

COMBINATORICS AND TOPOLOGY OF STRAIGHTENING MAPS I: COMPACTNESS AND BIJECTIVITY

HIROYUKI INOU AND JAN KIWI

ABSTRACT. We study the parameter space structure of degree $d \geq 3$ one complex variable polynomials as dynamical systems acting on \mathbb{C} . We introduce and study *straightening maps*. These maps are a natural higher degree generalization of the ones introduced by Douady and Hubbard to prove the existence of small copies of the Mandelbrot set inside itself. We establish that straightening maps are always injective and that their image contains all the corresponding hyperbolic systems. Also, we characterize straightening maps with compact domain. Moreover, we give two classes of bijective straightening maps. The first produces an infinite collection of embedded copies of the $(d - 1)$ -fold product of the Mandelbrot set in the connectedness locus of degree $d \geq 3$. The second produces an infinite collection of full families of quadratic connected filled Julia sets in the cubic connectedness locus, such that each filled Julia set is quasiconformally embedded.

CONTENTS

Introduction	2
1. Outline of results	4
1.1. Combinatorially renormalizable polynomials	5
1.2. Mapping schemata	5
1.3. Universal polynomial model space	5
1.4. Polynomial-like maps over schemata	6
1.5. External markings	7
1.6. Standard external marking of polynomial maps in $\text{Poly}(T)$	7
1.7. Straightening of polynomial-like maps over reduced schemata	8
1.8. Renormalizable polynomials	9
1.9. Internal angle systems and induced external markings	9
1.10. Straightening map and injectivity	10
1.11. Onto hyperbolic maps	11
1.12. Compactness	12
1.13. Cubic reduced mapping schemata	12
1.14. Capture and Disjoint type straightenings	13
2. Polynomial-like maps	14
2.1. Proof of the straightening theorem	14
3. Laminations	15
3.1. Laminations	15
3.2. Invariant rational laminations: unlinked classes, fibers and critical elements	16
3.3. Renormalizations	21
3.4. Proof of Proposition 3.7	23

The second author was partially supported by Research Network on Low Dimensional Systems, PBCT/CONICYT, Chile.

4.	Yoccoz puzzles	24
4.1.	Combinatorial Yoccoz Puzzle	24
4.2.	Yoccoz Puzzle	25
4.3.	From combinatorial puzzles to laminations	26
5.	Combinatorial surjectivity of straightening	30
5.1.	Combinatorial straightening	30
5.2.	Combinatorial Tuning	31
5.3.	Combinatorial straightening and combinatorial tuning	33
5.4.	Renormalizable set	34
5.5.	Combinatorial surjectivity	40
6.	Injectivity of straightening maps	41
6.1.	Proof of Theorem B	42
6.2.	Proof of the key lemma	45
7.	Onto hyperbolic maps	49
7.1.	Onto hyperbolic maps	50
7.2.	Proof of Theorem C	52
8.	Compactness	53
9.	Surjectivity of straightening maps	57
9.1.	Preliminaries	57
9.2.	Surjectivity of straightening: primitive disjoint type	58
9.3.	Surjectivity of straightening: cubic primitive capture type	59
9.4.	Complex submanifolds and quasiconformality of straightening	61
9.4.1.	Proof of Theorem E	61
9.4.2.	Capture case	63
	References	64

INTRODUCTION

The Mandelbrot set consists of all parameters $c \in \mathbb{C}$ for which the filled Julia set $K(z^2+c)$ of the quadratic polynomial $z^2 + c$ is connected. In any reasonable picture of the Mandelbrot set \mathcal{M} one immediately observes the presence of small copies of it contained in itself. Douady and Hubbard [DH2] gave a mathematical proof of this fact. They established the existence of homeomorphisms χ from carefully chosen subsets M' of the Mandelbrot set onto the whole Mandelbrot set \mathcal{M} . These homeomorphisms $\chi : M' \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ are fundamental examples of what are usually called “straightening maps”. The degree $d \geq 3$ analogue of the Mandelbrot set is called the connectedness locus $\mathcal{C}(d)$ of degree d . In this paper we propose a definition of straightening maps in the context of higher degree polynomial dynamics. This involves introducing appropriate domains as well as the corresponding target sets and the maps themselves. In contrast with quadratic polynomials, one encounters a large diversity of target sets. Therefore, a greater variety of structures replicate all over the corresponding connectedness loci. In particular, for cubic polynomials, the target sets include the cubic connectedness locus $\mathcal{C}(3)$, the connectedness locus of biquadratic polynomials $\{(a, b) \in \mathbb{C}^2; K((z^2 + a)^2 + b) \text{ is connected}\}$, the full family of quadratic connected filled Julia sets $\mathcal{MK} = \{(c, z) \in \mathbb{C}^2; c \in \mathcal{M}, z \in K(z^2 + c)\}$, and the cross product of the Mandelbrot set with itself $\mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{M}$. In this paper we also establish the existence of a large collection of embeddings of $\mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{M}$ and inclusions of \mathcal{MK} in the cubic connectedness locus. The latter restrict to quasiconformal embeddings of every quadratic filled Julia set.

We proceed with a brief overview of our main results. The next section (Section 1) contains a more detailed account of them, including the relevant definitions and statements.

The first objective of this paper is to propose a definition of straightening maps (i.e., to introduce the domains, the target sets, and the maps). We will work in the parameter space $\text{Poly}(d)$ of monic centered polynomials of degree $d \geq 2$ with complex coefficients (i.e., polynomials of the form $z^d + a_{d-2}z^{d-2} + \dots + a_0$). The *connectedness locus* $C(d)$ of degree d is the set formed by all the polynomials $f \in \text{Poly}(d)$ which have connected filled Julia set $K(f)$. We consider a post-critically finite polynomial $f_0 \in C(d)$, with at least one Fatou critical point, and define a straightening map whose domain is, in a certain sense, centered at f_0 and whose target space is introduced via *mapping schemata*. Following Milnor [Mi4], one may associate with f_0 a combinatorial object, called the *reduced mapping schema* T of f_0 , that encodes the dynamics along the Fatou critical orbits of f_0 . *Polynomial maps over* T are certain polynomial dynamical systems which act on a disjoint union of finitely many copies of \mathbb{C} . The parameter space formed by all monic centered polynomial maps over T is called the *universal polynomial model space* $\text{Poly}(T)$ of T . The notions of Fatou, Julia and filled Julia sets extend to maps in $\text{Poly}(T)$. In $\text{Poly}(T)$, the analogue of the connectedness loci is the *fiberwise connectedness locus* $C(T)$. The fiberwise connectedness locus $C(T)$ will be the target space for a straightening map defined around f_0 . The domain $\mathcal{R}(\lambda_0) \subset C(d)$ will be prescribed with the aid of the rational lamination λ_0 of f_0 . The definition of the map involves extracting a *polynomial-like map* g over T from each polynomial $f \in \mathcal{R}(\lambda_0)$ (i.e., a renormalization procedure). Polynomial-like maps over mapping schemata are a (straightforward) generalization of the classical ones introduced by Douady and Hubbard. The classical notion of hybrid conjugacy between polynomial-like maps also extends easily to our context. Straightening will assign to each polynomial $f \in \mathcal{R}(\lambda_0)$ a map in the fiberwise connectedness locus $C(T)$ which is hybrid equivalent to the polynomial-like map extracted from f . However, in order to avoid ambiguities, we have to introduce “external markings” for polynomial-like maps over T . After carefully introducing markings, we obtain the desired definition of the corresponding straightening map $\chi_{\lambda_0} : \mathcal{R}(\lambda_0) \rightarrow C(T)$.

Our second objective is to study basic properties of straightening maps. In contrast with the quadratic case, higher degree straightening maps are often discontinuous (see [In4]). Nevertheless, straightening maps are still a natural and useful tool in higher degree polynomial dynamics. Basic questions that one may ask are the following. Are straightening maps injective? What is the image of a given straightening map? When is the domain compact? When is the domain connected? We will show that the straightening map $\chi_{\lambda_0} : \mathcal{R}(\lambda_0) \rightarrow C(T)$, briefly described above, is injective and its image contains all hyperbolic dynamical systems in the fiberwise connectedness locus $C(T)$. Moreover, we give a characterization of straightenings with compact domains. These straightenings include those that arise from “primitive” hyperbolic post-critically finite polynomials.

Straightening maps that arise from “primitive” hyperbolic post-critically finite polynomials are natural candidates to be *onto* the connectedness locus of the corresponding model space. We say that a polynomial $f_0 \in C(d)$ is *primitive* if, for all distinct and bounded Fatou components U, V of f_0 , we have that $\overline{U} \cap \overline{V} = \emptyset$. Our third objective is to provide supporting evidence for the following conjecture:

Conjecture. *If f_0 is a primitive hyperbolic post-critically finite polynomial with rational lamination λ_0 and reduced mapping schema T , then $\chi_{\lambda_0} : \mathcal{R}(\lambda_0) \rightarrow C(T)$ is a bijection with compact and connected domain.*

We establish the above conjecture for two large classes of cubic straightening maps: “primitive disjoint type” and “primitive capture type”. Moreover, we show that the primitive disjoint type gives rise, via the inverse of straightening, to homeomorphically embedded copies of $\mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{M}$ in the cubic connected locus. Similarly, each primitive capture type, leads to an injective map from the full family of quadratic filled Julia sets \mathcal{MK} into the cubic connectedness locus. The inclusions of \mathcal{MK} in $C(3)$ have the nice extra property that restrict to a quasiconformal embeddings of every fiber $\{c\} \times K(z^2 + c)$.

Our results also show that primitive disjoint type straightenings are bijections in any degree (which establishes the existence of a large collection of dynamically defined subset of $C(d)$ homeomorphic to \mathcal{M}^{d-1}) and, our techniques might generalize to degree $d \geq 4$ versions of primitive capture type straightenings. However, already for cubic polynomials, the above conjecture is open since our techniques do not fully apply for the two remaining types of cubic straightening maps (adjacent and bitransitive).

In [BHe], Buff and Henriksen showed that $K(z^2 + c)$ is quasiconformally embedded in the cubic connectedness locus provided that $z^2 + c$ has a non-repelling fixed point. The Buff and Henriksen embeddings are a particular case of the ones obtained via a straightening map of primitive capture type. In [In2], the first author showed that given a degree $d \geq 2$ polynomial $f \in C(d)$ with filled Julia $K(f)$, then there is a natural embedding of $K(f)$ into the connectedness locus of degree d' , for all $d' > d$. Epstein and Yampolsky [EY] obtained embedded copies of $\mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{M}$ by using the intertwining surgery technique.

As mentioned above, one of the main difficulties in the study of higher degree straightening maps stems from the lack of continuity (see [In4]). The other main difficulty stems from the insufficient understanding of the global structure of $C(d)$, for $d \geq 3$. In fact, the Douady and Hubbard techniques used in the study of the image of quadratic straightening maps break down since they are based on the “topological holomorphy” property of straightening (a very strong form of continuity) and on available knowledge about the global structure of the Mandelbrot set. As suggested by the previous paragraph, the problem of describing the image of higher degree straightening maps has been already addressed in the literature, sometimes in another equivalent language. One approach has been to construct the inverse of some straightening maps via the intertwining construction [EY]. The intertwining technique, which is certainly of intrinsic interest, may only solve the problem for a limited collection of straightening maps. Buff and Henriksen applied holomorphic motions techniques in appropriately chosen one dimensional slices of parameter space. Our approach involves a “combinatorial tuning” technique and obtaining suitable one dimensional restrictions of a given straightening map which behave as in the quadratic case. According to Lyubich [L], quadratic straightening may be also regarded as a holomorphic motion. Nevertheless, we only use the local properties of quadratic straightening to obtain surjectivity rather than the global properties of the holomorphic motions used by Buff and Henriksen.

Acknowledgment. The authors would like to thank Mitsuhiro Shishikura, Peter Haïssinsky and Tomoki Kawahira for valuable discussions.

1. OUTLINE OF RESULTS

The aim of this outline is to provide the reader with a self-contained exposition of the statements of our results. These statements involve several definitions which are introduced in paragraphs 1.1 through 1.9. Paragraphs 1.10 through 1.14 contain the statements of theorems B, C, D, E and F, which are the main results of this paper.

Recall that $\text{Poly}(d)$ denotes the space of monic centered polynomials of degree $d \geq 2$. That is, polynomials of the form $z^d + a_{d-2}z^{d-2} + \cdots + a_0$. Therefore, $\text{Poly}(d)$ is naturally identified with \mathbb{C}^{d-1} . Also, recall that we denote the connectedness locus by $C(d)$. According to Branner and Hubbard [BrH], $C(d)$ is a compact subset of $\text{Poly}(d)$. Although the results contained in the body of the paper are stated and proved in further generality, for the sake of simplicity of the exposition, here we restrict our attention to straightening maps that arise from post-critically finite polynomials. Thus:

For rest of this section, we let f_0 be a post-critically finite polynomial with at least one Fatou critical point.

As usual we denote the Julia set by $J(f_0)$, the Fatou set by $F(f_0)$ and the set of critical points by $\text{Crit}(f_0) \subset \mathbb{C}$.

1.1. Combinatorially renormalizable polynomials. Given a polynomial $f \in C(d)$, the *rational lamination* λ_f of f is the equivalence relation in \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} that identifies two arguments θ, θ' if and only if the external rays of f with arguments θ and θ' land at a common point (e.g., see [Mc1, Section 6.4]).

Post-critically finite polynomials in $C(d)$ are completely determined by their rational laminations [Po]. All the constructions in this paper only depend on a reference rational lamination λ_0 . For this outline of results:

We let λ_0 be the rational lamination f_0 .

We say that $f \in C(d)$ is *combinatorially λ_0 -renormalizable* if $\lambda_f \supset \lambda_0$. The set formed by all combinatorially λ_0 -renormalizable polynomials is denoted by $C(\lambda_0)$.

1.2. Mapping schemata. In [Mi4] a “model” for $C(\lambda_0)$ is suggested in terms of the reduced mapping schema $T(\lambda_0)$ for f_0 .

In general, a (*resp. reduced*) *mapping schema* T is a triple $(|T|, \sigma, \delta)$ where $|T|$ is a finite set, σ is a map from $|T|$ into itself, and δ is a map from $|T|$ into the integers such that (*resp.* $\delta(v) \geq 2$) $\delta(v) \geq 1$ for all $v \in |T|$. The map δ is called the *degree function*. The sum $1 + \sum_{v \in |T|} (\delta(v) - 1)$ is called the *total degree* of T .

Most of the mapping schemata considered in this paper are reduced.

The *reduced mapping schema* $T(\lambda_0)$ for λ_0 (or f_0) is $(|T(\lambda_0)|, \sigma_{\lambda_0}, \delta_{\lambda_0})$ where:

- (i) $|T(\lambda_0)| = \text{Crit}(f_0) \cap F(f_0)$ is the set of Fatou critical points of f_0 .
- (ii) $\sigma_{\lambda_0} : |T(\lambda_0)| \rightarrow |T(\lambda_0)|$ where $\sigma_{\lambda_0}(v) = f_0^{\ell_v}(v)$ if $\ell_v = \min\{k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}; f^k(v) \in |T(\lambda_0)|\}$.
- (iii) $\delta_{\lambda_0} : |T(\lambda_0)| \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ where $\delta_{\lambda_0}(v)$ is the local degree of f_0 at v .

The number ℓ_v as above, will be called the *return time of v to $|T(\lambda_0)|$* and will be consistently denoted by ℓ_v .

Note that apparently $T(\lambda_0)$ not only depends on λ_0 but also in f_0 . However, we will define $T(\lambda_0)$ purely in terms of λ_0 in Section 3.3.

1.3. Universal polynomial model space. Each reduced mapping schema $T = (|T|, \sigma, \delta)$ determines a complex affine space $\text{Poly}(T)$ called the *universal polynomial model space for T* . More precisely, $\text{Poly}(T)$ consists of all maps f from $|T| \times \mathbb{C}$ to itself such that the restriction of f to each component $\{v\} \times \mathbb{C}$ is a monic centered polynomial map f_v of degree $\delta(v)$, taking values in $\{\sigma(v)\} \times \mathbb{C}$. For short we say that f is a *polynomial map over T* . That is,

$$\begin{aligned} f : |T| \times \mathbb{C} &\rightarrow |T| \times \mathbb{C} \\ (v, z) &\mapsto (\sigma(v), z^{\delta(v)} + a_{\delta(v)-2}(v)z^{\delta(v)-2} + \cdots + a_0(v)) \end{aligned}$$

where $a_j(v) \in \mathbb{C}$ for all $v \in |T|$ and $0 \leq j \leq \delta(v) - 2$. Thus, $\text{Poly}(T)$ is naturally endowed with a complex affine structure via its parameterization by the coefficients $a_j(v)$.

Given $f \in \text{Poly}(T)$, the *filled Julia set* $K(f)$ of f is the set of points in $|T| \times \mathbb{C}$ with precompact forward orbit. The boundary $\partial K(f)$ is called the *Julia set* $J(f)$ of f . We say that $K(f)$ is *fiberwise connected* if the intersection of $K(f)$ with every fiber $\{v\} \times \mathbb{C}$ is connected. The subset of $\text{Poly}(T)$ formed by the maps f with fiberwise connected filled Julia set $K(f)$ is called the *connectedness locus* $C(T)$ for T . It follows that $C(T)$ is a compact subset of $\text{Poly}(T)$. We will denote the v -fiber of the filled Julia set $K(f)$ by $K(f, v)$. Also, we will abuse of notation and sometimes regard $f \in \text{Poly}(T)$ as a collection $f = (f_v : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C})_{v \in |T|}$ of polynomials.

Polynomial maps over a schema are a very particular case of the “fibered polynomial dynamics” studied by O. Sester in [Se].

1.4. Polynomial-like maps over schemata. Now we generalize the notion of polynomial-like maps introduced by Douady and Hubbard [DH2].

Consider a mapping schema $T = (|T|, \sigma, \delta)$. Let $U' \Subset U$ (i.e. U' is compactly contained in U) be open subsets of $|T| \times \mathbb{C}$ which are fiberwise topological disks. That is, for all $v \in |T|$ we have that $U' \cap (\{v\} \times \mathbb{C}) = \{v\} \times U'_v$ and $U \cap (\{v\} \times \mathbb{C}) = \{v\} \times U_v$ for some topological disks $U'_v, U_v \subset \mathbb{C}$ such that $U'_v \Subset U_v$. A proper and holomorphic skew product over σ ,

$$\begin{aligned} g : U' &\rightarrow U \\ (v, z) &\rightarrow (\sigma(v), g_v(z)) \end{aligned}$$

is called a *polynomial-like map over T* if the degree of $g_v : U'_v \rightarrow U_{\sigma(v)}$ is $\delta(v)$, for every $v \in |T|$. The *filled Julia set* $K(g)$ is the set of all $(v, z) \in U'$ such that $g^n((v, z))$ is well defined, for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. Again we will abuse of notation and sometimes simply identify g with the collection $(g_v : U'_v \rightarrow U_{\sigma(v)})_{v \in |T|}$. The v -fiber of $K(g)$ will be denoted by $K(g, v)$.

Simple examples of polynomial-like maps over a reduced mapping schema T are obtained from polynomial maps in $C(T)$ after restriction to an appropriate neighborhood of their filled Julia sets.

Two polynomial-like maps g_0 and g_1 over a mapping schema T are said to be *hybrid equivalent* if there exists a fiberwise quasiconformal map ψ defined on a neighborhood of $K(g_0)$, mapping the v -fiber of g_0 into v -fiber of g_1 , such that $\psi \circ g_0 = g_1 \circ \psi$ and

$$\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \bar{z}}(v, z) \equiv 0 \text{ a.e. on } K(g_0).$$

An *analytic family of polynomial-like maps over a mapping schema* $T = (|T|, \sigma, \delta)$ is a family $((g_{\mu, v} : U'_{\mu, v} \rightarrow U_{\mu, \sigma(v)})_{v \in |T|})_{\mu \in M}$ of polynomial-like maps over T , parameterized by a complex manifold M such that:

- (i) $\mathcal{U}_v = \{(\mu, z); \mu \in M, z \in U_{\mu, v}\}$ and $\mathcal{U}'_v = \{(\mu, z); \mu \in M, z \in U'_{\mu, v}\}$ are homeomorphic over M to $M \times \Delta$.
- (ii) The projection from the closure of \mathcal{U}'_v in \mathcal{U}_v to M is proper.
- (iii) The map $g_v : \mathcal{U}'_v \rightarrow \mathcal{U}_{\sigma(v)}$ given by $g_v(\mu, z) = (\mu, g_{\mu, v}(z))$ is complex analytic and proper.

The *fiberwise connectedness locus* of such a family is the set of parameters $\mu \in M$ with fiberwise connected Julia sets.

This is a straightforward generalization of the notion of analytic family of polynomial-like maps, given by Douady and Hubbard [DH2].

1.5. External markings. We will show that every polynomial-like map g over a reduced mapping schema T with fiberwise connected Julia set is hybrid equivalent to a polynomial map over T (see Theorem A stated in Section 1.7 and proved in Section 2). However, in order to avoid ambiguities we are forced either to work with the moduli space of polynomial maps over T (affine conjugacy classes of such maps) or to introduce *external markings*. For our purpose, the latter will be more convenient. Roughly speaking, an external marking of such a polynomial-like map g over T is a collection of accesses, one per fiber, to an appropriate periodic or preperiodic point in $J(g)$.

Definition 1.1. Let $g : U' \rightarrow U$ be a polynomial-like map over a reduced mapping schema $T = (|T|, \sigma, \delta)$ with fiberwise connected filled Julia set. A *path to $K(g)$* is a continuous map $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow U'$ such that $\gamma([0, 1]) \subset U' \setminus K(g)$ and $\gamma(0) \in J(g)$. We say two paths γ_0 and γ_1 to $K(g)$ are *homotopic* if there exists a continuous map $\tilde{\gamma} : [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow U'$ such that

- $t \mapsto \tilde{\gamma}(s, t)$ is a path to $K(g)$ for all $s \in [0, 1]$;
- $\tilde{\gamma}(0, t) = \gamma_0(t)$ and $\tilde{\gamma}(1, t) = \gamma_1(t)$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$;
- $\tilde{\gamma}(s, 0) = \gamma_0(0)$ for all $s \in [0, 1]$.

An *access to $K(g)$* is a homotopy class of paths to $K(g)$.

Definition 1.2. Let $g : U' \rightarrow U$ be a polynomial-like map over a reduced mapping schema $T = (|T|, \sigma, \delta)$ with $K(g)$ fiberwise connected. An *external marking of g* is a collection $\Gamma = (\Gamma_v)_{v \in |T|}$ where each Γ_v is an access to $K(g)$, contained in $\{v\} \times \mathbb{C}$, such that Γ is forward invariant in the following sense. For every $v \in |T|$ and every representative $\gamma_v \subset (\{v\} \times \mathbb{C}) \cap U'$ of Γ_v , the connected component of $g(\gamma_v) \cap U'$ that contains a point of $J(g)$ is a representative of $\Gamma_{\sigma(v)}$.

An *externally marked polynomial-like map over T* is a pair (g, Γ) of a polynomial-like map over T and an external marking of it.

1.6. Standard external marking of polynomial maps in $\text{Poly}(T)$. Polynomial maps over a reduced mapping schema T are naturally endowed with a standard marking which we introduce with the aid of appropriately defined Böttcher coordinates. More precisely, given $f \in \text{Poly}(T)$, the standard arguments for polynomials (e.g., see [Mi3] and [Se, Proposition 2.7]) generalize to prove that there exists a *Böttcher coordinate φ* (at infinity) for f . That is, there exists a neighborhood U of $|T| \times \{\infty\}$ in $|T| \times \hat{\mathbb{C}}$ and a conformal map $\varphi : U \rightarrow |T| \times \hat{\mathbb{C}}$ of the form $\varphi(v, z) = (v, \varphi_v(z))$ such that $\varphi \circ f(v, z) = (\sigma(v), (\varphi_v(z))^{\delta(v)})$ and φ is tangent to the identity as $z \rightarrow \infty$ on each fiber.

Let $\Delta = \{z \in \mathbb{C}; |z| < 1\}$. If $f \in C(T)$, then a Böttcher coordinate can be extended uniquely to a conformal isomorphism

$$\varphi : (|T| \times \mathbb{C}) \setminus K(f) \rightarrow |T| \times (\mathbb{C} \setminus \bar{\Delta}),$$

which we also denote by φ . In this case, φ is uniquely determined by $f \in C(T)$.

For $(v, \theta) \in |T| \times \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$, define the *external ray for f* by

$$R_f(v, \theta) = \{\varphi^{-1}(v, r \exp(2\pi i \theta)); 1 < r < \infty\}$$

We say that $R_f(v, \theta)$ *lands at $(v, z) \in J(f)$* if

$$\lim_{r \searrow 1} \varphi^{-1}(v, r \exp(2\pi i \theta)) = (v, z).$$

The landing theorems easily generalize to this context (e.g., see [Mi3]). In particular, external rays with arguments in \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} always land (at eventually periodic points).

For $f \in C(T)$, the collection

$$(R_f(v, 0))_{v \in |T|}$$

formed by the external rays with angle 0 naturally induces an external marking of any polynomial-like map over T obtained as a restriction of iterates of f to appropriate domains. We call it the *standard external marking of f* . Figure 1 illustrates three possible




FIGURE 1. The invariant rays for a quartic polynomial $z^4 - 1$. Different invariant rays represent different external markings.

markings for a polynomial of degree 4, each one corresponds to some invariant external ray. The standard marking corresponds to the 0-ray (i.e., the horizontal one).

1.7. Straightening of polynomial-like maps over reduced schemata. Consider two externally marked polynomial-like maps $(g_0 : U'_0 \rightarrow U_0, \Gamma_0)$, $(g_1 : U'_1 \rightarrow U_1, \Gamma_1)$ over T with connected filled Julia sets and assume there exists a topological conjugacy $\psi : U_0 \rightarrow U_1$ between g_0 and g_1 . We say ψ respects external markings if $\Gamma_1 = ([\psi \circ \gamma_v])_{v \in [T]}$ where $(\gamma_v)_{v \in [T]}$ is a representative of Γ_0 . Note that this definition is independent of the choice of representative.

Section 2 contains the following generalization of Douady and Hubbard straightening theorem to our context.

Theorem A (Straightening). *Let T be a reduced mapping schema and consider a polynomial-like map g over T . Then, there exists a polynomial map $f \in \text{Poly}(T)$ hybrid conjugate to g . Moreover,*

- (i) *If $K(g)$ is fiberwise connected, then $f \in C(T)$ and f is unique up to affine conjugacy.*

- (ii) If $K(g)$ is fiberwise connected and g is externally marked by Γ , then for exactly one $f \in C(T)$ there exists a hybrid conjugacy that respects the external markings Γ and Γ' , where Γ' is the standard external marking of f .

Based on the previous result which “straightens” a single polynomial-like map, we will define “straightening maps”. The domain of a “straightening map” will be the set formed by “renormalizable polynomials”.

1.8. Renormalizable polynomials. Recall from Section 1.1 that $C(\lambda_0)$ denotes the λ_0 -combinatorially renormalizable locus. Under certain conditions it will be possible to extract a polynomial-like map over $T(\lambda_0)$ from a given $f \in C(\lambda_0)$. In order to be more precise, below, for every $v \in |T(\lambda_0)|$, we identify a subset $K_f(v)$ of $K(f)$ which we call the v -small filled Julia set.

Given $\theta, \theta' \in \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ so that the corresponding rays $R_{f_0}(\theta), R_{f_0}(\theta')$ land at a common point (i.e., θ, θ' are λ_0 -equivalent) let $\text{Sector}_{f_0}(\theta, \theta'; v)$ be the connected component of $\mathbb{C} \setminus \overline{(R_{f_0}(\theta) \cup R_{f_0}(\theta'))}$ that contains v . If $f \in C(\lambda_0)$, then we denote by $\text{Sector}_f(\theta, \theta'; v)$ the connected component of $\mathbb{C} \setminus \overline{(R_f(\theta) \cup R_f(\theta'))}$ such that, for all $t \in \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$,

$$R_{f_0}(t) \subset \text{Sector}_{f_0}(\theta, \theta'; v) \text{ if and only if } R_f(t) \subset \text{Sector}_f(\theta, \theta'; v).$$

Now we define,

$$K_f(v) = K(f) \cap \bigcap_{\theta \sim_{\lambda_0} \theta'} \overline{\text{Sector}_f(\theta, \theta'; v)}.$$

It follows that $K_f(v)$ is connected and $f^{\ell_v}(K_f(v)) = K_f(\sigma_{\lambda_0}(v))$ (see Proposition 3.7).

We say that $f \in C(\lambda_0)$ is λ_0 -renormalizable if for all $v \in \text{Crit}(f_0) \cap F(f_0)$ there exist topological disks $U'_v \Subset U_v$ such that $K_f(v) \subset U'_v$ and $f^{\ell_v} : U'_v \rightarrow U_{\sigma_{\lambda_0}(v)}$ is a proper map of degree $\delta_{\lambda_0}(v)$. We denote the set of λ_0 -renormalizable polynomials by $\mathcal{R}(\lambda_0)$.

Consider $f \in \mathcal{R}(\lambda_0)$ and, using the notation above, we let

$$\begin{aligned} U' &= \{(v, z); z \in U'_v\}, \\ U &= \{(v, z); z \in U_v\} \end{aligned}$$

and $g_v = f^{\ell_v}$. It follows that (see Definition 3.12 and Proposition 3.13):

$$\begin{aligned} g : U' &\rightarrow U \\ (v, z) &\mapsto (\sigma_{\lambda_0}(v), g_v(z)) \end{aligned}$$

is a polynomial-like map over $T(\lambda_0)$ with (fiberwise connected) filled Julia set

$$K(g) = \{(v, z); z \in K_f(v)\}.$$

We say that g is a λ_0 -renormalization of f . Note that g is uniquely defined over $K(g)$, however there is a choice involved for the domain U' .

1.9. Internal angle systems and induced external markings. We consistently mark the polynomial-like maps over $T(\lambda_0)$ extracted from maps in $\mathcal{R}(\lambda_0)$. More precisely, we introduce *internal angle systems* for f_0 and describe how an internal angle system determines an external marking for a λ_0 -renormalization of every $f \in \mathcal{R}(\lambda_0)$.

For every $v \in |T(\lambda_0)|$ denote by γ_v the boundary of the Fatou component of f_0 that contains v . Since f_0 is post-critically finite, γ_v is a Jordan curve (e.g., see [Mi3]). Moreover, there exists a collection $\alpha = (\alpha_v : \gamma_v \rightarrow \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})_{v \in |T(\lambda_0)|}$ of homeomorphisms such that:

$$\alpha_{\sigma_{\lambda_0}(v)}(f_0^{\ell_v}(z)) = \delta_{\lambda_0}(v)\alpha_v(z)$$

for all $z \in \gamma_v$.

We call $\alpha = (\alpha_v)_{v \in |T(\lambda_0)|}$ an *internal angle system* for f_0 .




FIGURE 2. The Julia set and Yoccoz puzzles, and the rational lamination for $z^4 - 1$.

An internal angle system $\alpha = (\alpha_v)_{v \in |T(\lambda_0)|}$ determines an external marking of any λ_0 -renormalization of every $f \in \mathcal{R}(\lambda_0)$. For each $v \in |T(\lambda_0)|$ choose an argument θ_v so that the external ray of f_0 with argument θ_v lands at $\alpha_v^{-1}(0)$. Given a λ_0 -renormalization g of a polynomial $f \in \mathcal{R}(\lambda_0)$, let Γ_v be the access with representative the connected component of $\overline{R_f(\theta_v)} \cap U'_v$ that contains a point of $K_f(v)$. We say that $\Gamma = (\Gamma_v)_{v \in |T(\lambda_0)|}$ is the *external marking of g determined by the internal angle system α* . We will show that this external marking depends only on the internal angle system α (see Definition 3.15 and Remark 3.16).

1.10. Straightening map and injectivity. Finally, we are ready to define the straightening maps under consideration. More precisely, as a consequence of Theorem A we obtain the following result.

Corollary. *Given an internal angle system α for f_0 and $f \in \mathcal{R}(\lambda_0)$, there exists a unique map $P \in C(T(\lambda_0))$ such that there exists a hybrid equivalence between P and a λ_0 -renormalization g of f , respecting external markings, where the external marking of g is the one determined by α and the external marking of P is the standard external marking.*

With the notation of the above corollary, given an internal angle system α for f_0 , we say that the *associated straightening map* $\chi_{\lambda_0} : \mathcal{R}(\lambda_0) \rightarrow C(T(\lambda_0))$ is the function defined by $\chi_{\lambda_0}(f) = P$.

For example, consider $f_0(z) = z^4 - 1$ as in Figure 2. There are three polynomials f_1, f_2 , and $f_3 \in \mathcal{R}(\lambda_0)$ such that $\chi_{\lambda_0}(f_i)$ is affinely conjugate to f_0 depending on the choice of the external marking of f_0 . Figure 3 shows two f_i 's and the other one is just the complex conjugate of the second one.

In Section 6 we prove the following result.

Theorem B (Injectivity of Straightening). *Consider an internally angled post-critically finite polynomial f_0 . Let $\chi_{\lambda_0} : \mathcal{R}(\lambda_0) \rightarrow C(T(\lambda_0))$ be the associated straightening map. Then, χ_{λ_0} is injective.*




FIGURE 3. Tunings (see Section 1.11) of $z^4 - 1$ with itself with different external markings, given by the external rays of angle 0 and $1/3$.

1.11. Onto hyperbolic maps. As a first step towards understanding the image of general straightening maps, in Section 5 we study the action of straightening on rational laminations and the inverse of this action. That is, we study the “combinatorial straightening” and “combinatorial tuning” procedures. In Theorem 5.2, we establish that straightening maps are, from a combinatorial viewpoint, (almost) surjective.

Following [Mi4] (also compare with [Se]) a polynomial map f over a mapping schema is called *hyperbolic* if and only if every bounded critical orbit converges to an attracting cycle of f .

Section 7 is devoted to establish the following theorem.

Theorem C. *Let f_0 be an internally angled post-critically finite polynomial such that $\mathcal{R}(\lambda_0) \neq \emptyset$ and $\chi_{\lambda_0} : \mathcal{R}(\lambda_0) \rightarrow C(T(\lambda_0))$ be the associated straightening map. Denote by $\text{Hyp}C(T(\lambda_0))$ the set of hyperbolic maps contained in $C(T(\lambda_0))$. Then $\chi_{\lambda_0}(\mathcal{R}(\lambda_0)) \supset \text{Hyp}C(T(\lambda_0))$, the inverse image $\chi_{\lambda_0}^{-1}(\text{Hyp}C(T(\lambda_0)))$ is a complex submanifold of $\text{Poly}(d)$ of dimension $d' - 1$ and*

$$\chi_{\lambda_0} : \chi_{\lambda_0}^{-1}(\text{Hyp } C(T(\lambda_0))) \rightarrow \text{Hyp } C(T(\lambda_0))$$

is biholomorphic, where d' is the total degree of $T(\lambda_0)$.

An equivalent condition for $\mathcal{R}(\lambda_0) \neq \emptyset$ is given in Proposition 5.10 (see also Theorem D).

1.12. Compactness. In Section 8 we characterize straightening maps with compact domains. Compactness properties of the domain of a straightening map are useful to further study its image.

Recall that we say that a post-critically finite polynomial $f \in C(d)$ is *primitive* if f has at least one periodic critical point and, for every pair of distinct bounded Fatou components V_1, V_2 of f_0 , we have that $\partial V_1 \cap \partial V_2 = \emptyset$.

Theorem D. *Let f_0 be a hyperbolic post-critically finite polynomial. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) f_0 is primitive.
- (ii) $C(\lambda_0) = \mathcal{R}(\lambda_0) \neq \emptyset$.
- (iii) $\mathcal{R}(\lambda_0)$ is compact and non-empty.

It is worth to mention that for non-hyperbolic post-critically finite polynomials f_0 the situation is delicate. In fact, $C(f_0)$ might not be compact even if the Fatou components involved in the renormalization do not have common boundary points with other Fatou components (see Example 8.6).

1.13. Cubic reduced mapping schemata. There are exactly four types of reduced mapping schemata which arise as the reduced mapping schema of a hyperbolic cubic polynomial:

- $T_{\text{adj}} = (\{0\}, \sigma, \delta)$, where $\sigma(0) = 0$ and $\delta(0) = 3$, is called a reduced mapping schema of *adjacent type*. For example, if f_0 is a polynomial of the form $z^3 + c$ for which the critical point $z = 0$ is periodic, then the reduced mapping schema $T(\lambda_0)$ is T_{adj} . Observe that $\text{Poly}(T_{\text{adj}}) = \text{Poly}(3)$ and $C(T_{\text{adj}}) = C(3)$.


- $T_{\text{bit}} = (\{v_0, v_1\}, \sigma, \delta)$, where $\sigma(v_j) = v_{1-j}$ for $j = 0, 1$, and δ is constant ($= 2$), is called a reduced mapping schema of *bitransitive type*. If f_0 is a cubic polynomial with distinct critical points v_0, v_1 lying in the same periodic orbit, then $T(\lambda_0) = T_{\text{bit}}$. Note that $\text{Poly}(T_{\text{bit}})$ can be identified with the family of biquadratic polynomials $\text{Poly}(2 \times 2) = \{(z^2 + c_0)^2 + c_1; (c_0, c_1) \in \mathbb{C}^2\} \subset \text{Poly}(4)$. Thus the connectedness locus of $\text{Poly}(T_{\text{bit}})$ is identified with $\{(c_0, c_1) \in \mathbb{C}^2; (z^2 + c_0)^2 + c_1 \in C(4)\}$.

- $T_{\text{cap}} = (\{v_0, v_1\}, \sigma, \delta)$, where $\sigma(v_j) = v_0$ for $j = 0, 1$, and δ is constant ($= 2$), is called a reduced mapping schema of *capture type*. If f_0 is a cubic polynomial with distinct critical points v_0, v_1 such that v_0 is periodic and v_1 is not periodic but eventually lands in the orbit of v_0 , then $T(\lambda_0) = T_{\text{cap}}$. In this case, $\text{Poly}(T)$ is naturally identified with \mathbb{C}^2 . In fact, given a map $f : \{v_0, v_1\} \times \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \{v_0, v_1\} \times \mathbb{C}$ in $\text{Poly}(T)$ there exists (c_0, c_1) such that $f(v_j, z) = (v_0, z^2 + c_j)$. Note that $K(f)$ is fiberwise connected if and only if $c_0 \in \mathcal{M}$ and $c_1 \in K(z^2 + c_0)$. Therefore,

$$C(T_{\text{cap}}) = \mathcal{MK} = \{(c, z) \in \mathbb{C}^2; c \in \mathcal{M}, z \in K(z^2 + c)\}.$$

- $T_{\text{dis}} = (\{v_0, v_1\}, \sigma, \delta)$ where $\sigma(v_j) = v_j$ for $j = 0, 1$, and δ is constant ($= 2$), is called a reduced mapping schema of *disjoint type*. If f_0 is a cubic polynomial with distinct critical points v_0, v_1 such that both critical points are periodic but belong to different orbits, then

$T(\lambda_0) = T_{\text{dis}}$. It follows that $\text{Poly}(T_{\text{dis}})$ is identified with $\text{Poly}(2) \times \text{Poly}(2)$ and $C(T_{\text{dis}}) = \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{M}$.



1.14. Capture and Disjoint type straightenings. In Section 9, using the compactness given by Theorem D we are able to extend Theorem C and describe the image of two classes of straightening maps centered at a primitive hyperbolic post-critically finite polynomial f_0 . The first class is the generalization of the notion of *disjoint type*; described above in the context of cubic polynomials. Namely, the reduced mapping schema T consists of $d - 1$ elements which are pointwise fixed by the schema map. Equivalently, f_0 has exactly $d - 1$ superattracting periodic orbits. Note that the universal polynomial model space for T , consists of ordered $(d - 1)$ -tuples of monic centered quadratic polynomials which act on $d - 1$ copies of \mathbb{C} . Thus, the corresponding connectedness locus is \mathcal{M}^{d-1} .

Theorem E. *Let $f_0 \in C(d)$ be a polynomial with exactly $d - 1$ superattracting periodic orbits which is primitive. Then, given any internal angle system, the associated straightening map*

$$\begin{aligned} \chi: \mathcal{R}(\lambda_{f_0}) &\rightarrow \mathcal{M}^{d-1} \\ f &\mapsto (\chi_1(f), \dots, \chi_{d-1}(f)), \end{aligned}$$

is a homeomorphism. Moreover, for all $1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq d - 1$ and all $c_1, \dots, c_k \in \mathcal{M}$, the set

$$\{f \in \mathcal{R}(\lambda_0); \chi_{i_j}(f) = c_j \text{ for all } j = 1, \dots, k\}$$

is contained in a codimension k complex submanifold \mathcal{S} of $\text{Poly}(d)$. Furthermore, if $k = d - 2$, then $\chi_j: \mathcal{S} \cap \mathcal{R}(\lambda_0) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ extends to a quasiconformal map in a neighborhood of $\mathcal{S} \cap \mathcal{R}(\lambda_0)$ where j is defined by $\{i_1, \dots, i_{d-2}, j\} = \{1, \dots, d - 1\}$.

The second class of straightening maps for which we describe the image arise from hyperbolic cubic polynomials of capture type. However, before giving a precise statement of our result in this case, we need to agree on the notion of a quasiconformal map defined on a (possibly) singular analytic space.

Definition 1.3. Consider a one dimensional complex analytic space \mathcal{S} with singular subset S and an open subset U of \mathbb{C} . We say that a homeomorphism $\psi: \mathcal{S} \rightarrow U$ is K -quasiconformal if $\psi: \mathcal{S} \setminus S \rightarrow \psi(\mathcal{S} \setminus S)$ is K -quasiconformal.

Note that, in the previous definition, $\mathcal{S} \setminus S$ is a one dimensional complex manifold where the standard definition of quasiconformal maps applies (e.g., see [Ah])

Theorem F. *Let $f_0 \in C(3)$ be an internally angled primitive hyperbolic post-critically finite polynomial with rational lamination λ_0 and reduced mapping schema of capture type. Then $\mathcal{R}(\lambda_0)$ is connected, and the associated straightening map*

$$\begin{aligned} \chi: \mathcal{R}(\lambda_0) &\rightarrow \mathcal{MK} \\ f &\mapsto (\chi_1(f), \chi_2(f)), \end{aligned}$$

is a bijection. Moreover, given $c \in \mathcal{M}$, the set

$$\{f \in \mathcal{R}(\lambda_0); \chi_1(f) = c\}$$

is contained in a one dimensional complex analytic space \mathcal{S}_c which is locally irreducible. Furthermore,

$$\chi_2 : \mathcal{R}(\lambda_0) \cap \mathcal{S}_c \rightarrow K(z^2 + c)$$

extends locally in \mathcal{S}_c to a quasiconformal map.

2. POLYNOMIAL-LIKE MAPS

The aim of this section is to prove Theorem A stated in Section 1.7. That is, to generalize Douady and Hubbard straightening Theorem (see [DH1]) to the context of polynomial-like maps over reduced mapping schemata (see Sections 1.2, 1.3 and 1.4 for the relevant definitions).

2.1. Proof of the straightening theorem. Let $g : U' \rightarrow U$ be a polynomial-like map over a reduced mapping schema T . Following the ideas of Douady and Hubbard, we “paste” g with the dynamics of the polynomial map over T given by $f_0(v, z) = (\sigma(v), z^{\delta(v)})$.

Let $\bar{\Delta}(r) = \{|z| \leq r\}$ denote the closed disk of radius $r > 0$. Choose $R > 1$ and consider $V = |T| \times (\mathbb{C} \setminus \bar{\Delta}(R))$.

Restricting g to a smaller domain, if necessary, we may assume the domain U' and the range U have smooth boundaries. Let h be a map between $A = (|T| \times \mathbb{C}) \setminus U'$ and V which is (fiberwise) conformal in $A \setminus \bar{U}$ such that $h(v, z) = (v, h_v(z))$ for some quasiconformal map h_v , for all $v \in |T|$ and, $h(g(v, z)) = f_0(h(v, z))$ for all $(v, z) \in \partial U'$. Then consider the map $\tilde{f} : |T| \times \mathbb{C} \rightarrow |T| \times \mathbb{C}$ defined by

$$\tilde{f}(v, z) = \begin{cases} g(v, z) & \text{if } (v, z) \in U', \\ h^{-1} \circ f_0 \circ h(v, z) & \text{if } (v, z) \in A. \end{cases}$$

Applying the standard pull-back argument, we obtain a \tilde{f} -invariant Beltrami differential μ_0 on $|T| \times \mathbb{C}$. More precisely, let

$$\mu_0 = \begin{cases} \sigma_0 & \text{on } A \setminus \bar{U} \\ (\tilde{f}^n)^* \sigma_0 & \text{on } U \setminus K(g), \\ \sigma_0 & \text{on } K(g), \end{cases}$$

where σ_0 denotes the standard complex structure (i.e. the Beltrami differential which vanishes everywhere). Since the pullback of a Beltrami differential under a holomorphic map does not change the essential supremum of it, the essential supremum of μ_0 is strictly less than 1. From the measurable Riemann mapping theorem (see [Ah]), we obtain a fiberwise quasiconformal map $\psi : |T| \times \hat{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow |T| \times \hat{\mathbb{C}}$ which conjugates \tilde{f} with a polynomial map f over $|T|$. More precisely, $\psi(v, z) = (v, \psi_v(z))$ where ψ_v is quasiconformal and $\psi_v^* \sigma_0 = \mu_0$, so $f = \psi \circ \tilde{f} \circ \psi^{-1}$ is fiberwise a polynomial. Moreover, after post-composition of ψ by a fiberwise affine transformation, if necessary, we may assume that f is fiberwise monic and centered. Thus, $f \in \text{Poly}(T)$ and f is hybrid conjugate to g .

The uniqueness part of Theorem A follows from the proposition below. See Sections 1.5 and 1.6 for the notion of external marking.

Proposition 2.1. *If two polynomial maps $f_0, f_1 \in C(T)$ over a reduced mapping schema T are hybrid equivalent, then they are affinely conjugate.*

Moreover, if $f_0, f_1 \in C(T)$ and a hybrid conjugacy respects the standard external markings, then $f_0 = f_1$.

Before proving the proposition let us give a short discussion about external markings and affine conjugacies. Below, we show that, modulo affine conjugacy, there is only one external marking.

Given a polynomial map $f \in C(T)$ consider an external marking Γ for (a polynomial-like restriction of) f . Since every access contains a unique external ray, by Lindelöf's theorem, we can choose a collection of external rays $(R_f(v, \theta_v))_{v \in |T|}$ as a representative of Γ . It follows that,

$$(1) \quad \delta(v)\theta_v = \theta_{\sigma(v)}.$$

Observe that there are only finitely many collections of angles (θ_v) satisfying (1).

Now let

$$A(v, z) = (v, e^{2\pi i \theta_v} z),$$

and $\hat{f} = A^{-1} \circ f \circ A$. It is easy to check that $\hat{f} \in C(T)$ and $A(R_f(v, \theta)) = R_{\hat{f}}(v, \theta + \theta_v)$. In particular, A maps the standard external marking for \hat{f} onto the external marking $(R_f(v, \theta_v))$.

On the other hand, it is easy to check that if a collection $(\theta_v)_{v \in |T|}$ of angles satisfies (1), then $(R_f(v, \theta_v))$ defines an external marking Γ for f .

Now we prove the proposition and, therefore, we finish the proof of Theorem A.

Proof. As in the statement of the proposition we consider two polynomial maps over T $f_0, f_1 \in C(T)$ with hybrid conjugate polynomial-like restrictions $f_j : U'_j \rightarrow U_j$ such that $K(f_j) \subset U'_j$ where $j = 0, 1$. Let us denote the hybrid conjugacy $\psi : U_0 \rightarrow U_1$. Replacing f_1 by an affinely conjugate polynomial map we may assume that ψ respects the standard external markings.

Define the bijection $\Phi : |T| \times \mathbb{C} \rightarrow |T| \times \mathbb{C}$ by

$$\Phi(v, z) = \begin{cases} \psi(v, z) & \text{if } (v, z) \in K(f_0), \\ \varphi_{f_1}^{-1} \circ \varphi_{f_0}(v, z) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Recall that $\varphi_{f_i} : (|T| \times \mathbb{C}) \setminus K(f_i) \rightarrow |T| \times \{|z| > 1\}$ is the corresponding Böttcher map which is biholomorphic and defined in the complement of $K(f_i)$ since $f_i \in C(T)$ for $i = 0, 1$. Clearly, $\Phi \circ f_0 = f_1 \circ \Phi$.

As in the proof of [DH2, Proposition 6], since ψ respects the standard external markings, it follows that Φ is biholomorphic, hence affine. The affine map Φ conjugates two monic centered polynomial maps over T and it is tangent to the identity at infinity in every fiber. Therefore, Φ must be the identity. \square

3. LAMINATIONS

3.1. Laminations. The topological dynamics of locally connected polynomial Julia sets is naturally described by the quotient of the circle under an equivalence relation which is invariant under multiplication by d (e.g. see [Gu]). Thurston, in the early 1980's, initiated the study of the basic properties of the equivalence relations which arise from polynomial dynamics introducing invariant laminations [Th]. Since then they have proven to be an useful object to encode the landing pattern of external rays. In this section, we briefly review the basic notions and results about laminations. For our purposes we will need to consider the well known d -invariant laminations as well as forward invariant laminations with finite support. The latter type of laminations are used to define "combinatorial Yoccoz puzzles" (compare with [In3]).

For an integer $d > 0$, we let

$$\begin{aligned} m_d : \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} &\rightarrow \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \\ \theta &\mapsto d\theta. \end{aligned}$$

Two subsets $A, B \subset \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ are said to be *unlinked* if B is contained in a component of $\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \setminus A$ (it is equivalent to A being contained in a component of $\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \setminus B$). Note that A, B are unlinked if and only if the Euclidean (or hyperbolic) convex hulls in $\bar{\Delta} = \{|z| \leq 1\}$ of $\exp(2\pi iA)$ and $\exp(2\pi iB)$ are disjoint.

Definition 3.1 (Laminations without dynamics). Let $E \subset \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$. An equivalence relation λ on E is called a *lamination on E* if the following three conditions hold.

- (i) λ is closed in $E \times E$.
- (ii) Every equivalence class is finite.
- (iii) Equivalence classes are pairwise unlinked.

The *support* $\text{supp}(\lambda)$ of λ is the union of all non-trivial λ -equivalence classes. A lamination on \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} (resp. \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}) is called a *rational* (resp. *real*) *lamination*.

We will be mainly concerned with laminations that are compatible with the action of m_d .

Definition 3.2 (Pushforward and d -invariance for laminations). Let $d > 1$ be an integer and $E' \subset \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$. Let λ and λ' be laminations on $E = m_d^{-1}(E')$ and E' , respectively.

We say that $(m_d)_*\lambda = \lambda'$ if for any λ -equivalence class A ,

- (i) $m_d(A)$ is a λ' -equivalence class.
- (ii) $m_d : A \rightarrow m_d(A)$ is *consecutive preserving*, i.e., for any component (θ, θ') of $\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \setminus A$, $(d\theta, d\theta')$ is a component of $\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \setminus m_d(A)$.

A lamination λ on a m_d -completely invariant set $E \subset \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ is called *d -invariant* or simply *invariant* if $(m_d)_*\lambda = \lambda$.

3.2. Invariant rational laminations: unlinked classes, fibers and critical elements.

Invariant rational laminations will be particularly useful in this paper. Recall that $C(d)$ denotes the connectedness locus in the space of monic centered degree $d \geq 2$ polynomials $\text{Poly}(d)$. Given a monic centered polynomial $f \in C(d)$, the *rational lamination λ_f* of f is the equivalence relation on \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} which identifies two rational arguments if and only if the corresponding rays land at a common point. According to [Ki1], an equivalence relation λ on \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} is the rational lamination of some $f \in C(d)$ if and only if λ is a d -invariant rational lamination.

Rational laminations allow us to establish when distinct polynomials share certain dynamical features. Therefore, it is natural to define some subsets of dynamical and parameter space in terms of rational laminations. Given a d -invariant rational lamination λ , let

$$C(\lambda) = \{f \in C(d); \lambda_f \supset \lambda\}.$$

Note that this set is always non-empty [Ki1].

The sets $C(\lambda)$ have already deserved a lot of attention. When λ is the rational lamination of a polynomial with all cycles repelling (equivalently, λ is maximal with respect to the partial order on d -invariant rational laminations), $C(\lambda)$ is a ‘‘combinatorial class’’. In degree 2, the MLC conjecture asserts that combinatorial classes are singletons. In degree 3, there are some combinatorial classes which are non-trivial continua [He]. However, it is natural to conjecture that in any degree, each combinatorial class is contained in an analytic subspace of $\text{Poly}(d)$ of codimension (at least) 1 (see [In2]).

This paper is mainly concerned with $C(\lambda)$ for invariant rational laminations λ which are not maximal. In degree 2, following Douady and Hubbard, these sets coincide with homeomorphic copies of the Mandelbrot set \mathcal{M} contained in itself. In order to compare $C(\lambda)$ with its model we employ a renormalization procedure. A first step towards renormalization is to cut dynamical space into sectors and the filled Julia set into fibers.

Definition 3.3 (Admissible). Consider a polynomial $f \in C(d)$. We say that a lamination λ on $E \subset \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ is *admissible for f* if $\lambda \subset \lambda_f$, namely, for every pair of distinct arguments θ and θ' which are λ -related, we have that they are also λ_f -related.

Note that $C(\lambda)$ exactly consists of all the polynomials for which the invariant rational lamination λ is admissible. Admissible laminations will allow us to cut the dynamical space into sectors and the filled Julia set into fibers (compare with [Sch]).

Definition 3.4 (Sectors and fibers). Let $f \in C(d)$ and λ be a lamination on $E \subset \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ that is admissible for f . Consider a set $L \subset \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ which is unlinked with every non-trivial λ -class. If θ and θ' are distinct λ -equivalent arguments, we denote by

$$\text{Sector}(\theta, \theta'; L)$$

the connected component of $\mathbb{C} \setminus \overline{(R_f(\theta) \cup R_f(\theta'))}$ which contains the external ray $R_f(t)$ for all $t \in L$. (When $\theta = \theta'$, define $\text{Sector}(\theta, \theta; L) = \mathbb{C} \setminus \overline{R_f(\theta)}$.) Moreover, we define the λ -*fiber* of L by:

$$K_f(L) = K(f) \cap \bigcap_{\theta \sim_\lambda \theta', \theta \neq \theta'} \overline{\text{Sector}(\theta, \theta'; L)}.$$

Our main interest now is when λ is an invariant rational lamination and the sets L above are, in a certain sense, as large as possible. That is, when L are “unlinked classes”.

Definition 3.5 (Unlinked relation and classes). Let λ be a rational lamination. We say $\theta, \theta' \in \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \setminus \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ are λ -*unlinked* if $\theta = \theta'$ or for any λ -equivalence class A , θ and θ' lie in the same component of $\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \setminus A$.

The λ -unlinked relation is in fact an equivalence relation. Equivalence classes are called λ -*unlinked classes*.

Observe that, by definition, unlinked classes are contained in $\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \setminus \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$. Infinite λ -unlinked classes are closely related to the concept of gaps introduced by Thurston.

In order to visualize the unlinked classes of an invariant rational lamination it is convenient to consider the real extension of a rational lamination.

Definition 3.6 (Real extension). Given a lamination λ on $E \subset \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ the *real extension* $\hat{\lambda}$ of λ is the smallest equivalence relation that contains the closure $\overline{\lambda}$ of λ in $\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$.

According to [Ki1], the real extension of an invariant rational lamination is an invariant real lamination.

For example, consider a polynomial f with locally connected Julia set and such that the following technical condition is satisfied. No critical point with infinite forward orbit lies in the boundary of a bounded Fatou component (e.g., f is post-critically finite). Then, the real extension $\hat{\lambda}_f$ of the rational lamination λ_f encodes the landing pattern of *all* the external rays of f . That is $\hat{\lambda}_f$ identifies two arguments θ and θ' if and only if the external rays $R_f(\theta)$ and $R_f(\theta')$ land at a common point. In this case, L is an infinite λ -unlinked class if and only if there exists a bounded Fatou component V such that L is the set formed by the arguments of all the irrational rays landing at ∂V . Finite unlinked classes are irrational

equivalence classes of $\widehat{\lambda}_f$ and correspond to external rays landing at a common point, with infinite forward orbit.

The main properties of λ -unlinked classes and their fibers are summarized in the proposition below. Before we state the proposition let us agree that if $X, Y \subset \mathbb{C}$ and $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a surjective map defined and holomorphic on a neighborhood of X , then we say that the degree of $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is $\delta \geq 1$ if every point in Y has δ preimages in X for such a holomorphic extension of f , counting multiplicities.

Proposition 3.7. *Consider a d -invariant rational lamination λ with real extension $\hat{\lambda}$ and a polynomial $f \in C(\lambda)$. Let L be a λ -unlinked class. Then:*

- (i) $m_d(L)$ is a λ -unlinked class. In particular, if θ and θ' are λ -unlinked, then $d\theta$ and $d\theta'$ are also λ -unlinked.
- (ii) $f(K_f(L)) = K_f(m_d(L))$.
- (iii) If L is finite, then
 - (a) L is a $\hat{\lambda}$ -class. In particular, L is wandering ($m_d^n(L) \neq m_d^m(L)$ for any $n \neq m$).
 - (b) $m_d : L \rightarrow m_d(L)$ is $\delta = \delta(L)$ -to-one for some $\delta \geq 1$.
 - (c) The degree of $f : K_f(L) \rightarrow K_f(m_d(L))$ is well defined and exactly $\delta(L)$.
- (iv) If L is infinite, then
 - (a) L is eventually periodic (i.e., there exists $n > 0$ and $\ell \geq 0$ such that $m_d^{n+\ell}(L) = m_d^\ell(L)$).
 - (b) $\overline{L}/\hat{\lambda}$ is homeomorphic to \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} . Moreover, if (θ, θ') is a connected component of $\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \setminus \overline{L}$, then θ and θ' are λ -equivalent and belong to \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} . Furthermore, either $(m_d(\theta), m_d(\theta'))$ is a connected component of $\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \setminus m_d(L)$ or $m_d(\theta) = m_d(\theta')$.
 - (c) $m_d : \overline{L} \rightarrow \overline{m_d(L)}$ induces a map $\tilde{m}_d : \overline{L}/\hat{\lambda} \rightarrow \overline{m_d(L)}/\hat{\lambda}$ which is an orientation preserving δ -fold covering map for some $\delta = \delta(L) \geq 1$.
In particular, we can choose a collection of homeomorphisms $\alpha_L : \overline{L}/\hat{\lambda} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$, one for each infinite λ -unlinked class L , such that $\alpha_{m_d(L)} \circ \tilde{m}_d \circ \alpha_L^{-1}$ is equal to the δ -fold covering map $m_\delta : \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ for any L .
 - (d) If $m_d^n(L) = L$, then $\delta^n(L) > 1$, where $\delta^n(L) = \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} \delta(m_d^k(L))$.
 - (e) Assume further that for any λ_f -class A and any λ -unlinked class L' such that $A \cap \overline{L} \neq \emptyset$ and $m_d(L') = m_d(L)$ we have $A \cap \overline{L'} = \emptyset$. Then the degree of $f : K_f(L) \rightarrow K_f(m_d(L))$ is exactly $\delta(L)$.

Most of the above proposition is already proved in [Ki1, Section 4] and [In3, Section 3]. The reader may find the rest of the proof at the end of this section.

The following examples show that the further assumptions in property (iv)(e) are necessary.

Example 3.8. 1. Consider the family $P_a(z) = az^3 - (a+1)z^2 + 1$ of cubic polynomials having a period two superattractive cycle $\{0, 1\}$. Let $f_0 = P_{a_0}$ and $f_1 = P_{a_1}$ where $a_0 = -1/4$ and $a_1 = \frac{11-3\sqrt{17}}{4}$ (see Figure 4). The critical point $c_a = \frac{2(a+1)}{3a}$ is mapped to 0 for f_0 and for f_1 , it is mapped to the fixed point which is the intersection of the closures of the immediate basins of 0 and 1.

Although $\lambda_0 = \lambda_{f_0}$ is admissible for f_1 , the polynomial f_1 is not λ_0 -renormalizable. In fact, let L (resp. L') be the λ_0 -unlinked class whose λ_0 -fiber for f_0 contains 0 (resp. c_{a_0}).




FIGURE 4. Yoccoz puzzles and the rational laminations for monic centered polynomials affinely conjugate to $f_a(z) = az^3 - (a+1)z^2 + 1$ for $a = -1/4$ and $a = \frac{11-3\sqrt{17}}{4} = -0.3423\dots$. Dots indicate critical points.

Then, we have $K_{f_1}(L) \cap K_{f_1}(L') = \{c_{a_1}\}$. Therefore, $K_{f_1}(L)$ contains both of the critical points and any proper extension of $f_1 : K_{f_1}(L) \rightarrow K_{f_1}(L')$ to a disk would have degree 3.

The assumptions of (iv)(e) do not hold. In fact, let L'' be the λ -unlinked class distinct from L' such that $m_d(L) = m_d(L'')$. Note that $\overline{L''} \cap \overline{L'} \neq \emptyset$. Also, observe that $A_0 = \overline{L} \cap \overline{L'}$ is a λ_0 -class. The λ_{f_1} -class

$$A = A_0 \cup (\overline{L''} \cap \overline{L'}),$$

formed by the the angles landing at c_{a_1} , intersects both \overline{L} and $\overline{L''}$.

2. Let us now illustrate a more complicated situation in which the assumptions of (iv)(e) fail to hold. Namely, the assumption fails for a λ_0 -class A intersecting the closure of a λ_0 -unlinked class L . However, L is the unique critical λ_0 -unlinked class whose closure intersects A .




FIGURE 5. Yoccoz puzzles and the rational laminations for monic centered polynomials affinely conjugate to $g_0(z) = 2.959\dots z^3 + 2.418\dots z^5 + 0.4590\dots z^7$ and $g_1(z) = -1.258\dots z + 0.06411\dots z^3 + 0.4047\dots z^5 + 0.08182\dots z^7$. Dots indicate critical points.

Let $g_0(z) = 2.959\dots z^3 + 2.418\dots z^5 + 0.4590\dots z^7$ and $g_1(z) = -1.258\dots z + 0.06411\dots z^3 + 0.4047\dots z^5 + 0.08182\dots z^7$ (see Figure 5). They are odd polynomials of degree 7. The critical points of g_0 are 0 (double critical point), $\pm c_1 = \pm i$ and $\pm c_2 = \pm 1.662\dots i$, which satisfy $g_0(0) = 0$, $g_0(\pm c_1) = g_0(\mp c_2) = \mp c_1$. In particular, g_0 is post-critically finite and hyperbolic. For g_1 , the critical points are $\pm c'_1 = \pm i$, $\pm c'_2 = \pm 1.793\dots i$ and $\pm c_3 = \pm 0.8265\dots$ and we have $g_1(\pm c_1) = \mp c_1$, $g_1(\pm c_2) = 0$ and $g_2(\pm c_3) = \mp c_3$. Furthermore, we have $\lambda_{g_1} \supset \lambda_{g_0}$. Let L be the λ_{g_0} -unlinked classes such that $c_1 \in K_{g_0}(L)$. Then $K_{g_1}(L)$ contains both c'_1 and c'_2 , so similar to the previous example, this implies the conclusion of (iv)(e) does not hold.

Observe that there are two “bifurcations” from g_0 to g_1 : The first one is that period two points collapse at the origin and new landing relations indicated by the light gray regions in

the picture of the rational lamination for g_1 appear. The second is that the critical points $\pm c'_2$ hit the origin, the corresponding landing relations are indicated by the dark gray regions.

Below we combinatorially and abstractly describe the “location” and “orbit” of the critical points.

Definition 3.9 (Critical elements and orbits). Let λ be a d -invariant rational lamination and $\hat{\lambda}$ its real extension (Definition 3.6). Given a $\hat{\lambda}$ -class A , denote by $\delta(A)$ the degree of $m_d : A \rightarrow m_d(A)$. If A is a $\hat{\lambda}$ -class with $\delta(A) > 1$, then we say that A is a *Julia critical element* of λ .

If L is an infinite unlinked λ -class, we say that $\delta(L)$ is the *degree of L* where $\delta(L)$ is the number obtained in Proposition 3.7 (iv)(c). If $\delta(L) > 1$, then we say that L is a *Fatou critical element* of λ .

We denote by $\text{Crit}(\lambda)$ the collection formed by all the critical elements for λ . The *post-critical set* and *critical orbits* of λ are:

$$PC(\lambda) = \{m_d^n(C); C \in \text{Crit}(\lambda), n > 0\},$$

$$CO(\lambda) = \text{Crit}(\lambda) \cup PC(\lambda).$$

It follows that

$$d = 1 + \sum_{C \in \text{Crit}(\lambda)} (\delta(C) - 1).$$

(e.g. see [Ki1, Lemma 4.10]).

Definition 3.10 (Hyperbolic and post-critically finite laminations). An invariant rational lamination λ is *hyperbolic* if it has no Julia critical element. An invariant rational lamination λ is *post-critically finite* if every Julia critical element is contained in \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} .

It follows that a hyperbolic invariant rational lamination is the rational lamination of post-critically finite hyperbolic polynomial. Also, a post-critically finite invariant rational lamination is the rational lamination of a post-critically finite polynomial (see [Ki1, Theorem 5.17]).

3.3. Renormalizations.

Definition 3.11 (Mapping schema associated with λ_0). Consider an invariant rational lamination λ_0 .

- Let $|T(\lambda_0)|$ be the collection formed by the Fatou critical elements v of λ_0 .
- Let $\sigma_{\lambda_0} : |T(\lambda_0)| \rightarrow |T(\lambda_0)|$ be the map such that $\sigma_{\lambda_0}(v) = v'$ if $m_d^{\ell_v}(v) = v'$, for some $\ell_v \geq 0$, and $m_d^k(v) \notin |T(\lambda_0)|$, for all $0 < k < \ell_v$. We say that ℓ_v is the *return time* of v . (Observe that the existence of such v' is guaranteed by Proposition 3.7 (iv)(a) and (iv)(d).)
- Finally, let $\delta_{\lambda_0} : |T(\lambda_0)| \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ be the corresponding degree map. That is, $\delta_{\lambda_0}(v) = \delta(v)$.

We call

$$T(\lambda_0) = (|T(\lambda_0)|, \sigma_{\lambda_0}, \delta_{\lambda_0}).$$

the *reduced mapping schema* of λ_0 .

Definition 3.12 (Renormalizable and renormalization). We say $f \in C(\lambda_0)$ is λ_0 -*renormalizable* if, for every $v \in |T(\lambda_0)|$ there exist topological disks U'_v and U_v such that

$$g = (f^{\ell_v} : U'_v \rightarrow U_{\sigma_{\lambda_0}(v)})_{v \in |T(\lambda_0)|}$$

is a polynomial-like map over $T(\lambda_0)$ with fiberwise connected filled Julia set

$$K(g) = \bigcup_{v \in |T(\lambda_0)|} \{v\} \times K_f(v).$$

We call g a λ_0 -renormalization of f . We denote by $\mathcal{R}(\lambda_0)$ the subset of $C(\lambda_0)$ formed by all the λ_0 -renormalizable polynomials.

The above definition is equivalent, although a priori stronger, than the one given in the Outline (Section 1):

Proposition 3.13. *Let $f \in C(\lambda_0)$. If there exist topological disks U'_v and U_v for each $v \in |T(\lambda_0)|$ such that $K_f(v) \subset U'_v$ and $g = (f^{\ell_v} : U'_v \rightarrow U_{\sigma_{\lambda_0}(v)})_{v \in |T(\lambda_0)|}$ is a polynomial-like map over $T(\lambda_0)$, then g is a λ_0 -renormalization of f .*

Proof. It suffices to show $K_f(v) = K(g, v)$. By construction, we have $K_f(v) \subset K(g, v)$. Since v is an infinite λ_0 -unlinked class, $K_f(v)$ is a full continuum. For v periodic of period k under σ_{λ_0} , let $v_0 = v, v_1 = \sigma_{\lambda_0}(v_0), \dots, v_{k-1} = \sigma_{\lambda_0}^{k-1}(v_0)$ and let $\ell_0 = \ell_{v_0}, \dots, \ell_{k-1} = \ell_{v_{k-1}}$. Consider $U''_v = (f|_{U'_{v_0}})^{-\ell_0} \circ \dots \circ (f|_{U'_{v_{k-1}}})^{-\ell_{k-1}}(U_v)$. Then, for $n = \ell_0 + \dots + \ell_{k-1}$, we have that $f^n : U''_v \rightarrow U_v$ is a polynomial-like map and its filled Julia set is $K(g, v)$, by definition. It follows that $K(g, v)$ is the smallest full continuum in U''_v completely invariant by $f^n : U''_v \rightarrow U_v$, since the analogue statement holds for the polynomial map which is the straightening of f^n . Therefore, $K_f(v) \supset K(g, v)$. For preperiodic $v \in |T(\lambda_0)|$, take a backward image of $K(g, v')$ where $v' = \sigma^k(v)$ is periodic. \square

In order to extract polynomial-like maps with an external marking it is convenient to “internally mark” the invariant rational lamination λ_0 .

Definition 3.14 (Internally angled). An *internally angled invariant rational lamination* λ_0 is a pair $(\lambda_0, (\alpha_v : \bar{v} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})_{v \in |T(\lambda_0)|})$ such that

- (i) α_v induces a homeomorphism from \bar{v}/λ_0 to \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} .
- (ii) $\alpha_{\sigma_{\lambda_0}(v)}(d\theta) = \delta_{\lambda_0}(v)\alpha_v(\theta)$ for all $\theta \in \bar{v}$.

We call $(\alpha_v)_{v \in |T(\lambda_0)|}$ an *internal angle system*.

The existence of an internal angle system is guaranteed by Proposition 3.7 (iv)(c). An internally angled invariant rational lamination determines an external marking of the λ_0 -renormalization of every $f \in \mathcal{R}(\lambda_0)$ as follows.

Definition 3.15 (Induced external marking). Let $(\lambda_0, (\alpha_v : \bar{v} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})_{v \in |T(\lambda_0)|})$ be an internally angled invariant rational lamination. For each $v \in |T(\lambda_0)|$ choose an argument θ_v in $\alpha_v^{-1}(0)$. Given a λ_0 -renormalization g of a polynomial $f \in \mathcal{R}(\lambda_0)$, let Γ_v be the access with representative given by the connected component of $\overline{R_f(\theta_v)} \cap U'_v$ that intersects $K_f(v)$. We say that $\Gamma = (\Gamma_v)_{v \in |T(\lambda_0)|}$ is the *external marking of g determined by the internal angle system* $(\alpha_v : \bar{v} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})_{v \in |T(\lambda_0)|}$.

Remark 3.16. The external marking of g determined by an internal angle system is independent of the choices involved in the definition above. In fact, for another choice $\theta'_v, \{\theta_v, \theta'_v\}$ and v are unlinked. Hence we may assume that the sector $\mathbb{C} \setminus \text{Sector}(\theta_v, \theta'_v; v)$ is disjoint from $K_f(v)$. Therefore, $\overline{R_f(\theta_v)}$ and $\overline{R_f(\theta'_v)}$ define the same access because $\mathbb{C} \setminus \text{Sector}(\theta_v, \theta'_v; v)$ is simply connected and its boundary is $\overline{R_f(\theta_v)} \cup \overline{R_f(\theta'_v)}$. Moreover, the external marking is invariant, since $d^{\ell_v}\theta_v$ is an argument $\theta_{\sigma_{\lambda_0}(v)}$ of a ray landing at the same point as the rays with arguments in $d^{\ell_v}\alpha_v^{-1}(0) = \alpha_{\sigma_{\lambda_0}(v)}^{-1}(0)$, and the access to $K_f(\sigma_{\lambda_0}(v))$ determined by this ray only depends on $\alpha_{\sigma_{\lambda_0}(v)}^{-1}(0)$.

Lemma 3.17. *Let λ_0 be an invariant rational lamination. Let g be a λ_0 -renormalization of $f \in \mathcal{R}(\lambda_0)$ and Γ an external marking of g . Then there exists an internal angle system α such that the external marking determined by α is exactly Γ .*

Proof. Let $\beta = (\beta_v)$ be an internal angle system for λ_0 . Consider the straightening of g that maps the external marking induced by β onto the standard marking of $P = \chi_{\lambda_0}(g)$. It follows that Γ is mapped onto an external marking of P determined by a collection of external rays $(R_P(\theta_v, \nu))$. Now the internal angle system $\alpha = (\alpha_v = \beta_v + \theta_v)$ is such that the induced external marking Γ_α of g maps onto the external marking of P determined by $(R_P(\theta_v, \nu))$. Therefore, $\Gamma = \Gamma_\alpha$. \square

3.4. Proof of Proposition 3.7. Most of this proposition is already proved in [Ki1, Section 4] and [In3, Section 3]. More precisely, in [Ki1]: for (i) see Lemma 4.6, for (iii) see Lemma 4.8 and Proposition 4.3 (proofs of R3 and R4), for (iv)(b) and (iv)(c) see Lemma 4.22 and Corollary 4.23 and for (iv)(d) see Corollary 4.18. In [In3]: for (iv)(b) and (iv)(c) see Proposition 3.6. It only remains to establish properties (ii), (iii)(c) and (iv)(e).

It is convenient to consider a (countable) set M contained in $\lambda_0 \subset \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ formed by the ‘‘image’’ of non-trivial relations. More precisely,

$$M = \{(d\theta, d\theta') \in \lambda_0; \theta \neq \theta', (\theta, \theta') \in \lambda_0\}.$$

Observe that $M \supset \lambda_0 \setminus \{\text{diagonal}\}$.

Given a λ_0 -unlinked class L , the invariance of λ_0 implies that:

$$\begin{aligned} K_f(m_d(L)) &= K(f) \cap \bigcap_{(\theta, \theta') \in M} \overline{\text{Sector}(\theta, \theta'; m_d(L))}, \\ K_f(L) &= K(f) \cap \bigcap_{(\theta, \theta') \in M} \overline{S'((\theta, \theta'))}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$S'(\theta, \theta') = \bigcap_{(\theta_1, \theta_2) \in \lambda_0, d\theta_1 = \theta, d\theta_2 = \theta'} \text{Sector}(\theta_1, \theta_2; L)$$

or equivalently, $S'(\theta, \theta')$ is the union of all the components of $f^{-1}(\text{Sector}(\theta, \theta'; m_d(L)))$ each of which contains $R_f(\theta'')$ for some $\theta'' \in L$.

Now (ii) follows, after countable intersection of the equation,

$$f\left(\overline{S'(\theta, \theta')}\right) = \overline{\text{Sector}(\theta, \theta'; m_d(L))},$$

which holds for all $(\theta, \theta') \in M$.

To prove (iii)(c), we assume that L is finite and recall that $L \subset (\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q})/\mathbb{Z}$ by definition. Hence $K_f(L) \subset S'(\theta, \theta')$ for all $(\theta, \theta') \in M$. Since, $f : S'(\theta, \theta') \rightarrow \text{Sector}(\theta, \theta'; m_d(L))$ is a proper map for all $(\theta, \theta') \in M$, property (iii)(c) follows.

Now assume L is infinite and satisfies the assumption of (iv)(e). Let $M_L = \{(\theta, \theta') \in M; \theta, \theta' \in \overline{m_d(L)}\}$ and for each $(\theta, \theta') \in M_L$, let

$$M'_L(\theta, \theta') = \{(\theta_1, \theta_2) \in M; d\theta_1 = \theta, d\theta_2 = \theta', \theta_j \in \overline{L}, (j = 1, 2)\}.$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} K_f(m_d(L)) &= K(f) \cap \bigcap_{(\theta, \theta') \in M_L} \overline{\text{Sector}(\theta, \theta'; m_d(L))}, \\ K_f(L) &= K(f) \cap \bigcap_{(\theta, \theta') \in M_L} \overline{S''(\theta, \theta')}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$S''(\theta, \theta') = \bigcap_{(\theta_1, \theta_2) \in M'_L(\theta, \theta')} \text{Sector}(\theta_1, \theta_2; L).$$

The assumption guarantees that, for all $(\theta, \theta') \in M_L$, each component K of $f^{-1}(K_f(m_d(L)))$ is either contained in or disjoint from $\overline{S''(\theta, \theta')}$. Hence, for all $(\theta, \theta') \in M_L$,

$$f : f^{-1}(K_f(m_d(L))) \cap \overline{S''(\theta, \theta')} \rightarrow K_f(m_d(L))$$

has a proper holomorphic extension of degree at least $\delta(L)$. After taking an intersection to exclude all other critical elements, property (iv)(e) follows. \square

4. YOCOZ PUZZLES

First, we introduce combinatorial Yoccoz puzzles in terms of laminations, then we define Yoccoz puzzles corresponding to a combinatorial Yoccoz puzzle. See [In3] for more details.

Throughout this section we fix an integer $d \geq 2$.

4.1. Combinatorial Yoccoz Puzzle.

Definition 4.1. A *combinatorial Yoccoz puzzle* $\Lambda = (\Lambda_k)_{k \geq 0}$ is a sequence of rational laminations with finite support such that:

- (i) If $\theta \in \text{supp}(\Lambda_0)$, then θ is periodic under m_d .
- (ii) If A is a Λ_0 -class, then $m_d(A)$ is a Λ_0 -class and $m_d : A \rightarrow m_d(A)$ is consecutive preserving.
- (iii) $(m_d)_* \Lambda_{k+1} = \Lambda_k$ for all $k \geq 0$.
- (iv) If A is a non-trivial Λ_{k+1} -class, then $m_d(A)$ is also a non-trivial Λ_k -class.

We say that $\text{supp}(\Lambda) = \bigcup_k \text{supp}(\Lambda_k)$ is the *support* of Λ .

A Λ_k -unlinked class (see Definition 3.5) is a *combinatorial (puzzle) piece of depth k* . The collection of all depth k combinatorial puzzle pieces is denoted by $\mathcal{L}_k(\Lambda)$.

We say that a combinatorial Yoccoz puzzle Λ is *admissible for a lamination λ* if $\Lambda_k \subset \lambda$ for all $k \geq 0$. We say Λ is *admissible for a polynomial $f \in \mathcal{C}(d)$* if Λ is admissible for λ_f .

We state, without proof, the following rather straightforward properties of combinatorial puzzles.

Proposition 4.2. *Let $\Lambda = (\Lambda_k)_k$ be a combinatorial Yoccoz puzzle. Then*

- (i) $\{\text{supp}(\Lambda_k)\}_k$ forms an increasing sequence in \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} and $\text{supp}(\Lambda)$ is completely invariant under m_d .
- (ii) For all $k \geq 0$, if L is a combinatorial piece of depth $k + 1$, then there exists a unique combinatorial piece L' of depth k such that $L \subset L'$.
- (iii) For all $k \geq 0$, if L' is a combinatorial piece of depth $k + 1$, then $m_d(L')$ is a combinatorial piece of depth k and $m_d : L' \rightarrow m_d(L')$ is δ -to-one for some $\delta \geq 1$.
- (iv) Let L be a combinatorial piece of depth k , for some $k \geq 0$. If $\theta \in \partial L$, then for all $k' > k$, there exists a unique combinatorial piece L' of depth k' such that $L' \subset L$ and $\theta \in \partial L'$.

- (v) Let L_1, \dots, L_n be a complete list (without repetitions) of the combinatorial puzzle pieces of depth k . Let C_1, \dots, C_m be a complete list (without repetitions) of the Λ_k -critical classes. Then,

$$\sum_{i=1}^n (\delta(L_i) - 1) + \sum_{j=1}^m (\delta(C_j) - 1) = d - 1,$$

where, for $A = L_i$ or C_j , the number $\delta(A)$ denotes the degree of $m_d : A \rightarrow m_d(A)$.

For a combinatorial puzzle piece L , we denote by $\delta(L)$ the degree of $m_d : L \rightarrow m_d(L)$.

4.2. Yoccoz Puzzle. Let f be a monic centered polynomial with connected Julia set and associated Böttcher map $\varphi : \mathbb{C} \setminus K(f) \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \setminus \overline{\Delta}$ where $\Delta = \{|z| < 1\}$. For $r > 0$, the Jordan curve

$$E_f(r) = \varphi^{-1}(\{z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \overline{\Delta}; |z| = \exp(r)\})$$

is called the *equipotential of level r* . The open topological disk bounded by $E_f(r)$ will be denoted by $D_f(r)$.

Fix $r > 0$. Let $\Lambda = (\Lambda_k)$ be an admissible combinatorial Yoccoz puzzle for $f \in C(d)$. We define the *depth k Yoccoz puzzle for (f, Λ)* as follows. Given a combinatorial puzzle piece L of depth k , let

$$P_k(f, L) = \overline{D_f(r/d^k)} \cap \bigcap_{\theta \sim_{\Lambda_k} \theta', \theta \neq \theta'} \overline{\text{Sector}(\theta, \theta'; L)}$$

be the *puzzle piece of depth k for (f, Λ) associated with L* . We omit k and/or f when no confusion arises. Recall that we denote the collection of combinatorial puzzle pieces of depth $k \geq 0$ by $\mathcal{L}_k(\Lambda)$. Similarly we let

$$\mathcal{P}_k(f, \Lambda) = \{P(L); L \in \mathcal{L}_k(\Lambda)\}$$

be the collection of all puzzle pieces of depth k . That is, the *Yoccoz puzzle of depth k for (f, Λ)* .

Remark 4.3. The interior of a puzzle piece is not connected in general. A “degenerate” puzzle appears when two distinct Λ_k -classes A, A' are contained in the same λ -class. Therefore, our definition of puzzle piece does not coincide with the usual one (i.e., the closure of a bounded component of the complement of a suitable graph constructed with equipotentials and external rays).

Example 4.4. 1. Let $c = -0.1010\dots + 0.9562\dots i$ and $f(z) = z^2 + c$, so that $\alpha = f^4(c)$ is the alpha fixed point (i.e., the fixed point which is not a landing point of $R_f(0)$). Figure 6 shows its Julia set with its Yoccoz puzzles and (a part of) its lamination. The rational lamination λ_f of f properly contains the rational lamination of Douady’s rabbit (say λ_0). In fact, $f^4(c)$ has the landing angles of the alpha fixed point, i.e., $1/7, 2/7, 4/7$. Thus the critical point 0 has six landing angles, consisting of two λ_0 -equivalence classes.

The light gray region in the right picture indicates the landing relation for the critical point 0, which is not contained in the lamination of Douady’s rabbit. The Yoccoz puzzles for f , determined by the lamination of Douady’s rabbit (namely, let E_k be the set of landing angles for $f^{-k}(\alpha)$ and $\Lambda_k = \lambda_0|_{E_k}$), is such that the interior of the puzzle piece of depth 4 containing $\{0, \alpha, -\alpha\}$ has two components.

2. Let $f_0(z) = z^3 + az^2$ where $a = 1.502\dots - 0.7790\dots i$ and let $f_1(z) = z^3 - 3/4z - \sqrt{7}i/4$ (Figure 7). There are two superattractive cycles of periods one and two for f_0 , and f_1 has two superattractive cycles both of period two. Observe that $\lambda_{f_0} \subset \lambda_{f_1}$. Every non-trivial




FIGURE 6. The Julia set and a “degenerate” puzzle for $z^2 + c$ with $c = -0.1010\dots + 0.9562\dots i$.

class of λ_{f_0} eventually maps onto the class formed by the angles $1/4, 5/8$. As above, we consider the Yoccoz puzzles (Λ_k) determined by λ_{f_0} . The puzzle piece for f_1 of any depth corresponding to the fixed superattractive basin for f_0 has disconnected interior (and the number of components increases as depth increases).

Proposition 4.5. *Let $\Lambda = (\Lambda_k)$ be an admissible combinatorial Yoccoz puzzle for $f \in C(d)$. For all $k \geq 0$, let*

$$\Gamma_k = E_f(r/d^k) \cup \bigcup_{\theta \in \text{supp}(\Lambda_k)} \overline{R_f(\theta)}.$$

Then the following statements hold:

- (i) *If L is a combinatorial puzzle piece, then $P(L)$ is compact, connected and full. Moreover, the interior of $P(L)$ is the union of all the bounded components W of $\mathbb{C} \setminus \Gamma_k$ for which there exists $\theta \in L$ such that $R_f(\theta)$ has non-empty intersection with W .*
- (ii) *$\mathcal{P}_k(f, \Lambda)$ is a partition of $\overline{D_f(r/d^k)}$, i.e., depth k puzzle pieces have mutually disjoint interior and*

$$\overline{D_f(r/d^k)} = \bigcup_{P \in \mathcal{P}_k(f, \Lambda)} P.$$

- (iii) *If combinatorial puzzle pieces L and L' satisfy $L \subset L'$, then $P(L) \subset P(L')$.*
- (iv) *If L is a combinatorial puzzle piece of depth $k \geq 1$, then $f(P(L)) = P(m_d(L))$ and $f : \text{int } P(L) \rightarrow \text{int } P(m_d(L))$ is a proper map of degree $\delta(L)$.*

For the proof, see [In3, Proposition 4.1]. This proposition implies that our puzzles have similar properties as the usual Yoccoz puzzles (defined by the closures of bounded components of $\mathbb{C} \setminus \Gamma_k$) and moreover, their properties can be described only in terms of Λ .

4.3. From combinatorial puzzles to laminations. Combinatorial Yoccoz puzzles are particularly suited to study the smallest invariant rational lamination for which they are admissible.




FIGURE 7. Yoccoz puzzles and the rational laminations for $f_0(z) = z^3 + az^2$ ($a = 1.502\dots - 0.7790\dots i$) and $f_1(z) = z^3 - 3/4z - \sqrt{7}i/4$.

Definition 4.6. Let $\Lambda = (\Lambda_k)$ be a combinatorial Yoccoz puzzle. The *rational lamination* $\lambda(\Lambda)$ generated by Λ is the smallest equivalence relation in \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} containing the closure of $\bigcup_k \Lambda_k$ in $\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$.

The previous definition is justified with the following result.

Proposition 4.7. For a combinatorial Yoccoz puzzle $\Lambda = (\Lambda_k)_{k \geq 0}$, the rational lamination $\lambda(\Lambda)$ generated by Λ is an invariant rational lamination.

Proof. First, it is clear that $\lambda(\Lambda)$ -classes are pairwise unlinked. Let $\lambda = \bigcup_k \Lambda_k$. Applying the argument for the claim in the proof of [Ki1, Lemma 4.7] to our case, we have that the following assertion holds:

If $\theta, \theta' \in \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ are $\bar{\lambda}$ -related but not λ -equivalent, and if θ is periodic under m_d , then θ' is periodic of the same period.

If A is a $\lambda(\Lambda)$ -class, then there exists an iterate $\ell \geq 0$ such that $m_d^\ell(A)$ contains a periodic angle under iterations of m_d . Therefore, $m_d^\ell(A)$ (hence A) is a finite set since, by the assertion above, $m_d^\ell(A)$ consists of periodic angles of the same period.

Now let us prove that $\lambda(\Lambda)$ is closed. Assume that, for all $n \geq 0$, θ_n, θ'_n are $\lambda(\Lambda)$ -equivalent arguments such that $\theta_n \rightarrow \theta$ and $\theta'_n \rightarrow \theta'$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then, since $\lambda(\Lambda)$ -classes are pairwise unlinked, we may assume that these sequences are monotone. That is, $\theta_n \searrow \theta$ and $\theta'_n \nearrow \theta'$. Moreover, we may also assume that, for all n , the $\lambda(\Lambda)$ -class containing θ_n is contained in $[\theta_n, \theta_{n-1}] \cup (\theta'_{n-1}, \theta'_n]$. It follows that there exists some $\tilde{\theta}_n \in [\theta_n, \theta_{n-1})$ and $\tilde{\theta}'_n \in (\theta'_{n-1}, \theta'_n]$ such that $\tilde{\theta}_n, \tilde{\theta}'_n$ are λ -equivalent. Hence, θ, θ' are $\bar{\lambda}$ -related and, therefore, $\lambda(\Lambda)$ -equivalent.

It remains to prove that $(m_d)_*\lambda(\Lambda) = \lambda(\Lambda)$. The image $m_d(A)$ of a $\lambda(\Lambda)$ -class A is clearly contained in a $\lambda(\Lambda)$ -class B . Consider two elements θ_1, θ_2 of A such that (θ_1, θ_2) is a connected component of $\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \setminus A$. We suppose that $\theta'_0 \in B \cap (d\theta_1, d\theta_2)$ and proceed to obtain a contradiction in order to prove that $B \cap (d\theta_1, d\theta_2) = \emptyset$. We may assume θ'_0 and $d\theta_1$ are $\bar{\lambda}$ -related (because either $d\theta_1 \sim_{\bar{\lambda}} \min[(d\theta_1, d\theta_2) \cap B]$ or $d\theta_2 \sim_{\bar{\lambda}} \max[(d\theta_1, d\theta_2) \cap B]$ holds). Then there exists $\theta'_{0,n} \nearrow \theta'_0$ and $\theta'_{1,n} \searrow d\theta_1$ such that $\theta'_{0,n}$ and $\theta'_{1,n}$ are λ -equivalent. Let $\theta_{1,n} \searrow \theta_1$ be such that $d\theta_{1,n} = \theta'_{1,n}$. Taking preimages we obtain $\theta_{0,n}$ such that $d\theta_{0,n} = \theta'_{0,n}$ and $\theta_{0,n}, \theta_{1,n}$ are λ -equivalent. By the pairwise unlinked property of $\lambda(\Lambda)$ -classes, we have $\theta_{0,n}, \theta_{1,n} \in (\theta_1, \theta_2)$. Passing to a subsequence, we may assume $\theta_{0,n} \rightarrow \theta_0$ and $d\theta_0 = \theta'_0$. Since $\theta_0 \in [\theta_1, \theta_2]$ and $\theta_0 \sim_{\bar{\lambda}} \theta_1$, either $\theta_0 = \theta_1$ or $\theta_0 = \theta_2$, which is a contradiction. Hence $m_d : A \rightarrow m_d(A)$ is consecutive preserving and $B = m_d(A)$ is a $\lambda(\Lambda)$ -class (i.e. $(m_d)_*\lambda(\Lambda) = \lambda(\Lambda)$). \square

Definition 4.8. Let $\Lambda = (\Lambda_k)$ be an admissible combinatorial Yoccoz puzzle for a d -invariant rational lamination λ . We say Λ is a *generator of λ* if there exists $k \geq 0$ such that, for any combinatorial piece L of depth k which contains a λ -unlinked class v in the forward orbit of a Fatou critical element of λ , we have that $\delta(L) = \delta(v)$. We call such k a *separation depth* for λ .

The next proposition shows that a generator actually “generates” a substantial part of the corresponding rational lamination.

Proposition 4.9. *Let $\Lambda = (\Lambda_k)_{k \geq 0}$ be a generator of an invariant rational lamination λ . If L is a Fatou critical element of λ , then L is a Fatou critical element of $\lambda(\Lambda)$.*

Proof. Let $\lambda' = \lambda(\Lambda)$ and observe that $\lambda' \subset \lambda$. Therefore, given any infinite λ -unlinked class L , there exists a unique λ' -unlinked class L' such that $L' \supset L$.

Let L be a Fatou critical element of λ and L' the λ' -unlinked class containing L . We claim that $L' = L$. For this purpose, let k be a separation depth for Λ . Denote by L_k the combinatorial puzzle piece of depth k containing L' . Thus, $\delta(L_k) = \delta(L') = \delta(L)$. Now assume that L is periodic under m_d , say of period p . Repeating this argument, along the m_d -orbit of $L \subset L'$, it follows that $m_d^p : L' \rightarrow L'$ and $m_d^p : L \rightarrow L$ have the same degree. Moreover, under both of these maps, the grand orbits of a given $\theta \in L$ coincide. By Proposition 3.7 (iv)(c), such a grand orbit is dense in L and in L' . Therefore, we conclude that $L = L'$. In the case that L is preperiodic, then there exists $\ell \geq 1$ such that $m_d^\ell(L) = m_d^\ell(L')$ is periodic and the degree of $m_d^\ell : L \rightarrow m_d^\ell(L)$ also coincides with the degree of $m_d^\ell : L' \rightarrow m_d^\ell(L')$. Hence, $L = L'$. \square

Lemma 4.10. *Consider rational laminations $\lambda \subset \lambda'$. If $\lambda \neq \lambda'$ (λ' is strictly stronger than λ), then there exist an infinite λ -unlinked class L which is not a λ' -unlinked class.*

In particular, if every infinite λ -unlinked class is a λ' -unlinked class, then $\lambda = \lambda'$.

Proof. Assume that $\lambda \neq \lambda'$. Let A and B be distinct λ -classes which are contained in the same λ' -class. Denote by I (resp. J) the connected component of $\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \setminus A$ (resp. $\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \setminus B$) containing B (resp. A). Since every λ' -class is the union of finitely many λ -classes, we may assume that $I \cap J$ is free of elements λ' -equivalent to elements of A (and to elements of B). Observe that $I \cap J$ has two connected component V, W and any pair of arguments, one from each component, is linked with $\partial(I \cap J)$.

Now we identify \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} with the unit circle $\partial\Delta$ in the complex plane by the map $e(\theta) = e^{2\pi i\theta}$. Denote by C ($\subset \Delta$) the interior of the convex hull in $\overline{\Delta}$ of $\partial(I \cap J)$ ($\subset A \cup B$) with respect to the hyperbolic metric. It follows that C is pairwise disjoint with the convex hull of every $\hat{\lambda}$ -class where $\hat{\lambda}$ is the real extension of λ . Denote by \mathcal{L} the union of the convex hull in $\overline{\Delta}$ of all $\hat{\lambda}$ -classes. Hence, C is contained in a connected component U of $\Delta \setminus \mathcal{L}$. By [Ki1, Lemmas 4.17 and 4.22], $\partial U \cap \partial\Delta$ is a Cantor set and the endpoints of each connected component of $\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \setminus \partial U$ are λ -equivalent. Thus $(\partial U \cap \partial\Delta) \setminus \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ is contained in an infinite $\hat{\lambda}$ -unlinked class which in turn is contained in an infinite λ -unlinked class L . Moreover, the extreme points of V (resp. W) are not isolated points of $\partial U \cap \overline{V}$ (resp. $\partial U \cap \overline{W}$). Thus, there exist a pair of elements of L , one from V and another one from W , which is linked with $\partial(I \cap J) \subset A \cup B$. Hence L is not a λ' -unlinked class. \square

Corollary 4.11. *Let $\Lambda = (\Lambda_k)_{k \geq 0}$ be a generator of a hyperbolic invariant rational lamination λ . Then $\lambda(\Lambda) = \lambda$.*

Proof. It suffices to show that every infinite λ -unlinked class is a $\lambda(\Lambda)$ -unlinked class. Let L be an infinite λ -unlinked class and L' be the $\lambda(\Lambda)$ -unlinked class containing L . Since L' is eventually periodic and any periodic orbit of unlinked classes contains a critical element, $m_d^k(L')$ is critical for some $k \geq 0$. Let n be the smallest such k . Then L' contains a critical element for λ . Since λ is hyperbolic, such a critical element must be a λ -unlinked class. Hence it follows by the above proposition that $m_d^n(L')$ is a critical λ -unlinked class. In particular, $m_d^n(L') = m_d^n(L)$. Since $m_d^n : L' \rightarrow m_d^n(L')$ is one-to-one, we have $L = L'$. \square

Lemma 4.12. *If λ is an invariant rational lamination, then there exists a generator of λ .*

Proof. Let v be a λ -unlinked class in the forward orbit of a Fatou critical element of λ . By definition, for every critical element $C \neq v$ of λ there exists a λ -class A_C such that the connected component of $\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \setminus A_C$ that contains v is disjoint from C . Hence, there exists a collection A_1, \dots, A_n of λ -classes such that the following holds. If v is a λ -unlinked class in the forward orbit of a Fatou critical element of λ and $C \neq v$ is a critical element of λ , then the connected component of $\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \setminus A_j$ that contains v is disjoint from C , for some $j = 1, \dots, n$. Let E_0 be the periodic arguments in the m_d -forward orbit of $A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_n$. For $k \geq 0$, let $E_k = m_d^{-k}(E_0)$ and Λ_k be the restriction of λ to $E_k \times E_k$.

By construction, we may consider a sufficiently large k such that A_1, \dots, A_n are Λ_k -classes. Given v in the forward orbit of a Fatou critical element, let $L \in \mathcal{L}_k(\Lambda)$ be the combinatorial puzzle piece containing v . If L contains a critical element C , then v must be critical and $C = v$. Thus,

$$\delta(v) - 1 = \sum_{C \subset L} (\delta(C) - 1)$$

where the sum is taken over all critical elements C contained in L and is equal to 0 if there is no such critical element in L . Moreover, from the proof of [In3, Lemma 3.9], we have that

$$(2) \quad \sum_{C \subset L} (\delta(C) - 1) = \delta(L) - 1.$$

It follows that $\delta(v) = \delta(L)$ and that the puzzle $\Lambda = (\Lambda_k)_{k \geq 0}$ is a generator for λ . \square

5. COMBINATORIAL SURJECTIVITY OF STRAIGHTENING

In this section we study the action of straightening on rational laminations and establish that, in a certain sense (see Theorem 5.2), straightening maps are (almost) combinatorially onto.

Definition 5.1. A rational lamination over a mapping schema $T = (|T|, \sigma, \delta)$ is a collection of rational laminations $\lambda = (\lambda_v)_{v \in |T|}$ with $\delta(v)_* \lambda_v = \lambda_{\sigma(v)}$.

For $f \in C(T)$, the rational lamination of f is the collection $\lambda_f = (\lambda_{f,v})_{v \in |T|}$ of equivalence relations in \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} such that: θ and θ' are $\lambda_{f,v}$ -equivalent if $R_f(v, \theta)$ and $R_f(v, \theta')$ land at the same point.

We can similarly define unlinked classes and the reduced mapping schema of a rational lamination over T . Hence we also define critical elements, post-critically finiteness and hyperbolicity of a rational lamination over T . Note that the total number of critical elements of λ_v , counting multiplicities, is $\delta(v) - 1$.

Theorem 5.2. Let λ_0 be an invariant rational lamination such that $\mathcal{R}(\lambda_0) \neq \emptyset$. Consider an internal angle system of λ_0 and let χ be the associated straightening map.

There exists an algebraic set $Y = Y(\lambda_0) \subset \text{Poly}(T(\lambda_0))$ of pure codimension one such that:

- if $P \in C(T(\lambda_0))$, then there exists $f \in \mathcal{R}(\lambda_0)$ such that $\lambda_P = \lambda_{\chi(f)}$ or P is a non-hyperbolic map contained in Y .
- if $P \in C(T(\lambda_0))$ is post-critically finite, then there exists $f \in \mathcal{R}(\lambda_0)$ such that $P = \chi(f)$ or P is a non-hyperbolic map contained in Y .

Each irreducible component of the algebraic set Y is contained in one defined by the presence of parabolic periodic points of a given period or a preperiodic critical point of given preperiod and eventual period.

The proof of the theorem is at the end of this section. In 5.1 we discuss the effect of straightening on rational laminations (combinatorial straightening). In 5.2, we introduce an inverse operation (combinatorial tuning). In 5.3 we show that combinatorial tuning and straightening are the inverse of each other. In 5.4 we characterize laminations with non-empty renormalizable set. Finally, in 5.5 we prove the theorem above.

5.1. Combinatorial straightening.

Definition 5.3. Let λ_0 and λ be invariant rational laminations. Assume λ_0 has non-empty reduced schema $T(\lambda_0)$ and $\lambda_0 \subset \lambda$. Let $\alpha = (\alpha_v : \bar{v} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})$ be an internal angle system for λ_0 .

For each $v \in |T(\lambda_0)|$, let λ'_v be the equivalence relation that identifies θ and θ' if and only if there exist $t \in \alpha_v^{-1}(\theta)$ and $s \in \alpha_v^{-1}(\theta')$ such that t and s are λ -equivalent. Then $\lambda' = (\lambda'_v)_{v \in |T(\lambda_0)|}$ is a rational lamination over $T(\lambda_0)$. We say λ' is the *combinatorial straightening of λ with respect to (λ_0, α)* .

We let the reader check that λ' is in fact a rational lamination over $T(\lambda_0)$.

Lemma 5.4. Assume that λ_0 is an invariant rational lamination with non-empty reduced schema, fix an internal angle system and denote by $\chi : \mathcal{R}(\lambda_0) \rightarrow C(T(\lambda_0))$ the corresponding straightening map.

If $f \in \mathcal{R}(\lambda_0)$, then the rational lamination of $\chi(f)$ is the combinatorial straightening of λ_f , with respect to λ_0 with the same internal angle system.

Proof. Denote by $\alpha = (\alpha_v : \bar{v} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})$ the internal angle system, consider $f \in \mathcal{R}(\lambda_0)$ and let (λ_v) be the combinatorial straightening of λ_f .

Let $g = (f^{\ell_v} : U'_v \rightarrow U_{\sigma_\lambda(v)})$ be a λ_0 -renormalization. Let $P = \chi(g) : |T(\lambda_0)| \times \mathbb{C} \rightarrow |T(\lambda_0)| \times \mathbb{C}$ be the straightening of g via the fiberwise quasiconformal conjugacy $\psi_v : U_v \rightarrow \psi_v(U_v) \subset \{v\} \times \mathbb{C}$. Let $\varphi_v : \mathbb{C} \setminus K(P, v) \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \setminus \bar{\Delta}$ be the Böttcher map. Given $v \in |T(\lambda_0)|$ and $\theta \in \bar{v} \cap \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$, denote by $\beta_v(\theta) \in \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \cong \partial\Delta$ the ‘‘landing point’’ of the arc $\varphi_v \circ \psi_v(R_f(\theta) \cap U_v)$. That is, the closure of this arc intersects $\partial\Delta$ at the point with argument $\beta_v(\theta)$.

We claim that α_v and β_v coincide in $\bar{v} \cap \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$. In fact, these maps coincide at least in one point, since ψ_v respects external markings. That is, if $\alpha_v(\theta_0) = 0$, then $\beta_v(\theta_0) = 0$. Now we observe that the set of arguments where α_0 and β_0 coincide is backward invariant. More precisely, let $A \subset \bar{v}$ be the largest set such that $m_d^{\ell_v}(A) = \{\theta\}$ where $\alpha_{\sigma_\lambda(v)}(\theta) = \beta_{\sigma_\lambda(v)}(\theta) = 0$. Notice that $\beta_{\sigma_\lambda(v)} \circ m_d^{\ell_v} = m_{\delta_\lambda(v)} \circ \beta_v$ and $\alpha_{\sigma_\lambda(v)} \circ m_d^{\ell_v} = m_{\delta_\lambda(v)} \circ \alpha_v$. Therefore, $\alpha_v(A) = \beta_v(A)$. Also, the cyclic order of the arguments in A is preserved by each of the maps α_v and β_v . Therefore, $\alpha_v(\theta') = \beta_v(\theta')$, for all $\theta' \in A$. We may recursively repeat this argument in order to conclude that α_v and β_v coincide at a set C such that $\alpha_v(C)$ is dense. It follows that α_v and β_v agree on $\bar{v} \cap \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$.

By Lindelöf Theorem, $\lambda_{P,v} \supset \lambda_v$, for all $v \in |T(\lambda_0)|$.

Now consider two distinct λ_v -classes A_1 and A_2 and denote by z_1 and z_2 the landing points, in $K_f(v)$, of the rays with arguments in $\alpha_v^{-1}(A_1)$ and $\alpha_v^{-1}(A_2)$, respectively. Since ψ_v is injective, the landing points $\psi_v(z_1)$ and $\psi_v(z_2)$ of the external rays of P in the v -fiber, with arguments in A_1 and A_2 are distinct. Therefore, $\lambda_{P,v} = \lambda_v$. \square

5.2. Combinatorial Tuning. In the classical context of quadratic polynomials, ‘‘tuning’’ is the inverse of straightening. Below we will introduce the inverse of combinatorial straightening.

Let us first define the *pull-back of a relation*. For a map $\varphi : A \rightarrow B$ and a relation λ on a set B , we say that $a_1 \in A$ is $\varphi^*\lambda$ -related to $a_2 \in A$ if and only if $\varphi(a_1)$ is λ -related to $\varphi(a_2)$.

Definition 5.5. Consider a d -invariant rational lamination λ_0 with an internal angle system $\alpha = (\alpha_v : \bar{v} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})$ and an invariant rational lamination $(\lambda_v)_{v \in |T(\lambda_0)|}$ over $T(\lambda_0)$.

Given an infinite λ_0 -unlinked class v , denote by $n_v \geq 0$ the smallest integer such that $v' = m_d^{n_v}(v) \in |T(\lambda_0)|$. Let $\pi_v = \alpha_{v'} \circ m_d^{n_v} : \bar{v} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ and $\lambda_v^* = \pi_v^*(\lambda_{v'})$.

We define the *combinatorial tuning* $\mathcal{T}_\alpha(\lambda_0, (\lambda_v))$ as the smallest equivalence relation in \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} containing:

- (i) λ_0 and,
- (ii) λ_v^* , for all infinite λ_0 -unlinked classes v .

Proposition 5.6. *Let λ_0 be a d -invariant rational lamination, α be an internal angle system of λ_0 and $(\lambda_v)_{v \in |T(\lambda_0)|}$ be an invariant rational lamination over $T(\lambda_0)$. Then their combinatorial tuning $\lambda' = \mathcal{T}_\alpha(\lambda_0, (\lambda_v))$ is a d -invariant rational lamination containing λ_0 . Moreover, the following statements hold:*

- (i) *If λ_0 and (λ_v) are hyperbolic, then λ' is hyperbolic.*
- (ii) *If λ_0 and (λ_v) are post-critically finite, then λ' is post-critically finite.*

Proof. Let \mathcal{A} be the collection formed by the non-trivial λ_0 -equivalence classes and let \mathcal{B} be the collection formed by all the non-trivial λ_v^* -equivalence classes of all infinite λ_0 -unlinked classes v . A non-trivial λ' -equivalence class E is a maximal set of the form

$$(3) \quad E = \bigcup_{n=1}^N A_n$$

for $A_n \in \mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{B}$ such that for any n, m , there exists a sequence $n_0 = n, n_1, \dots, n_k = m$ such that A_{n_j} and $A_{n_{j+1}}$ intersect for all $j = 1, \dots, k-1$. Finiteness of E follows from the fact that there exist ℓ and p such that $m_d^\ell(\theta) = m_d^{\ell+p}(\theta)$ for all $\theta \in E$, since the same holds for the elements of $\mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{B}$. Thus, every λ' -equivalence class is finite.

Observe that if $A, B \in \mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{B}$ are disjoint, then A and B are unlinked. In fact, consider $A_1, A_2, B_1, B_2 \in \mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{B}$ such that A_1 intersects A_2 and B_1 intersects B_2 , and $A_1 \cup A_2$ and $B_1 \cup B_2$ are disjoint. Since A_j is unlinked with both B_1 and B_2 , we have that B_1 and B_2 are contained in the same component of $\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \setminus A_j$. Therefore, $A_1 \cup A_2$ and $B_1 \cup B_2$ are unlinked. We repeat this argument and conclude that λ' -equivalence classes are pairwise unlinked.

To see that λ' is closed, consider a sequence of pairs (θ_n, θ'_n) such that $\theta_n \sim_{\lambda'} \theta'_n$ and $\theta_n \rightarrow \theta, \theta'_n \rightarrow \theta'$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. If $\theta_n \sim_{\lambda_0} \theta'_n$ for infinitely many n , then $\theta \sim_{\lambda_0} \theta'$ because λ_0 is closed, so it follows that $\theta \sim_{\lambda'} \theta'$. If there exists an infinite λ_0 -unlinked class v such that $\theta_n, \theta'_n \in v$ for infinitely many n , then similarly we have $\theta \sim_{\lambda'} \theta'$ because λ_v^* is closed. Otherwise, by passing to a subsequence, we may assume that the convergences $\theta_n \nearrow \theta$ and $\theta'_n \searrow \theta'$ are monotone, that θ_n and θ'_n lie in an infinite λ_0 -unlinked class v_n , and that v_n ($n = 1, 2, \dots$) are pairwise disjoint. Then for each n , there exist $\iota_n \in (\theta_n, \theta_{n+1})$, $\iota'_n \in (\theta'_n, \theta'_{n+1})$ such that $\iota_n \sim_{\lambda_0} \iota'_n$ and that v_n and v_{n+1} lie in different components of $\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \setminus \{\iota_n, \iota'_n\}$. Therefore $\iota_n \nearrow \theta$ and $\iota'_n \searrow \theta'$, thus $\theta \sim_{\lambda_0} \theta'$.

To prove the d -invariance of λ' , we start with the following observation: Let v be an infinite λ_0 -unlinked class. Then $\pi_v : \bar{v} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ in Definition 5.5 induces a homeomorphism $\bar{v}/\lambda_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$. Furthermore, $\pi_{m_d(v)} \circ m_d \circ \pi_v^{-1} : \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ is well-defined equal to $m_{\delta(v)}$, and $\delta(v)_* \lambda_{v'} = \lambda_{w'}$, where $v' = m_d^{n_v}(v)$, $w = m_d(v)$ and $w' = m_d^{n_w}(w)$. Therefore, a λ_v^* -class (resp. λ_v^* -unlinked class) $A \subset \bar{v}$ is mapped by m_d to a $\lambda_{m_d(v)}^*$ -class (resp. λ_v^* -unlinked class) and it is one-to-one if v is not critical, and $\delta(\alpha_v(A))$ -to-one if v is critical.

Let E be a λ' -class of the form (3). Then its image

$$m_d(E) = \bigcup_{n=1}^N m_d(A_n)$$

is contained in some λ' -class E' . If $E' \setminus m_d(E)$ is nonempty, then there exist some $A' \in \mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{B}$ intersecting both $E' \setminus m_d(E)$ and $m_d(E)$. If $A' \in \mathcal{A}$ then there exists a λ_0 -class A such that $m_d(A) = A'$ and A intersects E . Moreover, A is not contained in E because $m_d(A) = A' \not\subset m_d(E)$. This contradicts that E is maximal. Similarly, if A' is a λ_v^* -class for an infinite λ_0 -unlinked class v' , then there exist an infinite λ_0 -unlinked class v and a λ_v^* -class A such that $m_d(A) = A'$ and A intersects E but not contained in E , that is a contradiction. Therefore, $m_d(E) = E'$ is a λ' -equivalence class.

Before proving that $m_d : E \rightarrow m_d(E)$ is consecutive preserving we establish that λ' -unlinked classes are invariant. So, consider a λ' -unlinked class L . Then either L is a finite λ_0 -unlinked class, or L is contained in an infinite λ_0 -unlinked class. If L is a finite λ_0 -unlinked class, then $m_d(L)$ is also a finite λ_0 -unlinked class, which is again a λ' -unlinked class. On the contrary, if L is contained in an infinite λ_0 -unlinked class v , then L is a λ_v^* -unlinked class. By construction, $m_d(L)$ is a $\lambda_{m_d(v)}^*$ -unlinked class, which is also a λ' -unlinked class.

Now we prove that $m_d : E \rightarrow m_d(E)$ is consecutive preserving. Let (θ_1, θ_2) be a component of $\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \setminus E$. Then there exist $\theta'_i \in (\theta_1, \theta_2)$ arbitrarily close to θ_i such that θ'_1 and θ'_2 are λ' -unlinked. If otherwise, there exist $\varepsilon > 0$ such that θ'_1 and θ'_2 are λ' -linked, for all $\theta'_1 \in (\theta_1, \theta_1 + \varepsilon)$ and for all $\theta'_2 \in (\theta_2 - \varepsilon, \theta_2)$. Hence there exist $t_n \in (\theta_1, \theta_1 + 1/n)$ and $s_n \in (\theta_2 - \varepsilon, \theta_2 - \varepsilon)$ such that t_n and s_n are λ' -equivalent. By passing to a subsequence, we may assume s_n converges to some $s \in [\theta_1 + \varepsilon, \theta_2 - \varepsilon] \subset (\theta_1, \theta_2)$. Since we already proved

that λ' is closed, it follows that θ_1 and s are λ' -equivalent and $s \in E$, which is a contradiction. Thus for any $\theta \in (d\theta_1, d\theta_2)$, there exists some $\theta'_1, \theta'_2 \in (\theta_1, \theta_2)$ such that θ'_1 and θ'_2 are λ' -unlinked and $\{\theta'_1, \theta'_2\}$ and $\{\theta', \theta_1\}$ are linked (not unlinked) for any $\theta' \in m_d^{-1}(\theta) \cap (\theta_1, \theta_2)$. Since $d\theta'_1$ and $d\theta'_2$ are also λ' -unlinked, θ is not λ' -equivalent to $d\theta_1$. Therefore $(d\theta_1, d\theta_2)$ is a component of $\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \setminus m_d(E)$ and we have proved $m_d : E \rightarrow m_d(E)$ is consecutive preserving.

It follows that, λ' is a d -invariant rational lamination.

For (i), if λ_0 and (λ_v) are hyperbolic, then for each Fatou critical element \tilde{v}' of λ_v , $v' = \alpha_v^{-1}(\tilde{v}')$ is a critical element of λ' with $\delta(v') = \delta(\tilde{v}')$. Moreover, the total number of critical elements of λ_v , counting multiplicities, is $\delta(v) - 1$. Therefore,

$$\sum_{v \in |T(\lambda_0)|} \sum_{\tilde{v}' \in \text{Crit}(\lambda_v)} (\delta(\tilde{v}') - 1) = \sum_{v \in |T(\lambda_0)|} (\delta(v) - 1) = d - 1.$$

It follows that all the critical elements of λ' are infinite λ' -unlinked classes. That is, λ' is also hyperbolic.

For (ii), let us further assume that λ_0 and (λ_v) are post-critically finite. Let v' be a finite λ' -unlinked class. Then v' is either a finite λ_0 -unlinked class, or a finite λ_v^* -unlinked class for some infinite λ_0 -unlinked class v . Hence v' is not critical by assumption. Therefore, λ' is post-critically finite. \square

5.3. Combinatorial straightening and combinatorial tuning. Here we prove that combinatorial tuning is the inverse of combinatorial straightening:

Theorem 5.7. *Let λ_0 be a d -invariant rational lamination and $\alpha = (\alpha_v)$ be an internal angle system for λ_0 . Then:*

- (i) *For any invariant rational lamination $(\lambda_v)_{v \in |T(\lambda_0)|}$ over $T(\lambda_0)$, the combinatorial straightening of $\mathcal{T}_\alpha(\lambda_0, (\lambda_v))$ with respect to (λ_0, α) is $(\lambda_v)_{v \in |T(\lambda_0)|}$.*
- (ii) *If $\lambda \supset \lambda_0$ is a d -invariant rational lamination, then $\lambda = \mathcal{T}_\alpha(\lambda_0, (\lambda_v))$ where (λ_v) is the combinatorial straightening of λ (with respect to (λ_0, α)).*

Corollary 5.8. *Let λ_0 be a d -invariant rational lamination. For $f, g \in \mathcal{R}(\lambda_0)$, if the rational laminations of the straightenings $\chi_{\lambda_0}(f)$ and $\chi_{\lambda_0}(g)$ are equal, then $\lambda_f = \lambda_g$.*

Proof. This follows from the fact λ_f and λ_g are the combinatorial tunings of λ_0 with the rational laminations of $\chi_{\lambda_0}(f)$ and of $\chi_{\lambda_0}(g)$, respectively, by Lemma 5.4 and the above theorem. \square

For the proof of Theorem 5.7 it is convenient to state (without proof) the following direct consequences of the definitions of tuning and straightening.

Lemma 5.9. *Let λ_0 be a d -invariant rational lamination and $\alpha = (\alpha_v)$ be an internal angle system for λ_0 . Then:*

- *For any invariant rational lamination $(\lambda_v)_{v \in |T(\lambda_0)|}$ over $T(\lambda_0)$, let $\lambda' = \mathcal{T}_\alpha(\lambda_0, (\lambda_v))$ be the combinatorial tuning of λ_0 . Then any λ' -unlinked class contained in $v \in T(\lambda_0)$ has the form $\alpha_v^{-1}(L)$ for some λ_v -unlinked class L .*
- *For any d -invariant rational lamination $\lambda \supset \lambda_0$, let $(\lambda_v)_{v \in |T(\lambda_0)|}$ be its combinatorial straightening with respect to λ_0 . Then any λ_v -unlinked class has the form $\alpha_v(L)$ for some λ -unlinked class $L \subset v$.*

Proof of Theorem 5.7. (i) Denote by (λ'_v) the combinatorial straightening of $\mathcal{T}_\alpha(\lambda_0, (\lambda_v))$. From the definitions it follows that $\lambda'_v \supset \lambda_v$. Now consider a λ_v -unlinked class L , then $\alpha_v^{-1}(L)$ is a $\mathcal{T}_\alpha(\lambda_0, (\lambda_v))$ -unlinked class, by the previous lemma. Again from the lemma

above, $L = \alpha_v(\alpha_v^{-1}(L))$ is a λ'_v -unlinked class. Now by Lemma 4.10, $\lambda_v = \lambda'_v$ for all $v \in |T(\lambda_0)|$.

(ii) Let $\lambda' = \mathcal{T}_\alpha(\lambda_0, (\lambda_v))$. By definition each λ' -class is a (finite) union of λ_0 -classes and λ_v^* -classes where v is a λ_0 -unlinked class and λ_v^* is as in Definition 5.5. Since the equivalence classes of these two relations are contained in λ -classes, we have $\lambda' \subset \lambda$.

On the other hand, for a λ -unlinked class L contained in an infinite λ_0 -unlinked class v , we have $L = (m_d^{n_v}|_v)^{-1} \circ \alpha_v^{-1} \circ \alpha_v \circ m_d^{n_v}(L)$, where notations are as in Definition 5.5 (observe that $m_d^{n_v}|_v : v \rightarrow v'$ is a one-to-one order-preserving bijection). Therefore, again by Lemma 5.9, L is a λ' -unlinked class. Hence it follows that $\lambda' = \lambda$ by Lemma 4.10. \square

5.4. Renormalizable set. Our aim now is to characterize laminations with non-empty renormalizable set.

Proposition 5.10. *Consider a d -invariant rational lamination such that $T(\lambda_0) \neq \emptyset$. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) $\mathcal{R}(\lambda_0) \neq \emptyset$.
- (ii) *If A is a critical λ_0 -class and L is an infinite unlinked class in the forward orbit of a critical element, then $A \cap \overline{L} = \emptyset$.*

Before proving the above result let us introduce an important class of rational laminations.

Definition 5.11 (Primitive invariant laminations). We say that a d -invariant rational lamination is *primitive* if there do not exist infinite λ -unlinked classes L and L' and a λ -class A such that $L \neq L'$ and both $\overline{L} \cap A$ and $\overline{L'} \cap A$ are nonempty.

As a direct consequence of the proposition we obtain the following result.

Corollary 5.12. *If λ_0 is a primitive rational lamination such that $T(\lambda_0) \neq \emptyset$, then $\mathcal{R}(\lambda_0) \neq \emptyset$.*

To prove the proposition, we start with the lemma below, which gives sufficient conditions for a polynomial $f \in C(\lambda_0)$ to be in $\mathcal{R}(\lambda_0)$. The reader may find the proof of the proposition after the proof of the lemma. In the lemma, we simultaneously obtain an analytic family of polynomial like maps over $T(\lambda_0)$ parametrized by a neighborhood of f in $\text{Poly}(d)$ (see Section 1.4 for the definition of analytic families). We will use this analytic family to prove Theorem C in Section 7.

Lemma 5.13. *Consider an invariant rational lamination λ_0 . Assume that (ii) of Proposition 5.10 holds. Given a combinatorial Yoccoz puzzle $\Lambda = (\Lambda_k)$ which is a generator of λ_0 , there exists $K > 0$ such that $f \in \mathcal{R}(\lambda_0)$ if $f \in C(\lambda_0)$ and f satisfies the following two conditions:*

- For all $v \in CO(\lambda_0)$, if $\theta \in \text{supp } \Lambda_0 \cap \overline{v}$, then the external ray $R_f(\theta)$ lands at a repelling periodic point and,
- For all $v \in CO(\lambda_0)$, if $\theta \in \text{supp } \Lambda_K \cap \overline{v}$, then the landing point of the external ray $R_f(\theta)$ is not a critical point,

Moreover, if $f \in C(\lambda_0)$ satisfies the above conditions, then there exist a neighborhood \mathcal{V} of f , in $\text{Poly}(d)$, that parametrizes an analytic family of polynomial-like maps over $T(\lambda_0)$

$$(h_g = (g^{\ell_v} : U'_{g,v} \rightarrow U_{g,\sigma(v)})_{v \in |T(\lambda_0)|})_{g \in \mathcal{V}}$$

such that the boundaries of the Jordan domains $U_{g,v}$ and $U'_{g,v}$ are smooth, the fiberwise connectedness locus contains $C(\lambda_0) \cap \mathcal{V}$, and h_g is a λ_0 -renormalization for $g \in C(\lambda_0) \cap \mathcal{V}$.

Proof. We claim that under the hypothesis of the lemma $K_f(v) = \bigcap P_k(f, v)$. Directly from the definitions it follows that $K_f(v) \subset \bigcap P_k(f, v)$. Now if $z \notin K_f(v)$, then there exists a sector S bounded by λ_0 -equivalent rays with arguments in \bar{v} that is disjoint from $K_f(v)$ and such that $z \in S$. Since Λ is a generator, there exists k and a sector S' bounded by Λ_k -equivalent arguments such that $z \in S' \subset S$, by Proposition 4.9. Thus, $z \notin P_k(f, v)$.

Now in order to show that $f \in \mathcal{R}(\lambda_0)$ we construct polynomial-like mapping over $T(\lambda_0)$ by modifying puzzle pieces (cf. [Ha2, Section 3], [Ki2, Lemma 12.5] and [Mi2]). More precisely, let k_0 be a separation depth. By Proposition 4.9 and the assumption, we can take k_0 sufficiently large so that for all $k > k_0$ and for all v in the forward element of a Fatou critical element of λ_0 , there exists no critical Λ_k -class A which intersects $\overline{L_k(v)}$, where $L_k(v) \in \mathcal{L}_k(\Lambda)$ is the combinatorial puzzle piece of depth k containing v .

Let $K = k_0 + 1$ and let $f \in \mathcal{C}(\lambda_0)$ satisfy the assumption, i.e., the landing point of $R_f(\theta)$ for every $\theta \in \partial L_K(v) \cap \bar{v}$ is neither parabolic nor critical for any $v \in CO(\lambda_0)$. By the choice of k_0 , all the critical elements in $\overline{L_K(v)}$ are contained in \bar{v} , so it follows that the same property holds for any $\theta \in \partial L_K(v)$. That is, the landing point of $R_f(\theta)$ for every $\theta \in \partial L_K(v) \cap \bar{v}$ is neither parabolic nor critical for any $v \in CO(\lambda_0)$.

We prove that there exists a desired analytic family of polynomial-like maps over $T(\lambda_0)$ on a neighborhood of f . For $v \in CO(\lambda_0)$, let $Z(v)$ be the set of all points which are the landing point of $R_f(\theta)$ for some $\theta \in \partial L_K(v)$ and let $Z = \bigcup_{v \in CO(\lambda_0)} Z(v)$. If $z \in Z$ is periodic, then it is repelling, by assumption, hence it has a holomorphic continuation in a neighborhood of f . More precisely, there exists a repelling periodic point z_g for $g \in \text{Poly}(d)$ sufficiently close to f such that z_g moves continuously on g and $z_f = z$. Moreover, the set of landing angles of z_g for g contains that of z for f , by the continuity of the landing angle for repelling periodic points (note that this statement includes that even if $g \notin \mathcal{C}(d)$, such external rays do not bifurcate).

Also, if $z \in Z$ is eventually periodic, we have a similar continuation; let $f^n(z) \in Z$ be a repelling periodic point. Since the local degree of f^n at z is one, there exists a unique preperiodic point z_g , for any g close to f , of the same period and preperiod as z . Since landing angles of $f^n(z)$ are landing angles of $f^n(z_g)$ (for f and g respectively), so do z and z_g .

It follows that there exists a neighborhood \mathcal{V} of f such that the graph $\Gamma_g(v) = \overline{\bigcup_{\theta \in \partial L_K(v)} R_g(\theta)}$ depends continuously on $g \in \mathcal{V}$. (More precisely, since the Böttcher coordinates and eventually periodic points depend holomorphically on $g \in \mathcal{V}$, it admits a holomorphic motion $\mathcal{V}_f \times \Gamma_f(v) \rightarrow \Gamma_g(v)$.)

Fix small $\delta > 0$. For each θ let

$$N_f(\theta) = \varphi_f^{-1}(\{e^{r+2\pi i\eta}; |\eta - \theta| < \delta r^2\}).$$

We may assume $N_f(\theta) \cap D_f(r_0)$ ($\theta \in \text{supp}(\Lambda_K)$) are mutually disjoint where r_0 is the level of the equipotential used for level 0 puzzle pieces. For each $v \in CO(\lambda_0)$, let

$$\tilde{P}_k(f, v) = P_k(f, v) \setminus \bigcup_{\theta \in L_k(v)} N_f(\theta).$$

By the continuity of $\Gamma_g(v)$ and φ_g , we may assume $P_k(g, v)$ and $\tilde{P}_k(f, v)$ are defined similarly for all $g \in \mathcal{V}_f$ and $k \leq K$.

For each $v \in CO(\lambda_0)$ and each $z \in Z(v)$, we may choose a small simply connected open neighborhood D_z and D'_z around z satisfying the following:

- ∂D_z is smooth,
- $D_z \cap D_w = \emptyset$ if $z \neq w$,
- $D'_z \Subset D_z$,

- $f(D'_z) = D_{f(z)}$, and
- $f|_{D'_z}$ is injective.

In fact, for each periodic orbit $O \subset Z$, let $p = \#O$ be the period of O and k be the largest integer such that there exists $w \in Z$ satisfying $f^{k-1}(w) \notin O$ and $f^k(w) \in O$. Take $z \in O$ and choose a sequence of p nested topological disks centered at z

$$D_z^{(k)} \Subset \dots \Subset D_z^{(k+p-1)}$$

such that $f^p(D_z^{(k)}) \supseteq D_z^{(k+p-1)}$. For each $w \in Z \cap f^{-k}(O)$, let D_w be the component of $f^{-n}(D_z^{(n)})$ containing w , where $n \geq 0$ is the smallest integer such that $f^n(w) = z$. If $D_z^{(k+p-1)}$ is sufficiently small, then $f^n : D_w \rightarrow D_z^{(n)}$ is univalent. Let $D'_w = (f^n|_{D_w})^{-1}D_z^{(n-1)}$. Then it is easy to check that D_z and D'_z satisfies the desired condition if $D_z^{(k+p-1)}$ is sufficiently small.

We may assume D_z also moves continuously on $g \in \mathcal{V}_f$. In fact, for g sufficiently close to f , z_g is still repelling. Hence for such g , let $D_z(g) = D_z$ and $D'_z(g) = g^{-1}(D_{f(z)}) \cap D_z(g)$.

Let us denote $D_z^* = D_z \setminus \{z\}$.

Claim. For each $v \in CO(\lambda_0)$ and $z \in Z(v)$, there exists a finite union of disjoint C^1 arcs $\gamma(v, z) \subset D_z^*$ such that:

- (i) The endpoints of each component of $\gamma(v, z)$ are in $\text{int } \tilde{P}_{K-1}(f, v)$.
- (ii) For each component B of $D_z \setminus \tilde{P}_{K-1}(f, v)$ with $z \in \partial B$, exactly one component of $\gamma(v, z)$ intersects B and $B \setminus \gamma(v, z)$ consists of two components. In particular, let $S_f(v, z)$ be the union of components of $D_z \setminus (\tilde{P}_{K-1}(f, v) \cap \gamma(v, z))$ which contain z in the boundary. Then $S_f(v, z) \cup \tilde{P}_{K-1}(f, v)$ is a neighborhood of z .
- (iii) $\gamma(v, z)$ intersects an arc $\partial N_f(\theta) \cap P_{K-1}(f, v)$ exactly once and transversally.
- (iv) Let $S'_f(v, z) = (f|_{D_z})^{-1}(S_f(m_d(v), f(z)))$. Then $S_f(v, z) \supset \overline{S'_f(v, z)} \setminus \tilde{P}_K(f, v)$.

Note that $\partial N_f(\theta)$ consists of two arcs $\varphi_f^{-1}(\{e^{r+2\pi i\eta}; \pm\delta(\eta - \theta) = r^2\})$, only one of which intersects $P_{K-1}(f, v)$, which we denote by $\tilde{R}_f(\theta, v)$.

Proof of the claim. First consider the case when $v \in CO(\lambda_0)$ and $z \in Z(v)$ are periodic. Let $n > 0$ be the period of v (it is the period of z multiplied by the denominator of the combinatorial rotation number of z). Let $T(v, z) = D_z^*/(w \sim f^n(w))$. It is isomorphic to a torus $\mathbb{C}^*/(w \sim (f^n)'(z)w)$ by the linearizing coordinate at z . There is a natural isomorphism $\iota_{z,v} : T(v, z) \rightarrow T(m_d(v), f(z))$ induced by $f : f^{-1}(D_{f(z)}^*) \cap D_z^* \rightarrow D_{f(z)}^*$.

Let $Q(v, z) = (P_{K-1}(v, z) \cap D_z^*)/\sim \subset T(v, z)$. The boundary $\partial Q(v, z)$ consists of simple closed curves of the form $(R_f(\theta) \cap D_z^*)/\sim$ in the same homotopy class. In particular, both $Q(v, z)$ and $T(v, z) \setminus Q(v, z)$ are unions of disjoint annuli, whose core curves are also mutually homotopic.

Let A be a component of $T(v, z) \setminus Q(v, z)$. Observe that the Green potential $g_f = \log|\varphi_f|$ naturally induces a cyclic order on each component of ∂A . Take n disjoint C^1 arcs $\hat{\gamma}_{A,0}, \dots, \hat{\gamma}_{A,n-1} \subset \bar{A}$ such that

- $\text{int } \hat{\gamma}_{A,k} \subset A$ and $\hat{\gamma}_{A,k}$ connects the two boundary components of A ;
- at the endpoints, $\hat{\gamma}_{A,k}$ has a smooth extension which intersects ∂A transversally;
- The endpoints of $\hat{\gamma}_{A,k}$ are ordered with respect to the cyclic orders on components of ∂A , where index $k \in \{0, \dots, n-1\}$ is understood modulo n .

Let θ_1, θ_2 satisfy

$$(4) \quad \partial A = ((R_f(\theta_1) \cup R_f(\theta_2)) \cap D_z^*)/\sim.$$

Then $(\tilde{R}_f(\theta_i, v) \cap D_z^*)/\sim$ accumulates $(R_f(\theta_i) \cap D_z^*)/\sim$ in the C^1 topology. Therefore, we fix the extension above so that it intersects $(\tilde{R}_f(\theta_i, v) \cap D_z^*)/\sim$ transversally if $\delta > 0$ is sufficiently small.

Now we take representatives $\gamma_{A,0}, \dots, \gamma_{A,n-1} \subset D_z^*$ of the extension of $\hat{\gamma}_{A,0}, \dots, \hat{\gamma}_{A,n-1}$ as follows: Take a point $w \in R_f(\theta_1) \cap D_z^*$ such that the equivalence class $[w]$ is an endpoint of $\hat{\gamma}_{A,n}$ and $f^n(w) \in D_z^*$. Then $\gamma_{A,k}$ is a lift of the extension of $\hat{\gamma}_{A,k}$ intersecting the subarc $[w, f^n(w))$ of $R_f(\theta_1)$.

If we take w sufficiently close to z and $\delta > 0$ is sufficiently small, then $f^k(\gamma_{A,n-k})$ intersects $\tilde{R}_f(m_d^k(\theta_i), m_d^k(v))$ transversally. For $0 \leq k < n$, let us define

$$\gamma(m_d^k(v), f^k(z)) = \bigcup_{A \in \mathcal{A}(v,z)} f^k(\gamma_{A,n-k}),$$

where $\mathcal{A}(v, z)$ is the set of connected components of $T(v, z) \setminus Q(v, z)$. By considering all such periodic orbits for $(v, z) \mapsto (m_d(v), f(z))$, we can define $\gamma(v, z)$ for all periodic $v \in CO(\lambda_0)$ and $z \in Z(v)$.

For such v, z and $A \in \mathcal{A}(v, z)$, there exists exactly one component of $\gamma(v, z)$ (say γ_A) such that γ_A/\sim intersects A . Take θ_1, θ_2 satisfying (4). Then $\tilde{R}_f(\theta_i, v)$ intersects γ_A once, so it follows that there is a unique bounded complement of $\tilde{R}_f(\theta_1, v) \cup \tilde{R}_f(\theta_2, v) \cup \gamma_A$, which we denote by S_A . Let B be the component of $D_z^* \setminus \tilde{P}_{K-1}(f, v)$ with $B/\sim = A$. Since $\partial B \subset \tilde{R}_f(\theta_1, v) \cup \tilde{R}_f(\theta_2, v) \cup \partial D_z$ and γ_A does not intersect ∂D_z , $B \setminus \gamma_A$ consists of two components, one of which is equal to S_A . Hence we have proved (i), (ii) and (iii) because $S_f(v, z) = \bigcup_{A \in \mathcal{A}(v,z)} S_A$.

By construction, $f(\gamma_A)$ and $\gamma_{\iota_{z,v}(A)}$ are disjoint and the Green potential of $f(R_f(\theta_1) \cap \gamma_A)$ is greater than $R_f(d\theta_1) \cap \gamma_{\iota_{z,v}(A)}$. Hence it follows that the domain bounded by $\overline{R_f(d\theta_1)}$, $\overline{R_f(d\theta_2)}$ and $\gamma_{\iota_{z,v}(A)}$ is contained in the image by f of the domain bounded by $\overline{R_f(\theta_1)}$, $\overline{R_f(\theta_2)}$ and $\gamma_{\iota_{z,v}(A)}$. Therefore, we have $f(S_A) \supset \overline{S_{\iota_{z,v}(A)}} \setminus \tilde{P}_{K-1}(f, m_d(v))$. By taking the inverse image by $f|_{D_z}$, the property (iv) follows.

For $v \in CO(\lambda_0)$ and preperiodic $z \in Z(v)$, there exists some $j > 0$ such that $f^j(z)$ is periodic. By the above argument, we may assume that $\gamma_{A,k}$ as above are defined for $(m_d^j(v), f^j(z))$. Taking the inverse image by $f^j|_{D_z}$ of $f^{nl}(\gamma_{A,k})$ for some l and k , where n is the period of $m_d^j(v)$, we can similarly define $\gamma(v, z)$ with the required properties. Thus, we have concluded with the proof of the claim. \square

Since $\Gamma_g(v)$ and D_z depends holomorphically on $g \in \mathcal{V}$, we may assume the claim holds not only for f , but also for $g \in \mathcal{V}$ with the same $\gamma(v, z)$, by replacing \mathcal{V} with a smaller neighborhood, if necessary.

For $g \in \mathcal{V}_f$, let $\hat{P}_{K-1}(g, v)$ and $\hat{P}_K(g, v)$ be the interiors of

$$\begin{aligned} & \tilde{P}_{K-1}(g, v) \cup \bigcup_{z \in Z(v) \cap \partial P_{K-1}(g, v)} S_g(v, z), \\ & (g^{-1}(\tilde{P}_{K-1}(g, m_d(v))) \cap P_K(g, v)) \cup \bigcup_{z \in Z(v)} S'_g(v, z). \end{aligned}$$

respectively. Then $g : \hat{P}'_K(g, v) \rightarrow \hat{P}_{K-1}(g, m_d(v))$ is a proper map of degree $\delta(v)$. Moreover, since

$$g^{-1}(\tilde{P}_{K-1}(g, m_d(v))) \cap P_K(g, v) \subset \text{int } \tilde{P}_{K-1}(g, v) \cup Z(v)$$

by definition, it follows that $\hat{P}'_K(g, v)$ is a relatively compact subset of $\hat{P}_{K-1}(g, v)$. Therefore, we obtained a polynomial-like map

$$h^U = (g : \hat{P}'_K(g, v) \rightarrow \hat{P}_{K-1}(f, m_d(v)))$$

over the *unreduced* mapping schema $T^U(\lambda_0) = (CO(\lambda_0), m_d, \delta)$. (Namely, it is an *unreduced* λ_0 -renormalization of f . See Section 6 for more details of unreduced mapping schema and renormalization.)

By construction, every point in $\hat{P}'_K(g, v) \setminus P_K(g, v)$ is contained in $D_z \setminus P_K(g, v)$ for some $z \in Z(v)$, hence it eventually escapes from the domain of h^U . Since $P_K(g, v) \setminus \hat{P}'_K(g, v) \subset \mathbb{C} \setminus K(g)$, it follows that $K_g(v) \subset \hat{P}'_K(g, v)$ for $g \in \mathcal{R}(\lambda_0)$. Therefore, the filled Julia set $K(h^U, v)$ is equal to $K_g(v)$. In particular, it is connected.

We may now extract a polynomial-like map over the reduced mapping schema from the one over the unreduced mapping schema. That is, for $v \in |T(\lambda_0)|$, let $U_{g,v} = \hat{P}_{K-1}(g, v)$ and let

$$U'_{g,v} = (g|_{\hat{P}_{K-1}(g,v)})^{-1} \circ (g|_{\hat{P}_{K-1}(g,m_d(v))})^{-1} \circ \cdots \circ (g|_{\hat{P}_{K-1}(g,m_d^{\ell_v-1}(v))})^{-1}(U_{g,v}).$$

Therefore, every $g \in \mathcal{V} \cap C(\lambda_0)$ is λ_0 -renormalizable.

It remains to show that the obtained family of polynomial-like mappings $h_g = (g^{\ell_v} : U'_{g,v} \rightarrow U_{g,\sigma(v)})_{v \in |T(\lambda_0)|}$ forms an analytic family. Let

$$\mathcal{U}_v = \bigcup_{g \in \mathcal{V}} \{g\} \times U_{g,v}, \quad \mathcal{U}'_v = \bigcup_{g \in \mathcal{V}} \{g\} \times U'_{g,v}.$$

Since $\partial U_{g,v}$ and $\partial U'_{g,v}$ are piecewise C^1 curves which move continuously on $g \in \mathcal{V}$, \mathcal{U}_v and \mathcal{U}'_v are homeomorphic to $\mathcal{V} \times \Delta$ over \mathcal{V} for any $v \in |T(\lambda_0)|$ by [Ri, Theorem 2.17], where Δ is the unit disk. Moreover, we have $\overline{\mathcal{U}'_v} = \bigcup_{g \in \mathcal{V}} \{g\} \times \overline{U'_{g,v}}$, hence it follows that the natural projection $\mathcal{U}'_v \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$ and $\mathcal{U}'_v \ni (g, z) \mapsto (g, g^{\ell_v}(z)) \in \mathcal{U}_{\sigma(v)}$ are proper. Therefore, h_g is an analytic family of polynomial-like mappings. \square

As a consequence of the proof we obtain the following result:

Corollary 5.14. *Under the assumption and notations of Lemma 5.13, for any $g \in \mathcal{V}$, the following statements hold:*

- (i) $\Lambda_K \subset \lambda_g$. In particular, the puzzle piece $P_k(g, v)$ is well-defined for $k \leq K$ and $v \in CO(\lambda_0)$.
- (ii) $K(h_g, v) = \{z \in \mathbb{C}; g^n(z) \in P_K(g, m_d^n(v)) \text{ for all } n \geq 0\}$.

For later use, we further need to study the case when λ_0 is hyperbolic.

Lemma 5.15. *Under the notations in Lemma 5.13, assume that λ_0 is hyperbolic. Given $g \in \mathcal{V}$, if $K(h_g)$ is fiberwise connected, then $g \in C(\lambda_0)$.*

Proof. Note that if $K(h_g)$ is fiberwise connected, then $g \in C(d)$ since λ_0 is hyperbolic all the critical points of g lie in a fiber of the domain of h_g . It follows that all external rays are well defined for g . Thus, it is sufficient to show that $\Lambda_n \subset \lambda_g$ for all $n \geq K$, since the smallest rational lamination that contains the puzzle is λ_0 , by Corollary 4.11.

For all $k \geq K$, let Λ_k^g be the restriction of λ_g to the supp Λ_k and let $\Lambda^g = (\Lambda_k^g)$. We proceed by induction to show that $\Lambda_n \subset \lambda_g$ and that for all $v \in |T(\lambda_0)|^U$,

$$K(h_g, v) \subset \bigcup_{L \in \mathcal{L}_n(\Lambda^g), L \cap v \neq \emptyset} P_n(g, L).$$

By Corollary 5.14, this statement holds for $n = K$. Hence we assume that the above statements are true for n and proceed to establish the corresponding statements for $n + 1$.

Let A be a Λ_{n+1} -class which is not in the support of Λ_n . Let L'_n be the Λ_n -unlinked class containing A .

If $m_d : L'_n \rightarrow m_d(L'_n)$ is injective, then from the unlinked and invariant property of λ_g it follows that A is contained in a λ_g -class, since $m_d(A)$ is a $\Lambda_n(\subset \lambda_g)$ -class and elements of A cannot be λ_g -equivalent points in $\partial L'_n$ (they have different preperiod).

Now assume that $m_d : L'_n \rightarrow m_d(L'_n)$ is δ -to-one for some $\delta > 1$. Since K is greater than the separation depth of Λ , it follows that there exists a critical Fatou element v of λ_0 such that $v \subset L'_n$ and $\delta(v) = \delta$. Thus, there exists a Λ_{n+1} -unlinked class L'_{n+1} such that $v \subset L'_{n+1}$.

Let (θ_0, θ_1) be a connected component of $\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \setminus L'_{n+1}$. We claim that θ_0 and θ_1 are λ_g -equivalent. By contradiction, assume that θ_0 and θ_1 are not λ_g -equivalent. Then there exists a Λ_{n+1}^g -unlinked class L that separates θ_0 and θ_1 such that $m_d(L)$ is disjoint from $L_n = m_d(L'_{n+1})$. In fact, $m_d(L)$ is contained in a Λ_n -class L' . Since $m_d^{-1}(L_n) \cap L'_n = L'_{n+1}$ and L is not contained in L'_{n+1} , L' is disjoint from L_n . Thus, by the inductive hypothesis, the interior of $P_n(g, m_d(L))$ is free of points in $K(h_g, m_d(v))$, it follows that the interior of $P_{n+1}(g, L)$ is disjoint from $K(h_g, v)$. However, v must intersect the component of $\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \setminus L$ containing θ_0 and the one containing θ_1 . Thus, the interior of $P_{n+1}(g, L)$ separates $K(h_g, v)$ which contradicts the assumption that $K(h_g, v)$ is connected. We conclude that θ_0 and θ_1 are λ_g -equivalent.

We may assume A is contained in $[\theta_0, \theta_1]$ above. Since $m_d([\theta_0, \theta_1] \cap L'_n)$ is disjoint from L_n and $m_d : L_n \rightarrow m_d(L_n)$ has degree $\delta(v)$ we have that m_d is an order-preserving bijection on $[\theta_0, \theta_1] \cap L'_n$. Therefore, as in the case when $L'_n \rightarrow m_d(L'_n)$ is injective, A is contained in a λ_g -class.

Let $v \in |T(\lambda_0)^U|$ and $L'_n \in \mathcal{L}_n(\Lambda)$ and $L'_{n+1} \in \mathcal{L}_{n+1}(\Lambda)$ satisfy $v \subset L'_{n+1} \subset L'_n$. We must prove that for $L \in \mathcal{L}_{n+1}(\Lambda^g)$ disjoint with v , we have that $K(h_g, v)$ and the interior of $P_{n+1}(g, L)$ are disjoint. Since we have already proven $\Lambda_{n+1} \subset \Lambda_{n+1}^g$, L and L_{n+1} are disjoint. Hence L is contained in a component (θ_0, θ_1) of $\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \setminus L'_{n+1}$. Therefore, $\text{int } P_{n+1}(g, L)$ and $K(h_g, v)$ are separated by $\overline{R_g(\theta_0) \cup R_g(\theta_1)}$, hence they are disjoint. \square

As another consequence of Lemma 5.13 we obtain that $\mathcal{R}(\lambda_0)$ is a large subset of $C(\lambda_0)$ provided that $C(\lambda_0)$ is not contained in a proper algebraic subset of $\text{Poly}(d)$.

Corollary 5.16. *Let λ_0 be an invariant rational lamination. Then there exists an algebraic set $X \subset \text{Poly}(d)$ of pure codimension one such that $\mathcal{R}(\lambda_0) \supset C(\lambda_0) \setminus X$.*

Proof. Assume that (ii) of Proposition 5.10 holds for λ_0 . Let $\Lambda = (\Lambda_k)$ be a combinatorial Yoccoz puzzle which is a generator of λ_0 and let K be as in Lemma 5.13. Denote by m the minimum common multiple of the periods of the periodic arguments which belong to Λ_K . Let X be the codimension one algebraic subset of $\text{Poly}(d)$ formed by the union of all polynomials f such that f^m has a multiple fixed point with all polynomials f which have a critical point ω such that $f^K(\omega)$ is a fixed point of f^m . It follows that, if $f \in C(\lambda_0) \setminus X$, then the external rays with arguments in Λ_K do not land at critical points or at multiple periodic points. From Lemma 5.13 we conclude that $f \in \mathcal{R}(\lambda_0)$.

Now if (ii) of Proposition 5.10 does not hold for λ_0 , then $C(\lambda_0)$ is contained in a proper algebraic subset X of $\text{Poly}(d)$. In fact, every $f \in C(\lambda_0)$ has a preperiodic critical point of preperiod and eventual period depending only on λ_0 . (Indeed we have $\mathcal{R}(\lambda_0) = C(\lambda_0) \setminus X = \emptyset$ by Proposition 5.10.) \square

The last ingredient in the proof of Proposition 5.10 is the following version of the main result stated in [Ki1].

Theorem 5.17. *Let λ be a degree d invariant rational lamination. Then there exists $f \in \text{Poly}(d)$ without neutral cycles such that $\lambda_f = \lambda$. Moreover, f can be chosen so that every Fatou critical point is periodic or eventually periodic.*

Proof. Although the version above is not explicitly stated in [Ki1] all the necessary elements are contained there. In fact, the proof of Theorem 1.1. (pp. 149–150 of [Ki1]) starts establishing that the map f is free of neutral periodic point. Also, from the statement of Corollary 7.3 and the definitions in Section 7.1 of [Ki1], it follows that all the Fatou critical points of f have finite forward orbit. \square

Proof of Proposition 5.10. (i) \implies (ii). Assume that $f \in \mathcal{R}(\lambda_0)$. Then there exists a polynomial-like map g over $T(\lambda_0)$ such that $K(g, v) = K_f(v)$ for all $v \in |T(\lambda_0)|$. For each $v \in |T(\lambda_0)|$, there exist neighborhoods $U'_v \Subset U_v$ such that $f^{\ell_v} : U'_v \rightarrow U_{\sigma_{\lambda_0}(v)}$ is a proper map of degree $\delta_{\lambda}(v)$. In order to conclude that (ii) holds, we proceed by contradiction and suppose that there exists a critical λ_0 -class A such that $A \cap m_d^{\ell_v}(\bar{v}) \neq \emptyset$ for some $0 \leq \ell < \ell_v$. Note that there are exactly $\delta_{\lambda_0}(v)$ λ_0 -classes $B_1, \dots, B_{\delta_{\lambda_0}(v)}$ intersecting \bar{v} that map into the λ_0 -class $m_d^{\ell_v - \ell}(A)$ under $m_d^{\ell_v}$. Let $A_1, \dots, A_{\delta_{\lambda}(v)}$ be the corresponding λ_f -classes and consider a reference element $t \in m_d^{\ell_v - \ell}(A)$. It follows that the set

$$\{t' \in A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_{\delta_{\lambda_0}(v)}; m_d^{\ell_v}(t') = t\}$$

has cardinality strictly greater than $\delta_{\lambda_0}(v)$, since $m_d^{\ell_v}(A_j) = A$ for at least one j . Therefore, the neighborhood U'_v of $K_f(v)$ contains the portion inside $D_f(r)$, for r sufficiently small, of at least $\delta_{\lambda_0}(v) + 1$ rays which map onto the same ray under f^{ℓ_v} . Hence $f^{\ell_v} : U'_v \rightarrow U_{\sigma_{\lambda_0}(v)}$ is not a degree $\delta_{\lambda_0}(v)$ map.

(ii) \implies (i). Assume that (ii) holds, according to the previous theorem, there exists a polynomial $f \in \mathcal{C}(d)$ with rational lamination $\lambda_f = \lambda_0$ and no neutral cycles. Hence, we may apply Lemma 5.13 to a generator of λ_0 given by Lemma 4.12 to conclude that $f \in \mathcal{R}(\lambda_0)$. \square

5.5. Combinatorial surjectivity. We will need the fact that post-critically finite maps over a reduced mapping schema are uniquely determined by their rational lamination. The corresponding fact is well known in the context of monic and centered polynomials. We give a precise statement and sketch a proof using P. Jones [Jo] result about holomorphic removability of post-critically finite Julia sets.

Theorem 5.18. *Let T be a reduced mapping schema. Consider $P_1, P_2 \in \mathcal{C}(T)$ post-critically finite polynomial maps over T with rational laminations $\lambda_1 = (\lambda_{1,v})_{v \in |T|}$ and $\lambda_2 = (\lambda_{2,v})_{v \in |T|}$, respectively.*

If $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2$, then $P_1 = P_2$.

Proof. For $j = 1, 2$ and for $v \in |T|$ periodic, the corresponding fiber $J(P_j, v) = \partial K(P_j, v)$ of the Julia set of P_j coincides with the Julia set of a monic centered post-critically finite polynomial. Thus, $J(P_j, v)$ is locally connected (e.g., see [Mi1]) and holomorphically removable (see [Jo]). Since every fiber $\{v\} \times \mathbb{C}$ is eventually periodic under P_j , it follows that $J(P_j, v)$ is locally connected and holomorphically removable for all $v \in |T|$.

Now denote by $\psi_{j,v} : \mathbb{C} \setminus \bar{\Delta} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \setminus K(P_j, v)$ the inverse of the Böttcher map. Since $J(P_j, v)$ is locally connected, we have that $\psi_{j,v}$ extends continuously to $\partial \Delta \equiv \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$. Denote by $\lambda'_{j,v}$ the equivalence relation in \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} which identifies s, t if and only if $\psi_{j,v}(s) = \psi_{j,v}(t)$.

It follows that $\lambda_{j,v} = \lambda'_{j,v} \cap (\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$. Moreover, since P_j has no critical point with infinite forward orbit (in the boundary of a Fatou component), Lemma 4.17 in [Ki1]

generalizes to establish that the real lamination $\lambda'_{j,v}$ is the smallest equivalence relation in \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} which contains the closure of $\lambda_{j,v}$.

Now we assume that $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2$. From the previous paragraph we conclude that $\lambda'_{1,v} = \lambda'_{2,v}$. Thus, $\psi_{2,v} \circ \psi_{1,v}^{-1} : \mathbb{C} \setminus K(P_1, v) \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \setminus K(P_2, v)$ extends continuously to a map $h_v : \mathbb{C} \setminus \text{int } K(P_1, v) \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \setminus \text{int } K(P_2, v)$ for all $v \in |T|$.

Note that the maps h_v induce a conjugacy between P_1 and P_2 in the complement of the bounded Fatou components. We may extend h_v continuously to each bounded Fatou component U as a conformal map. In fact, observe that $h_v(\partial U)$ must be the boundary of a bounded Fatou component of P_2 , say V . Consider the unique conformal isomorphism g_U between U and V such that its continuous extension agrees with h_v at one point in ∂U and such that g_U maps the unique point in U which lies in the grand orbit of a critical point of P_1 to the corresponding point for P_2 in V . It is not difficult to check that the continuous extension to ∂U of such a conformal isomorphism g_U agrees with h_v at every point. It also follows that after extending h_v to every bounded Fatou component $U \subset K(P_1, v)$ by such a map g_U we obtain continuous map $h_v : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, which is conformal off the Julia set, for all $v \in |T|$. Moreover, $(v, z) \mapsto (v, h_v(z))$ is a conjugacy between P_1 and P_2 . Since the Julia set of P_1 is holomorphically removable we have that h_v is in fact holomorphic and invertible, thus affine, for all v . It follows that $P_1 = P_2$ since h_v is tangent to the identity at infinity for all v . \square

Proof of Theorem 5.2. Let (Λ_k) be a combinatorial Yoccoz puzzle which is a generator for λ_0 . Consider K as in Lemma 5.13 and let $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ be such that $d^K \theta$ has period dividing m for all $\theta \in \Lambda_k$. Let $Y \subset \text{Poly}(T(\lambda_0))$ be the analytic set formed by all $P \in \text{Poly}(T(\lambda_0))$ which possess a critical point c such that $P^K(c)$ is periodic of period dividing m .

Now consider $P \in C(T(\lambda_0))$ and, by Theorem 5.17, there exists a polynomial f without neutral cycles such that $\lambda_f = \mathcal{T}_\alpha(\lambda_0, \lambda_P)$. It follows that $f \in \mathcal{R}(\lambda_0)$ or f has a Julia critical point c' such that $f^K(c')$ is periodic of period dividing m which is the landing point of an argument θ in $\text{supp } \Lambda_k \cap \bar{v}$. We claim that $P \in Y$ in the latter case. In fact, note that the λ_0 -class of θ is not critical, for otherwise $\mathcal{R}(\lambda_0) = \emptyset$, by Proposition 5.10. Hence, the λ_f -class of θ must contain an argument $\theta' \neq \theta$ such that $d\theta = d\theta'$. It follows that $\{\theta, \theta'\}$ is pairwise unlinked with every non-trivial λ_0 -class. Therefore, the λ_0 -class A of θ as well as the λ_0 -class A' of θ' have non-empty intersection with \bar{v}' for some critical element v' of λ_0 . We conclude that the elements of the disjoint sets $\alpha_{v'}(A \cap \bar{v}')$ and $\alpha_{v'}(A' \cap \bar{v}')$ are $\lambda_{P,v'}$ -equivalent. Hence, for any $t \in \alpha_{v'}(A \cap \bar{v}')$ the landing point of $R_P(v', t)$ is a critical point c of P such that $P^K(c)$ is periodic of period dividing m . That is, $P \in Y$. We conclude that P is a non-hyperbolic element of Y or $f \in \mathcal{R}(\lambda_0)$ is such that $\lambda_{\chi(f),v} = \lambda_{P,v}$.

Now if $P \in C(T(\lambda_0))$ is post-critically finite, then by the previous theorem P is uniquely determined by its rational lamination. Taking f as in the previous paragraph, we have that $f \in \mathcal{R}(\lambda_0)$ and $\chi(f) = P$ or; P is a non-hyperbolic element of Y . \square

6. INJECTIVITY OF STRAIGHTENING MAPS

This section is devoted to establish that straightening maps are injective. That is, we prove Theorem B. The proof relies on the following main result.

Key Lemma 6.1. *Let λ_0 be a post-critically finite d -invariant rational lamination with reduced schema $T(\lambda_0)$. If $f \in C(\lambda_0)$, then the set*

$$F = K(f) \setminus \bigcup_{n \geq 0} \bigcup_{v \in |T(\lambda_0)|} f^{-n}(K_f(v))$$

has zero area.

Section 6.2 contains the proof of this lemma. In Section 6.1 we prove Theorem B assuming the Key Lemma.

Remark 6.2. Epstein and Yampolsky [EY] first proved this key lemma for cubic polynomials constructed by intertwining surgery of two quadratic polynomials. Haïssinsky [Ha3] also claimed the key lemma for a general intertwining surgery, but his proof contains a gap. (He claimed that a set containing F_0 in the proof below is empty. However, F_0 is always nonempty in the case of intertwining surgery.)

Remark 6.3. Theorem B often implies that the polynomial obtained through a quasiconformal construction from a collection of polynomials is uniquely determined by the original polynomials, up to affine conjugacy. For example, consider the case of intertwining surgery by Epstein and Yampolsky [EY]. Starting with two quadratic polynomials with connected Julia sets they construct a cubic polynomial (called an intertwining) having two quadratic-like restrictions whose Julia sets intersect at a repelling fixed point. The resulting cubic polynomial only depends on the choice of initial quadratic polynomials and not on the choices made throughout the surgery.

The first author [In2] used the intertwining construction to obtain a polynomial having a capture type renormalization. For such a construction, Theorem B can be applied to show that the resulting polynomial is uniquely determined by the initial data, up to affine conjugacy. Haïssinsky [Ha3] also constructed intertwinings at parabolic fixed points which produce a non-renormalizable polynomial. However, the Key Lemma 6.1 still holds and, therefore, the resulting polynomial of the construction is uniquely determined by the corresponding initial data, up to affine conjugacy.

6.1. Proof of Theorem B. First, we assume the key lemma and prove Theorem B.

Let λ_0 be a post-critically finite d -invariant rational lamination and let α be an internal angle system for λ_0 . Consider two renormalizable polynomials $f_1, f_2 \in \mathcal{R}(\lambda_0)$ such that $\chi_{\lambda_0}(f_1) = \chi_{\lambda_0}(f_2)$, where χ_{λ_0} is the corresponding straightening map. We must show that $f_1 = f_2$.

For the purpose of this proof it is better to extract “polynomial-like maps” g_1, g_2 over the *unreduced mapping schema* for λ_0 . More precisely, let $|T^U(\lambda_0)|$ be the (forward) orbit of the Fatou critical elements of λ_0 and, for all $v \in |T^U(\lambda_0)|$, let $\delta(v)$ be the degree of $m_d : v \rightarrow m_d(v)$. It follows that $T^U(\lambda_0) = (|T^U(\lambda_0)|, m_d, \delta)$ is a mapping schema.

It is easy to construct a polynomial-like map over the unreduced mapping schema $T^U(\lambda_0)$ from a λ_0 -renormalization:

Lemma 6.4. *For $f \in \mathcal{R}(\lambda_0)$, there exist domains $U'_v \Subset U_v$ ($v \in |T^U(\lambda_0)|$) such that $g = (g_v = f : U'_v \rightarrow U_{m_d(v)})$ is a polynomial-like map over $T^U(\lambda_0)$ and $K(g, v) = K_f(v)$ for all $v \in |T^U(\lambda_0)|$.*

The proof is left to the reader. We say that $g = (f : U'_v \rightarrow U_{m_d(v)})_{v \in |T^U(\lambda_0)|}$ is an *unreduced λ_0 -renormalization* of f .

Using a hybrid conjugacy between unreduced renormalizations for f_1 and f_2 , we construct the following quasiconformal homeomorphism.

Lemma 6.5. *There exist a generator $\Lambda = (\Lambda_k)$ of separation depth k_0 for λ_0 and a quasiconformal homeomorphism $\Phi_0 : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that:*

- (i) *The Yoccoz puzzle of depth k_0 for f_1 is mapped to that for f_2 , i.e., for any puzzle piece $P_{k_0}(f_1, L)$ of depth k_0 for f_1 , we have $\Phi_0(P_{k_0}(f_1, L)) = P_{k_0}(f_2, L)$.*

- (ii) $\Phi_0 = \varphi_2^{-1} \circ \varphi_1$ on $\mathbb{C} \setminus D_{f_1}(r/d^{k_0})$ where $\varphi_j : \mathbb{C} \setminus K(f_j) \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \setminus \{|z| \leq 1\}$ is the Böttcher map for f_j .
- (iii) $\Phi_0 \circ f_1 = f_2 \circ \Phi_0$ on $K_{f_1}(v)$ for $v \in |T^U(\lambda_0)|$ and on $R_{f_1}(\theta)$ for $\theta \in \text{supp } \Lambda_{k_0}$.
- (iv) $\frac{\partial \Phi_0}{\partial \bar{z}} \equiv 0$ a.e. on $K_{f_1}(v)$ for $v \in |T^U(\lambda_0)|$.

Proof. Let $\Lambda = (\Lambda_k)$ be a generator of λ_0 and $k_0 \geq 0$ be a separation depth. We may assume that every Julia critical element of λ_0 is a Λ_k -class for all $k \geq k_0$. As in Section 4, for each $v \in |T^U(\lambda_0)|$, let $L_k(v)$ be the combinatorial puzzle piece of depth $k \geq 0$ for Λ containing v . Denote by $P_k(f_j, v)$ the corresponding puzzle piece for (f_j, v) .

Let $E_v = \bar{v} \cap \partial L_{k_0}(v)$ and let $I_{f_j}(v)$ be the set of landing points of $R_{f_j}(\theta)$ for $\theta \in E_v$. It consists of repelling or parabolic (pre)periodic points in $K_{f_j}(v)$. For $x \in I_{f_1}(v)$, let $x_2 = x_2(x)$ be the landing point of $R_{f_2}(\theta)$ for any $\theta \in \text{Angle}_{f_1}(x) \cap E_v$ where $\text{Angle}_{f_1}(x)$ denotes the subset of \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} formed by the arguments of the rays of f_1 landing at x . The function $x_2 : I_{f_1}(v) \rightarrow I_{f_2}(v)$ is well-defined because the rational laminations of f_1 and f_2 are the same by Corollary 5.8.

Claim. There exist unreduced λ_0 -renormalizations $g_j = (f_j : U'_{j,v} \rightarrow U_{j,\sigma(v)})_{v \in |T^U(\lambda_0)|}$ for f_j ($j = 1, 2$) and a quasiconformal homeomorphism $\psi_v : U_{1,v} \rightarrow U_{2,v}$ for $v \in |T^U(\lambda_0)|$ such that

- (i) $\frac{\partial \psi_v}{\partial \bar{z}} \equiv 0$ a.e. on $K_{f_1}(v)$,
- (ii) $\psi_{\sigma(v)} \circ f_1 = f_2 \circ \psi_v$ on $U'_{1,v}$,
- (iii) $\overline{U_{j,v}} \subset D_{f_j}(r/d^{k_0})$ for $j = 1, 2$.
- (iv) $\partial U_{1,v}$ and $\partial U_{2,v}$ are C^1 Jordan curves and ψ_v extends to a C^1 -diffeomorphism between them,
- (v) for $x \in I_{f_1}(v) \cap K_{f_1}(v)$ and $\theta \in \bar{v} \cap \text{Angle}_{f_1}(x)$, we have that $\psi_v = \varphi_2^{-1} \circ \varphi_1$ on a neighborhood of $R_{f_1}(\theta) \cap U_{1,v}$.

In fact, to obtain g_j and ψ_v as above, first take an unreduced λ_0 -renormalization $g_1 = (f_1 : U'_{1,v} \rightarrow U_{1,\sigma(v)})_{v \in |T^U(\lambda_0)|}$ defined in sufficiently small domains $U'_{1,v}$ such that $\partial U'_{1,v}$ and $\partial U_{1,v}$ are C^1 Jordan curves and $\overline{U_{1,v}} \subset D_{f_1}(r/d^{k_0})$.

Continue by considering an unreduced λ_0 -renormalization $g_2 = (f_2 : U'_{2,v} \rightarrow U_{2,\sigma(v)})_{v \in |T^U(\lambda_0)|}$ such that $\partial U'_{2,v}$ and $\partial U_{2,v}$ are C^1 Jordan curves and $\partial U'_{2,v}$ and $\varphi_2^{-1} \circ \varphi_1(\partial U_{1,v})$ coincide on a neighborhood of $R_{f_2}(\theta)$ for any $x \in I_{f_1}(v)$ and $\theta \in \bar{v} \cap \text{Angle}_{f_1}(x)$.

Now let $A_{j,v} = \overline{U_{j,v}} \setminus U'_{j,v}$ be the fundamental annulus and we proceed to define ψ_v on $A_{1,v}$. Let $\psi_v = \varphi_2^{-1} \circ \varphi_1$ on a neighborhood of $A_{1,v} \cap R_{f_1}(\theta)$ for each $v \in |T^U(\lambda_0)|$, $x \in I_{f_1}(v)$ and $\theta \in \text{Angle}_{f_1}(x)$. By construction and since $\varphi_2^{-1} \circ \varphi_1$ is a holomorphic conjugacy from f_1 to f_2 on the basin of infinity, we can extend it to a C^1 -diffeomorphism between $A_{1,v}$ and $A_{2,v}$ such that $\psi_{\sigma(v)} \circ f_1 = f_2 \circ \psi_v$ on $\partial U'_{1,v}$ and $\psi_v(A_{1,v} \cap P_{k_0}(f_1, v)) = A_{2,v} \cap P_{k_0}(f_2, v)$.

As in the proof of the straightening theorem (in Section 2), we can extend $\psi_v|_{A_{1,v}}$ to a hybrid conjugacy on $U_{1,v}$ to $U_{2,v}$ by successive pullbacks. By construction, we have $\psi_v = \varphi_2^{-1} \circ \varphi_1$ on $U_{1,v} \cap R_{f_1}(\theta)$ for any $x \in I_{f_1}(v)$ and $\theta \in \text{Angle}_{f_1}(x)$, which implies the combinatorial condition needed to obtain a quasiconformal map ψ_v after gluing the definition of ψ_v in $U_{1,v} \setminus K(f_1, v)$ with the original hybrid conjugacy. (If $I_{f_1}(v)$ is empty, then $K_{f_1}(v)$ is disjoint from $\partial P_{k_0}(v)$. Hence we need only choose $U_{1,v}$ sufficiently small such that $\overline{U_{1,v}} \subset P_{k_0}(v)$ and choose a diffeomorphism $\phi_v : A_{1,v} \rightarrow A_{2,v}$ properly so that the combinatorial condition is satisfied).

Furthermore, since ψ_v is defined by successive pullbacks, it coincides with $\varphi_2^{-1} \circ \varphi_1$ on a neighborhood of $R_{f_1}(\theta) \cap U_{1,v}$ for $\theta \in \bar{v} \cap \text{Angle}_{f_1}(\theta)$. Thus we have proved the claim.

Let $\mathcal{I}_{f_j} = \bigcup_{v \in |T^U(\lambda_0)|} \mathcal{I}_{f_j}(v)$. For each $x \in \mathcal{I}_{f_1}$, take small neighborhoods O_x and O_{x_2} of x and $x_2 = x_2(x)$ and a quasiconformal homeomorphism $\tau_x : O_x \rightarrow O_{x_2}$ such that

- $(O_x)_{x \in \mathcal{I}_{f_1}}$ and $(O_{x_2(x)})_{x \in \mathcal{I}_{f_1}}$ are collections of pairwise disjoint open sets.
- $\tau_x(x) = x_2$,
- $\tau_x = \varphi_2^{-1} \circ \varphi_1$ on $O_x \cap f_1^{-1}(O_{f_1(x)}) \cap R_{f_1}(\theta)$ for any $\theta \in \text{Angle}_{f_1}(x)$.
- if a puzzle piece P of depth k_0 is not of the form $P_{k_0}(f_1, v)$ for any $v \in |T^U(\lambda_0)|$, then τ_x is C^1 on $(\text{int } P) \cap O_x$.
- $\tau_x = \psi_v$ on $P_{k_0}(f_1, v) \cap O_x$ if $x \in K_{f_1}(v)$,

Such homeomorphisms are obtained after lifting a C^1 -diffeomorphism between two quotient annuli. When x is periodic, these annuli are obtained from punctured neighborhoods of x and x_2 intersected with a puzzle piece P of depth k_0 after identification of z with $f_1^p(z)$, and w with $f_2^p(w)$ respectively, where p is the period of external rays landing at x . (Observe that even if x (or x_2) is parabolic, such a puzzle piece P which is not of the form $P_{k_0}(f_i, v)$ is contained in a repelling petal because all immediate parabolic basins are contained in small filled Julia sets of λ_0 -renormalizations.) For preperiodic x , τ_x is obtained by the pullback of $\tau_{f_1^n(x)}$ by the dynamics.

Now we define Φ_0 . First we define it on the following three parts:

$$\Phi_0 = \begin{cases} \varphi_2^{-1} \circ \varphi_1 & \text{on } \mathbb{C} \setminus D_{f_1}(r/d^{k_0}), \\ \psi_v & \text{on } P_{k_0}(f_1, v) \cap U_{1,v}, \\ \tau_x & \text{on } O_x \setminus \left(\bigcup_{v \in |T^U(\lambda_0)|} P_{k_0}(f_1, v) \right) \quad (x \in \mathcal{I}_{f_1}). \end{cases}$$

By construction, Φ_0 is quasiconformal and C^1 in a neighborhood of the boundary of its domain of definition. Furthermore, Φ_0 maps $R_{f_1}(\theta)$ into $R_{f_2}(\theta)$ for $\theta \in \text{supp } \Lambda_{k_0}$.

Therefore, we can extend it first to $\Gamma_{k_0} = \bigcup_{\theta \in \text{supp } \Lambda_{k_0}} R_{f_1}(\theta)$ and then to the rest of the plane by a C^1 -diffeomorphism so that it preserves the Yoccoz puzzle of depth k_0 and conjugates f_1 to f_2 on Γ_{k_0} .

On $K_{f_1}(v)$, we have

$$\Phi_0 \circ f_1 = \psi_{\sigma(v)} \circ f_1 = f_2 \circ \psi_v = \Phi_0 \circ f_2,$$

and $\frac{\partial \Phi_0}{\partial \bar{z}} = \frac{\partial \psi_v}{\partial \bar{z}} \equiv 0$ a.e. □

Note that Φ_0 is a conjugacy in an arcwise connected set S_0 which includes all the critical orbits of f_1 . Also keep in mind that S_0 contains $\mathbb{C} \setminus D_{f_1}(r/d^{k_0})$ as well as $K_{f_1}(v)$ for all $v \in |T^U(\lambda_0)|$. Therefore, we may recursively define Φ_n , for $n \geq 1$, as the unique (quasiconformal) homeomorphism such that $\Phi_n \circ f_1 = f_2 \circ \Phi_{n-1}$ which agrees with Φ_{n-1} in the arcwise connected set $S_{n-1} = f_1^{-(n-1)}(S_0)$. It follows that the quasiconformal dilatation constant of Φ_n agrees with that of Φ_0 and, Φ_n is conformal in $\mathbb{C} \setminus D_{f_1}(r/d^{k_0+n})$ as well as in $f_1^{-n}(K_{f_1}(v))$, for all $v \in |T^U(\lambda_0)|$. Since Φ_n is eventually independent of n on a dense subset on a plane, Φ_n converges to a quasiconformal map Φ that conjugates f_1 and f_2 in the dense set $\bigcup S_n$, and therefore in \mathbb{C} . Moreover, Φ is conformal in

$$\bigcup_{n \geq 0} f_1^{-n}(\mathcal{K}(|T^U(\lambda_0)|) \cup (\mathbb{C} \setminus K(f_1))),$$

which is a full measure set in \mathbb{C} , in view of the Key Lemma. Therefore Φ is affine. In fact, Φ is the identity since it is a conjugacy between monic centered polynomials which is tangent to the identity at infinity. □




FIGURE 8. Yoccoz puzzles of a separation depth. There are two periodic cycles of Fatou components, one of period two and the other of period three, which are colored black. The period two cycle corresponds to CO^0 , and the period three cycle corresponds to CO^1 .

6.2. Proof of the key lemma. The rest of this section is devoted to prove Key Lemma 6.1. Let $\Lambda = (\Lambda_k)$ be a generator of λ_0 and let $k_0 \geq 0$ be a separation depth. Denote by $T^U(\lambda_0)$ the unreduced mapping schema of λ_0 as in the previous proof.

We introduce the following subsets of the Fatou critical orbit elements of λ_0 (i.e., $|T^U(\lambda_0)|$):

$$\begin{aligned} CO^0 &= \{v \in |T^U(\lambda_0)|; K_f(v) \cap \text{supp}(f, \Lambda_{k_0+1}) = \emptyset\}, \\ CO^1 &= |T^U(\lambda_0)| \setminus CO^0. \end{aligned}$$

Denote by CO^{per} the set formed by the periodic Fatou critical elements of λ_0 . Let

$$CO^{i,\text{per}} = CO^i \cap CO^{\text{per}},$$

for $i = 0, 1$ (see Figure 8).

In the following, for a set $X \subset \mathbb{C}$, we denote by $\text{Comp}(X)$ the set of connected components of X and let $\mathcal{N}_\epsilon(X) = \{z \in \mathbb{C}; d(z, X) < \epsilon\}$ be the ϵ -neighborhood of X .

Given a subset S of $|T^U(\lambda_0)|$, we let

$$\mathcal{K}_f(S) = \bigcup_{v \in S} K_f(v).$$

Fix $\epsilon_0 > 0$ sufficiently small, so that the neighborhoods $\mathcal{N}_{\epsilon_0}(\mathcal{K})$ are pairwise disjoint for the components \mathcal{K} of $\mathcal{K}_f(CO^{\text{per}})$. Recall that

$$F = K(f) \setminus \bigcup_{n \geq 0} \bigcup_{v \in |T(\lambda_0)|} f^{-n}(K_f(v)).$$

Lemma 6.6. *The following hold for almost every $z \in F$:*

- (i) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|(f^n)'(z)\| = \infty$ with respect to the hyperbolic metric on $\mathbb{C} \setminus PC(f)$;
- (ii) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(f^n(z), \mathcal{K}_f(CO^{1,\text{per}})) = 0$;
- (iii) *there exist $N = N(z) > 0$ and $\mathcal{K} \in \text{Comp}(\mathcal{K}_f(CO^{1,\text{per}}))$ such that $f^{N+n}(z) \in \mathcal{N}_{\epsilon_0}(f^n(\mathcal{K}))$, for all $n \geq 0$. Moreover, if $f^{N+n}(z) \in \mathcal{N}_{\epsilon_0}(K_f(v))$ for $v \in CO^{1,\text{per}}$, then $f^{N+n+1}(z) \in \mathcal{N}_{\epsilon_0}(K_f(m_d(v)))$.*

Proof. The assertion (i) is a stronger version of Mañé's Lemma due to McMullen (see [Mc1, Theorem 3.6]).

In view of [Mc1, Theorem 3.9], for almost every point $z \in J(f)$ we have that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(f^n(z), PC(f)) = 0.$$

For all such $z \in F$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(f^n(z), \mathcal{K}_f(CO^{\text{per}})) = 0$$

because $PC(f) \setminus \mathcal{K}_f(|T^U(\lambda_0)|)$ is a finite set consisting only of repelling periodic points and their backward images, and $f^n(\mathcal{K}_f(|T^U(\lambda_0)|)) = \mathcal{K}_f(CO^{\text{per}})$ for some $n \geq 0$. Since $\mathcal{K}_f(CO^{0,\text{per}})$ and $\mathcal{K}_f(CO^{1,\text{per}})$ are disjoint forward invariant compact sets, we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(f^n(z), \mathcal{K}_f(CO^{i,\text{per}})) = 0$$

for some $i \in \{0, 1\}$.

Now assume

$$(5) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(f^n(z), \mathcal{K}_f(CO^{0,\text{per}})) = 0.$$

For each $v \in CO^0$, $K_f(v)$ is contained in the interior of the puzzle piece $P(L_{k_0+1}(v))$. Therefore we have $K_f(v) \in \text{Comp}(\mathcal{K}_f(CO^{0,\text{per}}))$ (i.e., $K_f(v)$ is a component of $\mathcal{K}_f(CO^{0,\text{per}})$). By (5) and the continuity of f , it follows that there exist some $N \geq 0$ and $v \in CO^0$ such that for any $n \geq 0$, $f^{N+n}(z) \in P(L_{k_0+1}(m_d^n(v)))$, which is a neighborhood of $K_f(m_d^n(v))$. Let p be a period of v under iterations of m_d . Then $f^{pn}(f^N(z))$ belongs to a neighborhood of $K_f(v)$ for all $n \geq 0$. Since $K_f(v)$ is the filled Julia set of a polynomial-like map, it follows that $f^{N+n}(z) \in K_f(v)$, which contradicts $z \in F$. Therefore, we have proved (ii).

The assertion (iii) easily follows from (ii) and the continuity of f . \square

Now we define, for each $v \in CO^{1,\text{per}}$, an open set $\mathcal{N}'(v)$, which is a slightly smaller set than $\mathcal{N}_{\epsilon_0}(K_f(v))$. Each $\mathcal{K} \in \text{Comp}(\mathcal{K}_f(CO^{1,\text{per}}))$ can be written as

$$\mathcal{K} = \bigcup_{m=0}^M K_f(v_m), \quad v_m \in CO^{1,\text{per}}.$$

Then the set

$$I = \bigcup_{m \neq m'} (K_f(v_m) \cap K_f(v_{m'})) \subset \text{supp}(f, \Lambda_{k_0+1})$$

consists of repelling (pre)periodic points (see [Mc1, Theorem 7.3] and [In1, Proposition 3.4]). For each $x \in I$, take $\Theta(x) \subset \text{Angle}(x) \cap \text{supp}(\Lambda_{k_0})$ such that $d(\Theta(x)) = \Theta(f(x))$ and each component of the complement of

$$\Gamma(x) = \bigcup_{\theta \in \Theta(x)} R_f(\theta) \cup \{x\}$$

intersects exactly one of $K_f(v_m)$'s that contain x . Let $\Gamma = \bigcup_{x \in I} \Gamma(x)$ and let Γ_0 denote the union of the components of $\Gamma \cap \mathcal{N}_{\epsilon_0}(\mathcal{K})$ intersecting I . Then each component of $\mathcal{N}_{\epsilon_0}(\mathcal{K}) \setminus \Gamma_0$ intersects exactly one of $K_f(v_1), \dots, K_f(v_M)$. Let us denote by $\mathcal{N}'(v_m)$ the component of $\mathcal{N}_{\epsilon_0}(\mathcal{K}) \setminus \Gamma_0$ containing $K_f(v_m) \setminus I$.

By construction,

$$(6) \quad \overline{\bigcup_{m=1}^M \mathcal{N}'(v_m)} = \overline{\mathcal{N}_{\epsilon_0}(\mathcal{K})}$$

and $\mathcal{N}'(v)$ ($v \in CO^{1,\text{per}}$) are pairwise disjoint.

Lemma 6.7. *Let $F_0 = \{z \in F; \text{Lemma 6.6 holds}\}$. For $z \in F_0$, we have*

- (i) *For all $n \geq N(z)$, there exists $v(z, n) \in CO^{1,\text{per}}$ such that $f^n(z) \in \mathcal{N}'(v(z, n))$;*
- (ii) *there exists arbitrarily large n such that $m_d(v(z, n)) \neq v(z, n+1)$;*
- (iii) *there exists $\epsilon_1 = \epsilon_1(\epsilon_0) > 0$ independent of z such that if $m_d(v(z, n)) \neq v(z, n+1)$, then $f^{n+1}(z) \in \mathcal{N}_{\epsilon_1}(I)$ and $f^n(z) \in \mathcal{N}_{\epsilon_1}((f^{-1}(I) \cap \mathcal{K}_f(CO^{1,\text{per}})) \setminus I)$.*

Furthermore, $\lim_{\epsilon_0 \rightarrow 0} \epsilon_1 = 0$.

Proof. The assertion (i) follows from the equation (6) above. If (ii) does not hold, then we would have that $f^n(z) \in K_f(v(z, n))$, for sufficiently large n (since Λ is a generator for λ_0). This is a contradiction with $z \in F$. Finally, (iii) follows from the fact that $\mathcal{N}_{\epsilon_0}(K_f(v)) \setminus \mathcal{N}'(v)$ is contained in a small neighborhood of $I \cap K_f(v)$. \square

Take a small neighborhood \mathcal{O} of I such that $f(\mathcal{O}) \supset \overline{\mathcal{O}}$ and $f|_{\mathcal{O}}$ is injective. Since $CO(f) \setminus \mathcal{K}_f(CO^{\text{per}})$ is finite, we may also assume the following:

- (i) No critical value lies in $\mathcal{O}^* = \mathcal{O} \setminus I$,
- (ii) If a component \mathcal{O}_1 of $f^{-1}(\mathcal{O})$ intersects $\mathcal{K}_f(CO^{1,\text{per}})$, then $\mathcal{O}_1 \cap f^{-1}(I)$ and $\mathcal{O}_1 \cap CO(f)$ are contained in $\mathcal{K}_f(CO^{1,\text{per}})$.
- (iii) $\tilde{\mathcal{O}} = \mathcal{O}^*/f$ is a union of tori.

Figure 9 illustrates the statement of the following lemma.

Lemma 6.8. *There exist open subsets \mathcal{U}_1 and \mathcal{U}_2 of \mathcal{O} such that the following statements hold:*

- (i) $\mathcal{U}_2 \Subset \mathcal{U}_1 \Subset \mathcal{O}$ and \mathcal{U}_1 does not intersect Γ .
- (ii) Each one of the open sets \mathcal{U}_1 and \mathcal{U}_2 is a disjoint union of finitely many topological disks.
- (iii) Each component A of \mathcal{U}_1 contains exactly one component B of \mathcal{U}_2 and $A \setminus \overline{B}$ is an annulus.
- (iv) $\mathcal{U}_2/f \supset (\mathcal{O}^* \cap K(f))/f$. In other words, there exists $\epsilon_2 > 0$ such that for any $z \in K(f) \cap \mathcal{N}_{\epsilon_2}(I)$, there exists some $N > 0$ such that $f^n(z) \in \mathcal{O}$ for any n with $0 \leq n < N$, $f^N(z) \in \mathcal{U}_2$, and the branch of f^{-N} sending $f^N(z)$ to z can be univalently defined on the component of \mathcal{U}_1 containing $f^N(z)$.




FIGURE 9. Near I and its inverse image. If $m_d(v(z, n)) \neq v(z, n+1)$, $f^n(z)$ must be close to $f^{-1}(I) \setminus I$.

Proof. (See Figure 9.) Let $\tilde{K} = (K(f) \cap \mathcal{O}^*)/f$ and $\tilde{\Gamma} = (\Gamma \cap \mathcal{O}^*)/f$. Note that $\tilde{\Gamma}$ and \tilde{K} are disjoint compact sets in $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}$. Take a fundamental domain U for the covering projection $\mathcal{O} \setminus \Gamma \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{O}} \setminus \tilde{\Gamma}$. Let $\mathcal{U}_2 \Subset \mathcal{U}_1$ be neighborhoods of $U \cap K(f)$ in $\mathcal{O} \setminus \Gamma$. It is easy to see that we can take \mathcal{U}_1 and \mathcal{U}_2 so that they satisfy the assertion. \square

Proof of Key Lemma 6.1. It is enough to show that any $z \in F_0$ is not a Lebesgue density point of $K(f) \supset F_0$.

Let ϵ_1 and ϵ_2 be as in Lemma 6.7 and Lemma 6.8 respectively. We may assume $\epsilon_1 \leq \epsilon_2$. For $z \in F_0$, let

$$t(z) = \{n \geq N(z); m_d(v(z, n)) \neq v(z, n+1)\}.$$

By Lemma 6.7, we have $t(z)$ contains infinitely many elements. For $n \in t(z)$, let $\mathcal{O}_1(z, n)$ be the component of $f^{-1}(\mathcal{O} \setminus \Gamma)$ containing $f^n(z)$. By the condition (i) on \mathcal{O} above, $f : \mathcal{O}_1(z, n) \rightarrow \mathcal{O} \setminus \Gamma$ is injective. (See Figure 9.)

For $z \in F_0$ and $n \in t(z)$, $f^{n+1}(z)$ lies in a ϵ_1 -neighborhood of I by Lemma 6.7. Hence by Lemma 6.8, there exists some $n' > n$ such that $f^{n'}(z) \in \mathcal{U}_2$ and the branch of $f^{-n'+(n+1)}$ sending $f^{n'}(z)$ to $f^{n+1}(z)$ is univalently defined on $\mathcal{U}'_1(z, n)$, where $\mathcal{U}'_i(z, n)$ is the component of \mathcal{U}_i containing $f^{n'}(z)$ for $i = 1, 2$. Let $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}_i(z, n)$ be the component of $f^{-n'+n}(\mathcal{U}'_i(z, n))$ containing $f^n(z)$. Then $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}_i(z, n) \subset \mathcal{O}_1(z, n)$ and $f^{n'+n} : \tilde{\mathcal{U}}_i(z, n) \rightarrow \mathcal{U}'_i(z, n)$ is a conformal isomorphism. Since $\mathcal{O}_1(z, n) \cap PC(f) \subset \mathcal{O}_1(z, n) \cap CO(f) = \emptyset$, there exists a univalent inverse branch $f^{-n} : \tilde{\mathcal{U}}_i(z, n) \rightarrow \mathcal{U}_i(z, n)$ sending $f^n(z)$ to z . Therefore, $f^n : \mathcal{U}_1(z, n) \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{U}}_1(z, n)$ and on \mathcal{O} , $f^{n'} : \mathcal{U}_1(z, n) \rightarrow \mathcal{U}'_1(z, n)$ are conformal isomorphisms (condition (ii)).

Denote by $\iota_{z,n} : \mathcal{U}_1(z, n) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C} \setminus PC(f)$ the inclusion and consider the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathcal{U}_1(z, n) & \xrightarrow{f^n} & \tilde{\mathcal{U}}_1(z, n) & \xrightarrow{f^{n'-n}} & \mathcal{U}'_1(z, n) \\ \downarrow \iota_{z,n} & \cong & \downarrow & \cong & \\ \mathbb{C} \setminus PC(f) & \xrightarrow{f^n} & \mathbb{C} \setminus PC(f) & & \end{array}$$

Since $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|(f^n)'(z)\| = \infty$ with respect to the hyperbolic metric on $\mathbb{C} \setminus PC(f)$ and inclusion does not expand hyperbolic metric, we have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\iota'_{z,n}(z)\| = 0$ with respect to the corresponding hyperbolic metric. By Koebe distortion theorem, this implies that the diameter $\mathcal{U}_2(z, n)$ shrinks to zero with bounded distortion. Furthermore, since $f^{n'} : \mathcal{U}_1(z, n) \rightarrow \mathcal{U}'_1(z, n)$ is a conformal isomorphism sending $\mathcal{U}_2(z, n)$ to $\mathcal{U}'_2(z, n)$, by the Koebe distortion theorem applied to the inverse of the conformal isomorphism $f^{n'}|_{\mathcal{U}_1(z, n)}$, there exist some $C, C' > 0$ such that

$$\frac{\text{Area}(\mathcal{U}_2(z, n) \setminus K(f))}{\text{Area}(\mathcal{U}_2(z, n))} \geq C \frac{\text{Area}(\mathcal{U}'_2(z, n) \setminus K(f))}{\text{Area}(\mathcal{U}'_2(z, n))} \geq C'.$$

Here, we have only finitely many choices for $\mathcal{U}'_i(z, n)$ since each choice is a component of \mathcal{U}_i . This implies that C and C' are constants independent of $z \in F_0$ and $n \in t(z)$.

Therefore,

$$\lim_{n \in t(z) \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\text{Area}(\mathcal{U}_2(z, n) \cap K(f))}{\text{Area}(\mathcal{U}_2(z, n))} \leq 1 - C' < 1$$

and z is not a Lebesgue density point of $K(f)$. \square

7. ONTO HYPERBOLIC MAPS

The aim of this section is to prove Theorem C. First we will prove that hyperbolic maps are contained in the image of straightening.

7.1. Onto hyperbolic maps. Let λ_0 be a post-critically finite rational lamination with an internal angle system α_0 such that $|T(\lambda_0)| \neq \emptyset$ and $\mathcal{R}(\lambda_0) \neq \emptyset$. Denote by χ the associated straightening map. Consider a component \mathcal{H} of $\text{Hyp}C(T(\lambda_0))$. Our aim now is to show that the image of χ contains \mathcal{H} .

The image of χ contains at least one element of \mathcal{H} . Indeed, consider the unique post-critically finite polynomial map $\hat{P} \in \mathcal{H}$ [Mi4, Corollary 5.2]. Since \hat{P} is hyperbolic, by Theorem 5.2, there exists a post-critically finite polynomial $\hat{f} \in \mathcal{R}(\lambda_0)$ such that $\chi(\hat{f}) = \hat{P}$.

In order to prove that the image of χ contains \mathcal{H} we will employ Milnor's parameterization of \mathcal{H} [Mi4]. Let $\hat{T} = (|\hat{T}|, \hat{\sigma}, \hat{\delta})$ be the reduced mapping schema of \hat{P} . Namely, $|\hat{T}|$ is identified with the set formed by the critical points of \hat{P} , $\hat{\sigma} : |\hat{T}| \rightarrow |\hat{T}|$ is the first return map under iterations of \hat{P} , and $\hat{\delta} : |\hat{T}| \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ is the local degree of \hat{P} at its critical points. Observe that the total degree of \hat{T} is equal to that of $T(\lambda_0)$, because \hat{P} is a hyperbolic polynomial map over $T(\lambda_0)$. Consider the space $B(\hat{T})$ of all proper holomorphic maps

$$\beta : |\hat{T}| \times \Delta \rightarrow |\hat{T}| \times \Delta$$

of the form $\beta(v, z) = (\hat{\sigma}(v), \beta_v(z))$ where $\beta_v : \Delta \rightarrow \Delta$ is of degree $\hat{\delta}(v)$ and the following hold:

- β_v is *boundary-rooted*, i.e., the extension of β_v to $S^1 = \partial\Delta$ satisfies $\beta_v(1) = 1$;
- if v is periodic under $\hat{\sigma}$, then β_v is *fixed point centered*, i.e., $\beta_v(0) = 0$;
- if v is not periodic, then β_v is *critically centered*, i.e., the sum of its $\hat{\delta}(v) - 1$ critical points (counted with multiplicity) is equal to zero.

According to Milnor [Mi4, Lemma 4.9] the space $B(\hat{T})$, endowed with the compact-open topology, is a topological cell of dimension $2n - 2$ where n is the total degree of \hat{T} . Moreover, $B(\hat{T})$ is diffeomorphic to \mathcal{H} [Mi4, Theorem 5.1]. We proceed to describe a diffeomorphism $\Phi : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow B(\hat{T})$, using the fact that \mathcal{H} is simply connected.

For all $v \in |\hat{T}|$ and all $P \in \mathcal{H}$ we let $U_P(v)$ be the critical bounded Fatou component of P such that $U_P(v)$ depends continuously on P (in the Caratheodory topology) and $v \in U_P(v)$. Such a (unique) labeling $U_P(v)$ exists, since \mathcal{H} is simply connected and the Julia set depends continuously on $P \in \mathcal{H}$. It follows that $U_P(v') \neq U_P(v)$ if $v \neq v'$, and $P^{m_v}(U_P(v)) = U_P(\hat{\sigma}(v))$ where m_v is the first return time of v to $|\hat{T}|$ under iterations of \hat{P} . Moreover, we may also consider a "boundary marking". That is, for all $v \in |\hat{T}|$ and all $P \in \mathcal{H}$, we choose $z_P(v) \in \partial U_P(v)$ such that $z_P(v)$ varies continuously (in fact, holomorphically) with $P \in \mathcal{H}$ and $P^{m_v}(z_P(v)) = z_P(\hat{\sigma}(v))$. Again the existence of $z_P(v)$ relies on the fact that \mathcal{H} is simply connected. Now, given $P \in \mathcal{H}$, for all $v \in |\hat{T}|$ let $h_{P,v} : U_P(v) \rightarrow \Delta$ be the unique conformal isomorphism such that the continuous extension of $h_{P,v}$ to $\partial U_P(v)$ maps $z_P(v)$ to $1 \in \partial\Delta$ and, $\beta = \Phi(P) : |\hat{T}| \times \Delta \rightarrow |\hat{T}| \times \Delta$ belongs to $B(\hat{T})$ where $\beta(v, z) = (\hat{\sigma}(v), \beta_v(z))$ and

$$\beta_v(z) = h_{P,\hat{\sigma}(v)} \circ P^{m_v} \circ h_{P,v}^{-1}(z).$$

(The existence of $h_{P,v}$ is guaranteed by [Mi4, Corollary 4.16]) Milnor's Theorem ([Mi4, Theorem 5.1]) states that Φ is a (real analytic) diffeomorphism.

Lemma 7.1. *There exists a differentiable map $\Psi : B(\hat{T}) \rightarrow \text{Poly}(d)$ such that $\Psi(B(\hat{T})) \subset \mathcal{R}(\lambda_0)$ and $\Phi \circ \chi \circ \Psi : B(\hat{T}) \rightarrow B(\hat{T})$ is the identity.*

In particular, $\Phi' = \Phi \circ \chi : \Psi(B(\hat{T})) \rightarrow B(\hat{T})$ is onto.

Proof. We follow a quasiconformal surgery construction which is a minor variation of the one described in [Mi4, Proof of 5.7]. Given $\beta \in B(\hat{T})$ we will introduce a neighborhood U_β which will be either contained in, or disjoint from, the image of Φ' . Since $B(\hat{T})$ is a (connected) topological cell, the lemma will follow.

Let U_β be an open connected neighborhood of β such that there exist $r_1 < r_2 < 1$ with the properties that all the critical points of β_1 are contained in $|\hat{T}| \times \Delta(r_1)$ and that the closure of $\beta_1(|\hat{T}| \times \Delta(r_2))$ is contained in $|\hat{T}| \times \Delta(r_1)$, for all $\beta_1 \in U_\beta$.

Now given $\beta_1, \beta_2 \in U_\beta$, consider the map $b = b(\beta_1, \beta_2) : |\hat{T}| \times \Delta \rightarrow |\hat{T}| \times \Delta$ defined as β_1 outside $|\hat{T}| \times \Delta(r_2)$, as β_2 in $|\hat{T}| \times \Delta(r_1)$, and by the linear interpolation

$$\frac{|z| - r_1}{r_2 - r_1} \beta_1(v, z) + \frac{r_2 - |z|}{r_2 - r_1} \beta_2(v, z)$$

for $r_1 \leq |z| \leq r_2$. Shrinking U_β , if necessary, we may assume that for all $\beta_1, \beta_2 \in U_\beta$ the map b is a local quasi-conformal homeomorphism. Note that b depends smoothly on β_1 and β_2 (in the appropriate smooth structure, see [Mi4, Section 5]).

Assume that $\beta_1 \in U_\beta$ is in the image of Φ' , say $\beta_1 = \Phi \circ \chi(f_1)$ and $P_1 = \chi(f_1)$. We proceed with a surgery which shows that U_β is contained in the image of χ .

In fact, denote by $\psi = (\psi_w)_{w \in |T(\lambda_0)|}$ the hybrid conjugacy between the polynomial like map over $T(\lambda_0)$ extracted from f_1 and P_1 . For each critical Fatou component $U_{P_1}(v) \subset \{w\} \times \mathbb{C}$ of P_1 denote by $V_{f_1}(v) = \psi_w^{-1}(U_{P_1}(v))$ the corresponding Fatou component of f_1 .

For all $\beta_2 \in U_\beta$ we may consider the local quasiconformal map b , as above. Let $f_b : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be the map which coincides with f_1 off the components $V_{f_1}(v)$ (i.e., $f_b(z) = f_1(z)$ provided $z \notin V_{f_1}(v)$ for all $v \in |\hat{T}|$) and if $z \in V_{f_1}(v)$ for some $v \in |\hat{T}|$, then

$$f_b(z) = \left(f_1^{n_v-1}|_{V'_{f_1}(v)} \right)^{-1} \circ \psi_w^{-1} \circ h_{P_1, \hat{\sigma}(v)}^{-1} \circ b \circ h_{P_1, v} \circ \psi_w(z),$$

where $V'_{f_1}(v) = f_1(V_{f_1}(v))$, the first return time of $V_{f_1}(v)$ to a critical Fatou component is $n_v \geq 1$, and $w' \in |T(\lambda_0)|$ is such that $U_{P_1}(\hat{\sigma}(v)) \subset \{w'\} \times \mathbb{C}$. It follows that f_b is holomorphic off a finite union of annuli where the map is locally quasiconformal. Moreover, each forward orbit under f_b passes through these annuli at most one time. Thus there exists an invariant Beltrami differential invariant under f_b which depends smoothly on the choice of β_2 and vanishes when $\beta_2 = \beta_1$. Conjugating f_b by a quasiconformal homeomorphism doubly tangent to the identity at infinity we obtain a monic centered polynomial $g_b = g_{b(\beta_1, \beta_2)}$ which depends continuously on β_2 and $g_{b(\beta_1, \beta_1)} = f_1$. It is not difficult to check that $g_b \in \mathcal{R}(\lambda_0)$.

Now, given $\beta_2 \in U_\beta$ let $b = b(\beta_1, \beta_2)$ and observe that, by construction, the element of $B(\hat{T})$ given by $\Phi \circ \chi(g_b)$ is conformally conjugate to β_2 in $|\hat{T}| \times \Delta(r_1)$. This conformal conjugacy extends, after taking successive preimages, to $|\hat{T}| \times \Delta$. Moreover, the conjugacy depends differentiably on β_2 and it is the identity when $\beta_2 = \beta_1$. Since the automorphism group of $B(\hat{T})$ is discrete, it follows that $\beta_2 = \Phi \circ \chi(g_b)$ for all $\beta_2 \in U_\beta$. Thus we have proven that U_β has the desired property and we conclude that Φ' is onto.

By the injectivity of $\Phi' = \Phi \circ \chi$, the map $g_{b(\beta_1, \beta_2)}$ does not depend on the choice of U_β and β_1 . Therefore we have obtained a well-defined map $\Psi : \beta \mapsto g_{b(\beta_1, \beta)}$ having the desired property. \square

Let $\mathcal{H}' = \Psi(B(\hat{T})) = \chi^{-1}(\mathcal{H})$.

Lemma 7.2. *For all $f \in \mathcal{H}'$ there exists an open set $\mathcal{U}_f \subset \text{Poly}(d)$ and an analytic family of polynomial-like mappings $((g^{\ell_v} : U'_{g, v} \rightarrow U_{g, \sigma(v)})_{v \in |T(\lambda_0)|})_{g \in \mathcal{U}_f}$ over $T(\lambda_0)$, parameterized by \mathcal{U}_f such that the straightening map $\tilde{\chi} : \mathcal{U}_f \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ is an extension of $\chi : \mathcal{H}' \cap \mathcal{U}_f \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ and $\tilde{\chi}$ is (complex) analytic.*

Proof. By Lemma 5.13, there exists a neighborhood \mathcal{U} of f in $\text{Poly}(d)$ and an analytic family of polynomial-like mappings $F_g = ((g^{\ell_v} : U'_{g, v} \rightarrow U_{g, \sigma(v)})_{v \in |T(\lambda_0)|})_{g \in \mathcal{U}}$ over $T(\lambda_0)$.

Shrinking \mathcal{U} , if necessary, we may assume that F_g is hyperbolic, for all $g \in \mathcal{U}$. In particular, $K(F_g)$ is fiberwise connected for all $g \in \mathcal{U}$. Since the rays involved in an external marking of f (determined by an a priori chosen internal marking system α for λ_0) move continuously, we may externally mark the whole analytic family of polynomial-like maps so that the external marking of F_g coincides with that determined by α for all $g \in \mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{R}(\lambda_0)$. Consider the associated straightening map $\tilde{\chi} : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \text{Poly}(T(\lambda_0))$. By the uniqueness part of the straightening theorem, $\tilde{\chi}$ is an extension of $\chi : \mathcal{R}(\lambda_0) \cap \mathcal{U} \rightarrow C(T(\lambda_0))$. Since the straightening map of an analytic family of hyperbolic polynomial-like map is complex analytic by [DH2, page 313, Corollary 1], it follows that $\tilde{\chi}$ is complex analytic. Moreover, the straightening of a hyperbolic polynomial-like map is hyperbolic. Therefore, $\tilde{\chi}(\mathcal{U}) \subset \mathcal{H}$, by the established continuity of $\tilde{\chi}$. \square

Combining Lemma 7.1 and Lemma 7.2, we have the following:

Corollary 7.3. $\mathcal{H}' = \Psi(B(\hat{T}))$ is a (real) differentiable submanifold of $\text{Poly}(d)$ of (real) dimension $2d' - 2$ where d' is the total degree of \hat{T} .

Thus, in order to prove Theorem C it remains to establish that \mathcal{H}' is a complex submanifold of $\text{Poly}(d)$.

7.2. Proof of Theorem C. By the above lemma, the image of $\chi = \chi_{\lambda_0}$ contains $\text{Hyp}(C(T(\lambda_0)))$. Consider a hyperbolic component \mathcal{H} of $C(T(\lambda_0))$ and let $\mathcal{H}' = \chi^{-1}(\mathcal{H})$.

Let C_1, \dots, C_k be the critical classes of λ_0 and $C = C_1 \cup \dots \cup C_k$. Consider $f \in \mathcal{H}'$ and an open connected neighborhood \mathcal{U} of f in $\text{Poly}(d)$. Take \mathcal{U} sufficiently small, so that for all $g \in \mathcal{U}$, every cycle in the forward orbit of the landing point of the external rays with arguments in C are repelling. We may also assume that attracting cycles of f can be continued analytically in \mathcal{U} and that, for all $g \in \mathcal{U}$, the critical points of g close to a critical point ω in the Fatou set of f , belong to the basin of one of these attracting cycles. Note that the above implies that the landing point of the rays with arguments in C depend continuously on $g \in \mathcal{U}$. Denote by c_1, \dots, c_k the landing points, in the dynamical plane of f , of the external rays with arguments in C_1, \dots, C_k , respectively. Consider the analytic subset X of $\text{Poly}(d)$ formed by all polynomials $g \in \mathcal{U}$ with critical points $c_1(g), \dots, c_k(g)$, close to c_1, \dots, c_k , so that the local degree of g at $c_j(g)$ is at least $\delta(C_j)$ and, for a generic g (Zariski dense in X) the local degree is exactly $\delta(C_j)$, for all j . Let $S \subset X$ be the smaller analytic subset formed by all $g \in X$ such that $g^n(c_i(g)) = g^m(c_j(g))$ if $d^m C_i = d^n C_j$ for some $n, m \geq 0$ and $1 \leq i \leq j \leq k$. Hence, for all $g \in S$, each critical point of g is either eventually periodic or contained in the basin of an attracting cycle of g . Therefore, for all $g \in S$, every Julia cycle of g is repelling. Note that $C(\lambda_0) \cap \mathcal{U} \subset S$. Shrinking \mathcal{U} , if necessary, we have that every irreducible component of S contains f .

We will show that a neighborhood V of f in S is contained in \mathcal{H}' . In particular, we will obtain that V is a neighborhood of f in $C(\lambda_0)$ and therefore conclude that $C(\lambda_0)$ is an analytic subset of $\text{Poly}(d)$ near f . Consider a complex manifold S' and a surjective analytic map $\pi : S' \rightarrow S$ (i.e. a resolution of the singularities of S) such that every component of S' contains at least one element of $\pi^{-1}(f)$. Via $S' \ni x \mapsto \pi(x) \in S$, we may regard S' as a holomorphic family of polynomials. According to Mañé-Sad-Sullivan [MSS], for all $x \in S'$ close to $\pi^{-1}(f)$, the corresponding polynomial $\pi(x)$ is structurally stable in a neighborhood of its Julia set, since all the Julia cycles of g are repelling for all g in a small neighborhood V of f in S . By continuity of the landing point of (eventually) periodic external rays for structurally stable maps, the rational lamination of all $g \in V$ coincide. Moreover, since $f \in \mathcal{R}(\lambda_0)$, by Lemma 5.13, we conclude that $V \subset \mathcal{R}(\lambda_0)$. Therefore,

applying [DH2, page 313, Corollary 1] we obtain that $\chi \circ \pi$ is complex analytic in $\pi^{-1}(V)$. In particular, χ is continuous in V . It follows that $\chi(V) \subset \mathcal{H}$.

Since V is a neighborhood of f both in $\mathcal{R}(\lambda_0)$ and in \mathcal{H}' , by Corollary 7.3, we conclude that V (hence S) is smooth at f . It follows that \mathcal{H}' is a complex submanifold of complex dimension $d' - 1$ and $\chi : \mathcal{H}' \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ is a biholomorphism, where d' is the total degree of \hat{T} , which is equal to that of $T(\lambda_0)$. \square

8. COMPACTNESS

The aim of this section is to establish the following compactness result which generalizes [In3, Theorem 1.3].

Theorem 8.1. *If λ_0 is a primitive invariant rational lamination, then $C(\lambda_0) = \mathcal{R}(\lambda_0)$ and this set is compact.*

The reader may find the proofs of Theorem 8.1 and Theorem D after the statements and proofs of the following two lemmas.

Primitive rational laminations are rich in non-trivial classes:

Lemma 8.2. *Let λ_0 be a non-trivial invariant rational lamination such that the support of λ_0 is contained in the m_d -grand orbit of a finite set. Then λ_0 is not primitive.*

Proof. By passing to an iterate, we may assume that the support of λ_0 is contained in the grand orbit of the finite set $F = \{j/(d-1); j = 0, \dots, d-2\}$. That is, $t \in F$ if and only if $m_d(t) = t$. We proceed by contradiction and assume that λ_0 is primitive.

Let A_1, \dots, A_n be a complete list (without repetitions) of the λ_0 -classes contained in F . Let f be a polynomial without neutral fixed points such that $\lambda_f = \lambda_0$. Let m be the number of non-trivial classes in F and observe that $n + m \leq d - 1$.

The union of the closure of the external rays with arguments in the set F (which has cardinality $d - 1$) cuts the complex plane into $d - n$ regions U_1, \dots, U_{d-n} , since

$$d - n = 1 + (d - 1) - n = 1 + \sum_{j=1}^n (|A_j| - 1).$$

According to Goldberg and Milnor [GM], for all j , there exists exactly one fixed point z_j in the region U_j . These fixed points are not the landing point of rational rays since the lamination is supported in the grand orbit of F . Therefore, z_j is an attracting fixed point, for all j . For each $j = 1, \dots, d - n$, call L_j the fixed infinite λ_0 -unlinked class such that $z_j \in K_f(L_j)$. By Proposition 3.7 (iv)(b), it follows that the boundary of L_j intersects a non-trivial class contained in F , say $A(L_j)$. Hence, for some $j \neq k$, we have that $A(L_j) = A(L_k)$. For otherwise, $d - n \leq m$, but $n + m \leq d - 1$. Therefore, λ_0 is not primitive which gives us the desired contradiction. \square

Lemma 8.3. *Consider a non-trivial primitive d -invariant rational lamination λ_0 . Let E be the m_d -grand orbit of a finite set and $\lambda = \lambda_0 \cap ((\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} \setminus E) \times (\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} \setminus E))$. Then λ_0 is the smallest closed equivalence relation in \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} containing λ .*

Proof. Let λ' be the smallest closed equivalence relation in \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} containing λ . It follows that λ' is a d -invariant rational lamination and $\lambda' \subset \lambda_0$.

Let L_0 be an infinite periodic λ' -unlinked class, say of period p . We claim that L_0 is a λ_0 -unlinked class. For this, consider $\pi : \overline{L_0} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ such that $\pi \circ m_d^p = m_d \circ \pi$ for some $d' \geq 2$. Let $\pi_* \lambda_0$ be the equivalence relation in \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} that identifies θ and θ' if and only if there exists $t \in \pi^{-1}(\theta)$ and $s \in \pi^{-1}(\theta')$ such that s and t are λ_0 -equivalent arguments. Observe that $\pi_* \lambda_0$

is a d' -invariant rational lamination with support contained in $\pi(E \cap \overline{L_0})$. By the previous lemma, $\pi_*\lambda_0$ is trivial or it is not primitive. The latter alternative is impossible, since taking the preimage of appropriate $\pi_*\lambda_0$ -unlinked classes we would have that λ_0 is not primitive. Therefore, $\pi_*\lambda_0$ is trivial. Hence, L_0 is a λ_0 -unlinked class.

Now let L_1 be a strictly preperiodic infinite λ' -unlinked class. We also claim that L_1 is a λ_0 -class. In fact, let $\ell \geq 1$ be such that $m_d^\ell(L_1)$ is a periodic λ_0 -unlinked class L_0 as in the previous paragraph. Let $\pi : \overline{L_0} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ be as above and consider $\hat{\pi} : \overline{L_1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ such that $\pi \circ m_d^\ell = m_{d'} \circ \hat{\pi}$ for some $d' \geq 1$. Then $m_{d'}(\hat{\pi}_*\lambda_0)$ is the trivial rational lamination. In particular, every non-trivial $\hat{\pi}_*\lambda_0$ -class is mapped, under $m_{d'}$ onto a singleton. Denote by $\Theta_1, \dots, \Theta_j$ these classes, note that the elements of each Θ_i differ by a multiple of $1/d'$. It follows unlinked classes with respect to the relation in \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} whose only non-trivial classes are $\Theta_1, \dots, \Theta_j$ have total length a multiple of $1/d'$ and there are at least $j + 1$ of these unlinked classes (compare with Critical Portraits in [Po]). Hence, $j \leq d' - 1$. Therefore, either $\hat{\pi}_*\lambda_0$ is trivial and L_1 is a λ_0 -unlinked class, or λ_0 is not primitive. Thus, L_1 is a λ_0 -unlinked class.

Therefore, we have $\lambda' = \lambda_0$ by Lemma 4.10. \square

With the previous lemma we may now establish our compactness result.

Proof of Theorem 8.1. Assume that $f \in C(\lambda_0)$. In order to show that $C(\lambda_0) = \mathcal{R}(\lambda_0)$ we must prove that f is λ_0 -renormalizable (i.e., $f \in \mathcal{R}(\lambda_0)$). More precisely, we must extract a polynomial-like map g over $T(\lambda_0)$ (see Definition 3.12). To extract a polynomial-like map from f we will apply Lemma 5.13 to an appropriate Yoccoz puzzle.

We start by finding an appropriate combinatorial Yoccoz puzzle. Let $F \subset \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ be the set formed by the arguments of external rays of f landing at parabolic or critical points. Let m be the minimum common multiple of the periods, under m_d , of the arguments in the forward orbit of F . Denote by $F' \subset \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ the set of all m_d -periodic arguments of period dividing m and, by E' the grand orbit of F' . From the previous lemma (Lemma 8.3), there exist λ_0 -classes A_1, \dots, A_j contained in $\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} \setminus E'$ that separate the critical elements of λ_0 . The support of the depth 0 puzzle will be $E_0 \subset \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$, the set formed by all periodic arguments in the forward orbit of $A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_j$. More precisely, let λ be the restriction of λ_0 to $E_0 \times E_0$. For all $\ell \geq 1$, let $E_\ell = m_d^{-\ell}(E_0)$ and define Λ_ℓ as the restriction of λ_0 to $E_\ell \times E_\ell$. It follows that $\Lambda = (\Lambda_\ell)$ is a combinatorial Yoccoz puzzle admissible for λ_0 . Note that, for some $k \geq 0$, we have that $E_k \supset A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_j$. In particular, from Definition 4.8, we have that Λ is a generator for λ_0 with k as separation depth.

Since $E_\ell \cap E' = \emptyset$, for all $\theta \in E_\ell$, the landing point of the external ray of angle θ is a non-critical eventually repelling periodic point. By Lemma 5.13, we have that $f \in \mathcal{R}(\lambda_0)$.

Now we show that $C(\lambda_0)$ is compact. Due to the compactness of $C(d)$, we only have to show that $C(\lambda_0)$ is closed in $C(d)$. For this consider a sequence (f_n) of polynomials in $C(\lambda_0)$ which converges to $f \in C(d)$. Let $F \subset \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ be the arguments of the rays landing at parabolic periodic points of f or at critical points of f . Denote by E the m_d -grand orbit of F .

We claim that

$$\lambda_0 \cap ((\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} \setminus E) \times (\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} \setminus E)) \subset \lambda_f \cap ((\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} \setminus E) \times (\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} \setminus E)).$$

Assume that θ and θ' are two periodic elements in $\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} \setminus E$ which are λ_0 -equivalent. Since the landing points of the external rays with arguments θ and θ' are repelling for f and $\lambda_{f_n} \supset \lambda_0$, we have that θ and θ' are λ_f -equivalent. A similar reasoning works for preperiodic arguments.

From the previous lemma and the fact that λ_f is closed in $\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ we conclude that $\lambda_f \supset \lambda_0$. Hence, $f \in C(\lambda_0)$. \square

Proof of Theorem D. Assume that λ_0 is hyperbolic post-critically finite but not primitive. From the previously proven theorem, it is sufficient to show that: $\mathcal{R}(\lambda_0)$ is not compact and $C(\lambda_0) \neq \mathcal{R}(\lambda_0)$.

Consider $f_0 \in \mathcal{R}(\lambda_0)$ without neutral cycles and such that $\lambda_{f_0} = \lambda_0$. Since λ_0 is not primitive and hyperbolic, there exist two periodic Fatou components Ω_1, Ω_2 such that $\partial\Omega_1 \cap \partial\Omega_2 = \{x_0\}$ where x_0 is a repelling periodic point of period dividing the period of at least one of these Fatou components, say Ω_1 . We may assume that f_0 has an attracting (not superattracting) periodic point y_0 in Ω_1 . By Haïssinsky's pinching theorem [Ha1] [Ha4], there exist a continuous path of quasiconformal deformations of polynomials $(f_t)_{t \in [0,1]}$ such that

- (i) f_t converges uniformly to a polynomial f_1 , shrinking progressively some path γ connecting x_0 and y_0 . There exists a semiconjugacy $\varphi : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ from f_0 to f_1 such that φ is a homeomorphism outside γ and its preimages, and φ sends γ to a point, as well as each component of the preimages of γ .
- (ii) The point $x_1 = \varphi(\gamma)$ is a parabolic periodic point f_1 and its immediate basin is equal to $\varphi(\Omega_1 \setminus \Gamma)$ where Γ is the union of γ and all the preimages.

In particular, f_0 and f_1 are topologically conjugate on their Julia sets, by φ . Therefore, $f_t \in \mathcal{R}(\lambda_0)$ for $t \in [0, 1)$ but $f_1 \in C(\lambda_0)$ and $f_1 \notin \mathcal{R}(\lambda_0)$. Otherwise, a λ_0 -renormalization g of f_1 would satisfy $\{x_1\} = K(g, v_1) \cap K(g, v_2)$ where v_i is the infinite λ_0 -unlinked class such that $K(f_0, v_i) = \overline{\Omega_i}$. Therefore, x_1 would be repelling ([Mc1, Theorem 7.3] and [In1, Proposition 3.4]) which is a contradiction with (ii). \square

Remark 8.4. For hyperbolic post-critically finite invariant rational laminations λ_0 , the remaining problem is to characterize when $C(\lambda_0)$ is compact. Note that $C(\lambda_0)$ is known to be compact in some cases and non-compact in some other cases. For example, if λ_0 is of degree two, then $C(\lambda_0)$ is always compact (it is a baby Mandelbrot set).

On the other hand, the following example shows that $C(\lambda_0)$ is not compact in general.

Example 8.5. Let λ_0 be the rational lamination of $f_0(z) = z^3 + 3z/2$. The critical points $\pm i/\sqrt{2}$ of f_0 are fixed and the origin lies in the boundaries of their immediate basin of attraction. Since f_0 is real and $K(f_0) \cap \mathbb{R} = \{0\}$, the external rays of angles 0 and $1/2$ are the positive and negative real line respectively, so 0 and $\frac{1}{2}$ are λ_0 -equivalent.

For $\mu = \zeta + i\xi \neq 1$, let

$$f_\mu(z) = z^3 - \frac{2\xi}{\sqrt{2(1-\zeta)}}z^2 - \frac{1}{4}\left(2\zeta - 6 + \frac{2\xi^2}{\zeta-1}\right)z.$$

(Note that for $\mu = 0$, f_μ is equal to the previously defined f_0 .) Also, let

$$\alpha = \frac{\xi}{\sqrt{2(1-\zeta)}} + \sqrt{\frac{1-\zeta}{2}}i.$$

Then the fixed points of f_μ are 0, α and $\bar{\alpha}$. The multipliers of α and $\bar{\alpha}$ are μ and $\bar{\mu}$ respectively. Hence if $|\mu| < 1$, then $f_\mu \in C(\lambda_0)$. Fix $k > 1/2$ and let $\mu_n = 1 - k/n^2 + i/n$. Then $|\mu_n| < 1$ for sufficiently large n , $\mu_n \rightarrow 1$, and

$$f_{\mu_n}(z) \rightarrow g_k(z) = z^3 - \sqrt{\frac{2}{k}}z^2 + \left(1 + \frac{1}{2k}\right)z.$$




FIGURE 10. The Julia sets of f_{μ_n} and its limit.

Clearly, g_k is real and has a repelling fixed point at 0 and a parabolic fixed point at $1/\sqrt{2k} > 0$, hence $K(g_k) \cap \mathbb{R}$ is a closed interval and the external rays of angles 0 and $1/2$ do not land at the same point, therefore $g_k \notin C(\lambda_0)$ (see Figure 10). In particular, $C(\lambda_0)$ is not compact.

Another example is illustrated in Figure 11, which was proposed by Goldberg-Milnor [GM].




FIGURE 11. Butterfly collapses to a period two parabolic cycle. See Goldberg-Milnor [GM].

Example 8.6. A related example of a post-critically finite polynomial of degree 4 such that $C(f_0)$ is not compact arises from the presence of a strictly preperiodic critical point in $J(f_0)$. The polynomial f_0 has two critical points ω and ω' , where ω has multiplicity 2. While ω is fixed, the critical point ω' maps in two iterations onto a fixed point z_0 in the boundary of the basin of ω . The point z_0 is the landing point of the 0 ray and ω' is the landing point of

the rays $3/8$ and $5/8$. This is illustrated in Figure 12 (left). Now $C(f_0)$ contains a sequence of polynomials f_n with two attracting fixed points converging to a polynomial g which has a parabolic fixed point as landing point of the 0 ray. Figure 12 (middle) illustrates the Julia set of f_n , for some value of n , and it suggests that $\lambda(f_n) \supset \lambda(f_0)$ (i.e., $f_n \in C(f_0)$). For example, a Julia fixed point of f_n is the landing point of the rays corresponding to the $\lambda(f_n)$ -class $\{0, 1/3, 2/3\}$ which (strictly) contains each of the $\lambda(f_0)$ -fixed classes $\{0\}$ and $\{1/3, 2/3\}$. As the illustration in Figure 12 (right) of $J(g)$ suggests we have that $g \notin C(f_0)$, since the $3/8$ and $5/8$ rays land at distinct points. Thus, the $\lambda(f_0)$ -class $\{3/8, 5/8\}$ is not contained in a $\lambda(g)$ -class. Note that the pre-fixed critical point of g maps onto the repelling fixed point in the boundary of the parabolic basin which is the landing point of the $1/3$ and $2/3$ rays.




FIGURE 12. Illustration of Example 8.6.

9. SURJECTIVITY OF STRAIGHTENING MAPS

9.1. Preliminaries. To prove our surjectivity results we will employ the compactness of primitive renormalizable polynomials and the injectivity of straightening proven in previous sections together with the nice properties of straightening of quadratic-like families, established by Douady and Hubbard in [DH2]. We summarize some of these properties as follows (compare with [DH2, Corollary 2 of Proposition 13 and Chapter IV]).

Theorem 9.1. *Let X be a complex manifold and $\{f_\mu\}_{\mu \in X}$ be an analytic family of quadratic-like maps. Let*

$$\mathcal{M}_X = \{\mu \in X; K(f_\mu) \text{ is connected}\},$$

and denote by

$$\chi : \mathcal{M}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$$

the corresponding straightening map. That is, $\chi(\mu) = c$ where $c \in \mathcal{M}$ is such that $z \mapsto z^2 + c$ is hybrid equivalent to f_μ . Then the following statements hold:

- χ is continuous.
- For all $c \in \mathcal{M}$, we have that $\chi^{-1}(c)$ is a complex analytic subspace of X .
- If X has complex dimension one and $\mu_0 \in \mathcal{M}_X$, then there exists a neighborhood V of μ_0 in \mathcal{M}_X such that χ is constant on V or $\chi(V)$ contains a neighborhood of $\chi(\mu_0)$ in \mathcal{M} .

We will also need the following lemma on quasiconformal deformations:

Lemma 9.2. *Let λ_0 be a d -invariant rational lamination. Let $f \in \mathcal{R}(\lambda_0)$ and $\chi_{\lambda_0}(f) = P \in C(T(\lambda_0))$. Consider a quasiconformal deformation of P on $K(P)$, i.e., there exists a quasiconformal map $\phi : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ whose complex dilatation is supported on $K(P)$ such that $\phi \circ P = P_1 \circ \phi$ for some $P_1 \in C(T(\lambda_0))$.*

Then there exists a quasiconformal deformation $f_1 \in \mathcal{R}(\lambda_0)$ of f on $K(f)$ such that $\chi_{\lambda_0}(f_1) = P_1$.

Proof. Let us denote by σ_0 the standard complex structure on \mathbb{C} and let $\sigma = \phi^* \sigma_0$. Define an almost complex structure σ_1 on \mathbb{C} as follows:

$$\sigma_1 = \begin{cases} (\psi_v \circ f^n)^* \sigma & \text{on } f^{-n}(K_f(v)) \text{ for some } v \in |T(\lambda_0)| \text{ and } n \geq 0, \\ \sigma_0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where $\psi = (\psi_v)$ is a hybrid conjugacy from a λ -renormalization of f to P . Then σ_1 is well-defined because σ is P -invariant. Its dilatation is uniformly bounded because σ_1 is defined via pullbacks of $\psi_v^* \sigma = (\phi \circ \psi_v)^* \sigma_0$ by holomorphic maps which preserve the maximal dilatation. Furthermore, σ_1 is f -invariant by construction. Therefore, by the measurable Riemann mapping theorem, $f : (\mathbb{C}, \sigma_1) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, \sigma_1)$ is conformally conjugate to a polynomial $f_1 : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. Since this deformation does not change the complex structure of the basin of infinity, we have $\lambda_f = \lambda_{f_1}$, in particular we have $f_1 \in C(\lambda_0)$ and a λ_0 -renormalization of f gives rise to a λ_0 -renormalization of f_1 . More precisely, let $\phi_1 : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be the quasiconformal homeomorphism such that $\phi_1^* \sigma_0 = \sigma_1$ and $f_1 = \phi_1 \circ f \circ \phi_1^{-1}$. Take a λ_0 -renormalization $g = (f : U'_v \rightarrow U_{\sigma_{\lambda_0}(v)})$ of f . Then $g_1 = (f_1 : \phi_1(U'_v) \rightarrow \phi_1(U_{\sigma_{\lambda_0}(v)}))$ is a λ_0 -renormalization of f_1 and it is hybrid equivalent to P_1 by $\phi \circ \psi \circ \phi_1^{-1}$. \square

9.2. Surjectivity of straightening: primitive disjoint type.

Theorem 9.3. *Let $f_0 \in C(d)$ be an internally angled primitive hyperbolic post-critically finite polynomial with rational lamination λ_0 . If f_0 has exactly $d - 1$ superattracting periodic orbits, then the corresponding straightening map $\chi_{\lambda_0} : \mathcal{R}(\lambda_0) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^{d-1}$ is a homeomorphism.*

Proof. Recall that the interior of the Mandelbrot set \mathcal{M} is dense in \mathcal{M} . Therefore, the interior of \mathcal{M}^{d-1} is also dense in \mathcal{M}^{d-1} . The components of the interior of \mathcal{M} are either hyperbolic (maps with an attracting cycle) or queer. The closure of the union of the hyperbolic components is the complement of the queer components. That is, $\partial \mathcal{M}$ is contained in the closure of the union of the hyperbolic components.

Let λ_0 be an internally angled hyperbolic primitive rational lamination of degree d with reduced mapping schema of disjoint type (i.e. $|T(\lambda_0)|$ consists of $d - 1$ vertices, each fixed by the schema map). By Lemma 5.13, for every $f \in \mathcal{R}(\lambda_0)$ there exist a neighborhood \mathcal{V} of f in $\text{Poly}(d)$ and an analytic family of polynomial-like maps over $T(\lambda_0)$ whose straightening map, which is continuous by Theorem 9.1, is an extension of χ_{λ_0} . Therefore, χ_{λ_0} is continuous.

Since χ_{λ_0} is continuous and $\mathcal{R}(\lambda_0)$ is compact (Theorem D), the image of χ_{λ_0} is closed in \mathcal{M}^{d-1} . Taking into account that the interior of \mathcal{M}^{d-1} is dense in \mathcal{M}^{d-1} , to prove that χ_{λ_0} is surjective it is sufficient to prove that its image contains every connected component S_0 of the interior of \mathcal{M}^{d-1} . Given such a connected component S_0 , there exist $d - 1$ components W_1, \dots, W_{d-1} of the interior of \mathcal{M} such that $S_0 = W_1 \times \dots \times W_{d-1}$. Since hyperbolic maps are in the image of χ_{λ_0} by Theorem C, we have that $(\partial \mathcal{M})^{d-1}$ is also contained in the image. In particular, $\partial W_1 \times \dots \times \partial W_{d-1} \subset \chi_{\lambda_0}(\mathcal{R}(\lambda_0))$. Now, for $n \in \{1, \dots, d - 1\}$, let

$$S_n = \partial W_1 \times \dots \times \partial W_n \times W_{n+1} \times \dots \times W_{d-1}.$$

Thus, $S_{d-1} \subset \chi_{\lambda_0}(\mathcal{R}(\lambda_0))$ and to prove that S_0 is contained in $\chi_{\lambda_0}(\mathcal{R}(\lambda_0))$ it is enough to establish the following.

Claim. For all $1 \leq n \leq d-1$, if $S_n \subset \chi_{\lambda_0}(\mathcal{R}(\lambda_0))$, then $S_{n-1} \subset \chi_{\lambda_0}(\mathcal{R}(\lambda_0))$.

Proof of the claim. Let $\mathbf{c} = (c_1, \dots, c_{d-1}) \in S_n$. Denote by $\pi : \mathbb{C}^{d-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{d-2}$ the projection which forgets the n th coordinate and by $\pi_n : \mathbb{C}^{d-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ the projection onto the n th coordinate.

Consider the set $Y = (\pi \circ \chi_{\lambda_0})^{-1}(\pi(\mathbf{c}))$. Recall that λ_0 -renormalizable polynomials g are such that its renormalization consists of $d-1$ quadratic like maps g_i for $i = 1, \dots, d-1$. Then, Y consists of all λ_0 -renormalizable polynomials g such that, for all $i \neq n$, the quadratic like map g_i is hybrid equivalent to the prescribed quadratic polynomial $z^2 + c_i$. We claim that Y is a complex analytic space Y . In fact, take any $g \in Y$, then by Lemma 5.13 and the proof Theorem 8.1, there exists a neighborhood \mathcal{V} of g in $\text{Poly}(d)$ that parametrizes an analytic family of polynomial like maps over $T(\lambda_0)$. That is, $d-1$ families of quadratic like maps. Since Y corresponds, locally around g , to the intersection of $d-2$ complex analytic sets, according to Theorem 9.1, it follows that Y is a complex analytic set.

Since $\mathcal{R}(\lambda_0)$ is compact (Theorem D) and the uncountable set ∂W_n is contained in $\pi_n \circ \chi_{\lambda_0}(\mathcal{R}(\lambda_0) \cap Y)$, there exists $f \in \mathcal{R}(\lambda_0)$ contained in a component of dimension at least one of Y such that $\pi_n \circ \chi_{\lambda_0}(f) \in \partial W_n$. Moreover, we may assume that f is a smooth point of Y and not isolated in $Y \cap \mathcal{R}(\lambda_0)$. Hence there exists a one dimensional submanifold of Y containing f and some other point of $Y \cap \mathcal{R}(\lambda_0)$. Theorem B implies that $\pi_n \circ \chi_{\lambda_0}$ is not constant along this one dimensional submanifold of Y . Therefore $\pi_n \circ \chi_{\lambda_0}(\mathcal{R}(\lambda_0) \cap Y)$ contains a neighborhood of c_n in \mathcal{M} , by Theorem 9.1. From Lemma 9.2, we conclude that $\pi_n \circ \chi_{\lambda_0}(\mathcal{R}(\lambda_0) \cap Y)$ contains W_n . Hence, for all $\mathbf{c} = (c_1, \dots, c_d) \in S_n$ we have that $\{c_1\} \times \dots \times \{c_{n-1}\} \times W_n \times \{c_{n+1}\} \times \dots \times \{c_{d-1}\} \subset \chi_{\lambda_0}(\mathcal{R}(\lambda_0))$ and the claim follows. \square

9.3. Surjectivity of straightening: cubic primitive capture type.

Theorem 9.4. *Let $f_0 \in C(3)$ be an internally angled primitive hyperbolic post-critically finite polynomial with rational lamination λ_0 and reduced mapping schema of capture type. Then the associated straightening map $\chi_{\lambda_0} : \mathcal{R}(\lambda_0) \rightarrow \mathcal{MK}$ is a bijection.*

The proof of Theorem 9.4 is similar to the disjoint case. However we have to be careful since the straightening maps involved are discontinuous [In4]. Nevertheless, we use the fact that these maps are continuous along carefully chosen sequences.

Lemma 9.5. *Let $f_n : U'_n \rightarrow U_n$ be a sequence of quadratic-like maps with connected Julia sets. Assume that the following hold:*

- (i) f_n converges to a quadratic-like map $f : U' \rightarrow U$. More precisely, $f_n \rightarrow f$ uniformly on some neighborhood of $K(f; U', U)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$;
- (ii) f_n is hybrid equivalent to $g_n \in \mathcal{M}$.
- (iii) $g_n \rightarrow g \in \mathcal{M}$;
- (iv) For any $z \in \text{int } K(g)$, $z \in \text{int } K(g_n)$ for all sufficiently large n .

Then, for each n , we can choose a hybrid conjugacy ψ_n , between f_n and g_n , such that ψ_n converges to a hybrid conjugacy ψ between f and g .

In particular, let λ_0 be a hyperbolic 3-invariant rational lamination of capture type. Let $f_n \rightarrow f$ be a convergent sequence in $\mathcal{R}(\lambda_0)$. If the quadratic renormalization $f_n^p : U'_n \rightarrow U_n$ of f_n satisfies the above assumption, then $\chi_{\lambda_0}(f_n) \rightarrow \chi_{\lambda_0}(f)$.

Remark 9.6. The assumption (iv) is equivalent to $J(g_n) \rightarrow J(g)$ in the Hausdorff topology. Furthermore, (iv) holds if one of the following hold:

- (i) $J(g) = K(g)$, i.e., $\text{int } K(g)$ is empty;
- (ii) g lies in the boundary of a hyperbolic component \mathcal{W} of \mathcal{M} and $g_n \in \mathcal{W} \rightarrow g$ non-tangentially (see [Mc2] for the case g parabolic and see [Yo] for the case g has a Siegel disk).

In particular, for any quadratic polynomial $g(z) = z^2 + c$ with $c \in \partial\mathcal{M}$, there exists a sequence $g_n \rightarrow g$ such that g_n is hyperbolic and satisfies the assumption (iv).

Proof. After affine conjugacy, we may assume that f_n and f are normalized to have Taylor series of the form $c + z^2 + \dots$, around the origin. Passing to the space of quadratic-like germs \mathcal{QG} , introduced by Lyubich [L], we have that the classes $[f_n] \in \mathcal{QG}$ converge to $[f] \in \mathcal{QG}$. Hence, f is hybrid equivalent to g , by continuity of straightening in the space of quadratic-like germs with connected filled Julia sets (e.g. see the stronger result [L, Theorem 4.13]).

Since f_n converges to f , we may assume diffeomorphisms between fundamental annuli in the proof of the straightening theorem for f_n also converge to that of f . In particular, they are uniformly quasiconformal. Then it follows by construction that the hybrid conjugacies ψ_n are also uniformly quasiconformal. (compare the tubing construction of hybrid conjugacies [DH2]). The limits of subsequences of ψ_n agree on the complement of the filled Julia set of f . Thus to show that ψ_n converges, it is sufficient to show that if ψ is a limit of a subsequence of ψ_n , then ψ is a hybrid conjugacy. Therefore, by passing to a subsequence, we assume that ψ_n converges to some quasiconformal map ψ , which conjugate f to g and proceed to show that in fact is a hybrid conjugacy.

If g is structurally stable (equivalently, $g \in \text{int } \mathcal{M}$), then f also is structurally stable in the space of quadratic-like germs [L]. Thus the proof that hybrid conjugacies that arise from the tubing construction vary continuously in the stability locus [DH2, Proposition 12] can be applied to the convergent sequence $f_n \rightarrow f$. Therefore ψ is a hybrid conjugacy in this case.

Now we assume $g \in \partial\mathcal{M}$. Let $\mu = \frac{\bar{\partial}\psi^{-1}}{\partial\psi^{-1}}$ be the complex dilatation of ψ^{-1} . Then, by the equation $\psi \circ f = g \circ \psi$, we have $g^*\mu = \mu$. Since g does not carry an invariant line field on its Julia set (otherwise, g must lie in a queer component), we may assume that μ vanishes on $J(g)$. Furthermore, since ψ_n is holomorphic in the interior of $K(f_n)$, μ also vanishes on $\text{int } K(g)$ by (iv). Therefore, ψ is a hybrid conjugacy between f and g .

For $f_n \rightarrow f \in \mathcal{R}(\lambda_0)$, let ω_n be the captured critical point for f_n and k be the capture time. Then we have $\chi_{\lambda_0}(f_n) = (g_n, x_n)$ where $x_n = \psi_n(f_n^k(\omega_n))$. If $f_n : U'_n \rightarrow U_n$ satisfy the assumption, then, after passing to the limit, we have $x = \psi(f^k(\omega))$, where ω is the captured critical point for f . Therefore, $\chi_{\lambda_0}(f) = (g, x) = \lim \chi_{\lambda_0}(f_n)$. \square

Proof of Theorem 9.4. To simplify notation, we identify the map $h(z) = z^2 + c$ with $c \in \mathbb{C}$. That is, straightening takes values in the set:

$$\mathcal{MK} = \{(h, x); h(z) = z^2 + c \in \mathcal{M}, x \in K(h)\}$$

Observe that if h is hyperbolic and $x \in \text{int } K(h)$, then the pair (h, x) represents a hyperbolic dynamical system over the reduced schema of λ_0 . By Theorem C, (h, x) is in the image of χ_{λ_0} .

Now we consider $(h_0, x_0) \in \mathcal{MK}$. To prove that $(h_0, x_0) \in \chi_{\lambda_0}(\mathcal{R}(\lambda_0))$ we consider two cases according to whether h_0 is in a queer component or not.

Case I: h_0 does not lie in any queer component.

Take sequences $h_n \rightarrow h_0$ and $x_n \rightarrow x_0$ satisfying (iv) in Lemma 9.5 such that h_n is hyperbolic and $x_n \in \text{int } K(h_n)$. Since (h_n, x_n) corresponds to a hyperbolic polynomial over

$T(\lambda_0)$, there exists $f_n \in \mathcal{R}(\lambda_0)$ satisfying $\chi_{\lambda_0}(f_n) = (h_n, x_n)$. Since $\mathcal{R}(\lambda_0)$ is compact, we may assume $f = \lim f_n \in \mathcal{R}(\lambda_0)$ exists. Then, by Lemma 9.5, we have $\chi_{\lambda_0}(f) = (h_0, x_0)$.

When h_0 is in a queer component we further subdivide into two cases according to whether the captured critical forward orbit is finite or not.

Case II.a: $h_0 \in \mathcal{W}$ for some queer component \mathcal{W} and x_0 is periodic for h_0 .

In this case, we have x_0 is a repelling period point of period, say j . Consider the continuous map $x : \overline{\mathcal{W}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that $x(h_0) = x_0$ and $x(h)$ is a period j periodic point of h (this map is unique because for all $h \in \overline{\mathcal{W}}$ we have that all cycles of h are repelling).

For $f \in \mathcal{R}(\lambda_0)$ we denote by $(g_{f,i} : U'_{f,i} \rightarrow U_{f,0})_{i=0,1}$ its renormalization where $g_{f,0}$ is a quadratic-like map obtained from an appropriate restriction of f^p , for some p independent of f and $g_{f,1}$ is a degree 2 branched covering obtained from a restriction of f^ℓ , for some ℓ independent of f .

Now consider the one dimensional complex analytic set $V \subset \text{Poly}(3)$ formed by all cubic polynomials f that possess a critical point ω such that $f^{pj+\ell}(\omega) = f^\ell(\omega)$. By Case I and the definition of V , for all $h \in \partial\mathcal{W}$ we have that $(h, x(h)) \in \chi_{\lambda_0}(\mathcal{R}(\lambda_0)) \cap V$.

We choose a smooth point f_1 of V such that $\chi_{\lambda_0}(f_1) = (h_1, x(h_1))$ with $h_1 \in \partial\mathcal{W}$. By Lemma 5.13 and Lemma 5.15 there exists a neighborhood \mathcal{U} of f_1 in $\text{Poly}(3)$ that parametrizes an analytic family of polynomial like maps $g_f = (g_{f,i})$ over $T(\lambda_0)$ such that if g_f has fiberwise connected filled Julia set $K(g_f)$, then f lies in $\mathcal{R}(\lambda_0)$ and $\chi_{\lambda_0}(f)$ is the straightening of g_f . After shrinking \mathcal{U} , if necessary, for all $f \in \mathcal{U} \cap V$, the critical value of $g_{f,1}$ is a periodic point in the filled Julia set $K(g_{f,0})$ of the quadratic-like polynomial $g_{f,0}$. Hence, $K(g_f)$ is fiberwise connected if and only if $K(g_{f,0})$ is connected, for all $f \in \mathcal{U} \cap V$.

The straightening of the quadratic-like map $g_{f,0}$ is not constant in $\mathcal{U} \cap V$, otherwise, for all $f \in \mathcal{U} \cap V$, we would have $\chi_{\lambda_0}(f) = (h_1, x)$ where x is a period j point of h_1 , which would be a contradiction with Theorem B. Thus, for all h in a neighborhood of h_1 in $\overline{\mathcal{W}}$ there exists $f \in \mathcal{U} \cap V$, such that $\chi_{\lambda_0}(f) = (h, x)$ where x has period j under h . By Theorem 9.1, $h \in \overline{\mathcal{W}}$ depends continuously on f and by Lemma 9.5, x is also continuous on f , it follows that $x = x(h)$ since the repelling periodic point x_1 of h_1 has a unique (analytic) continuous continuation. We conclude that there exists $h_2 \in \mathcal{W}$ such that $(h_2, x(h_2)) = \chi_{\lambda_0}(f_2)$ for some $f_2 \in \mathcal{R}(\lambda_0)$. Since (h_0, x_0) is a quasiconformal deformation of $(h_2, x(h_2))$, by Lemma 9.2 we have that (h_0, x_0) lies in the image of χ_{λ_0} .

Case II.b: Assume that $h_0 \in \mathcal{W}$ for some queer component \mathcal{W} and $x_0 \in J(h_0)(= K(h_0))$.

Since periodic points are dense in $J(h_0)$, we can take a sequence $x_n \rightarrow x_0$ with x_n periodic. Take $f_n \in \mathcal{R}(\lambda_0)$ which satisfy $\chi_{\lambda_0}(f_n) = (h_0, x_n)$. Since $\mathcal{R}(\lambda_0)$ is compact, we may assume f_n converges to some $f \in \mathcal{R}(\lambda_0)$. With the notation of case II.a, we may choose the quadratic-like maps $g_{f_n,0} : U'_{f_n,0} \rightarrow U_{f_n,0}$ converging to $g_{f,0} : U'_{f,0} \rightarrow U_{f,0}$. Since all $g_{f_n,0}$ are hybrid equivalent to h_0 and its filled Julia set $K(h_0)$ has empty interior, we may apply Lemma 9.5 to conclude that $\chi_{\lambda_0}(f) = (h_0, x_0)$. \square

9.4. Complex submanifolds and quasiconformality of straightening. Here we prove some regularity properties of straightening maps of primitive disjoint type and primitive cubic capture type. That is, we finish the proof of theorems E and F.

9.4.1. Proof of Theorem E. In view of Theorem 9.3 the straightening map χ_{λ_0} is surjective.

To prove the existence of a complex submanifold, we work in the space \mathcal{QG} of quadratic-like germs introduced by [L]. Given $f \in \mathcal{R}(\lambda_0)$, let \mathcal{U} be a neighborhood of f in $\text{Poly}(d)$ such that for all $g \in \mathcal{U}$ and $1 \leq i \leq d-1$, we may extract a quadratic-like map

$$\iota_i(g) = [g^{\ell_i} : U'_i \rightarrow U_i] \in \mathcal{QG},$$

as in Lemma 5.13, which is hybrid conjugate to $z^2 + \chi_i(g)$, for all $g \in \mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{R}(\lambda_0)$. Recall that the analytic structure of \mathcal{QG} is induced from complex Banach spaces of holomorphic functions defined on domains equipped with the sup-norm [L, Section 4]. Hence it follows that ι_i is analytic.

For each $i = 1, \dots, d-1$, consider analytic sets

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}_i &= \mathcal{S}_i(f) = \{g \in \mathcal{U}; \chi_i(g) = \chi_i(f)\}, \\ \mathcal{S}_i^* &= \mathcal{S}_i^*(f) = \{g \in \mathcal{U}; \chi_j(g) = \chi_j(f) \text{ for all } j \neq i\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then $\chi_i : \mathcal{S}_i^* \rightarrow \text{Poly}(2)$ is continuous and maps $\mathcal{S}_i^* \cap \mathcal{R}(\lambda_0)$ homeomorphically onto a neighborhood of $\chi_i(f)$ in \mathcal{M} . In particular, f is not isolated in \mathcal{S}_i^* .

Consider a one-dimensional irreducible analytic subset $V \subset \mathcal{S}_i^*$ containing f and contained in a small neighborhood of f . Since $\chi_i|_{\mathcal{S}_i^* \cap \mathcal{R}(\lambda_0)}$ is injective, $\iota_i(V)$ is transverse to the codimension one foliation \mathcal{F} of hybrid classes at f by [L, Lemma 4.25]. Moreover, $\iota_i(\mathcal{S}_i)$ is contained in a leaf of \mathcal{F} , so it follows that there exists a tangent vector $v_i \in T_f \mathcal{S}_i^* \setminus T_f \mathcal{S}_i$.

Given i , for all $j \neq i$, we have that $v_j \in T_f \mathcal{S}_j^* \subset T_f \mathcal{S}_i$ and $v_i \notin T_f \mathcal{S}_i$. Thus, for all i , we have that v_i is not contained in the linear span of $\{v_j; j \neq i\}$. Hence, $\{v_1, \dots, v_{d-1}\}$ are linearly independent vector in the $(d-1)$ -dimensional vector space $T_f \text{Poly}(d)$. That is, v_1, \dots, v_{d-1} form a basis of $T_f \text{Poly}(d)$.

By repeating the same argument at a smooth point g of V with $g \in \mathcal{R}(\lambda_0)$, it follows that each component of \mathcal{S}_i^* containing f is one-dimensional. In particular, those components are smooth at f . By Theorem 9.1 and the injectivity of $\chi_i|_{\mathcal{S}_i^* \cap \mathcal{R}(\lambda_0)}$, we conclude that there is only one such a component, i.e., \mathcal{S}_i^* is a smooth curve near f .

Since ι_i is an analytic map transverse to the leaf L of \mathcal{F} containing $\iota_i(f)$, it follows that $\mathcal{S}_i = \iota_i^{-1}(L)$ is a codimension one smooth complex manifold near f . Moreover we have $T_f \mathcal{S}_i = \bigoplus_{j \neq i} T_f \mathcal{S}_j^*$, so it follows that for any given $0 \leq k \leq d-1$ and $1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq d-1$, the transversal intersection

$$\mathcal{S}_{i_1, \dots, i_k}(f) = \mathcal{S}_{i_1}(f) \pitchfork \mathcal{S}_{i_2}(f) \pitchfork \dots \pitchfork \mathcal{S}_{i_k}(f)$$

is a complex submanifold of codimension k , by shrinking \mathcal{U} if necessary.

Now for any $0 \leq k \leq d-1$, given $1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq d-1$ and $c_1, \dots, c_k \in \mathcal{M}$, let

$$C = \{g \in \mathcal{R}(\lambda_0); \chi_{i_j}(g) = c_j \text{ for all } j = 1, \dots, k\}.$$

If $f' \in C \cap \mathcal{S}_{i_1, \dots, i_k}(f)$, then $\mathcal{S}_{i_1, \dots, i_k}(f')$ agrees with $\mathcal{S}_{i_1, \dots, i_k}(f)$ in a small neighborhood of f' . Therefore, $\tilde{\mathcal{S}} = \bigcup_{f' \in C} \mathcal{S}_{i_1, \dots, i_k}(f')$ is a codimension k complex submanifold of $\text{Poly}(d)$ containing C (by shrinking $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}$ if necessary).

Now consider the case $k = d-2$. We may assume that $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}$ is a one complex dimensional disk $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}$ embedded in $\text{Poly}(d)$ containing

$$\{g \in \mathcal{R}(\lambda_0); \chi_j(g) = \chi_j(f) \text{ for all } j \neq i\}.$$

By the above construction, there exists a finite covering $\{\mathcal{U}_k\}$ of $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}$ such that each \mathcal{U}_k parameterizes an analytic family of quadratic like maps

$$g_{(h,k)} = h^{\iota_i} : U'_i \rightarrow U_i,$$

where $h \in \mathcal{U}_k$. Choosing $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}$ sufficiently small, if $h \in \mathcal{U}_k \cap \mathcal{U}_{k'}$, then the a priori distinct quadratic like germs $\iota_i(h) = [g_{(h,k)}]$ and $\iota_i(h) = [g_{(h,k')}]$ agree as elements of \mathcal{QG} . Therefore, $\iota_i : \tilde{\mathcal{S}} \rightarrow \mathcal{QG}$ is well defined, analytic and the image is transverse to hybrid classes. Moreover, $\iota_i(h)$ belongs to the connectedness locus in \mathcal{QG} if and only if the quadratic like map $h^{\iota_i} : U'_i \rightarrow U_i$ has connected Julia set, which is equivalent to $h \in \mathcal{R}(\lambda_0) \cap \tilde{\mathcal{S}}$, after applying Lemma 5.15. Thus the connectedness locus of $\iota_i(\tilde{\mathcal{S}})$ is compactly contained in $\iota_i(\tilde{\mathcal{S}})$. That

is, the family $\iota_i(\tilde{\mathcal{S}})$ is “full” [L, Section 4.11]. The quasiconformality of χ_i in a neighborhood of $\tilde{\mathcal{S}} \cap \mathcal{R}(\lambda_0)$ now follows directly from [L, Theorem 4.26], since $\chi : \tilde{\mathcal{S}} \cap \mathcal{R}(\lambda_0) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ is injective (i.e. $\iota_i(\tilde{\mathcal{S}})$ is an unfolded family in \mathcal{QG} [L, Section 4.11]). \square

9.4.2. *Capture case.* In order to complete the proof of Theorem F we must establish that the straightening map involved is quasiconformal along appropriate slices. The proof is similar than that of Buff and Henriksen in [BHe]: We construct a holomorphic motion on the filled Julia set and use its quasiconformal extension, obtained via the λ -lemma, to show that the straightening map has the desired quasiconformal regularity.

Proof of Theorem F. Let $c \in \mathcal{M}$ and $f_0 \in \mathcal{R}(\lambda_0)$ such that $\chi_1(f_0) = c$. Extend χ_1 continuously to a neighborhood \mathcal{U} of f_0 in $\text{Poly}(3)$ (Lemma 5.13). Let $\mathcal{S}'_c = \{f \in \mathcal{U}; \chi_1(f) = c\}$. Then from Douady-Hubbard’s Theorem 9.1 it follows that \mathcal{S}'_c is a complex analytic subspace of $\text{Poly}(3)$. By Theorem 9.4, \mathcal{S}'_c is uncountable and not of full dimension. Hence it has dimension one. Denote by \mathcal{S} an irreducible component (i.e. branch) of \mathcal{S}'_c at f_0 . Note that \mathcal{S} has dimension one. We will show that χ_2 is quasiconformal on \mathcal{S} . Then, since a quasiconformal map is an open map, from the injectivity of χ we will conclude that there is at most one branch of \mathcal{S}'_c at f_0 . Hence, it will immediately follow that \mathcal{S}'_c is locally irreducible. Note that, without loss of generality, we may assume that there exists a holomorphic parameterization $\pi : \Delta \rightarrow \mathcal{S} \subset \text{Poly}(3)$. Since π is a bijection, for simplicity, we identify $f \in \mathcal{S}$ with $\pi^{-1}(f)$.

Consider a family $(f^{\ell_{v_0}} : U'_{v_0} \rightarrow U_{v_0})_{f \in \Delta}$ of quadratic-like mappings. Since the hybrid class of the elements of this family is constant, it is stable on the whole parameter space Δ , in the sense of Mañé-Sad-Sullivan. It follows that the Julia set $J_f(v_0) = \partial K_f(v_0)$ admits a holomorphic motion on Δ [Mc1]. Furthermore, we have a natural conformal conjugacy $\psi_f^{-1} \circ \psi_{f_0} : \text{int } K_{f_0}(v_0) \rightarrow \text{int } K_f(v_0)$ between f_0 and f , which is also a holomorphic motion on Δ , where ψ_f is a hybrid conjugacy between $f^{\ell_{v_0}} : U'_{v_0} \rightarrow U_{v_0}$ and $Q(z) = z^2 + c$. In fact, on a periodic Fatou component, $\psi_f^{-1} \circ \psi_{f_0}$ can be also written locally as a composition $\phi_f^{-1} \circ \phi_{f_0}$ where ϕ_f is the (properly normalized) linearizing coordinate (if the component has a periodic point) or the Fatou coordinate (if the component is a parabolic basin). Since these coordinates depend holomorphically on $f \in \Delta$, $\psi_f^{-1} \circ \psi_{f_0}$ is also holomorphic on periodic components. On each preperiodic component, it is a pullback, so it is also analytic.

Gluing both holomorphic motions together, we obtain a holomorphic motion $h_f : K_{f_0}(v_0) \rightarrow K_f(v_0)$. For $f \in \Delta \cap \mathcal{R}(\lambda_0)$, we have

$$\chi(f) = (c, \psi_f(f^{\ell_{v_1}}(\omega_f))) = (c, \psi_{f_0} \circ h_f^{-1}(f^{\ell_{v_1}}(\omega_f))),$$

where ω_f is the captured critical point.

By applying the λ -lemma [MSS] [S1], h_f extends to a holomorphic motion

$$h : \Delta \times \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C},$$

such that $h_f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is quasiconformal. By the same argument as [BHe, Lemma 13], the map

$$f \mapsto h_f^{-1}(f^{\ell_{v_1}}(\omega_f))$$

is locally quasiconformal on Δ . Hence, χ_2 extends to a quasiconformal map on a neighborhood of f_0 in \mathcal{S} . As described above, we conclude that \mathcal{S} is the unique branch of \mathcal{S}'_c at f_0 .

Passing to a finite cover of $\chi_1^{-1}(c)$, by open sets \mathcal{U} as above, we conclude that there exists a one dimensional, locally irreducible, complex analytic space \mathcal{S}_c such that $\chi_1^{-1}(c) \subset$

\mathcal{S}_c and $\chi_1 : \mathcal{R}(\lambda_0) \cap \mathcal{S}_c \rightarrow K(z^2 + c)$ is a homeomorphism which extends locally to a quasiconformal map.

To finish the proof we have to show that $\mathcal{R}(\lambda_0)$ is connected. Let C be both open and closed in $\mathcal{R}(\lambda_0)$ and for $c \in \mathcal{M}$ let \mathcal{S}_c be as above. Then $\mathcal{S}_c \cap \mathcal{R}(\lambda_0)$, which is homeomorphic to the connected set $K(z^2 + c)$, is either contained in C or disjoint from C . Therefore, $\chi_1(C) = \{c \in \mathcal{M}; \mathcal{S}_c \cap \mathcal{R}(\lambda_0) \subset C\}$ and $\chi_1(\mathcal{R}(\lambda_0) \setminus C) = \{c \in \mathcal{M}; (\mathcal{S}_c \cap \mathcal{R}(\lambda_0)) \cap C = \emptyset\}$ are closed and disjoint subsets of \mathcal{M} because χ_1 is continuous. Then one of these sets must be empty, since \mathcal{M} is connected and, $\chi_1(C) \sqcup \chi_1(\mathcal{R}(\lambda_0) \setminus C) = \mathcal{M}$, by surjectivity. Hence, either C is empty or $C = \mathcal{R}(\lambda_0)$. \square

REFERENCES

- [Ah] L. V. Ahlfors *Lectures on quasiconformal mappings*. 1996. Van Nostrand.
- [BrH] B. Branner and J.H. Hubbard, *The iteration of cubic polynomials. I. The global topology of parameter space.*, Acta Math. **160** (1988), 143–206.
- [BHe] X. Buff and C. Henriksen, *Julia Sets in Parameter Spaces*, Comm. Math. Phys. **220** (2001), 333–375.
- [DH1] A. Douady, J. H. Hubbard, *Étude dynamique des polynômes complexes I & II*. Publ. Math. d’Orsay (1984–1985).
- [DH2] A. Douady, J. H. Hubbard, *On the dynamics of polynomial-like mappings*. Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) **18** (1985), 287–343.
- [EY] A. Epstein, M. Yampolsky, *Geography of the cubic connectedness locus: intertwining surgery*. Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) **32**
- [GM] L. Goldberg, J. Milnor. *Fixed points of polynomial maps. II. Fixed point portraits*. Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) **26** (1993), 51–98.
- [H] J.H. Hubbard, *Local connectivity of Julia sets and bifurcation loci: three theorems of J.-C. Yoccoz*. Topological methods in modern mathematics, 467–511, Publish or Perish, Houston, TX, 1993.
- [Ha1] P. Haïssinsky, *Chirurgie parabolique*. C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. **327** (1998), no. 2, 195–198.
- [Ha2] P. Haïssinsky, *Modulation dans l’ensemble de Mandelbrot*. The Mandelbrot set, theme and variations, 37–65, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., 274, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2000.
- [Ha3] P. Haïssinsky, *Chirurgie croisée*. Bull. Soc. mathFrance, **128** (2000), 599–654.
- [Ha4] P. Haïssinsky, *Pincement de polynômes*. Comment. Math. Helv. **77** (2002), 1–23.
- [He] C. Henriksen, *The combinatorial rigidity conjecture is false for cubic polynomials*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **355** (2003), 3625–3639.
- [In1] H. Inou, *Renormalization and rigidity of polynomials of higher degree*. J. Math. Kyoto Univ. **42** (2002), 351–392.
- [In2] H. Inou, *Homeomorphisms from Julia sets into connectedness loci*. Ergodic Theory & Dynamical Systems **26** (2006), 1087–1113.
- [In3] H. Inou, *Limits of renormalizable polynomials*. Nonlinearity **19** (2006), 1769–1799.
- [In4] H. Inou, *Combinatorics and topology of straightening maps II: discontinuity*. In preparation.
- [Gu] J. Guckenheimer, *Endomorphisms of the Riemann sphere*, in Global Analysis (Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. Vol. XIV, Berkeley, Calif., 1968), 95–123, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1970
- [Jo] P. Jones, *On removable sets for Sobolev spaces in the plane*, in Essays on Fourier analysis in honor of Elias M. Stein (Princeton, NJ, 1991), Princeton Math. Ser., 42, 250–267, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ, 1995.
- [Ki1] J. Kiwi, *Rational laminations of complex polynomials*. Laminations and foliations in dynamics, geometry and topology (Stony Brook, NY, 1998), 111–154, Contemp. Math., 269, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2001.
- [Ki2] J. Kiwi, *Critical portraits and rational rays of complex polynomials*. Ph. D. Thesis, SUNY at Stony Brook, 1997. arXiv:math/9710212v1 [math.DS].
- [L] M. Lyubich, *Feigenbaum-Coulet-Tresser universality and Milnor’s hairiness conjecture*. Ann. of Math. (2) **149** (1999), 319–420.

- [MSS] Mañé, R.; Sad, P.; Sullivan, D. *On the dynamics of rational maps*. Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) **16** (1983), p. 193-217.
- [Mc1] C. T. McMullen, *Complex dynamics and renormalization*. Annals of Mathematics Studies, 135. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1994.
- [Mc2] C. T. McMullen, *Hausdorff dimension and conformal dynamics. II. Geometrically finite rational maps*. Comment. Math. Helv. **75** (2000), 535–593.
- [Mc3] C. T. McMullen, *The Mandelbrot set is universal*. The Mandelbrot set, theme and variations, 1–17, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., 274, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2000.
- [MS] C. T. McMullen, D. P. Sullivan, *Quasiconformal homeomorphisms and dynamics. III. The Teichmüller space of a holomorphic dynamical system*. Adv. Math. **135** (1998), 351–395.
- [Mi1] J. Milnor, *Remarks on iterated cubic maps*. Experiment. Math. **1** (1992), 5–24.
- [Mi2] J. Milnor, *Local Connectivity of Julia Sets: Expository Lectures*. The Mandelbrot set, theme and variations, 67–116, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., 274, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2000.
- [Mi3] J. Milnor, *Dynamics in one complex variable. Third edition*. Annals of Mathematics Studies, 160. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2006.
- [Mi4] J. Milnor, *Hyperbolic components*. Stony Brook IMS 2012/2.
- [Po] A. Poirier, *On postcritically finite polynomials, part one: critical portraits*. Stony Brook IMS preprint 1993/5.
- [Ri] J. Riedl, *Arcs in Multibrot Sets, Locally Connected Julia Sets*. Thesis, Technische Universität München, 2001. http://www.math.sunysb.edu/cgi-bin/theses_by_year.pl?01.
- [Sch] D. Schleicher, *On fibers and local connectivity of Mandelbrot and Multibrot sets*. Fractal geometry and applications: a jubilee of Benoît Mandelbrot. Part 1, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. **72** (2004), 477–517.
- [Se] O. Sester, *Hyperbolicité des polynômes fibrés*. Bull. Soc. Math. France **127** (1999), 3, 393–428.
- [Sl] Z. Ślodkowski, *Extensions of holomorphic motions*. Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (4) **22** (1995) 185–210.
- [Th] W. Thurston, *On the combinatorics of iterated rational maps*, manuscript, 1985.
- [Yo] J. C. Yoccoz, *Théorème de Siegel, nombres de Bruno et polynômes quadratiques*. Petits diviseurs en dimension 1. Astérisque No. 231 (1995), 3–88.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, KYOTO UNIVERSITY, KYOTO 606-8502, JAPAN.
 URL: www.math.kyoto-u.ac.jp/~inou/

FACULTAD DE MATEMÁTICAS, PONTIFICIA UNIVERSIDAD CATÓLICA DE CHILE.
 URL: www.mat.puc.cl/~jkiwi