

A NEW APPROACH FOR PROBING CIRCUMBINARY DISKS

KIMITAKE HAYASAKI¹

Yukawa Institute for Theoretical Physics, Kyoto University, Oiwake-cho, Kitashirakawa, Sakyo-ku, Kyoto 606-8502, Japan

AND

ATSUO T. OKAZAKI²

Faculty of Engineering, Hokkai-Gakuen University, Toyohira-ku, Sapporo 062-8605, Japan

ABSTRACT

Circumbinary disks are considered to exist in a wide variety of astrophysical objects, e.g., young binary stars, proto-planetary systems, and massive binary black hole systems in active galactic nuclei (AGNs). However, there are no definite evidences for the circumbinary disk except for ones in a few young binary star systems. In this paper, we study possible oscillation modes in circumbinary disks. We find that prograde, non-axisymmetric waves are induced in the inner part of the disk by the time-dependent binary potential. Such waves would cause variabilities in emission line profiles from circumbinary disks. Because of prograde precession of the waves, the distance between each component of the binary and the inner-edge of the circumbinary disk varies with the beat period between the precession period of the wave and the binary orbital period. As a result, the light curves from the circumbinary disks are also expected to vary with the same period. The current study thus provides a new method to detect circumbinary disks in various astrophysical systems.

Subject headings: black hole physics – accretion, accretion disks – binaries:general – stars: formation – planetary systems: protoplanetary disk – stars: dwarf novae – galaxies:nuclei

1. INTRODUCTION

Astrophysical disks are ubiquitous in the various system of the Universe, the star-compact object systems, star-planet systems, active galactic nuclei (AGNs), and so on. These disks surround each object as a circumobject disk. If the object surrounded by the disk is a binary, the disk is called a circumbinary disk (see Fig. 1 for a schematic view of the circumbinary disk).

About 60% of main sequence stars are considered to be born as binary or multiple systems (Duquennoy & Mayor 1991). It is confirmed by numerical simulations that the young binary stars embedded in the dense molecular gas have the circumbinary disk (Artymowicz & Lubow 1994; Bate & Bonnell 1997; Günther & Kley 2002, 2004). They also confirmed that the circumstellar disk is formed around each star (Bate & Bonnell 1997; Günther & Kley 2002, 2004). Indeed, the direct imaging of the circumbinary disk were successfully done by the interferometer for a few young binary systems including GG Tau (Dutrey et al. 1994) and UY Aur (Duvert et al. 1994).

Massive black holes are considered to coevolve with their host galaxies (Magorrian et al. 1998; Ferrarese&Merritt 2000; Gebhardt et al. 2000). There is inevitably an evolutionary stage as a binary black hole in the course of the galaxy merger until black hole coalescence (Begelman et al. 1980; Mayer et al. 2007; Hayasaki 2008). Hayasaki et al. (2007) found that if a binary black hole is surrounded by a circumbinary disk, the system finally has the triple disks composed of the accretion disk around each black hole and one circumbinary disk as a mass reservoir around the binary (Hayasaki et al. 2008). There is, however, still little evidence for the circumbinary disk as well as for the binary black hole itself in such the binary black hole system.

Therefore, the detection of the circumbinary disk is also one of the important scientific motivations in probing massive binary black holes with parsec/sub-parsec separations.

There are many phenomena caused by oscillations in circumstellar/accretion disks. Superhumps is one of the most famous phenomena among them. A superhump is a periodic luminosity-hump on the light curves in an accreting binary system, with a slightly longer period than the orbital period of the binary. Superhump phenomena were first discovered in the SU Ursae Majoris class of dwarf novae, which consists of the white dwarf and the late type star with the low mass ratio less than 0.2 (Patterson 1979; Vogt 1980). The superhump phenomena is attributed to the precession of the deformed disk induced by the tidal potential of the binary (Osaki 1985). Hitherto, no phenomena caused by oscillation modes have been detected in circumbinary disks.

In this letter, we investigate the tidally induced oscillation modes in the circumbinary disks, where a phenomenon similar to the superhumps in dwarf nova systems is expected. The letter is organized as follows. In Section 2, we derive the azimuthally and temporally averaged tidal potential around an eccentric binary and discuss the possible oscillation modes and their frequencies in circumbinary disks. Section 3 is devoted to summary and discussion.

2. TIDALLY INDUCED SPIRAL WAVES

We consider gravitationally bound, two point masses surrounded by a circumbinary disk. The point masses follow the Kepler's third law, while the circumbinary disk has the rotation slightly deviated from the Keplerian rotation because of the tidal potential of the binary. We assume that the circumbinary disk is truncated at an inner radius, r_{in} , by the resonant interaction with the binary (e.g., Artymowicz & Lubow (1994)), but extends




FIG. 1.— Schematic diagram of a circumbinary disk, surrounding the primary object and the secondary object which are gravitationally bounded as a binary.

outward to a large distance.

2.1. Tidal potential

First, we consider the tidal potential around an eccentric binary. In the inertial frame of reference centered on the common center of the binary, the gravitational potential can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(r, \theta, t) &= -\frac{GM_1}{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_1|} - \frac{GM_2}{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_2|} \\ &= -\frac{GM_1}{\sqrt{r^2 - 2rr_1 \cos(\theta - E) + r_1^2}} \\ &\quad - \frac{GM_2}{\sqrt{r^2 - 2rr_2 \cos(\theta - E) + r_2^2}} \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

where M_1 and M_2 are the masses of the primary and secondary, respectively, \mathbf{r}_1 and \mathbf{r}_2 are the position vectors of the primary and secondary from the center of mass, respectively, and E is the eccentric anomaly of the primary. Here, r_i ($i = 1, 2$) periodically varies with time because of the orbital eccentricity and is given by

$$r_i = \eta_i a (1 - e \cos E), \quad (2)$$

where a is the semi-major axis and η_1 and η_2 are $q/(1+q)$ and $1/(1+q)$ with the mass ratio $q = M_2/M_1$, respectively.

Assuming that $r \gg r_1$ and $r \gg r_2$, equation (1) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(r, \theta, t) &\simeq -\frac{GM_1}{r} \left\{ 1 + \frac{r_1}{r} \cos(\theta - E) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{r_1}{r} \right)^2 [1 + 3 \cos 2(\theta - E)] \right\} \\ &\quad - \frac{GM_2}{r} \left\{ 1 - \frac{r_2}{r} \cos(\theta - E) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{r_2}{r} \right)^2 [1 + 3 \cos 2(\theta - E)] \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

Azimuthally and temporally averaging equation (3), we obtain the averaged binary potential over one orbital period, $\bar{\Phi}(r)$, in the form:

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\Phi}(r) &= \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \Phi(r, \theta, t) d\theta d(\Omega_{\text{orb}} t) \\ &= -\frac{GM}{r} \left[1 + \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{a}{r} \right)^2 \frac{q}{(1+q)^2} \left(1 + \frac{3}{2} e^2 \right) \right], \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

where M is the binary mass $M_1 + M_2$. Here, we have assumed $e \ll 1$ and made use of the following expansion formula:

$$\begin{aligned} \cos E &= \cos \Omega_{\text{orb}} t + e(\cos 2\Omega_{\text{orb}} t - 1)/2 \\ &\quad + 3e^2(\cos 3\Omega_{\text{orb}} t - \cos \Omega_{\text{orb}} t)/8 + O(e^3) \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

(e.g., Murray & Dermott (1999)). Note that these series are divergent for $e > 0.6627434$.

The second term on the right hand side of equation (4) gives the tidal perturbation potential around the eccentric binary:

$$\Phi_{\text{tid}}(r) = -\frac{GM}{4r} \left(\frac{a}{r} \right)^2 \frac{q}{(1+q)^2} \left(1 + \frac{3}{2} e^2 \right). \quad (6)$$

From above equation, equation (4) is rewritten as $\bar{\Phi}(r) = -GM/r + \Phi_{\text{tid}}(r)$. It is noted from equation (6) that the tidal force more strongly acts on the circumbinary disk around an eccentric binary than around a circular binary.

2.2. Trapped waves

Let us now consider oscillations in the form of the normal mode which varies as $\exp[i(\omega t - k_r r - m\phi)]$ with ω being the oscillation frequency and k_r and m being the radial and azimuthal wave numbers, respectively. Then, the local dispersion relation for these oscillations is given by

$$(\omega - m\Omega)^2 - \kappa^2 = k_r^2 c_s^2, \quad (7)$$

where c_s is the sound speed, Ω is the rotation frequency of the circumbinary disk, and κ is the epicyclic frequency given by

$$\kappa = 2\Omega(2\Omega + r d\Omega/dr). \quad (8)$$

From equation (7), the region where a wave can propagate, i.e., $k_r^2 > 0$, is given by

$$\frac{\omega}{m} < \Omega - \frac{\kappa}{m} \quad \text{or} \quad \frac{\omega}{m} > \Omega + \frac{\kappa}{m}. \quad (9)$$

In other words, waves can propagate inside the inner Lindblad resonance (ILR), where $\omega/m = \Omega - \kappa/m$, or outside the outer Lindblad resonance, where $\omega/m = \Omega + \kappa/m$ (see Fig. 2). However, we are interested only in the former type of waves with $0 < \omega/m < \Omega - \kappa/m$, because they are trapped in the region between the inner radius of the circumbinary disk and the ILR, and are thus possibly excited. All other waves are running waves and will never be excited.

Kato (1983) pointed out that only possible global waves in nearly Keplerian disks such as circumbinary disks are low-frequency, one-armed (i.e., $m = 1$) waves. Waves with $m \neq 1$ have wavelengths much smaller than r , and are expected to damp rapidly because of their short wavelengths. In the case of the circumbinary disk, however, the mass transfer occurs from two points of the inner edge of the circumbinary disk to each component every binary orbit (Hayasaki et al. 2007). This might suggest that two-armed (i.e., $m = 2$) spiral waves are excited in the circumbinary disk every binary orbit.

2.3. Precession frequency and beat frequency

From equation (4), the orbital angular frequency of the circumbinary disk under the tidal perturbation potential is given by

$$\Omega \simeq \Omega_K \left[1 + \frac{3}{8} \left(\frac{a}{r} \right)^2 \frac{q}{(1+q)^2} \left(1 + \frac{3}{2} e^2 \right) \right], \quad (10)$$

where $\Omega_K = \sqrt{GM/r^3}$ is the Keplerian angular frequency of the circumbinary disk. Substituting equation (10) to equation (8), we have

$$\kappa \simeq \Omega_K \left[1 - \frac{3}{8} \left(\frac{a}{r} \right)^2 \frac{q}{(1+q)^2} \left(1 + \frac{3}{2} e^2 \right) \right], \quad (11)$$

Given that the waves are trapped in the inner part of the circumbinary disk, the precession frequency of an m -armed spiral wave, $\omega_{p,m}$, is approximately given by

$$\omega_{p,m} = m\Omega - \kappa \quad (12)$$

at the inner radius of the circumbinary disk, $r = r_{\text{in}}$. In the case of a one-armed ($m = 1$) wave, the precession frequency normalized by the orbital frequency of the binary is obtained as

$$\frac{\omega_{p,1}}{\Omega_{\text{orb}}} \simeq \frac{3}{4} \left(\frac{a}{r_{\text{in}}} \right)^{7/2} \frac{q}{(1+q)^2} \left(1 + \frac{3}{2} e^2 \right). \quad (13)$$

Note that for $m = 1$ modes the precession frequency is positive and much smaller than the orbital frequency. This means that the $m = 1$ waves are trapped and have wavelengths comparable to r_{in} .

On the other hand, the precession frequency of an m -armed ($m \neq 1$) wave normalized by the orbital frequency of the binary is obtained as

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\omega_{p,m}}{\Omega_{\text{orb}}} &= \left(\frac{a}{r_{\text{in}}} \right)^{3/2} \left[m - 1 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{3}{8} (m+1) \left(\frac{a}{r_{\text{in}}} \right)^2 \frac{q}{(1+q)^2} \left(1 + \frac{3}{2} e^2 \right) \right] \\ &\simeq (m-1) \left(\frac{a}{r_{\text{in}}} \right)^{3/2}. \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

Thus, the precession frequency of $m \neq 1$ waves is approximately given by $(m-1)\Omega_K(r_{\text{in}})$. Note that these waves have wavelengths much shorter than those of $m = 1$ waves.

It is instructive to evaluate the beat periods for some important modes. The beat frequency for an m -armed spiral wave is defined by

$$\Omega_{\text{beat},m} = \Omega_{\text{orb}} - \omega_{p,m}. \quad (15)$$

From equation (15), we obtain the beat period as

$$P_{\text{beat},m} = P_{\text{orb}} / \left(1 - \frac{\omega_{p,m}}{\Omega_{\text{orb}}} \right). \quad (16)$$

According to the tidal truncation theory (Artymowicz & Lubow 1994), the circumbinary disk around the binary with a low-moderate orbital eccentricity is truncated at $r_{\text{in}} \simeq 2.08a$. In an equal-mass binary ($q = 1$) with $e = 0.5$, the beat period of the $m = 1$ mode is $P_{\text{beat},1} \sim 1.03P_{\text{orb}}$, whereas that of the $m = 2$ mode is $P_{\text{beat},2} \sim 1.34P_{\text{orb}}$.

3. SUMMARY & DISCUSSION

We have studied possible oscillation modes in the circumbinary disk induced by the tidal potential of a binary system with the circumbinary disk, e.g., young binary stars, proto-planetary systems, and massive binary black holes in AGNs. We have pointed out that observationally interesting waves are those with the precession frequency




FIG. 2.— Propagation diagram for a nearly Keplerian, circumbinary disk. Waves can propagate in the region where $(\omega - m\Omega)^2 > \kappa^2$, but all oscillations with $m \neq 1$ have wavelengths much smaller than r . Waves with $0 < \omega/m < \Omega - \kappa/m$ are trapped between the inner disk radius and the inner Lindblad resonance, whereas the other waves are running waves. (Figure adjusted from Okazaki 2000)

$\omega_{p,m}/m \lesssim \Omega(r_{\text{in}}) - \kappa(r_{\text{in}})/m$, where r_{in} is the inner radius of the circumbinary disk. These waves are trapped between the inner disk radius and the inner Lindblad resonance, where the pattern speed of the wave is equal to $\Omega(r) - \kappa(r)/m$. Among them, only $m = 1$ waves have wavelengths comparable to the inner disk radius. When the $m = 1$ mode is dominant, the inner region of the circumbinary disk becomes eccentric. On the other hand, waves with $m \neq 1$ have much shorter wavelengths and affect only the innermost narrow region of the disk. For example, if the $m = 2$ mode is dominant, the disk inner edge is deformed to an elliptical shape.

The deformed inner part of the circumbinary disk precesses at the frequency given by equation (13) for the $m = 1$ mode and equation (14) for the $m = 2$ mode, respectively. Since the velocity field in the disk is also perturbed by the waves, the emission line profiles from the inner part of the circumbinary disk will vary with the precession period. Such a variability has a distinct feature and will easily be observed. Since the $m = 1$ mode is a low-frequency, eccentric mode, the relative intensity of the violet (V) and red (R) peaks of double-peaked line profiles varies with a long period, e.g., $\sim 40P_{\text{orb}}$ for an equal-mass binary with $e = 0.5$. Such a line-profile variability caused by $m = 1$ waves has long been known as the V/R variations in Be stars, B-type stars with circumbinary accretion disks (e.g., Porter & Rivinius 2003). In contrast, if the $m = 2$ mode is dominant, the double-peaked profiles stay symmetric, but their peak separations (and FWHMs) will vary with a short period, e.g., $\sim 2^{3/2}P_{\text{orb}}$ irrespective of binary parameters as long as $e \ll 1$.

There is the beat between the orbital frequency of the binary and the precession frequency of the circumbinary disk. The beat period is slightly longer than the orbital

period as in equation (16). The radiation emitted from the circumbinary disk through the tidal dissipation is expected to periodically vary with the beat period, because the distance between each component of the binary and the inner edge of the circumbinary disk periodically changes. Moreover, the mass transfer rate from the circumbinary disk to each binary component is also expected to vary with the same period, because of the angular momentum removal by the tidal torques. Therefore, the circumbinary disk perturbed by a trapped density wave will show the light-curve variability at the beat period, a similar phenomenon to superhumps in dwarf novae. Note that the exact period in the case of circumbinary disks depends on the azimuthal wave number m , while the superhumps in dwarf novae are always caused by $m = 1$ waves.

In this paper, we have shown that both the beat period and the precession period are derived as a natural consequence of the tidal interaction between the binary and

the circumbinary disk and that one can identify circumbinary disks in terms of these periodic variabilities. This provides a new method to probe circumbinary disks in various astrophysical systems. In a forthcoming paper, we will perform a more detailed analysis of the mode characteristics, including numerical simulations.

K.H. is grateful to Shin Mineshige for helpful discussions. The calculations reported here were performed using the facility at the Centre for Astrophysics & Supercomputing at Swinburne University of Technology, Australia and at YITP in Kyoto University. This work has been supported in part by the Grants-in-Aid of the Ministry of Education, Science, Culture, and Sport and Technology (MEXT; 30374218 K.H and 20540236 ATO) and by the Grant-in-Aid for the 21st Century COE Scientific Research Programs on "Topological Science and Technology" from MEXT.

REFERENCES

- Artymowicz, P. & Lubow, S. H. 1991, ApJ, 421, 651
 Artymowicz, P. & Lubow, S. H. 1994, ApJ, 421, 651
 Artymowicz, P., & Lubow, S. H. 1996a, ApJ, 467, L77
 Bate, M. R., & Bonnell, I.A. 1997, MNRAS, 285, 33
 Begelman, M. C., Blandford, R. D. & Rees, M. J. 1980, Nature, 287, 307
 Duquennoy, A. & Mayor, M. 1991, A&A, 248, 485
 Dutrey, A., Guilloteau, S. & Simon M. 1994, A&A, 286, 149
 Duvert, G., et al. 1998, A&A, 332, 867
 Günther, R. C., & Kley, W. 2002, A&A, 387, 550
 Günther, R. C & Kley, W. 2004, A&A, 423, 559
 Ferrarese, L. & Merritt, D. 2000, ApJ, 539, L9
 Gebhardt, K., et al., 2000, ApJ, 539, L13
 Hayasaki, K., Mineshige, S., & Sudou, H. 2007, PASJ, 59, 427
 Hayasaki, K., Mineshige, S. & Ho, C.L. 2008, ApJ, 682, 1134
 Hayasaki, K. 2008, PASJ, submitted
 Kato, S., 1983, PASJ, 35, 249
 Kato, S., Fukue, J., & Mineshige, S. *Black-Hole Accretion Disks*, (Kyoto Univ. Press. 2008).
 Magorrian, J., et al., 1998, AJ,115, 2285
 Mayer, L., Kazantzidis, S., Madau, P., Colpi, M., Quinn, T. & Wadsley, J. 2007, Science, 316,1874
 Murray, C.D., & Dermott, S.F. 1999, *Solar System Dynamics* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press),ch.4, 160
 Okazaki A. T. 2000, in Smith, M. A., Henrichs, H. F., & Fabregat J. ed. *Be Phenomenon in Early-Type Stars*, IAU Colloq. 175, ASP, San Francisco, p.409
 Osaki, Y. 1985, A&A,144,369
 Patterson, J. 1979, AJ.,84,804
 Porter, J.M., Rivinius, T. 2003, PASP, 115, 1153
 Vogt, N. 1980, A&A, 88,66