

Multi-fluid potential in the loop cosmology

Jakub Mielczarek*

Astronomical Observatory, Jagiellonian University, 30-244 Kraków, Orla 171, Poland

The scalar field can behave like a fluid with equation of state $p_\phi = w\rho_\phi$, where $w \in [-1, 1]$. In this letter we derive a class of the scalar field potentials for which $w = \text{const}$. Scalar field with such a potential can mimic ordinary matter, radiation, cosmic strings etc. We perform our calculations in the framework of the loop cosmology with a holonomy corrections. We solve the model analytically for the whole parameter space. Subsequently, we perform similar consideration for the model with a phantom field ($w < -1$). We show that scalar field is monotonic function in both cases. This indicate that it can be treated as a well defined internal time for these models.

I. INTRODUCTION

In the framework of Loop Quantum Cosmology (LQC)[1] one can introduce phenomenological Hamiltonian [2, 3, 4]

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{phen}} = -\frac{3}{8\pi G\gamma^2}\sqrt{|p|}\left[\frac{\sin(\bar{\mu}c)}{\bar{\mu}}\right]^2 + \frac{1}{2}\frac{\pi_\phi^2}{|p|^{3/2}} + |p|^{3/2}V(\phi). \quad (1)$$

Here effects of the quantum holonomies have been introduced. The classical limit corresponds to the case $\bar{\mu} \rightarrow 0$, what gives $\sin(\bar{\mu}c)/\bar{\mu} \rightarrow c$. The parameter $\bar{\mu}$ is in general function of the canonical variable p . In our considerations we choose $\bar{\mu} = \sqrt{\Delta/|p|}$ where $\Delta = 2\sqrt{3}\pi\gamma l_{\text{Pl}}^2$. It was shown that this leads to the proper classical limit and can be treated as a unique choice in the loop quantization class [5]. Based on the Hamilton equation $\dot{f} = \{f, \mathcal{H}_{\text{phen}}\}$ we can derive equations of motion for the canonical variables (c, p, ϕ, π_ϕ) . The variables (c, p) can be related to the standard FRW variables $(c, |p|) = (\gamma\dot{a}V_0^{1/3}, a^2V_0^{2/3})$. Subsequently, with use of the Hamiltonian constraint $\mathcal{H}_{\text{phen}} = 0$ we can derive modified Friedmann equation

$$H^2 \equiv \left(\frac{\dot{p}}{2p}\right)^2 = \frac{\kappa}{3}\rho_\phi \left(1 - \frac{\rho_\phi}{\rho_c}\right) \quad (2)$$

where $\kappa = 8\pi G$ and

$$\rho_c = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{16\pi^2\gamma^3 l_{\text{Pl}}^4}. \quad (3)$$

The equation of motion of the scalar field ϕ holds classical form

$$\ddot{\phi} + 3H\dot{\phi} + \frac{dV}{d\phi} = 0. \quad (4)$$

The special limit of the above theory is the case $V(\phi) = 0$, then $\mathcal{H}_{\text{phen}} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{\text{eff}}$. It mean that solution

of the equation (2) traces the mean value $\langle \hat{p} \rangle$. It is however not necessarily the true for the general case with $V(\phi) \neq 0$. However, even when we are not sure whether theory is truly effective one, it is interesting to investigate phenomenological consequences of the models with potentials. Such a studies have been performed for the broad class of potentials. In Ref. [6] model (1) with constant potential ($V = \Lambda/8\pi G$) has been solved analytically. Power law, cyclic and bicyclic potentials have been numerically studied in Ref. [7]. Recently model with exponential potential has been studied, exhibiting sudden singularity behaviour [8]. Other examples can be found in Ref. [9, 10].

In this paper we perform restriction for the scalar field energy density and pressure $p_\phi = w\rho_\phi$ with $w = \text{const}$. In this situation scalar field can mimic any matter with equation of state $p = w\rho$ where $w = \text{const}$ and $w \in [-1, 1]$. Based on this restriction we derive expression for the scalar field potential which fulfils this condition. We repeat these consideration for the case of phantom field ($w < -1$).

II. MULTI-FLUID POTENTIAL

Energy density and pressure of the homogeneous scalar field are expressed as follow

$$\rho_\phi = \frac{1}{2}\dot{\phi}^2 + V(\phi), \quad (5)$$

$$p_\phi = \frac{1}{2}\dot{\phi}^2 - V(\phi). \quad (6)$$

In this section we consider restriction $p_\phi = w\rho_\phi$ where $w = \text{const}$. This assumption leads to the expression $\frac{1}{2}\dot{\phi}^2 = \frac{1+w}{1-w}V(\phi)$ which gives

$$\rho_\phi = \frac{2}{1-w}V(\phi), \quad (7)$$

$$p_\phi = \frac{2w}{1-w}V(\phi). \quad (8)$$

With use of equations (2),(4) and considered condition we obtain

$$\left(\frac{dV(\phi)}{d\phi}\right)^2 = 3\kappa(1+w)V(\phi)^2 \left(1 - \frac{2}{1-w}\frac{V(\phi)}{\rho_c}\right). \quad (9)$$

*Electronic address: jakub.f.mielczarek@gmail.com

The above equation has solution in the form

$$V(\phi) = \frac{V_0}{\cosh^2 \left[\sqrt{6\pi G(1+w)}(\phi - \phi_0) \right]} \quad (10)$$

where $V_0 = \rho_c(1-w)/2$. It is worth to mention that for $\phi \rightarrow \infty$ we recover $V(\phi) \propto \exp\{-\sqrt{24\pi G(1+w)}\phi\}$ which is well known classical limit. In Fig. 1 we draw potential (10) for the different values of parameter w .




FIG. 1: Multi-fluid potential with the different values of the parameter w . In the figure the value $\phi_0 = 0$ was assumed.

Particular situation corresponds to the case $p_\phi = -\rho_\phi$ ($w = -1$). Field can get such a equation of state only in the limit $\dot{\phi} \rightarrow 0$. Therefore this particular case must be excluded from considerations. Namely, we can not mimic pure cosmological constant with time dependent scalar field.

We must to stress that potential in the form $1/\cosh^2(x)$ has already appeared in the different context in the loop cosmology. Namely, was obtained by Singh [11] for the scaling solutions in LQC dual to those in Randall-Sundrum cosmology. These considerations were performed for the model with a self-interacting scalar field and matter with a fixed equation of state w .

III. ANALYTICAL SOLUTIONS

In this section we show analytical solution of the model with potential (10). For the considered case we can additionally derive equation

$$\dot{H} = -\frac{\kappa}{2}(1+w)\rho_\phi \left(1 - 2\frac{\rho_\phi}{\rho_c}\right). \quad (11)$$

With use of continuity equation $\dot{\rho}_\phi + 3H(\rho_\phi + p_\phi) = 0$ (or equivalently equation (4)) we directly obtain

$$\rho_\phi = \rho_c \left(\frac{p}{p_c}\right)^{-\frac{3}{2}(1+w)}. \quad (12)$$

Comparing this to the expression (7) with (10) we obtain

$$p(\phi) = p_c \left(\cosh^2 \left[\sqrt{6\pi G(1+w)}(\phi - \phi_0) \right] \right)^{\frac{2}{3(1+w)}}. \quad (13)$$

Similar solution, however not in such a explicit form, has already been derived in Ref. [11]. To obtain time t dependence we use expression $\frac{1}{2}\dot{\phi}^2 = \frac{1+w}{1-w}V(\phi)$ with potential (10) to obtain

$$\cosh^2 \left[\sqrt{6\pi G(1+w)}(\phi - \phi_0) \right] = 1 + 6\pi G\rho_c(1+w)^2(t-t_0)^2. \quad (14)$$

This leads to

$$p(t) = p_c \left(1 + 6\pi G\rho_c(1+w)^2(t-t_0)^2 \right)^{\frac{2}{3(1+w)}}. \quad (15)$$

This solution can be also find in Ref. [10]. In case of the $w = -1$ solution has exponential form and can be found in the paper [6].

In Fig. 2 we show time dependence of the canonical variable p for different values of parameter w . Resulting




FIG. 2: Evolution of the canonical variable p for the different values of parameter w .

dynamics is singularity free bounce.

The time derivative of the field ϕ can be obtained from the equation (14) and has a form

$$\frac{d\phi}{dt} = \pm \sqrt{\frac{\rho_c(1+w)}{1 + 6\pi G\rho_c(1+w)^2(t-t_0)^2}}. \quad (16)$$

From this we see that ϕ is monotonic function of time (growing or decreasing). Choosing growing solution we have

$$\phi(t) = \phi_0 + \frac{\operatorname{arcsinh} \left[\sqrt{6\pi G\rho_c(1+w)}(t-t_0) \right]}{\sqrt{6\pi G(1+w)}}. \quad (17)$$

We draw this function in Fig. 3.

IV. PHANTOM FIELD

In this section we perform analogous consideration for the case of phantom field [12, 13, 14]. For this kind of field energy density and pressure are expressed as follow

$$\rho_\phi = -\frac{1}{2}\dot{\phi}^2 + V(\phi), \quad (18)$$

$$p_\phi = -\frac{1}{2}\dot{\phi}^2 - V(\phi). \quad (19)$$




FIG. 3: Evolution of the scalar field ϕ for the different values of parameter w .

From this we see that $\rho_\phi + p_\phi < -\dot{\phi}^2$. The parameter w in the equation of state for the phantom field takes values $w < -1$.

Phantom matter was introduced in loop quantum cosmology in Ref. [15]. Subsequently phantom field in the loop quantum cosmology has been studied in Ref. [16]. Also the models with interacting phantom field have been investigated [17, 18, 19].

Based on the continuity equation we derive equation of motion

$$\ddot{\phi} + 3H\dot{\phi} - \frac{dV}{d\phi} = 0. \quad (20)$$

Performing calculations in the same way like in the section II we obtain

$$V(\phi) = \frac{V_0}{\cosh^2 \left[\sqrt{-6\pi G(1+w)}(\phi - \phi_0) \right]} \quad (21)$$

where $V_0 = \rho_c(1-w)/2$.

Solution for the scalar phantom field has a form

$$\phi(t) = \phi_0 + \frac{\text{arcsinh} \left[\sqrt{6\pi G\rho_c} [1+w](t-t_0) \right]}{\sqrt{-6\pi G(1+w)}}. \quad (22)$$

It is monotonically growing function too. Therefore phantom field with potential (21) can be treated as internal time. The canonical parameter p depends on value of the ϕ as follow

$$p(\phi) = p_c \left(\cosh^2 \left[\sqrt{-6\pi G(1+w)}(\phi - \phi_0) \right] \right)^{\frac{2}{3(1+w)}}. \quad (23)$$

Rewriting equation (22) to the form

$$\cosh^2 \left[\sqrt{-6\pi G(1+w)}(\phi - \phi_0) \right] = 1 + 6\pi G\rho_c(1+w)^2(t-t_0)^2 \quad (24)$$

one can obtain explicit time dependence of the parameter p . We show this function in Fig. 4. We see that, in




FIG. 4: Evolution of the canonical variable p for the different values of parameter w .

contrast to the bouncing behaviour, here universe shrinks to zero for $t \rightarrow \pm\infty$. To investigate this state we consider time dependence of the energy density of the phantom field. We have

$$\rho_\phi = \frac{\rho_c}{1 + 6\pi G\rho_c(1+w)^2(t-t_0)^2} \quad (25)$$

what is shown in Fig. 5. We see that despite the variable




FIG. 5: Evolution of the energy density of the phantom field ρ_ϕ for the different values of parameter w .

p tends to zero energy density decreases too.

V. SUMMARY

In this paper we have considered self-interacting scalar field theory in the universe with holonomy effects resulting from the Loop Quantum Cosmology. We have performed constraint for the scalar field in the form $p_\phi = w\rho_\phi$ where $w = \text{const}$. Based on this restriction we have derived expression for the scalar field potential which fulfils this condition. This condition can be fulfilled for $w \in (-1, 1]$. The case $w = -1$ is excluded. Such a state can be obtained only in the case $\dot{\phi} \rightarrow 0$ and

can not be mimicked with $\dot{\phi} \neq 0$. We have solved considered model analytically. Subsequently, we have performed analogous investigations for the phantom field $w < -1$. Potentials for scalar and phantom fields are related by the symmetry $(1 + w) \rightarrow -(1 + w)$.

We have shown that field ϕ is monotonic function of time in both cases. This result indicate that scalar field (as well as phantom field) with derived potentials can be treated as internal time. The models therefore seems to be good candidate to the further purely quantum consid-

erations.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported in part by the Marie Curie Actions Transfer of Knowledge project COCOS (contract MTKD-CT-2004-517186).

-
- [1] M. Bojowald, Living Rev. Relativity **11**, (2008), 4.
 - [2] A. Ashtekar, T. Pawłowski and P. Singh, Phys. Rev. Lett. **96** (2006) 141301 [arXiv:gr-qc/0602086].
 - [3] A. Ashtekar, T. Pawłowski and P. Singh, Phys. Rev. D **73** (2006) 124038 [arXiv:gr-qc/0604013].
 - [4] A. Ashtekar, T. Pawłowski and P. Singh, Phys. Rev. D **74** (2006) 084003 [arXiv:gr-qc/0607039].
 - [5] A. Corichi and P. Singh, Phys. Rev. D **78** (2008) 024034 [arXiv:0805.0136 [gr-qc]].
 - [6] J. Mielczarek, T. Stachowiak and M. Szydlowski, Phys. Rev. D **77** (2008) 123506 [arXiv:0801.0502 [gr-qc]].
 - [7] P. Singh, K. Vandersloot and G. V. Vereshchagin, Phys. Rev. D **74** (2006) 043510 [arXiv:gr-qc/0606032].
 - [8] T. Cailleteau, A. Cardoso, K. Vandersloot and D. Wands, arXiv:0808.0190 [gr-qc].
 - [9] J. Mielczarek and M. Szydlowski, JCAP **0808** (2008) 014 [arXiv:0803.1742 [hep-th]].
 - [10] M. Artymowski, Z. Lalak and L. Szulc, arXiv:0807.0160 [gr-qc].
 - [11] P. Singh, Phys. Rev. D **73** (2006) 063508 [arXiv:gr-qc/0603043].
 - [12] R. R. Caldwell, Phys. Lett. B **545** (2002) 23 [arXiv:astro-ph/9908168].
 - [13] P. Singh, M. Sami and N. Dadhich, Phys. Rev. D **68** (2003) 023522 [arXiv:hep-th/0305110].
 - [14] M. P. Dabrowski, T. Stachowiak and M. Szydlowski, Phys. Rev. D **68** (2003) 103519 [arXiv:hep-th/0307128].
 - [15] M. Sami, P. Singh and S. Tsujikawa, Phys. Rev. D **74** (2006) 043514 [arXiv:gr-qc/0605113].
 - [16] D. Samart and B. Gumjudpai, Phys. Rev. D **76** (2007) 043514 [arXiv:0704.3414 [gr-qc]].
 - [17] B. Gumjudpai, arXiv:0706.3467 [gr-qc].
 - [18] X. Fu, H. Yu and P. Wu, arXiv:0808.1382 [gr-qc].
 - [19] P. Wu and S. N. Zhang, JCAP **0806** (2008) 007 [arXiv:0805.2255 [astro-ph]].