

ON THE ESSENTIAL SPECTRUM OF NADIRASHVILI-MARTIN-MORALES MINIMAL SURFACES

G. PACELLI BESSA, LUQUESIO P. JORGE, AND J. FABIO MONTENEGRO

ABSTRACT. We show that the spectrum of a complete submanifold properly immersed into a ball of a Riemannian manifold is discrete, provided the norm of the mean curvature vector is sufficiently small. In particular, the spectrum of a complete minimal surface properly immersed into a ball of \mathbb{R}^3 is discrete. This gives a positive answer to a question of Yau [22].

1. INTRODUCTION

An interesting problem in the Geometry of the Laplacian is to understand the relations of the geometry of a Riemannian manifold and its spectrum. For instance, to understand the restrictions on the geometry of a Riemannian manifold implying that its spectrum is purely continuous or discrete. There are several important work along these lines. See [5], [6], [8], [11], [19], [21] for geometric conditions implying that the spectrum is purely continuous and [2], [7], [9], [12], [13] for geometric conditions implying that the spectrum is discrete.

Since every complete Riemannian m -manifold can be realized as a complete submanifold embedded into a ball of radius r of an n -dimensional Euclidean space, with n depending only on m , see [18], it would be important to understand the relations between the spectrum and the extrinsic geometry of bounded embeddings of complete Riemannian manifolds in Euclidean spaces. A particularly interesting aspect of this problem is the spectrum related part of the so called *Calabi-Yau conjectures on minimal surfaces*.

Yau in his 2000 millennium lectures [22], [23], revisiting these conjectures, wrote: *It is known [17] that there are complete minimal surfaces properly immersed into a [open] ball. ... Are their spectrum discrete?* It is worthwhile to point out that it is not clear that the Nadirashvili's complete bounded minimal surface [17] is *properly immersed*. However, in [15], [16], F. Martin and S. Morales constructed, for any open convex subset B of \mathbb{R}^3 , a complete proper minimal immersions $\varphi: \mathbb{D} \hookrightarrow B$, where \mathbb{D} is the standard disk on \mathbb{R}^2 . The Martin-Morales' method is a highly non-trivial refinement of Nadirashvili's method, thus we name, (as we should), these complete properly immersed minimal surfaces into convex subsets B of \mathbb{R}^3 as Nadirashvili-Martin-Morales minimal surfaces.

The purpose of this paper is to answer positively Yau's question. In fact, we show as a particular case of our main result that the spectrum of any Nadirashvili-Martin-Morales minimal surface is discrete if the convex set B is a ball $B_{\mathbb{R}^3}(r)$ of \mathbb{R}^3 . We prove the following.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $\varphi: M \hookrightarrow B_{\mathbb{R}^3}(r) \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ be a complete surface, properly immersed into a ball. If the norm of the mean curvature vector H of M satisfies*

$$\sup_M |H| < 2/r$$

then M has discrete spectrum.

Date: November 4, 2018.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 53C40, 53C42; Secondary 58C40.

Key words and phrases. Pure point spectrum, essential spectrum, proper bounded minimal submanifolds.

The first author was partially supported by a CNPq-grant.

Our main result Theorem 1.2 is a natural generalization of Theorem 1.1. It shows that the spectrum of a complete properly immersed submanifold $\varphi: M \hookrightarrow B_N(r)$ is discrete provided the norm of the mean curvature vector $H = \text{Tr} \alpha$ is sufficiently small. Here $B_N(r) \subset N$ is a normal geodesic ball of radius r of a Riemannian manifold N and α is the second fundamental form. In the following we denote

$$(1.1) \quad C_b(t) = \begin{cases} \sqrt{b} \cot(\sqrt{b}t) & \text{if } b > 0, \\ 1/t & \text{if } b = 0, \\ \sqrt{-b} \coth(\sqrt{-b}t) & \text{if } b < 0. \end{cases}$$

Theorem 1.2. *Let $\varphi: M \hookrightarrow B_N(r)$ be a complete m -submanifold properly immersed into a geodesic ball, centered at p with radius r , of a Riemannian n -manifold N . Let $b = \sup K_N^{\text{rad}}$ where K_N^{rad} are the radial sectional curvatures along the geodesics issuing from p . Assume that $r < \min\{\text{inj}_N(p), \pi/2\sqrt{b}\}$, where $\pi/2\sqrt{b} = +\infty$ if $b \leq 0$. If the norm of the mean curvature vector H satisfies,*

$$\sup_M |H| < m \cdot C_b(r),$$

then M has discrete spectrum.

The properness condition is a marginal technical hypothesis in Theorem (1.2). It is used only to choose a natural sequence of compact subsets of M so that we can construct a sequence of positive smooth functions on their complements. The result should hold without it.

Isabel Salavessa in a beautiful paper [20], generalized Theorem (1.2) in the minimal case proving discreteness of the spectrum of X -bounded minimal submanifolds of Riemannian manifolds carrying strongly convex vector field X .

A Riemannian manifold M is said to be *stochastically complete* if for some (and therefore, for any) $(x, t) \in M \times (0, +\infty)$ it holds that

$$\int_M p(x, y, t) dy = 1,$$

where $p(x, y, t)$ is the heat kernel of the Laplacian operator. Otherwise, the manifold M is said to be *stochastically incomplete* (for further details about this see, for instance, [?]). It seems to have a close relation between discreteness of the spectrum of a complete noncompact Riemannian manifolds and stochastic incompleteness. For instance, it was proved in [1] that submanifolds satisfying the hypotheses of Theorem (1.2), (without the properness condition) are stochastically incomplete. M. Harmer [9], shows that stochastic incompleteness implies discreteness of the spectrum in a certain class of Riemannian manifolds. Based on these evidences, we believe that the following conjecture should be true.

Conjecture 1.3. *A complete noncompact Riemannian manifold has discrete spectrum if and only if is stochastically incomplete.*

2. PRELIMINARIES.

Let M be a complete noncompact Riemannian manifold. The Laplacian Δ acting on $C_0^\infty(M)$ has a unique self-adjoint extension to an unbounded operator acting on $L^2(M)$, also denoted by Δ , whose domain are those functions $f \in L^2(M)$ such that $\Delta f \in L^2(M)$ and whose spectrum $\Sigma(M) \subset [0, \infty)$ decomposes as $\Sigma(M) = \Sigma_p(M) \cup \Sigma_{ess}(M)$ where $\Sigma_p(M)$ is formed by eigenvalues with finite multiplicity and $\Sigma_{ess}(M)$ is formed by accumulation points of the spectrum and by the eigenvalues with infinite multiplicity. It is said that M has discrete spectrum if $\Sigma_{ess}(M) = \emptyset$ and that M has purely continuous spectrum if $\Sigma_p(M) = \emptyset$.

If $K \subset M$ is a compact manifold with boundary, of the same dimension as M then there is a self-adjoint extension Δ' of the Laplacian Δ of $M \setminus K$ by imposing Dirichlet conditions.

The *Decomposition Principle* [7] says that Δ and Δ' have the same essential spectrum $\Sigma_{ess}(M) = \Sigma_{ess}(M \setminus K)$. On the other hand, the bottom of the spectrum of $M \setminus K$ is equal to the fundamental tone of $M \setminus K$, i.e. $\inf \Sigma(M \setminus K) = \lambda^*(M \setminus K)$, where

$$\lambda^*(M \setminus K) = \inf \left\{ \frac{\int_{M \setminus K} |\text{grad } f|^2}{\int_{M \setminus K} f^2}, f \in C_0^\infty(M \setminus K) \setminus \{0\} \right\}.$$

To give lower estimates for $\lambda^*(M \setminus K)$ we need of the following version of Barta's Theorem.

Theorem 2.1 (Barta, [3]). *Let $\Omega \subset M$ be an open subset of a Riemannian manifold M and let $f \in C^2(\Omega)$, $f|_\Omega > 0$. Then*

$$(2.1) \quad \lambda^*(\Omega) \geq \inf_{\Omega} (-\Delta f / f).$$

Proof: Let $X = -\text{grad } \log f$ be a C^1 vector field in Ω . It was proved in [4] that

$$\lambda^*(\Omega) \geq \inf_{\Omega} (\text{div } X - |X|^2) = \inf_{\Omega} \left(-\frac{\Delta f}{f}\right).$$

The second main ingredient of our proof is the Hessian comparison theorem.

Theorem 2.2. *Let M^m be a Riemannian manifold and $x_0, x_1 \in M$ be such that there is a minimizing unit speed geodesic γ joining x_0 and x_1 and let $\rho(x) = \text{dist}(x_0, x)$ be the distance function to x_0 . Let $a \leq K_\gamma \leq b$ be the radial sectional curvatures of M along γ . If $b > 0$ assume $\rho(x_1) < \pi/2\sqrt{b}$. Then, we have $\text{Hess}\rho(x)(\gamma', \gamma') = 0$ and*

$$(2.2) \quad C_a(\rho(x))\|X\|^2 \geq \text{Hess}\rho(x)(X, X) \geq C_b(\rho(x))\|X\|^2$$

where $X \in T_x M$ is perpendicular to $\gamma'(\rho(x))$.

Let $\varphi : M \hookrightarrow W$ be an isometric immersion of a complete Riemannian m -manifold M into a Riemannian n -manifold W with second fundamental form α . Consider a C^2 -function $g : W \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and the composition $f = g \circ \varphi : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Identifying X with $d\varphi(X)$ we have at $q \in M$ that the Hessian of f is given by

$$(2.3) \quad \text{Hess } f(q)(X, Y) = \text{Hess } g(\varphi(q))(X, Y) + \langle \text{grad } g, \alpha(X, Y) \rangle_{\varphi(q)}.$$

Taking the trace in (2.3), with respect to an orthonormal basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_m\}$ for $T_q M$, we have the Laplacian of f ,

$$(2.4) \quad \Delta f(q) = \sum_{i=1}^m \text{Hess } g(\varphi(q))(e_i, e_i) + \langle \text{grad } g, \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha(e_i, e_i) \rangle.$$

The formulas (2.3) and (2.4) are well known in the literature, see [10].

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2

Let $K_1 \subset K_2 \subset \dots$ be an exhaustion sequence of M by compact sets. The *Decomposition Principle* states that M and $M \setminus K_i$ have the same essential spectrum, $\Sigma_{ess}(M) = \Sigma_{ess}(M \setminus K_i)$. Therefore, the Theorem 1.2 is proved if we show that $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \lambda^*(M \setminus K_i) = \infty$ since $\lambda^*(M \setminus K_i) \leq \inf \Sigma_{ess}(M \setminus K_i)$.

By hypothesis we have a complete m -submanifold $\varphi : M \hookrightarrow B_N(r)$ properly immersed into a ball $B_N(r) = B_N(p, r)$ with center at p and radius r in a Riemannian n -manifold N with radial sectional curvatures K_N^{rad} along the radial geodesics issuing from p bounded as $a = \inf K_N^{\text{rad}} \leq K_N^{\text{rad}} \leq b = \sup K_N^{\text{rad}}$ in $B_N(r)$, where $r < \min\{\text{inj}_N(p), \pi/2\sqrt{b}\}$. Here we replace $\pi/2\sqrt{b}$ by $+\infty$ if $b \leq 0$.

Define a function $v : B_N(p, r) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $v(y) = \phi_a(\rho(y))$, where $\phi_a : [0, r] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$(3.1) \quad \phi_a(t) = \begin{cases} \cos(\sqrt{a}t) - \cos(\sqrt{a}r) & \text{if } a > 0, \quad t < \pi/2\sqrt{a}, \\ r^2 - t^2 & \text{if } a = 0, \\ \cosh(\sqrt{-a}r) - \cosh(\sqrt{-a}t) & \text{if } a < 0. \end{cases}$$

Observe that $\phi(t) > 0$ in $[0, r)$, $\phi_a(r) = 0$, $\phi'_a(t) < 0$ and $\phi''_a(t) - C_a(t)\phi'_a(t) = 0$ in $[0, r]$. This function ϕ_a we learned from Markvorsen [14]. Let $f: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by $f = v \circ \varphi$ and consider an exhaustion sequence of M by compact sets $K_i = \varphi^{-1}\left(\overline{B_N(p, r_i)}\right)$, where $r_i < r$, $r_i \rightarrow r$. By Barta's Theorem we have that $\lambda^*(M \setminus K_i) \geq \inf_{M \setminus K_i}\left(\frac{-\Delta f}{f}\right)$.

Now by (2.4) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta f(x) &= \sum_{i=1}^m \text{Hess}_N v(\varphi(x))(e_i, e_i) + \langle \text{grad } v, \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha(e_i, e_i) \rangle. \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^m \text{Hess}_N v(\varphi(y))(e_i, e_i) + \langle \text{grad } v, H \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

The metric of N inside the normal geodesic ball $B_N(p, r)$ can be written in polar coordinates as $ds^2 = dt^2 + |A(t, \xi)|^2 d\xi^2$, $\mathcal{A}(t, \xi)$ satisfies the Jacobi equation $\mathcal{A}'' + \mathcal{R}\mathcal{A} = 0$ with initial conditions $\mathcal{A}(0, \xi) = 0$, $\mathcal{A}'(0, \xi) = I$. We have at the point $\varphi(x)$ an orthonormal basis $\{\partial/\partial t, \partial/\partial \xi_1, \dots, \partial/\partial \xi_{n-1}\}$ for $T_{\varphi(x)}N$. We may choose an orthonormal basis for $T_x(M \setminus K_i)$ as $e_1 = \langle e_1, \partial/\partial t \rangle \cdot \partial/\partial t + e_1^\perp$, where $e_1^\perp \perp \partial/\partial t$ and $\{e_2, \dots, e_m\} \subset \{\partial/\partial \xi_1, \dots, \partial/\partial \xi_{n-1}\}$. Computing $\text{Hess}_N v(\varphi(x))(e_i, e_i)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hess}_N v(\varphi(x))(e_1, e_1) &= [\phi''_a(t) - \phi'_a(t) \cdot \text{Hess}_N \rho(e_1^\perp/|e_1^\perp|, e_1^\perp/|e_1^\perp|)] \langle e_1, \text{grad } \rho \rangle^2 \\ &\quad + \phi'_a(t) \cdot \text{Hess}_N \rho(e_1^\perp/|e_1^\perp|, e_1^\perp/|e_1^\perp|) \\ (3.2) \quad &= \phi'_a(t) \cdot [C_a(t) - \text{Hess}_N \rho(e_1^\perp/|e_1^\perp|, e_1^\perp/|e_1^\perp|)] \langle e_1, \text{grad } \rho \rangle^2 \\ &\quad + \phi'_a(t) \cdot \text{Hess}_N \rho(e_1^\perp, e_1^\perp) \end{aligned}$$

and for $i \geq 2$

$$(3.3) \quad \text{Hess}_N v(\varphi(x))(e_i, e_i) = \phi'_a(t) \cdot \text{Hess}_N \rho(e_i, e_i)$$

where $t = \rho(\varphi(x))$. Now,

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta f &= -\phi'_a(t) \cdot [C_a(t) - \text{Hess}_N \rho(e_1^\perp/|e_1^\perp|, e_1^\perp/|e_1^\perp|)] \langle e_1, \text{grad } \rho \rangle^2 \\ &\quad - \phi'_a(t) \cdot \left[\text{Hess}_N \rho(e_1^\perp/|e_1^\perp|, e_1^\perp/|e_1^\perp|) + \sum_{i=2}^m \text{Hess}_N \rho(e_i, e_i) \right] \\ &\quad - \phi'_a(t) \langle \text{grad } \rho, H \rangle \\ &\geq -\phi'_a(t) \cdot [m \cdot C_b(t) - \sup |H|] \end{aligned}$$

We used that $C_a(t) \geq \text{Hess}_N \rho(e_1^\perp/|e_1^\perp|, e_1^\perp/|e_1^\perp|) \geq C_b(t)$, $\text{Hess}_N \rho(e_i, e_i) \geq C_b(t)$ by the Hessian Comparison Theorem and that $-\phi'_a(t) > 0$.

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda^*(M \setminus K_i) &\geq \inf_{M \setminus K_i} \left(-\frac{\Delta f}{f}\right) \geq \inf_{M \setminus K_i} -\frac{\phi'_a(t)}{\phi_a(t)} [m \cdot C_b(t) - \sup |H|] \\ (3.4) \quad &\geq -\frac{\phi'_a(r_i)}{\phi_a(r_i)} [m \cdot C_b(r) - \sup |H|] \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\lambda^*(M \setminus K_i) \rightarrow \infty$ as $r_i \rightarrow r$. This proves Theorem (1.2).

4. CYLINDRICALLY BOUNDED SUBMANIFOLDS.

Let $\varphi: M^m \hookrightarrow B_N(r) \times \mathbb{R}^\ell \subset N^{n-\ell} \times \mathbb{R}^\ell$, $m \geq \ell + 1$, be an isometric immersion of a complete Riemannian m -manifold M^m into the $B_N(r) \times \mathbb{R}^\ell$, where $B_N(r)$ is a geodesic ball in a Riemannian $(n - \ell)$ -manifold $N^{n-\ell}$, centered at a point p with radius r . Let $b = \sup K_N^{\text{rad}}$

where K_N^{rad} are the radial sectional curvatures along the geodesics issuing from p . Assume that $r < \min\{\text{inj}_N(p), \pi/2\sqrt{b}\}$, where $\pi/2\sqrt{b} = +\infty$ if $b \leq 0$.

Theorem 4.1. *Suppose that $\varphi: M^m \hookrightarrow B_N(r) \times \mathbb{R}^\ell$ as above satisfies the following.*

1. *For every $s < r$, the set $\varphi^{-1}(B_N(s) \times \mathbb{R}^\ell)$ is compact in M .*
2. *$\sup_M |H| < (m - \ell)C_b(r)$ where $|H|(x)$ is norm of the mean curvature vector of $\varphi(M)$ at $\varphi(x)$.*

Then M has discrete spectrum.

Observe that the condition 1. is a stronger property than being a proper immersion except when $\ell = 0$.

Proof: As before, let $r_i \rightarrow r$ be a sequence of positive real numbers $r_i < r$ and the compact sets $K_i = \varphi^{-1}(B_N(r_i) \times \mathbb{R}^\ell)$. We need only to show that $\lambda^*(M \setminus K_i) \rightarrow \infty$ as $r_i \rightarrow r$. Define v on $B_N(r) \times \mathbb{R}^\ell$ by $v(x, y) = \phi_a(\rho(x))$, where $\rho(x) = \text{dist}_N(p, x)$, ϕ_a given in (3.1) and $a = \inf K_N^{\text{rad}}$. Let $f = v \circ \varphi: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. We have by (2.4)

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Delta f(x) &= \sum_{i=1}^m \text{Hess}_{N \times \mathbb{R}^\ell} v(\varphi(x))(e_i, e_i) + \langle \text{grad } v, H \rangle. \\
 (4.1) \quad &= \sum_{i=1}^m \text{Hess}_N(\phi_a \circ \rho)(\varphi(x))(e_i, e_i) + \langle \text{grad}(\phi_a \circ \rho), H \rangle.
 \end{aligned}$$

At $\varphi(x) = (y_1, y_2)$, consider the orthonormal basis

$$\overbrace{\{\partial/\partial t, \partial/\partial \xi_1, \dots, \partial/\partial \xi_{n-\ell-1}\}}^{\text{Polar basis}}, \overbrace{\{\partial/\partial s_1, \dots, \partial/\partial s_\ell\}}^{\text{Cartesian basis}}$$

for $T_{(y_1, y_2)}N^{n-\ell} \times \mathbb{R}^\ell = T_{y_1}N^{n-\ell} \oplus T_{y_2}\mathbb{R}^\ell$. Choose an orthonormal basis $\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_m\}$ as follows

$$e_i = a_i \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \sum_{j=1}^{n-\ell-1} b_{ij} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_j} + \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} c_{ij} \frac{\partial}{\partial s_j}.$$

Using that $\phi'_a(t) < 0$, $\phi''_a = C_a(t)\phi'_a(t)$ and $\text{Hess}\rho(y_1)(\partial/\partial \xi_j, \partial/\partial \xi_j) \geq C_b(t)$ for all $j = 1, \dots, n - \ell - 1$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Hess}\phi_a \circ \rho(y_1)(e_i, e_i) &= \phi''_a(t)a_i^2 + \phi'_a(t) \sum_{j=2}^{n-\ell-1} b_{ij}^2 \text{Hess}\rho(y_1)(\partial/\partial \xi_j, \partial/\partial \xi_j) \\
 &\leq \phi''_a(t)a_i^2 + \phi'_a(t) \sum_{j=2}^{n-\ell-1} b_{ij}^2 C_b(t) \\
 &= C_a(t)\phi'_a(t)a_i^2 + \phi'_a(t)(1 - a_i^2 - \sum_{k=1}^{\ell} c_{ik}^2)C_b(t) \\
 &= \phi'_a(t)a_i^2(C_a(t) - C_b(t)) + \phi'_a(t)(1 - \sum_{k=1}^{\ell} c_{ik}^2)C_b(t) \\
 &\leq \phi'_a(t)(1 - \sum_{k=1}^{\ell} c_{ik}^2)C_b(t)
 \end{aligned}$$

since $C_a(t) \geq C_b(t)$ and where $t = \rho(y_1)$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} -\sum_{i=1}^n \text{Hess}\phi_a \circ \rho(y_1)(e_i, e_i) &\geq -\phi'_a(t)(m - \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{k=1}^{\ell} c_{ik}^2)C_b(t) \\ &\geq -\phi'_a(t)(m - \ell)C_b(t) \end{aligned}$$

From this we have that

$$(4.2) \quad -\frac{\Delta f}{f}(x) \geq -\frac{\phi'_a(t)}{\phi_a(t)} \left[(m - \ell)C_b(t) - \sup_M |H| \right]$$

so that

$$\inf_{M \setminus K_i} \left(-\frac{\Delta f}{f} \right) \geq -\frac{\phi'_a(r_i)}{\phi_a(r_i)} \left[(m - \ell)C_b(r) - \sup_M |H| \right].$$

Therefore $\inf_{M \setminus K_i} \left(-\frac{\Delta f}{f} \right) \rightarrow +\infty$ as $r_i \rightarrow r$ proving Theorem (4.1).

REFERENCES

1. L. Alias, G. P. Bessa, M. Dajczer, *Counterexamples to Calabi conjectures on minimal hypersurfaces cannot be proper*. arXiv/math:0812.623v1.
2. A. Baidier, *Noncompact Riemannian manifolds with discrete spectra*. J. Diff. Geom. **14**, (1979), 41–57.
3. J. Barta, *Sur la vibration fondamentale d'une membrane*. C. R. Acad. Sci. **204**, (1937), 472–473.
4. G. P. Bessa and J. F. Montenegro, *An extension of Barta's Theorem and geometric applications*. Ann. Global Anal. and Geom. **31**, (2007), 345–362.
5. H. Donnelly, *Negative curvature and embedded eigenvalues*. Math. Z. **203**, (1990), 301–308.
6. H. Donnelly and N. Garofalo, *Riemannian manifolds whose Laplacian have purely continuous spectrum*. Math. Ann. **293**, (1992), 143–161.
7. H. Donnelly and P. Li, *Pure point spectrum and negative curvature for noncompact manifolds*. Duke Math. J. **46**, (1979), 497–503.
8. E. Escobar, *On the spectrum of the Laplacian on complete Riemannian manifolds*. Comm. Partial Differ. Equations **11**, (1985), 63–85.
9. M. Harmer, *Discreteness of the spectrum of the Laplace-Beltrami operator*. Arxiv:math/0701564v1.
10. L. Jorge and D. Koutrofiotis, *An estimate for the curvature of bounded submanifolds*. Amer. J. Math., **103**, (1980), 711–725.
11. L. Karp, *Noncompact manifolds with purely continuous spectrum*. Mich. Math. J. **31**, (1984), 339–347.
12. R. Kleine, *Discreteness conditions for the Laplacian on complete noncompact Riemannian manifolds*. Math. Z., **198**, (1988), 127–141.
13. R. Kleine, *Warped products with discrete spectra*. Results Math. **15**, (1989), 81–103.
14. S. Markvorsen, *On the mean exit time from a minimal submanifold*. J. Differential Geom. **29**, (1989), 1–8.
15. F. Martín and S. Morales, *Complete proper minimal surfaces in convex bodies of \mathbb{R}^3* . Duke Math. J. **128**, (2005), 559–593.
16. F. Martín and S. Morales, *Complete proper minimal surfaces in convex bodies of \mathbb{R}^3 . II. The behavior of the limit set*. Comment. Math. Helv. **81**, (2006), 699–725.
17. N. Nadirashvili, *Hadamard's and Calabi-Yau's conjectures on negatively curved and minimal surfaces*. Invent. Math., **126**, (1996), 457–465.
18. J. Nash, *The imbedding problem for Riemannian manifolds*. Ann. of Math. (2) **63**, (1956) 20–63.
19. F. Rellich, *Über das asymptotische Verhalten der Lösungen von $\Delta u + \lambda u = 0$ in unendlichen Gebieten*. Jahresber. Dtsch. Math.-Ver. **53**, (1943), 57–65.
20. I. Salavessa, *On the spectrum of \bar{X} -bounded minimal submanifolds*. Arxiv0901-1246v1.
21. T. Tayoshi, *On the spectrum of the Laplace-Beltrami operator on noncompact surface*. Proc. Japan Acad. **47**, (1971), 579–585.
22. S. T. Yau, *Review of Geometry and Analysis*. Asian J. Math. **4**, 235–278, (2000).
23. S. T. Yau, *Review of Geometry and Analysis*. Mathematics: frontier and perspectives. Amer. Math. Soc. Providence. RI. (2000) 353-401.

Current address: Department of Mathematics, Universidade Federal do Ceara-UFC, Campus do Pici,
60455-760 Fortaleza-CE Brazil

E-mail address: `bessa@mat.ufc.br`

E-mail address: `ljorge@mat.ufc.br`

E-mail address: `fabio@mat.ufc.br`