

**SOME FAMILIES OF SUPERSINGULAR ARTIN-SCHREIER  
CURVES IN CHARACTERISTIC  $> 2$**

HUI JUNE ZHU

1. INTRODUCTION

A curve over finite field is supersingular if its Jacobian is supersingular as an abelian variety. On the one hand, supersingular abelian varieties form the smallest (closed) stratum in the moduli space of abelian varieties, on the other the intersection of Jacobian locus and the stratification of moduli space is little known. Consequently it is very difficult to locate a family of supersingular curve. See [4]. In characteristic 2 some ground-breaking progress has been made in [6][7], where families of supersingular curves are given explicitly using some new sharp slope estimation method. However, that method does not apply easily to cases when characteristic is not 2. In this paper we develop a new method to allow us to prove supersingularity of Artin-Schreier curves in characteristic  $> 2$ . To illustrate how our method works, we use it show

**Theorem 1.** *The following two families of Artin-Schreier curves are supersingular:*

$$\begin{aligned} X_1 : y^7 - y &= x^5 + cx^2, & c \in \overline{\mathbb{F}}_7 \\ X_2 : y^5 - y &= x^7 + cx, & c \in \overline{\mathbb{F}}_5. \end{aligned}$$

*Remark 2.* We remark that using the same technique we were able to prove the supersingularity of the following family  $y^3 - y = x^7 + ax^2 + bx$  over  $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_3$  (après Noam Elkies [2], who is able to do so using a completely different approach).

Our method is based upon the  $p$ -adic Dwork method (see Section 2), and it reduces the supersingularity criterion of an Artin-Schreier curve to some strikingly simple linear algebra computation. Proof of Theorem 1 is done in Sections 3 and 4. This makes the method extremely promising in locating or verifying supersingularity of more families of Artin-Schreier curves in characteristic small (relative to the genus).

Finally we remark that  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  stood out as supersingular suspects via extensive computer search (via consideration of their monodromy) by joint effort of several people: Noam Elkies, Nick Katz, Eric Rain and Michael Zieve. We thank Noam Elkies and Bjorn Poonen for passing on this “supersingular” question to us.

2. THE DWORK TRACE FORMULA

We first recall Dwork trace formula. Let  $\mathbb{F}_q = \mathbb{F}_{p^a}$  for some positive integer  $a$ . Let  $\Omega_1 := \mathbb{Q}_p(\zeta_p)$  and  $\Omega_a$  its unramified extension of degree  $a$ . Let  $\text{ord}_p(\cdot)$  be the  $p$ -adic valuation and let  $\text{ord}_q(\cdot)$  be the normalized  $p$ -adic valuation so that  $\text{ord}_q q = 1$ .

---

*Date:* October 24, 2018.

*1991 Mathematics Subject Classification.* 11,14.

*Key words and phrases.* Supersingularity, Artin-Schreier curves, Dwork method.

Let  $\tau$  be the lift of Frobenius endomorphism  $a \mapsto a^p$  of  $\mathbb{F}_q$  to  $\Omega_a$  which fixes  $\Omega_1$ . For any  $c \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$  and  $b \in \mathbb{R}$  let  $\mathcal{L}(c, b)$  be the set of power series defined by

$$\mathcal{L}(c, b) := \left\{ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_n X^n \mid A_n \in \mathbb{Q}_p(\zeta_p), \text{ord}_p A_n \geq \frac{cn}{d} + b \right\}.$$

Let  $\mathcal{L}(c) := \bigcup_{b \in \mathbb{R}} \mathcal{L}(c, b)$ . Let  $E(x)$  be the Artin-Hasse exponential function, that is,  $E(x) = \exp(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^{p^j}}{p^j})$ . Let  $\gamma$  be a  $p$ -adic root of  $\log(E(x))$  with  $\text{ord}_p \gamma = \frac{1}{p-1}$  in  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ . Write  $E(\gamma x) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \lambda_m x^m$  for  $\lambda_m \in \mathbb{Z}_p[\zeta_p]$ . Note the following properties,

$$(1) \quad \text{ord}_p \lambda_m \geq \frac{m}{p-1};$$

for  $0 \leq m \leq p-1$  we have,

$$(2) \quad \lambda_m = \frac{\gamma^m}{m!} \text{ and } \text{ord}_p \lambda_m = \frac{m}{p-1}.$$

Let  $f(x) := x^d + \bar{a}_{d-1}x^{d-1} + \dots + \bar{a}_1x$  be a polynomial in  $\mathbb{F}_q[x]$ . Let  $\hat{f}(x)$  be its Teichmüller lifting in  $\Omega_a$ , that is,  $\hat{f}(x) := x^d + \hat{a}_{d-1}x^{d-1} + \dots + \hat{a}_1x$  where  $\hat{a}_\ell^q = \hat{a}_\ell$  and  $\bar{a}_\ell \equiv \hat{a}_\ell \pmod{p}$ . We let  $\bar{a}_d := 1$  and  $\hat{a}_d := 1$  for ease of formulation. Let

$$G(X) = \left( \sum_{m_1=0}^{\infty} \lambda_{m_1} \hat{a}_1^{m_1} X^{m_1} \right) \cdots \left( \sum_{m_d=0}^{\infty} \lambda_{m_d} \hat{a}_d^{m_d} X^{m_d} \right) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} G_n X^n.$$

Then  $G_n = 0$  for  $n < 0$ . For every integer  $n \geq 0$ ,

$$(3) \quad G_n = \sum_{\substack{m_\ell \geq 0 \\ \sum_{\ell=1}^d \ell m_\ell = n}} \lambda_{m_1} \cdots \lambda_{m_d} \hat{a}_1^{m_1} \cdots \hat{a}_{d-1}^{m_{d-1}},$$

where we define  $0^0 := 1$ . Let  $\text{Supp}(f)$  denote a set of  $\ell$ 's with  $1 \leq \ell \leq d$  such that  $\bar{a}_\ell = 0$  for every  $\ell \notin \text{Supp}(f)$ . Then for  $n \geq 0$ ,

$$(4) \quad G_n = \sum_{\substack{m_\ell \geq 0 \\ \sum_{\ell \in \text{Supp}(f)} \ell m_\ell = n}} \prod_{\ell \in \text{Supp}(f)} \lambda_{m_\ell} \hat{a}_\ell^{m_\ell};$$

hence,

$$(5) \quad \text{ord}_p G_n \geq \frac{\min(\sum_{\ell \in \text{Supp}(f)} m_\ell)}{p-1},$$

where the minimum is taken over all integers  $m_\ell \geq 0$  and  $\sum_{\ell \in \text{Supp}(f)} \ell m_\ell = n$ .

Let  $\phi$  be the Dwork  $\phi$  operator on  $\mathcal{L}(c)$  defined by  $\phi(\sum B_n X^n) := \sum B_{np} X^n$ . Let  $G(X)$  denote the multiplication map by  $G(X)$ , then the composition map  $\alpha := \tau^{-1} \cdot \phi \cdot G(X)$  is an endomorphism of  $\mathcal{L}(p/(p-1))$ . Let  $F$  represent the matrix of  $\alpha$  under the monomial basis  $\{1, X, X^2, \dots\}$  of  $\mathcal{L}(p/(p-1))$ . Note that  $F = \{G_{pi-j}^{\tau^{-1}}\}_{i,j \geq 1}$ . Let  $\alpha_a := \alpha^a$  then, by a similar argument as in [9, Section 2], one finds that  $\alpha_a$  is represented by the matrix

$$F_a = F F^{\tau^{-1}} \cdots F^{\tau^{-(a-1)}}.$$

Let  $C_0 = 1$ , and for every  $n \geq 1$  let

$$(6) \quad C_n := \sum_{1 \leq u_1 < u_2 < \dots < u_n} \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \text{sgn}(\sigma) \prod_{i=1}^n (F_a)_{u_i, u_{\sigma(i)}},$$

where  $\text{sgn}(\sigma)$  is the signature of the permutation  $\sigma$  in the  $n$ -th symmetric group  $S_n$ . Let  $L(f/\mathbb{F}_q; T)$  be the  $L$  function of exponential sums of  $f(x)$  over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . By Dwork trace formula, see [1, (34)], we have

$$\begin{aligned} L(f/\mathbb{F}_q; T) &= \frac{\det(I - F_a T)}{(1 - qT) \det(I - F_a qT)} \\ &= \frac{1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^n C_n T^n}{(1 - qT)(1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^n C_n q^n T^n)} \\ &= 1 + b_1 T + \cdots + b_{d-1} T^{d-1} \end{aligned}$$

which lies in  $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p][T]$ . Let the Newton polygon  $\text{NP}(f/\mathbb{F}_q)$  of the  $L$  function  $L(f/\mathbb{F}_q; T)$  be the lower convex hull of points  $(n, \text{ord}_q b_n)$  for  $0 \leq n \leq d-1$  with  $b_0 := 1$ .

**Proposition 3.** *Let notation be as above. Then  $\text{NP}(f/\mathbb{F}_q)$  is equal to the lower convex hull of points  $(n, \text{ord}_q C_n)$  where  $0 \leq n \leq d-1$ . Moreover,  $\text{NP}(f/\mathbb{F}_q)$  can be obtained from the (normalized) Newton polygon of the zeta function of the curve  $y^p - y = f(x)$  by reducing a factor  $1/(p-1)$  the ordinates and the abscissas of the latter.*

*Proof.* The first assertion follows from a similar argument as that of Proposition 2.2 of [8]. The second assertion is a well-known fact, which can be found in [1, (106)] for example, or see [8, Introduction].  $\square$

### 3. $X_1 : y^7 - y = x^5 + cx^2$ IS SUPERSINGULAR

Let  $\lfloor r \rfloor$  denote the greatest integer  $\leq r$ . In this section let  $\text{Supp}(f) = \text{Supp}(x^5 + cx^2) = \{2, 5\}$ . For any  $c \in \mathbb{F}_q$  with  $q = 7^a$ , by (5), one has

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ord}_7 G_n &\geq \begin{cases} +\infty & \text{if } n < 0, \\ \min_{m_2, m_5 \geq 0; 2m_2 + 5m_5 = n} (m_2 + m_5)/6 & \text{if } n \geq 0 \end{cases} \\ &\geq \begin{cases} +\infty & \text{if } n < 0 \\ \frac{n}{12} - \frac{\lfloor \frac{n}{5} \rfloor - 1}{4} & \text{if } n \geq 0 \text{ and } n \equiv 1, 3 \pmod{5}, \\ \frac{n}{12} - \frac{\lfloor \frac{n}{5} \rfloor}{4} & \text{if } n \geq 0 \text{ and } n \equiv 0, 2, 4 \pmod{5}, \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Let  $g_{ij}$  be the lower bound of  $\text{ord}_7 G_{7i-j}$  given above.

**Lemma 4.** *Let  $a$  be any positive integer divisible by 4. Let  $M_1, \dots, M_a$  be infinite matrices over a  $p$ -adic ring such that every matrix  $M_\ell$  (where  $\ell = 1, \dots, a$ ) has  $\text{ord}_p(M_\ell)_{ij} \geq g_{ij}$ . Then*

$$\text{ord}_p(M_1 \cdots M_a)_{ij} > \frac{5a}{12} + \frac{i-j}{12}.$$

*Proof.* It is easy to see that it suffices to show it for  $a = 4$ . Let  $D_{ij} := g_{ij} - (\frac{5}{12} + \frac{i-j}{12})$ . Let  $D := \{D_{ij}\}_{i,j \geq 1}$ . Using the hypothesis, one gets  $g_{ij} \geq \frac{7i-j}{30}$ , hence  $D_{ij} > \frac{3}{6}$  whenever  $\max(i, j) > 12$ . Let  $\ell := 12$ . Then

$$\begin{cases} \text{ord}_p D_{ij} \geq -\frac{1}{6} & \text{if } 1 \leq i, j \leq \ell; \\ \text{ord}_p D_{ij} > \frac{3}{6} & \text{if } i > \ell \text{ or } j > \ell. \end{cases}$$

(In fact,  $D_{ij} \geq \frac{1}{12}$  except for  $D_{1,2} = -\frac{1}{6}$ .) Let  $D * D$  be the infinite matrix defined by

$$(D * D)_{ij} := \min_{k \geq 1} (D_{ik} + D_{kj}).$$

One has

$$\begin{cases} \text{ord}_p(D * D)_{ij} \geq -\frac{2}{6} & \text{if } 1 \leq i, j \leq \ell; \\ \text{ord}_p(D * D)_{ij} > \frac{2}{6} & \text{if } i > \ell \text{ or } j > \ell; \\ \text{ord}_p(D * D)_{ij} > \frac{4}{6} & \text{if } i, j > \ell. \end{cases}$$

Define  $(D * D) * (D * D)$  analogously and apply the above method again, then

$$(7) \quad \begin{cases} \text{ord}_p((D * D) * (D * D))_{ij} \geq -\frac{4}{6} & \text{if } 1 \leq i, j \leq \ell; \\ \text{ord}_p((D * D) * (D * D))_{ij} > 0 & \text{if } i > \ell \text{ or } j > \ell; \\ \text{ord}_p((D * D) * (D * D))_{ij} > \frac{4}{6} & \text{if } i, j > \ell. \end{cases}$$

On the other hand, it is not hard to show that for every  $i, j \geq 1$

$$(8) \quad \text{ord}_p(M_1 M_2)_{ij} - \left( \frac{5 \cdot 2}{12} + \frac{i-j}{12} \right) \geq (D * D)_{ij}.$$

$$(9) \quad \text{ord}_p((M_1 M_2)(M_3 M_4))_{ij} - \left( \frac{5 \cdot 4}{12} + \frac{i-j}{12} \right) \geq ((D * D) * (D * D))_{ij}.$$

Let  $\overline{D} := \{D_{ij}\}_{1 \leq i, j \leq \ell}$ . We use a computer to verify that  $((\overline{D} * \overline{D}) * (\overline{D} * \overline{D}))_{ij} > 0$  for all  $1 \leq i, j \leq \ell$ . By (7) and (9) we see that

$$\text{ord}_p(M_1 M_2 M_3 M_4)_{ij} > \frac{5 \cdot 4}{12} + \frac{i-j}{12}.$$

This finishes the proof.  $\square$

*Remark 5.* In the proof of the lemma above, the factor  $1/12$  in  $(i-j)/12$  will not affect the existence of  $a$ , though it will probably affect the lower bound of  $a$ . Namely if one chooses other factors, say  $1/8$ , then one may end up with  $> 4$  many operations with  $D$  and consequently will need to modify the lower bound of  $a$  in the statement.

In the above proof, one may intend to define  $(\overline{D} * \overline{D})_{ij} := \min(D_{ik} + D_{kj}, \frac{3}{6} + \frac{3}{6})$ . But further analysis shows that our definition in the proof suffices for the purpose of proving the first  $\ell$  by  $\ell$  submatrix of  $(D * D) * (D * D)$  is positive.

**Lemma 6.** *Let  $a$  be a positive multiple of 4 and notations be as above. Then*

$$\text{ord}_q C_1 > \frac{5}{12}; \quad \text{ord}_q C_2 > \frac{5}{6}.$$

*Proof.* Since  $F = \{G_{7i-j}^{\tau^{-1}}\}_{i, j \geq 1}$ , and  $\text{ord}_7 G_{7i-j} \geq g_{ij}$  as in Lemma 4, The hypothesis of Lemma 4 is satisfied for  $F, F^{\tau^{-1}}, \dots, F^{\tau^{-(a-1)}}$ . Apply Lemma 4 to  $F_a = F F^{\tau^{-1}} \dots F^{\tau^{-(a-1)}}$ , one gets

$$(10) \quad \text{ord}_7(F_a)_{ij} > \frac{5a}{12} + \frac{i-j}{12}.$$

By (6), one observes easily that  $\text{ord}_7 C_1 > \frac{5a}{12}$ .

On the other hand,

$$\text{ord}_7 C_2 \geq \min_{i, j \geq 1} (\text{ord}_p((F_a)_{ii}(F_a)_{jj}), \text{ord}_p((F_a)_{ij}(F_a)_{ji})) > \frac{2 \cdot 5a}{12} = \frac{5a}{6},$$

where the last inequality follows from (10). The lemma follows immediately.  $\square$

**Proposition 7.** *The curve  $X_1 : y^7 - y = x^5 + cx^2$  over  $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_7$  is supersingular.*

*Proof.* Let  $c$  be an arbitrary element in  $\mathbb{F}_{7^a}$  for some  $a$  which is a positive multiple of 4 (one can always do so since our Newton polygon does not depend on  $a$ ). Because we know that the (normalized) Newton polygon of any abelian variety over finite fields is symmetric whose vertices all have integral coordinates, the same holds for curves over finite fields. Since  $\text{NP}(f/\mathbb{F}_{7^a})$  is of the same shape as the Newton polygon of the zeta function of  $X_1$  shrunk by a factor of  $1/6$ , we know that  $\text{NP}(f/\mathbb{F}_{7^a})$  is symmetric and every vertex has its  $y$ -coordinate equal to a multiple of  $1/6$ . By Lemma 6 we know that  $\text{ord}_q C_1 > 5/12$  and  $\text{ord}_q C_2 > 5/6$ . Then it is easy to derive that the the first slope of  $\text{NP}(f/\mathbb{F}_{7^a})$  has to be  $1/2$  and so  $X_1$  is supersingular.  $\square$

#### 4. $X_2 : y^5 - y = x^7 + cx$ IS SUPERSINGULAR

In this section let  $\text{Supp}(f) = \text{Supp}(x^7 + cx) = \{1, 7\}$ . For any  $c \in \mathbb{F}_q$  with  $q = 5^a$ , by (5), one has

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ord}_5 G_n &\geq \begin{cases} +\infty & \text{if } n < 0, \\ \min_{m_1, m_7 \geq 0; m_1 + 7m_7 = n} (m_1 + m_7)/4 & \text{if } n \geq 0 \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} +\infty & \text{if } n < 0 \\ \lfloor \frac{n}{4} \rfloor + \bar{n} & \text{if } n \geq 0 \end{cases}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\bar{n}$  is the least nonnegative residue of  $n \bmod 7$ . For all  $i, j \geq 1$  let  $g_{ij}$  be the lower bound of  $\text{ord}_5 G_{5i-j}$  given above.

**Lemma 8.** *Let  $a$  be any positive integer divisible by 8. Let  $M_1, \dots, M_a$  be infinite matrices over a  $p$ -adic ring such that every matrix  $M_\ell$  (where  $\ell = 1, \dots, a$ ) has  $\text{ord}_p(M_\ell)_{ij} \geq g_{ij}$ . Then*

$$\text{ord}_p(M_1 \cdots M_a)_{ij} > \frac{5a}{12} + \frac{i-j}{8}.$$

*Proof.* Of course the proof is analogous to that of Lemma 4. We shall briefly describe our proof below. It suffices to show it for  $a = 8$ . Let  $D_{ij} := \frac{5}{12} + \frac{i-j}{8}$  and let  $D := \{D_{ij}\}$ . Then  $D_{ij} > \frac{7}{6}$  if  $\max(i, j) > 27$ . In fact one verifies that  $D_{3,1} = -\frac{1}{6}$  and  $D_{2,3} = -\frac{1}{24}$  are the only negative entries in  $D$ . Let  $\ell := 27$ . Let  $\bar{D} := \{D_{ij}\}_{1 \leq i, j \leq \ell}$ . Then by computing  $((\bar{D} * \bar{D}) * (\bar{D} * \bar{D})) * ((\bar{D} * \bar{D}) * (\bar{D} * \bar{D}))$ , one notes that each  $(i, j)$ -th entry is  $> 0$ . Then we conclude the lemma with similar argument as that of Lemma 4.  $\square$

Analogous to Lemma 6 one shows that

$$\text{ord}_q C_1 > \frac{5}{12}, \quad \text{ord}_q C_2 > \frac{2 \cdot 5}{12}, \quad \text{ord}_q C_3 > \frac{3 \cdot 5}{12}.$$

Then  $X_2$  is supersingular.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] ENRICO BOMBIERI: On exponential sums in finite fields, *American J. Math.* **88** (1966), 71–105.
- [2] NOAM ELKIES: Private communication.
- [3] NEAL KOBLITZ:  $p$ -adic numbers,  $p$ -adic analysis, and Zeta-functions, (Second edition), *Graduate Texts in Mathematics* **58**. Springer-Verlag, 1984.
- [4] K-Z. LI; F. OORT: Moduli of supersingular abelian varieties, *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, vol. **1680** (1998).

- [5] JASPER SCHOLTEN; HUI JUNE ZHU: Slope estimates on Artin-Schreier curves. *Compositio Math.* **137** (2003), 275–292.
- [6] JASPER SCHOLTEN; HUI JUNE ZHU: Hyperelliptic curves in characteristic 2. *Inter. Math. Research Notices*, **17** (2002), 905–917.
- [7] JASPER SCHOLTEN; HUI JUNE ZHU: Families of supersingular curves in characteristic 2. *Mathematical Research Letters*. **9**(2002), no. 5-6, 639–650.
- [8] HUI JUNE ZHU:  $p$ -adic variation of  $L$  functions of one variable exponential sums, I. *Amer. J. Math.* **125**(2003), 669–690.
- [9] HUI JUNE ZHU: Asymptotic variation of  $L$  functions of one-variable exponential sums. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, **572** (2004), 219–233.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SUNY AT BUFFALO, BUFFALO, NY 14260  
*E-mail address:* `zhu@cal.berkeley.edu`