

Aharonov-Bohm effect on AdS_2 and nonlinear supersymmetry of reflectionless Pöschl-Teller system

Francisco Correa , Vít Jakubský and Mikhail S. Plyushchay

Departamento de Física, Universidad de Santiago de Chile, Casilla 307, Santiago 2, Chile

E-mails: fco.correa.s@gmail.com, v.jakubsky@gmail.com, mplyushc@lauca.usach.cl

Abstract

The origin and nature of a special nonlinear supersymmetry of a reflectionless Pöschl-Teller system are explained by the Aharonov-Bohm effect for a nonrelativistic particle on AdS_2 . A key role in supersymmetric structure appearing after reduction by a compact generator of the AdS_2 isometry is shown to be played by discrete symmetries related to space and time reflections in the ambient Minkowski space.

1 Introduction

Exactly solvable quantum hyperbolic Pöschl-Teller system [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6] finds diverse applications in a variety of physical problems. It appears, for instance, in a form of a stability equation for soliton (kink) solutions in 1+1-dimensional φ^4 and sine-Gordon field theories [7]. It describes static solutions in the Gross-Neveu model [8, 9, 10], and was used in investigation of the tachyon condensation phenomenon in gauge field string dynamics [11, 12]. This system, on the other hand, plays a fundamental role in the inverse scattering problem method for nonlinear evolution equations [13, 14].

All the mentioned applications are related to a peculiar property of the Pöschl-Teller system, whose potential $U(x) = -\lambda \cosh^{-2} x$ becomes reflectionless at special values $\lambda = m(m+1)$, $m = 1, 2, \dots$ of the coupling constant. This property finds a simple explanation in terms of almost isospectral transformations underlying supersymmetric quantum mechanics [15]. Namely, the reflectionless Pöschl-Teller (RPT) system with $\lambda = m(m+1)$ can be related to a free particle system ($m = 0$) by a Crum-Darboux transformation of order m . The RPT system possesses also a shape-invariance property, due to which the energies of its m bound states are found algebraically [15, 16, 17].

The RPT system with m bound states is characterized by a nontrivial integral of motion that is a differential operator of order $2m+1$. This operator is known as a nontrivial operator of the Lax pair corresponding to a non-periodic problem for the m -th order Korteweg-de Vries equation [14]. Recently it was rediscovered in the context of nonlinear supersymmetric structure [18] associated with the RPT system, see Refs. [19, 20, 21]. More specifically, it was observed that the RPT system is characterized by a hidden bosonized nonlinear $N = 2$ supersymmetry, in which the nontrivial Lax operator plays the role of one of the two supercharges, and the parity (reflection) operator R , $R\psi(x) = \psi(-x)$, is identified as a

\mathbb{Z}_2 -grading operator Γ [22]. As a consequence, an extended system composed from two RPT systems with parameters m and $l < m$ (including the case $l = 0$ that corresponds to a free particle system), is described by a nonlinear tri-supersymmetric structure [23, 24] that admits three alternatives for the grading operator, $\Gamma = \sigma_3$, R , or $\sigma_3 R$, where σ_3 is a diagonal Pauli matrix. For $\Gamma = \sigma_3$, the local part of this unusual supersymmetric structure corresponds to a nonlinear $N = 4$ supersymmetry, in which the higher order integral associated with the nontrivial Lax operator plays the role of a bosonic central charge [19, 24].

In the present paper we show that the hidden bosonized nonlinear supersymmetry of the RPT system and the related nonlinear tri-supersymmetric structure appearing in the extended case originate from a quantum motion of a charged non-relativistic particle on the AdS_2 surface in the presence of a singular magnetic-like vortex. Classically, the particle performs a free, geodesic motion on AdS_2 , but the Aharonov-Bohm effect [25] influences essentially on the quantum properties of the system. For half-integer values of the flux, the spectrum is characterized by a specific additional double degeneration related to an involutive automorphism of the symmetry algebra being the AdS_2 isometry, and the system reveals a hidden one-dimensional free quantum dynamics. It is these properties that underlie the peculiar nonlinear supersymmetric structure of the RPT system obtainable by an appropriate angular momentum reduction. The Aharonov-Bohm effect on the AdS_2 provides also a new vision on the nature of the Crum-Darboux transformations for the quantum hyperbolic Pöschl-Teller system.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we discuss the nonlinear hidden bosonized supersymmetric structure of the RPT system and the tri-supersymmetric structure of the pair of such systems in the light of the Crum-Darboux transformations. In Section 3 we investigate the classical and quantum theory of a non-relativistic particle on the AdS_2 , minimally coupled to a $U(1)$ gauge field given by the Aharonov-Bohm vector potential. In Section 4 we show how the nonlinear supersymmetric structure of the RPT system emerges from such a non-relativistic system at half-integer values of the magnetic flux. Section 5 is devoted to the discussion.

2 Crum-Darboux transformations and tri-supersymmetry

A hyperbolic reflectionless Pöschl-Teller system is described by the Hamiltonian

$$H_m = -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} - \frac{m(m+1)}{\cosh^2 x}. \quad (2.1)$$

Here and in what follows we put $\hbar = 1$. It is convenient to treat m as a parameter that can take any integer value, $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then $m = 0$ corresponds to a free particle case, and we have the identity

$$H_m = H_{-(m+1)}. \quad (2.2)$$

In terms of the first order differential operators

$$\mathcal{D}_m = \frac{d}{dx} + m \tanh x, \quad \mathcal{D}_{-m} = -\mathcal{D}_m^\dagger, \quad (2.3)$$

the second order operator (2.1) can be presented in the form

$$H_m = -\mathcal{D}_{-m}\mathcal{D}_m - m^2, \quad (2.4)$$

while (2.2) can be rewritten equivalently as

$$\mathcal{D}_{-m}\mathcal{D}_m = \mathcal{D}_{m+1}\mathcal{D}_{-m-1} + (2m + 1). \quad (2.5)$$

Identity (2.5) generates the intertwining relations

$$\mathcal{D}_m H_m = H_{m-1} \mathcal{D}_m, \quad \mathcal{D}_{-m} H_{m-1} = H_m \mathcal{D}_{-m}, \quad (2.6)$$

which correspond to the first order Darboux transformations. Intertwining relations of higher order Crum-Darboux transformations [13],

$$(\mathcal{D}_{m-l} \dots \mathcal{D}_{m-1} \mathcal{D}_m) H_m = H_{m-l-1} (\mathcal{D}_{m-l} \dots \mathcal{D}_{m-1} \mathcal{D}_m), \quad (2.7)$$

$$(\mathcal{D}_{-m} \mathcal{D}_{-(m-1)} \dots \mathcal{D}_{-(m-l)}) H_{m-l-1} = H_m (\mathcal{D}_{-m} \mathcal{D}_{-(m-1)} \dots \mathcal{D}_{-(m-l)}), \quad (2.8)$$

are obtained by a repeated application of (2.6). In a particular case $l = m - 1$, relation (2.8) takes the form

$$(\mathcal{D}_{-m} \mathcal{D}_{-(m-1)} \dots \mathcal{D}_{-1}) H_0 = H_m (\mathcal{D}_{-m} \mathcal{D}_{-(m-1)} \dots \mathcal{D}_{-1}), \quad (2.9)$$

which means that system (2.1) is almost isospectral to a free particle system. Making use of it, the scattering states of the RPT system (2.1) can be obtained from the plane wave eigenstates of a free particle,

$$\psi_{m,k}^{(\pm)}(x) = \mathcal{D}_{-m} \mathcal{D}_{-m+1} \dots \mathcal{D}_{-1} \cdot \exp(\pm ikx). \quad (2.10)$$

According to (2.9) and (2.10), positive energy values of the system (2.1), $E_{m,k} = k^2$ with $k > 0$, are doubly degenerate, while zero energy with $k = 0$ corresponds to a singlet state

$$\psi_{m,0}^{(\pm)}(x) = (\mathcal{D}_{-m} \mathcal{D}_{-m+1} \dots \mathcal{D}_{-1}) \cdot 1. \quad (2.11)$$

Function $\cosh^{-m} x$ is the zero mode of the first order operator \mathcal{D}_m . In correspondence with (2.4), it describes a bound state of the RPT system with energy $E_{m,0} = -m^2$. This observation and relation (2.8) with $l = 0, \dots, m - 2$ allow us to find the whole set of the m bound singlet states of the RPT system (2.1),

$$\psi_{m;0}(x) = \cosh^{-m} x, \quad \psi_{m;n}(x) = \mathcal{D}_{-m} \mathcal{D}_{-m+1} \dots \mathcal{D}_{-m+n-1} \cosh^{n-m} x, \quad n = 1, \dots, m - 1. \quad (2.12)$$

Extension of (2.12) for $n = m$ ($l = m - 1$) reproduces singlet state (2.11) of the continuous part of the spectrum. The energies of all the $m + 1$ singlet states (2.12) and (2.11) are given then by

$$E_{m;n} = -(m - n)^2, \quad n = 0, \dots, m. \quad (2.13)$$

The double degeneration in the continuous part of the spectrum and $m + 1 > 1$ singlet states indicate on the presence of a hidden nonlinear supersymmetry [18] in the system (2.1).

Its corresponding supercharges can easily be identified. Applying the order $2m + 1$ Crum-Darboux transformation (2.8) corresponding to $l = 2m$ and taking into account relation (2.2), we find that the RPT system (2.1) is characterized by a local integral

$$\mathcal{A}_{2m+1} = \mathcal{D}_{-m}\mathcal{D}_{-m+1}\dots\mathcal{D}_0\dots\mathcal{D}_{m-1}\mathcal{D}_m, \quad [\mathcal{A}_{2m+1}, H_m] = 0, \quad (2.14)$$

that is a differential operator of order $2m + 1$. This is a nontrivial integral of the Lax pair $(\mathcal{A}_{2m+1}, H_m)$ of the m -th order KdV equation [14]. Integral (2.14) is the parity-odd operator, while Hamiltonian (2.1) is parity-even. Identifying reflection (parity) operator R , $R\psi(x) = \psi(-x)$, as a grading operator, and integrals $Z_1 = i^{2m+1}\mathcal{A}_{2m+1}$ and $Z_2 = iRZ_1$ as Hermitian supercharges, we find that the RPT system (2.1) is characterized by a nonlinear $N = 2$ supersymmetry

$$[Z_a, H_m] = 0, \quad \{Z_a, Z_b\} = 2\delta_{ab}P_{2m+1}(H_m), \quad (2.15)$$

where $P_{2m+1}(H_m)$ is a polynomial of order $2m + 1$, whose explicit form can be found with the help of relation (2.5),

$$P_{2m+1}(H_m) = (H_m - E_{m;m}) \prod_{n=0}^{m-1} (H_m - E_{m;n})^2, \quad (2.16)$$

where $E_{m;n}$ are energies (2.13) of singlet states, $E_{m;m} = 0$. Singlet states (2.11) and (2.12) are zero modes of the supercharges Z_a , other m states annihilated by Z_a have a more intricate nature, see [21, 23].

Note that the nontrivial integral (2.14) has a sense of the integral \mathcal{D}_0 of a free particle system H_0 transferred to the RPT system H_m by means of the Crum-Darboux transformations. Indeed, multiply the relation $H_0\mathcal{D}_0 = \mathcal{D}_0H_0$ from the left by the operator $\mathcal{D}_{-m}\mathcal{D}_{-m+1}\dots\mathcal{D}_{-1}$, and from the right by $\mathcal{D}_1\dots\mathcal{D}_{m-1}\mathcal{D}_m$. Using on the left hand side intertwining relation (2.9), and on the right hand side its Hermitian conjugate form, we get the commutation relation (2.14) for the operators \mathcal{A}_{2m+1} and H_m .

The system composed from two RPT systems H_m and H_l , $0 \leq l < m$, can be described by the 2×2 matrix Hamiltonian

$$\mathcal{H}_{m,l} = \begin{pmatrix} H_l & 0 \\ 0 & H_m \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.17)$$

The two RPT subsystems are almost isospectral. In the subsystem H_l only partner states for $m - l$ lowest bound singlet states of the subsystem H_m are absent. The intertwining relations (2.8) allow us to identify the local Hermitian integrals of motion of the extended system (2.17),

$$\mathcal{X}_{m,l} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & X_{m,l}^- \\ X_{m,l}^+ & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathcal{Y}_{m,l} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & Y_{m,l}^- \\ Y_{m,l}^+ & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathcal{Z}_{m,l} = \begin{pmatrix} Z_{m,l}^+ & 0 \\ 0 & Z_{m,l}^- \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2.18)$$

$[\mathcal{H}_{m,l}, \mathcal{X}_{m,l}] = [\mathcal{H}_{m,l}, \mathcal{Y}_{m,l}] = [\mathcal{H}_{m,l}, \mathcal{Z}_{m,l}] = 0$, where

$$X_{m,l}^- = (X_{m,l}^+)^\dagger = i^{m-l}\mathcal{D}_{l+1}\mathcal{D}_{l+2}\dots\mathcal{D}_{m-1}\mathcal{D}_m, \quad (2.19)$$

$$Y_{m,l}^- = (Y_{m,l}^+)^\dagger = i^{2l+1}\mathcal{A}_{2l+1}X_{m,l}^-, \quad (2.20)$$

$$Z_{m,l}^- = (Z_{m,l}^+)^\dagger = X_{m,l}^+Y_{m,l}^- = Y_{m,l}^+X_{m,l}^- = i^{2m+1}\mathcal{A}_{2m+1}, \quad (2.21)$$

$$Z_{m,l}^+ = (Z_{m,l}^-)^\dagger = X_{m,l}^-Y_{m,l}^+ = Y_{m,l}^-X_{m,l}^+ = i^{2l+1}X_{m,l}^-X_{m,l}^+\mathcal{A}_{2l+1}. \quad (2.22)$$

These integrals of motion mutually commute,

$$[\mathcal{X}_{m,l}, \mathcal{Y}_{m,l}] = [\mathcal{Y}_{m,l}, \mathcal{Z}_{m,l}] = [\mathcal{X}_{m,l}, \mathcal{Z}_{m,l}] = 0, \quad (2.23)$$

and satisfy relations

$$\mathcal{Z}_{m,l} = \mathcal{X}_{m,l} \mathcal{Y}_{m,l}, \quad (2.24)$$

$$(\mathcal{X}_{m,l})^2 = P_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{H}_{m,l}), \quad (\mathcal{Y}_{m,l})^2 = P_{\mathcal{Y}}(\mathcal{H}_{m,l}), \quad (\mathcal{Z}_{m,l})^2 = P_{\mathcal{Z}}(\mathcal{H}_{m,l}). \quad (2.25)$$

Here, in correspondence with (2.24), $P_{\mathcal{Z}}(\mathcal{H}_{m,l}) = P_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{H}_{m,l})P_{\mathcal{Y}}(\mathcal{H}_{m,l})$ is a polynomial of order $2m + 1$ of the form (2.16) with H_m changed for $\mathcal{H}_{m,l}$, and

$$P_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{H}_{m,l}) = \prod_{n=0}^{m-l-1} (\mathcal{H}_{m,l} - E_{m;n}), \quad P_{\mathcal{Y}}(\mathcal{H}_{m,l}) = P_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{H}_{m,l}) \cdot (\mathcal{H}_{m,l} - E_{m;m}) \prod_{n=m-l}^{m-1} (\mathcal{H}_{m,l} - E_{m;n})^2, \quad (2.26)$$

where the roots $E_{m;n}$ of the polynomials correspond to the singlet states of the subsystem H_m , and are given by (2.13).

In addition to integrals (2.18), extended system (2.17) has also three mutually commuting *trivial* integrals of motion,

$$\Gamma_i = (R, \sigma_3, R\sigma_3), \quad \Gamma_i^2 = 1, \quad i = 1, 2, 3. \quad (2.27)$$

Any of them can be chosen as a grading operator Γ , that classifies one of the integrals (2.18) as an even (bosonic), and the other two as odd (fermionic) generators. As a result, the set of integrals (2.18), (2.27) extended by the products of (2.27) with (2.18) generates together with Hamiltonian (2.17) a certain deformation of $su(2|2)$ superalgebra, whose concrete form depends on the choice of the grading operator, see [23, 24]. For the particular choice $\Gamma = \sigma_3$, the subset of integrals $\mathcal{X}_{m,l}$, $\mathcal{Y}_{m,l}$, $i\sigma_3\mathcal{X}_{m,l}$, and $i\sigma_3\mathcal{Y}_{m,l}$ is identified as the set of odd supercharges, while $\mathcal{Z}_{m,l}$ and $\mathcal{H}_{m,l}$ are even operators. These *local* integrals generate a nonlinear $N = 4$ superalgebra, in which, in correspondence with (2.23), $\mathcal{Z}_{m,l}$ plays a role of a central charge. For $m - l = 1$, the integral $\mathcal{X}_{m,m-1}$ is a usual first order supercharge for the superextended system (2.17), whose conservation follows from the Darboux intertwining relations (2.6). In a generic case, the relation of commutativity of $\mathcal{X}_{m,l}$ with matrix Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}_{m,l}$ is just mutually conjugate intertwining relations (2.7) and (2.8) of order $m - l$. The integral $\mathcal{Y}_{m,l}$ corresponds to the Crum-Darboux intertwining relations of order $m + l + 1$ that relate the systems H_m and $H_{-(l+1)} = H_l$.

3 Aharonov-Bohm effect on AdS_2

Now we turn to the Aharonov-Bohm effect for non-relativistic particle on AdS_2 . Consider a one-sheeted hyperboloid

$$x^\mu x_\mu \equiv -x^2 - y^2 + z^2 = -\mathcal{R}^2, \quad (3.1)$$

embedded in a three-dimensional Minkowski space with metric $ds^2 = dx^\mu dx^\nu \eta_{\mu\nu}$, $\eta_{\mu\nu} = \text{diag}(-1, -1, +1)$, $\mu, \nu = 1, 2, 3$. It can be parameterized by

$$x^1 \equiv x = \mathcal{R} \cosh \chi \cos \varphi, \quad x^2 \equiv y = \mathcal{R} \cosh \chi \sin \varphi, \quad x^3 \equiv z = \mathcal{R} \sinh \chi, \quad (3.2)$$

and is identified as the AdS₂ space of radius $\mathcal{R} > 0$ with an induced metric $ds^2 = \mathcal{R}^2(d\chi^2 - \cosh^2 \chi d\varphi^2)$, $-\infty < \chi < \infty$, $0 \leq \varphi < 2\pi$. Let in an ambient Minkowski space the Aharonov-Bohm vector potential is given,

$$A^1 = -\frac{\Phi}{2\pi} \frac{y}{x^2 + y^2}, \quad A^2 = \frac{\Phi}{2\pi} \frac{x}{x^2 + y^2}, \quad A^3 = 0, \quad (3.3)$$

that describes a “magnetic” field of a flux line along the z-axis, $B_\mu = \epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda} \partial^\nu A^\lambda = (0, 0, \Phi \delta^2(x, y))$ ($\epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda}$ is an antisymmetric tensor, $\epsilon_{123} = 1$). Consider a *non-relativistic* charged particle minimally coupled to an external U(1) gauge field (3.3), and confined to move on the two-dimensional surface (3.1). Under taking into account Eqs. (3.2), (3.3), the corresponding Lagrangian of a particle of unit mass, $L = \frac{1}{2} \dot{x}_\mu \dot{x}^\mu + \frac{e}{c} A_\mu \dot{x}^\mu$, is reduced to

$$L = \frac{\mathcal{R}^2}{2} (\dot{\chi}^2 - \cosh^2 \chi \dot{\varphi}^2) - \alpha \dot{\varphi}, \quad (3.4)$$

where $\alpha \equiv \frac{e\Phi}{2\pi c}$, $\dot{x}^\mu = dx^\mu/dt$, and t is an evolution parameter. The last, coupling term in (3.4) is a total time derivative. It does not effect on the classical dynamics of the particle, that performs a geodesic motion on the AdS₂. The form of the trajectories is identified by noting that the SO(2,1) is a transitive symmetry group of hyperboloid (3.1), i.e. any two points on the surface can be related by an appropriate SO(2,1)-transformation. The generators of this symmetry are the integrals of motion

$$J_1 = -p_\chi \sin \varphi - J_3 \cos \varphi \tanh \chi, \quad J_2 = p_\chi \cos \varphi - J_3 \sin \varphi \tanh \chi, \quad (3.5)$$

$$J_3 = p_\varphi + \alpha, \quad (3.6)$$

where $p_\chi = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{\chi}}$, $p_\varphi = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{\varphi}}$ are the canonical momenta, $\{\varphi, p_\varphi\} = 1$, $\{\chi, p_\chi\} = 1$. With respect to the Poisson brackets, they generate the (2+1)D Lorentz algebra

$$\{J_\mu, J_\nu\} = -\epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda} J^\lambda. \quad (3.7)$$

Their conservation follows from the form of the canonical Hamiltonian, $H = p_\chi \dot{\chi} + p_\varphi \dot{\varphi} - L$,

$$H = \frac{1}{2\mathcal{R}^2} \left(p_\chi^2 - \frac{(p_\varphi + \alpha)^2}{\cosh^2 \chi} \right), \quad (3.8)$$

that up to a multiplicative constant is reduced to the $so(2, 1)$ Casimir element,

$$H = -\frac{1}{2\mathcal{R}^2} C, \quad C = J_\mu J^\mu. \quad (3.9)$$

Integrals (3.5), (3.6) satisfy identically the relation $x^\mu J_\mu = 0$ with x^μ given by (3.2). This follows from the observation that the system (3.8) can alternatively be obtained in two steps. First, we reduce the $ISO(2, 1)$ -invariant free particle system $L = \frac{1}{2} m \dot{x}_\mu \dot{x}^\mu$ to the $SO(2, 1)$ -invariant surface given by the second class constraints $x_\mu x^\mu + \mathcal{R}^2 = 0$ and $p_\mu x^\mu = 0$ in the phase space with canonical coordinates (x^μ, p_μ) . The reduced phase space is described by the two pairs of canonical variables (φ, p_φ) , (χ, p_χ) , in terms of which the $SO(2, 1)$ generators $J_\mu = -\epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda} x^\nu p^\lambda$ take the form of the integrals (3.5), (3.6) with $\alpha = 0$. The case $\alpha \neq 0$ is obtained then via a subsequent canonical transformation $p_\varphi \rightarrow p_\varphi + \alpha$. Both steps do not

touch the initial free case identity $x^\mu J_\mu \equiv 0$. As a result, the trajectory is determined by the intersection of the Minkowski hyperplane $x^\mu J_\mu = 0$, $J_\mu = \text{const}$, with the surface of the hyperboloid (3.1), and its form depends on the value of the Casimir \mathcal{C} . For $\mathcal{C} > 0$, $= 0$ and < 0 , the trajectory is respectively an ellipse, a straight line and a hyperbola.

Due to the Lorentzian (indefinite) metric of the AdS_2 surface, the values of Hamiltonian (3.8) are not restricted from below. We shall be interested in the quantum system reduced to certain levels of the integral J_3 , in which the spectrum is bounded from below. As it follows from (3.8), (3.6), such a reduced system describes a one-dimensional Pöschl-Teller system, and three types of the classical trajectories of the system (3.8) correspond to a bound periodic ($\mathcal{C} > 0$), or unbound ($\mathcal{C} \leq 0$) particle motions in a 1D *classical attractive* potential. In particular, straight line trajectories on the hyperboloid correspond to a zero energy motion of the Pöschl-Teller system.

System (3.9) has also two discrete symmetries, which seems to play no significant role in the classical theory, but will be of a key importance at the quantum level in the context of the supersymmetry we discuss. These symmetries correspond to involutive automorphisms of the $so(2, 1)$ algebra (3.7),

$$R : (J_1, J_2, J_3) \rightarrow (-J_1, -J_2, J_3), \quad S : (J_1, J_2, J_3) \rightarrow (-J_1, J_2, -J_3). \quad (3.10)$$

In the ambient Minkowski space they correspond to a change of a sign of a space-like coordinate z , and of one of the time-like coordinates which, in correspondence with the chosen definition of S , we identify with y ,

$$R : (x, y, z) \rightarrow (x, y, -z), \quad S : (x, y, z) \rightarrow (x, -y, z). \quad (3.11)$$

In the curvilinear coordinates this corresponds to

$$R : (\chi, \varphi) \rightarrow (-\chi, \varphi), \quad S : (\chi, \varphi) \rightarrow (\chi, -\varphi). \quad (3.12)$$

Lagrangian (3.4) is invariant under the discrete symmetry R . However, it is quasi-invariant under symmetry S , which provokes a change for a total time derivative term, $\Delta L = 2\alpha\dot{\varphi}$, that does not effect on the classical motion. In correspondence with such a quasi-invariance of Lagrangian, in order to reproduce involutive automorphism S defined by (3.10) in the presence of nonzero Aharonov-Bohm flux α , transformation $\varphi \rightarrow -\varphi$ has to be accompanied by an additional canonical transformation $p_\varphi \rightarrow p_\varphi - 2\alpha$. At the quantum level corresponding unitary transformation is generated by an operator $U_\alpha(\varphi) = \exp(-2i\alpha\varphi)$, which is a well defined, 2π -periodic operator only in the case of integer and half-integer values of the flux. For $2\alpha \notin \mathbb{Z}$, as we shall see, discrete symmetry S is spontaneously broken.

A canonical quantization of the system with a prescription of a *symmetric ordering* of non-commuting factors in quantum analog of (3.5) results in the operators

$$\hat{J}_1 = i \sin \varphi \left(\partial_\chi - \frac{1}{2} \tanh \chi \right) - \cos \varphi \tanh \chi \hat{J}_3, \quad (3.13)$$

$$\hat{J}_2 = -i \cos \varphi \left(\partial_\chi - \frac{1}{2} \tanh \chi \right) - \sin \varphi \tanh \chi \hat{J}_3, \quad (3.14)$$

$$\hat{J}_3 = -i\partial_\varphi + \alpha, \quad (3.15)$$

that generate the $so(2, 1)$ algebra,

$$[\hat{J}_\mu, \hat{J}_\nu] = -i\epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda}\hat{J}^\lambda. \quad (3.16)$$

We assume that these operators act on the space of the 2π -periodic in φ wave functions $\psi(\chi, \varphi)$, on which they are Hermitian with respect to a scalar product

$$(\psi_1, \psi_2) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_0^{2\pi} \psi_1^*(\chi, \varphi)\psi_2(\chi, \varphi)d\chi d\varphi. \quad (3.17)$$

The quantum Hamiltonian

$$\hat{H} = -\partial_\chi^2 - \frac{\hat{J}_3^2 - \frac{1}{4}}{\cosh^2 \chi} \quad (3.18)$$

is obtained then from (3.9), where we put $2\mathcal{R}^2 = 1$, and subtract a quantum constant term $\frac{\hbar^2}{4}$, i.e. we take

$$\hat{H} = -\hat{J}_\mu \hat{J}^\mu - \frac{1}{4}. \quad (3.19)$$

Note that the same realization of the $so(2, 1)$ generators and the Hamiltonian are obtained if to proceed from the definition $\hat{J}_\mu = -\epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda}x^\nu (-i\partial^\lambda - \frac{e}{c}A^\lambda(x))$, where it is assumed that derivatives ∂^μ are written by treating (3.2) as a change of variables $x^\mu \rightarrow (\mathcal{R}, \chi, \varphi)$, $\mathcal{R} > 0$. In this way we get the $so(2, 1)$ generators in the form $\tilde{J}_1 = i \sin \varphi \partial_\chi - \cos \varphi \tanh \chi \hat{J}_3$, $\tilde{J}_2 = -i \cos \varphi \partial_\chi - \sin \varphi \tanh \chi \hat{J}_3$, $\tilde{J}_3 = \hat{J}_3$, while instead of (3.18) (with the same quantum constant shift) we obtain $\tilde{H} = -\partial_\chi^2 - \tanh \chi \partial_\chi - (\tilde{J}_3^2 - \frac{1}{4}) \cosh^{-2} \chi$. Operators \tilde{J}_μ and \tilde{H} , $[\tilde{J}_\mu, \tilde{H}] = 0$, are Hermitian with respect to a scalar product $(\tilde{\psi}_1, \tilde{\psi}_2) = (2\pi)^{-1} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \tilde{\psi}_1^*(\chi, \varphi)\tilde{\psi}_2(\chi, \varphi) \cosh \chi d\chi d\varphi$. A subsequent similarity transformation $\tilde{\psi} \rightarrow f\tilde{\psi} = \psi$, $\tilde{J}_\mu \rightarrow f\tilde{J}_\mu f^{-1} = \hat{J}_\mu$, $\tilde{H} \rightarrow f\tilde{H}f^{-1} = \hat{H}$ with $f = \sqrt{\cosh \chi}$ reduces the scalar product, the $so(2, 1)$ generators, and the Hamiltonian to (3.17), (3.13)–(3.15), and (3.18), respectively.

Since Hamiltonian (3.18) is the (shifted) $so(2, 1)$ Casimir operator, one can choose a representation in which \hat{H} and compact $so(2, 1)$ generator \hat{J}_3 are diagonal. The stationary Schrödinger equation associated with the Hamiltonian (3.18) is separable in the variables χ and φ , and the common wave functions of \hat{H} and \hat{J}_3 can be factorized as

$$\Psi_{E,m}^\alpha(\chi, \varphi) = e^{im\varphi}\psi_{E,m}^\alpha(\chi), \quad m = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots, \quad (3.20)$$

where the superscript marks the value of the Aharonov-Bohm flux. Then we have

$$\hat{J}_3 \Psi_{E,m}^\alpha(\chi, \varphi) = j_3 \Psi_{E,m}^\alpha(\chi, \varphi), \quad j_3 = m + \alpha, \quad (3.21)$$

and Schrödinger equation

$$\hat{H} \Psi_{E,m}^\alpha(\chi, \varphi) = E \Psi_{E,m}^\alpha(\chi, \varphi) \quad (3.22)$$

is reduced to

$$H_{m_\alpha} \psi_{E,m}^\alpha(\chi) = E \psi_{E,m}^\alpha(\chi), \quad H_{m_\alpha} = -\frac{d^2}{d\chi^2} - \frac{m_\alpha(m_\alpha + 1)}{\cosh^2 \chi}, \quad m_\alpha \equiv m + \alpha - \frac{1}{2}. \quad (3.23)$$

Reduced Hamiltonian H_{m_α} is just the Pöschl-Teller Hamiltonian (2.1) with parameter m and variable x changed for m_α and χ . Since the cases corresponding to the Aharonov-Bohm

fluxes α_1 and $\alpha_2 = \alpha_1 + n$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, are related by a unitary transformation generated by an operator $U_{\alpha_2, \alpha_1}(\varphi) = e^{im\varphi}$, in what follows we assume without loss of generality that $0 \leq \alpha < 1$.

Linear combinations of the generators

$$\hat{J}_+ = \hat{J}_1 + i\hat{J}_2 = e^{i\varphi} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \chi} - \left(\hat{J}_3 + \frac{1}{2} \right) \tanh \chi \right), \quad \hat{J}_- = \hat{J}_1 - i\hat{J}_2 = e^{-i\varphi} \left(-\frac{\partial}{\partial \chi} - \left(\hat{J}_3 - \frac{1}{2} \right) \tanh \chi \right) \quad (3.24)$$

are the ladder operators, $[\hat{J}_3, \hat{J}_\pm] = \pm \hat{J}_\pm$, $[\hat{J}_+, \hat{J}_-] = -2\hat{J}_3$, of the $so(2, 1)$ symmetry algebra. They transform an eigenstate of the Hamiltonian (if it is not annihilated by one of the operators (3.24) in the case $E \leq 0$, see below) into eigenstates of the same energy but with a shifted value of j_3 ,

$$\hat{J}_3(\hat{J}_\pm \Psi_{E,m}^\alpha) = (j_3 \pm 1)(\hat{J}_\pm \Psi_{E,m}^\alpha). \quad (3.25)$$

On a subspace with $j_3 = m + \alpha$, the χ -dependent parts of the ladder operators \hat{J}_- and \hat{J}_+ correspond to the intertwining operators (2.3) with non-integer values of the parameter m in the case $\alpha \neq \frac{1}{2}$.

Consider first briefly the case $\alpha \neq \frac{1}{2}$. From the form of the reduced Hamiltonian (3.23) it follows then that the quantum system (3.18) contains the Pöschl-Teller subsystem with repulsive potential $U(\chi) = +\gamma^2 \cosh^{-2} \chi$, $\gamma^2 > 0$. For $\alpha = 0$ this happens in the subspace with $m = 0$, where $\gamma^2 = 1/4$. For $0 < \alpha < 1/2$ and $1/2 < \alpha < 1$, repulsive potential appears in the subspaces with $m = 0$ and $m = -1$, where $\gamma^2 = 1/4 - \alpha^2$ and $\gamma^2 = 1/4 - (1 - \alpha)^2$, respectively. Since repulsive Pöschl-Teller system has no physical state with $E = 0$, in accordance with Eq. (3.25), the continuous part of the spectrum of the quantum system (3.18) with $\alpha \neq \frac{1}{2}$ is described by the scattering states with $E > 0$. The corresponding eigenstates with $E = k^2$, $k > 0$, can be expressed in terms of hypergeometric function, and any Pöschl-Teller subsystem (3.23) is characterized by a nonzero reflection coefficient [26]

$$|R|^2 = \frac{1}{1 + p^2}, \quad p = \frac{\sinh \pi k}{\cos \pi \alpha}. \quad (3.26)$$

In accordance with (3.19), on the scattering states with $E > 0$, infinite-dimensional unitary irreducible representations of the principal continuous series of the algebra $sl(2, R) \sim so(2, 1)$ with $-\hat{J}_\mu \hat{J}^\mu = E + 1/4 > 1/4$ and $j_3 = \alpha + m$, $m = 0, \pm 1, \dots$, are realized [27].

System (3.18) with $\alpha \neq 1/2$ has also bound states of certain discrete negative energies. With the help of the relation

$$\hat{H} = \hat{J}_+ \hat{J}_- - (\hat{J}_3 - 1/2)^2 = \hat{J}_- \hat{J}_+ - (\hat{J}_3 + 1/2)^2, \quad (3.27)$$

cf. (2.4) and (2.5), a part of corresponding normalizable eigenstates is identified as the states annihilated by the ladder operators \hat{J}_- and \hat{J}_+ ,

$$\Psi_{E,m}^{\alpha,-}(\chi, \varphi) = e^{im\varphi} \cosh^{-(m+\alpha-1/2)} \chi, \quad \hat{J}_- \Psi_{E,m}^{\alpha,-} = 0, \quad E = -(m + \alpha - 1/2)^2, \quad (3.28)$$

where $m = 1, 2, \dots$ for $0 \leq \alpha < 1/2$, and $m = 0, 1, \dots$ for $1/2 < \alpha < 1$, and

$$\Psi_{E,m}^{\alpha,+}(\chi, \varphi) = e^{im\varphi} \cosh^{m+\alpha+1/2} \chi, \quad \hat{J}_+ \Psi_{E,m}^{\alpha,+} = 0, \quad E = -(m + \alpha + 1/2)^2, \quad (3.29)$$

with $m = -1, -2 \dots$ for $0 \leq \alpha < 1/2$, and $m = -2, -3, \dots$ for $1/2 < \alpha < 1$. Infinite number of bound states with the same energy eigenvalues are obtained then by the action of the ladder operators $(\hat{J}_+)^n$ and $(\hat{J}_-)^n$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$, on the states (3.28) and (3.29). At $\alpha = 0$ the discrete part of the spectrum reveals a symmetry with respect to the change $j_3 \rightarrow -j_3$, but it has no such a symmetry for $\alpha \neq 0$. On the states

$$(\hat{J}_+)^n \Psi_{E,m}^{\alpha,-} \quad \text{and} \quad (\hat{J}_-)^n \Psi_{E,m}^{\alpha,+}, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots, \quad (3.30)$$

the half-bounded infinite-dimensional unitary representations of $sl(2, R)$ are realized. These representations are characterized by the value of the Casimir operator and eigenvalues of the compact generator \hat{J}_3 , which are respectively $-\hat{J}_\mu \hat{J}^\mu = -(m + \alpha)(m + \alpha - 1)$, $j_3 = m + \alpha + n$, and $-\hat{J}_\mu \hat{J}^\mu = -(m + \alpha)(m + \alpha + 1)$, $j_3 = m + \alpha - n$. The quantum spectrum of the system with $\alpha = 0$ is illustrated on Fig. 1.




Figure 1: Spectrum of the AdS₂ system with integer Aharonov-Bohm flux ($\alpha = 0$).

Now consider the case $\alpha = 1/2$. On the subspaces with $m = 0$ and $m = -1$, the dynamics reduces to that of a one-dimensional free quantum particle, while the set of Hamiltonians (3.23) with all the possible integer values $m_{1/2} = m$ corresponds to the family of the RPT Hamiltonians (2.1) satisfying the identity (2.2). The eigenstates $\Psi_{E,m}^{1/2}$ with $m = 1, 2 \dots$ and $E = k^2 > 0$ of the Hamiltonian (3.19) are obtained from the free particle plane wave states $e^{\pm ikx}$ ($m = 0$) by the action on them of the operator $(J_+)^m = e^{im\varphi} \mathcal{D}_{-m} \mathcal{D}_{-m+1} \dots \mathcal{D}_{-1}$, that corresponds exactly to relation (2.10). The scattering states with negative values of m are produced by the action of the Hermitian conjugate operator $(J_-)^m$, $m = 1, 2 \dots$, on $e^{\pm ikx}$. In comparison with the case $\alpha \neq 1/2$, the system has additional states with $E = 0$, on which the half-bounded infinite-dimensional unitary representations of $sl(2, R)$ are realized. These states have a form of the eigenvectors (3.30) constructed over the eigenstates of the form (3.28) and (3.29) with $\alpha = 1/2$, in which $m = 0$ and $m = -1$, respectively. The normalizable negative energy states are constructed in the same way over the eigenstates (3.28) and (3.29) with $m = 1, 2 \dots$ and $m = -2, -3, \dots$. In this case all the spectrum is symmetric with respect to the reflection $j_3 \rightarrow -j_3$, see Fig. 2.

In terms of the ladder operators, second involutive automorphism from (3.10) takes the form

$$S : (\hat{J}_\pm, \hat{J}_3) \rightarrow (-\hat{J}_\mp, -\hat{J}_3). \quad (3.31)$$

Under this transformation quantum symmetry algebra (3.16) and Hamiltonian (3.19) are invariant. The described properties of the states of the quantum system mean that this




Figure 2: Spectrum of the AdS₂ system with half-integer Aharonov-Bohm flux ($\alpha = 1/2$).

discrete symmetry is spontaneously broken except the cases when the Aharonov-Bohm flux takes integer and half-integer values. In the case $\alpha = 0$ or $\alpha = 1/2$, this discrete symmetry transforms mutually the half-bounded infinite-dimensional representations of the $so(2, 1)$ realized on the states of the discrete spectrum (including the states $E = 0$ when $\alpha = 1/2$).

4 Reduction and tri-supersymmetry

Let us identify now the exact analogs of the Crum-Darboux intertwining relations, and trace out how the tri-supersymmetric structure appears under the appropriate reduction of the AdS₂ system in the presence of the Aharonov-Bohm effect with the half-integer flux ($\alpha = 1/2$). In conclusion of this section we shall comment on the case of the integer flux.

Having in mind factorization (3.20), we introduce notations $|m\rangle = e^{im\varphi}$ and $\langle\psi_1|\psi_2\rangle = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \psi_1^* \psi_2 d\varphi$, so that $\langle m|m'\rangle = \delta_{mm'}$. Taking into account explicit form of the ladder operators (3.24), we get the only nontrivial matrix elements of \hat{J}_\pm and \hat{H} ,

$$\langle m-1|\hat{J}_-|m\rangle = -\mathcal{D}_m, \quad \langle m|\hat{J}_+|m-1\rangle = \mathcal{D}_{-m}, \quad (4.1)$$

$$\langle m|\hat{H}|m\rangle = -\mathcal{D}_{-m}\mathcal{D}_m - m^2, \quad (4.2)$$

that correspond to (2.3) and (2.4). Then, with taking into account (4.1) and (4.2), the Crum-Darboux intertwining relation (2.7) is just the matrix element of the relation $[(\hat{J}_-)^{l+1}, \hat{H}] = 0$, $l = 0, 1, \dots$,

$$\langle m-l-1|\hat{J}_-^{l+1}\hat{H}|m\rangle = \langle m-l-1|\hat{H}\hat{J}_-^{l+1}|m\rangle. \quad (4.3)$$

The intertwining relation (2.8) is given by Hermitian conjugation, or, alternatively, is produced by the same operator relation taken between the states $\langle -m-1|$ and $|-m+l\rangle$ with subsequent use of the identity (2.2). Relation (4.3) taken for $l = 2m$ (or, its Hermitian conjugate) together with identity (2.2) produce Eq. (2.14) corresponding to the nontrivial odd order integral of the RPT system H_m .

Hence, we have identified the origin of the intertwining relations associated with the RPT Hamiltonian (2.1). Since the commutativity of the operators $\mathcal{X}_{m,l}$ and $\mathcal{Y}_{m,l}$ with Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}_{m,l}$ is reduced to the intertwining relations, one can find what matrix elements of the operators \hat{J}_\pm^k (with appropriately chosen integer $k > 0$) correspond to the operators $X_{m,l}^\pm$, $Y_{m,l}^\pm$ and $Z_{m,l}^\pm$ from which the integrals $\mathcal{X}_{m,l}$, $\mathcal{Y}_{m,l}$ and $\mathcal{Z}_{m,l}$ are composed. In correspondence

with discrete symmetry (3.31) we have

$$(-i)^{m-l} \langle l | \hat{J}_-^{m-l} | m \rangle = i^{m-l} \langle -l - 1 | \hat{J}_+^{m-l} | -m - 1 \rangle = X_{m,l}^-, \quad (4.4)$$

$$(-i)^{m+l+1} \langle -l - 1 | \hat{J}_-^{m+l+1} | m \rangle = i^{m+l+1} \langle l | \hat{J}_+^{m+l+1} | -m - 1 \rangle = Y_{m,l}^-, \quad (4.5)$$

$$(-i)^{2m+1} \langle -m - 1 | \hat{J}_-^{2m+1} | m \rangle = i^{2m+1} \langle m | \hat{J}_+^{2m+1} | -m - 1 \rangle = Z_{m,l}^-, \quad (4.6)$$

$$(-i)^{2l+1} \langle -l - 1 | \hat{J}_+^{m-l} \hat{J}_-^{m+l+1} | l \rangle = i^{2l+1} \langle l | \hat{J}_+^{m+l+1} \hat{J}_-^{m-l} | -l - 1 \rangle = Z_{m,l}^+. \quad (4.7)$$

Operators $X_{m,l}^+$ and $Y_{m,l}^+$ are obtained by Hermitian conjugation of (4.4) and (4.5). These relations are illustrated by Figures 3–7 for $m = 3$, $l = 1$.




Figure 3: Action of the operators $X_{3,1}^{\pm}$ in correspondence with (4.4).




Figure 4: Action of the operators $Y_{3,1}^{\pm}$ in correspondence with (4.5).

In order to reproduce with the help of (4.4)–(4.7) relations corresponding to (2.25), we can use identities

$$\hat{J}_+^n \hat{J}_-^n = \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} \left(\hat{H} + \left(\hat{J}_3 - k - \frac{1}{2} \right)^2 \right) \equiv P_n(\hat{H}, \hat{J}_3), \quad \hat{J}_-^n \hat{J}_+^n = P_n(\hat{H}, -\hat{J}_3), \quad (4.8)$$

that follow from the $so(2,1)$ algebra and Eq. (3.27). For instance, putting in the first identity $n = 2m + 1$, and computing a diagonal matrix element between the states $\langle m |$ and $| m \rangle$, we reproduce the lower component case of the third relation from (2.25), i.e. $(Z_{m,l}^-)^2 = P_{2m+1}(H_m)$, where polynomial is given by Eq. (2.16).

Therefore, the reduction of the AdS_2 system with half-integer Aharonov-Bohm flux to an eigensubspace $j_3 = m + \frac{1}{2}$ ($\alpha = 1/2$) reproduces a bosonized nonlinear supersymmetry of the




Figure 5: Action of the operator $Z_{3,1}^-$ in correspondence with (4.6).




Figure 6: Action of the operator $Z_{3,1}^+$ in correspondence with (4.7).

RPT system. On the Hilbert space composed from two eigenspaces $j = m + \frac{1}{2}$ and $j = l + \frac{1}{2}$ with $m \neq l$ we reveal the tri-supersymmetric structure of the extended RPT system (2.17). The key role for the nontrivial nonlinear supersymmetric structure is played here by the involutive automorphism (3.31) of the $so(2, 1)$ algebra, which is realized as a symmetry on the Hilbert space of the two-dimensional quantum system. In the previous section we have seen that this discrete symmetry is not spontaneously broken also in the case of integer values of the Aharonov-Bohm flux. In this latter case, however, the system is not reflectionless, and cannot be related to a one-dimensional quantum free particle system. Nevertheless, the intertwining relations corresponding to the Crum-Darboux transformations can also be considered in this case as well, and they also can be related with ladder operators. Using intertwining relations for the case of half-integer values of the index $m = n + \frac{1}{2}$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, and symmetry (2.2), we find that an operator $\mathcal{D}_{-m}\mathcal{D}_{-m+1}\dots\mathcal{D}_{-1/2}\mathcal{D}_{1/2}\dots\mathcal{D}_{m-1}\mathcal{D}_m$ of even order $2m + 1 = 2(n + 1)$ commutes with a Hamiltonian H_m . Simple calculation shows, however, that this operator is reduced to a certain polynomial of order $n + 1$ in $H_{n+\frac{1}{2}}$. For instance, in correspondence with (2.4), $\mathcal{D}_{-1/2}\mathcal{D}_{1/2} = -H_{1/2} - 1/4$. Hence, there is no tri-supersymmetric structure in Pöschl-Teller system with half-integer value of the parameter m .

5 Conclusion and discussion

We have shown that the hidden bosonized nonlinear supersymmetry of the reflectionless Pöschl-Teller system and tri-supersymmetric structure of the pair of RPT systems have an origin in the Aharonov-Bohm effect for non-relativistic particle on the AdS_2 . Both supersymmetric structures are based on two involutive automorphisms of the $so(2,1)$ algebra. One of these automorphisms, R , corresponds to a reflection of a space-like coordinate of the ambient Minkowski space and is a symmetry for any value of the flux. Another automorphism, S , corresponds to a reflection of one of the time-like coordinates of the ambient space, and is non-broken symmetry of the quantum system only in the case of integer and half-integer values of the Aharonov-Bohm flux. For half-integer values of the flux, the system includes a one-dimensional free particle as a subsystem, and has an additional double degeneration of all the energy levels, that is related to the non-broken discrete symmetry S . It is this additional degeneration that is hidden in the identity relation (2.2), and that is behind the existence of the nontrivial nonlinear supersymmetric structure in the RPT system appearing after appropriate reduction by the compact generator of the AdS_2 isometry group. The generator of the other discrete symmetry R plays the role of the grading operator of the hidden bosonized supersymmetry of the RPT system. In the case of integer values of the flux, the quantum model contains as a subsystem the Pöschl-Teller system with repulsive potential, and zero angular momentum subspace does not have an isospectral partner, compare Figs. 1 and 2. As a result, for $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}$ there is no analog of the nontrivial supersymmetric structure taking place for half-integer flux. Note that the special “magic” of half-integer values of the flux and related degeneracy were discussed in a different context in Ref. [28].

Nonlinear bosonized supersymmetry of the RPT system and tri-supersymmetry of the extended RPT system are related to the nontrivial Lax operator that is the higher order differential operator. This operator is nothing else but the first order integral $\frac{d}{dx}$ of the free particle system transferred by means of the Crum-Darboux transformations to the case of the RPT system. The square of this operator gives a spectral polynomial of the RPT system, that has double roots and describes a degenerate hyperelliptic curve [14]. This degeneration can be understood from the viewpoint of infinite-period limit procedure, in which the RPT system appears from the finite-gap periodic Lamé, or in a generic case associated Lamé system. The spectral polynomial of the associated Lamé system is non-degenerate, and as it was showed in [23], such a finite-gap periodic system is also characterized by the hidden bosonized nonlinear supersymmetry, while the pair of such systems is described by the tri-supersymmetric structure. In the case of finite-gap periodic systems, Crum-Darboux transformations relate the systems with the same number of gaps. So, there is no Crum-Darboux transformation which could relate an n -gap ($n \in \mathbb{N}$) periodic system with the quantum free particle, which corresponds to the simplest case of a zero-gap periodic system. Then there appears the question: is any analog of the Aharonov-Bohm effect explanation for a hidden bosonized supersymmetry and related tri-supersymmetric structure in finite-gap periodic systems? This question will be considered in a separate paper.

The work has been partially supported by CONICYT, DICYT (USACH) and by FONDECYT under grants 1050001 and 3085013.

References

- [1] G. Pöschl and E. Teller, *Z. Physik* **83** (1933) 143.
- [2] H. B. Thacker, C. Quigg and J. L. Rosner, *Phys. Rev. D* **18** (1978) 274.
- [3] Y. Alhassid, F. Gursey and F. Iachello, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **50** (1983) 873.
- [4] W. Kwong and J. L. Rosner, *Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl.* **86** (1986) 366.
- [5] A. O. Barut, A. Inomata and R. Wilson, *J. Phys. A* **20** (1987) 4083.
- [6] A. K. Grant and J. L. Rosner, *J. Math. Phys.* **35** (1994) 2142 [arXiv:hep-th/9304139].
- [7] J. Goldstone and R. Jackiw, *Phys. Rev. D* **11** (1975) 1486; R. Jackiw, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **49** (1977) 681.
- [8] R. F. Dashen, B. Hasslacher and A. Neveu, *Phys. Rev. D* **12** (1975) 2443.
- [9] J. Feinberg, *Phys. Rev. D* **51** (1995) 4503 [arXiv:hep-th/9408120]; *Annals Phys. (NY)* **309** (2004) 166 [arXiv:hep-th/0305240].
- [10] G. Basar and G. V. Dunne, *Phys. Rev. D* **78**, 065022 (2008) [arXiv:0806.2659 [hep-th]].
- [11] A. Sen, *JHEP* **9808**, 010 (1998) [arXiv:hep-th/9805019]; *JHEP* **9808**, 012 (1998) [arXiv:hep-th/9805170].
- [12] B. Zwiebach, *JHEP* **0009**, 028 (2000) [arXiv:hep-th/0008227]; J. A. Minahan and B. Zwiebach, *JHEP* **0009**, 029 (2000) [arXiv:hep-th/0008231].
- [13] V. B. Matveev and M. A. Salle, *Darboux Transformations and Solitons* (Springer, Berlin, 1991).
- [14] S. P. Novikov *et al.*, *Theory of Solitons* (Plenum, New York, 1984); F. Gesztesy and H. Holden, *Soliton Equations and Their Algebro-Geometric Solutions* (Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2003).
- [15] F. Cooper, A. Khare and U. Sukhatme, *Phys. Rept.* **251** (1995) 267 [arXiv:hep-th/9405029],
- [16] G. Levai, *J. Phys. A* **22** (1989) 689.
- [17] J. O. Organista, M. Nowakowski and H. C. Rosu, *J. Math. Phys.* **47** (2006) 122104 [arXiv:math-ph/0603056].
- [18] A. A. Andrianov, M. V. Ioffe and V. P. Spiridonov, *Phys. Lett. A* **174** (1993) 273 [arXiv:hep-th/9303005].
- [19] A. A. Andrianov and A. V. Sokolov, *Nucl. Phys. B* **660** (2003) 25 [arXiv:hep-th/0301062].
- [20] F. Correa and M. S. Plyushchay, *Annals Phys.* **322** (2007) 2493 [arXiv:hep-th/0605104].

- [21] F. Correa and M. S. Plyushchay, J. Phys. A **40** (2007) 14403 [arXiv:0706.1114 [hep-th]].
- [22] M. S. Plyushchay, Annals Phys. **245** (1996) 339 [arXiv:hep-th/9601116]; Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **15** (2000) 3679 [arXiv:hep-th/9903130].
- [23] F. Correa, V. Jakubský, L. M. Nieto and M. S. Plyushchay, Phys. Rev. Lett. **101**, 030403 (2008) [arXiv:0801.1671 [hep-th]].
- [24] F. Correa, V. Jakubský and M. S. Plyushchay, arXiv:0806.1614 [hep-th].
- [25] Y. Aharonov and D. Bohm, Phys. Rev. **115** (1959) 485.
- [26] S. Fluegge, Practical Quantum Mechanics, (Springer, 1994), p. 94.
- [27] V. Bargmann, Ann. Math. **48** (1947) 568.
- [28] Y. Aharonov *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **73** (1994) 918 [arXiv:hep-th/9312131].