

Temporal variability of GRB early X-ray afterglows and GRB080319B prompt emission

R. Margutti^{*,†}, C. Guidorzi^{*}, G. Chincarini^{*,†}, F. Pasotti^{*,†}, S. Covino^{*} and J. Mao^{*}

^{*}*INAF, Osservatorio Astronomico di Brera, Via E. Bianchi 46, I-23807, Merate (LC), Italy*

[†]*Università degli Studi di Milano-Bicocca, Dipartimento di Fisica, Piazza della Scienza 3, I-20126 Milano, Italy.*

Abstract. We performed the first systematic search for the minimum variability time scale between 0.3 and 10 keV studying the 28 brightest early (< 3000 s) afterglows detected by Swift-XRT up to March 2008. We adopt the power spectrum analysis in the time domain: unlike the Fourier spectrum, this is suitable to study the rms variations at different time-scales. We find that early XRT afterglows show variability in excess of the Poissonian noise level on time-scales as short as ~ 1 s (rest frame value), with the shortest t_{min} associated with the highest energy band.

The γ -ray prompt emission of GRB080319B shows a characteristic average variability time-scale $\langle t_{var} \rangle \sim 1$ s; this parameter undergoes a remarkable evolution during the prompt emission (BAT observation).

Keywords: γ -ray sources; γ -ray burst.

PACS: 98.70.Rz

INTRODUCTION

The time variability in afterglow and prompt emission light curves can provide important clues to the nature of the source that powers the Gamma Ray Burst (GRB) emission and, possibly, of its surroundings.

In this work we characterize the temporal variability properties of the detected GRB emission through its power density spectrum (PDS) in the time domain (see [1] and [2]). In particular, the variation power $P(\Delta t)$ in a count light curve $x(t)$ as function of the binning time Δt is defined as:

$$P(\Delta t) = \frac{Var(x)}{(\Delta t)^2} \quad \text{rms}^2 \quad (1)$$

From this quantity it is possible to derive the power density $p(\Delta t)$ in the time domain defined as the rate of change of $P(\Delta t)$ with respect to the time step Δt :

$$p(\Delta t) = \frac{P(\Delta t_1) - P(\Delta t_2)}{\Delta t_2 - \Delta t_1} \quad \text{rms}^2 \text{s}^{-1} \quad (2)$$

where $\Delta t_2 > \Delta t_1$ and $\Delta t = (\Delta t_1 + \Delta t_2)/2$.

For a pure Poisson noise distribution, the previous equation reduces to:

$$p_{noise}(\Delta t) = \frac{r}{\Delta t_1 \Delta t_2} \quad \text{rms}^2 \text{s}^{-1} \quad (3)$$

where r is the mean observed count rate. In this way it is possible to define the fractional signal power density (fpd)- i.e. the total fractional power density removed of the statistical noise- and the power density ratio (pdr) as follows:

$$fpd(\Delta t) = \frac{p(\Delta t) - p_{noise}(\Delta t)}{r^2} \quad (\text{rms}/\text{mean})^2 \text{s}^{-1} \quad (4)$$

$$pdr(\Delta t) = \frac{p(\Delta t)}{p_{noise}(\Delta t)} \quad (5)$$

TABLE 1. The 28 brightest early ($t_{max} < 3000$ s) X-ray afterglows detected by Swift-XRT up to March 2008 whose light curves are not affected by data gaps.

GRB						
080319B	070616	061121	060814	060526	060210	060105
080310	070419B	061007	060729	060510B	060202	051117A
080212	070328	060904B	060614	060418	060124	050730
071031	070129	060904A	060607A	060218	060111A	050724




FIGURE 1. (a) Minimum detectable variability time scale t_{min} as a function of redshift: empty triangles: observed values; filled circles: redshift corrected values. No evolution of the t_{min} parameter is apparent when the cosmological time dilation is properly considered (black dot-dashed lines vs. red dashed lines). Right panel: observed (b) and redshift corrected (c) t_{min} distributions.

TIMING ANALYSIS

Early X-Ray afterglows

We studied the PDS in the time domain of the 28 brightest early ($t_{max} < 3000$ s) X-ray afterglows detected by Swift-XRT up to March 2008, with no observational gaps in their light curves (Table 1). We refer the reader to Margutti et al. in prep. for details about the sample selection and light curve extraction. For each GRB 0.3 – 10 keV light curve, we calculated the pdr (Eq.5) and defined the minimum detectable variability time-scale t_{min} as the shortest time-scale showing a pdr above the 3σ level expected from a pure Poisson noise distribution estimated via Monte Carlo simulations. Results are shown in Fig. 1: most of early X-ray afterglows have $t_{min} < 1$ s. A definite exception is GRB 060218 with $t_{min} > 10$ s. While no evolution with redshift is detected (Fig.1), t_{min} shows instead a clear trend with energy: the softer the energy band, the longer the variability time scale (see Fig. 2). Observational effects would eventually work against this and therefore can only strengthen this conclusion.

GRB 080319B prompt emission

The PDS of GRB 080319B prompt emission (15 – 150 keV) has been calculated considering the Gaussian nature of the noise distribution (see [3] for details). In particular, we study in this case the fpd (Eq.4): this quantity is expected to show a peak whenever a characteristic time-scale of variability is encountered (see e.g. [4]).




FIGURE 2. Box-and-whisker plot showing the rest frame minimum time scale of variability as a function of the observed energy band of light curve extraction. Dashed lines: median values. Box edges: quantiles 40% and 60%. The thick black lines mark the data set extension excluding outliers (filled black circles).




FIGURE 3. Time resolved analysis of GRB 080319B prompt emission (15 – 150 keV). The fpd defined as in Eq.4 is shown as a function of the observed time-scale: Color coding corresponds to a 40 s long interval moving along the GRB profile by steps of 3.5s. Observer frame time intervals are listed.




FIGURE 4. Energy resolved analysis of GRB 080319B prompt emission. The fpd defined as in Eq.4 is shown as a function of the observed time-scale. Triangles: 15 – 25 keV; circles: 25 – 50 keV; squares: 50 – 100 keV; diamonds: 100 – 150 keV.

While the total GRB 080319B profile shows a variability time-scale in the range $\sim 0.1 - 1$ s, an interesting result is obtained if we do a time-resolved analysis of the light curve. From Fig. 3 is apparent that t_{var} undergoes a significant change of about one order of magnitude from the beginning to the end of the detected emission. In particular, while the first 40s of the light curve are dominated by $t_{var} \approx 0.1$ s, the last part shows a much longer characteristic time-scale $t_{var} \approx 0.7$ s. Moreover it is also apparent that there is not a continuous shift from the 0.1s to the 0.7s time-scale. What we do observe is instead a progressive depletion of the fractional power associated with the shorter time-scale and the contemporary rise of the 0.7s fpd. Finally, an energy resolved analysis of the same temporal profile (see fig. 4) reveals that the presence of two distinct time scales is peculiar of the highest energy band (100 – 150 keV), the lowest one (15 – 25 keV) showing a unique variability time-scale $t_{var} \approx 0.1$ s. We refer the reader to Guidorzi et al. in prep. for a complete discussion of this topic and a possible physical interpretation.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work is supported by ASI Grant ASI grant I/011/07/0, by the MIUR grant 2005025417) and by the University of Milano Bicocca (Italy).

REFERENCES

1. T.-P. Li, *Chinese Journal of Astronomy and Astrophysics* **1**, 313–332 (2001), arXiv:astro-ph/0109468.
2. T.-P. Li, and Y. Muraki, *Astrophysical Journal* **578**, 374–384 (2002), arXiv:astro-ph/0204368.
3. D. Rizzuto, C. Guidorzi, P. Romano, S. Covino, S. Campana, M. Capalbi, G. Chincarini, G. Cusumano, D. Fugazza, V. Mangano, A. Moretti, M. Perri, and G. Tagliaferri, *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* **379**, 619–628 (2007), arXiv:0704.2486.
4. R.-F. Shen, and L.-M. Song, *Publications of the Astronomical Society of Japan* **55**, 345–349 (2003), arXiv:astro-ph/0301553.