Anomaly-free representations of the holonomy-flux algebra

SangChul Yoon

128-6 Jongro-Ku Gye-Dong, Seoul 110-270, Korea

November 4, 2018

Abstract

We work on the uniqueness [1] of representations of the holonomy-flux algebra in loop quantum gravity. We argue that for analytic diffeomorphisms, the flux operators can be only constants as functions on the configuration space in representations with no anomaly, which are zero in the standard representation.

In loop quantum gravity¹, the configuration variables are holonomies $h_e[A]$ of a connection field and the momentum variables are surface integrals E(S, f) of a triad field. Quite interestingly, the Poisson brackets between the momentum variables do not vanish. The origin of this non-commutativity comes from the two-dimensional singular smearing of E(S, f) [3] and E(S, f) can be understood as some vector fields X(S, f) on the configuration space \mathcal{A} . In the standard representation, every holonomy operator is multiplication and every flux operator is derivation on the Hilbert space $L^2(\bar{\mathcal{A}}, \mu)$ [4].

Representations of the holonomy-flux algebra were further investigated in [5]. It was motivated by the fact that the momentum variables E(S, f) are not constants² on the configuration space \mathcal{A} and proposed that E(S, f) can be functions F(S, f) on \mathcal{A}

$$\pi(E(S, f)) = X(S, f) + F(S, f)$$
(1)

where a map π is a representation of the holonomy-flux algebra. Later it was found that F(S, f) are real valued assuming that π is covariant with respect to the group of

¹Among many nice introductions, [2] is good for understanding the uniqueness of the holonomy-flux algebra.

²The Poisson brackets between two momentum functions are zero.

the analytic diffeomorphisms [6]. Finally it was shown that F(S, f) vanish by proving that their norms which are obtained from a state via the GNS construction are zero requesting that they are invariant under the group of the semianalytic diffeomorphisms [1].

Whether this uniqueness holds for the analytic diffeomorphisms is an open question. In this paper, we argue that we only have freedom to take some constants besides zero as F(S, f) for the analytic diffeomorphisms provided that there is no anomaly in the representations of the holonomy-flux algebra. Considering the Poisson bracket between $E(S_1, f_1)$ and $E(S_2, f_2)$ is zero if S_1 and S_2 are disjoint, we request that

$$\pi([E(S_1, f_1), E(S_2, f_2)]) = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad S_1 \cap S_2 = \phi.$$
(2)

In a GNS representation, it becomes

$$X(S_1, f_1)(F(S_2, f_2)) - X(S_2, f_2)(F(S_1, f_1)) = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad S_1 \cap S_2 = \phi.$$
(3)

From the definition of E(S, f), we request that

$$F(S_1 \cup S_2, f) = F(S_1, f) + F(S_2, f) - F(S_1 \cap S_2, f).$$
(4)

We do not consider some difficulty with boundaries which is not essential in our purpose or we only consider surfaces which do not include their boundaries.

Theorem 1. Suppose π is a representation of the holonomy-flux algebra and is covariant with respect to the group of the analytic diffeomorphisms. Suppose also, there is no anomaly in the representation. Then, all the $L^2(\bar{A}, \mu)$ functions F(S, f) are constants on the configuration space A.

To show this, we need two properties of Hilbert spaces [7].

Theorem 2. For any vector h and a given basis $\{e_i\}$ in a Hilbert space H, the expansion $h = \sum_i a_i e_i$ is unique.

Theorem 3. For any vector h and a given basis $\{e_i\}$ in a Hilbert space H, $< h, e > \neq 0$ for at most a countable number of vectors e in $\{e_i\}$.

Theorem 2 is obvious and Theorem 3 comes from the condition that any vector has finite norm.

We are going to show that when we express F(S, f) in terms of the spin network basis [8][9], all the edges are trivial.

$$F(S,f) = \sum_{(\alpha,j)} C_{\alpha,j} \phi_{\alpha,j}$$
(5)

where α is a graph and j assigns to each edge of α a non-trivial irreducible representations of SU(2). Because holonomy's dependence on a connection disappears for a trivial edge, Theorem 1 will be proved in this case.

Lemma 4. Any edge e in α lies on S.

Proof. Assume a analytic diffeomorphism ψ with $\psi(S) \cap S = 0$. Take $x \in e$ and $\psi(x) = S \cap \psi(e)$, where e is an edge in a graph α of (5) and $e \not\subset S$. Now consider E(S, f) and $E(\psi(S), (\psi^{-1})^*(f))$. Because $\psi(S) \cap S = 0$, $\pi([E(S, f), E(\psi(S), (\psi^{-1})^*(f))]) = 0$. Therefore there should be a graph in $\{\alpha\}$ which includes the edge $\psi(e)$ to satisfy (3). Because ψ is arbitrary, F(S, f) should be expanded with an uncountable number of graphs. By Theorem 3, F(S, f) can not be $L^2(\bar{\mathcal{A}}, \mu)$. Therefore $e \subset S$.

Lemma 5. To satisfy (4), any edge e in α is trivial.

Proof. By Lemma 4, e lies on S. Suppose S_1 and S_2 in S. Assume $e \subset S_1 \cup S_2$ with $e \not\subset S_1$ and $e \not\subset S_2$. We can see that $F(S_1, f)$, $F(S_2, f)$ and $F(S_1 \cap S_2, f)$ do not have a component containing e by Lemma 4.. Therefore (4) can not be satisfied by Theorem 2. It is possible only when e is trivial.

We thank J. Lee and J. Yoon for useful discussions.

References

- J. Lewandowski, A. Okolow, H. Sahlmann and T. Thiemann, Uniqueness of diffeomorphism invariant states on holonomy-flux algebras, *Commun. Math. Phys.* 267, 703-733 (2006), gr-qc/0504147
- [2] M. Han, W. Huang and Y. Ma, Fundamental structure of loop quantum gravity, Int. J. Mod. Phys. D16, 1397-1474 (2007), gr-qc/0509064
- [3] A. Ashtekar, A. Corichi and J. A. Zapata, Quantum theory of geometry III: Noncommutativity of Riemannian structures, *Class. Quant. Grav.* 15, 2955-2972 (1998), gr-qc/9806041
- [4] A. Ashtekar, J. Lewandowski, D. Marolf, J. Mourao and T. Thiemann, Quantization of diffeomorphism invariant theories of connections with local degrees of freedom, J. Math. Phys. 36, 6456-6493 (1995), gr-qc/9504018
- [5] H. Sahlmann, Some comments on the representation theory of the algebra underlying loop quantum gravity, gr-qc/0207111
- [6] J. Lewandowski and A. Okolow, Diffeomorphism covariant representations of the holonomy flux * algebra, *Class. Quant. Grav.* 20, 3543-3568 (2003), gr-qc/0302059
- [7] J. B. Conway, A Course in Functional Analysis, Springer-Verlag, New York 1990
- [8] C. Rovelli and L. Smolin, Spin networks and quantum gravity, *Phys. Rev.* D52, 5743-5759 (1995), gr-qc/9505006
- [9] J. C. Baez, Spin network states in gauge theory, Adv. Math. 117, 253-272 (1996), gr-qc/9411007