

MONOTONE IMAGES OF CREMER JULIA SETS

ALEXANDER BLOKH AND LEX OVERSTEEGEN

ABSTRACT. We show that if P is a quadratic polynomial with a fixed Cremer point and Julia set J , then for any monotone map $\varphi : J \rightarrow A$ from J onto a locally connected continuum A , A is a single point.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $P : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a complex polynomial of degree d and let J_P be its Julia set. The topological structure of connected Julia sets $J = J_P$ and the dynamics of $P|_J$ have been studied in a number of papers. The best case, from the topological point of view, is the case when J is locally connected. Then J is homeomorphic to the quotient space of the unit circle $S^1 / \sim = J_\sim$ with respect to a specific equivalence relation \sim , called an *invariant lamination*. In this case the map $\sigma : S^1 \rightarrow S^1$, defined by $\sigma(z) = z^d$ on the unit circle in the complex plane \mathbb{C} , induces a map $f_\sim : J_\sim \rightarrow J_\sim$ which is conjugate to the restriction $P|_J$. In the following, spaces like J_\sim are called *topological Julia sets* while the induced maps f_\sim on them are called *topological polynomials*. Thus, in the locally connected case, topological polynomials acting on

Date: August 22, 2007.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 37B45; Secondary: 37F10, 37F20.

Key words and phrases. Complex dynamics; Julia set; Cremer fixed point; monotone decomposition.

The first author was partially supported by NSF grant DMS-0456748.

The second author was partially supported by NSF grant DMS-0405774.

topological (locally connected) Julia sets are good (one-to-one) models for true complex polynomials acting on their Julia sets.

Even if J is not locally connected this approach works in many cases. Let z be a periodic point of period n of a polynomial P . The point z is called an *irrational neutral periodic point* if $(P^n)'(z) = e^{2\pi i\alpha}$ with α irrational. In what follows we refer to such points as *CS-points* (this comes from the fact that all such points are either Cremer or Siegel points). A CS-point p is said to be a *Cremer point* if the power of the map which fixes p is not linearizable in a small neighborhood of p . Suppose that P is a polynomial with connected Julia set and no CS-points. In his fundamental paper [Kiw04] Jan Kiwi obtained for such P an invariant lamination \sim_P on S^1 such that $P|_{J_P}$ is semi-conjugate to the induced map $f_{\sim_P} : J_{\sim_P} \rightarrow J_{\sim_P}$ by a monotone map $m : J_P \rightarrow J_{\sim_P}$ (by *monotone* we mean a continuous map whose point preimages are connected). In addition Kiwi proved in [Kiw04] that for any P -periodic point $p \in J_P$ the set J_P is locally connected at p and $m^{-1} \circ m(p) = \{p\}$.

Thus, Kiwi's approach allows one to describe the dynamics of these polynomials restricted to their Julia sets by means of a certain monotone map onto a locally connected continuum. This dynamically motivated monotone map is a semiconjugacy between the polynomial and the corresponding induced map (in this case the induced map is a topological polynomial). The aim of this paper is to show that in some cases the entire approach which uses modeling of the Julia set by means of a monotone map onto a locally connected continuum breaks down for topological reasons. By a *basic Cremer polynomial* we mean a quadratic polynomial P with a *fixed* Cremer point. Our main result is Theorem 2.2.

Theorem 2.2. *If P is a basic Cremer polynomial and $\varphi : J_P \rightarrow A$ is a monotone map onto a locally connected continuum A , then A is a single point.*

We show in Theorem 2.2 that if P is a basic Cremer polynomial then its Julia set J_P cannot be mapped onto a non-degenerate locally connected continuum by a monotone map. Thus, in the case of a basic Cremer polynomial, studying the Julia set by means of a monotone map onto a locally connected continuum is impossible, and one needs a different approach (see, e.g., [BO06]).

2. MAIN THEOREM

An *unshielded* continuum $K \subset \mathbb{C}$ is a continuum which coincides with the boundary of the infinite complementary component of K . Given an unshielded continuum K we denote by R_α the external (conformal) ray corresponding to the external angle α and by $\Pi_\alpha = \overline{R_\alpha} \setminus R_\alpha$ the corresponding principal set (usually, the continuum is fixed in the beginning of the argument, so we can omit K from the notation; if we do not want to specify the angle we will omit α too). A crosscut C of K is an open arc in $\mathbb{C} \setminus K$ whose closure meets K in two distinct points. Given an external ray R , a crosscut C is said to be *R -transversal* if \overline{C} intersects \overline{R} (topologically transversely) only once; if $t \in R$ then by C_t we always denote an R -transversal crosscut such that $\overline{C}_t \cap \overline{R} = \{t\}$. The *shadow of C* , denoted by $\text{Sh}(C)$, is the bounded component of $\mathbb{C} \setminus (C \cup K)$. Given an external ray R we define the (*induced*) *order* on R so that $x <_R y$ ($x, y \in R$) if and only if the point x is “closer to K on the ray R than y ”.

Our main aim is to prove Theorem 2.2. However in order to do so we first prove a geometric Lemma 2.1 which could be of independent

interest. Given a ray R we call a family of R -transversal crosscuts C_t , $t \in R$, an R -defining family of crosscuts if for each $t \in R$ there exists an R -transversal crosscut C_t such that $\text{diam}(C_t) \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow K$ and $\text{Sh}(C_t) \subset \text{Sh}(C_s)$ if $t <_R s$.

Lemma 2.1. *If K is an unshielded continuum and R is an external ray to K then there exists an R -defining family of R -transversal crosscuts C_t , $t \in R$.*

Proof. Given a point $t \in R$, any R -transversal crosscut C_t consists of two semi-open arcs (half-open arcs) connecting t to K . On the uniformization plane one of them will “grow” from the point corresponding to t in the positive (counterclockwise) direction with respect to the ray; such semi-open arcs will be called *positive arcs at t* . Similarly we define *negative arcs at t* . The infimum of the diameters of all positive arcs at t is denoted by $p(t)$; similarly we define $n(t)$ for negative arcs at t .

By way of contradiction and without loss of generality we may assume that there exists $\gamma > 0$ and a sequence $t_i \rightarrow K$ in R such that $n(t_i) > \gamma$, $i = 1, 2, \dots$. By [Mil00] we can choose a sequence of pairwise disjoint transversal crosscuts C_{h_i} , $h_i \rightarrow K$ so that the area of their shadows $\text{Sh}(C_{h_i})$ and the diameters $\text{diam}(C_{h_i})$ converge to 0. Hence we can find a crosscut $C_{h_j} = C_j$ so that the area of $\text{Sh}(C_j)$ is less than $\gamma^2/99$ and $\text{diam}(C_j) \leq \gamma/99$. Then the negative “half” of C_j , the part of the ray R contained in $\text{Sh}(C_j)$, and the set K enclose an open simply connected domain U on the plane, the “negative half” of $\text{Sh}(C_j)$.

Choose $t = t_i <_R h_j$. Then the arc length of the subarc $[t, h_j]$ in R is more than $2\gamma/3$. Choose a point $x \in U$ so that there is a straight segment from t to x inside U of length less than $\gamma/9$ (since R is a smooth curve such a segment exists). Consider all closed balls \overline{B}

contained in \overline{U} such that $x \in \overline{B}$. By compactness, this family contains a ball $\overline{B} = \overline{B}(y, \varepsilon)$ of maximal radius. Set $\partial\overline{B} = S$. Let us show that the set $A = S \cap \partial U$ has more than one point. Clearly, A is non-empty (otherwise a ball with the same center and slightly bigger radius will contain x and will be contained in \overline{U} , a contradiction). Suppose that $A = \{z\}$ is a single point. A tiny shift of y away from z along the line zy creates a new point y' . We are about to construct a ball centered at y' of radius bigger than ε contained in \overline{U} and containing x which will contradict the assumptions about \overline{B} . Consider two cases.

(1) The angle $\angle xyz$ is obtuse. Consider the ball $\overline{B}' = \overline{B}(y', \varepsilon)$. If y' is sufficiently close to y , then $x \in \overline{B}'$. Moreover, the boundary S' of \overline{B}' consists of two arcs, L' and L'' , where L' is outside B and $L'' \subset B$. Then L' is disjoint from ∂U because it is very close to the half-circle of S which is cut off S by the diameter of B perpendicular to yz and hence positively distant from ∂U . On the other hand, L'' is disjoint from ∂U because $L'' \subset B$. Hence $\overline{B}' \subset U$ and a slightly bigger ball with the same center will contain x and will be contained in U , a contradiction.

(2) The angle $\angle xyz$ is not obtuse. Let H be the line segment through x and perpendicular to the segment yz . Then the component L of $S \setminus H$ not containing z is positively distant from ∂U . Let $p \in S \cap H$. Since the angle of the triangle $\triangle y'zp$ at p is greater than the angle of this triangle at z , we see that $d(y', z) > d(y', p) \geq d(y', x)$. On the other hand, since $\angle xyz$ is not obtuse then $\angle pyy'$ is not acute, and so $d(p, y') = \varepsilon' > d(p, y) = \varepsilon \geq d(x, y)$. Set $B' = B(y', \varepsilon')$. As before, the boundary S' of \overline{B}' consists of two arcs, L' and L'' , where L' is outside B and $L'' \subset B$. Then L' is disjoint from ∂U because it is very close to L and L'' is disjoint from ∂U because $L'' \subset B$, a contradiction since $\varepsilon' > \varepsilon$.

Thus, \overline{B} must intersect ∂U at at least two points. Since the area of $\text{Sh}(C_j)$ is less than $\gamma^2/99$ then $\varepsilon < \gamma/17$. If there is a point $a \in C_j \cap S$ then there is a negative arc at t - the concatenation of the straight segment from t to x , the segment inside \overline{B} from x to a , and the appropriate part of C_j - of diameter less than $\gamma/9 + 2\gamma/17 + \gamma/99 < \gamma$, a contradiction. If there is a point $b \in K \cap S$ then there is a negative arc at t - the concatenation of the straight segment from t to x and the segment inside \overline{B} from x to b - of diameter less than $\gamma/9 + 2\gamma/17 < \gamma$, a contradiction. Hence $M = \overline{B} \cap \partial U = S \cap \partial U \subset R$. On the other hand, by a theorem of Jørgensen (see [Jør56] and [Pom92]) M is connected. Hence M is a non-degenerate subarc of S . Since $d(S, K) > 0$, we can construct another ball \overline{B}' which intersects M only at its endpoints such that $\overline{B}' \cap K = \emptyset$. Then $\overline{B}' \cap R$ cannot be connected since $\overline{B}' \cap R$ misses the entire arc M (except for its endpoints) which contradicts the theorem of Jørgensen. Hence $n(t) \rightarrow 0, p(t) \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow K$ which shows that there is a family of R -transversal crosscuts $C_t, t \in R$, such that $\text{diam}(C_t) \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow K$.

This family will be modified so that $\text{Sh}(C_t) \subset \text{Sh}(C_s)$ if $t <_R s$. Observe that C_t is the union of a negative and a positive arc at t . We modify negative arcs and positive arcs separately and since it does not matter which side we consider we denote the one-sided arcs we deal with by S_t . Choose C_t so that for all $s \leq_R t$ we have $\text{diam}(C_s) \leq \varepsilon$ for a small ε , follow the ray beyond t towards K , and denote the segment of the ray from t to a point $s \in R$ with $s <_R t$ by $Q(t, s)$. Let Π be the principal set of R and consider two cases.

(1) Suppose that for every $s, s <_R t$ we have $d(s, t) < 3\varepsilon$. By definition S_t is positively distant from Π ; let $\delta = \text{dist}(S_t, \Pi) > 0$ and choose $u <_R t$ so that for all $s \leq_R u$ we have $\text{diam}(S_s) < \min(\varepsilon/9, \delta/99)$ and

$\text{dist}(u, \Pi) < \min(\varepsilon/9, \delta/99)$. Then S_u is disjoint from S_t by the choice of δ . Since the ray is smooth, it is easy to see that we can create a family of short pairwise disjoint arcs A_v from points $v \in Q(t, u)$ to S_t of diameter less than 4ε where each connector ends at a point $e_v \in S_t$; moreover, these arcs can be chosen disjoint from S_u and each other and such that $A_v \cap R = \{v\}$. Denote the union of A_v and the piece of S_t from e_v to K by S'_v . Then the family $S'_v, v \in Q(t, u)$ together with $S_t = S'_t$ and $S_u = S'_u$ are the required crosscuts.

(2) Suppose that there is the first point $u \in R, s <_R t$ such that $\text{dist}(t, u) = 3\varepsilon$. Then S_u is disjoint from S_t , and we can proceed the same way as before. That is, we get a family of negative arcs $S'_v, v \in Q(t, u)$ which together with $S_t = S'_t$ and $S_u = S'_u$ satisfies the second condition of the lemma and $\text{diam}(S'_v) < 5\varepsilon$.

Let us proceed with this construction. If case (1) takes place then on the next step we replace ε by $\varepsilon/9$. If case (2) takes place we may need to make several steps until we finally get u such that for all $s \leq_R u$ we have $\text{diam}(S_s) < \varepsilon/9$. From this time on we proceed with ε replaced by $\varepsilon/9$. Clearly, this way we complete the construction and thus the proof of the lemma. \square

Given an external ray R_α and an R_α -defining family of crosscuts C_t one can define the impression by $\text{Imp}(\alpha) = \bigcap_{t \in R_\alpha} \overline{Sh(C_t)}$. It can be easily shown that this definition is equivalent to the standard one and that $\text{Imp}(\alpha)$ is independent of the choice of the R_α -defining family of crosscuts [Pom92].

Let us now state a few facts about basic Cremer polynomials P (see, e.g., [GMO99]). The notation introduced here will be used from now on. For convenience, parameterize quadratic polynomials P as $z^2 + v$. Denote the Cremer fixed point of P by p and the critical point of P

by c ($c = 0$, however we will still denote the critical point of P by c). Also, denote by σ the angle doubling map of the circle. It is well-known that if $P'(p) = e^{2\pi i\rho}$ then there exists a special *rotational* Cantor set $F \subset S^1$ such that σ restricted on F is semiconjugate to the irrational rotation by the angle $2\pi\rho$ [BS94]; the semiconjugacy ψ is not one-to-one only on the endpoints of countably many intervals complementary to F in S^1 (ψ maps the endpoints of each such interval into one point). Of the complementary intervals the most important one is the *critical leaf (diameter)* with the endpoints denoted below by α and $\beta = \alpha + 1/2$ (for definiteness we assume that $0 < \alpha < 1/2$). The limit set $F = \omega(\alpha)$ is exactly the set of points whose entire orbits are contained in $[\alpha, \beta]$ where the arc is taken counterclockwise from α to β . By Theorem 4.3 of [GMO99] we have that $p \in \text{Imp}(\gamma)$ for every $\gamma \in F$, and $\{p, c, -p\} \subset \text{Imp}(\alpha) \cap \text{Imp}(\beta)$.

Theorem 2.2. *If P is a basic Cremer polynomial and $\varphi : J_P \rightarrow A$ is a monotone map onto a locally connected continuum A , then A is a single point.*

Proof. Set $J = J_P$. By way of contradiction suppose that $\varphi : J \rightarrow A$ is a monotone map onto a locally connected non-degenerate continuum A . Since J (and hence all its subcontinua) is non-separating then by Moore's Theorem [Moo25] the map Φ , defined on the entire complex plane \mathbb{C} , and identifying precisely *fibers* (point-preimages) of φ has \mathbb{C} as its range. This implies that $\Phi(J) = \varphi(J) = A$ is a *dendrite* (locally connected continuum containing no simple closed curve). External (conformal) rays R_α in the J -plane are then mapped into continuous pairwise disjoint curves $\varphi(R_\alpha)$ in the A -plane; below we call the

curves $\varphi(R_\alpha)$ *A-rays* even though the construction is purely topological. Clearly, if $R_\alpha = R$ lands then so does $\varphi(R)$ (i.e., $\varphi(R)$ converges to a point). Let us show that in fact $\varphi(R)$ lands even if R does not (in which case the principal set Π of R is not a singleton). By Lemma 2.1 there exists an R -defining family of crosscuts C_t . Since φ is continuous then $\text{diam}(\varphi(C_t)) \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow J$. Suppose that there is a sequence $t_n \rightarrow J$ such that $\overline{\varphi(C_{t_n})}$ is an arc for all $t_n \in R$ (and hence a crosscut of A) and these crosscuts are all pairwise disjoint. Since A is locally connected then by Carathéodory theory $\varphi(C_{t_n})$ converges to a unique point $x \in A$ which implies that in fact $\varphi(C_t) \rightarrow x$ as $t \rightarrow J$ and $\varphi(R)$ lands. Otherwise denote by N_t the “negative half” of C_t . Without loss of generality we may assume that there exists $t \in R$ such that for all $s <_R t$ in R , all $\overline{\varphi(N_s)}$ have the same point, say, z , in common, which immediately implies that $\varphi(R)$ lands at z .

The union U of P -preimages of the points p and c is countable, and so is the set $\varphi(U)$. By Theorem 10.23 of [Nad92] A has countably many branch points. Hence A contains uncountably many *cutpoints* of order 2 which do not belong to $\varphi(U)$, i.e. points $x \notin \varphi(U)$ such that $A \setminus \{x\}$ consists of exactly 2 components. Choose such a cutpoint $x \in A$ and denote the two components of $A \setminus \{x\}$ by B and C . Let us show that there are at least two A -rays landing at x and cutting the entire plane into two half-planes each of which contains a component of $A \setminus \{x\}$. Indeed, consider A -rays $\varphi(R_{\alpha'})$ and $\varphi(R_{\beta'})$ landing in B . Then there are two arcs into which α', β' divide the circle, and exactly one of them contains only angles whose A -rays land in B . Hence the entire set of angles whose A -rays land in B is contained in an open arc, say, Q_B . Similarly, the set of angles whose A -rays land in C is contained in an open arc Q_C . Clearly, $S^1 \setminus (Q_B \cup Q_C)$ is the union of two closed arcs

or points, and two angles - one from each of the components - would give rise to the desired two rays. Denote these angles by α'' and β'' .

It follows that the fiber $Z = \varphi^{-1}(x)$ contains both principal sets $\Pi_{\alpha''}$ and $\Pi_{\beta''}$. Also, Z cuts J into two connected sets (φ -preimages of B and C). Finally, no forward P -image of Z contains c or p . Let us now study the P -trajectory of Z . First we show that there exists no n such that $\sigma^n(\alpha'') = \sigma^n(\beta'') \pm 1/2$. Indeed, otherwise $P^n(Z)$ contains $\Pi_{\sigma^n(\alpha'')}$ and $\Pi_{\sigma^n(\beta'')} = -\Pi_{\sigma^n(\alpha'')}$. Since $c = 0 \notin P^n(Z)$ (by the choice of x) then there exists $y \in P^n(Z), y \neq 0$ such that $-y \in P^n(Z)$ too. Then $P|_{P^n(Z)}$ is not a homeomorphism. By a theorem of Heath (see [Hea96]) it follows that then $P^n(Z)$ *must* contain a critical point, a contradiction.

Now, given two angles θ, θ' we define $d(\theta, \theta')$ as the length of the shortest arc between θ and θ' (we normalize the circle so that its length is equal to 1). It is easy to see that $d(\sigma(\theta), \sigma(\theta')) = T(d(\theta, \theta'))$ where $T : [0, 1/2] \rightarrow [0, 1/2]$ is the appropriate scaling of the full tent map. The dynamics of T shows then that there exists m such that $d(\sigma^m(\alpha''), \sigma^m(\beta'')) \geq 1/3$ and by the previous paragraph we may also assume that $d(\sigma^m(\alpha''), \sigma^m(\beta'')) < 1/2$. Let $P'(p) = e^{2\pi i \rho}$ and $F \subset S^1$ be the rotational Cantor set such that σ restricted on F is semiconjugate to the irrational rotation by the angle $2\pi\rho$. Since the longest complementary arcs to the union of two Cantor sets $F \cup (F + 1/2)$ are of length $1/4$, we see that the shorter open arc complementary to $\sigma^m(\alpha''), \sigma^m(\beta'')$ contains points of the set F (or $F + 1/2$) and then since its length is less than $1/2$ the other arc contains points of the same set too. However the closed connected set $P^m(R_{\alpha''} \cup Z \cup R_{\beta''})$ does not contain p (or, respectively, $-p$). Choose an angle of F (resp. $F + 1/2$) which belongs to the arc of the circle at infinity corresponding to the

part of the plane not containing p (resp. $-p$). Then its impression does not contain p (resp. $-p$), a contradiction. \square

REFERENCES

- [BO06] A. Blokh and L. Oversteegen, *The Julia sets of quadratic Cremer polynomials*, Topology and Its Applications (to appear).
- [BS94] S. Bullett and P. Sentenac, *Ordered orbits of the shift, square roots, and the devil's staircase*, Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. **115** (1994), pp. 451–481.
- [GMO99] J. Grispolakis, J. Mayer, and L. Oversteegen, *Building blocks for Julia sets*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **351** (1999), 1203–1225.
- [Hea96] J. Heath, *Each locally one-to-one map from a continuum onto a tree-like continuum is a homeomorphism*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **124** (1996), 2571–2573.
- [Kiw04] J. Kiwi, *Real laminations and the topological dynamics of complex polynomials*, Advances in Math. **184** (2004), no. 2, pp. 207–267.
- [Jør56] V. Jørgensen, *On an inequality for the hyperbolic measure and its application in the theory of functions*, Math. Scand. **4** (1956), pp. 113–124.
- [Mil00] J. Milnor, *Dynamics in one complex variable*, 2nd edition, Vieweg, Wiesbaden (2000).
- [Moo25] R. L. Moore, *Concerning upper semi-continuous collections of continua*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **27** (1925), no. 4, 416–428.
- [Nad92] S. Nadler, Jr, *Continuum theory. An introduction*. Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics **158**, Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York (1992).
- [Pom92] Ch. Pommerenke, *Boundary behavior of conformal maps*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg (1992).

(Alexander Blokh and Lex Oversteegen) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF ALABAMA AT BIRMINGHAM, BIRMINGHAM, AL 35294-1170

E-mail address, Alexander Blokh: `ablokh@math.uab.edu`

E-mail address, Lex Oversteegen: `overstee@math.uab.edu`