

# $g$ -Natural metrics of constant sectional curvature on tangent bundles

S. Degla<sup>\*†</sup> , J.-P. Ezin<sup>\*‡</sup> and L. Todjihounde<sup>\*§</sup>

## Abstract

Let  $(M, g)$  be a Riemannian manifold and  $G$  a  $g$ -natural metric on its tangent bundle  $TM$ . In this paper we prove first that the space  $(TM, G)$  has constant sectional curvature if and only if it is flat, and then we give a characterization of flat  $g$ -natural metrics on tangent bundles.

**MSC:** Primary 53B20, 53C07; Secondary 53A55, 53C25.

**Key words:**  $F$ -tensor field,  $g$ -natural metrics.

## Introduction

In [1], K.M.T. Abbassi and M. Sarih introduced the notion of  $g$ -natural metrics on the tangent bundle  $TM$  of a Riemannian manifold  $(M, g)$ . A metric  $G$  on  $TM$  is called a  $g$ -natural metric if it comes from  $g$  by a first order natural operator  $S_+^2 T^* \rightsquigarrow (S^2 T^*)T$ , where  $S_+^2 T^*$  and  $(S^2 T^*)T$  denote respectively the natural bundle of Riemannian metrics and the natural bundle of  $(0, 2)$ -tensor fields on the tangent bundles (cf. [6] for the definitions of natural bundles and operators and associated notions). They gave a characterization of  $g$ -natural metrics on  $TM$  in terms of functions defined on  $\mathbb{R}^+$ , and obtained a necessary and sufficient conditions for  $g$ -natural metrics to be either nondegenerate or Riemannian. But they did not give an explicit expression for the inverse of nondegenerate  $g$ -natural metrics although it is important to compute some geometrical analysis tools like the Ricci tensor, the scalar curvature, the Laplace operator, etc ... .

Some geometrical properties could be inherited on the  $g$ -natural metrics from the basic metric  $g$  and conversely. In [2] the authors proved that if

---

\*Institut de Mathématiques et de Sciences Physiques, 01 BP 613 IMSP Porto-Novo, BENIN.

†sdegla@imsp-uac.org (corresponding author)

‡jp.ezin@imsp-uac.org

§leonardt@imsp-uac.org

a tangent bundle equipped with a  $g$ -natural metric  $(TM, G)$  is of constant sectional curvature then the same holds for  $(M, g)$ . Furthermore, making some restrictions on the Riemannian  $g$ -natural metrics on  $TM$ , the same authors gave the characterization of flat Riemannian  $g$ -natural metrics on  $TM$  (cf. [3]).

In this paper we prove that if  $(M, g)$  is non flat, its tangent bundle  $TM$  equipped with a  $g$ -natural metric  $G$  has non constant sectional curvature, and also that only flat  $g$ -natural metrics are of constant sectional curvature. In the next section 1 we give some preliminaries and some known results on  $g$ -natural metrics. In the section 2 we compute explicitly the inverse of any nondegenerate  $g$ -natural metric. In section 3 using this inverse expression and Koszul's formula, we determine the Levi-Civita connection of any nondegenerate  $g$ -natural metric. Finally in section 4, we show that the flat Riemannian  $g$ -natural metrics are the only  $g$ -natural metrics that have a constant sectional curvature, then we give a characterization of these metrics.

## 1 Preliminaries

Let  $(M, g)$  be a Riemannian manifold and  $\nabla$  the Levi-Civita connection of  $g$ . Then the tangent space of  $TM$  at any point  $(x, u) \in TM$  splits into the horizontal and vertical subspaces with respect to  $\nabla$  :

$$T_{(x,u)}TM = H_{(x,u)}M \oplus V_{(x,u)}M .$$

If  $(x, u) \in TM$  is given then, for any vector  $X \in T_xM$ , there exists a unique vector  $X^h \in H_{(x,u)}M$  such that  $\pi_*X^h = X$ , where  $\pi : TM \rightarrow M$  is the natural projection.  $X^h$  denotes the *horizontal lift* of  $X$  at the point  $(x, u) \in TM$ . The *vertical lift* of a vector  $X \in T_xM$  at  $(x, u) \in TM$  is a vector  $X^v \in V_{(x,u)}M$  such that  $X^v.(df) = X.f$ , for all functions  $f$  on  $M$ . Here we consider 1-forms  $df$  on  $M$  as functions on  $TM$  (i.e.  $(df)(x, u) = u.f$ ). Note that the map  $X \rightarrow X^h$  is an isomorphism between the vector spaces  $T_xM$  and  $H_{(x,u)}M$ . Similarly, the map  $X \rightarrow X^v$  is an isomorphism between the vector spaces  $T_xM$  and  $V_{(x,u)}M$ . Obviously, each tangent vector  $\tilde{Z} \in T_{(x,u)}TM$  can be written in the form  $\tilde{Z} = X^h + Y^v$ , where  $X, Y \in T_xM$  are uniquely determined vectors.

If  $\varphi$  is a smooth function on  $M$ , then

$$X^h(\varphi \circ \pi) = (X\varphi) \circ \pi \text{ and } X^v(\varphi \circ \pi) = 0 \tag{1}$$

hold for every vector field  $X$  on  $M$ .

A system of local coordinates  $(U; x_i, i = 1, \dots, m)$  in  $M$  induces on  $TM$  a system of local coordinates  $(\pi^{-1}(U); x_i, u^i, i = 1, \dots, m)$ .

Let  $X = \sum_{i=1}^m X^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$  be the local expression in  $U$  of a vector field  $X$  on  $M$ .

Then, the horizontal lift  $X^h$  and the vertical lift  $X^v$  of  $X$  are given, with respect to the induced coordinates, by :

$$X^h = \sum_i X^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} - \sum_{i,j,k} \Gamma_{jk}^i u^j X^k \frac{\partial}{\partial u^i} \quad \text{and} \quad (2)$$

$$X^v = \sum_i X^i \frac{\partial}{\partial u^i}, \quad (3)$$

where the  $(\Gamma_{jk}^i)$  are the Christoffel's symbols of  $g$ .

Next, we introduce some notations which will be used to describe vectors obtained from lifted vectors by basic operations on  $TM$ . Let  $T$  be a tensor field of type  $(1, s)$  on  $M$ . If  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{s-1} \in T_x M$ , then  $h\{T(X_1, \dots, u, \dots, X_{s-1})\}$  (respectively  $v\{T(X_1, \dots, u, \dots, X_{s-1})\}$ ) is a horizontal (respectively vertical) vector at  $(x, u)$  which is defined by the formula

$$h\{T(X_1, \dots, u, \dots, X_{s-1})\} = \sum u^\lambda \left( T(X_1, \dots, \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_\lambda} \right)_x, \dots, X_{s-1}) \right)^h$$

$$(\text{ resp. } v\{T(X_1, \dots, u, \dots, X_{s-1})\} = \sum u^\lambda \left( T(X_1, \dots, \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_\lambda} \right)_x, \dots, X_{s-1}) \right)^v).$$

In particular, if  $T$  is the identity tensor of type  $(1, 1)$ , then we obtain the geodesic flow vector field at  $(x, u)$ ,  $\xi_{(x,u)} = \sum_\lambda u^\lambda \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_\lambda} \right)_{(x,u)}^h$ , and the canonical vertical vector at  $(x, u)$ ,  $\mathcal{U}_{(x,u)} = \sum_\lambda u^\lambda \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_\lambda} \right)_{(x,u)}^v$ .

Moreover  $h\{T(X_1, \dots, u, \dots, u, \dots, X_{s-t})\}$  and  $v\{T(X_1, \dots, u, \dots, u, \dots, X_{s-t})\}$  are defined by similar way.

Also let us make the notations

$$h\{T(X_1, \dots, X_s)\} =: T(X_1, \dots, X_s)^h \quad (4)$$

and

$$v\{T(X_1, \dots, X_s)\} =: T(X_1, \dots, X_s)^v. \quad (5)$$

Thus  $h\{X\} = X^h$  and  $v\{X\} = X^v$ , for each vector field  $X$  on  $M$ .

From the preceding quantities, one can define vector fields on  $TU$  in the following way: If  $u = \sum_i u^i \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \right)_x$  is a given point in  $TU$  and  $X_1, \dots, X_{s-1}$  are vector fields on  $U$ , then we denote by

$$h\{T(X_1, \dots, u, \dots, X_{s-1})\} \quad (\text{respectively } v\{T(X_1, \dots, u, \dots, X_{s-1})\})$$

the horizontal (respectively vertical) vector field on  $TU$  defined by

$$h\{T(X_1, \dots, u, \dots, X_{s-1})\} = \sum_\lambda u^\lambda T(X_1, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_\lambda}, \dots, X_{s-1})^h$$

$$\left( \text{resp. } v\{T(X_1, \dots, u, \dots, X_{s-1})\} = \sum_{\lambda} u^{\lambda} T(X_1, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\lambda}}, \dots, X_{s-1})^v \right).$$

Moreover, for vector fields  $X_1, \dots, X_{s-t}$  on  $U$ , where  $s, t \in \mathbb{N}^*$  ( $s > t$ ), the vector fields  $h\{T(X_1, \dots, u, \dots, u, \dots, X_{s-t})\}$  and  $v\{T(X_1, \dots, u, \dots, u, \dots, X_{s-t})\}$ , on  $TU$ , are defined by similar way.

The Riemannian curvature of  $g$  is defined by

$$R(X, Y) = [\nabla_X, \nabla_Y] - \nabla_{[X, Y]}. \quad (6)$$

Now, for  $(r, s) \in \mathbb{N}^2$ , we denote by  $\pi_M : TM \rightarrow M$  the natural projection and  $F$  the natural bundle defined by

$$\begin{aligned} FM &= \pi_M^* \underbrace{(T^* \otimes \dots \otimes T^*)}_{r \text{ times}} \otimes \underbrace{(T \otimes \dots \otimes T)}_{s \text{ times}} M \rightarrow M, \\ Ff(X_x, S_x) &= (Tf.X_x, (T^* \otimes \dots \otimes T^* \otimes T \otimes \dots \otimes T)f.S_x) \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

for all manifolds  $M$ , local diffeomorphisms  $f$  of  $M$ ,  $X_x \in T_x M$  and  $S_x \in (T^* \otimes \dots \otimes T^* \otimes T \otimes \dots \otimes T)_x M$ . We call the sections of the canonical projection  $FM \rightarrow M$   $F$ -tensor fields of type  $(r, s)$ . So, if  $\oplus$  denotes the fibered product of fibered manifolds, then the  $F$ -tensor fields are mappings

$A : TM \oplus \underbrace{TM \oplus \dots \oplus TM}_{s \text{ times}} \rightarrow \sqcup_{x \in M} \otimes^r T_x M$  which are linear in the last

$s$  summands and such that  $\pi_2 \circ A = \pi_1$ , where  $\pi_1$  and  $\pi_2$  are respectively the natural projections of the source and target fiber bundles of  $A$ . For  $r = 0$  and  $s = 2$ , we obtain the classical notion of  $F$ -metrics. So,  $F$ -metrics are mappings  $TM \oplus TM \oplus TM \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  which are linear in the second and the third argument.

**Proposition 1.1** [1] *Let  $(M, g)$  be a Riemannian manifold and  $G$  a  $g$ -natural metric on  $TM$ . Then if  $\dim M \geq 2$ , there exists six functions  $\alpha_i, \beta_i : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $i = 1, 2, 3$ , such that for any  $x \in M$  and all vectors  $u, X, Y \in T_x M$ , we have*

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} G_{(x,u)}(X^h, Y^h) = (\alpha_1 + \alpha_3)(t)g_x(X, Y) + (\beta_1 + \beta_3)(t)g_x(X, u)g_x(Y, u), \\ G_{(x,u)}(X^h, Y^v) = \alpha_2(t)g_x(X, Y) + \beta_2(t)g_x(X, u)g_x(Y, u), \\ G_{(x,u)}(X^v, Y^h) = \alpha_2(t)g_x(X, Y) + \beta_2(t)g_x(X, u)g_x(Y, u), \\ G_{(x,u)}(X^v, Y^v) = \alpha_1(t)g_x(X, Y) + \beta_1(t)g_x(X, u)g_x(Y, u), \end{array} \right.$$

where  $t = g_x(u, u)$ ,  $X^h$  and  $X^v$  are respectively the horizontal lift and the vertical lift of the vector  $X \in T_x M$  at the point  $(x, u) \in TM$ .

For  $\dim M = 1$ , the same holds with  $\beta_i = 0$ ,  $i = 1, 2, 3$ .

**Notation 1.1**

- $\phi_i(t) = \alpha_i(t) + t\beta_i(t)$ ,
- $\alpha(t) = \alpha_1(t)(\alpha_1 + \alpha_3)(t) - \alpha_2^2(t)$ ,
- $\phi(t) = \phi_1(t)(\phi_1 + \phi_3)(t) - \phi_2^2(t)$ ,

for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$ .

**Proposition 1.2** [1] *A  $g$ -natural metric  $G$  on the tangent bundle of a Riemannian manifold  $(M, g)$  is :*

- (i) *nondegenerate if and only if the functions  $\alpha_i, \beta_i, i = 1, 2, 3$  of Proposition 1.1 defining  $G$ , satisfy*

$$\alpha(t)\phi(t) \neq 0 \tag{8}$$

for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$ .

- (ii) *Riemannian if and only if the functions  $\alpha_i, \beta_i, i = 1, 2, 3$  of Proposition 1.1 defining  $G$ , satisfy the inequalities*

$$\begin{cases} \alpha_1(t) > 0, & \phi_1(t) > 0, \\ \alpha(t) > 0, & \phi(t) > 0, \end{cases} \tag{9}$$

for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$ .

For  $\dim M = 1$ , this system reduces to  $\alpha_1(t) > 0$  and  $\alpha(t) > 0$ , for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$ .

The following lemmas will be useful in the sequel.

**Lemma 1.1** [5] *Let  $(M, g)$  be a Riemannian manifold,  $\nabla$  be the Levi-Civita connection and  $R$  be the Riemannian curvature of  $g$ . Then the Lie bracket on the tangent bundle  $TM$  of  $M$  satisfies*

1.  $[X^h, Y^h] = [X, Y]^h - v \{R(X, Y)u\}$ ,
2.  $[X^h, Y^v] = (\nabla_X Y)^v$ ,
3.  $[X^v, Y^v] = 0$ ,

for all  $X, Y, Z \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ .

**Lemma 1.2** [2] *Let  $(M, g)$  be a Riemannian manifold,  $(x, u) \in TM$  and  $X, Y, Z \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ ,  $f$  a function defined from  $\mathbb{R}$  to  $\mathbb{R}$ , and denote by  $F_Y$  the function on  $TM$  defined by  $F_Y(u) = g_x(Y_x, u)$ , for all  $(x, u) \in TM$ . Then we have:*

1.  $X_{(x,u)}^h \cdot f(|u|^2) = 0$ ,
2.  $X_{(x,u)}^v \cdot f(|u|^2) = 2f'(|u|^2)g_x(X_x, u)$ ,

3.  $X_{(x,u)}^h \cdot F_Y = g_x((\nabla_X Y)_x, u) = F_{\nabla_X Y}(x, u)$ ,
4.  $X_{(x,u)}^h \cdot (g(Y, Z) \circ \pi) = X_x \cdot (g(Y, Z))$ ,
5.  $X_{(x,u)}^v \cdot (g(Y, Z) \circ \pi) = 0$ ,
6.  $X_{(x,u)}^v \cdot F_Y = g_x(X, Y)$ ,  
where  $|u|^2 = g_x(u, u)$ .

From now on, whenever we consider an arbitrary Riemannian  $g$ -natural metric  $G$  on  $TM$ , we implicitly assume that it is defined by the functions  $\alpha_i, \beta_i : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $i = 1, 2, 3$  given in Proposition 1.1 .

All real functions  $\alpha_i, \beta_i, \phi_i, \alpha$ , and  $\phi$  and their derivatives are evaluated at  $t := g_x(u, u)$ ,  $u \in T_x M$ , unless otherwise stated.

## 2 Inverse of nondegenerate $g$ -natural metrics

Let  $(a, b) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{N}^*$ ,  $u = (u^1, \dots, u^m) \in \mathbb{R}^m$  and denote by  $\mu(a, b, u)$  the following square matrix of order  $m \in \mathbb{N}^*$  :

$$\mu(a, b, u) = \begin{pmatrix} a + b(u^1)^2 & & & & & \\ & & & & & bu^i u^j \\ & & \ddots & & & \\ & bu^i u^j & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & a + b(u^m)^2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (10)$$

that is  $[\mu(a, b, u)]_{ij} = a\delta_{ij} + bu^i u^j$ .

We establish the following lemma which is easy to check by straightforward computation:

**Lemma 2.1** *If  $a(a + b|u|^2) \neq 0$ , then  $\mu(a, b, u)$  is invertible and its inverse  $\mu(a, b, u)^{-1}$  is given by*

$$\mu(a, b, u)_{ij}^{-1} = \frac{\delta_{ij}}{a} - \frac{b}{a(a + b|u|^2)} u^i u^j, \quad (11)$$

where  $\mu(a, b, u)_{ij}^{-1}$  is the element of  $i^{\text{th}}$  line and of  $j^{\text{th}}$  column of the matrix  $\mu(a, b, u)^{-1}$  and  $|u|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^m (u^i)^2$ .

Next, we are going to determine the inverse of a nondegenerate  $g$ -natural metric  $G$ .

Let  $(U, x_i, i = 1, \dots, m)$  be a normal coordinates system of  $(M, g)$  centred at  $p \in M$ , and  $(\pi^{-1}(U); x_i, u^i, i = 1, \dots, m)$  its induced coordinates system on  $TM$ . For  $l = 1, 2, 3$ ; let us consider the matrix-value functions

$$M_l(x, u) = (\alpha_l g_{ij} + \beta_l g(\partial_{x_i}, u)g(\partial_{x_j}, u))_{1 \leq i, j \leq m}, \forall (x, u) \in \pi^{-1}(U), \quad (12)$$

where  $\partial_{x_i} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$  and  $g_{ij} = g(\partial_{x_i}, \partial_{x_j})$  on  $U$ .

So  $\begin{pmatrix} (M_1 + M_3) & M_2 \\ M_2 & M_1 \end{pmatrix}$  is the matrix-value functions of  $G$  in the

local frame  $(\partial_{x_i}^h, \partial_{x_i}^v)_{i=1, \dots, m}$  on  $\pi^{-1}(U)$  and we have

$$G \equiv \begin{pmatrix} (M_1 + M_3) & M_2 \\ M_2 & M_1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (13)$$

If  $G$  is nondegenerate, its inverse  $G^{-1}$  has the form

$$G^{-1} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \Lambda & \Theta \\ \Theta & \Omega \end{pmatrix} \quad (14)$$

where  $\Lambda = (\lambda^{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq m}$ ,  $\Theta = (\theta^{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq m}$ , and  $\Omega = (\omega^{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq m}$  are square matrix-value functions of order  $m$ , defined on  $\pi^{-1}(U)$ .

Therefore we have the following proposition:

**Proposition 2.1** *If*

$$\begin{cases} \alpha(t)\phi(t) \neq 0 \\ \alpha_1(t)(\alpha_1 + \alpha_3)(t) \neq 0 \\ \phi_1(t)(\phi_1 + \phi_3)(t) \neq 0 \end{cases} \quad (15)$$

for any  $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$ , then the blocks of the matrix-value functions in (14) satisfy :

$$\Lambda(p, u) \equiv (\lambda^{ij}(p, u))_{1 \leq i, j \leq m} \text{ with} \quad (16)$$

$$\lambda^{ij}(p, u) = \frac{\alpha_1}{\alpha} \delta_{ij} - \psi_\lambda u^i u^j, \quad (17)$$

$$\Theta(p, u) \equiv (\theta^{ij}(p, u))_{1 \leq i, j \leq m} \text{ with} \quad (18)$$

$$\theta^{ij}(p, u) = -\frac{\alpha_2}{\alpha} \delta_{ij} - \psi_\theta u^i u^j, \quad (19)$$

$$\Omega(p, u) \equiv (\omega^{ij}(p, u))_{1 \leq i, j \leq m} \text{ with} \quad (20)$$

$$\omega^{ij}(p, u) = \frac{\alpha_1 + \alpha_3}{\alpha} \delta_{ij} - \psi_\omega u^i u^j, \quad (21)$$

for all  $u = \sum_{i=1}^m u^i \partial_{x_i} \in T_p M$ , where

$$\begin{aligned}\psi_\lambda &= \frac{\alpha_1[(\beta_1 + \beta_3)\phi_1 - \beta_2\phi_2] - \alpha_2(\alpha_1\beta_2 - \alpha_2\beta_1)}{\alpha\phi} \\ \psi_\theta &= \frac{-\alpha_2[(\beta_1 + \beta_3)\phi_1 - \beta_2\phi_2] + (\alpha_1 + \alpha_3)(\alpha_1\beta_2 - \alpha_2\beta_1)}{\alpha\phi} \\ \psi_\omega &= \frac{(\alpha_1 + \alpha_3)[\beta_1(\phi_1 + \phi_3) - \beta_2\phi_2] + \alpha_2[\alpha_2(\beta_1 + \beta_3) - \beta_2(\alpha_1 + \alpha_3)]}{\alpha\phi}.\end{aligned}\quad (22)$$

Proof

The product of the matrix-value functions  $G$  and  $G^{-1}$  block per block gives:

$$\begin{pmatrix} M_1 + M_3 & M_2 \\ & M_2 \\ M_2 & M_1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \Lambda & \Theta \\ \Theta & \Omega \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} (M_1 + M_3)\Lambda + M_2\Theta & (M_1 + M_3)\Theta + M_2\Omega \\ & M_2\Theta + M_1\Omega \\ M_2\Lambda + M_1\Theta & \end{pmatrix} \quad (23)$$

and so we have the identities:

$$(M_1 + M_3)\Lambda + M_2\Theta = Id \quad (24)$$

$$(M_1 + M_3)\Theta + M_2\Omega = 0 \quad (25)$$

$$M_2\Lambda + M_1\Theta = 0 \quad (26)$$

$$M_2\Theta + M_1\Omega = Id. \quad (27)$$

Furthermore, for any  $u \in T_p M$ , since  $(U; x_i, i = 1, \dots, m)$  is a normal coordinates system centred at  $p$ , we have  $(M_1 + M_3)(p, u) = \mu(\alpha_1 + \alpha_3, \beta_1 + \beta_3, u)$ ,  $M_2(p, u) = \mu(\alpha_2, \beta_2, u)$ ,  $M_1(p, u) = \mu(\alpha_1, \beta_1, u)$ ; where  $u \equiv (u^i)_{i=1, \dots, m}$ . Then according to the system (15) and Lemma 2.1, the matrix-value functions  $M_1$  and  $(M_1 + M_3)$  at  $(p, u)$  are invertible. It follows that at  $(p, u)$ , the identities (26) and (25) give respectively

$$\Theta = -M_1^{-1}M_2\Lambda \quad (28)$$

and

$$\Theta = -(M_1 + M_3)^{-1}M_2\Omega. \quad (29)$$

Combining the identities (28) and (24), we obtain

$$(M_1 + M_3 - M_2M_1^{-1}M_2)\Lambda = Id.$$

So  $\Lambda(p, u)$  is invertible with

$$\Lambda(p, u) = (M_1 + M_3 - M_2M_1^{-1}M_2)_{|(p, u)}^{-1}. \quad (30)$$



Next we compute the elements of the matrix-value function  $(M_1 + M_3 - M_2M_1^{-1}M_2)$  at  $(p, u)$ , and we obtain

$$[(M_1 + M_3) - M_2M_1^{-1}M_2]_{ij} = \lambda_1\delta_{ij} + \lambda_2u^i u^j \quad (31)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_1 &= \frac{\alpha}{\alpha_1} \quad \text{and} \\ \lambda_2 &= \frac{\phi_1[\alpha_1(\beta_1 + \beta_3) - \alpha_2\beta_2 - \phi_2\beta_2] + \beta_1\phi_2^2}{\alpha_1\phi_1}, \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

with

$$\lambda_1 \neq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad (\lambda_1 + |u|^2\lambda_2) = \frac{\phi}{\phi_1} \neq 0. \quad (33)$$

So by Lemma 2.1, we obtain the inverse  $\Lambda = (\lambda^{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq m}$  of  $[(M_1 + M_3) - M_2M_1^{-1}M_2]$  at  $(p, u)$ , with

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda^{ij}(p, u) &= \frac{\delta_{ij}}{\lambda_1} - \frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1(\lambda_1 + |u|^2\lambda_2)}u^i u^j \\ &= \frac{\alpha_1}{\alpha}\delta_{ij} - \psi_\lambda u^i u^j. \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

Next, according to (28), we compute

$$\theta^{ij}(p, u) = -[M_1^{-1}M_2\Lambda]_{ij|_{(p, u)}}, \quad (35)$$

and we obtain (18).

Furthermore by combining (29) and (27) we obtain

$$[-M_2(M_1 + M_3)^{-1}M_2 + M_1]\Omega = Id. \quad (36)$$

This shows that the matrix-value function  $[-M_2(M_1 + M_3)^{-1}M_2 + M_1]$  is invertible and

$$\Omega = [M_1 - M_2(M_1 + M_3)^{-1}M_2]^{-1} \quad \text{at} \quad (p, u). \quad (37)$$

Finally, as in the proof of (34), we obtain

$$[M_1 - M_2(M_1 + M_3)^{-1}M_2]_{ij|_{(p, u)}} = \omega_1\delta_{ij} + \omega_2u^i u^j, \quad (38)$$

where  $\omega_1 = \frac{\alpha}{\alpha_1 + \alpha_3} \neq 0$  and  $\omega_2 = \frac{(\phi_1 + \phi_3)[\beta_1(\alpha_1 + \alpha_3) - \alpha_2\beta_2 - \beta_2\phi_2] + \phi_3^2(\beta_1 + \beta_3)}{(\alpha_1 + \alpha_3)(\phi_1 + \phi_3)}$  with

$$\omega_1 + |u|^2\omega_2 = \frac{\phi}{\phi_1 + \phi_3} \neq 0. \quad (39)$$

So by using again Lemma 2.1, we prove (20). □

**Remark 2.1** The functions  $\psi_\lambda$ ,  $\psi_\theta$  and  $\psi_\omega$  in Proposition 2.1 only depend on the norms of the vectors  $u \in T_pM$ , since the same holds for the functions  $\alpha_i \beta_i$ ;  $i = 1, 2, 3$ .

Besides we have the following lemma:

**Lemma 2.2** If  $\alpha(t)\phi(t) \neq 0$ ,  $\forall t \in \mathbb{R}^+$ , then the functions  $\psi_\lambda$ ,  $\psi_\theta$ ,  $\psi_\omega$  defined respectively in (16), (18) and (20) satisfy on  $\mathbb{R}^+$  the following identities:

$$\phi_2\psi_\lambda + \phi_1\psi_\theta = \frac{\alpha_1\beta_2 - \alpha_2\beta_1}{\alpha}, \quad (40)$$

$$(\phi_1 + \phi_3)\psi_\lambda + \phi_2\psi_\theta = \frac{\alpha_1(\beta_1 + \beta_3) - \alpha_2\beta_2}{\alpha}, \quad (41)$$

$$\phi_2\psi_\theta + \phi_1\psi_\omega = \frac{(\alpha_1 + \alpha_3)\beta_1 - \alpha_2\beta_2}{\alpha}, \quad (42)$$

$$(\phi_1 + \phi_3)\psi_\theta + \phi_2\psi_\omega = \frac{(\alpha_1 + \alpha_3)\beta_2 - \alpha_2(\beta_1 + \beta_3)}{\alpha}. \quad (43)$$

The proof of the identities of Lemma 2.2 is not very difficult and can be obtained by straightforward computations .

**Proposition 2.2** If  $G$  is nondegenerate, the elements of the matrix-value functions in (14) are defined by

$$\lambda^{ij}(x, u) = \frac{\alpha_1}{\alpha}g^{ij} - \psi_\lambda u^i u^j \quad (44)$$

$$\theta^{ij}(x, u) = -\frac{\alpha_2}{\alpha}g^{ij} - \psi_\theta u^i u^j \quad (45)$$

$$\omega^{ij}(x, u) = \frac{\alpha_1 + \alpha_3}{\alpha}g^{ij} - \psi_\omega u^i u^j; \quad (46)$$

for any  $(x, u) \in \pi^{-1}(U)$ , with  $u = \sum_{i=1}^m u^i \partial_{x_i} \in T_xM$ ; where  $(g^{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq m}$  denotes the inverse of  $g \equiv (g_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq m}$  with  $g_{ij} = g(\partial_{x_i}, \partial_{x_j})$ .

Proof

Let us set

$$L = \begin{pmatrix} (M_1 + M_3) & M_2 \\ & M_2 \\ M_2 & M_1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \left( \frac{\alpha_1}{\alpha}g^{ij} - \psi_\lambda u^i u^j \right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq m} & \left( -\frac{\alpha_2}{\alpha}g^{ij} - \psi_\theta u^i u^j \right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq m} \\ \left( -\frac{\alpha_2}{\alpha}g^{ij} - \psi_\theta u^i u^j \right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq m} & \left( \frac{\alpha_1 + \alpha_3}{\alpha}g^{ij} - \psi_\omega u^i u^j \right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq m} \end{pmatrix},$$

with  $L = (L_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq 2m}$ .

It suffices to show that  $L_{ij} = \delta_{ij}$  ; for  $i, j = 1, \dots, 2m$ . Actually, we have for  $i, j = 1, \dots, m$  :

$$\begin{aligned}
L_{ij} &= \sum_{k=1}^m [(\alpha_1 + \alpha_3)g_{ik} + (\beta_1 + \beta_3)g(\partial_{x_i}, u)g(\partial_{x_k}, u)] \left[ \frac{\alpha_1}{\alpha} g^{kj} - \psi_\lambda u^k u^j \right] \\
&\quad + \sum_{k=1}^m [\alpha_2 g_{ik} + \beta_2 g(\partial_{x_i}, u)g(\partial_{x_k}, u)] \left[ -\frac{\alpha_2}{\alpha} g^{kj} - \psi_\theta u^k u^j \right] \tag{47} \\
&= \frac{\alpha_1(\alpha_1 + \alpha_3)}{\alpha} \sum_{k=1}^m g_{ik} g^{kj} - (\alpha_1 + \alpha_3) \psi_\lambda u^j \sum_{k=1}^m g_{ik} u^k \\
&\quad + \frac{\alpha_1(\beta_1 + \beta_3)}{\alpha} g(\partial_{x_i}, u) \sum_{k=1}^m g(\partial_{x_k}, u) g^{kj} - (\beta_1 + \beta_3) \psi_\lambda g(\partial_{x_i}, u) u^j \sum_{k=1}^m g(\partial_{x_k}, u) u^k \\
&\quad - \frac{\alpha_2^2}{\alpha} \sum_{k=1}^m g_{ik} g^{kj} - \alpha_2 \psi_\theta u^j \sum_{k=1}^m g_{ik} u^k \\
&\quad - \frac{\alpha_2 \beta_2}{\alpha} g(\partial_{x_i}, u) \sum_{k=1}^m g(\partial_{x_k}, u) g^{kj} - \beta_2 \psi_\theta g(\partial_{x_i}, u) u^j \sum_{k=1}^m g(\partial_{x_k}, u) u^k \\
&= \frac{\alpha_1(\alpha_1 + \alpha_3)}{\alpha} \delta_{ij} - (\alpha_1 + \alpha_3) \psi_\lambda u^j g(\partial_{x_i}, u) \\
&\quad + \frac{\alpha_1(\beta_1 + \beta_3)}{\alpha} g(\partial_{x_i}, u) u^j - (\beta_1 + \beta_3) \psi_\lambda g(\partial_{x_i}, u) u^j g(u, u) \\
&\quad - \frac{\alpha_2^2}{\alpha} \delta_{ij} - \alpha_2 \psi_\theta u^j g(\partial_{x_i}, u) \\
&\quad - \frac{\alpha_2 \beta_2}{\alpha} g(\partial_{x_i}, u) u^j - \beta_2 \psi_\theta g(\partial_{x_i}, u) u^j g(u, u) \\
&= \delta_{ij} + \left[ \frac{\alpha_1(\beta_1 + \beta_3) - \alpha_2 \beta_2}{\alpha} - (\phi_1 + \phi_3) \psi_\lambda - \phi_2 \psi_\theta \right] g(\partial_{x_i}, u) u^j \\
L_{ij} &= \delta_{ij} \text{ by (41) ,}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
L_{\{i+m\}j} &= \sum_{k=1}^m [\alpha_2 g_{ik} + \beta_2 g(\partial_{x_i}, u)g(\partial_{x_k}, u)] \left[ \frac{\alpha_1}{\alpha} g^{kj} - \psi_\lambda u^k u^j \right] \tag{48} \\
&\quad + \sum_{k=1}^m [\alpha_1 g_{ik} + \beta_1 g(\partial_{x_i}, u)g(\partial_{x_k}, u)] \left[ -\frac{\alpha_2}{\alpha} g^{kj} - \psi_\theta u^k u^j \right] \\
&= \left( \frac{\alpha_1 \beta_2 - \alpha_2 \beta_1}{\alpha} - \phi_2 \psi_\lambda - \phi_1 \psi_\theta \right) g(\partial_{x_i}, u) u^j \\
L_{\{i+m\}j} &= 0 \text{ by (40) ,}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
L_{\{i+m\}\{j+m\}} &= \sum_{k=1}^m [\alpha_2 g_{ik} + \beta_2 g(\partial_{x_i}, u)g(\partial_{x_k}, u)] [-\frac{\alpha_2}{\alpha} g^{kj} - \psi_\theta u^k u^j] \quad (49) \\
&\quad + \sum_{k=1}^m [\alpha_1 g_{ik} + \beta_1 g(\partial_{x_i}, u)g(\partial_{x_k}, u)] [\frac{(\alpha_1 + \alpha_3)}{\alpha} g^{kj} - \psi_\omega u^k u^j] \\
&= \delta_{ij} + [\frac{(\alpha_1 + \alpha_3)\beta_1 - \alpha_2\beta_2}{\alpha} - (\phi_1\psi_\omega + \phi_2\psi_\theta)] g(\partial_{x_i}, u)u^j
\end{aligned}$$

$$L_{\{i+m\}\{j+m\}} = \delta_{ij} \text{ by (42) ,}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
L_{i\{j+m\}} &= \sum_{k=1}^m [(\alpha_1 + \alpha_3)g_{ik} + (\beta_1 + \beta_3)g(\partial_{x_i}, u)g(\partial_{x_k}, u)] [-\frac{\alpha_2}{\alpha} g^{kj} - \psi_\theta u^k u^j] \\
&\quad + \sum_{k=1}^m [\alpha_2 g_{ik} + \beta_2 g(\partial_{x_i}, u)g(\partial_{x_k}, u)] [\frac{(\alpha_1 + \alpha_3)}{\alpha} g^{kj} - \psi_\omega u^k u^j] \quad (50) \\
&= [\frac{(\alpha_1 + \alpha_3)\beta_2 - \alpha_2(\beta_1 + \beta_3)}{\alpha} - \phi_2\psi_\omega - (\phi_1 + \phi_3)\psi_\theta] g(\partial_{x_i}, u)u^j
\end{aligned}$$

$$L_{i\{j+m\}} = 0 \text{ by (43) .}$$

Hence  $L_{ij} = \delta_{ij}$  for  $i, j = 1, \dots, 2m$ ; as stated.  $\square$

### 3 Levi-Civita connection of a nondegenerate $g$ -natural metric

In [1], the authors have given explicitly (with some sign and parenthesis misprints) the Levi-Civita connection in the case of Riemannian  $g$ -natural metrics. In the following we determine the Levi-Civita connection for a nondegenerate  $g$ -natural metric in general by using the inverse formula of nondegenerate  $g$ -natural metrics.

**Notation 3.1** For a Riemannian manifold  $(M, g)$ , we set :

$$\begin{aligned}
T^1(u; X_x, Y_x) &= R(X_x, u)Y_x, & T^2(u; X_x, Y_x) &= R(Y_x, u)X_x, \\
T^3(u; X_x, Y_x) &= R(X_x, Y_x)u, & T^4(u; X_x, Y_x) &= g(R(X_x, u)Y_x, u)u, \\
T^5(u; X_x, Y_x) &= g(X_x, u)Y_x, & T^6(u; X_x, Y_x) &= g(Y_x, u)X_x, \\
T^7(u; X_x, Y_x) &= g(X_x, Y_x)u, & T^8(u; X_x, Y_x) &= g(X_x, u)g(Y_x, u)u,
\end{aligned} \quad (51)$$

where  $(x, u) \in TM$ ,  $X_x, Y_x \in T_x M$  and  $R$  is the Riemannian curvature of  $g$ .

Let  $\nabla$  be the Levi-Civita connection of  $g$  and  $\bar{\nabla}$  the Levi-Civita connection of a nondegenerate  $g$ -natural metric  $G$  defined by the functions  $\alpha_i, \beta_i, i = 1, 2, 3$  in Proposition 1.1. We have:

**Proposition 3.1** *Let  $(x, u) \in TM$  and  $X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ , we have*

$$\left(\bar{\nabla}_{X^h} Y^h\right)_{(x,u)} = (\nabla_X Y)_{(x,u)}^h + h\{A(u; X_x, Y_x)\} + v\{B(u; X_x, Y_x)\} \quad (52)$$

$$\left(\bar{\nabla}_{X^h} Y^v\right)_{(x,u)} = (\nabla_X Y)_{(x,u)}^v + h\{C(u; X_x, Y_x)\} + v\{D(u; X_x, Y_x)\} \quad (53)$$

$$\left(\bar{\nabla}_{X^v} Y^h\right)_{(x,u)} = h\{C(u; Y_x, X_x)\} + v\{D(u; Y_x, X_x)\} \quad (54)$$

$$\left(\bar{\nabla}_{X^v} Y^v\right)_{(x,u)} = h\{E(u; Y_x, X_x)\} + v\{F(u; Y_x, X_x)\} \quad (55)$$

where  $P(u; X_x, Y_x) = \sum_{i=1}^8 f_i^P(|u|^2)T^i(u; X_x, Y_x)$ , for  $P = A, B, C, D, E, F$ ; with

$$\begin{aligned} f_1^A &= f_2^A = -\frac{\alpha_1 \alpha_2}{2\alpha}, & f_3^A &= 0, \\ f_4^A &= \alpha_2 \psi_\lambda, & f_5^A &= f_6^A = \frac{\alpha_2(\beta_1 + \beta_3)}{2\alpha}, \end{aligned} \quad (56)$$

$$f_7^A = (\alpha_1 + \alpha_3)' \frac{\phi_2}{\phi}, \quad f_8^A = (\beta_1 + \beta_3)' \frac{\phi_2}{\phi} + (\beta_1 + \beta_3) \psi_\theta;$$

$$\begin{aligned} f_1^B &= \frac{\alpha_2^2}{\alpha}, & f_2^B &= 0, \\ f_3^B &= -\frac{\alpha_1(\alpha_1 + \alpha_3)}{2\alpha}, & f_4^B &= \alpha_2 \psi_\theta, \\ f_5^B &= f_6^B = -\frac{(\alpha_1 + \alpha_3)(\beta_1 + \beta_3)}{2\alpha}, & f_7^B &= -(\alpha_1 + \alpha_3)' \frac{(\phi_1 + \phi_3)}{\phi}, \end{aligned} \quad (57)$$

$$f_8^B = -(\beta_1 + \beta_3)' \frac{(\phi_1 + \phi_3)}{\phi} + (\beta_1 + \beta_3) \psi_\omega;$$

$$\begin{aligned} f_1^C &= 0, & f_2^C &= -\frac{\alpha_1^2}{2\alpha}, \\ f_3^C &= 0, & f_4^C &= \frac{\alpha_1 \psi_\lambda}{2}, \\ f_5^C &= +\frac{\alpha_1(\beta_1 + \beta_3)}{2\alpha}, & f_6^C &= (\alpha_1 + \alpha_3)' \frac{\alpha_1}{\alpha} - \frac{\alpha_2}{2\alpha} (2\alpha_2' - \beta_2), \end{aligned} \quad (58)$$

$$f_7^C = \frac{(\beta_1 + \beta_3)\phi_1}{2\phi} + \frac{1}{2}(2\alpha_2' - \beta_2) \frac{\phi_2}{\phi}, \quad f_8^C = (\beta_1 + \beta_3)' \frac{\phi_1}{\phi} - \psi_\lambda [(\alpha_1 + \alpha_3)' + \frac{(\beta_1 + \beta_3)}{2}] - \frac{1}{2}(2\alpha_2' - \beta_2) \psi_\theta;$$

$$\begin{aligned} f_1^D &= 0, & f_2^D &= \frac{\alpha_1 \alpha_2}{2\alpha}, \\ f_3^D &= 0, & f_4^D &= \frac{\alpha_1}{2} \psi_\theta, \\ f_5^D &= -\frac{\alpha_2(\beta_1 + \beta_3)}{2\alpha}, & f_6^D &= -(\alpha_1 + \alpha_3)' \frac{\alpha_2}{\alpha} + \frac{(2\alpha_2' - \beta_2)(\alpha_1 + \alpha_3)}{2\alpha}, \end{aligned} \quad (59)$$

$$f_7^D = -\frac{(\beta_1 + \beta_3)\phi_2}{2\phi} - \frac{1}{2}(2\alpha_2' - \beta_2) \frac{(\phi_1 + \phi_3)}{\phi}, \quad f_8^D = -(\beta_1 + \beta_3)' \frac{\phi_2}{\phi} - [(\alpha_1 + \alpha_3)' + \frac{\beta_1 + \beta_3}{2}] \psi_\theta - \frac{1}{2}(2\alpha_2' - \beta_2) \psi_\omega;$$

$$\begin{aligned}
f_1^E = f_2^E = f_3^E = f_4^E = 0, \quad f_5^E = f_6^E = (\alpha'_2 + \frac{1}{2}\beta_2)\frac{\alpha_1}{\alpha} - \alpha'_1\frac{\alpha_2}{\alpha}, \\
f_7^E = \beta_2\frac{\phi_1}{\phi} - (\beta_1 - \alpha'_1)\frac{\phi_2}{\phi}, \quad f_8^E = 2\beta'_2\frac{\phi_1}{\phi} - \beta'_1\frac{\phi_2}{\phi} - (2\alpha'_2 + \beta_2)\psi_\lambda - 2\alpha'_1\psi_\theta;
\end{aligned} \tag{60}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
f_1^F = f_2^F = f_3^F = f_4^F = 0, \quad f_5^F = f_6^F = -(\alpha'_2 + \frac{1}{2}\beta_2)\frac{\alpha_2}{\alpha} + \alpha'_1\frac{(\alpha_1 + \alpha_3)}{\alpha}, \\
f_7^F = (\beta_1 - \alpha'_1)\frac{(\phi_1 + \phi_3)}{\phi} - \frac{\beta_2\phi_2}{\phi}, \quad f_8^F = \beta'_1\frac{(\phi_1 + \phi_3)}{\phi} - 2\beta'_2\frac{\phi_2}{\phi} - (2\alpha'_2 + \beta_2)\psi_\theta - 2\alpha'_1\psi_\omega.
\end{aligned} \tag{61}$$

Proof

We prove only (54), the proof of the other being the same. Let us set

$$X = \sum_{i=1}^m X^i \partial_{x_i}, \quad Y = \sum_{i=1}^m Y^i \partial_{x_i}, \quad u = \sum_{i=1}^m u^i \partial_{x_i}, \tag{62}$$

$$\bar{\nabla}_{X^v} Y^h = \sum_{i=1}^m d_i \partial_{x_i}^h + \sum_{i=1}^m d_{m+i} \partial_{x_i}^v \tag{63}$$

$$s_i = G\left(\bar{\nabla}_{X^v} Y^h, \partial_{x_i}^h\right) \quad \text{and} \tag{64}$$

$$s_{m+i} = G\left(\bar{\nabla}_{X^v} Y^h, \partial_{x_i}^v\right). \tag{65}$$

Koszul's formula gives

$$\begin{aligned}
s_i = \frac{1}{2} \left\{ X^v \cdot G\left(Y^h, \partial_{x_i}^h\right) + Y^h \cdot G\left(\partial_{x_i}^h, X^v\right) - \partial_{x_i}^h \cdot G\left(X^v, Y^h\right) \right. \\
\left. + G\left(\partial_{x_i}^h, \left[X^v, Y^h\right]\right) - G\left(Y^h, \left[X^v, \partial_{x_i}^h\right]\right) - G\left(X^v, \left[Y^h, \partial_{x_i}^h\right]\right) \right\},
\end{aligned} \tag{66}$$

then by using Proposition 1.1, Lemma 1.1 and Lemma 1.2, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
s_i = (\alpha_1 + \alpha_3)' g(X, u) g(Y, \partial_{x_i}) + (\beta_1 + \beta_3)' g(X, u) g(Y, u) g(\partial_{x_i}, u) \\
+ \frac{\beta_1 + \beta_3}{2} g(X, Y) g(\partial_{x_i}, u) + \frac{\beta_1 + \beta_3}{2} g(Y, u) g(X, \partial_{x_i}) \\
+ \frac{\alpha_1}{2} g(R(Y, \partial_{x_i})u, X)
\end{aligned} \tag{67}$$

and similarly

$$s_{m+i} = \frac{1}{2}(2\alpha'_2 - \beta_2)g(X, u)g(Y, \partial_{x_i}) - \frac{1}{2}(2\alpha'_2 - \beta_2)g(X, Y)g(u, \partial_{x_i}) \tag{68}$$

By setting  $\mathbf{d} = (d_i)_{1 \leq i \leq 2m}$  and  $\mathbf{s} = (s_i)_{1 \leq i \leq 2m}$ , we have  $\mathbf{d} = G^{-1}\mathbf{s}$  (Matrix-value function of  $G^{-1}$  with the column vector  $\mathbf{s}$  as argument).

Then by using the expression of  $G^{-1}$  in Proposition 2.2, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
d_i &= \frac{\alpha_1^2}{2\alpha} \{R(u, X)Y\}^i - \frac{\alpha_1\psi_\lambda}{2} g(R(Y, u)u, X)u^i \\
&+ [(\alpha_1 + \alpha_3)' \frac{\alpha_1}{\alpha} - \frac{\alpha_2}{2\alpha} (2\alpha'_2 - \beta_2)] g(X, u)Y^i \\
&+ \frac{\alpha_1(\beta_1 + \beta_3)}{2\alpha} g(Y, u)X^i \\
&+ [\frac{1}{2}(\beta_1 + \beta_3) \frac{\phi_1}{\phi} + \frac{1}{2}(2\alpha'_2 - \beta_2) \frac{\phi_2}{\phi}] g(X, Y)u^i \\
&+ \{(\beta_1 + \beta_3)' \frac{\phi_1}{\phi} - [(\alpha_1 + \alpha_3)' + \frac{\beta_1 + \beta_3}{2}] \psi_\lambda \\
&- \frac{1}{2} \psi_\theta (2\alpha'_2 - \beta_2)\} g(X, u)g(Y, u)u^i
\end{aligned} \tag{69}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
d_{m+i} &= \frac{\alpha_1\alpha_2}{2\alpha} \{R(X, u)Y\}^i + \frac{\alpha_1\psi_\theta}{2} g(R(X, u)Y, u)u^i \\
&+ [-(\alpha_1 + \alpha_3)' \frac{\alpha_2}{\alpha} + \frac{(2\alpha'_2 - \beta_2)(\alpha_1 + \alpha_3)}{2\alpha}] g(X, u)Y^i \\
&- \alpha_2 \frac{(\beta_1 + \beta_3)}{2\alpha} g(Y, u)X^i \\
&+ [-\frac{(\beta_1 + \beta_3)\phi_2}{2\phi} - \frac{1}{2}(2\alpha'_2 - \beta_2) \frac{\phi_1 + \phi_3}{\phi}] g(X, Y)u^i \\
&+ \{-(\beta_1 + \beta_3)' \frac{\phi_2}{\phi} - [(\alpha_1 + \alpha_3)' + \frac{(\beta_1 + \beta_3)}{2}] \psi_\theta \\
&- \frac{1}{2}(2\alpha'_2 - \beta_2)\psi_\omega\} g(X, u)(Y, u)u^i,
\end{aligned} \tag{70}$$

where for all  $W \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ ,  $\{W\}^i$  are the components of  $W$  in the coordinates system  $(U; x_i, i = 1, \dots, m)$ . So according to (63), the proof of (54) is completed.  $\square$

## 4 $g$ -Natural metrics with constant sectional curvature

### 4.1 Riemannian curvature of nondegenerate $g$ -natural metrics

#### Some notations and properties of $F$ -tensor fields

Fix  $(x, u) \in TM$  and a system of normal coordinates  $S := (U; x_i, i = 1, \dots, m)$  of  $(M, g)$  centred at  $x$ . Then we can define on

$U$  the vector field  $\mathbf{U} := \sum_i u^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$ , where  $(u^1, \dots, u^m)$  are the coordinates of  $u \in T_x M$  with respect to its basis  $\left(\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}\right)_x; i = 1, \dots, m\right)$ .

Let  $P$  be an  $F$ -tensor field of type  $(r, s)$  on  $M$ . Then, on  $U$ , we can define an  $(r, s)$ -tensor field  $P_u^S$  (or  $P_u$  if there is no risk of confusion), associated to  $u$  and  $S$ , by

$$P_u(X_1, \dots, X_s) := P(\mathbf{U}_z; X_1, \dots, X_s), \quad (71)$$

for all  $(X_1, \dots, X_s) \in T_z M$ ,  $\forall z \in U$ .

On the other hand, if we fix  $x \in M$  and  $s$  vectors  $X_1, \dots, X_s$  in  $T_x M$ , then we can define a  $C^\infty$ -mapping  $P_{(X_1, \dots, X_s)} : T_x M \rightarrow \otimes^r T_x M$ , associated to  $(X_1, \dots, X_s)$ , by

$$P_{(X_1, \dots, X_s)}(u) := P(u; X_1, \dots, X_s), \quad (72)$$

for all  $u \in T_x M$ .

Let  $s > t$  be two non-negative integers,  $T$  be a  $(1, s)$ -tensor field on  $M$  and  $P^T$  be an  $F$ -tensor field, of type  $(1, t)$ , of the form

$$P^T(u; X_1, \dots, X_t) = T(X_1, \dots, u, \dots, u, \dots, X_t), \quad (73)$$

for all  $(u; X_1, \dots, X_t) \in TM \oplus \dots \oplus TM$ , i.e.,  $u$  appears  $s-t$  times at positions  $i_1, \dots, i_{s-t}$  in the expression of  $T$ . Then

- $P_u^T$  is a  $(1, t)$ -tensor field on a neighborhood  $U$  of  $x$  in  $M$ , for all  $u \in T_x M$  ;
- $P_{(X_1, \dots, X_t)}^T$  is a  $C^\infty$ -mapping  $T_x M \rightarrow T_x M$ , for all  $X_1, \dots, X_t$  in  $T_x M$ .

Furthermore, we have

**Lemma 4.1** [2]

- 1) The covariant derivative of  $P_u^T$ , with respect to the Levi-Civita connection of  $(M, g)$ , is given by :

$$(\nabla_X P_u^T)(X_1, \dots, X_t) = (\nabla_X T)(X_1, \dots, u, \dots, u, X_t), \quad (74)$$

for all vectors  $X, X_1, \dots, X_t$  in  $T_x M$ , where  $u$  appears at positions  $i_1, \dots, i_{s-t}$  in the right-hand side of the preceding formula.

- 2) The differential of  $P_{(X_1, \dots, X_t)}^T$ , at  $u \in T_x M$ , is given by :

$$d\left(P_{(X_1, \dots, X_t)}^T\right)_u(X) = T(X_1, \dots, X, \dots, u, \dots, X_t) + \dots + T(X_1, \dots, u, \dots, X, \dots, X_t), \quad (75)$$

for all  $X \in T_x M$ .



Furthermore, in [2] the authors gave the expressions determining the Riemannian curvature  $\bar{R}$  of any Riemannian  $g$ -natural metric  $G$  on  $TM$  (up to a misprint in the vertical component of the expression of  $\bar{R}(X^h, Y^h)Z^h$ , in which  $(\nabla_Y A_u)(X, Z)$  should be written  $(\nabla_Y B_u)(X, Z)$ ). Their formulas remain the same if we replace a Riemannian  $g$ -natural metric by a nondegenerate  $g$ -natural metric on  $TM$ . Indeed, a similar proof as that in [2] gives :

**Proposition 4.1** *The Riemannian curvature  $\bar{R}$  of a nondegenerate  $g$ -natural metric  $G$  is completely defined by*

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{R}(X^h, Y^h)Z^h &= h\{R(X, Y)Z\} & (76) \\ &+ h\{(\nabla_X A_u)(Y, Z) - (\nabla_Y A_u)(X, Z) \\ &+ A(u; X, A(u; Y, Z)) - A(u; Y, A(u; X, Z)) \\ &+ C(u; X, B(u; Y, Z)) - C(u; Y, B(u; X, Z)) \\ &+ C(u; Z, R(X, Y)u)\} \\ &+ v\{(\nabla_X B_u)(Y, Z) - (\nabla_Y B_u)(X, Z) \\ &+ B(u; X, A(u; Y, Z)) - B(u; Y, A(u; X, Z)) \\ &+ D(u; X, B(u; Y, Z)) - D(u; Y, B(u; X, Z)) \\ &+ D(u; Z, R(X, Y)u)\}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{R}(X^h, Y^h)Z^v &= h\{(\nabla_X C_u)(Y, Z) - (\nabla_Y C_u)(X, Z) & (77) \\ &+ A(u; X, C(u; Y, Z)) - A(u; Y, C(u; X, Z)) + C(u; X, D(u; Y, Z)) \\ &- C(u; Y, D(u; X, Z)) + E(u; R(X, Y)u, Z)\} \\ &+ v\{R(X, Y)Z + (\nabla_X D_u)(Y, Z) - (\nabla_Y D_u)(X, Z) \\ &+ B(u; X, C(u; Y, Z)) - B(u; Y, C(u; X, Z)) \\ &+ D(u; X, D(u; Y, Z)) - D(u; Y, D(u; X, Z)) + F(u; R(X, Y)u, Z)\}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{R}(X^h, Y^v)Z^h &= h\{(\nabla_X C_u)(Z, Y) + A(u; X, C(u; Z, Y)) & (78) \\ &+ C(u; X, D(u; Z, Y)) - C(u; A(u; X, Z), Y) \\ &- E(u; Y, B(u; X, Z)) - d(A_{(X,Z)})_u(Y)\} \\ &+ v\{(\nabla_X D_u)(Z, Y) + B(u; X, C(u; Z, Y)) + D(u; X, D(u; Z, Y)) \\ &- D(u; A(u; X, Z), Y) - F(u; Y, B(u; X, Z)) - d(B_{(X,Z)})_u(Y)\}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{R}(X^h, Y^v)Z^v &= h\{(\nabla_X E_u)(Y, Z) + A(u; X, E(u; Y, Z)) & (79) \\ &+ C(u; X, F(u; Y, Z)) - C(u; C(u; X, Z), Y) \\ &- E(u; Y, D(u; X, Z)) - d(C_{(X,Z)})_u(Y)\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& +v\{(\nabla_X F_u)(Y, Z) + B(u; X, E(u; Y, Z)) + D(u; X, F(u; Y, Z)) \\
& - D(u; C(u; X, Z), Y) - F(u; Y, D(u; X, Z)) - d(D_{(X,Z)})_u(Y)\},
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{R}(X^v, Y^v)Z^h &= h\{d(C_{(Z,Y)})_u(X) - d(C_{(Z,X)})_u(Y) \\
& + C(u; C(u; Z, Y), X) - C(u; C(u; Z, X), Y) \\
& + E(u; X, D(u; Z, Y)) - E(u; Y, D(u; Z, X))\} \\
& + v\{d(D_{(Z,Y)})_u(X) - d(D_{(Z,X)})_u(Y) + D(u; C(u; Z, Y), X) \\
& - D(u; C(u; Z, X), Y) + F(u; X, D(u; Z, Y)) - F(u; Y, D(u; Z, X))\},
\end{aligned} \tag{80}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{R}(X^v, Y^v)Z^v &= h\{d(E_{(Y,Z)})_u(X) - d(E_{(X,Z)})_u(Y) + C(u; E(u; Y, Z), X) \\
& - C(u; E(u; X, Z), Y) + E(u; X, F(u; Y, Z)) - E(u; Y, F(u; X, Z))\} \\
& + v\{d(F_{(Y,Z)})_u(X) - d(F_{(X,Z)})_u(Y) + D(u; E(u; Y, Z), X) \\
& - D(u; E(u; X, Z), Y) + F(u; X, F(u; Y, Z)) - F(u; Y, F(u; X, Z))\},
\end{aligned} \tag{81}$$

for all  $x \in M$  and  $X, Y, Z \in T_x M$ , where the lifts are taken at  $u \in T_x M$  and  $R$  is the Riemannian curvature of  $g$ .

**Remark 4.1** Let  $P = \sum_{i=5}^8 f_i^P T^i$ ,  $Q = \sum_{i=5}^8 f_i^Q T^i$  be  $F$ -tensors. For  $(x, u) \in TM$  and  $X, Y, Z \in T_x M$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
P(u; X, Q(u; Y, Z)) - P(u; Y, Q(u; X, Z)) &= \{a_1(P, Q)g(Y, Z) \\
& + a_2(P, Q)g(Y, u)g(Z, u)\}X \\
& - \{a_1(P, Q)g(X, Z) + a_2(P, Q)g(X, u)g(Z, u)\}Y \\
& + a_3(P, Q)\{g(X, Z)g(Y, u) - g(Y, Z)g(X, u)\}u
\end{aligned} \tag{82}$$

with

$$a_1(P, Q) = |u|^2 f_6^P f_7^Q, \tag{83}$$

$$a_2(P, Q) = f_6^P (f_6^Q + |u|^2 f_8^Q) - (f_5^P f_6^Q - f_6^P f_5^Q), \tag{84}$$

$$a_3(P, Q) = f_7^P f_5^Q - (f_5^P + f_7^P + |u|^2 f_8^P) f_7^Q, \tag{85}$$

where  $f_i^P, f_i^Q$  are differentiable functions on  $\mathbb{R}^+$  and the  $T^i$  are defined in Notation 3.1.

In the sequel we shall consider only Riemannian  $g$ -natural metrics  $G$  on  $TM$ .

## 4.2 On the hereditary property of constant sectional curvature

We prove the following result that improves [2, theorem 0.3].

**Proposition 4.2** *If  $(TM, G)$  has constant sectional curvature then  $(M, g)$  is a flat Riemannian manifold.*

Proof

If  $(TM, G)$  has constant sectional curvature  $K$ , then by [2, theorem 0.3]  $(M, g)$  has constant sectional curvature  $k \in \mathbb{R}$ . Furthermore, since  $(TM, G)$  has constant sectional curvature then its Riemannian curvature  $\bar{R}$  satisfies  $\bar{R}(X^h, Y^h)Z^v|_{(x,u)} \in H_{(x,u)}TM$  for any  $(x, u) \in TM$ , and  $X, Y, Z \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ . Then by (77), we have

$$\begin{aligned} R(X, Y)Z|_x &= -[(\nabla_X D_u)(Y, Z) - (\nabla_Y D_u)(X, Z) \\ &\quad + B(u; X, C(u; Y, Z)) - B(u; Y, C(u; X, Z)) \\ &\quad + D(u; X, D(u; Y, Z)) - D(u; Y, D(u; X, Z)) \\ &\quad + F(u; R(X, Y)u, Z)], \end{aligned} \quad (86)$$

$$\forall (x, u) \in TM.$$

Thus  $R(X, Y)Z|_x = 0$ ,  $\forall x \in M$  (by taking  $(x, u) = (x, 0) \in TM$ ).

This means that  $k = 0$ . □

In the following proposition, we investigated the  $g$ -natural metrics of constant sectional curvature.

**Proposition 4.3** *For  $\dim M \geq 3$ , the flat Riemannian  $g$ -natural metrics are the only  $g$ -natural metrics on  $TM$  that have constant sectional curvature.*

Proof

If  $(TM, G)$  has constant sectional curvature  $K$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{R}(X^h, Y^h)Z^h &= K \left[ G(Z^h, Y^h)X^h - G(X^h, Z^h)Y^h \right] \\ &= K[(\alpha_1 + \alpha_3)g(Z, Y) + (\beta_1 + \beta_3)g(Z, u)g(Y, u)]X^h \\ &\quad - K[(\alpha_1 + \alpha_3)g(X, Z) + (\beta_1 + \beta_3)g(X, u)g(Z, u)]Y^h. \end{aligned} \quad (87)$$

So by Proposition 4.2, we have  $R \equiv 0$  and thus from the formulas (76) and (82), we obtain

$$\bar{R}(X^h, Y^h)Z^h = h\{A(u; X, A(u; Y, Z)) - A(u; Y, A(u; X, Z))\} \quad (88)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& +C(u; X, B(u; Y, Z)) - C(u; Y, B(u; X, Z))\} \\
= & \{[a_1(A, A) + a_1(C, B)]g(Y, Z) + [a_2(A, A) + a_2(C, B)]g(Y, u)g(Z, u)\}X^h \\
& -\{[a_1(A, A) + a_1(C, B)]g(X, Z) \\
& +[a_2(A, A) + a_2(C, B)]g(X, u)g(Z, u)\}Y^h \\
& +\{[a_3(A, A) + a_3(C, B)][g(X, Z)g(Y, u) - g(Y, Z)g(X, u)]\}u^h .
\end{aligned}$$

Then, let  $(x, u) \in TM$  with  $u \neq 0$  :

- 1) Since  $\dim M \geq 3$ , there exists two vectors  $X, Y \in T_x M$  such that the system  $(u, X, Y)$  is orthogonal.

So by (87) and (88), for  $Z = Y$ , we obtain respectively

$$\bar{R}(X^h, Y^h) Y^h = K(\alpha_1 + \alpha_3)g(Y, Y)X^h \text{ and}$$

$$\bar{R}(X^h, Y^h) Y^h = [a_1(A, A) + a_1(C, B)]g(Y, Y)X^h ; \text{ with } g(Y, Y) \neq 0 \text{ and } X \neq 0. \text{ Hence}$$

$$K(\alpha_1 + \alpha_3)(t) = [a_1(A, A) + a_1(C, B)](t), \forall t > 0. \quad (89)$$

- 2) Next, by choosing  $Y = Z = u$  such as  $u$  is orthogonal to a vector  $X \neq 0$  in  $T_x M$ , (87) gives

$$\bar{R}(X^h, Y^h) Y^h = Kg(u, u)[(\alpha_1 + \alpha_3) + g(u, u)(\beta_1 + \beta_3)]X^h, \quad (90)$$

and (88) gives

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{R}(X^h, Y^h) Y^h &= g(u, u)[a_1(A, A) + a_1(C, B)] \\ &\quad + g(u, u)(a_2(A, A) + a_2(C, B))]X^h. \end{aligned} \quad (91)$$

Then, by (90) and (91), we have,

$$\begin{aligned} K[(\alpha_1 + \alpha_3) + g(u, u)(\beta_1 + \beta_3)] &= a_1(A, A) + a_1(C, B) \\ &\quad + g(u, u)[a_2(A, A) + a_2(C, B)]. \end{aligned} \quad (92)$$

Thus, by (89), we obtain

$$[a_2(A, A) + a_2(C, B)](t) = K(\beta_1 + \beta_3)(t), \forall t > 0. \quad (93)$$

- 3) Furthermore, by choosing  $Y = u$  and  $X = Z \neq 0$  such as  $X$  and  $u$  are orthogonal, (87) gives

$$\bar{R}(X^h, u^h) X^h = -K(\alpha_1 + \alpha_3)g(X, X)u^h$$

and (88) gives

$$\bar{R}(X^h, u^h) X^h = g(X, X)[-(a_1(A, A) + a_1(C, B)) + g(u, u)(a_3(A, A) + a_3(C, B))]u^h.$$

Then by (89), we obtain

$$[a_3(A, A) + a_3(C, B)](t) = 0, \forall t > 0. \quad (94)$$

And we deduce that the identities (89), (93) and (94) are true for any  $t \geq 0$ , since the functions  $\alpha_i, \beta_i, i = 1, 2, 3$  are smooth on  $\mathbb{R}^+$ .

Hence we have

$$\begin{cases} a_1(A, A) + a_1(C, B) &= K(\alpha_1 + \alpha_3) \\ a_2(A, A) + a_2(C, B) &= K(\beta_1 + \beta_3) \\ a_3(A, A) + a_3(C, B) &= 0. \end{cases} \quad (95)$$

But  $(TM, G)$  is Riemannian i.e.;

$$\begin{cases} \alpha_1 > 0 \\ \alpha = \alpha_1(\alpha_1 + \alpha_3) - \alpha_2^2 > 0 \end{cases} , \quad (96)$$

and then

$$\begin{cases} \alpha_1 > 0 \\ \alpha_1(\alpha_1 + \alpha_3) > \alpha_2^2 \end{cases} ; \quad (97)$$

so  $(\alpha_1 + \alpha_3) > 0$  . Hence according to the first equation of (95) which means that

$$tf_6^A(t)f_7^A(t) + tf_6^C(t)f_7^B = K(\alpha_1 + \alpha_3)(t), \quad (98)$$

we obtain for  $t = 0$ ,  $0 = K(\alpha_1 + \alpha_3)(0)$  , so  $K = 0$ .

□

If  $(M, g)$  is a flat Riemannian manifold and we choose

$$\begin{cases} \alpha_1 \equiv 1, \\ \alpha_2 = \alpha_3 = \beta_1 = \beta_2 = \beta_3 \equiv 0 \end{cases} , \text{ (Sasaki's metric)} \quad (99)$$

we obtain that  $(TM, G)$  is a flat Riemannian manifold . But it is not the only way to choose the functions  $\alpha_i, \beta_i, i = 1, 2, 3$  for getting  $(TM, G)$  as a flat Riemannian manifold. Actually we establish a characterization of flat Riemannian  $g$ -natural metrics in what follows.

### 4.3 Flat Riemannian $g$ -natural metrics

**Lemma 4.2** *If  $(TM, G)$  is a flat Riemannian manifold with  $\dim M \geq 3$ , then*

- a)  $\beta_1 + \beta_3 = 0$ ,
- b)  $\alpha_1 + \alpha_3 = \text{constant} > 0$ ,
- c)  $2\alpha_2' = \beta_2$ ,
- d)  $f_6^F = f_7^F = f_8^F = 0$ ,

where  $\alpha_2'$  denote the first derivative of  $\alpha_2$  .

Proof:

If  $(TM, G)$  is flat Riemannian then by [2, page 36], we have  $\beta_1 + \beta_3 = 0$  and  $\alpha_1 + \alpha_3 = \text{constant}$ . We have also  $\alpha_1 + \alpha_3 > 0$  since  $\alpha > 0$ . Therefore we have the parts a) and b) of Lemma 4.2. Furthermore, by [3, Lemma 4.1], we have  $\alpha_2' - \beta_2 = 0$ , and  $A = B = C = D = 0$ .

It remains to prove *d*).

Since  $D = 0$  then (81) gives,

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{R}_v^6(X, Y)Z &= \{[a_1(F, F) + f_7^F - f_6^F]g(Y, Z) \\
&+ [a_2(F, F) + f_8^F - 2f_6^{F'}]g(Y, u)g(Z, u)\}X \\
&- \{[a_1(F, F) + f_7^F - f_6^F]g(X, Z) \\
&+ [a_2(F, F) + f_8^F - 2f_6^{F'}]g(X, u)g(Z, u)\}Y \\
&+ [a_3(F, F) + 2f_7^{F'} - f_8^F]\{g(X, Z)g(Y, u) - g(Y, Z)g(X, u)\}u.
\end{aligned} \tag{100}$$

where  $\bar{R}_v^6(X, Y)Z$  is the vertical component of  $\bar{R}(X^v, Y^v)Z^v$ . Since  $\dim M \geq 3$  as before,  $\bar{R}_v^6(X, Y)Z = 0 \quad X, Y, Z \in T_x M$ , implies

$$\begin{cases} tf_6 f_7 + f_7 - f_6 &= 0 \\ f_6^2 + t f_6 f_8 + f_8 &= 2f_6' \\ f_7^2 + t f_8 f_7 + f_8 &= 2f_7', \end{cases} \tag{101}$$

where  $t = g_x(u, u)$ ,  $f_i = f_i^F$ ,  $i = 6, 7, 8$  and  $f_i'$  denotes the first derivative of  $f_i$ .

Then the first equation of the system (101) gives

$$f_7(1 + t f_6) = f_6 \tag{102}$$

and so  $1 + t f_6 \neq 0$ ,  $\forall t \geq 0$ , (otherwise  $1 + t f_6 = 0$  would imply  $f_6 = 0$  and  $t f_6 = -1$ , which is absurd). Hence (102) gives

$$f_7 = \frac{f_6}{1 + t f_6}. \tag{103}$$

Furthermore the second equation of (101) gives

$$f_8 = \frac{2f_6' - f_6^2}{1 + t f_6}. \tag{104}$$

Next by using (103), we obtain

$$f_7' = \frac{f_6' - f_6^2}{(1 + t f_6)^2}, \tag{105}$$

and

$$1 + t f_7 = \frac{1 + 2t f_6}{1 + t f_6}. \tag{106}$$

By replacing (103), (104), (106) and (105) in the 3<sup>rd</sup> equation of the sytem (101), we obtain

$$4t f_6 f_6' = -2f_6^2 + 2t f_6^3, \tag{107}$$

which implies

$$f_6(t) = 0, \quad \text{or} \quad (108)$$

$$f_6'(t) = -\frac{f_6(t)}{2t} + \frac{f_6^2(t)}{2}; \quad (109)$$

for  $t > 0$ .

So  $f_6$  is a solution on the open set  $I = \{t \in ]0, +\infty[ / f_6(t) \neq 0\}$  of the Bernoulli equation

$$y'(t) = -\frac{y(t)}{2t} + \frac{y^2(t)}{2}. \quad (110)$$

Besides, we have  $f_6(0) = 0$ . Indeed, if  $0 \in \text{Adh}(I)$  the adherence of  $I$  in  $\mathbb{R}^+$ , then by equation (110), we have

$$\begin{aligned} f_6(0) &= \lim_{\substack{t \rightarrow 0 \\ t \in I}} f_6(t) \\ &= \lim_{\substack{t \rightarrow 0 \\ t \in I}} t[-2f_6'(t) + f_6^2(t)] = 0. \end{aligned}$$

But if  $0 \notin \text{Adh}(I)$  then evidently, we have  $f_6(0) = 0$ .

Thus the frontier  $\text{Fr}(I)$  of  $I$  is necessarily non empty, since  $\mathbb{R}^+$  is connected and  $f_6$  is smooth. In summary  $f_6$  is a solution of the equation

$$\begin{cases} y'(t) = -\frac{y(t)}{2t} + y^2(t), \quad \forall t \in I, \\ y|_{\text{Fr}(I)} \equiv 0 \end{cases} \quad (111)$$

that has the unique solution  $y \equiv 0$ , so  $f_6 \equiv 0$ .

Next by using (103) and (104), we obtain  $f_7 = f_8 = 0$ , as stated. □

**Theorem 4.1** *Let  $(M, g)$  be a Riemannian manifold and  $(TM, G)$  its tangent bundle equipped with a  $g$ -natural metric  $G$ . Then  $(TM, G)$  is flat Riemannian if and only if*

i)  $(M, g)$  is flat,

ii)  $\alpha_1(t) > 0, \quad \phi_1(t) > 0, \quad \alpha(t) > 0, \quad \phi(t) > 0, \quad \text{for all } t \in \mathbb{R}^+;$

iii)  $\alpha_1 + \alpha_3 = \text{constant} > 0, \quad \beta_1 + \beta_3 = 0, \quad 2\alpha_2' = \beta_2,$

iv)  $\alpha_1' = \frac{\alpha_2\beta_2}{\alpha_1+\alpha_3} \quad \text{and} \quad \beta_1 = \frac{\beta_2(2\alpha_2+t\beta_2)}{\alpha_1+\alpha_3},$

where  $\alpha_1'$  and  $\alpha_2'$  are respectively the first derivatives of the functions  $\alpha_1$  and  $\alpha_2$ .



Proof

Let us assume that  $(TM, G)$  is flat Riemannian. By Proposition 1.2 and Proposition 4.2, we obtain the parts *i)* and *ii)* of Theorem 4.1.

Next we obtain *iii)* from Lemma 4.2.

It remains to prove *iv)*. But according to Lemma 4.2 we have

$$2\alpha'_2 = \beta_2 \quad \text{and} \quad (112)$$

$$f_6 = -\frac{\alpha_2}{\alpha}(\alpha'_2 + \frac{1}{2}\beta_2) + \alpha'_1 \frac{(\alpha_1 + \alpha_3)}{\alpha} = 0. \quad (113)$$

Then by combining these identities, we obtain

$$\alpha'_1 = \frac{\alpha_2 \beta_2}{\alpha_1 + \alpha_3}. \quad (114)$$

Lemma 4.2 gives again

$$\begin{aligned} f_7 &= (\beta_1 - \alpha'_1)(\phi_1 + \phi_3) - \beta_2 \phi_2 = 0, \quad \text{and} \\ \beta_1 + \beta_3 &= 0, \end{aligned} \quad (115)$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_1 &= \alpha'_1 + \frac{\beta_2 \phi_2}{\alpha_1 + \alpha_3} \\ &= \frac{\alpha_2 \beta_2}{\alpha_1 + \alpha_3} + \frac{\beta_2(\alpha_2 + t\beta_2)}{\alpha_1 + \alpha_3} \quad \text{by (114)} \\ \beta_1 &= \frac{\beta_2(2\alpha_2 + t\beta_2)}{\alpha_1 + \alpha_3}. \end{aligned} \quad (116)$$

So we prove *iv)*.

Conversely:

The part *ii)* shows that  $G$  is Riemannian. Next by combining the parts *i)* and *iii)* we obtain

$$A = B = C = D = 0. \quad (117)$$

Furthermore by combining the parts *iii)* and *iv)* we obtain

$$f_6^F = f_7^F = f_8^F = 0, \quad (118)$$

$$f_6^E = f_7^E = \frac{\beta_2}{\alpha_1 + \alpha_3}, \quad f_8^E = \frac{2\beta_2'}{\alpha_1 + \alpha_3}. \quad (119)$$

So (118) implies that  $F = 0$ , and by considering (117) we obtain:

$\forall (x, u) \in TM$  and  $\forall X, Y, Z \in T_x M$ ,

$$\bar{R}(X^h, Y^h) Z^h = \bar{R}(X^h, Y^h) Z^v = 0 \quad (120)$$

$$\bar{R}(X^h, Y^v) Z^h = \bar{R}(X^h, Y^v) Z^v = \bar{R}(X^v, Y^v) Z^h = 0,$$

where the lifts are taken at  $(x, u)$ . Next (119) implies

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{R}(X^v, Y^v)Z^v &= h\{d(E_{(Y,Z)})_u(X) - d(E_{(X,Z)})_u(Y)\} & (121) \\
&= \{(f_7^E - f_6^E)g(Y, Z) + (f_8^E - 2f_6^{E'})g(Y, u)g(Z, u)\}X \\
&\quad - \{(f_7^E - f_6^E)g(X, Z) + (f_8^E - 2f_6^{E'})g(X, u)g(Z, u)\}Z \\
&\quad + (2f_7^{E'} - f_8^E)\{g(Y, Z)g(X, u) - g(X, Z)g(Y, u)\}u \\
&= 0 .
\end{aligned}$$

Finally  $\bar{R} \equiv 0$  .

□

## References

- [1] Abbassi, K.M.T. and Sarih, M. : *On natural metrics on tangent bundles of Riemannian manifolds*. Arch. Math (Brno), **41**(2005), 71-92.
- [2] Abbassi, K.M.T. and Sarih, M. : *On some hereditary properties of Riemannian g-natural metrics on tangent bundles of Riemannian manifolds*. Differential Geometry and its Application **22**(2005)19-47.
- [3] Abbassi, K.M.T. and Sarih, M. : *On Riemannian g-natural metrics of the form  $a.g^s + b.g^h + c.g^v$  on the tangent bundle of a Riemannian manifold  $(M, g)$* , Mediter. J. Math., **2**(1)(2005), 19-45. Differential Geometry and its Application 22(2005)19-47.
- [4] Cordero, L.A.; Dodson, C.T.J.; and de León, M. : *Differential geometry of frame bundles*. Kluwer Academic Publishers .
- [5] Dombroski, P. : *On the geometry of the tangent Bundle*. J. Reine Angew. Math. 210(1962), 73-88.
- [6] Kolár, I.; Michor, P.W. and Slovák, J. : *Natural operations in differential geometry* . Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1993.
- [7] Kowalski, O. and Sekizawa, M. : *Natural transformations of Riemannian metrics on manifolds to metrics on the tangent bundles—a classification*. Bull. Tokyo Gakugei Univ.(4)**40**(1988)1-19.
- [8] Sasaki, S : *On the differential geometry of the tangent bundles of Riemannian manifolds*. Tohoku Math. J. **10**(1958), 338-3354.
- [9] Yano, K. and Ishihara, S. : *Tangent and cotangent bundles* . Differential Geometry, Marcel Dekker Inc., New York, 1973.