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#### Abstract

We show that all pure entangled states of two $d$-dimensional quantum systems (i.e., two qudits) can be generated from an initial separable state via a universal Yang-Baxter matrix if one is assisted by local unitary transformations.


PACS numbers: 03.65.Ud, 03.67.Bg, 03.67.Mn

Entangled state is the cornerstone of quantum information theory [1] that has many successful applications in quantum information processing, such as the revolutionary one-way quantum computer [2], quantum cryptography [3], dense coding [4], teleportation [5], communication protocols and computation 6]. Consequently, the ability to generate and control quantum entangled states has become a far-reaching goal in experimental manipulation as well as theoretical investigation in recent years. In fact, a great number of experiments have been devoted to investigating the production of entangled states of photons (including the hyperentangled photon pairs) via the process of spontaneous parametric down-conversion in a nonlinear optical crystal [7] [8], particularly for use in the tests of Bell inequalities.

A fundamental notion in quantum computation (QC) is universality: a set of quantum logic gates (i.e., unitary matrices) is said to be "universal for QC " if any unitary matrix can be approximated to arbitrary accuracy by a quantum circuit involving only those gates 1]. For example, an arbitrary $U(2)$ matrix can be obtained by combining the Hadamard gate together with the phase shift gates. When such a $U(2)$ matrix is prepared, an arbitrary state for a single qubit $|\psi\rangle=\cos \frac{\theta}{2}|0\rangle+\sin \frac{\theta}{2} e^{i \phi}|1\rangle$ can be immediately generated by acting the $U(2)$ matrix on the initial state $|0\rangle$. Since entangled states are important for quantum information processing, it gives rise to a natural question whether an arbitrary pure entangled state of two qudits (such as the maximally entangled state) can be generated via a universal matrix from an initial separable state $|00\rangle$ ?

The purpose of this work is to provide a positive answer to the above question. We shall study the problem from the theoretical point of view based on the Yang-Baxter equation, which was originated in solving quantum integrable models [9, 10], but recently has been shown to have a deep connection with topological quantum computation and entanglement swapping [11, 12, 13, 14, 15]. The Yang-Baxter equation, in principle, can be tested in terms of quantum optics 16]. Let us consider a gen-
eral pure state of two qudits, whick takes of the following form: $|\Psi\rangle=\sum_{i, j=0}^{d-1} \mu_{i j}|i\rangle_{A}|j\rangle_{B}$, where $|i\rangle_{A}$ and $|j\rangle_{B}$ are the orthonormal bases of the Hilbert spaces A and B respectively, and $\mu_{i j}$ 's are complex numbers satisfied the normalization condition $\sum_{i, j=0}^{d-1}\left|\mu_{i j}\right|^{2}=1$. After performing an appropriate local unitary transformation, the general state $|\Psi\rangle$ can be recast to a Schmidt-form as $|\psi\rangle_{2-\text { qudit }}=\kappa_{0}|00\rangle+\kappa_{1}|11\rangle+\cdots+\kappa_{d-1}|d-1, d-1\rangle$, where $\kappa_{j}$ 's $(j=0,1, \ldots, d-1)$ are the Schmidt coefficients. Since $|\psi\rangle_{2-q u d i t}$ is equivalent to $|\Psi\rangle$ up to a local unitary transformation, hereafter when refer to generating an arbitrary pure state of two qudits we mean generating the state $|\psi\rangle_{2-q u d i t}$. Now we illustrate the problem by starting from unitary solutions of Yang-Baxter equation in the following.

Unitary solutions of Yang-Baxter equation. The Yang-Baxter equation is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\breve{R}_{i}(x) \breve{R}_{i+1}(x y) \breve{R}_{i}(y)=\breve{R}_{i+1}(y) \breve{R}_{i}(x y) \breve{R}_{i+1}(x) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here the notation $\breve{R}_{i}(x) \equiv \breve{R}_{i, i+1}(x)$ is used, $\breve{R}_{i, i+1}(x)$ implies $\mathbb{I}_{1} \otimes \mathbb{I}_{2} \otimes \mathbb{I}_{3} \cdots \otimes \breve{R}_{i, i+1}(x) \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbb{I}_{n}, \mathbb{I}_{j}$ represents the unit matrix of the $j$-th particle, and $x=e^{i \theta}$ is a parameter related to the degree of entanglement. Let the unitary Yang-Baxter $\breve{R}$-matrix for two qudits be the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\breve{R}_{i}(x)=F(x)\left[\mathbf{1}_{i}+G(x) M_{i}\right] \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $F(x)$ and $G(x)$ are some functions needed to determine later on, $\mathbf{1}_{i}=\mathbb{I}_{i} \otimes \mathbb{I}_{i+1}$, and the Hermitian matrices $M_{i}$ 's (i.e., $M_{i}=M_{i}^{\dagger}$ ) satisfy the Hecke algebraic relations: $\left(M_{i} M_{i+1} M_{i}-M_{i+1} M_{i} M_{i+1}\right)+g\left(M_{i}-M_{i+1}\right)=0$, $M_{i}^{2}=\alpha M_{i}+\beta \mathbf{1}_{i}$, with $\alpha=d-2$ and $\beta=g=$ $d-1$. Substituting Eq. (2) into Eq. (11), one has $G(x)+G(y)+\alpha G(x) G(y)=[1+g G(x) G(y)] G(x y)$. The unitary condition $\breve{R}_{i}^{\dagger}(x)=\breve{R}_{i}^{-1}(x)=\breve{R}_{i}\left(x^{-1}\right)$ yields $G(x)+G\left(x^{-1}\right)+\alpha G(x) G\left(x^{-1}\right)=0, F(x) F\left(x^{-1}\right)[1+$ $\left.\beta G(x) G\left(x^{-1}\right)\right]=0$. In addition, the initial condition $\breve{R}_{i}(x)=I_{i}$ leads to $G(x=1)=0, F(x=1)=1$. As a
result, one has

$$
G(x)=-\frac{x-x^{-1}}{(d-1) x+x^{-1}}, \quad F(x)=\frac{(d-1) x+x^{-1}}{d}
$$

In this work, the $d^{2} \times d^{2}$ matrix $M$ is realized as

$$
\begin{equation*}
M=\sum_{r=1}^{d-1} P_{r} \otimes P_{r}=\sum_{i, j=0}^{d-1} \sum_{r=1}^{d-1}|i j\rangle\langle\overline{i+r}, \overline{j+r}| \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\overline{i+r}=\operatorname{Mod}[i+r, d]$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{r}=\sum_{i=0}^{d-1}|i\rangle\langle\overline{i+r}|, r=0,1, \cdots, d-1 \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

are the circulation matrices that transform the basis $\{|r\rangle,|r+1\rangle,|r+2\rangle, \ldots,|2\rangle,|1\rangle,|0\rangle\}$ of a qudit to the basis $\{|0\rangle,|1\rangle,|2\rangle, \ldots,|d-3\rangle,|d-2\rangle,|d-1\rangle\}$. The operator $P_{r}$ can be realized through the multiplication of permutation operators $\mathbb{P}_{k, k+1}=(\mathbb{I}-|k\rangle\langle k|-|k+1\rangle\langle k+1|)+$ $|k\rangle\langle k+1|+|k+1\rangle\langle k|$ of a single qudit, for example, $P_{1}=\mathbb{P}_{d-2, d-1} \ldots \mathbb{P}_{2,3} \mathbb{P}_{1,2}$. Moreover, the traceless matrices $P_{r}$ 's satisfy the following interesting relations:

$$
P_{r}=\left(P_{1}\right)^{r}, P_{m} P_{n}=P_{n} P_{m}=P_{\operatorname{Mod}[m+n, d]}
$$

Let $P_{0}=\sum_{i=0}^{d-1}|i\rangle\langle i|$ denote the $d \times d$ unit matrix, we eventually arrive at the unitary Yang-Baxter matrix for two qudits as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \breve{R}_{i}(x)=\frac{1}{d}\left\{\left[(d-1) x+x^{-1}\right] P_{0} \otimes P_{0}\right. \\
&\left.-\left(x-x^{-1}\right) \sum_{r=1}^{d-1} P_{r} \otimes P_{r}\right\} \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

which has not been reported in the literature. Our main result of connecting unitary Yang-Baxter matrices with entangled states of two qudits is the following Theorem.

Theorem: All pure two-qudit entangled states $|\psi\rangle_{2-q u d i t}$ can be generated from an initial separable state $|00\rangle$ via a universal Yang-Baxter matrix $\breve{R}(x)$ if one is assisted by local unitary transformations $U_{A} \otimes U_{B}$ and $V_{A} \otimes V_{B}$, namely,

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\psi\rangle_{2-q u d i t}=\left[V_{A} \otimes V_{B}\right] \breve{R}(x)\left[U_{A} \otimes U_{B}\right]|00\rangle . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here the local unitary transformation $V_{A} \otimes V_{B}$ is introduced in order to transform a two-qudit state into its Schmidt-form.

Proof. We would like to provide analytical proof for the case with $d=2$ and numerical proof for the cases with $d=3$ and 4 .
i) For $d=2$, in this case $P_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0\end{array}\right)$. When one acts $\breve{R}(x)$ directly on the separable state $|00\rangle$, he then generates the following family of states

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\psi\rangle_{Y B}=\frac{1}{2}\left[\left(x+x^{-1}\right)|00\rangle-\left(x-x^{-1}\right)|11\rangle\right] . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

In Ref. 17], the generalized concurrence (or the degree of entanglement [18]) for two qudits is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{C}=\sqrt{\frac{d}{d-1}\left(1-I_{1}\right)} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $I_{1}=\operatorname{Tr}\left[\rho_{A}^{2}\right]=\operatorname{Tr}\left[\rho_{B}^{2}\right]=\left|\kappa_{0}\right|^{4}+\left|\kappa_{1}\right|^{4}+\cdots+\left|\kappa_{d-1}\right|^{4}$, $\rho_{A}$ and $\rho_{B}$ are the reduced density matrices for the subsystems. For $d=2$, one easily has $\mathcal{C}=2\left|\kappa_{0} \kappa_{1}\right|$. Obviously, $|\psi\rangle_{Y B}$ has already been in the form of $|\psi\rangle_{2-q u b i t}=$ $\kappa_{0}|00\rangle+\kappa_{1}|11\rangle$, with $\kappa_{0}=\left(x+x^{-1}\right) / 2=\cos \theta$ and $\kappa_{1}=$ $-\left(x-x^{-1}\right) / 2=-i \sin \theta$. The degree of entanglement for the state $|\psi\rangle_{Y B}$ equals to $\mathcal{C}=2\left|\kappa_{0} \kappa_{1}\right|=|\sin (2 \theta)|$, which may range from 0 to 1 . Thus, for the case of two qubits, all pure states can be generated from $|00\rangle$ directly via a universal Yang-Baxter matrix $\breve{R}(x)$. By the way, when $\theta=\pi / 4$, the state $|\psi\rangle_{Y B}$ becomes the maximally entangled state, or the Bell state $|\psi\rangle_{\text {Bell }}=(1 / \sqrt{2})(|00\rangle-i|11\rangle)$.
ii) For $d=3$, in this case

$$
P_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad P_{2}=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

When matrix $\breve{R}(x)$ is acted directly on $|00\rangle$, it yields the following family of states

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\psi\rangle_{Y B}=\frac{1}{3}\left[\left(2 x+x^{-1}\right)|00\rangle-\left(x-x^{-1}\right)(|11\rangle+|22\rangle)\right] \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

whose generalized concurrence reads

$$
\mathcal{C}=\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}\left[1-\frac{1}{81}\left|2 x+x^{-1}\right|^{4}-\frac{2}{81}\left|x-x^{-1}\right|^{4}\right]}
$$

When $\left|2 x+x^{-1}\right|=\left|x-x^{-1}\right|$, namely $x=e^{i \pi / 3}$, the state $|\psi\rangle_{Y B}$ becomes the maximally entangled state (here we would like to call it as the GHZ state) of two qutrits as $|\psi\rangle_{G H Z}=\frac{-i}{\sqrt{3}}[\omega|00\rangle+|11\rangle+|22\rangle]$. In general, if one acts the unitary Yang-Baxter matrix $\breve{R}\left(x=e^{i \pi / 3}\right)$ on the basis $\{|00\rangle,|01\rangle,|02\rangle,|10\rangle,|11\rangle,|12\rangle,|20\rangle,|21\rangle,|22\rangle\}$, he will generate nine complete and orthogonal maximally entangled states of two qutrits.

It is easy to check that the generalized concurrence $\mathcal{C}$ ranges from 0 to 1 when the parameter $\theta$ runs from 0 to $\pi$. However, this fact does not mean that $|\psi\rangle_{Y B}$ is an arbitrary state of two qutrits, because $|\psi\rangle_{2-q u t r i t}$ has at least two free parameters while $|\psi\rangle_{Y B}$ contains only one. Actually, the entanglement property of a two-qutrit system is completely charecterized by two entanglement invariants $I_{1}=\operatorname{Tr}\left[\rho_{A}^{2}\right]=\operatorname{Tr}\left[\rho_{B}^{2}\right]=\left|\kappa_{0}\right|^{4}+\left|\kappa_{1}\right|^{4}+\left|\kappa_{2}\right|^{4}$ and $I_{2}=\operatorname{Tr}\left[\rho_{A}^{3}\right]=\operatorname{Tr}\left[\rho_{B}^{3}\right]=\left|\kappa_{0}\right|^{6}+\left|\kappa_{1}\right|^{6}+\left|\kappa_{2}\right|^{6}$, or equivalently,

$$
\begin{align*}
I_{1}^{\prime} & =\frac{3}{2}\left(1-I_{1}\right) \\
I_{2}^{\prime} & =\frac{9}{8}\left(1-I_{2}\right) \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$



FIG. 1: (Color online) In the $I_{1}^{\prime}-I_{2}^{\prime}$ coordinate, the separable states, such as $|00\rangle$, locates at the origin $O=(0,0)$; the maximally entangled state locates at the point $G=(1,1)$, which is the point farthest from the origin; and the entangled state, such as $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|00\rangle+|11\rangle)$, locates at the point $B=\left(\frac{3}{4}, \frac{27}{32}\right)$. One may plot the points $\left(I_{1}^{\prime}, I_{2}^{\prime}\right)$ for the states $|\psi\rangle_{Y B}^{\prime}=$ $\breve{R}(x)\left[|0\rangle_{A} \otimes\left(\cos \varphi|0\rangle_{B}+\sin \varphi|1\rangle_{B}\right)\right]=\breve{R}(x)\left[U_{A} \otimes U_{B}\right]|00\rangle$, and they perfectly recover all the red region of figure.
where the normalized entanglement invariants $I_{1}^{\prime}, I_{2}^{\prime} \in$ $[0,1]$.

In Fig.1, we have plots points $\left(I_{1}^{\prime}, I_{2}^{\prime}\right)$ for the twoqutrit state $|\psi\rangle_{2-q u t r i t}=\kappa_{0}|00\rangle+\kappa_{1}|11\rangle+\kappa_{2}|22\rangle$ by randomly taking $10^{7}$ values of $\kappa_{0}, \kappa_{1}$, and $\kappa_{2}$, see the red region of figure, whose contour lines form a curved triangle $\triangle O B G$. One may observe that for a fixed value of $I_{1}^{\prime}$, there are different values for $I_{2}^{\prime}$, therefore $I_{1}^{\prime}$ is not enough for characterizing the entanglement property of two qutrits. In the $I_{1}^{\prime}-I_{2}^{\prime}$ coordinate, the separable states, such as $|00\rangle$, locate at the origin $O=(0,0)$. The maximally entangled states (or say the GHZ states), such as $|\psi\rangle_{G H Z}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}(|00\rangle+|11\rangle+|22\rangle)$, locate at the point $G=(1,1)$. And the entangled states, such as $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|00\rangle+|11\rangle)$, locate at the point $B=\left(\frac{3}{4}, \frac{27}{32}\right)$. The contour line OB corresponds to the states $|\psi\rangle_{2-\text { qutrit }}^{1}=$ $\cos \xi|00\rangle+\sin \xi|11\rangle$, the point $\left(I_{1}^{\prime}, I_{2}^{\prime}\right)$ runs from O to B when $\xi$ runs from 0 to $\pi / 2$; The contour lines OG and GB correspond to the states $|\psi\rangle_{2-q u t r i t}^{2}=\cos \xi|00\rangle+$ $\sin \xi(|11\rangle+|22\rangle) / \sqrt{2}$, the point $\left(I_{1}^{\prime}, I_{2}^{\prime}\right)$ runs from O to G when $\xi$ runs from 0 to $\pi / 3$, and from G to B when $\xi$ runs from $\pi / 3$ to $\pi / 2$.

The state $|\psi\rangle_{Y B}$ is one part of the state $|\psi\rangle_{2-q u t r i t}^{2}$, when $\theta$ runs from 0 to $\pi / 2$, the point $\left(I_{1}^{\prime}, I_{2}^{\prime}\right)$ runs from O to G , then runs along the line GB towards to point B and finally stops at a point $\left(\frac{8}{9}, \frac{25}{27}\right)$, which corresponds to the state $|\psi\rangle_{Y B}=\frac{i}{3}(|00\rangle-2|11\rangle-2|22\rangle)$. Namely, when one acts $\breve{R}(x)$ directly on the state $|0\rangle_{A}|0\rangle_{B}$, he cannot get all pure state of two qutrits. However, numerical computation shows that if one acts $\breve{R}(x)$ on the state $|0\rangle_{A} \otimes\left(\cos \varphi|0\rangle_{B}+\sin \varphi|1\rangle_{B}\right)$, he can indeed obtain all


FIG. 2: (Color online) In the $I_{1}^{\prime}-I_{2}^{\prime}-I_{3}^{\prime}$ coordinate, we have plots points $\left(I_{1}^{\prime}, I_{2}^{\prime}, I_{3}^{\prime}\right)$ of the two-qudit state $|\psi\rangle_{2-q u d i t}$ for $d=4$, see the blue region. By randomly taking $10^{7}$ values of $\theta, \varphi_{1}$ and $\varphi_{2}$, one may also plot points $\left(I_{1}^{\prime}, I_{2}^{\prime}, I_{3}^{\prime}\right)$ for the states $|\psi\rangle_{Y B}^{\prime}=\breve{R}(x)|\Phi\rangle_{A} \otimes|\Phi\rangle_{B}=\breve{R}(x)|0\rangle_{A} \otimes$ $\left(\cos \varphi_{1}|0\rangle_{B}+\sin \varphi_{1} \cos \varphi_{2}|1\rangle_{B}++\sin \varphi_{1} \sin \varphi_{2}|2\rangle_{B}\right)$, which perfectly recover all the blue region of figure.
pure two-qutrit states: by randomly taking $10^{7}$ values of $\theta$ and $\varphi$, one may plot points $\left(I_{1}^{\prime}, I_{2}^{\prime}\right)$ for the states

$$
|\psi\rangle_{Y B}^{\prime}=\breve{R}(x)\left[|0\rangle_{A} \otimes\left(\cos \varphi|0\rangle_{B}+\sin \varphi|1\rangle_{B}\right)\right]
$$

which perfectly recover all the red region of Fig. 1. This means that if one is assisted by the local unitary transformations $U_{A} \otimes U_{B}$ and $V_{A} \otimes V_{B}$, with $U_{A}=\mathbb{I}$ and $U_{B}=\cos \varphi(|0\rangle\langle 0|-|1\rangle\langle 1|)+\sin \varphi(|0\rangle\langle 1|+|1\rangle\langle 0|)+|2\rangle\langle 2|$, he then can generated all pure two-qutrit entangled states in the following way: $|\psi\rangle_{2-q u t r i t}=\left[V_{A} \otimes V_{B}\right] R(x)\left[U_{A} \otimes\right.$ $\left.U_{B}\right]|00\rangle$. This ends the numerical proof for the case with $d=3$.
iii) For $d \geq 4$, there are $d-1$ normalized entanglement invariants for the two-qudit state $|\psi\rangle_{2-q u t r i t}$, i.e., $I_{j}^{\prime}=\frac{d^{j}}{d^{j}-1}\left(1-I_{j}\right)$, with $I_{j}=\operatorname{Tr}\left[\rho_{A}^{j+1}\right]=\operatorname{Tr}\left[\rho_{B}^{j+1}\right]=$ $\sum_{r=0}^{d-1}\left|\kappa_{r}\right|^{2(j+1)},(j=1,2, \ldots, d-1)$. When acting on the separable state $|00\rangle$, the unitary Yang-Baxter matrix $\breve{R}(x)$ generates the following family of states
$|\psi\rangle_{Y B}=\frac{1}{d}\left\{\left[(d-1) x+x^{-1}\right]|00\rangle-\left(x-x^{-1}\right) \sum_{j=1}^{d-1}|j j\rangle\right\}$.
If one requires the state $|\psi\rangle_{Y B}$ to be the maximally entangled state (or the GHZ state), he must set |( $d-1$ ) $x+$ $x^{-1}\left|=\left|x-x^{-1}\right|\right.$, namely,

$$
\cos (2 \theta)=1-\frac{d}{2}
$$

For $d=2, d=3$, and $d=4$, one has $\theta=\pi / 4, \pi / 3$, and $\pi / 2$, respectively. However, the above condition is not valid for $d \geq 5$, because one will have $|\cos (2 \theta)|>$

1 when $d \geq 5$. This fact implies that the maximally entangled two-qudit states can be generated when we act $\breve{R}(x)$ directly on the separable state $|00\rangle$ for $d \leq 4$.

Similarly, numerical results show that all pure twoqudit entangled states can be generated in the following way: $|\psi\rangle_{2-q u d i t}=\left[V_{A} \otimes V_{B}\right] \breve{R}(x)\left[U_{A} \otimes U_{B}\right]|00\rangle=$ $\left[V_{A} \otimes V_{B}\right] \breve{R}(x)|\Phi\rangle_{A} \otimes|\Phi\rangle_{B}$, where $|\Phi\rangle_{A}=U_{A}|0\rangle_{A}=|0\rangle_{A}$ and $|\Phi\rangle_{B}=U_{B}|0\rangle_{B}=\cos \varphi_{1}|0\rangle_{B}+\sin \varphi_{1} \cos \varphi_{2}|1\rangle_{B}+$ $\cdots+\sin \varphi_{1} \sin \varphi_{2} \cdots \sin \varphi_{d-2}|d-2\rangle_{B}$. In particular, for $d=4$, one has $|\Phi\rangle_{B}=\cos \varphi_{1}|0\rangle_{B}+\sin \varphi_{1} \cos \varphi_{2}|1\rangle_{B}+$ $+\sin \varphi_{1} \sin \varphi_{2}|2\rangle_{B}$. Numerical proof of the Theorem for $d=4$ is provided in Fig. 2. In Fig. 2, we have plots points $\left(I_{1}^{\prime}, I_{2}^{\prime}, I_{3}^{\prime}\right)$ for the two-qudit state $|\psi\rangle_{2-q u d i t}=$ $\kappa_{0}|00\rangle+\kappa_{1}|11\rangle+\kappa_{2}|22\rangle++\kappa_{3}|33\rangle$ by randomly taking $10^{7}$ values of $\kappa_{j}$ 's, see the blue region of figure. By randomly taking $10^{7}$ values of $\theta, \varphi_{1}$ and $\varphi_{2}$, one may also plot points $\left(I_{1}^{\prime}, I_{2}^{\prime}, I_{3}^{\prime}\right)$ for the states

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\psi\rangle_{Y B}^{\prime}=\breve{R}(x)|\Phi\rangle_{A} \otimes|\Phi\rangle_{B} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

which perfectly recover all the blue region of Fig. 2.
In conclusion, we have shown that all pure entangled states of two qudits can be generated from an initial separable state $|00\rangle$ via a universal Yang-Baxter matrix if one is assisted by local unitary transformations. Eventually, we would like to point out that the spirit of a unitary matrix assisted by local unitary transformations as shown in Eq. (6) or Eq. (11) coincides with the spirit of entangling power, which is a quantitative measure how much entanglement capability a given unitary operator has in the context of quantum information. The concept of entangling power is first introduced in Refs. 19, 20], which is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
e_{\mathrm{p}}(\mathcal{U}):=\overline{E\left(\mathcal{U}|\Phi\rangle_{A} \otimes|\Phi\rangle_{B}\right)} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $E(|\Psi\rangle)=1-\operatorname{Tr}\left[\rho_{A}^{2}\right]$, the overbar stands for the average over all the product states, and it can be simplified as $e_{\mathrm{p}}(\mathcal{U})=(d / d+1)^{2}[E(\mathcal{U})+E(\mathcal{U S})-E(\mathcal{S})]$, with $\mathcal{S}=\sum_{i, j=0}^{d-1}|i j\rangle\langle i j|$ is the permutation operator of two qudits. The entangling power has been useful for the study of quantum evolutions and Hamiltonians [19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25], and been also applied to some quantum chaotic systems [26, 27, 28, 29]. Actually, $E(|\Psi\rangle)=1-\operatorname{Tr}\left[\rho_{A}^{2}\right]$ is the entanglement invariant $I_{1}^{\prime}$ up to a normalized constant $d /(d-1)$. Similarly, based on the entanglement invariants of two qudits one may define a series of entangling powers as $e_{\mathrm{p}}^{j}(\mathcal{U}):=\overline{E_{j}\left(\mathcal{U}|\Phi\rangle_{A} \otimes|\Phi\rangle_{B}\right)}$ with $E_{j}=I_{j}^{\prime}$, which we will investigate subsequently.
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