

An example concerning the Theory of Levels for codimension-one foliations

Andrés Navas

Introduction

An important aspect of foliations concerns the existence of local minimal sets. Recall that a foliated manifold has the LMS property if, for every open, saturated set W and every leaf $L \subset W$, the relative closure $\bar{L} \cap W$ contains a minimal set of $F|_W$. A fundamental result (due to Cantwell-Conlon [2] and Duminy-Hector [5]) establishes that for codimension-one foliations which are transversely of class $C^{1+\text{Lipschitz}}$, the LMS property holds. This is the basic tool of the so-called *Theory of Levels*.

A well known example due to Hector (which corresponds to the suspension of a group action on the interval) shows that the LMS property is no longer true for codimension-one foliations which transversely are only continuous (see [1], Example 8.1.13). Despite this, in the recent years the possibility of extending some of the aspects of the Theory of Levels to smoothness smaller than $C^{1+\text{Lipschitz}}$ has naturally appeared [3, 4]. In this Note we will show that, however, analogues of Hector's example appear in class C^1 (and actually in class $C^{1+\alpha}$ for some values of α).

1 A General Construction

Let $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ be a sequence such that $a_{n+1} < a_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $a_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, and $a_n \rightarrow 1$ as $n \rightarrow -\infty$. Let (n_k) be a strictly increasing sequence of positive integers, and let $f: [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be a homeomorphism such that $f(a_{n+1}) = a_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. For each $k > 0$ we let u_k, v_k, b_k, c_k be such that $a_{n_{k+1}} < b_k < u_k < v_k < c_k < a_{n_k}$. For each $i \in \{0, \dots, n_{k+1} - n_k\}$ we define $u_k^i = f^i(u_k)$ and $v_k^i = f^i(v_k)$. Notice that

$$f^i([u_{k+1}^0, v_{k+1}^0]) = [u_{k+1}^i, v_{k+1}^i] \subset f^i([a_{1+n_{k+1}}, a_{n_{k+1}}]) = [a_{n_{k+1}-i+1}, a_{n_{k+1}-i}].$$

Now we let $g: [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be a homeomorphism such that:

- $g = Id$ on $[a_{n+1}, a_n]$ for each $n < 0$ and each $n > 0$ such that $n \neq n_k$ for every k ,
- $g = Id$ on $[a_{1+n_k}, b_k] \cup [c_k, a_{n_k}]$, $g(u_k^0) = v_k^0$, and g has no fixed point on $]b_k, c_k[$.

Main assumption: In order that f, g generate a group of homeomorphisms of $[0, 1]$ whose associated suspension does not have the LMS property, we assume that (see Figure 1)

$$u_{k+1}^{n_{k+1}-n_k} = b_k \quad \text{and} \quad v_{k+1}^{n_{k+1}-n_k} = c_k.$$

With these general notations, Hector's example corresponds to the choice $n_k = k$. We will show that, by taking $n_k = 2^k$, one may perform this construction in such a way the resulting maps f and g are diffeomorphisms of class C^1 (actually, of class $C^{1+\alpha}$ for any $\alpha < (\sqrt{5} - 1)/2$). It is quite possible that improving slightly the method one can smooth the action up to the class $C^{2-\delta}$ for any $\delta > 0$; compare [7], where for a similar construction T. Tsuboi deals with the $C^{3/2-\delta}$ case before the $C^{2-\delta}$ case due to technical difficulties.




Figure 1

2 The length of the intervals and the estimates

We let $|[u_{k+1}^i, v_{k+1}^i]| = \lambda_k^i |[u_{k+1}, v_{k+1}]|$, where the constant $\lambda_k > 1$ satisfies the compatibility relation

$$\lambda_k^{2^k} = \frac{|[u_{k+1}^{2^k}, v_{k+1}^{2^k}]|}{|[u_{k+1}, v_{k+1}]|} = \frac{|[b_k, c_k]|}{|[u_{k+1}, v_{k+1}]|}. \quad (1)$$

Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be very small (to be fixed in a while). We put:

- $|[a_{n+1}, a_n]| = \frac{c_\varepsilon}{(1+|n|)^{1+\varepsilon}}$, where c_ε is chosen so that $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |[a_{n+1}, a_n]| = 1$;
- $|[b_k, c_k]| = \frac{1}{2} |[a_{2^{k+1}}, a_{2^k}]| = \frac{c_\varepsilon}{2(1+2^k)^{1+\varepsilon}}$, where $k > 0$;
- $|[u_k, v_k]| = |[b_k, c_k]|^{1+\theta}$.

We also assume that the center of $[a_{2^{k+1}}, a_{2^k}]$ coincides with the center of $[b_k, c_k]$ and with that of $[u_k, v_k]$. Moreover, for each $i \in \{0, \dots, 2^k\}$, the centers of $[u_{k+1}^i, v_{k+1}^i]$ and $[a_{2^{k+1}-i-1}, a_{2^k-i-1}]$ do coincide. For the estimates concerning regularity we will strongly use the following lemma from [6].

Lemma. *Let $\omega : [0, \eta] \rightarrow [0, \omega(\eta)]$ be a modulus of continuity such that the function $s \mapsto s/\omega(s)$ is non increasing. If I, J are closed non degenerate intervals such that $1/2 \leq |I|/|J| \leq 2$ and*

$$\left| \frac{|J|}{|I|} - 1 \right| \left| \frac{1}{\omega(|I|)} \right| \leq M,$$

then there exists a $C^{1+\omega}$ diffeomorphism $f : I \rightarrow J$ which is tangent to the identity at the end points and whose derivative has ω -norm bounded from above by $6\pi M$.

Actually, for $I = [a, b]$ and $J = [a', b']$ one may take $f = \varphi_{a', b'}^{-1} \circ \varphi_{a, b}$, where $\varphi_{a, b}$ is defined by (a similar definition stands for $\varphi_{a', b'}$)

$$\varphi_{a, b}(x) = -\frac{1}{(b-a)} \operatorname{ctg} \left(\pi \left(\frac{x-a}{b-a} \right) \right).$$

The condition on the derivative at the end points allows us to fit together the maps in order to create a diffeomorphism of a larger interval. Actually, if all of the involved sub-intervals of type I, J satisfy the hypothesis of the Lemma above for the same constant M , then the derivative of the induced diffeomorphism has ω -norm bounded by $12\pi M$.

In what follows we will deal with the modulus of continuity $\omega(s) = s^\alpha$ for the derivative, where $\alpha > 0$. A constant depending on the three parameters $\alpha, \theta, \varepsilon$, and whose value is irrelevant for our purposes, will be generically denoted by M .

Estimates for f : The diffeomorphisms f is constructed by fitting together maps sending (see Figure 2):

- (i) $[u_{k+1}^i, v_{k+1}^i]$ into $[u_{k+1}^{i+1}, v_{k+1}^{i+1}]$,
- (ii) $[a_{2^{k+1}-i}, u_{k+1}^i]$ into $[a_{2^{k+1}-i-1}, u_{k+1}^{i+1}]$,
- (iii) $[v_{k+1}^i, a_{2^{k+1}-i-1}]$ into $[v_{k+1}^{i+1}, a_{2^{k+1}-i-2}]$.

For (i) we have

$$\left| \frac{|[u_{k+1}^{i+1}, v_{k+1}^{i+1}]|}{|[u_{k+1}^i, v_{k+1}^i]|} - 1 \right| \frac{1}{|[u_{k+1}^i, v_{k+1}^i]|^\alpha} = |\lambda_k - 1| \frac{1}{(\lambda_k^i |[u_{k+1}^0, v_{k+1}^0]|)^\alpha} \leq |\lambda_k - 1| \frac{1}{|[b_{k+1}, c_{k+1}]|^{(1+\theta)\alpha}}.$$

Now from (1) one obtains

$$\lambda_k^{2^k} = \frac{\frac{c_\varepsilon}{2(1+2^k)^{1+\varepsilon}}}{\left(\frac{c_\varepsilon}{2(1+2^{k+1})^{1+\varepsilon}}\right)^{1+\theta}} \leq M \left(\frac{(1+2^{k+1})^{1+\theta}}{1+2^k} \right)^{1+\varepsilon} \leq M 2^{k\theta(1+\varepsilon)}.$$

From the inequality $|2^\alpha - 1| \leq \alpha$ (which holds for α positive and small) one concludes that

$$|\lambda_k - 1| \leq M \frac{k}{2^k}.$$

On the other hand,

$$\frac{1}{|[b_{k+1}, c_{k+1}]|} \leq M(1+2^{k+1})^{1+\varepsilon} \leq M 2^{k(1+\varepsilon)}.$$

Therefore,

$$\left| \frac{|[u_{k+1}^{i+1}, v_{k+1}^{i+1}]|}{|[u_{k+1}^i, v_{k+1}^i]|} - 1 \right| \frac{1}{|[u_{k+1}^i, v_{k+1}^i]|^\alpha} \leq M \frac{k}{2^k} 2^{k(1+\varepsilon)(1+\theta)\alpha}. \quad (2)$$




Figure 2

Now for (ii) put $A = |[u_{k+1}^i, v_{k+1}^i]|$, $B = |[a_{2^{k+1}-i}, a_{2^{k+1}-i-1}]|$, $C = |[u_{k+1}^{i+1}, v_{k+1}^{i+1}]|$, and $D = |[a_{2^{k+1}-i-1}, a_{2^{k+1}-i-2}]|$. Then

$$\left| \frac{|[a_{2^{k+1}-i-1}, u_{k+1}^{i+1}]|}{|[a_{2^{k+1}-i}, u_{k+1}^i]|} - 1 \right| \frac{1}{|[a_{2^{k+1}-i}, u_{k+1}^i]|^\alpha} = \left| \frac{D-C}{B-A} - 1 \right| \frac{2^\alpha}{(B-A)^\alpha}.$$

Moreover, since $A \leq B/2$ and $C = \lambda_k A$,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{D-C}{B-A} - 1 \right| &\leq \left| \frac{D-B}{B-A} \right| + \left| \frac{C-A}{B-A} \right| \leq 2 \left| \frac{D-B}{B} \right| + |\lambda_k - 1| \\ &= \frac{M}{B} \left[\frac{1}{(2^{k+1}-i-2)^{1+\varepsilon}} - \frac{1}{(2^{k+1}-i-1)^{1+\varepsilon}} \right] + M \frac{k}{2^k} \\ &\leq MB \left[(2^{k+1}-i-1)^{1+\varepsilon} - (2^{k+1}-i-2)^{1+\varepsilon} \right] + M \frac{k}{2^k} \\ &\leq \frac{M}{2^{k(1+\varepsilon)}} 2^{k\varepsilon} + M \frac{k}{2^k} \\ &\leq M \frac{k}{2^k}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\left| \frac{D-C}{B-A} - 1 \right| \frac{2^\alpha}{(B-A)^\alpha} \leq M \frac{k}{2^k} 2^{k(1+\varepsilon)\alpha},$$

and thus

$$\left| \frac{|[a_{2^{k+1-i-1}}, u_{k+1}^{i+1}]|}{|[a_{2^{k+1-i}}, u_{k+1}^i]|} - 1 \right| \frac{1}{|[a_{2^{k+1-i}}, u_{k+1}^i]|^\alpha} \leq M \frac{k}{2^{k(1-(1+\varepsilon)\alpha)}}. \quad (3)$$

Finally, notice that by construction the estimates for (iii) are the same as those for (ii).

Estimates for g : The diffeomorphism g is obtained by fitting together many maps sending:

- (i) $[b_k, u_k^0]$ into $[b_k, v_k^0]$,
- (ii) $[u_k^0, c_k]$ into $[v_k^0, c_k]$,
- (iii) $[a_{2^{k+1}}, b_k]$ and $[c_k, a_{2^k}]$ into themselves as the identity.

For (i) notice that

$$\left| \frac{|[b_k, v_k^0]|}{|[b_k, u_k^0]|} - 1 \right| \frac{1}{|[b_k, u_k^0]|^\alpha} = \frac{|[u_k^0, v_k^0]|}{|[b_k, u_k^0]|^{1+\alpha}} \leq \frac{2^{1+\alpha} |[u_k^0, v_k^0]|}{(|[b_k, c_k]| - |[u_k^0, v_k^0]|)^{1+\alpha}} = \frac{2^{1+\alpha} |[b_k, c_k]|^{1+\theta}}{(|[b_k, c_k]| - |[b_k, c_k]|^{1+\theta})^{1+\alpha}},$$

and thus

$$\left| \frac{|[b_k, v_k^0]|}{|[b_k, u_k^0]|} - 1 \right| \frac{1}{|[b_k, u_k^0]|^\alpha} \leq M |[b_k, c_k]|^{\theta-\alpha}. \quad (4)$$

Finally, the estimates for (ii) are similar to those for (i) and we leave them to the reader.

The choice of the parameters: According to our Lemma, and due to (2), (3), and (4), sufficient conditions for the $C^{1+\alpha}$ smoothness of f, g are:

- $(1 + \varepsilon)(1 + \theta)\alpha < 1$,
- $\frac{1}{1+\varepsilon} > \alpha$
- $\theta > \alpha$.

Now, for $0 < \alpha < (\sqrt{5} - 1)/2$ one easily checks that these conditions are satisfied for $\theta = \alpha + \varepsilon$, where $\varepsilon > 0$ is small enough so that $(1 + \varepsilon)(1 + \alpha + \varepsilon)\alpha < 1$.

Acknowledgments. I would like to thank J. Cantwell and L. Conlon for motivating me to work on and write out the example of this Note.

References

- [1] CANDEL, A. & CONLON, L. *Foliations, I*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, Rhode Island (1999).
- [2] CANTWELL, J. & CONLON, L. Poincaré-Bendixson theory for leaves of codimension-one. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **265** (1981), 181–209.
- [3] CANTWELL, J. & CONLON, L. A new look at the Theory of Levels. Preprint.
- [4] DEROIN, B., KLEPTSYN, V. & NAVAS, A. Sur la dynamique unidimensionnelle en régularité intermédiaire. *Acta Math.* **199** (2007), 199–262.
- [5] HECTOR, G. Architecture des feuilletages de classe C^2 . *Astérisque* **107-108** (1983), 243–258.
- [6] NAVAS, A. Growth of groups and diffeomorphisms of the interval. *Geom. and Functional Analysis* **18** (2008).
- [7] TSUBOI, T. Homological and dynamical study on certain groups of Lipschitz homeomorphisms of the circle. *J. Math. Soc. Japan* **47** (1995), 1–30.