

Viscous fingering in volatile thin films

Oded Agam

The Racah Institute of Physics, The Hebrew University, Jerusalem, 91904, Israel

A thin water film on a cleaved mica substrate undergoes a first order phase transition between two values of film thickness. By inducing a finite evaporation rate of the water, the interface between the two phases develops a fingering instability similar to that observed in the Saffman-Taylor problem. We show that, in the absence of surface tension, the problem can be solved exactly, and construct solutions describing the evolution of the system.

The processes of evaporation and dewetting of thin liquid films are becoming increasingly important in modern technologies e.g. the fabrication of electronic chips, microfluidic devices, and biosensors. These nonequilibrium processes depend on various details such as the temperature distribution in the sample, the nature of the interaction between the substrate and the fluid, and the substrate roughness. Depending on these details, a thin liquid film may, for instance, break into isolated droplets, evaporate uniformly, or exhibit a fingering instability similar to that observed in the Saffman-Taylor problem[1] where an inviscid fluid penetrates a viscous fluid. This issue naturally generated extensive experimental and theoretical studies - see Ref. 2 for a recent review of the field. However, due to its complexity, most theoretical studies of the problem are based on numerical computations. In this Letter we construct analytical solutions for the problem of evaporating thin films which exhibit viscous fingering dynamics. Examples for these solutions are depicted in Fig. 1.

The experimental system showing this kind of behavior[3], consists of a clean mica substrate covered by a thin water film. Under proper conditions of temperature and pressure, two films of different thickness (approximately 2 and 12 nm) can coexist. This behavior is a consequence of the competing van der Waals and polar surface forces between the water and the substrate[4]. A first order phase transition between the film heights is induced by changing the vapor pressure. It implies that the dynamics of evaporation in this system is, effectively, that of the interface between the phases. The focus of the present study is on the evolution of this interface over time intervals where the surface tension of the interface may be neglected. In this case the problem is exactly solvable.

We begin by constructing the equations of motion, and from now on refer to the film domain of higher thickness as a "droplet". Owing to the small thickness of the water film (in both phases), the fluid dynamics is very viscous, and the lubrication approximation with no-slip boundary conditions at the substrate-liquid interface and zero shear at the liquid-air interface may be employed. Thus the velocity of the fluid along a profile perpendicular to the substrate, is approximately parabolic. Averaging over




FIG. 1: The evolution of evaporating droplets: Left panel shows snapshots of the contour of a droplet with fivefold symmetry. Right panel shows a dry patch penetrating, from right to left, into the liquid domain. In both cases successive contours differ by a fixed time interval.

this profile one obtains Darcy's law,

$$\mathbf{v} = -\frac{h^2}{3\nu}\nabla P, \quad (1)$$

where h is the film height, ν is its viscosity, P is the pressure, and \mathbf{v} is the (two dimensional) velocity field. The dynamics outside the droplet may be ignored since the fluid velocity in these regions is approximately zero, and evaporation is negligible due to the strong adhesion force between the substrate and the fluid. Thus evaporation takes place, effectively, only within the droplet where it is assumed to be uniform. Taking into account that the film height is fixed, the variation in the two dimensional fluid density, ρ , may be also neglected and therefore uniform evaporation implies that

$$\rho\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v} = -\kappa, \quad (2)$$

where κ is the rate of evaporation (in units of mass per unit time per unit area). Combining the above equations one concludes that, inside the droplet domain, \mathcal{D} , the pressure satisfies Poisson's equation, while outside this region, it is constant. Without loss of generality we set this constant to be zero, and thus

$$\nabla^2 P = \begin{cases} \beta & \text{within } \mathcal{D}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

where $\beta = 3\nu\kappa/(h^2\rho)$. The above equation describes the pressure away from the droplet's interface. Near that interface, additional degrees of freedom, such as the film height and the boundary curvature, come into play. The local behavior near the droplet's edge sets the boundary conditions for the above equations. In the simplest case where the surface tension energy associated with the interface can be neglected, the pressure is continuous and therefore the boundary condition for Eq. (3) is

$$P(z) = 0 \quad \text{for } z \in \partial\mathcal{D}, \quad (4)$$

where, $\partial\mathcal{D}$ denotes the interface contour. Hereafter $z = x + iy$ will represent a complex coordinate on the mathematical complex plane where the droplet resides. Eqs. (3) and (4) are equivalent to those of the "stamping problem" [5, 6]. The latter is associated with the evolution of droplets in Hele-Shaw cell when the gap between the two parallel plates changes in time.

Important characteristics of the droplet's shape are provided by the set of the (interior) harmonic moments:

$$t_k = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{z \in \mathcal{D}(t)} dx dy z^k. \quad (5)$$

where k is a non-negative integer, and the integration is over the droplet's domain (here, for clarity, we indicate its explicit time dependence). Following Entov and Étingof [5], we take the time derivative of the above equation,

$$\frac{dt_k}{dt} = \frac{1}{\pi} \oint_{z \in \partial\mathcal{D}(t)} dl z^k v_n. \quad (6)$$

Here $v_n = -(h^2/3\nu)\partial_n P$ is the interface velocity, where ∂_n is the derivative normal to the interface. Since P vanishes on the boundary, one may add to the integrand a term of the form $(h^2/3\nu)P\partial_n z^k$. This enables us to use Green's theorem:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dt_k}{dt} &= \frac{h^2}{3\pi\nu} \oint_{\partial\mathcal{D}(t)} dl (P\partial_n z^k - z^k\partial_n P) \\ &= \frac{h^2}{3\pi\nu} \int_{\mathcal{D}(t)} dx dy (P\nabla^2 z^k - z^k\nabla^2 P). \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

However, analyticity implies that $\nabla^2 z^k = 0$, and substituting Eq. (3) one immediately obtains $dt_k/dt = -t_k/\tau$. Thus all t_k decay at the same rate:

$$t_k = t_k(0)e^{-t/\tau}, \quad \text{where } \tau = \rho/\kappa. \quad (8)$$

Knowing the precise time dependence of the harmonic moments allows one to construct exact solutions for the evolution of the droplet. Notice that here the behavior of the harmonic moments differs from that of Saffman-Taylor problem. In the latter case all the harmonic moments remain constant, apart from t_0 which grows in time [7].




FIG. 2: The evolution of an evaporating elliptical droplet

It is instructive to consider the evolution of a droplet whose initial shape is an ellipse. The harmonic moments in this case vanish for all $k > 2$, and since Eq.(8) implies no generation of new moments, the shape of the droplet at any later time remains elliptical. Thus the pressure takes the form $P = (\beta/4)\Re [|z|^2 - \alpha(t)z^2 - \gamma(t)]$, where $\alpha(t)$ and $\gamma(t)$ are time dependent functions to be determined (linear terms in x and y are omitted since they lead merely to a trivial shift of the droplet). This form of P satisfies Poisson's equation (3), while the boundary condition (4) are satisfied on an ellipse:

$$\frac{x^2}{a_+^2(t)} + \frac{y^2}{a_-^2(t)} = 1, \quad (9)$$

provided $|\alpha(t)| < 1$, and $\gamma(t) > 0$. Here a_{\pm} are the semimajor and semiminor axes of the ellipse,

$$a_{\pm}^2 = \frac{\gamma(t)}{1 \mp \alpha(t)}, \quad (10)$$

where without loss of generality we choose $\alpha(t)$ to be real and positive. From Darcy's law (1) one can evaluate the velocity of the boundary and deduce that $\partial_t a_{\pm} = -(1 \mp \alpha(t))a_{\pm}/(2\tau)$. The solution of this equation and (10) yields

$$\alpha(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + Ae^{-2t/\tau}}}, \quad \gamma(t) = \frac{Be^{-2t/\tau}}{\sqrt{1 + Ae^{-2t/\tau}}}, \quad (11)$$

where A and B are a constants determined by initial shape of the droplet. Substituting (11) into (10) we obtain

$$a_{\pm}^2(t) = \frac{Be^{-2t/\tau}}{\sqrt{1 + Ae^{-2t/\tau}} \mp 1}. \quad (12)$$

The evolution of an elliptical droplet, as follows from (9) and (12), is depicted in Fig. 2. It shows that the droplet, eventually, contracts to the straight line which connects the ellipse foci. In more general cases the droplet may contract to a skeleton of line segments called a "mother body" [6]. In reality, however, surface tension effects neglected here are expected to modify the evolution at its late stage.

To describe the evaporation of droplets with more complicated shapes, we shall employ conformal maps from the interior of the unit circle (w -domain) to the interior of the droplet (z -domain). A simple example of such a map is the Joukowski map,

$$z(w) = r(t)w + \frac{u_0(t)}{w - c(t)} + u_1(t) \quad (13)$$

with $|c(t)| > 1$. The time evolution of the droplet is determined by the time dependence of the coefficients of the map, $r(t)$, $c(t)$, $u_0(t)$, and $u_1(t)$ in the above example. This time dependence is dictated by the exponential decay of the harmonic moments (8). In order to see how, let us introduce the Schwarz function[8], $S(z)$, associated with the droplet's boundary in z plane. This function is analytic in a strip containing the boundary, and satisfies the condition $\bar{z} = S(z)$ for $z \in \partial\mathcal{D}$. Hereafter an overbar will denote complex conjugation. Expressed in terms of the conformal map from the interior of the unit circle to the droplet domain, the Schwarz function takes the form

$$S(z) = \bar{z} \left(\frac{1}{w(z)} \right), \quad (14)$$

where $w(z)$ is the inverse map from the droplet boundary, in z plane, to the unit circle in w plane. This is because, on the unit circle, $\bar{w} = 1/w$, and therefore $S(z) = \overline{z(w)} = \bar{z}(\bar{w}) = \bar{z}(1/w)$.

The relation between the Schwarz function and the harmonic moments of the droplet's domain is revealed by expressing the integral (5), using Green's theorem, as a contour integral along the droplet's boundary,

$$t_k = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{\partial\mathcal{D}(t)} dl S(z) z^k \quad (15)$$

Knowing the time dependence of the harmonic moments, Eqs. (14) and (15), determine the time evolution of the conformal map $z(w)$.

Consider e.g. the Joukowski map (13), where without loss of generality, we choose its coefficients to be real. The Schwarz function, in this case, takes the form

$$S(z) = \frac{r(t)}{w(z)} + \frac{u_0(t)w(z)}{1 - c(t)w(z)} + u_1(t). \quad (16)$$

Note that the inverse map $w(z)$, being a solution of quadratic equation, is defined on two Riemann sheets, thus $S(z)$ is analytic on a Riemann surface of genus zero constructed by gluing the two patches along cut, which lies outside the droplet domain. Two poles of $S(z)$ are located inside \mathcal{D} on the physical sheet, while two other poles are at $z \rightarrow \infty$ of both sheets. Thus within the droplet domain

$$S(z) \sim \sum_{j=1}^2 \frac{\mu_j}{z - q_j}, \quad (17)$$




FIG. 3: The evolution of droplet pinching due to evaporation. Left panel shows the full droplet while the right panel is a magnification of the area where the droplet pinches off.

with no other singularities. Performing the contour integral (15), by the residue theorem, we obtain $t_k = \sum_j \mu_j q_j^k$. Now, since all t_k decay at the same rate q_j ought to be time independent, while μ_j should decay as $\mu_j(t) = \mu_j(0)e^{-t/\tau}$.

To relate the parameters of the Schwarz function, q_j and μ_j , to those of the conformal map r , u_j and c , we require both expressions, (16) and (17), to have the same poles and residues. This condition leads to the relations:

$$\begin{aligned} q_1 &= z(0), & q_2 &= z(1/c), \\ \mu_1 &= r \left. \frac{dz}{dw} \right|_{w=0}, & \mu_2 &= -\frac{u_0}{c^2} \left. \frac{dz}{dw} \right|_{w=1/c}. \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

Thus knowing the time dependence of q_j and μ_j , determines the time dependence of the coefficients of the Joukowski map, and hence the evolution of the droplet. From the above equations we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} q_1 = 0 &= -\frac{u_0}{c} + u_1, & q_2 &= \frac{r}{c} + \frac{u_0 c}{1 - c^2} + u_1, \\ \mu_1(0)e^{-t/\tau} &= r \left(r - \frac{u_0}{c^2} \right), \\ \mu_2(0)e^{-t/\tau} &= u_0 \left(\frac{u_0}{(c^2 - 1)^2} - \frac{r}{c^2} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

where, q_j and $\mu_j(0)$ are determined by the initial shape of the droplet, and without loss of generality we choose $q_1 = 0$. The evolution that follows from the above equations, with the initial conditions $r(0) = 1/100$, $u_0(0) = -1/2$, $u_1(0) = -5/12$, and $c(0) = 6/5$, is demonstrated in Fig. 3. It describes a droplet pinching of the puddle. The above equations hold up to the point where the droplets separate. Beyond this point the two droplets continue to evolve independent of each other. Namely in this particular case the droplets remain circular while their area decrease exponentially in time.

Let us now describe the evolution of droplets whose boundaries are described by conformal maps of the

form[9]

$$z(w) = rw + \sum_j \alpha_j \ln \left(1 - \frac{w}{w_j} \right) \quad (20)$$

with the conditions $|\omega_j| > 1$ and $\sum_j \alpha_j = 0$. As in the previous case, to extract the time dependence of the coefficients, r , α_j , and w_j we shall identify the singularities of the Schwarz function, and require them to agree with the time dependence dictated by (8) and (15).

From (14) and (20) it follows that the singular points of $S(z)$ in \mathcal{D} , denoted henceforth by q_j , are the points for which $q_j = z(1/w_j)$. The behavior of the Schwarz function near these points is given by

$$S(q_j + \delta z) = \bar{z} \left(\frac{1}{w(z(\frac{1}{w_j}) + \delta z)} \right) \simeq \alpha_j \ln(\delta z), \quad (21)$$

and therefore $S(z) \sim \sum_j \alpha_j \ln(z - q_j)$. The conditions $|\omega_j| > 1$ and $\sum_j \alpha_j = 0$, ensures that no branch cut crosses the droplet boundary, and from (15), we obtain $t_k = -\sum_j \alpha_j q_j^{k+1}/k$, for $k > 0$. Thus the uniform exponential decay of the harmonic moments implies that $\alpha_j(t) = \alpha_j(0)e^{-t/\tau}$, while q_j are constants of motion. The equation for t_0 , is obtained by deforming the contour of the integral (15) around the pole at infinity. The singular behavior of the Schwarz function in this region is

$$S(z) \rightarrow \bar{z} \left(\frac{r}{z} \right) = \frac{\bar{r}r}{z} - \sum_j \bar{\alpha}_j \frac{r}{\bar{w}_j z}, \quad z \rightarrow \infty. \quad (22)$$

and therefore the zeroth harmonic moment is $t_0 = |r|^2 - r \sum_j \frac{\bar{\alpha}_j}{\bar{w}_j}$. This relation provides the additional equation needed in order to determine the coefficients of the conformal map (20):

$$q_j = z(w_j^{-1}), \quad \alpha_j(0)e^{-t/\tau} = \alpha_j(t), \quad (23)$$

$$t_0(0)e^{-t/\tau} = |r|^2 - r \sum_j \frac{\bar{\alpha}_j(t)}{\bar{w}_j}.$$

Two examples of the evolution generated by conformal maps of the type (20) are depicted in Fig. 1[10]. The left panel shows the evolution of a droplet contracting to a star-shape form were each one of its arms is similar to the Saffman-Taylor finger in a channel geometry[11]. In reality these water fingers eventually break into small droplets due to surface tension. Nevertheless, they have been seen in numerical simulations of related problems[12], and their traces are observed in the experimental data[3]. These studies also reveal that a rather stable pattern, that commonly appears in these systems, is an "air" finger split in the middle by a narrow water "pipe", similar to the result we obtained shown in the right panel of Fig. 1. The common appearance of these fingers, called "doublons", in volatile thin films is

in a marked difference with the Saffman-Taylor evolution in a radial geometry, where tips split repeatedly.

It should be noted that Eqs. (3) and (4) also have solutions which evolve into cusp singularities as well as tip splitting, unobserved in the experimental data. Therefore additional information is needed in order to select the relevant solutions. This information comes from the local behavior of the fluid near the droplet boundary. There is evidence that Rayleigh instability generates inhomogeneous distribution of the liquid along the rim of the droplet[13]. It is expected that this behavior selects the relevant solutions, such as the finger shown on the right panel of Fig. 1. This problem is left for further studies.

I thank Paul Wiegmann, Anton Zabrodin, Michael Elbaum, Haim Diamant, and especially Eldad Bettelheim for useful discussions, and Steve Lipson, Raphi Matthews and Dror Orgad for reading the manuscript before submission. This work was supported by the United States-Israel Binational Science Foundation (BSF) Grant No. 2004128.

-
- [1] P.G. Saffman and G.I. Taylor, Proc. R. Soc. London A **245**, 2312 (1958).
 - [2] D. Bonn, J. Eggers, J. Indekeu, J. Meunier, and E. Rolley, to appear in Rev. Mod. Phys. An online version: <http://www.maths.bris.ac.uk/~majge/rmp.pdf>
 - [3] M. Elbaum, and S.G. Lipson, Phys. Rev. Lett. **72**, 3562 (1994); S. G. Lipson, Phase Transitions, **77**, 677 (2004).
 - [4] N. Samid-Merzel, S.G. Lipson, and D.S. Tannhauser, Phys. Rev. E **57**, 2906 (1998).
 - [5] V.M. Entov and P.I. Étingof, Fluid Dynamics, **27**, 169 (1992).
 - [6] B. Gustafsson, *Lectures on Balayage*, in Clifford Algebras and Potential Theory, Univ. Joensuu Dept. Math. Rep. Ser. **7**, p. 17-63 (2004).
 - [7] S. Richardson, J. Fluid Mech. **56**, 609 (1972).
 - [8] P.J. Davis, *The Schwarz Function and Its Applications* (Mathematical Association of America, Oberlin, OH, 1974).
 - [9] M.B. Mineev-Weinstein and S.P. Dawson, Phys. Rev. E, **50**, R24 (1994); S.P. Dawson and M.B. Mineev-Weinstein, Phys. Rev. E **57**, 3063 (1998).
 - [10] The initial parameters of the doplet shown in the left panel are $w_{\pm 1} = -1.1e^{\pm i2\pi/5}$, $w_{\pm 2} = -1.1e^{\pm i4\pi/5}$, $w_3 = -1.1$, $w_4 = 1000$, $\alpha_{\pm 1} = 3e^{\pm i2\pi/5}$, $\alpha_{\pm 2} = 3e^{\pm i4\pi/5}$, $\alpha_3 = 3$, and $r = 1$, while those of the right panel are $\omega_{\pm 1} = -1.1e^{\pm i8\pi/9}$, $\omega_2 = 1.3$, $\omega_3 = 1000$, $\alpha_{\pm 1} = 2e^{\pm i8\pi/9}$, $\alpha_2 = -0.1$, and $r = 1$.
 - [11] P.G. Saffman, Q. J. Mech. Appl. Math. **12**, 146 (1959).
 - [12] T. Ihle and H. Müller-Krumbhaar, Phys. Rev. Lett. **70**, 3083 (1993); Phys. Rev. E **49**, 2972 (1994); R. Kupferman, O. Schochet, and E. Ben-Jacob, Phys. Rev. E, **50**, 1005 (1994).
 - [13] I. Leizerson, S.G. Lipson, and A.V. Lyushnin, Langmuir **20**, 291 (2004).