

Constraining non-minimally coupled tachyon fields by Noether symmetry

Rudinei C. de Souza[†] and Gilberto M. Kremer^{†§}

[†] Departamento de Física, Universidade Federal do Paraná, Curitiba, Brazil

Abstract. A model for a homogeneous and isotropic Universe whose gravitational sources are a pressureless matter field and a tachyon field non-minimally coupled to the gravitational field is analyzed. Noether symmetry is used to find the expressions for the potential density and for the coupling function, and it is shown that both must be exponential functions of the tachyon field. Two cosmological solutions are investigated: (i) for the early Universe whose only source of the gravitational field is a non-minimally coupled tachyon field which behaves as an inflaton and leads to an exponential accelerated expansion and (ii) for the late Universe whose gravitational sources are a pressureless matter field and a non-minimally coupled tachyon field which plays the role of dark energy and is the responsible of the decelerated-accelerated transition period.

PACS numbers: 98.80.-k, 98.80.Cq, 95.35.+d

§ To whom correspondence should be addressed (kremer@fisica.ufpr.br)

1. Introduction

Presently it is well accepted by the scientific community that the evolution of the Universe started with an exponential accelerated expansion dominated by an entity called inflaton, followed by a decelerated period dominated by matter fields and that presently the Universe has entered into a new accelerated period governed by a dark energy field.

The search for models that could explain satisfactorily the inflationary period as well as the present accelerated expansion is object of intense interest of the researchers in cosmology. Models which explains the accelerated expansion of the Universe are based on scalar (see e.g. [1]) or fermion (see e.g. [2]) fields that are minimally or non-minimally coupled to the gravitational field and which can simulate the inflaton in the primordial era and the dark energy in the present period.

Within the context of scalar fields the models with tachyon fields minimally coupled to the gravitational field received a considerable attention of the researchers. The tachyon field has its roots in string theory, but it can be introduced in a simple manner as a generalization of the Lagrangian density of a relativistic particle, i.e., $\mathcal{L} = -m\sqrt{1 - \dot{q}^2} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_\varphi = -V(\varphi)\sqrt{1 - \partial^\mu\varphi\partial_\mu\varphi}$, in the same way that the quintessence could be considered as a generalization of the Lagrangian density for a non-relativistic particle, namely, $\mathcal{L} = \dot{q}^2/2 - V(q) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_\phi = \partial^\mu\phi\partial_\mu\phi/2 - V(\phi)$. In this work it is discussed the role of the tachyon field within a cosmological framework and the search for any relationship of the tachyon field to its root in string theory is not considered.

In the references [3] the inflationary period of the Universe was investigated by using tachyon fields minimally coupled to the gravitational field for different self interaction potential densities, in the form of power law, exponential and hyperbolic functions of the tachyon field. Tachyon fields with such kinds of potential densities were also used in order to describe the present acceleration period of the Universe in the references [4] where the tachyon field behaves as dark energy. Furthermore, in the work [5] it was also studied a tachyon field with an exponential potential density which can play the role of the inflaton and dark energy. Although in the majority of those papers the tachyon field is minimally coupled to the gravitational field, in reference [6] it was investigated a non-minimally coupled tachyon field with potential densities and coupling functions given by power law functions.

In all the above quoted works the potential densities were proposed in a ad-hoc way, with the aim the determination of desired cosmological solutions. The objective of the present work is to analyze a generic model for a homogeneous and isotropic Universe whose gravitational sources are a matter field and a tachyon field non-minimally coupled to the gravitational field. The present model appears interesting because it can describe in a reasonable way what is observed, since via Noether symmetry approach we can find a unique form for the potential and coupling which describe the inflationary and the decelerated-accelerated period. The forms of the potential density and coupling function are determined from the Noether's symmetry applied to the Lagrangian density which

describes the model. Thus, in this paper Noether's symmetry approach works as a criterion for the selection of the couplings and potentials instead of proposing their forms by an ad-hoc way. By this proceeding we can restrict the possibilities of choice for the functions partially fixing the potentials and couplings. Moreover, the existence of this symmetry guarantees conserved quantities, which provide a motion constant that can help to integrate the field equations. Constraining scalar fields by Noether symmetry is a subject of several papers in the literature (see e.g. [7]). In a recent paper [8] the authors applied Noether symmetry for a fermion field non-minimally coupled with the gravitational field.

The evolution equations of the Universe follows from Einstein's field equations and Klein-Gordon equation for the coupled tachyon field which are solved for a given potential density and coupling function obtained from Noether symmetry. It is shown that a non-minimally coupled tachyon field could play the role of the inflaton describing the exponential accelerated expansion in the early Universe and it could behaves as dark energy describing the decelerated-accelerated transition period of the late Universe.

The organization of the work is the following: in the second section Einstein and Klein-Gordon equations are derived from a point-like Lagrangian obtained from the action for a non-minimally coupled tachyon field and for a Friedmann-Robertson-Walker metric. The purpose of the third section is the determination from Noether symmetry of the possible forms of the self-interaction potential density and of the coupling function. The search of a inflationary cosmological solution is the subject of the fourth section whereas in the fifth section the decelerated-accelerated transition period is analyzed. Final remarks and conclusions in section sixth close this work. From now on it is adopted the signature $(+, -, -, -)$, the natural units $8\pi G = c = \hbar = 1$ whereas the Ricci scalar in terms of the cosmic scale factor $a(t)$ is given by

$$R = 6 \left(\frac{\ddot{a}}{a} + \frac{\dot{a}^2}{a^2} + \frac{k}{a^2} \right).$$

2. Point-like Lagrangian and field equations

Let φ denote a rolling tachyon field with a Lagrangian density $\mathcal{L}_\varphi = -V(\varphi)\sqrt{1 - \partial_\mu\varphi\partial^\mu\varphi}$ where $V(\varphi)$ is the self-interaction potential density. The action for a tachyon field non-minimally coupled to the gravitational field is written as

$$S = \int \sqrt{-g} d^4x \left\{ F(\varphi)R - V(\varphi)\sqrt{1 - \partial_\mu\varphi\partial^\mu\varphi} \right\} + S_m, \quad (1)$$

where S_m is the action of a pressureless matter field, R denotes Ricci scalar and $F(\varphi)$ represents an arbitrary C^2 function of the tachyon field which is related to the coupling of the tachyon field with the gravitational field.

It is considered a homogeneous and isotropic Universe described by the Friedmann-Robertson-Walker metric

$$ds^2 = dt^2 - a(t)^2 \left[\frac{dr^2}{1 - kr^2} + r^2(d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\phi^2) \right], \quad (2)$$

where $k = 0, \pm 1$.

The point-like Lagrangian which follows from (1) through a partial integration and by considering a homogeneous scalar field $\varphi = \varphi(t)$, reads

$$\mathcal{L} = 6a\dot{a}^2 F + 6a^2 \dot{a} \dot{\varphi} \frac{dF}{d\varphi} - 6kaF + a^3 V \sqrt{1 - \dot{\varphi}^2} + \rho_m^0. \quad (3)$$

Above, ρ_m^0 is a constant value of the energy density of the matter field referred to an initial state and the dot denotes a derivative with respect to time.

The Klein-Gordon equation for the coupled tachyon field is obtained from the point-like Lagrangian (3) through the use of Euler-Lagrange equation for φ , yielding

$$\frac{\ddot{\varphi}}{1 - \dot{\varphi}^2} + 3H\dot{\varphi} + \frac{1}{V} \left[\frac{dV}{d\varphi} - 6 \left(\dot{H} + 2H^2 + \frac{k}{a^2} \right) \sqrt{1 - \dot{\varphi}^2} \frac{dF}{d\varphi} \right] = 0, \quad (4)$$

where $H = \dot{a}(t)/a(t)$ denotes the Hubble parameter.

Likewise, from Euler-Lagrange equation for the cosmic scale factor a applied to the point-like Lagrangian (3) one can obtain the acceleration equation, namely,

$$\frac{\ddot{a}}{a} = -\frac{\rho + 3p}{12F}. \quad (5)$$

In the above equation $\rho = \rho_\varphi + \rho_m$ and $p = p_\varphi$ are the energy density and the pressure of the sources of the gravitational field. The energy density and the pressure of the tachyon field are given by

$$\rho_\varphi = \frac{V}{\sqrt{1 - \dot{\varphi}^2}} - 6H \frac{dF}{d\varphi} \dot{\varphi}, \quad (6)$$

$$p_\varphi = -V \sqrt{1 - \dot{\varphi}^2} + 2 \left(\frac{dF}{d\varphi} \ddot{\varphi} + 2H \frac{dF}{d\varphi} \dot{\varphi} + \frac{d^2 F}{d\varphi^2} \dot{\varphi}^2 \right), \quad (7)$$

respectively. Note that the matter field is considered as a pressureless fluid, i.e., $p_m = 0$.

One can obtain Friedmann's equation

$$H^2 = \frac{\rho}{6F} - \frac{k}{a^2}, \quad (8)$$

by imposing that the energy function associated to the point-like Lagrangian vanishes, i.e.,

$$E_{\mathcal{L}} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{a}} \dot{a} + \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{\varphi}} \dot{\varphi} - \mathcal{L} \equiv 0. \quad (9)$$

The above expression is another independent field equation when one considers a Friedmann-Robertson-Walker metric and a homogeneous scalar field in the action (1).

3. Constraints from Noether symmetry

Let \mathbf{X} be the following infinitesimal generator of symmetry

$$\mathbf{X} = \alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial a} + \beta \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi} + \left(\frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial a} \dot{a} + \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial \varphi} \dot{\varphi} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial \dot{a}} + \left(\frac{\partial \beta}{\partial a} \dot{a} + \frac{\partial \beta}{\partial \varphi} \dot{\varphi} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial \dot{\varphi}}, \quad (10)$$

where α and β are only function of (a, φ) . Furthermore, let $L_{\mathbf{X}}$ denote Lie's derivative of the point-like Lagrangian \mathcal{L} with respect to the vector \mathbf{X} which is defined in the tangent space.

Noether's symmetry is satisfied by the condition $L_{\mathbf{X}}\mathcal{L} = 0$, i.e., $\mathbf{X}\mathcal{L} = 0$ which implies

$$\begin{aligned} & \alpha \left(6\dot{a}^2 F + 12a\dot{a}\dot{\varphi} \frac{dF}{d\varphi} + 3a^2 V \sqrt{1 - \dot{\varphi}^2} - 6kF \right) + \beta \left(6a\dot{a}^2 \frac{dF}{d\varphi} + 6a^2 \dot{a}\dot{\varphi} \frac{d^2 F}{d\varphi^2} \right. \\ & + a^3 \frac{dV}{d\varphi} \sqrt{1 - \dot{\varphi}^2} - 6ka \frac{dF}{d\varphi} \left. \right) + \left(\frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial a} \dot{a} + \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial \varphi} \dot{\varphi} \right) \left(12a\dot{a}F + 6a^2 \dot{\varphi} \frac{dF}{d\varphi} \right) \\ & + \left(\frac{\partial \beta}{\partial a} \dot{a} + \frac{\partial \beta}{\partial \varphi} \dot{\varphi} \right) \left(6a^2 \dot{a} \frac{dF}{d\varphi} - \frac{a^3 \dot{\varphi} V}{\sqrt{1 - \dot{\varphi}^2}} \right) = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

After some rearrangements the equation (11) depends explicitly on \dot{a} , $\dot{\varphi}$, their powers and combinations with $\sqrt{1 - \dot{\varphi}^2}$, hence their coefficients must vanish, yielding

$$\left(\alpha + 2a \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial a} \right) F + \left(\beta + a \frac{\partial \beta}{\partial a} \right) a \frac{dF}{d\varphi} = 0, \quad (12)$$

$$2F \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial \varphi} + \left(2\alpha + a \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial a} + a \frac{\partial \beta}{\partial \varphi} \right) \frac{dF}{d\varphi} + a\beta \frac{d^2 F}{d\varphi^2} = 0, \quad (13)$$

$$\frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial \varphi} \frac{dF}{d\varphi} = 0, \quad \frac{\partial \beta}{\partial a} = 0, \quad \frac{\partial \beta}{\partial \varphi} = 0, \quad (14)$$

$$3\alpha V + a\beta \frac{dV}{d\varphi} = 0, \quad (15)$$

$$\alpha F + a\beta \frac{dF}{d\varphi} = 0. \quad (16)$$

The equations (12) - (16) come by imposing that the coefficients of \dot{a}^2 , $\dot{a}\dot{\varphi}$, $\dot{\varphi}^2$, $\dot{a}\dot{\varphi}/\sqrt{1 - \dot{\varphi}^2}$, $\dot{\varphi}^2/\sqrt{1 - \dot{\varphi}^2}$ and $\sqrt{1 - \dot{\varphi}^2}$ vanish. Moreover, it was supposed that \dot{a} and $\dot{\varphi}$ do not vanish during the time evolution of the Universe described by this model and that the restriction $\dot{\varphi}^2 \neq 1$ holds. Observe that the simplifications related to a – which were done to obtain the equations (12) - (16) – are possible because it was considered that $a \neq 0$.

The analysis of the system of equations (12) through (16) proceeds as follows. First, from equations (14)₂ and (14)₃ one infers that $\beta \equiv \beta_0$ must be constant. Next, from equation (14)₁ two cases must be considered, namely, $dF/d\varphi = 0$ and $dF/d\varphi \neq 0$.

The equation (16) cannot be satisfied simultaneously with the rest of the system for the case $dF/d\varphi \neq 0$. When we take the case $dF/d\varphi = 0$, the system is solved if $F = 0$, which excludes the gravitational field from the action (1). This means that if the Noether symmetry must be satisfied the case $k \neq 0$ is ruled out. But when one considers $k = 0$ – a flat Universe – for the point-like Lagrangian (3) the condition $L_{\mathbf{X}}\mathcal{L} = 0$ furnishes the system (12) - (16) without the equation (16) and the system can present an interesting solution. Hence, the following analysis will be done for a homogeneous, isotropic and spatially flat Universe and it will be considered in all remaining equations that $k = 0$.

Below the two cases ($dF/d\varphi \neq 0$ and $dF/d\varphi = 0$) will be examined separately for the unique permitted situation by the Noether symmetry i.e., for $k = 0$.

(a) *First case* $dF/d\varphi = 0$

From the system of equations (12) and (13) it follows that α do not depend on φ and is proportional to $1/\sqrt{a}$. Further one concludes that the only possibility to fulfill equation (15) is by taking $V = 0$. This case is not interesting because the energy density and the pressure of tachyon field vanish identically.

(b) *Second case* $dF/d\varphi \neq 0$

For $dF/d\varphi \neq 0$ it follows from (14)₁ that α is only a function of a and the only possibility for equation (15) to be satisfied is that

$$\alpha = \alpha_0 a \quad \text{and} \quad V = \lambda \exp(-\xi\varphi), \quad (17)$$

where α_0 , λ and $\xi = 3\alpha_0/\beta_0$ are constants. Finally, from the system of equations (12) and (13) one can get that the coupling function reads

$$F = \gamma \exp(-\xi\varphi), \quad (18)$$

where γ is another constant.

The constant of motion associated with the Noether symmetry is

$$\Sigma_0 = \alpha \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{a}} + \beta \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{\varphi}}, \quad (19)$$

which for the symmetry found leads to

$$\frac{\Sigma_0}{6\gamma\beta_0} = - \left[\left(\frac{\kappa}{\sqrt{1-\dot{\varphi}^2}} + \frac{\xi^2}{3} \right) \dot{\varphi} + \frac{\xi}{3} H \right] a^3 \exp(-\xi\varphi), \quad (20)$$

where $\kappa = \lambda/6\gamma$. This is the form of the constant of motion associated with the Noether symmetry which was found for the dynamics described by the general action (1). Such a constant of motion is a new conserved quantity which does not have any relation to some known a priori quantity.

Note that the non-interacting and pressureless matter field is proportional to a^3 and it appears as a constant in the point-like Lagrangian (3). Furthermore, it does not have any influence on the equations (11)-(14) which result from the symmetry condition. Then the constant of motion associated with the symmetry is the same for the cases with and without a matter field.

4. Inflationary period

In the inflationary period the role played by a matter field is negligible, so that one can rid of it by letting $\rho_m^0 = 0$. In this case one has to solve the system of coupled differential equations that follow from equations (4) and (8), namely,

$$\frac{\ddot{\varphi}}{1-\dot{\varphi}^2} + 3H\dot{\varphi} + \frac{\xi}{\kappa}(\dot{H} + 2H^2)\sqrt{1-\dot{\varphi}^2} - \xi = 0, \quad (21)$$

$$H^2 - \xi H\dot{\varphi} - \frac{\kappa}{\sqrt{1-\dot{\varphi}^2}} = 0, \quad (22)$$

by using equations (6), (17) and (18).

For the determination of an analytical solution of the coupled system of differential equations (21) and (22) one makes use of the constant of motion associated with Noether symmetry (20), which after differentiation with respect to φ becomes an ordinary differential equation for φ that can be written as

$$\left(\frac{3\kappa}{\xi^2\sqrt{1-\dot{\varphi}^2}} + \frac{3}{2}\right)\dot{\varphi} \pm \sqrt{\left(\frac{\kappa}{\xi^2\sqrt{1-\dot{\varphi}^2}} + \frac{\dot{\varphi}^2}{4}\right)} = 0, \quad (23)$$

thanks to (22) and $\partial H/\partial\varphi = 0$.

By considering $\xi = -\iota\xi_0$, where ξ_0 is a real constant, the solution of the differential equation (23) is given by

$$\varphi(t) = \iota(k_1t + k_2), \quad (24)$$

where k_1 and k_2 are real constants. This solution implies the following relationships for the constants

$$\kappa = \frac{\lambda}{6\gamma} = \frac{1}{18} \left(\frac{\xi_0}{k_1}\right)^2 \sqrt{1+k_1^2} \left(1 + \sqrt{1+18k_1^2+9k_1^4+9k_1^2}\right). \quad (25)$$

Now the substitution of the time evolution of the tachyon field (24) into the expressions for the potential density (17) and coupling (18) leads to

$$V(t) = \lambda \exp[-\xi_0(k_1t + k_2)], \quad F(t) = \gamma \exp[-\xi_0(k_1t + k_2)], \quad (26)$$

respectively. By requiring that the potential density must decay with time, one infers from equation (26)₁ that $\xi_0k_1 > 0$.

Finally, one can obtain from equation (22) through integration the time evolution of the cosmic scale factor, namely,

$$a(t) = \exp[K(t - t_0)], \quad \text{where} \quad K = \sqrt{\frac{\kappa}{\sqrt{1+k_1^2}} + \frac{\xi_0^2k_1^2}{4} + \frac{\xi_0k_1}{2}}. \quad (27)$$

Hence, this solution describes an inflationary period, where the cosmic scale factor increases exponentially with time.

The time evolution of the energy density and pressure of the tachyon field can be obtained from equations (6), (7), (24) and (26), yielding

$$\rho_\varphi(t) = \left(\frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{1+k_1^2}} + 6\xi_0\gamma k_1 K\right) \exp[-\xi_0(k_1t + k_2)], \quad (28)$$

$$p_\varphi(t) = -\frac{\lambda\sqrt{1+k_1^2} + 2\xi_0\gamma k_1(2K - \xi_0k_1)}{\frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{1+k_1^2}} + 6\xi_0\gamma k_1 K} \rho_\varphi(t). \quad (29)$$

From the above equations one concludes that (i) the pressure is proportional to energy density and is negative and (ii) the inflationary period comes to an end at a finite time since the energy density and pressure of the tachyon field tend to zero after that time.

5. Decelerated-accelerated transition period

The analysis of the case where the sources of the gravitational field are the tachyon and the pressureless matter fields proceeds by changing the variable and introducing the red-shift z through the relationships

$$z = \frac{1}{a} - 1, \quad \frac{d}{dt} = -H(1+z)\frac{d}{dz}. \quad (30)$$

In terms of the red-shift the Klein-Gordon (4) and acceleration (5) equations become

$$H^2(1+z)^2\varphi'' + [H + H'(1+z)]H(1+z)\varphi' = [1 - H^2(1+z)^2\varphi'^2] \\ \times \left\{ 3H^2(1+z)\varphi' + \frac{\xi}{\kappa}H[H'(1+z) - 2H]\sqrt{1 - H^2(1+z)^2\varphi'^2} + \xi \right\}, \quad (31)$$

$$4\gamma HH' \exp(-\xi\varphi)(1+z) = \rho_m + \rho_\varphi + p_\varphi, \quad (32)$$

where the energy densities of the matter and tachyon fields and the pressure of the tachyon field read

$$\rho_m(z) = \rho_m^0(1+z)^3, \quad (33)$$

$$\rho_\varphi(z) = \exp(-\xi\varphi) \left\{ \frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{1 - H^2(1+z)^2\varphi'^2}} - 6\xi\gamma H^2(1+z)\varphi' \right\}, \quad (34)$$

$$p_\varphi(z) = -\exp(-\xi\varphi) \left\{ \lambda\sqrt{1 - H^2(1+z)^2\varphi'^2} + 2\xi\gamma [H^2(1+z)^2\varphi'' \\ + (H'(1+z) - H)H(1+z)\varphi' - \xi H^2(1+z)^2\varphi'^2] \right\}, \quad (35)$$

respectively. In the above equations the prime denotes the derivative with respect to the red-shift z .

The search for exact solutions of the coupled system of differential equations (31) and (32) is a very hard job and a numerical solution of the system of equations will be analyzed afterwards.

For the determination of numerical solutions of the system of differential equations (31) and (32) one has to specify initial conditions for $\varphi(z)$, $\varphi'(z)$ and $H(z)$ at present time, i.e., at $z = 0$. As usual the energy densities are replaced by the density parameters $\Omega_\varphi(z) = \rho_\varphi(z)/\rho(z)$ and $\Omega_m(z) = \rho_m(z)/\rho(z)$ where $\rho(z) = \rho_\varphi(z) + \rho_m(z)$ is the total energy density of the sources of the gravitational field. Furthermore, one introduces the dimensionless quantities $H_*(z) = H(z)/\sqrt{\rho(0)}$, $\varphi_*(z) = \sqrt{\rho(0)}\varphi(z)$, $\xi_* = \xi/\sqrt{\rho(0)}$ and $\lambda_* = \lambda/\rho(0)$. Here the present values of the energy densities adopted are $\Omega_\varphi(0) = 0.72$ and $\Omega_m(0) = 0.28$ (see e.g. reference [9]).

One expects that the present value of the coupling is $F = c^3/16\pi G$ or $F = 1/2$ in natural units. Hence, it follows from (18) that $\varphi_*(0) = \ln(2\gamma)^{1/\xi_*}$. Besides, since in the late time the Universe is accelerating, the negative pressure of the tachyon field must be the responsible for its acceleration. To guarantee this behavior one imposes the condition $\dot{\varphi}^2(0) \ll 1$. It follows from equation (8) that $\rho_\varphi(0) \approx V(0)$, so that $\varphi_*(0) = \ln(\lambda_*/0.72)^{1/\xi_*}$, which together with the previous expression leads to the relationship $\gamma = \lambda_*/1.44$.




Figure 1. Left frame: density parameters of matter and tachyon fields as functions of the red-shift. Right frame: deceleration parameter as function of the red-shift.

From the above considerations among the three free parameters ξ_* , λ_* and γ only two are linearly independent. To find the numerical solutions of the system of differential equations it was chosen $\lambda_* = 1$ and three values for the parameter which is related with the strength of the coupling, namely, $\xi_* = 0.05$; 0.10 ; 0.20 . Furthermore, the desired conditions at $z = 0$ – by taking into account that $\dot{\varphi}^2(0) \ll 1$ – are

$$H_*(0) = \sqrt{1/3}, \quad \varphi_*(0) = -\ln(0.72)^{1/\xi_*}, \quad \varphi'_*(0) = 10^{-3}. \quad (36)$$

In the left frame of figure 1 the density parameters of the matter and tachyon fields are plotted as a function of the red-shift in the range $0 \leq z \leq 2$. One observes from this figure that the coupling parameter ξ_* has influence on the decay of the density parameter of the tachyon field and the corresponding increase of the matter field, being more efficient according as the value of ξ_* decreases. This behavior can be explained by noting that the increase of the coupling parameter ξ_* increases the energy transfer from the tachyon field to the gravitational field. Furthermore, as was expected, the limiting case $\xi_* \rightarrow 0$ tends to the Λ CDM model.

The deceleration parameter $q = 1/2 + 3p/2\rho$ is plotted as function of the red-shift $0 \leq z \leq 2$ in the right frame of figure 1, whereas in table 1 it is compared the values taken from the curves with the predicted values of the present deceleration parameter $q(0)$ and the transition red-shift z_t where the decelerated period turns over an accelerated period. From table 1 one infers that all values are almost within the uncertainty of the predicted values. Furthermore, by increasing the value of the coupling the red-shift transition z_t decreases whereas the deceleration parameter $q(0)$ increases. It is noteworthy to call attention that for high values of the red-shift the coupling is not important, since the deceleration parameters for different values of coupling parameter ξ_* tend to a common value.

It is also interesting to analyze the evolution with the red-shift of the coupling function $F(z)$ for different values of the coupling parameter ξ_* . The plot of $F(z)$ versus z is given in the left frame of figure 2 where one can infer that the coupling parameter ξ_*

	$\xi_* = 0.20$	$\xi_* = 0.10$	$\xi_* = 0.05$	Λ CDM	predicted values
$q(0)$	-0.50	-0.55	-0.57	-0.58	-0.74 ± 0.18 (from [10])
z_t	0.49	0.62	0.69	0.73	0.46 ± 0.13 (from [11])

Table 1. Values of the deceleration parameter $q(0)$ and of the red-shift transition z_t for different values of the coupling parameter ξ_* .




Figure 2. Left frame: coupling function F versus the red-shift z . Right frame: ratio of pressure and energy density of the tachyon field ω_φ versus the red-shift z .

has a prominent role on the behavior of F . Indeed, the variation of the coupling function F is less accentuated for small values of the coupling constant ξ_* . This behavior was expected due to the expression of F given by equation (23). Another point is that for different values of the coupling parameter ξ_* the coupling function tends to an asymptotic value by increasing values of the red-shift. The behavior of the potential density V with the red-shift is similar to the coupling function F , since they have the same exponential dependence on φ .

In the right frame of figure 2 it is plotted the ratio of the pressure and energy density of the tachyon field $\omega_\varphi = p_\varphi/\rho_\varphi$ as function of the red-shift z . One observes from this figure that $\omega_\varphi \rightarrow -1$ when $z \rightarrow 0$ for small values of the coupling parameter ξ_* , i.e., for small values of ξ_* the tachyon field approaches the Λ CDM model. For high values of the red-shift $\omega_\varphi \rightarrow 0$, i.e., the tachyon field tends to a pressureless matter field.

The difference of the apparent and absolute magnitude of a source is defined in terms of the luminosity distance and given by

$$\mu_0 = m - M = 25 + 5 \log \left[(1+z) \int_0^z \frac{dz'}{H(z')} \right]. \quad (37)$$

It is plotted in figure 3 as function of the red-shift, where the circles denote observational data of super-novae of type Ia (see reference [12]). Only one curve for the coupled model, namely for $\xi_* = 0.2$, was plotted since there is no sensible difference with the Λ CDM model when $\xi_* \rightarrow 0$. From this figure one concludes that the values of μ_0 for the coupled model does not differ from the Λ CDM model for small red-shifts existing only a small




Figure 3. μ_0 as a function of the red-shift z . The straight line represents the model with tachyon and matter fields with $\xi_* = 0.2$, the dashed line the Λ CDM model and the circles are observational data of super-novae of type Ia.

depart of the curves for the two models when the red-shift increases.

6. Final remarks

In the last section the conditions chosen for the scalar field were: (i) $F(0) = \gamma \exp[-\xi\varphi(0)] = 1/2$ which corresponds to the value of the gravitational constant presently and (ii) that tachyon field φ is rolling very slowly in the late accelerated period so that $\dot{\varphi}^2 \ll 1$. With these conditions the action (1) becomes

$$S = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \{R/2 - V\} + S_m, \quad (38)$$

for the present time. So one recovers the gravitational action that describes the gravity as it is observed today. Moreover, one has a potential term with a cosmological constant behavior which accelerates the actual expansion of the Universe.

The action (38), which results from the limit of small derivatives of the field φ , coincides with the actions analyzed in detail for the models proposed in the references [14, 15]. Then the limiting situation (38) leads to a constant gravitational coupling for a constant field φ . In this limit the present model is similar to the models in the quoted references which present this limiting propriety, being in accordance with the experimental data. The models proposed in the works [14, 15] can produce an exponential solution for the scale factor, characterizing an accelerated expansion. One should hope this same behavior from the field equations of the present model, once there is a relation between the two models for the limit of small derivatives, and this really happens. One may infer this kind of solution by observing that for the late time, when the small derivatives are imposed for the present model, the solutions describe a progressing accelerated Universe while the energy density of the matter decays and

the energy density of the tachyon field increases. Furthermore, the present model can describe the inflationary period with the same function of coupling and potential which describes the present acceleration by taking the limit of small derivatives, but one should note that in the inflationary case the limit of small derivatives is not taken into account.

It is also interesting to analyze the evolution equation for the energy density of the coupled tachyon field ρ_φ . By differentiating Friedmann equation (8) with respect to time and taking into account the acceleration equation (5) and the expression for the coupling function (18), yields

$$\dot{\rho} + 3H(\rho + p) = -\xi\dot{\varphi}\rho, \quad \text{hence} \quad \dot{\rho}_\varphi + 3H(\rho_\varphi + p_\varphi) = -\xi\dot{\varphi}\rho, \quad (39)$$

thanks to $\rho = \rho_m + \rho_\varphi$, $p = p_\varphi$ and $\dot{\rho}_m + 3H\rho_m = 0$. From equation (39)₂ one can understand the role played by the coupling constant ξ on the energy transfer from the tachyon field to the gravitational and matter fields.

7. Conclusions

As was explained before the application of Noether symmetry to the Lagrangian density is a very important tool, since it guarantees the conservation laws and restricts the possible expressions for the potential density and for the coupling function of a tachyon field. Here it was shown that according to Noether symmetry only exponential functions of the tachyon field are possible expressions for the potential density and for the coupling function of non-minimally coupled tachyon fields.

The cosmological solutions found are of two kinds. The first one refers to a non-minimally coupled tachyon field as the only gravitational source of the early Universe which behaves as an inflaton and leads to an exponential accelerated expansion which ends in a finite time. In the second one the gravitational sources of the Universe are a non-minimally coupled tachyon field and a pressureless matter field where the tachyon field comports as dark energy and is the responsible for the decelerated-accelerated transition period of the late Universe. In the latter case it was shown that the coupling constant has influence on the density and deceleration parameters and also on the luminosity distance, since the coupling constant is connected with the energy transfer from the tachyon field to the gravitational and matter fields.

Acknowledgments

The authors acknowledge the support from CNPq (Brazil).

References

- [1] Peebles P J E and Ratra B 2003 *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **75** 559
 Szydlowski M Kurek A and Krawieck A 2006 *Phys. Lett. B* **642** 171
 Binder J B Kremer G M 2006 *Gen. Rel. Grav.* **38** 857
- [2] Ribas M O Devecchi F P and Kremer G M 2005 *Phys. Rev. D*, **72** 123502

- Saha B 2006 *Phys. Rev. D* **74** 124030
- Ribas M O Devecchi F P and Kremer G M 2008 *Europhys. Lett.* **81** 19001
- Chimento L P Devecchi F P Forte M Kremer G M 2008 *Class. Quantum Grav.* **25** 085007
- [3] Abramo L R W and Finelli F 2003 *Phys. Lett. B* **575** 165
- Liu D and Li X 2004 *Phys. Rev. D*, **70** 123504
- Kremer G M and Alves D S M 2004 *Gen. Relativ. Grav.* **36** 2039
- Steer D A and Vernizzi F 2004 *Phys. Rev. D* **70** 043527
- Campuzano C and Del Campo S 2006 *Phys. Lett. B* **633** 149
- Herrera R Del Campo S and Campuzano C 2006 *J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys.* JCAP **10** 009
- Xiong H and Zhu J 2007 *Phys. Rev. D* **75** 084023
- Balart L Del Campo S Herrera R Labraña P and Saavedra J 2007 *Phys. Lett. B* **647** 313
- [4] Padmanabhan T 2002 *Phys. Rev. D* **66** 021301
- Hao J and Li X 2002 *Phys. Rev. D* **66** 087301
- Bagla J S Jassal H K and Padmanabhan T 2003 *Phys. Rev. D* **67** 063504
- Jassal H K 2004 *Pramana* **62** 757
- Del Campo S Herrera R and Pavón D 2005 *Phys. Rev. D* **71** 123529
- Das A Gupta S Saini T D and Kar S 2005 *Phys. Rev. D* **72** 043528
- Panotopoulos G 2006 *arXiv: 0606249v2 [astro-ph]*
- Ren J and Meng X 2008 *arXiv: 0610266v2 [astro-ph]*
- [5] Cárdenas V H 2006 *Phys. Rev. D* **73** 103512
- [6] Srivastava S K 2005 *arXiv: 0409074v4 [gr-qc]*
- [7] Capozziello S Lambiase G 2000 *Gen. Relativ. Grav.* **32** 295
- De Souza J C C Faraoni V 2007 *Class. Quantum Grav.*, **24** 3637
- Vakili B 2008 *Phys. Lett. B*, **664** 16
- Capozziello S De Felice A 2008 *J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys.* JCAP **08** 016
- De Ritis R Marmo G Platania G Rubano C Scudellaro P and Stornaiolo C 1990 *Phys. Rev. D* **42** 1091
- De Ritsi R Marmo G Platania G Rubano C Scudellaro P and Stornaiolo C 1991 *Phys. Rev. D* **44** 3136
- Capozziello S and Ritis R 1994 *Class. Quantum Grav.* **11** 107
- Capozziello S and Lambiase G 2000 *Grav. Cosmol.* **6** 174
- Demianski M Piedipalumbo E Rubano C and Tortora C 2006 *Astron. and Astrophys.* **454** 55
- Capozziello S Dunsby P K S Piedipalumbo E and Rubano C 2007 *Astron. and Astrophys.* **472** 51
- Capozziello S Nesseris S and Perivolaropoulos L 2007 *J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys.* JCAP **12** 009
- [8] De Souza R C and Kremer G M 2008 *Class. Quantum Grav.* **25** 225006
- [9] Fukugita M and Peebles P J E 2004 *Astrophys. J.* **616** 643
- [10] Virey J M *et al.* 2005 *Phys. Rev. D* **72** 061302
- [11] Riess A G *et al.* 2004 *Astrophys. J.* **607** 665
- [12] Riess A G *et al.* 2007 *Astrophys. J.* **659** 98
- [13] Spalinski M 2008 *J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys.* JCAP **0804** 002
- [14] Fiziev P P 2002 *arXiv: 0202074v4 [gr-qc]*
- [15] Fiziev P P and Georgieva D A 2003 *Phys. Rev. D* **67** 064016