \alpha}{2 \pi} \psi_{s, r, \kappa ; a, b}^{*}(\alpha, x) \\
& \times \delta\left(\alpha-\alpha^{\prime}\right) \delta\left(\alpha^{*}-\alpha^{*}\right) \delta\left(x-x^{\prime}\right) \\
= & \frac{1}{2 \pi \sqrt{\pi}} \psi_{s, r, \kappa ; a, b}^{*}\left(\alpha^{\prime}, x^{\prime}\right) \tag{26}
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting (26) into (24) yields (25).
We can visualize the new WT $W_{\psi} g(s, r, \kappa ; \mathrm{a}, b)$ in the context of quantum optics. By noticing that the generalized squeezing operator $U(s, r, \kappa=0 ; a, b=0)$ in (10) is an image of the combined mapping of the classical real dilation transformation $x \rightarrow x / \mathrm{a}(\mathrm{a}>0)$ and the classical complex symplectic transform $\left(\alpha, \alpha^{*}\right) \rightarrow$ $\left(s \alpha-r \alpha^{*}, s^{*} \alpha^{*}-r^{*} \alpha\right)$ in $|\alpha, x\rangle$ representation, we can use the technique of integration within normal product of operators [17, 18] to perform the integration in (10), the result is

$$
\begin{align*}
& U(s, r, \kappa=0 ; \mathrm{a}, b=0) \\
= & \frac{\operatorname{sech}^{1 / 2} \lambda}{\sqrt{s^{*}}} \exp \left[-\frac{\tanh \lambda}{4}\left(a_{1}^{\dagger}+a_{2}^{\dagger}\right)^{2}\right. \\
& \left.-\frac{r}{4 s^{*}}\left(a_{1}^{\dagger}-a_{2}^{\dagger}\right)^{2}\right] V(s, \mathrm{a}) \\
& \times \exp \left[\frac{\tanh \lambda}{4}\left(a_{1}+a_{2}\right)^{2}+\frac{r^{*}}{4 s^{*}}\left(a_{1}-a_{2}\right)^{2}\right], \tag{27}
\end{align*}
$$

where $e^{\lambda}=\mathrm{a}, \operatorname{sech} \lambda=\frac{2 \mathrm{a}}{1+\mathrm{a}^{2}}, \tanh \lambda=\frac{\mathrm{a}^{2}-1}{1+\mathrm{a}^{2}}$, and

$$
\begin{align*}
V(s, \mathrm{a}) & =: \exp \left\{\left(a_{1}^{\dagger}, a_{2}^{\dagger}\right)[\Lambda-I]\binom{a_{1}}{a_{2}}\right\}: \\
\Lambda & \equiv \frac{1}{2}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\operatorname{sech} \lambda+\frac{1}{s^{*}} & \operatorname{sech} \lambda-\frac{1}{s^{*}} \\
\operatorname{sech} \lambda-\frac{1}{s^{*}} & \operatorname{sech} \lambda+\frac{1}{s^{*}}
\end{array}\right) \tag{28}
\end{align*}
$$

The transformation matrix element of $U(s, r, \kappa=0 ; \mathrm{a}, b=0)$ in the entangled state representation $|\eta\rangle$ is 19]

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \langle\eta| U(s, r, \kappa=0 ; \mathrm{a}, b=0)\left|\eta^{\prime}\right\rangle \\
= & \sqrt{\frac{s}{\mathrm{a}}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\mathrm{d} x}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int \frac{\mathrm{~d}^{2} \alpha}{2 \pi}\left\langle\eta \mid s \alpha-r \alpha^{*}, \frac{x}{\mathrm{a}}\right\rangle\langle\alpha, x| \eta^{\prime}\langle 29)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $|\eta\rangle$ is the bipartite entangled state of continuous variable. In two-mode Fock space $\left|\eta=\eta_{1}+\mathrm{i} \eta_{2}\right\rangle$ reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\eta\rangle=\exp \left[-\frac{1}{2}|\eta|^{2}+\eta a_{1}^{\dagger}-\eta^{*} a_{2}^{\dagger}+a_{1}^{\dagger} a_{2}^{\dagger}\right]|00\rangle \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

$|\eta\rangle$ is introduced on the concept of quantum entanglement first proposed in 1935 by Einstein-Podolsky-Rosen (EPR) [20] in the argument that quantum mechanics theory was not complete. Then using (4) and (30), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle\eta \mid \alpha, x\rangle=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \exp \left[-\frac{\alpha^{2}+|\alpha|^{2}}{4}-\frac{1}{2} \eta_{1}^{2}+\eta_{1} \alpha-\mathrm{i} \eta_{2} x\right] \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

It directly follows from (31) that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\langle\eta \mid s \alpha-r \alpha^{*}, \frac{x}{\mathrm{a}}\right\rangle= & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \exp \left[-\frac{\left(s \alpha-r \alpha^{*}\right)^{2}+\left|s \alpha-r \alpha^{*}\right|^{2}}{4}\right. \\
& \left.-\frac{1}{2} \eta_{1}^{2}+\eta_{1}\left(s \alpha-r \alpha^{*}\right)-\mathrm{i} \eta_{2} \frac{x}{\mathrm{a}}\right] \tag{32}
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting (31) and (32) into (29) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \langle\eta| U(s, r, \kappa=0 ; \mathrm{a}, b=0)\left|\eta^{\prime}\right\rangle \\
= & \sqrt{\frac{s}{\mathrm{a}}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\mathrm{d} x}{2 \sqrt{\pi}} \exp \left[-\frac{\eta_{1}^{2}+\eta_{1}^{\prime 2}}{2}+\mathrm{i} x\left(\eta_{2}^{\prime}-\frac{\eta_{2}}{\mathrm{a}}\right)\right] \\
& \times \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^{2} \alpha}{2 \pi} \exp \left[-\frac{s\left(s^{*}-r\right)}{2}|\alpha|^{2}+\left(\eta_{1}^{\prime}-\eta_{1} r\right) \alpha^{*}\right. \\
& \left.+\eta_{1} s \alpha+\frac{1}{4} s\left(r^{*}-s\right) \alpha^{2}+\frac{1}{4}\left(r s^{*}-r^{2}-1\right) \alpha^{* 2}\right] \\
= & \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{\mathrm{a}}} \frac{\delta\left(\eta_{2}^{\prime}-\eta_{2} / \mathrm{a}\right)}{\sqrt{s^{*}+r^{*}-s-r}} \exp \left[-\frac{1}{2}\left(\eta_{1}^{2}+\eta_{1}^{\prime 2}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{\left(r^{*}-s\right) \eta_{1}^{\prime 2}-(s+r) \eta_{1}^{2}+2 \eta_{1} \eta_{1}^{\prime}}{s^{*}+r^{*}-s-r}\right] . \tag{33}
\end{align*}
$$

By setting

$$
\begin{aligned}
s & =\frac{1}{2}[(A+D)-\mathrm{i}(B-C)], \\
r & =-\frac{1}{2}[(A-D)+\mathrm{i}(B+C)], \\
s+r & =D-\mathrm{i} B, s-r=A-\mathrm{i} C, s-r^{*}=A-\mathrm{i}(B, 4)
\end{aligned}
$$

which leads to the unimodularity condition for $A, B, C$ and $D$, i.e., $A D-B C=1$, then we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \langle\eta| U(s, r, \kappa=0 ; \mathrm{a}, b=0)\left|\eta^{\prime}\right\rangle \\
= & \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{a}} \delta\left(\eta_{2}^{\prime}-\eta_{2} / \mathrm{a}\right) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \mathrm{i} \pi B}} \\
& \times \exp \left[\frac{i}{2 B}\left(A \eta_{1}^{\prime 2}-2 \eta_{1} \eta_{1}^{\prime}+D \eta_{1}^{2}\right)\right] . \tag{35}
\end{align*}
$$

(35) shows that $\langle\eta| U(s, r, \kappa=0 ; \mathrm{a}, b=0)\left|\eta^{\prime}\right\rangle$ is just the kernel of a mixed lens-Fresnel transform, in which the variable $\eta_{1}$ of the object experiences an transform of generalized Fresnel transform, while the variable $\eta_{2}$ undergoes a lens transformation, which results in a scaling transform.

In sum, based on the entangled-coherent state representation $|\alpha, x\rangle$ we have introduced the new kind of continuous WT which involves both the real variable dilation-transform and complex variable symplectic transform, the new SDWT corresponds to the lensFresnel mixed transform in classical optics. For other WTs, such as a complex WT and an entangled SWT, we refer to [21, 22].
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