## Chiral Logarithms Tamed

Nikolai Kivel,<sup>1,2</sup> Maxim V. Polyakov,<sup>1,2</sup> and Alexei Vladimirov<sup>2,3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Petersburg Nuclear Physics Institute, Gatchina, St. Petersburg 188350, Russia

<sup>2</sup>Institut für Theoretische Physik II, Ruhr–Universität Bochum, D–44780 Bochum, Germany

<sup>3</sup>Bogolubov Laboratory of Theoretical Physics, JINR, 141980 Dubna, Russia

(Dated: September 23, 2021)

We derive non-linear recursion relations for the leading chiral logarithms (LLs). These relations not only provide a very efficient method of computation of LLs (e.g. the 33-loop contribution is calculated in a dozen of seconds on a PC) but also equip us with a powerful tool for the summation of the LLs. Our method is not limited to the chiral perturbation theory only, it is pertinent for any non-renormalizable effective field theory such as, for instance, the theory of critical phenomena, the low-energy quantum gravity, etc.

PACS numbers:

The very fact of the spontaneous breakdown of the approximate chiral symmetry in strong interactions leads to the possibility of the systematic expansion of hadronic amplitudes and correlation functions at low energies. The expansion is organized in powers of external momenta and the masses of pseudo-Goldstone bosons (pions). We denote the corresponding expansion parameter generically as  $p^2$ . An efficient method to perform the chiral expansion is based on the technique of effective chiral Lagrangian [1]. The leading  $O(p^2)$  hadronic amplitudes can be obtained from the tree diagrams of the famous Weinberg Lagrangian [2]:

$$\mathcal{L}_2 = \frac{F^2}{4} \operatorname{tr} \left[ \left( \partial_{\mu} U \partial_{\mu} U^{\dagger} \right) + m^2 \left( U + U^{\dagger} \right) \right], \qquad (1)$$

where F is the pion decay constant in the chiral limit, and m is the pion mass. The chiral corrections of the order  $O(p^4 \ln p^2)$  can be obtained from the one-loop calculation with the Weinberg Lagrangian (1) [1, 3]. In order to compute higher corrections, e.g.  $O(p^4)$ ,  $O(p^6 \ln p^2)$ , etc., one has to include terms with four and higher derivatives in the effective chiral Lagrangian [4]. Note, however, that the leading chiral logarithms (LLs), i.e. the correction of the form  $O(p^2 [p^2 \ln p^2]^n)$  can be obtained from the *n*-loop diagrams generated by the Weinberg Lagrangian (1). The conciseness and beauty of the leading logarithm approximation lies in the fact that LL corrections depend only on one basic low-energy constant -F. In this approximation one avoids rapid proliferation of the low-energy constant with increasing of the chiral order. The calculation of LLs is a herculean task – it requires the computation of *n*-loop diagrams in the *non*renormalizable field theory (1). Presently, the LLs are computed to the two-loop accuracy for the  $\pi\pi$ -scattering amplitude [5], to the five-loop accuracy for the correlator of scalar currents [6], and to the three-loop accuracy for the generalized parton distributions (GPDs) [8]. We note that for the case of the chiral corrections to GPDs the summation of LLs is indispensable [7, 8], because the

smallness of the chiral expansion parameter is compensated by  $1/x_{\rm Bi}^n$ .

In this Letter we present an efficient method to compute LLs. This method reduces the task of LLs computation to a simple algebraic problem, and paves a way towards the summation of LLs.

We discuss details of the method for the massless  $O(N+1) \sigma$ -model defined by the Lagrangian:

$$\mathcal{L}_2 = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \partial_\mu \sigma \partial_\mu \sigma + \partial_\mu \pi^a \partial_\mu \pi^a \right], \qquad (2)$$

where the fields are constrained by the relation  $\sigma^2 + \sum_{a=1}^{N} \pi^a \pi^a = F^2$ . We consider the O(N+1)  $\sigma$ -model for the following reasons:

- It is equivalent to the massless two-flavour Weinberg Lagrangian (1) for N = 3.
- It is a free field theory for N = 1, which can be used as a check of our calculations
- It can be solved in the large-N limit, which provides a check of our calculations and allows us to asses the accuracy of the 1/N expansion without tedious calculations.

For simplicity, we consider LLs for the forward  $\pi\pi$  scattering amplitude in the massless  $O(N + 1) \sigma$ -model (2). The reader can easily apply our method to an observable in a field theory of her/his choice. The forward amplitude computed at Mandelstam t = 0 has the form:

$$T^{abcd}(s) = \delta^{ab} \delta^{cd} A(s) + \delta^{cb} \delta^{da} B(s) + \delta^{bd} \delta^{ac} C(s).$$
(3)

The chiral expansion of the functions A(s), B(s), C(s) has the following structure

$$A(s) = (4\pi)^2 S \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{n} A_n^{(k)} S^n L^k, \qquad (4)$$

$$B(s) = (4\pi)^2 S \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{n} B_n^{(k)} S^n L^k, \quad C(s) = A(-s),$$

where we introduce a dimensionless invariant energy  $S \equiv s/(4\pi F)^2$  and L denotes the chiral logarithms,  $L \equiv \ln(\mu^2/s)$ ,  $\mu$  is the renormalization scale. The first  $A_0^{(0)}$ -term in the expression for A(s) corresponds to the tree contribution to the scattering amplitude in the  $O(N+1) \sigma$ -model (2). The other terms are higher chiral order corrections. Our aim consists in the calculation of the LL coefficients  $A_n^{(n)} \equiv A_n$  and  $B_n^{(n)} \equiv B_n$  appeared in Eq. (4).

Simple power counting [1] shows that the coefficient  $A_n^{(k)}$  originates from the k-loop diagram with vertices from the chiral Lagrangian  $\mathcal{L}_p$  with the number of derivatives of the pion fields  $p \leq 2(n+1-k)$ . We see that the *n*-th order LL coefficient receives contribution from the *n*-loop diagrams with vertices generated by the leading

Lagrangian (2). The UV divergencies in a n-loop diagram are removed by the subtraction of lower-loop graphs with insertion of the local counterterms corresponding to the subdivergencies of the original n-loop diagram. See detailed discussion of the structure of the subtractions in Refs. [7, 9]. The local counterterms relevant for our calculations renormalize the couplings the all-order Lagrangian, which encodes the structure of counterterms:

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{8} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{j=0\\\text{even}}}^{n} \frac{g_{nj}(\mu)}{(4\pi F)^{2n}} \partial^{2n} P_j\left(\frac{\partial_1 \partial_2}{\partial^2}\right).$$
(5)

Here  $P_j$  are Legendre polynomials and a convenient notation for the operator monomials is introduced:

$$\partial^{2n} \left( \frac{\partial_1 \partial_2}{\partial^2} \right)^j \equiv \left( \pi^a \overleftrightarrow{\partial}_{\nu_1} \dots \overleftrightarrow{\partial}_{\nu_j} \pi^a \right) \partial^{2(n-j)} \left( \pi^b \overleftrightarrow{\partial}_{\nu_1} \dots \overleftrightarrow{\partial}_{\nu_j} \pi^b \right) \,. \tag{6}$$

The coupling constants  $g_{nj}(\mu)$  are enumerated by two indices. The index n indicates the number of derivatives of the pion fields (equal to 2n) in the corresponding counterterm. We refer to the index n as "principal index". The second index j corresponds to the "exchanged spin" of the counterterm. The tree level contribution of the vertices (5) to the amplitude can be easily computed with the result:

$$A_{\text{tree}}(s) = -\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-S)^n \sum_{\substack{j=0\\\text{even}}}^n g_{nj}(\mu) , \qquad (7)$$
$$B_{\text{tree}}(s) = -\sum_{\substack{n=2\\\text{even}}}^\infty (S)^n g_{nn}(\mu) \frac{(2n)!}{n!n!} .$$

The expansion coefficients  $A_n^{(k)}$  and  $B_n^{(k)}$  of the amplitude (4) are functions of the infinite set of couplings  $g_{nj}(\mu)$ , denoted by **g**. These coefficients depend on the renormalization scale  $\mu$  through  $\mu$ -dependence of the couplings **g**. The renormalized (physical) amplitude is given by the sum of Eq. (4) and Eq. (7) and it must be independent of  $\mu$ . Thus, imposing the requirement that  $\mu^2 \frac{d}{d\mu^2}(A(s) + A_{\rm ct}(s)) = 0$  we obtain[11] the following set of equations:

$$A_n^{(1)}(\mathbf{g}) + (-1)^n \sum_{\substack{j=0\\\text{even}}}^{n+1} \beta_{n+1j}(\mathbf{g}) = 0$$
(8)  
$$\hat{H}A_n^{(k)}(\mathbf{g}) + (k+1)A_n^{(k+1)}(\mathbf{g}) = 0.$$

Here the  $\beta$ -functions are defined as  $\beta_{nj}(\mathbf{g}) \equiv \mu^2 \frac{d}{d\mu^2} g_{nj}(\mu)$ . Also we have introduced the differential

operator  $\hat{H}$  acting on the space of the coupling constants:

$$\hat{H} \equiv \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{j=0\\\text{even}}}^{n} \beta_{nj}(\mathbf{g}) \frac{\partial}{\partial g_{nj}} \,. \tag{9}$$

The set of equations (8) has the following solution:

$$A_n^{(k)}(\mathbf{g}) = \frac{(-1)^{n+k}}{k!} \hat{H}^k \sum_{\substack{j=0\\\text{even}}}^{n+1} g_{n+1j}.$$
 (10)

The lowest constant  $A_0^{(0)} = g_{10} = 1$  is fixed by the tree level calculations with the Lagrangian (2). We see from the solution (10) that, in order to obtain the LLs (constants  $A_n^{(n)}$ ), we have to apply the operator  $\hat{H}$  *n* times to a linear combination of the coupling constants  $g_{nj}$ . This at first glance formidable problem can be solved if one notes the following crucial property of the operator  $\hat{H}$ :

$$\hat{H}^n g_{mj} = 0, \text{ if } m < n.$$
 (11)

Indeed, the loop diagrams contributing to the renormalization of the constant  $g_{mj}$  include vertices with constants  $g_{pl}$  with p < m only. It implies that the  $\beta_{mj}(\mathbf{g})$ functions depend only on the subset of the low-energy constants  $g_{pl}$  with p < m. Hence, it is easy to see that the application of the operator  $\hat{H}$  to  $g_{mj}$  leads to the lowering of the principal index m by one unit, i.e. we can write the general form of the action of  $\hat{H}^n$  on  $g_{n+1j}$ :

$$\hat{H}^n g_{n+1j} = n! \; \omega_{nj} g_{10}^{n+1} = n! \; \omega_{nj} \,. \tag{12}$$

The expression (12) simultaneously presents the definition of quantities  $\omega_{nj}$  (*n* is the number of loops and



FIG. 1: One loop diagrams contributing to the  $\beta$ -functions coefficients (14). Filled squares denote the counterterms (mi) and (pl) introduced in Eq. (5).

 $j \leq (n + \text{Mod}(n, 2)))$ , which determine the LL coefficients  $A_n \equiv A_n^{(n)}$  (see Eq. (10) with k = n).

Now our aim is to calculate  $\omega_{nj}$  from Eq. (12). Due to the property (11) only one-loop piece of  $\beta_{nj}$ -

functions, which is quadratic in couplings 
$$g_{nj}$$
, contribute  
to Eq. (12). This observation is in accordance with gen-  
eral RG analysis of Ref. [9]. The general structure of the  
one-loop  $\beta_{nj}$ -function is the following:

$$\beta_{nj}^{1-\text{loop}}(\mathbf{g}) = \sum_{m=1}^{n-1} \sum_{\substack{i=0\\\text{even}}}^{m} \sum_{\substack{l=0\\\text{even}}}^{n-m} \mathcal{B}_{j}^{(m,i)(n-m,l)} g_{mi}g_{(n-m)l}(13)$$

Here  $\mathcal{B}_{j}^{(m,i)(n-m,l)}$  are numerical coefficients which can be obtained from the calculation of one-loop diagrams shown in Fig. 1. The result of calculations gives the following result:

$$\mathcal{B}_{j}^{(m,i)(p,l)} = \frac{1}{2j+1} \left[ \frac{N}{2} \delta_{ij} \delta_{lj} + \delta_{ij} \Omega_{p}^{li} + \delta_{lj} \Omega_{m}^{il} \right] + \left( 1 + (-1)^{j} \right) \sum_{k=0}^{\min[p,m]} \frac{\Omega_{m}^{ik} \Omega_{p}^{lk} \Omega_{m+p}^{kj}}{2k+1} , \tag{14}$$

where constants  $\Omega_n^{AB}$  are computed as the following integral with Legendre polynomials:

$$\Omega_n^{AB} = \frac{2B+1}{2^{n+1}} \int_{-1}^1 dx P_A\left(\frac{x+3}{x-1}\right) P_B(x)(x-1)^n.$$
(15)

The  $(n + 1) \times (n + 1)$  matrix  $\hat{\Omega}_n$  with matrix elements given by Eq. (15), posses many beautiful and intriguing properties. For example,  $\hat{\Omega}_n^2 = 1$  and  $\operatorname{tr}(\hat{\Omega}_n) = \sin(\pi n)$ , which follow from the fact that the matrix  $\hat{\Omega}$  represents SL(2, R) transformations. The relation of this symmetry group to the general structure of the renormalization procedure in a wide class of effective quantum field theories will be discussed elsewhere.

Now substituting the one-loop  $\beta$ -function (13) into Eq. (12) we obtain the following nonlinear recursive relations for desired coefficients  $\omega_{nj}$ :

$$\omega_{nj} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} \sum_{\substack{i=0\\\text{even}}}^{m+1} \sum_{\substack{l=0\\\text{even}}}^{n-m} \mathcal{B}_j^{(m+1,i)(n-m,l)} \omega_{mi} \omega_{(n-m-1)l} (16)$$

The recursion (16) allows to express the higher coefficients  $\omega_{nj}$  through that with lower principal indices, starting with  $\omega_{00} = 1$ . [We remind that *n* enumerates the loop order and  $j \leq (n+\text{Mod}(n,2))$ ] The coefficients of the  $\beta$ -functions  $\mathcal{B}_{j}^{(m+1,i)(n-m,l)}$  are given by Eq. (14). The LLs for the amplitudes A(s), B(s) [ $(C_n = (-1)^{n+1}A_n)$ ] can be computed in terms of  $\omega_{nj}$  as follows:

$$A_n = \sum_{\substack{j=0\\\text{even}}}^{n+1} \omega_{nj} \,, \quad B_n = \frac{(2n+2)!}{[(n+1)!]^2} \omega_{nn+1} \tag{17}$$

The recursive relation (16) is the main result of the present paper. It allows a very fast computation of LLs. For example, the 33-loop chiral LL is computed in a dozen of seconds on a PC [12]. The 6-loop results for LLs are presented [13] in Table A for the amplitude A(s) and 7-loop results in Table B for the amplitude B(s).

Our results for LLs for N = 3 agree with two-loop calculations of  $\pi\pi$  amplitude [5] and with five-loop results for the correlator of the scalar currents [6]. Additional check of our method is provided by the case of N = 1. Indeed, for that value of N the Lagrangian (2) corresponds to a free field theory, therefore we should obtain nullification of LLs. For N = 1 the scattering amplitude is given by the sum A(s) + B(s) + C(s), it is easy to see that all LLs are cancelled in this case.

The non-linear recursion relation (16) for LLs is valuable not only because it renders a breakthrough in the calculations of LLs, it also allows general theoretical studies of LLs anatomy in wide class of effective theories. As an example, let us consider the behaviour of LLs in the large-N limit of  $O(N + 1) \sigma$ -model. In this limit we can neglect all terms in the expression (14) but the first one. Then, the recursion relation (16) for large N LLs is simplified considerably:

$$\omega_{nj}^{\rm LN} = \frac{1}{2n} \sum_{m=1}^{n-1} \frac{N}{2j+1} \,\omega_{mj}^{\rm LN} \omega_{(n-m-1)j}^{\rm LN}.$$
 (18)

The solution of this recursion is obvious:

$$\omega_{nj}^{\rm LN} = \left(\frac{N}{2}\right)^n \,\delta_{j0}.\tag{19}$$

This solution is in agreement with the direct large-N calculations in the  $O(N+1) \sigma$ -model, see e.g. [10]. In order to compute the 1/N corrections to the leading result (19) we substitute the LLs in the form:

$$\omega_{nj} = \left(\frac{N}{2}\right)^n \left[\delta_{j0} + \frac{c_{nj}^{(1)}}{N} + \dots\right]$$
(20)

into the recursion relation (16) and obtain the linear equation for the coefficients  $c_{nk}^{(1)}$ . This equation has a solution in terms of the Lerch function. The corresponding expression is rather long, instead we give the result for the LL coefficients  $A_n$  for the leading and subleading 1/N orders in the case of large number of loops  $n \gg 1$ . The leading 1/N asymptotic of the amplitude B we compute without any assumptions about n. The result is:

$$A_n = \left(\frac{N}{2}\right)^n \left[1 - \left(\frac{\pi^2}{3} - 8(1 - \ln 2)\right)\frac{n}{N} + \dots\right],$$
  
$$B_n = \left(\frac{N}{2}\right)^{n-1} \frac{2}{2+n} \left[1 + O\left(\frac{1}{N}\right)\right].$$

It is a remarkable result! It shows that the 1/N expansion for  $O(N+1) \sigma$ -model fails in the chiral order  $n \sim N$  and the expansion requires reordering.

In summary, we have developed the method of nonlinear recursion relations (16) which allows a calculation the leading chiral logarithms to essentially unlimited order. Furthermore, this method presents a puissant tool for study of general structure of infrared logarithms. It can be applied to any physics problem described by a non-renormalizable effective low-energy Lagrangian, e.g. theory of critical phenomena, low-energy quantum gravity, theory of magnetics, etc.

This work was supported in parts by the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation, by BMBF, by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft, the Heisenberg–Landau Programme grant, and the Russian Foundation for Fundamental Research grants No. 06-02-16215 and 07-02-91557

		Table A
# loops	N = 3	Arbitrary N
1	$\frac{2}{3}$	$\frac{N}{2}\left(1-\frac{5}{3N}\right)$
2	$\frac{25}{18}$	$\frac{N^2}{4}\left(1-\frac{37}{18N}+\frac{49}{18N^2}\right)$
3	$\frac{577}{540}$	$rac{N^3}{8}\left(1-rac{287}{90N}+rac{407}{90N^2}-rac{448}{135N^3} ight)$
4	$\frac{1481}{864}$	$\frac{N^4}{16} \left( 1 - \frac{20753}{5400N} + \frac{363091}{48600N^2} - \frac{17849}{2430N^3} + \frac{404}{81N^4} \right)$
5	$\tfrac{28943}{19440}$	$\frac{N^5}{32} \left( 1 - \frac{12533}{2625N} + \frac{7655843}{708750N^2} - \frac{1319666}{91125N^3} + \frac{38082031}{3189375N^4} - \frac{2449121}{425250N^5} \right)$
6	$\frac{33744493}{15876000}$	$\frac{N^{6}}{64} \left(1 - \frac{3632171}{661500N} + \frac{3511547989}{238140000N^{2}} - \frac{2119277851}{89302500N^{3}} + \frac{6141878783}{238140000N^{4}} - \frac{6249981863}{357210000N^{5}} + \frac{954322601}{119070000N^{6}}\right)$

Table B

m.1.1. A

# loops	N = 3	Arbitrary N
1	23	$\frac{2}{3}$
3	$\frac{181}{270}$	$\frac{N^2}{10} - \frac{203N}{1080} + \frac{361}{1080}$
5	$\frac{3320747}{5103000}$	$\frac{N^4}{56} - \frac{8609N^3}{126000} + \frac{892579N^2}{5670000} - \frac{17367061N}{102060000} + \frac{2914931}{20412000}$
7	$\frac{2433747559349}{3780710640000}$	$\frac{N^6}{288} - \frac{209635N^5}{10668672} + \frac{266068577671N^4}{4480842240000} - \frac{1616650409063N^3}{15122842560000} + \frac{16393400307287N^2}{120982740480000} - \frac{179751202247N}{1728324864000} + \frac{3414208728293}{60491370240000} - \frac{1616650409063N^3}{120982740480000} + \frac{1616650409063N^3}{12098} + \frac{16166500000}{12098} + \frac{16166500000}{12098} + \frac{16166500000}{12098} + \frac{16166500000}{12098} + \frac{16166500000}{12098} + \frac{16166500000}{12098} + \frac{161665000000}{12098} + \frac{161665000000}{12098} + \frac{161665000000}{12098} + \frac{161665000000}{12098} + \frac$

- [1] S. Weinberg, Physica A 96 (1979) 327.
- [2] S. Weinberg, Phys. Rev. 166 (1968) 1568.
- [3] P. Langacker, H. Pagels, Phys. Rev. D 8 (1973) 4595.
- [4] J. Gasser, H. Leutwyler, Annals Phys. 158 (1984) 142.
- [5] J. Bijnens, G. Colangelo, G. Ecker, J. Gasser and M. E. Sainio, Nucl. Phys. B 508 (1997) 263 [Erratumibid. B 517 (1998) 639] [arXiv:hep-ph/9707291].
- [6] M. Bissegger and A. Fuhrer, Phys. Lett. B 646, 72 (2007)
   [arXiv:hep-ph/0612096].
- [7] N. Kivel, M. V. Polyakov and A. Vladimirov, arXiv:0809.2064 [hep-ph].

- [8] N. Kivel and M. V. Polyakov, Phys. Lett. B 664, 64 (2008) [arXiv:0707.2208 [hep-ph]].
- [9] M. Buchler and G. Colangelo, Eur. Phys. J. C 32, 427 (2003) [arXiv:hep-ph/0309049].
- [10] S. R. Coleman, R. Jackiw and H. D. Politzer, Phys. Rev. D 10 (1974) 2491.
- [11] Below we discuss the amplitude A only, the discussion for the amplitudes B and C is analogous. For the latter we provide only the final result
- [12] Mathematica notebook for computing LLs is available at http://www.tp2.rub.de/~maximp/research/research.html
- [13] We are limited in the presentation of the results by the page size only.