SUB-RIEMANNIAN CALCULUS AND MONOTONICITY OF THE PERIMETER FOR GRAPHICAL STRIPS

D. DANIELLI, N. GAROFALO, AND D. M. NHIEU

1. Introduction

In recent years the study of surfaces of constant horizontal mean curvature H (to be defined below) in sub-Riemannian spaces has seen an explosion of interest. Similarly to the classical situation, this interest has provided a strong stimulus for the development of a corresponding geometric measure theory. For a partial account of such surge of activity the reader should consult [\[Pa1\]](#page-18-0), [\[Pa2\]](#page-18-1), [\[CDG\]](#page-17-0), [\[KR\]](#page-18-2), [\[E1\]](#page-18-3), [\[E2\]](#page-18-4), [\[E3\]](#page-18-5), [\[Gro\]](#page-18-6), [\[GN\]](#page-18-7), [\[Be\]](#page-17-1), [\[DS\]](#page-18-8), [\[DGN1\]](#page-17-2), [\[AK1\]](#page-17-3), [\[AK2\]](#page-17-4), [\[CS1\]](#page-17-5), [\[A\]](#page-17-6), [\[FSS1\]](#page-18-9), [\[Ma1\]](#page-18-10), [\[FSS2\]](#page-18-11), [\[Ma2\]](#page-18-12), [\[CMS\]](#page-17-7), [\[FSS3\]](#page-18-13), [\[BRS\]](#page-17-8), [\[DGN4\]](#page-17-9), [\[DGN5\]](#page-18-14), [\[DGN5\]](#page-18-14), [\[LR\]](#page-18-15), [\[LM\]](#page-18-16), [\[FSS4\]](#page-18-17), [\[Ma3\]](#page-18-18), [\[CS2\]](#page-17-10) [\[P1\]](#page-18-19), [\[P2\]](#page-18-20), [\[GP\]](#page-18-21), [\[CG\]](#page-17-11), [\[CHMY\]](#page-17-12), [\[CH\]](#page-17-13), [\[HP1\]](#page-18-22), [\[HP2\]](#page-18-23), [\[RR\]](#page-18-24), [\[BC\]](#page-17-14), [\[Se1\]](#page-18-25), [\[Se2\]](#page-18-26), [\[Mo\]](#page-18-27).

In this context, the Heisenberg group \mathbb{H}^n occupies a central position, especially in connection with the sub-Riemannian Bernstein and isoperimetric problems. We recall that \mathbb{H}^n is the stratified nilpotent Lie group whose (real) underlying manifold is \mathbb{R}^{2n+1} with the non-Abelian group law inherited by the complex product in \mathbb{C}^{n+1}

$$
(x, y, t) \cdot (x', y', t') = \left(x + x', y + y', t + t' + \frac{1}{2}(-)\right).
$$

If we set $p = (x, y, t), p' = (x', y', t') \in \mathbb{R}^{2n+1}$, define the left-translation map by $L_p(p') = p \circ p'$, and we indicate with L_p^* its differential, then the Lie algebra of all left-invariant vector fields in \mathbb{H}^n is spanned by the $2n+1$ vector fields

$$
X_i = L_p^*(\partial_{x_i}) = \partial_{x_i} - \frac{y_i}{2}\partial_t, \quad X_{n+i} = L_p^*(\partial_{y_i}) = \partial_{y_i} + \frac{x_i}{2}\partial_t, \quad T = L_p^*(\partial_t) = \partial_t,
$$

where $i = 1, ..., n$. We note the important commutation relations $[X_i, X_{n+j}] = T, i, j = 1, ..., n$. They guarantee that the vector fields $X_1, ..., X_{2n}$ suffice to generate the whole Lie algebra, and therefore the Heisenberg group is a stratified nilpotent Lie group of step two, see [\[Fo\]](#page-18-28), [\[S\]](#page-19-0), [\[BLU\]](#page-17-15). Such group is in fact the basic model of such sub-Riemannian manifolds, and it plays in this context much the same role played by \mathbb{R}^n in Riemannian geometry. The first Heisenberg group \mathbb{H}^1 is obtained when $n = 1$. If we indicate with $p = (x, y, t) \in \mathbb{R}^3$ a generic point of its underlying manifold, then the generators of its (real) Lie algebra are the two vector fields

$$
X_1 = L_p^*(\partial_x) = \partial_x - \frac{y}{2}\partial_t, \quad X_2 = L_p^*(\partial_y) = \partial_y + \frac{x}{2}\partial_t,
$$

and we clearly have $[X_1, X_2] = T = L_p^*(\partial_t) = \partial_t$.

Second author supported in part by NSF Grant DMS-0701001.

Date: August 29, 2021.

Key words and phrases. Minimal surfaces, H-mean curvature, integration by parts, first and second variation, monotonicity of the *H*-perimeter.

First author supported in part by NSF grant CAREER DMS-0239771.

To introduce the results in this paper we recall that one of the most fundamental properties of classical minimal surfaces $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ is the following well-known monotonicity theorem, see [\[MS\]](#page-18-29), and also [\[Si\]](#page-18-30), [\[MM\]](#page-18-31), [\[CM\]](#page-17-16)

Theorem 1.1. Let $S \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ be a C^2 hypersurface, with H being its mean curvature, then for every fixed $p \in \mathcal{S}$ the function

$$
(1.1) \t\t r \to \frac{H_{m-1}(\mathcal{S} \cap B_e(p,r))}{r^{m-1}} + \int_0^r \frac{m-1}{t^{m-1}} \int_{\mathcal{S} \cap B_e(p,t)} |H| \, dH_{m-1} \, dt \,,
$$

is non-decreasing. In particular, if S is minimal, i.e., if $H \equiv 0$, then

$$
(1.2) \t\t\t r \rightarrow \frac{H_{m-1}(\mathcal{S} \cap B_e(p,r))}{r^{m-1}}
$$

is non-decreasing.

In [\(1.1\)](#page-1-0), [\(1.2\)](#page-1-1) we have denoted by H_{m-1} the $(m-1)$ -dimensional Hausdorff measure in \mathbb{R}^m . Theorem [1.1](#page-1-2) has many deep implications. It says, in particular, that minimal hypersurfaces have maximum volume growth at infinity, i.e., there exists $c_m > 0$ such that $H_{m-1}(S \cap B(p,r)) \ge$ $c_m r^{m-1}$ as $r \to \infty$.

In this paper we are interested in related growth properties of the sub-Riemannian volume on a H-minimal surface in \mathbb{H}^1 . By H-minimal we mean a C^2 oriented hypersurface $S \subset \mathbb{H}^n$ such that its horizontal mean curvature $\mathcal H$ vanishes identically on $\mathcal S$. The sub-Riemannian volume instead is the so-called horizontal perimeter, see Section [2](#page-3-0) for its definition and main properties. We should say right upfront that, despite the efforts of several workers, the monotonic character of the sub-Riemannian volume continues to represent a fundamental open question.

The main obstacle so far has been represented by finding an appropriate substitute of some basic properties such as, for instance, the following elementary, yet fundamental fact from Riemannian geometry. Consider in \mathbb{R}^m the radial vector field $\zeta(x) = \sum_{i=1}^m x_i \partial_{x_i}$, then on any C^2 hypersurface $S \subset \mathbb{R}^m$, one has

(1.3) divSζ ≡ m − 1,

where we have indicated with div_S the Riemannian divergence on S. The elementary identity [\(1.3\)](#page-1-3) has many deep implications, and one could safely claim that behind most fundamental results from the classical theory of minimal surfaces there is [\(1.3\)](#page-1-3). For instance, Theorem [1.1](#page-1-2) and the Sobolev inequalities on minimal surfaces [\[MS\]](#page-18-29) are consequences (highly non-trivial, of course) of [\(1.3\)](#page-1-3). The number $m-1$ in the right-hand side of (1.3) is dimensionally correct since the standard volume form σ on a hypersurface in \mathbb{R}^m scales according to the rule

$$
\sigma(\delta_{\lambda}(E)) = \lambda^{m-1} \sigma(E), \quad E \subset \mathcal{S},
$$

where $\delta_{\lambda}(x) = \lambda x$ represent the isotropic dilations in \mathbb{R}^{m} .

In sub-Riemannian geometry, however, the correct dimension is dictated by the non-isotropic dilations of the ambient non-Abelian group, and this seemingly natural fact becomes a source of great complications. For instance, given a C^1 hypersurface $S \subset \mathbb{H}^n$, and indicating with σ_H the horizontal perimeter on $\mathcal S$ (for its definition we refer the reader to Section [2\)](#page-3-0), then one has

(1.4)
$$
\sigma_H(\delta_\lambda(E)) = \lambda^{Q-1} \sigma_H(E), \quad E \subset \mathcal{S},
$$

where $\delta_{\lambda}(x, y, t) = (\lambda x, \lambda y, \lambda^2 t)$ indicates the non-isotropic dilations in \mathbb{H}^n associated with the grading of its Lie algebra. Here, the number $Q = 2n + 2$ represents the homogeneous dimension of \mathbb{H}^n associated with the dilations $\{\delta_\lambda\}_{\lambda>0}$. Thus for instance, when $n=1$, we have $Q=4$.

Guided by the analogy with [\(1.3\)](#page-1-3) one would like to find a horizontal vector field ζ in \mathbb{H}^n whose sub-Riemannian divergence on $\mathcal S$ (to be precisely defined below) satisfy the equation

(1.5) divH,Sζ = Q − 1.

Such attempt would not possibly work however, for several reasons which are all connected to one another. First of all, the integration by parts formula in which one would like to use such a ζ contains a corrective term which is produced by the above mentioned non trivial commutation relations which connect the generators of the Lie algebra of \mathbb{H}^n . Secondly, one should not forget that not only the radial vector field ζ satisfies [\(1.3\)](#page-1-3), but it also possess the equally important property that

(1.6)
$$
\sup_{x \in \mathcal{S} \cap B(0,r)} | < \zeta(x), \nabla^{\mathcal{S}} |x| > | \leq r,
$$

where $\nabla^{\mathcal{S}}$ indicates the Riemannian gradient on \mathcal{S} . Because of these obstructions, there has been no progress so far on the question of the monotonic character of sub-Riemannian minimal surfaces.

One of the main contributions of the present paper is a monotonicity formula for an interesting class of H-minimal surfaces in \mathbb{H}^1 , the so-called *graphical strips*. Such surfaces were introduced in the work [\[DGNP\]](#page-18-32), where they played a crucial role in the solution of the sub-Riemannian Bernstein problem in \mathbb{H}^1 . Our main result hinges on the discovery that, despite the original evidence against it, for such class of surfaces the generator of the non-isotropic group dilations in \mathbb{H}^1 provides a valid replacement of the radial vector field in \mathbb{R}^m . This sentence must, however, be suitably interpreted, in the sense that things do not work so simply. What we mean by this is that the horizontal integration by parts formulas from [\[DGN4\]](#page-17-9) (see also [\[DGN2\]](#page-17-17)) which constitute the sub-Riemannian counterpart of the classical integration by parts formulas on hypersurfaces (for these, see e.g. [\[MM\]](#page-18-31), [\[Si\]](#page-18-30), [\[CM\]](#page-17-16)), do not suffice. They need to be appropriately intertwined with a twisted vertical integration by parts formula also discovered in [\[DGN4\]](#page-17-9). Both such formulas have played a pervasive role in the establishment of a general second variation formula for the horizontal perimeter. To state our main result we recall the relevant definition.

Definition 1.2. We say that a C^1 surface $S \subset \mathbb{H}^1$ is a graphical strip if there exist an interval $I \subset \mathbb{R}$, and $G \in C^1(I)$, with $G' \geq 0$ on I, such that, after possibly a left-translation and a rotation about the t-axis, then either

(1.7)
$$
S = \{(x, y, t) \in \mathbb{H}^1 \mid (y, t) \in \mathbb{R} \times I, x = yG(t)\},
$$

or

(1.8)
$$
S = \{(x, y, t) \in \mathbb{H}^1 \mid (x, t) \in \mathbb{R} \times I, y = -xG(t)\}.
$$

If there exists $J \subset I$ such that $G' > 0$ on J, then we call S a strict graphical strip.

When the interval I can be taken to be the whole real line, then we call S an entire graphical strip (strict, if $G' > 0$ on some $J \subset \mathbb{R}$).

The main result of this paper is the following theorem.

Theorem 1.3. Let $S \subset \mathbb{H}^1$ be a C^2 graphical strip, and denote by σ_H the sub-Riemannian volume form, or horizontal perimeter, on S. For every $p_0 = (0, 0, t_0) \in S$ the function

$$
r \rightarrow \frac{\sigma_H(\mathcal{S} \cap B(p_0, r))}{r^{Q-1}}, \quad r > 0,
$$

is monotone non-decreasing. Moreover, there exists $\omega > 0$ such that

$$
\sigma_H(\mathcal{S} \cap B(p_0, r)) \ge \omega r^{Q-1}, \quad \text{for every } r > 0.
$$

In the statement of Theorem [1.3](#page-3-1) we have denoted by $B(p_0, r) = \{p \in \mathbb{H}^n \mid d(p, p_0) < r\},\$ where $d(p, p_0) = N(p_0^{-1}p)$ represents the gauge distance on \mathbb{H}^n defined via the Koranyi-Folland gauge function $N(p) = (|z|^4 + 16t^2)^{1/4}, p = (z, t) \in \mathbb{H}^n$.

The proof of Theorem [1.3](#page-3-1) is inspired to the ideas set forth in the beautiful paper [\[MS\]](#page-18-29), except that, as we have said, we need some new ideas to bypass the obstacles posed by the sub-Riemannian setting.

A description of the content of the paper is as follows. In Section [2](#page-3-0) we introduce the relevant geometric setup, and we recall the main integration by parts theorems from [\[DGN4\]](#page-17-9) which constitute the backbone of the paper. In Section [3](#page-7-0) we combine such results with a suitable adaptation of the ideas in [\[MS\]](#page-18-29) to establish some general growth results for hypersurfaces in \mathbb{H}^n . A basic new fact is the identity [\(3.12\)](#page-9-0) in Proposition [3.5](#page-9-1) which represents the appropriate sub-Riemannian analogue of [\(1.3\)](#page-1-3). Combining it with the integration by parts we obtain the growth Theorem [3.6,](#page-10-0) which concludes Section [3.](#page-7-0) Finally, Section [4](#page-10-1) is devoted to proving Theorem [1.3.](#page-3-1)

2. Sub-Riemannian calculus on hypersurfaces

In this section we introduce the relevant notation and recall some basic integration by parts formulas involving the tangential horizontal gradient on a hypersurface, and the horizontal mean curvature of the latter, which are special case of some general formulas discovered in [\[DGN4\]](#page-17-9). Such formulas are reminiscent of the classical one, and in fact they encompass the latter. However, an important difference is that the ordinary volume form on the hypersurface S is replaced by the H-perimeter measure $d\sigma_H$. Furthermore, they contain additional terms which are due to the non-trivial commutation relations, which is reflected in the lack of torsion freeness of the horizontal connection on S . Such term prevents the corresponding horizontal Laplace-Beltrami operator from being formally self-adjoint in $L^2(\mathcal{S}, d\sigma_H)$ in general.

We next recall some basic concepts from the sub-Riemannian geometry of an hypersurface $S \subset \mathbb{H}^n$. For a detailed account we refer the reader to [\[DGN4\]](#page-17-9). We consider the Riemannian manifold $M = \mathbb{H}^n$ with the left-invariant metric tensor with respect to which $X_1, ..., X_{2n}$ is an orthonormal basis, the corresponding Levi-Civita connection ∇ on \mathbb{H}^n , and the horizontal Levi-Civita connection ∇^H . Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{H}^n$ be a bounded C^k domain, with $k \geq 2$. We denote by ν the Riemannian outer unit normal to $\partial\Omega$, and define the so-called *angle function* on $\partial\Omega$ as follows

(2.1)
$$
W = |\mathbf{N}^{H}| = \sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^{2n} \langle \mathbf{v}, X_{j} \rangle^{2}}.
$$

The *characteristic set* of Ω , hereafter denoted by $\Sigma = \Sigma_{\partial\Omega}$, is the compact subset of $\partial\Omega$ where the continuous function W vanishes

(2.2)
$$
\Sigma = \{p \in \partial \Omega \mid W(p) = 0\}.
$$

The next definition plays a basic role in sub-Riemannian geometry.

Definition 2.1. We define the outer horizontal normal on $\partial\Omega$ as follows

(2.3)
$$
\mathbf{N}^{H} = \sum_{j=1}^{2n} \langle \mathbf{v}, X_{j} \rangle X_{j} ,
$$

so that $W = |\mathbf{N}^H|$. The horizontal Gauss map \mathbf{v}^H on $\partial\Omega$ is defined by

(2.4)
$$
\nu^H = \frac{N^H}{|N^H|}, \qquad on \ \partial\Omega \setminus \Sigma.
$$

Henceforth, we set $\langle \nu^H, X_i \rangle = \overline{p}_i, \langle \nu^H, X_{n+i} \rangle = \overline{q}_i, i = 1, ..., n$, so that

$$
\overline{p}_1^2 + \dots + \overline{p}_n^2 + \overline{q}_1^2 + \dots + \overline{q}_n^2 = 1.
$$

We note that N^H is the projection of the Riemannian Gauss map on $\partial\Omega$ onto the horizontal subbundle $H\mathbb{H}^n\subset T\mathbb{H}^n$. Such projection vanishes only at characteristic points, and this is why the horizontal Gauss map is not defined on Σ . The following definition is taken from [\[DGN4\]](#page-17-9), but the reader should also see [\[HP2\]](#page-18-23) for a related notion in the more general setting of vertically rigid spaces.

Definition 2.2. The horizontal or H-mean curvature of $\partial\Omega$ at a point $p_0 \in \partial\Omega \setminus \Sigma$ is defined as

$$
\mathcal{H}~=~\sum_{i=1}^{2n-1}<\nabla^H_{{\boldsymbol e}_i} {\boldsymbol e}_i, {\boldsymbol \nu}^H>~,
$$

where $\{e_1, ..., e_{2n-1}\}\$ denotes an orthonormal basis of the horizontal tangent bundle $T_H\partial\Omega \stackrel{def}{=}$ $T\partial\Omega \cap H\mathbb{H}^n$ on $\partial\Omega$. If instead $p_0 \in \Sigma$, then we define $\mathcal{H}(p_0) = \lim_{p \to p_0} \mathcal{H}(p)$, provided that the limit exists and is finite.

Given an open set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{H}^n$ denote by

$$
\mathcal{F}(\Omega) = \{ \phi = \sum_{j=1}^{2n} \phi_j X_j \in C_0^1(\Omega, H\mathbb{H}^n) \mid ||\phi||_{\infty} = \sup_{\Omega} (\sum_{j=1}^{2n} \phi_j^2)^{1/2} \le 1 \}.
$$

Given $\phi = \sum_{j=1}^{2n} \phi_j X_j \in C_0^1(\Omega, H\mathbb{H}^n)$, we let $div_H \phi = \sum_{j=1}^{2n} X_j \phi_j$. The *H*-perimeter of a measurable set $E \subset \mathbb{H}^n$ with respect to Ω was defined in [\[CDG\]](#page-17-0) as

$$
P_H(E; \Omega) = \sup_{\phi \in \mathcal{F}(\Omega)} \int_{E \cap \Omega} \operatorname{div}_H \phi \, dg \, .
$$

If E is a bounded open set of class C^1 , then the divergence theorem gives

$$
P_H(E;\Omega)=\sup_{\phi\in\mathcal{F}(\Omega)}\int_{\partial E\cap\Omega}\sum_{j=1}^{2n}<\nu,X_j>\phi_j\,d\sigma=\sup_{\phi\in\mathcal{F}(\Omega)}\int_{\partial E\cap\Omega}<\mathbf{N}^H,\phi>d\sigma=\int_{\partial E\cap\Omega}|\mathbf{N}^H|d\sigma,
$$

where $d\sigma$ is the Riemannian surface measure on ∂E . It is clear from this formula that the measure on ∂E , defined by

$$
\sigma_H(\partial E \cap \Omega) \stackrel{def}{=} P_H(E; \Omega)
$$

on the open sets of ∂E , is absolutely continuous with respect to σ , and its density is represented by the angle function W of ∂E . We formalize this observation in the following definition.

Definition 2.3. Given a bounded domain $E \subset \mathbb{H}^n$ of class C^1 , with angle function W as in [\(2.2\)](#page-4-0), we will denote by

(2.5)
$$
d\sigma_H = |N^H| d\sigma = W d\sigma,
$$

the H-perimeter measure supported on ∂E .

In what follows we will indicate with

$$
HT\mathcal{S} \stackrel{def}{=} T\mathcal{S} \cap H\mathbb{H}^n
$$

the so-called horizontal tangent bundle of S .

Definition 2.4. Let $S \subset \mathbb{H}^n$ be a non-characteristic, C^k hypersurface, $k \geq 2$, then we define the horizontal connection on S as follows. Let ∇^H denote the horizontal Levi-Civita connection in \mathbb{H}^n . For every $X, Y \in C^1(\mathcal{S}; HT\mathcal{S})$ we define

$$
\nabla_X^{H,S} Y = \nabla_{\overline{X}}^{\overline{H}} \overline{Y} - \langle \nabla_{\overline{X}}^{\overline{H}} \overline{Y}, \nu^H > \nu^H,
$$

where $\overline{X}, \overline{Y}$ are any two horizontal vector fields on \mathbb{H}^n such that $\overline{X} = X$, $\overline{Y} = Y$ on \mathcal{S} .

One can check that Definition [2.4](#page-5-0) is well-posed, i.e., it is independent of the extensions $\overline{X}, \overline{Y}$ of the vector fields X, Y .

Proposition 2.5. For every $X, Y \in C^1(\mathcal{S}; HT\mathcal{S})$ one has

$$
\nabla_X^{H,S} Y - \nabla_Y^{H,S} X = [X,Y]^H - \langle [X,Y]^H, \nu^H \rangle \nu^H.
$$

In the latter identity the notation $[X, Y]^H$ indicates the projection of the vector field $[X, Y]$ onto the horizontal bundle $H\mathbb{H}^n$. It is clear from this proposition that the horizontal connection $\nabla^{H,S}$ on S is not necessarily torsion free. This depends on the fact that it is not true in general that, if $X, Y \in C^1(S; HTS)$, then $[X, Y]^H \in C^1(\mathcal{S}; HTS)$. In the special case of the first Heisenberg group \mathbb{H}^1 this fact is true, and we have the following result, see Proposition 7.3 in [\[DGN4\]](#page-17-9).

Proposition 2.6. Given a C^k non-characteristic surface $S \subset \mathbb{H}^1$, $k \geq 2$, one has $[X, Y]^H \in$ HTS for every $X, Y \in C^1(\mathcal{S}; HT\mathcal{S})$, and therefore the horizontal connection on S is torsion free.

Definition 2.7. Let S be as in Definition [2.4.](#page-5-0) Consider a function $u \in C^1(\mathcal{S})$. We define the tangential horizontal gradient of u as follows

$$
\nabla^{H,S} u \stackrel{def}{=} \nabla^H \overline{u} - \langle \nabla^H \overline{u}, \nu^H \rangle \nu^H,
$$

where $\overline{u} \in C^1(G)$ is such that $\overline{u} = u$ on S.

We are now ready to state the integration by parts formulas from [\[DGN4\]](#page-17-9) which constitute the backbone of this paper.

Theorem 2.8 (Horizontal integration by parts formula). Consider a C^2 oriented hypersurface $S \subset \mathbb{H}^n$. If $u \in C_0^1(S \setminus \Sigma_S)$, then we have

(2.6)
$$
\int_{\mathcal{S}} \nabla_i^{H,S} u \, d\sigma_H = \int_{\mathcal{S}} u \left\{ \mathcal{H} \, \nu_i^H - c_i^{H,S} \right\} d\sigma_H, \qquad i = 1,...,2n ,
$$

where the C^1 vector field $c^{H,S} = \sum_{i=1}^m c_i^{H,S} X_i$ is given by

$$
(2.7) \t\t \mathbf{c}^{H,S} = \overline{\omega} \ (\mathbf{v}^H)^\perp = \overline{\omega} \ (\overline{q}_1 X_1 + \ldots + \overline{q}_n X_n - \overline{p}_1 X_{n+1} - \ldots - \overline{p}_n X_{2n}).
$$

As a consequence, $c^{H,S}$ is perpendicular to the horizontal Gauss map ν^H , i.e., one has

$$
(2.8) \t\t $\mathbf{c}^{H,S}, \mathbf{\nu}^H > 0,$
$$

and therefore $\mathbf{c}^{H, \mathcal{S}} \in C^1(\mathcal{S} \setminus \Sigma_{\mathcal{S}}, HT\mathcal{S}).$

Remark 2.9. We note explicitly that in view of (2.7) we can re-write (2.6) as follows

(2.9)
$$
\int_{S} \nabla^{H,S} u \, d\sigma_{H} = \int_{S} u \left\{ \mathcal{H} \, \nu^{H} - \overline{\omega} \, (\nu^{H})^{\perp} \right\} d\sigma_{H}.
$$

We have the following notable consequences of Theorem [2.8.](#page-6-2)

Theorem 2.10. Let $S \subset \mathbb{H}^n$ be a C^2 oriented hypersurface, with characteristic set Σ_S . If $\zeta \in C_0^1(\mathcal{S} \setminus \Sigma_{\mathcal{S}}, HT\mathcal{S}),$ then we have

(2.10)
$$
\int_{\mathcal{S}} \left\{ div_{H,S} \zeta + \langle \mathbf{c}^{H,S}, \zeta \rangle \right\} d\sigma_H = \int_{\mathcal{S}} \mathcal{H} \langle \zeta, \nu^H \rangle d\sigma_H,
$$

where we have let

$$
div_{H,S}\zeta = \sum_{i=1}^{2n} \nabla_i^{H,S}\zeta_i.
$$

We next recall a different integration by parts formula which involves differentiation along a special combination of the vector fields ν^H and T.

Theorem 2.11 (Vertical integration by parts formula). Let $S \subset \mathbb{H}^n$ be a C^2 oriented hypersurface. For every $f \in C^1(\mathcal{S}), g \in C_0^1(\mathcal{S} \setminus \Sigma_{\mathcal{S}})$, one has

(2.11)
$$
\int_{S} f(T - \overline{\omega} Y) g \ d\sigma_H = - \int_{S} g(T - \overline{\omega} Y) f \ d\sigma_H + \int_{S} f g \overline{\omega} \mathcal{H} \ d\sigma_H,
$$

where we have let $Yf = \langle \nabla f, \boldsymbol{\nu}^H \rangle$.

3. Growth formulas for the H-perimeter in hypersurfaces

In this section we present the proof of Theorem [1.3.](#page-3-1) We begin by introducing some notation. To motivate them we mention that when we first approached the question of monotonicity of the H-perimeter we asked ourselves whether a result corresponding to (1.2) hold for an H-minimal hypersurface. More specifically, in view of the natural r^{Q-1} rescaling of the H-perimeter, it is natural to ask whether for such a hypersurface the function

$$
r \rightarrow \frac{\sigma_H(\mathcal{S} \cap B(g,r))}{r^{Q-1}}
$$

is monotone non-decreasing.

We next turn our attention to the case of surfaces in \mathbb{H}^1 which are in the form of the graphical strips introduced in [\[DGNP\]](#page-18-32). In what follows, we consider functions $\rho \in C^1(G)$ and $\lambda \in C^1(\mathbb{R})$, to be determined later. We have the following basic lemma which constitutes a sub-Riemannian counterpart of a result due to Michael and Simon [\[MS\]](#page-18-29).

Lemma 3.1. Consider a horizontal vector field $\zeta = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \zeta_i X_i \in C^1(G, HG)$. Let $S \subset \mathbb{H}^n$ be a C^2 hypersurface with empty characteristic locus. Suppose that the level sets of ρ are compact, and let λ be non-decreasing, with $\lambda(t) \equiv 0$ for $t \leq 0$. Given $\psi \in C^1(\mathcal{S})$, for every $r > 0$ we have

$$
(3.1) \qquad \int_{\mathcal{S}} \left\{ \operatorname{div}_{H,S} \zeta + \langle e^{H,S}, \zeta \rangle \right\} \lambda(r - \rho) \psi \, d\sigma_H \ - \ \int_{\mathcal{S}} \lambda'(r - \rho) \psi \langle \zeta, \nabla^{H,S} \rho \rangle \, d\sigma_H
$$

$$
\leq \int_{\mathcal{S}} \lambda(r - \rho) \, |\zeta| \, \left\{ |\mathcal{H}| \psi + |\nabla^{H,S} \psi| \right\} \, d\sigma_H .
$$

In particular, choosing $\psi \equiv 1$ we obtain from [\(3.1\)](#page-7-1)

(3.2)
$$
\int_{\mathcal{S}} \left\{ div_{H,S} \zeta + \langle e^{H,S}, \zeta \rangle \right\} \lambda(r - \rho) d\sigma_H - \int_{\mathcal{S}} \lambda'(r - \rho) \langle \zeta, \nabla^{H,S} \rho \rangle d\sigma_H
$$

$$
\leq \int_{\mathcal{S}} \lambda(r - \rho) |\zeta| |\mathcal{H}| d\sigma_H.
$$

Proof. For a fixed $r > 0$ we define

$$
(3.3) \t u = \zeta_i \lambda(r - \rho) \psi,
$$

where $\lambda : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is non-decreasing, and $\lambda \equiv 0$ for $t \leq 0$. We have

(3.4)
$$
\sum_{i=1}^{m} \nabla_i^{H,S} u = (div_{H,S}\zeta) \lambda(r-\rho) \psi + \lambda(r-\rho) < \zeta, \nabla^{H,S}\psi > -\lambda'(r-\rho) \psi < \zeta, \nabla^{H,S}\rho > .
$$

We now integrate [\(3.4\)](#page-7-2) on S with respect to the measure σ_H . Applying [\(2.6\)](#page-6-1) in Theorem [2.8](#page-6-2) we obtain

(3.5)
$$
\int_{S} \text{div}_{H,S} \zeta \lambda(r - \rho) \psi \, d\sigma_{H} + \int_{S} \lambda(r - \rho) < \zeta, \nabla^{H,S} \psi > d\sigma_{H}
$$

$$
- \int_{S} \lambda'(r - \rho) \psi < \zeta, \nabla^{H,S} \rho > d\sigma_{H} + \int_{S} \lambda(r - \rho) \psi < \mathbf{c}^{H,S}, \zeta > d\sigma_{H}
$$

$$
= \int_{S} \mathcal{H} \lambda(r - \rho) \psi < \zeta, \nu^{H} > d\sigma_{H} .
$$

From the identity [\(3.5\)](#page-7-3) we easily obtain [\(3.1\)](#page-7-1).

 \Box

We next use the formula [\(2.11\)](#page-6-3) (with the choice $q(p) = \lambda(r - \rho(p))$) in Theorem [2.11](#page-6-4) to obtain the following result.

Lemma 3.2. Let $S \subset \mathbb{H}^n$ be a C^2 hypersurface with empty characteristic locus. Suppose that the level sets of ρ are compact, and let λ be non-decreasing, with $\lambda(t) \equiv 0$ for $t \leq 0$. For every $r > 0$ we have for any $f \in C^1(\mathcal{S})$

$$
(3.6)\quad \int_{\mathcal{S}} \lambda(r-\rho) \ (T-\overline{\omega}Y)f \ d\sigma_H = \int_{\mathcal{S}} f \ \lambda'(r-\rho)(T-\overline{\omega}Y)\rho \ d\sigma_H + \int_{\mathcal{S}} \lambda(r-\rho)f \ \overline{\omega} \ \mathcal{H} \ d\sigma_H \ .
$$

At this point we combine [\(3.2\)](#page-7-4) in Lemma [3.1](#page-7-5) with [\(3.6\)](#page-8-0) in Lemma [3.2,](#page-8-1) obtaining the following basic result.

Theorem 3.3. Let $S \subset \mathbb{H}^n$ be a C^2 hypersurface with empty characteristic locus. Suppose that the level sets of ρ are compact, and let λ be non-decreasing, with $\lambda(t) \equiv 0$ for $t \leq 0$. For every $r > 0$ we have for any $f \in C^1(\mathcal{S})$

(3.7)
$$
\int_{S} \left\{ div_{H,S} \zeta + \langle e^{H,S}, \zeta \rangle + (T - \overline{\omega}Y)f \right\} \lambda(r - \rho) d\sigma_{H}
$$

$$
- \int_{S} \lambda'(r - \rho) \left\{ \langle \zeta, \nabla^{H,S} \rho \rangle + f(T - \overline{\omega}Y)\rho \right\} d\sigma_{H}
$$

$$
\leq \int_{S} \lambda(r - \rho) \left\{ |\zeta| + |f| \overline{\omega} \right\} |\mathcal{H}| d\sigma_{H} .
$$

In particular, if S is H-minimal, we obtain from (3.7)

(3.8)
$$
\int_{\mathcal{S}} \left\{ div_{H,S} \zeta + \langle \mathbf{c}^{H,S}, \zeta \rangle + (T - \overline{\omega} Y) f \right\} \lambda(r - \rho) d\sigma_{H}
$$

$$
- \int_{\mathcal{S}} \lambda'(r - \rho) \left\{ \langle \zeta, \nabla^{H,S} \rho \rangle + f(T - \overline{\omega} Y) \rho \right\} d\sigma_{H}
$$

$$
\leq 0.
$$

We now turn to the fundamental question of the choice of the horizontal vector field ζ and of the function f in Theorem [3.3.](#page-8-3) With this objective in mind we introduce the following definition.

Definition 3.4. Let $p_0 \in \mathbb{H}^n$, then the generator of the non-isotropic dilations $\{\delta_\lambda\}_{\lambda>0}$ centered at p_0 is defined by

$$
Z_{p_0}f(p) = \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - x_{0,i})X_i + (y_i - y_{0,i})X_{n+i} + [2(t - t_0) + (\langle x, y_0 \rangle - \langle x_0, y \rangle)]T.
$$

Definition [3.4](#page-8-4) is motivated by the following considerations. Let $F \in C^1(\mathbb{H}^n)$, then

$$
Z_{p_0}F(p) \stackrel{def}{=} \frac{d}{d\lambda}F(p_0\delta_\lambda(p_0^{-1}p))\bigg|_{\lambda=1}.
$$

Now

$$
p_0 \delta_\lambda(p_0^{-1} p) = \left(x_0 + \lambda(x - x_0), y + \lambda(y - y_0),t_0 + \lambda^2 \left(t - t_0 + \frac{1}{2}(-)\right) + \frac{\lambda}{2}(-)\right)
$$

A simple calculation now gives

$$
(3.9) \frac{d}{d\lambda} F(p_0 \delta_\lambda(p_0^{-1} p))\Big|_{\lambda=1} =
$$

$$
\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - x_{0,i}) \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_i}(p) + (y_i - y_{0,i}) \frac{\partial F}{\partial y_i}(p) + [2(t - t_0) + \frac{1}{2}(-)]TF(p)
$$

If in [\(3.9\)](#page-9-2) we now use the fact that

$$
\frac{\partial F}{\partial x_i}(p) = X_i F(p) + \frac{y_i}{2} T F(p), \quad \frac{\partial F}{\partial y_i}(p) = X_{n+i} F(p) - \frac{x_i}{2} T F(p),
$$

we easily obtain the formula in Definition [3.4.](#page-8-4)

Guided by Definition [3.4,](#page-8-4) we now choose the horizontal vector field ζ and the function f in Theorem [3.3](#page-8-3) as follows

(3.10)
$$
\zeta(p) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} ((x_i - x_{0,i})X_i + (y_i - y_{0,i})X_{n+i}),
$$

(3.11)
$$
f(p) = 2(t - t_0) + \langle x, y_0 \rangle - \langle x_0, y \rangle.
$$

With these choices, we next establish a remarkable identity which should be considered as the sub-Riemannian counterpart of the above recalled [\(1.3\)](#page-1-3). In what follows, similarly to formula [\(2.11\)](#page-6-3) above, we will use the notation $Yf = \langle \nabla f, \nu^H \rangle$.

Proposition 3.5. Fix a point $p_0 = (x_0, y_0, t_0) \in \mathbb{H}^n$ and consider the horizontal vector field $\zeta \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{H}^n, H\mathbb{H}^n)$ given by [\(3.10\)](#page-9-3), and the function $f \in C^1(\mathbb{H}^n)$ in [\(3.11\)](#page-9-4), then on any C^2 noncharacteristic hypersurface $S \subset \mathbb{H}^n$ (or on any hypersurface S, but away from its characteristic set $\Sigma_{\mathcal{S}}$) one has the identity

(3.12)
$$
div_{H,S} \zeta + \langle c^{H,S}, \zeta \rangle + (T - \overline{\omega} Y) f \equiv Q - 1.
$$

Proof. We begin by observing that with $\zeta = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\zeta_i X_i + \zeta_{n+i} X_{n+i})$ one has

$$
\nabla_i^{H,S} \zeta_i = 1 - \overline{p}_i^2 \ , \quad \nabla_{n+i}^{H,S} \zeta_{n+i} = 1 - \overline{q}_i^2 \ , \quad i = 1, ..., n \ .
$$

Therefore,

$$
(3.13) \quad div_{H,S}\zeta \ = \ \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\nabla_i^{H,S} \zeta_i + \nabla_{n+i}^{H,S} \zeta_{n+i} \right) \ = \ 2n - \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\overline{p}_i^2 + \overline{q}_i^2) \ \equiv \ 2n - 1 \ = \ Q - 3 \ .
$$

We now have from [\(2.7\)](#page-6-0)

$$
\mathbf{c}^{H,S} \ = \ \overline{\omega}(\overline{q}_1 X_1 + \ldots + \overline{q}_n X_n - \overline{p}_1 X_{n+1} - \ldots - \overline{p}_n X_{2n}),
$$

and therefore

$$
\langle e^{H,S}, \zeta \rangle = \overline{\omega} \langle z, (\nu^H)^{\perp} \rangle - \overline{\omega} \langle z_0, (\nu^H)^{\perp} \rangle,
$$

where, abusing the notation, we have set $z = \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i X_i + y_i X_{n+i}$, $z_0 = \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{0,i} X_i + y_{0,i} X_{n+i}$. On the other hand, since $Yt = \frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}(x_1\overline{q}_1 + \dots + x_n\overline{q}_n - y_1\overline{p}_1 - \dots - y_n\overline{p}_n)$, we have

$$
(T - \overline{\omega} Y)(2(t - t_0)) = 2Tt - 2\overline{\omega} Yt = 2 - \overline{\omega} < z, (\nu^H)^{\perp} > .
$$

We also have

$$
(T - \overline{\omega} Y)(\langle x, y_0 \rangle - \langle x_0, y \rangle) = -\overline{\omega} Y(\langle x, y_0 \rangle - \langle x_0, y \rangle) = \overline{\omega} \langle z_0, (\nu^H)^{\perp} \rangle,
$$

and so

$$
(3.14) \t < \mathbf{c}^{H,S}, \zeta > +(T-\overline{\omega}Y)f \equiv 2.
$$

Combining (3.14) with (3.13) we obtain (3.12) .

If we now combine [\(3.8\)](#page-8-5) in Theorem [3.3](#page-8-3) with Proposition [3.5,](#page-9-1) we obtain the following basic result.

Theorem 3.6. Let $S \subset \mathbb{H}^n$ be a non-characteristic H-minimal surface, then with ζ as in [\(3.10\)](#page-9-3) and f as in [\(3.11\)](#page-9-4), one has for any $p_0 = (x_0, y_0, t_0) \in \mathbb{H}^n$

(3.15)
\n
$$
(Q-1)\int_{S} \lambda(r-\rho) d\sigma_{H}
$$
\n
$$
-\int_{S} \lambda'(r-\rho) \left\{ \langle \zeta, \nabla^{H,S} \rho \rangle + f(T-\overline{\omega}Y)\rho \right\} d\sigma_{H}
$$
\n
$$
\leq 0.
$$

4. Monotonicity for graphical strips

In this section we obtain an interesting consequence of Theorem [3.6](#page-10-0) by proving an intrinsic monotonicity property similar to (1.2) for a remarkable class of H-minimal surfaces in the Heisenberg group \mathbb{H}^1 . Such surfaces, called *graphical strips* in [\[DGNP\]](#page-18-32), have been introduced in connection with the solution of the sub-Riemannian Bernstein problem in [\[DGNP\]](#page-18-32). The following result is part of Theorem 1.5 in [\[DGNP\]](#page-18-32).

Proposition 4.1. Every C^2 graphical strip is an H-minimal surface with empty characteristic locus.

We recall that, given a C^1 surface $S \subset \mathbb{H}^1$, the characteristic locus of S, henceforth denoted by $\Sigma_{\mathcal{S}}$, is the collection of all points $p \in \mathcal{S}$ at which $H_p\mathbb{H}^1 = T_p\mathcal{S}$, where $H_p\mathbb{H}^1$ denotes the fiber at p of the horizontal bundle of \mathbb{H}^1 . One fundamental aspect of graphical strips is represented by the following result, which constitutes one of the two central results in [\[DGNP\]](#page-18-32). In order to state it we mention that ν^H indicates the horizontal Gauss map of S, which is well defined away from the characteristic locus $\Sigma_{\mathcal{S}}$ of \mathcal{S} . By $\mathcal{V}_{II}^H(\mathcal{S};\mathcal{X})$ we denote the second variation of the H-perimeter with respect to a deformation of S in the direction of the vector field \mathcal{X} . An H-minimal surface S with empty characteristic locus is called *stable* if $\mathcal{V}_{II}^H(\mathcal{S};\mathcal{X}) \geq 0$ for every compactly supported $\mathcal{X} = aX_1 + bX_2 + kT$. Otherwise, it is called unstable. We note that, since thanks to Proposition [4.1](#page-10-3) every graphical strip has empty characteristic locus, the horizontal Gauss map ν^H of such a surface is globally defined.

Theorem 4.2. Let S be a C^2 strict graphical strip, then S is unstable. In fact, there exists a continuum of $h \in C_0^2(\mathcal{S})$, for which $\mathcal{V}_{II}^H(\mathcal{S}; h\nu^H) < 0$.

The following theorem constitutes the second main result in [\[DGNP\]](#page-18-32). It underscores the central relevance of graphical strips in the study of H-minimal surfaces in \mathbb{H}^1 .

Theorem 4.3. Let $S \subset \mathbb{H}^1$ be an H-minimal entire graph of class C^2 , with empty characteristic locus, and that is not itself a vertical plane

(4.1)
$$
\Pi_0 = \{(x, y, t) \in \mathbb{H}^1 \mid ax + by = \gamma_0\},
$$

then there exists a strict graphical strip $S_0 \subset S$.

By combining Theorems [4.2](#page-10-4) and [4.3](#page-11-0) the following solution of the sub-Riemannian Bernstein problem was obtained in [\[DGNP\]](#page-18-32).

Theorem 4.4 (of Bernstein type). In \mathbb{H}^1 the only C^2 stable H-minimal entire graphs, with empty characteristic locus, are the vertical planes [\(4.1\)](#page-11-1).

In connection with the stability assumption in Theorem [4.4](#page-11-2) it should be emphasized that, without it, the theorem is false. This central aspect of the problem was first discovered in [\[DGN5\]](#page-18-14) where it was shown that the non-planar H-minimal surface $S = \{(x, y, t) \in \mathbb{H}^1 \mid x = yt\}$ (which is easily seen to be an entire strict graphical strip) is unstable.

Henceforth, given a C^1 surface $S \subset \mathbb{H}^1$ we will indicate with σ_H the horizontal perimeter measure on \mathcal{S} . We emphasize (see for instance [\[DGN3\]](#page-17-18)), that such measure scales according to the following equation

(4.2)
$$
\sigma_H(\delta_\lambda(E)) = \lambda^{Q-1} \sigma_H(E),
$$

with respect to the non-isotropic group dilations $\delta_{\lambda}(x, y, t) = (\lambda x, \lambda y, \lambda^2 t)$. Here, the number $Q = 2n + 2$ represents the homogeneous dimension of \mathbb{H}^n associated with the dilations $\{\delta_\lambda\}_{\lambda>0}$. For instance, when $n = 1$, then we have $Q = 4$.

The main result of the present section is the following theorem.

Theorem 4.5. Let $S \subset \mathbb{H}^1$ be a C^2 graphical strip, then for every $p_0 = (0, 0, t_0) \in S$ the function

$$
r \rightarrow \frac{\sigma_H(\mathcal{S} \cap B(p_0, r))}{r^{Q-1}}, \quad r > 0,
$$

is monotone non-decreasing. Moreover, there exists $\omega > 0$ such that

$$
\sigma_H(\mathcal{S} \cap B(p_0, r)) \ge \omega r^{Q-1}, \quad \text{for every } r > 0.
$$

In the statement of Theorem [4.5](#page-11-3) we have denoted by $B(p_0, r) = \{p \in \mathbb{H}^n \mid d(p, p_0) < r\},\$ where $d(p, p_0) = N(p_0^{-1}p)$ represents the gauge distance on \mathbb{H}^n defined via the Koranyi-Folland gauge function $N(p) = (|z|^4 + 16t^2)^{1/4}, p = (z, t) \in \mathbb{H}^n$.

We now specialize the choice of the function ρ in Theorem [3.6](#page-10-0) by letting $\rho(p) = N(p_0^{-1}p)$. Of course, this is not the only possible choice of ρ , but at the moment we will not further investigate this question since we plan to return to it in a future study.

.

Notice that we can write

(4.3)
$$
\rho(p) = \left[\left((x - x_0)^2 + (y - y_0)^2 \right)^2 + 4 \left(2(t - t_0) + (xy_0 - x_0 y) \right)^2 \right]^{1/4}
$$

A simple calculation gives

(4.4)
$$
X_1 \rho = \rho^{-3} \left[(x - x_0) |z - z_0|^2 - 2(y - y_0) (2(t - t_0) + (xy_0 - x_0 y)) \right],
$$

(4.5)
$$
X_2 \rho = \rho^{-3} \left[(y - y_0) |z - z_0|^2 + 2(x - x_0) (2(t - t_0) + (xy_0 - x_0 y)) \right],
$$

(4.6)
$$
T\rho = \rho^{-3}4 \bigg[2(t - t_0) + (xy_0 - x_0y) \bigg].
$$

From [\(4.4\)](#page-12-0), [\(4.5\)](#page-12-1), [\(4.6\)](#page-12-2) we obtain with ζ and f as in [\(3.10\)](#page-9-3), [\(3.11\)](#page-9-4) respectively,

(4.7)
$$
\langle \zeta, \nabla^H \rho \rangle + fT\rho = \rho.
$$

On the other hand, we have from the expression of the horizontal covariant derivative on $\mathcal S$

$$
\langle \zeta, \nabla^{H,S} \rho \rangle = \langle \zeta, \nabla^{H} \rho \rangle - \langle \nabla^{H} \rho, \nu^{H} \rangle \langle \zeta, \nu^{H} \rangle.
$$

Using [\(4.7\)](#page-12-3) we find

(4.8)
\n
$$
\langle \zeta, \nabla^{H,S} \rho \rangle + f(T - \overline{\omega}Y)\rho
$$
\n
$$
= \langle \zeta, \nabla^{H} \rho \rangle + fT\rho
$$
\n
$$
- \langle \nabla^{H} \rho, \nu^{H} \rangle \langle \zeta, \nu^{H} \rangle - \overline{\omega}fY\rho
$$
\n
$$
= \rho - \langle \nabla^{H} \rho, \nu^{H} \rangle \left(\langle \zeta, \nu^{H} \rangle + \overline{\omega}f \right),
$$

where in the last equality we have used the fact that $Y \rho = \langle \nabla^H \rho, \nu^H \rangle$.

The next result provides a fundamental estimate. It is at this point that we use the special structural assumption that S be a graphical strip in \mathbb{H}^1 .

Lemma 4.6. Let $S \subset \mathbb{H}^1$ be a C^2 graphical strip. Let $p_0 = (0, 0, t_0) \in S$, then with ζ as in (3.10) and f as in (3.11) , one has

$$
\sup_{\mathcal{S}\cap B(p_0,r)} \left|<\zeta,\nabla^{H,S}\rho>+f(T-\overline{\omega}Y)\rho\right|~\leq~r.
$$

Proof. In view of [\(4.8\)](#page-12-4), proving the lemma is equivalent to showing

$$
\sup_{\mathcal{S}\cap B(p_0,r)}\ \bigg|\rho - \langle \nabla^H\rho, \boldsymbol{\nu}^H\rangle \bigg| \bigg| < \zeta, \boldsymbol{\nu}^H\rangle + \overline{\omega}f\bigg)\bigg| \ \leq \ r.
$$

Without loss of generality we assume that

$$
\mathcal{S} = \{(x, y, t) \in \mathbb{H}^1 \mid (y, t) \in \mathbb{R} \times I, x = yG(t)\},\
$$

for some $G \in C^2(I)$, such that $G'(t) \geq 0$ for every $t \in I$. We next recall some calculations from [\[DGNP\]](#page-18-32). It is obvious from the definition that S is a C^2 graph over the (y, t) -plane. We can use the global defining function

(4.9)
$$
\phi(x, y, t) = x - yG(t) ,
$$

and assume that S is oriented in such a way that a non-unit Riemannian normal on S be given by $\mathbf{N} = \nabla \phi = (X_1 \phi) X_1 + (X_2 \phi) X_2 + (T \phi) T$. We thus find

(4.10)
$$
p = X_1 \phi = 1 + \frac{y^2}{2} G'(t)
$$
, $q = X_2 \phi = -G(t) - \frac{xy}{2} G'(t)$, $\omega = T \phi = -y G'(t)$.

Since $p \ge 1 > 0$, we see from [\(4.10\)](#page-12-5) that $\Sigma_{\mathcal{S}} = \emptyset$.

From now on, to simplify the notation, we will omit the variable t in all expressions involving $G(t)$, $G'(t)$. The second equation in [\(4.10\)](#page-12-5) becomes on S

.

.

(4.11)
$$
q = -G \left(1 + \frac{y^2}{2} G' \right)
$$

We thus find on $\mathcal S$

(4.12)
$$
W = \sqrt{p^2 + q^2} = \sqrt{1 + G^2} \left(1 + \frac{y^2}{2} G' \right).
$$

The equations [\(4.10\)](#page-12-5), [\(4.11\)](#page-13-0) and [\(4.12\)](#page-13-1) give on S

(4.13)
$$
\overline{p} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+G^2}}, \quad \overline{q} = -\frac{G}{\sqrt{1+G^2}}, \quad \overline{\omega} = -\frac{yG'}{\sqrt{1+G^2}\left(1+\frac{y^2}{2}G'\right)}.
$$

We thus have on $\mathcal S$

(4.14)
$$
x\overline{q} - y\overline{p} = -\left\{\frac{yG^2}{\sqrt{1+G^2}} + \frac{y}{\sqrt{1+G^2}}\right\} = -y\sqrt{1+G^2},
$$

and also

(4.15)
$$
x\overline{p} + y\overline{q} = \frac{yG(t)}{\sqrt{1 + G(t)^2}} - \frac{yG(t)}{\sqrt{1 + G(t)^2}} = 0.
$$

On the other hand, if $p_0 = (x_0, y_0, t_0) \in \mathcal{S}$, we must have $x_0 = y_0 G(t_0)$, and therefore

(4.16)
$$
x_0 \overline{q} - y_0 \overline{p} = -y_0 \left\{ \frac{G(t_0)G}{\sqrt{1+G^2}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+G^2}} \right\} = -y_0 \frac{1+G(t_0)G}{\sqrt{1+G^2}},
$$

and also

(4.17)
$$
x_0 \overline{p} + y_0 \overline{q} = -y_0 \frac{G - G(t_0)}{\sqrt{1 + G^2}}
$$

We also have on $\mathcal S$

(4.18)
$$
xy_0 - x_0y = y_0y(G - G(t_0)) .
$$

Combining (4.15) and (4.17) we find

(4.19)
$$
\langle \zeta, \nu^H \rangle = (x - x_0)\overline{p} + (y - y_0)\overline{q} = y_0 \frac{G - G(t_0)}{\sqrt{1 + G^2}}.
$$

From (4.13) , (4.18) we have

(4.20)
$$
\overline{\omega}(2(t-t_0) + (xy_0 - x_0y)) = -2y_0 \frac{\frac{y^2}{2}G'(G - G(t_0))}{\sqrt{1 + G^2} \left(1 + \frac{y^2}{2}G'\right)} - \frac{2(t - t_0)yG'}{\sqrt{1 + G^2} \left(1 + \frac{y^2}{2}G'\right)}
$$

Combining (4.19) and (4.20) we find

(4.21)
$$
\langle \zeta, \nu^H \rangle + f\overline{\omega} = y_0 \frac{G - G(t_0)}{\sqrt{1 + G^2}} - 2y_0 \frac{\frac{y^2}{2} G'(G - G(t_0))}{\sqrt{1 + G^2} \left(1 + \frac{y^2}{2} G'\right)} - \frac{2(t - t_0) y G'}{\sqrt{1 + G^2} \left(1 + \frac{y^2}{2} G'\right)}.
$$

When $x_0 = y_0 = 0$, and therefore $p_0 = (0, 0, t_0)$, we obtain from (4.21)

(4.22)
$$
\langle \zeta, \nu^H \rangle + f\overline{\omega} = -\frac{2(t - t_0)yG'}{\sqrt{1 + G^2} \left(1 + \frac{y^2}{2}G'\right)}.
$$

Next, we observe that we have on S

$$
|z|^2 = y^2(1+G^2), \quad |z|^2 = y^3G(1+G^2), \quad |z|^2 = y^3(1+G^2).
$$

.

If we use these formulas in (4.4) , (4.5) , in combination with (4.13) , we obtain

(4.23)
$$
\langle \nabla^H \rho, \nu^H \rangle = -\frac{4y(t - t_0)(1 + G^2)}{\rho^3 \sqrt{1 + G^2}}
$$

Combining equations [\(4.22\)](#page-14-0), [\(4.23\)](#page-14-1) we find

(4.24)
$$
\langle \nabla^H \rho, \nu^H \rangle \left(\langle \zeta, \nu^H \rangle + f \overline{\omega} \right) = \frac{16(t - t_0)^2 \frac{y^2}{2} G'}{\rho^3 \left(1 + \frac{y^2}{2} G' \right)}.
$$

Since on S we have

$$
\rho^4 = (x^2 + y^2)^2 + 16(t - t_0)^2 = y^4(1 + G^2)^2 + 16(t - t_0)^2,
$$

from this equation and from (4.24) it is at this point easy to check that on S one has

$$
\rho - \langle \nabla^H \rho, \nu^H \rangle \left(\langle \zeta, \nu^H \rangle + \overline{\omega} f \right) \ge 0.
$$

Since from [\(4.24\)](#page-14-2) again we see that $\langle \nabla^H \rho, \nu^H \rangle$ $\langle \langle \xi, \nu^H \rangle + f\overline{\omega} \rangle \ge 0$, we finally obtain

$$
\left|\rho - \langle \nabla^H \rho, \nu^H \rangle \left(\langle \zeta, \nu^H \rangle + \overline{\omega} f \right) \right| = \rho - \langle \nabla^H \rho, \nu^H \rangle \left(\langle \zeta, \nu^H \rangle + \overline{\omega} f \right) \le \rho,
$$
\nwhich, in particular, proves the lemma.

We can now prove the main result in this section.

Proof of Theorem [4.5](#page-11-3). We define

(4.25)
$$
\mathcal{P}(r) = \int_{S} \lambda(r - \rho) d\sigma_{H}.
$$

We easily find

$$
\frac{d}{dr}\left(\frac{\mathcal{P}(r)}{r^{Q-1}}\right) = \frac{1}{r^Q}\left(r\mathcal{P}'(r) - (Q-1)\mathcal{P}(r)\right).
$$

We next recall that for any $p_0 = (x_0, y_0, t_0) \in \mathbb{H}^n$ one has from (3.15) ,

(4.26)
$$
(Q-1)\mathcal{P}(r) - \int_{\mathcal{S}} \lambda'(r-\rho) \left\{ \langle \zeta, \nabla^{H,S} \rho \rangle + f(T-\overline{\omega}Y)\rho \right\} d\sigma_H \leq 0,
$$

where ζ is as in [\(3.10\)](#page-9-3) and f as in [\(3.11\)](#page-9-4).

At this point the crucial Lemma [4.6](#page-12-6) enters the picture. In it we have proved that on the set $B(p_0, r) = \{\rho < r\}$ one has

(4.27)
$$
\left| \langle \zeta, \nabla^{H,S} \rho \rangle + f(T - \overline{\omega} Y) \rho \right| \leq r.
$$

Then from [\(4.27\)](#page-14-3), the fact that $\lambda'(r - \rho) \ge 0$ and from [\(4.26\)](#page-14-4) we can conclude that

$$
\frac{d}{dr}\left(\frac{\mathcal{P}(r)}{r^{Q-1}}\right) = \frac{1}{r^{Q}}\left(r\mathcal{P}'(r) - (Q-1)\mathcal{P}(r)\right) \geq 0.
$$

We now fix $0 < r_1 < r_2 < \infty$ and integrate the latter inequality on the interval (r_1, r_2) obtaining

(4.28)
$$
0 \leq \int_{r_1}^{r_2} \frac{d}{dr} \left(\frac{\mathcal{P}(r)}{r^{Q-1}} \right) dr = \frac{\mathcal{P}(r_2)}{r_2^{Q-1}} - \frac{\mathcal{P}(r_1)}{r_1^{Q-1}} = \frac{1}{r_2^{Q-1}} \int_{\mathcal{S}} \lambda(r_2 - \rho) d\sigma_H - \frac{1}{r_1^{Q-1}} \int_{\mathcal{S}} \lambda(r_1 - \rho) d\sigma_H
$$

At this point we fix arbitrarily $0 < \epsilon < r_1$, and choose a non-decreasing $0 \leq \lambda(s) \leq 1$, with $\lambda \equiv 0$ if $s \leq 0$, $\lambda \equiv 1$ if $s \geq \epsilon$. With this choice we obtain from [\(4.28\)](#page-15-0)

$$
(4.29) \qquad 0 \le \frac{1}{r_2^{Q-1}} \int_{\mathcal{S} \cap B(p_0, r_2)} \lambda(r_2 - \rho) d\sigma_H - \frac{1}{r_1^{Q-1}} \int_{\mathcal{S} \cap B(p_0, r_1 - \epsilon)} \lambda(r_1 - \rho) d\sigma_H
$$

$$
- \frac{1}{r_1^{Q-1}} \int_{\mathcal{S} \cap [B(p_0, r_1) \setminus B(p_0, r_1 - \epsilon)]} \lambda(r_1 - \rho) d\sigma_H
$$

$$
\le \frac{\sigma_H(\mathcal{S} \cap B(p_0, r_2))}{r_2^{Q-1}} - \frac{\sigma_H(\mathcal{S} \cap B(p_0, r_1 - \epsilon))}{r_1^{Q-1}}.
$$

Letting $\epsilon \to 0$ we reach the conclusion

$$
\frac{\sigma_H(\mathcal{S} \cap B(p_0,r_1))}{r_1^{Q-1}} \leq \frac{\sigma_H(\mathcal{S} \cap B(p_0,r_2))}{r_2^{Q-1}}.
$$

 $\hfill \square$

According to Theorem [4.5](#page-11-3) the limit

$$
\lim_{r\to 0^+}\frac{\sigma_H(\mathcal{S}\cap B(p_0,r))}{r^{Q-1}}
$$

exists. In the next proposition we show that such limit is actually positive.

Proposition 4.7. Let S be a graphical strip, that is,

$$
S = \{(x, y, t) | x = y G(t)\} \quad \text{where } G \in C^1(\mathbb{R}), \quad G'(t) \ge 0 \text{ for all } t \in \mathbb{R},
$$

then for every $p_0 = (0, 0, t_0) \in S$ we have

$$
\lim_{r \to 0^+} \frac{\sigma_H(\mathcal{S} \cap B(p_0, r))}{r^3} = \int_0^1 (1 - \tau^2)^{\frac{1}{4}} d\tau > 0.
$$

Note that this limit is independent of $G(t)$.

Proof. Let ϕ be as in [\(4.9\)](#page-12-7). We then have

$$
S \cap B(p_0, r) = \{(x, y, t) \in \mathbb{H}^1 \mid x = y G(t), y^4 (1 + G(t)^2)^2 + 16 (t - t_0)^2 < r^4\}.
$$

$$
|X\phi| = \left(1 + \frac{y^2}{2}G'(t)\right)\sqrt{1 + G(t)^2},
$$

Hence

(4.30)

$$
\frac{\sigma_H(\mathcal{S} \cap B(p_0, r))}{r^3} = \frac{1}{r^3} \int_{\mathcal{S} \cap B(p_0, r)} \frac{|X\phi|}{|\nabla \phi|} d\sigma
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{1}{r^3} \int_{\{(y,t) \mid y^4(1+G(t)^2)^2+16(t-t_0)^2 < r^4\}} \left(1 + \frac{y^2}{2} G'(t)\right) \sqrt{1 + G(t)^2} dy dt
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{1}{r^3} \int_{t_0 - \frac{r^2}{4}}^{t_0 + \frac{r^2}{4}} \sqrt{1 + G(t)^2} \left(\int_{-\frac{(r^4 - 16(t-t_0)^2)^{\frac{1}{4}}}{\sqrt{1 + G(t)^2}}}^{(\frac{r^4 - 16(t-t_0)^2)^{\frac{1}{4}}}{\sqrt{1 + G(t)^2}}} \left(1 + \frac{y^2}{2} G'(t)\right) dy \right) dt
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{2}{r^3} \int_{t_0 - \frac{r^2}{4}}^{t_0 + \frac{r^2}{4}} \sqrt{1 + G(t)^2} \left\{ \frac{(r^4 - 16(t - t_0)^2)^{\frac{1}{4}}}{\sqrt{1 + G(t)^2}} + \frac{G'(t)}{6} \frac{(r^4 - 16(t - t_0)^2)^{\frac{3}{4}}}{(1 + G(t)^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \right\} dt
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{2}{r^3} \int_{t_0 - \frac{r^2}{4}}^{t_0 + \frac{r^2}{4}} (r^4 - 16(t - t_0)^2)^{\frac{1}{4}} + \frac{G'(t)}{6} \frac{(r^4 - 16(t - t_0)^2)^{\frac{3}{4}}}{1 + G(t)^2} dt
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{2}{r^3} \int_{t_0 - \frac{r^2}{4}}^{t_0 + \frac{r^2}{4}} (r^4 - 16(t - t_0)^2)^{\frac{1}{4}} dt + \frac{2}{r^3} \int_{t_0 - \frac{r^2}{4}}^{t_0 + \frac{r^2}{4}} \frac{G'(t)}{6(1 + G(t)^2)} (r^4 - 16(t - t_0)^2)^{\frac{3}{4}} dt.
$$

To continue we make the change of variable $t - t_0 = \frac{r^2}{4}$ $\frac{1}{4}\tau$ and analyze the following two terms.

$$
(4.31) \qquad \frac{2}{r^3} \int_{t_0 - \frac{r^2}{4}}^{t_0 + \frac{r^2}{4}} (r^4 - 16(t - t_0)^2)^{\frac{1}{4}} dt = \frac{2}{r^3} \int_{-1}^1 r (1 - \tau^2)^{\frac{1}{4}} \frac{r^2}{4} d\tau = \int_0^1 (1 - \tau^2)^{\frac{1}{4}} d\tau
$$

(4.32)
$$
\frac{2}{r^3} \int_{t_0 - \frac{r^2}{4}}^{t_0 + \frac{r^2}{4}} \frac{G'(t)}{6(1 + G(t)^2)} (r^4 - 16(t - t_0)^2)^{\frac{3}{4}} dt
$$

$$
= \frac{1}{3r^3} \int_{-1}^1 \frac{G'(t_0 + r^2 \tau/4)}{1 + G(t_0 + r^2 \tau/4)^2} r^3 (1 - \tau^2)^{\frac{3}{4}} \frac{r^2}{4} d\tau
$$

$$
= \frac{r^2}{12} \int_{-1}^1 \frac{G'(t_0 + r^2 \tau/4)}{1 + G(t_0 + r^2 \tau/4)^2} (1 - \tau^2)^{\frac{3}{4}} d\tau.
$$

Using [\(4.31\)](#page-16-0) and [\(4.32\)](#page-16-1) in [\(4.30\)](#page-16-2) and Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem, we obtain

$$
(4.33)
$$
\n
$$
\lim_{r \to 0^{+}} \frac{\sigma_{H}(\mathcal{S} \cap B(p_{0}, r))}{r^{3}}
$$
\n
$$
= \lim_{r \to 0^{+}} \int_{0}^{1} (1 - \tau^{2})^{\frac{1}{4}} d\tau + \lim_{r \to 0^{+}} \frac{r^{2}}{12} \int_{-1}^{1} \frac{G'(t_{0} + r^{2}\tau/4)}{1 + G(t_{0} + r^{2}\tau/4)^{2}} (1 - \tau^{2})^{\frac{3}{4}} d\tau
$$
\n
$$
= \int_{0}^{1} (1 - \tau^{2})^{\frac{1}{4}} d\tau + \left(\lim_{r \to 0^{+}} \frac{r^{2}}{12}\right) \int_{-1}^{1} (1 - \tau^{2})^{\frac{3}{4}} \lim_{r \to 0^{+}} \frac{G'(t_{0} + r^{2}\tau/4)}{1 + G(t_{0} + r^{2}\tau/4)^{2}} d\tau
$$
\n
$$
= \int_{0}^{1} (1 - \tau^{2})^{\frac{1}{4}} d\tau.
$$

 \Box

Using (4.30) , (4.31) and (4.32) we can also compute and obtain

(4.34)
$$
\lim_{r \to \infty} \frac{\sigma_H(\mathcal{S} \cap B(p_0, r))}{r^3} = \int_0^1 (1 - \tau^2)^{\frac{1}{4}} d\tau + \lim_{r \to \infty} \int_{-1}^1 \frac{r^2 G'(t_0 + r^2 \tau/4)}{12(1 + G(t_0 + r^2 \tau/4)^2)} (1 - \tau^2)^{\frac{3}{4}} d\tau.
$$

Of course, the above limit may or may not be finite.

At this point, combining Theorem [4.5](#page-11-3) and Proposition [4.7](#page-15-1) we obtain the maximum sub-Riemannian volume growth of graphical strips at infinity.

Corollary 4.8. Let $S \subset \mathbb{H}^1$ be a graphical strip, then for every $p_0 = (0, 0, t_0) \in S$, and every $r > 0$ one has

$$
\sigma_H(\mathcal{S} \cap B(p_0,r)) \ge \omega r^{Q-1},
$$

where we have set $\omega = \int_0^1 (1 - \tau^2)^{\frac{1}{4}} d\tau$.

REFERENCES

- [A] L. Ambrosio, Some fine properties of sets of finite perimeter in Ahlfors regular metric measure spaces, Adv. Math., **159** (2001), 51-67.
- [AK1] L. Ambrosio & B. Kircheim, Rectifiable sets in metric and Banach spaces, Math. Ann., 318 (2000), 527-555.
- [AK2] , Currents in metric spaces, Acta Math., 185 (2000), 1-80.
- [ASV] L. Ambrosio, F. Serra Cassano & D. Vittone, *Intrinsic regular hypersurfaces in Heisenberg groups*, preprint, 2005.
- [B] Z. M. Balogh, Size of characteristic sets and functions with prescribed gradients, J. Reine Angew. Math., 564 2003, 63-83.
- [BRS] Z. M. Balogh, M. Rickly & F. Serra Cassano, Comparison of Hausdorff measures with respect to the Euclidean and the Heisenberg metric, Publ. Math., 47 (2003), 237-259.
- [Be] A. Bella¨ıche, The tangent space in sub-Riemannian geometry. Sub-Riemannian geometry,, Progr. Math., 144 (1996), Birkhäuser, 1-78.
- [BLU] A. Bonfiglioli, E. Lanconelli & F. Uguzzoni, Stratified Lie groups and potential theory for their sub-Laplacians, Springer Monographs in Mathematics. Springer, Berlin, 2007. xxvi+800.
- [BC] M. Bonk & L. Capogna, Horizontal mean curvature flow in the Heisenberg group, preprint, 2005.
- [CDG] L. Capogna, D. Danielli & N. Garofalo, The geometric Sobolev embedding for vector fields and the isoperimetric inequality, Comm. Anal. and Geom., 2 (1994), 201-215.
- [CG] L. Capogna & N. Garofalo, Ahlfors type estimates for perimeter measures in Carnot-Carathéodory spaces, J. Geom. Anal., to appear.
- [CH] J.H.Cheng & J.F. Hwang, Properly embedded and immersed minimal surfaces in the Heisenberg group, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc., 70 (2004), no. 3, 507-520.
- [CHMY] J.H. Cheng, J. F. Hwang, A. Malchiodi & P. Yang, Minimal surfaces in pseudohermitian geometry and the Bernstein problem in the Heisenberg group, revised version 2004, Ann. Sc. Norm. Sup. Pisa, 1 (2005), 129-177.
- [CS1] G. Citti and A. Sarti, Subjective surfaces and Riemannian mean curvature flow of graphs, Acta Math. Univ. Comenian. (N.S.) 70 (2000), no. 1, 85-103.
- [CS2] , A cortical based model of perceptual completion in roto-translation space, preprint, 2004.
- [CMS] G. Citti, and M. Manfredini & A. Sarti, From neural oscillations to variational problems in the visual cortex, Journal of Physiology-Paris, 97 (2003), 379-385.
- [CM] T. H. Colding & W. P.Minicozzi II, *Minimal Surfaces*, Courant Lec. Notes in Mathematics, 4, 1999.
- [DGN1] D. Danielli, N. Garofalo & D. M. Nhieu, Trace inequalities for Carnot-Carathéodory spaces and applications, Ann. Sc. Norm. Sup. Pisa, Cl. Sci. (4), 2, 27 (1998), 195-252.
- [DGN2] , Hypersurfaces of minimal type in sub-Riemannian geometry, Proc. of the meeting "Second Order Subelliptic Equations and Applications", Cortona 2003, Lecture Notes S.I.M., E. Barletta, ed., 2005.
- [DGN3] , Non-doubling Ahlfors measures, Perimeter measures, and the characterization of the trace spaces of Sobolev functions in Carnot-Carath´eodory spaces, Memoirs of the Amer. Math. Soc., vol.182, 2006, p.119.
- [DGN4] , Sub-Riemannian Calculus on Hypersurfaces in Carnot Groups, Advances in Math., (215)1 (2007), no. 1, 292–378.
- [DGN5] $____$,A notable family of entire intrinsic minimal graphs in the Heisenberg group which are not perimeter minimizing, Amer. J. Math. 130 (2008), no. 2, 317–339.
- $[DGN6]$, A partial solution of the isoperimetric problem for the Heisenberg group, Forum Math. 20 (2008), no. 1, 99–143.
- [DGNP] D. Danielli, N. Garofalo, D. M. Nhieu & S. Pauls, Instability of graphical strips and a positive answer to the Bernstein problem in the Heisenberg group \mathbb{H}^1 , J. Diff. Geom., to appear.
- [DS] G. David & S. Semmes, Fractured fractals and Broken Dreams: Self-Similar Geometry through Metric and Measure, Oxford Lecture Series in Mathematics and Its Applications, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1997.
- [E1] P. Eberlein, Geometry of 2-step nilpotent groups with a left invariant metric, Ann. Sci. cole Norm. Sup. (4) 27 (1994), no. 5, 611-660.
- [E2] Seemetry of 2-step nilpotent groups with a left invariant metric. II, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 343 (1994), no. 2, 805-828.
- [E3] $____\$ Geometry of nonpositively curved manifolds, Chicago Lectures in Mathematics. University of Chicago Press, Chicago, IL, 1996.
- [Fo] G. B. Folland, Subelliptic estimates and function spaces on nilpotent Lie groups, Ark. Math., 13 (1975), 161-207.
- [FSS1] B. Franchi, R. Serapioni & F. Serra Cassano, Rectifiability and perimeter in the Heisenberg group, Math. Ann., 321 (2001) 3, 479-531.
- [FSS2] , Regular hypersurfaces, intrinsic perimeter and implicit function theorem in Carnot groups, Comm. Anal. Geom., 11 (2003), no. 5, 909-944.
- [FSS3] , On the structure of finite perimeter sets in step 2 Carnot groups, J. Geom. Anal., 13 (2003), no. 3, 421-466.
- [FSS4] , Regular submanifolds, graphs and area formulas in Heisenberg groups, preprint, 2004.
- [GN] N. Garofalo & D. M. Nhieu, Isoperimetric and Sobolev inequalities for Carnot-Carathéodory spaces and the existence of minimal surfaces, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., 49 (1996), 1081-1144.
- [GP] N. Garofalo & S. D. Pauls, The Bernstein problem in the Heisenberg group, preprint, 2003.
- [Gro] M. Gromov, Carnot-Carath´eodory spaces seen from within, in Sub-Riemannian Geometry, Progress in Mathematics, vol. 144, edited by André Bellaïche & Jean-Jacques Risler, Birkhäuser, 1996.
- [HP1] R. K. Hladky & S. D. Pauls, Minimal surfaces in the roto-translation group with applications to a neurobiological image completion model, preprint, 2005.
- [HP2] R. K. Hladky & S. D. Pauls, Constant mean curvature surfaces in sub-Riemannian geometry, preprint, 2005.
- [KR] A. Korányi & H. M. Reimann, *Quasiconformal mappings on the Heisenberg group*, Invent. Math., **80** (1985). no. 2, 309-338.
- [LM] G. P. Leonardi & S. Masnou, On the isoperimetric problem in the Heisenberg group \mathbb{H}^n , Ann. Mat. Pura Appl., (4) 184 (2005), no. 4, 533-553.
- [LR] G. P. Leonardi & S. Rigot, *Isoperimetric sets on Carnot groups*, Houston J. Math., 29 (2003), no. 3, 609-637.
- [Ma1] V. Magnani, *Differentiability and area formula on stratified Lie groups*, Houston J. Math., 27 (2001), 297-323.
- [Ma2] , A blow-up theorem for regular hypersurfaces on nilpotent groups, Manuscripta Math., 110 (2003), 55-76.
- [Ma3] $______$. Characteristic points, rectifiability and perimeter measure on stratified groups, preprint, 2004.
- [MM] U. Massari & M. Miranda, Minimal Surfaces of Codimension One, Math. Studies 91, North-Holland, 1984.
- [MS] J. H. Michael & L. M. Simon, Sobolev and mean-value inequalities on generalized submanifolds of R^n , Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 26 (1973), 361–379.
- [Mo] F. Montefalcone, Hypersurfaces and variational formulas in sub-Riemannian Carnot groups, preprint, 2006.
- [Pa1] P. Pansu, Une ingalité isopérimétrique sur le groupe de Heisenberg, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math., 295 (1982), no. 2, 127-130.
- [Pa2] $______\$, Métriques de Carnot-Carathéodory et quasi-isométries des espaces symétriques de rang un, Ann. of Math. (2)129 (1989), 1-60.
- [P1] S. Pauls, Minimal surfaces in the Heisenberg group, Geom. Dedicata, 104 (2004), 201-231.
- [P2] $_____$, A notion of rectifiability modelled on Carnot groups, Indiana U. Math. J., 53 (2004), 49-81.
- [RR] M. Ritorè & C. Rosales, Rotationally invariant hypersurfaces with constant mean curvature in the Heisenberg group \mathbb{H}^n , preprint, April 2005.
- [Si] L. Simon, Lectures on Geometric Measure Theory, Proc. Centre Math. Anal., Australian Univ., vol. 3, 1983.
- [Se1] C. Selby, An extension and trace theorem for functions of H-bounded variation in Carnot groups of step 2, Houston J. Math., to appear.
- [Se2] ____, Geometry of hypersurfaces in Carnot groups of step 2, Ph. D. Dissertation, Purdue University, 2006.

[S] E. M. Stein, Harmonic Analysis: Real Variable Methods, Orthogonality and Oscillatory Integrals, Princeton Univ. Press, (1993).

Department of Mathematics, Purdue University, West Lafayette, IN 47907 E-mail address, Donatella Danielli: danielli@math.purdue.edu

Department of Mathematics, Purdue University, West Lafayette, IN 47907 E-mail address, Nicola Garofalo: garofalo@math.purdue.edu

Department of Mathematics, San Diego Christian College, 2100 Greenfield dr, El Cajon CA 92019

E-mail address, Duy-Minh Nhieu: dnhieu@sdcc.edu