

W Mass as a Calibration of the Jet Energy Scale at ATLAS

Daniel Goldin

Department of Physics, Southern Methodist University, Dallas, Texas 75275, USA

Top pairs will be copiously produced at the LHC, at a rate of roughly one per second at a luminosity of $10^{33} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$. These events have low background and produce large numbers of jets via the hadronic decay of the W 's which may be used to calibrate the jet energy scale and resolution. We also consider the determination of the jet energy scale and the resolution through the hadronic W decays, where W boson originates from the Higgs decay produced via vector boson fusion. As this channel is one of the discovery channels and is expected to be a few orders of magnitude less than that of the top pairs, this study is intended to be purely Monte Carlo-based.

1. JET RECONSTRUCTION AT ATLAS

The ATLAS calorimeter is non-compensating. Its calibration may be performed either *globally* or *locally*. *Global* calibration is a top-down technique where hadronic objects from specific physics processes are reconstructed relative to a fixed (global) electromagnetic scale. The weights are subsequently applied to calorimeter layers and individual cells based on the deposited jet energy density. The *local* calibration method is a bottom-up approach which starts with the response of cells and cell clusters, to which sets of weights based the ratio of the deposited electromagnetic to hadronic energy (EM fraction) and determined statistically are applied. From such calibrated clusters jets are reconstructed. In what follows we present a simulation study designed to assess the ATLAS calorimeter performance through the jet energy scale (JES) determination from the top pair and VBF $H \rightarrow WW \rightarrow l\nu q\bar{q}$ simulations.

The work on the universal JES definition is still in progress. For the study presented here we use two simple formulas:

$$E_{jet}^{true} = K_{abs}(E, \eta, \sigma_j, L) E_{jet}^{meas} \quad \text{and} \quad E_{part} = K_{part}(E, \Delta R, L) E_{jet}^{true} \quad (1)$$

The first of the two equations relates the energy E_{jet}^{true} of the true (particle) jet to that of the measured (reconstructed) jet E_{jet}^{meas} . The scale factor K_{abs} depends on energy, pseudorapidity, jet resolution (σ_j) and luminosity (L). The second equation provides a transition from the true jet to the quark that gave rise to the jet. The true jet-parton scale factor K_{part} depends on the parton energy, jet cone size ΔR and the underlying event, which is proportional to luminosity L .

2. JET ENERGY SCALE FROM THE TOP PAIR SIGNAL

Top pair events under consideration here have been generated using MC@NLO [1], and, subsequently, simulated and fully reconstructed [2]. Among the various cuts applied, events with at least 4 jets with $p_T > 40 \text{ GeV}/c$ were chosen, and the pairs of non- b -tagged jets are selected as the W jet candidates. Partons in this case are quarks from the W decay. We extract K_{abs} and K_{part} from Fig. 1. From the figures, $\mathbf{K}_{abs} = 1.032$ and $\mathbf{K}_{part} = 1.006$.

From Fig. 1 it is clear that Cone $\Delta R = 0.4$ algorithm and Kt method with $R = 0.4$ yield the best overall resolution. We select Cone $\Delta R = 0.4$ method as the default and use it on jets reconstructed from towers and from clusters (Fig.




Figure 1: Jet resolution figures for various jet algorithms (cone algorithm with $\Delta R = 0.4$ and 0.7 and k_T algorithm with $R = 0.4$ and 0.6) used to extract K_{abs} and K_{part} . Figure on the left shows the measured jet resolution relative to true jet, on the right figure the measured jet resolution relative to W quark.

2). We observe that, without pileup effects included, the resolution does not change with the clusterization method and note that it varies between 8 and 19% for the energy range shown in the figure. In Figure 2 we also consider the effects of pileup, a consequence of the increased luminosity giving rise to the proportional number of minimum bias events; for a luminosity of $10^{33} \text{ cm}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$ the expected average number of pileup events is 2.3. With the inclusion of pileup, topological clustering, which results in better noise suppression, clearly yields better resolution, especially in the lower energy range. For the energies in the 50 GeV bin, for example, the average energy resolution is decreased only by 2.5% relative to the pileup-free events, whereas the tower clustering yields 10% worse resolution.




Figure 2: Systematic effects in determining the JES from light jets in the top pair production. Left: effect of the p_T cut on the JES. Middle: Results of the fit to the W mass from light jets for different gluon radiation settings. Right: effect of the pileup on the jet resolution.

An applied p_T cut on the measured events biases the available momentum phase space toward higher p_T values (hence, higher energy values) resulting in higher values of the jet energy scale (Fig. 2, center). The error bars correspond to uncertainties in p_T if the resolution is varied by 20% (roughly the worst case scenario according to the results above). The 40 GeV p_T cut used throughout this analysis thus results in a 2% uncertainty. For a 40 GeV p_T cut the bias on the W mass was found to be about 1.5 ± 0.6 GeV.

In order to study the influence of initial and final-state radiation, 3 datasets with different showering settings were used: 1 set with MC@NLO + HERWIG [1][3] and 2 sets with AcerMC + PYTHIA [4][5]. Jets were reconstructed with $\Delta R = 0.4$ Cone algorithm (Fig. 2, right). The mean mass from the three measurements is slightly higher than the PDG value due to the p_T cut bias. The spread between the maximum and the minimum values is about 1.7 GeV.

3. JET ENERGY SCALE WITH VBF $H \rightarrow WW \rightarrow l\nu q\bar{q}$

The $H \rightarrow WW \rightarrow l\nu q\bar{q}$ decay mode, where the Higgs boson is produced through a WW or ZZ (VBF) fusion, is one of the main Higgs discovery channels at the LHC. The focus here is on the hadronically decaying W . The main advantage of this channel in determining the jet energy scale is the absence of color flow in the W production. Jets resulting from the W decay are produced mostly in the central region of the detector, while the jets due to the vector boson fusion process tend to be rather forward. The complication arises from the fact that only a very small fraction of W jets contains exclusively W constituents, with a vast majority of jets ending up being mixed, or “impure”. These impurities entail the upward shift in the mean and broader width of the W mass. Using the specially developed software package, we can unambiguously identify and classify the true jets as follows: “pure W jets” are the jets containing *only* W constituents and “mixed W ” jets are jets containing at least one particle from the W boson.

We have simulated and reconstructed 18,000 semileptonic PYTHIA [5] VBF $H \rightarrow WW$ events. Jets calibrated on the global, EM scale, were used. The cone algorithm with the cone size of 0.7 was selected as the one resulting in the optimal W mass. No background events were considered and only one jet cut, $p_T > 20$ GeV corresponding to the calorimeter resolution, was applied.

Using *pure* W jets as the “best case scenario” and measured jets matched to quarks and plotting the $E_{jet}^{true}/E_{jet}^{meas}$ and E_{quark}/E_{jet}^{true} distributions, we find that $\mathbf{K}_{abs} = 1.110 \pm 0.010$ and $\mathbf{K}_{part} = 1.021 \pm 0.002$. We note the higher value of K_{abs} relative to the one found for the top signal. A lower value of the JES in the top pair signal is an indication of local calibration reproducing true jets more accurately. For the mixed W jets we find: $K_{abs} = 1.168 \pm 0.002$,




Figure 3: Correcting the jet energy scale for detector non-uniformities in pseudorapidity. Left: Jet energy scale variation with η . W mass before (center) and after (right) applying the η correction.

$K_{part} = 0.965 \pm 0.001$. K_{abs} for mixed jets is 5% higher for mixed jets than for pure jets. There is a 6% difference between K_{part} between true and mixed jets. This may be explained by the contamination of mixed jets by the particles from the interaction region.

We may also use *true mixed W jets* to determine the variations in jet energy scale with η . The fluctuations of K_{abs} with η are found to be within ± 0.05 envelope around the value of 1.168 (Fig. 3, left), or about 3% uncertainty. The hadronic detector may be subdivided into 4 detector regions based on granularity in η . By plotting and fitting the true-measured jet differences in η and ϕ we establish a 3σ true-measured jet matching cuts in each region. In all four regions we fit K_{abs} and its analog in total momentum, $p_{jet}^{true}/p_{jet}^{meas}$, and determine the set of 8 eta weights. We then apply the η and ϕ matching requirements and the eta weights to reconstructed jets matched to mixed W jets. The new, recalibrated, $\langle M_W \rangle$ from the reconstructed dijets may be plotted and fitted with a Gaussian (Fig. 3, right). Multiplying this mean by $K_{part} = 0.965$ we obtain 81.32 ± 0.20 GeV/ c^2 on a parton level. This value is to be compared with the uncorrected W of 73.00 GeV/ $c^2 \times K_{part} = 70.44$ GeV/ c^2 (Fig. 3, center).

4. CONCLUSIONS

In this work we have determined the values for K_{abs} , the measured jet-to-true jet scale, and K_{part} , the true jet-parton energy scale, for the t-tbar and VBF Higgs signals. Events were reconstructed using local calibration in the former case and global calibration in the latter. The value of K_{abs} was found to be 1.032 for the top pair signal and 1.168 ± 0.002 for the case of the VBF Higgs, with the lower first value due to the differences in the underlying events and higher precision of the local method. We also considered jet resolution, shown to vary between 8 and 19%, and examined the effects of the p_T cut, gluon radiation settings and pileup on the jet energy scale for the t-tbar signal. We also studied the effects of fluctuation with calorimeter η of the JES for the $H \rightarrow WW$ signal. We extracted the appropriate η -dependent weights and applied them to the reconstructed W mass, improving its mean value from 70.4 to 81.3 GeV/ c^2 . We note that the η weighting technique employed here is general enough to be used in other processes involving jets.

Acknowledgments

The author gratefully acknowledges James Proudfoot, Jerome Schwindling, David Miller, Ryszard Stroynowski and Jingbo Ye for their help in preparation of the poster and these proceedings.

References

- [1] S. Frixione and B. R. Webber, JHEP **0206**, 029 (2002) [arXiv:hep-ph/0204244].
- [2] ATLAS Collaboration, ATLAS Internal Note, ATL-COM-PHYS-2008-073 (restricted access).
- [3] G. Corcella *et al.*, JHEP **0101**, 010 (2001) [arXiv:hep-ph/0011363].
- [4] B. P. Kersevan and E. Richter-Was, arXiv:hep-ph/0405247.
- [5] T. Sjostrand, P. Eden, C. Friberg, L. Lonnblad, G. Miu, S. Mrenna and E. Norrbin, Comput. Phys. Commun. **135**, 238 (2001) [arXiv:hep-ph/0010017].