

Effect of Rattling Phonons on Sommerfeld Constant

Takashi HOTTA

Department of Physics, Tokyo Metropolitan University, 1-1 Minami-Osawa, Hachioji, Tokyo 192-0397

(Received November 22, 2018)

By employing a numerical renormalization group technique, we evaluate electronic specific heat coefficient γ of the Anderson model coupled with local anharmonic phonons for the oscillation of a caged atom. For the rattling-type cage potential with a flat and wide region in the bottom, we find that phonon-mediated attraction is largely enhanced. When the potential shape is deformed from the rattling type, there occurs a cancellation between Coulomb repulsion and the phonon-mediated attraction. In such a situation, spin and charge fluctuations are comparable to each other, leading to the realization of exotic electron-phonon complex state with large and magnetically robust γ .

KEYWORDS: Kondo effect, Filled skutterudites, Rattling, Sommerfeld constant

Recently, a new possibility of electron-phonon coupled state emerging in strongly correlated electron materials such as clathrate compounds,¹ pyrochlore oxides,^{2,3} and filled skutterudites⁴ has attracted much attention in the research area of condensed matter physics. A common feature of these materials is the existence of nano-size cage composed of relatively light atoms, in which another atom feels a highly anharmonic potential and oscillates with large amplitude. Such an oscillation is frequently called “rattling”, which is considered to be one of key ingredients of cage-structure materials, when we attempt to clarify their electronic properties.

For instance, in order to understand magnetically robust heavy-fermion behavior observed in Sm-based filled skutterudite compound $\text{SmOs}_4\text{Sb}_{12}$,⁵ the non-magnetic Kondo effect originating from phonon degree of freedom has been pointed out.⁶⁻⁸ Along with this research direction, in order to promote our understandings on the Kondo physics in electron-phonon systems, the present author has performed numerical calculations of the Anderson model coupled with local Jahn-Teller and Holstein phonons.⁹⁻¹¹ The periodic Anderson-Holstein model has been also analyzed with the use of a dynamical mean-field theory and a mechanism of the mass enhancement due to large lattice fluctuations and phonon softening towards double-well potential has been addressed.¹² This tendency has been also suggested in the Holstein model.¹³⁻¹⁵

Concerning the robustness of electronic specific heat coefficient γ against an applied magnetic field, it is basically understood, if the Kondo temperature T_K becomes large in comparison with the magnetic field. In fact, in an electron-phonon system, Coulomb interaction is considered to be, more or less, weakened by phonon-mediated attraction, leading to the enhancement of T_K . However, in order to cancel the on-site Coulomb interaction, phonon energy should be in the order of electron bandwidth, as long as we consider harmonic phonons. Such a situation may be realized in some molecular conductors composed of light atoms, but in general, phonon energy is smaller than the electron bandwidth. Moreover, when we recall a relation of $\gamma \sim 1/T_K$,¹⁶ γ cannot be so large for the case with enhanced T_K .

In this paper, in order to examine the phonon-based scenario for large and magnetically robust γ , we investigate the effect of anharmonic oscillation of the atom in the cage potential by treating them as local phonons in the standard quan-

tum mechanics. We analyze the Anderson model coupled with local anharmonic phonons with the use of a numerical renormalization group method. For a rattling-type potential in which a flat and wide region appears in the bottom, the Coulomb repulsion is largely suppressed by phonon-mediated attraction, even when we consider phonon energy smaller than the electron bandwidth. We also find that γ is both large and magnetically robust, when the potential shape is deformed from the rattling type in which spin fluctuations are comparable to charge ones.

First let us discuss the local electron-phonon state, when we include anharmonicity. The local Hamiltonian is given by

$$H_{\text{loc}} = Un_{\uparrow}n_{\downarrow} + H_{\text{eph}}, \quad (1)$$

where $n_{\sigma} = d_{\sigma}^{\dagger}d_{\sigma}$, d_{σ} is an annihilation operator of localized electron with spin σ , U is the Coulomb interaction, and $H_{\text{eph}} = gQ\rho + P^2/2 + V(Q)$. Here g is the electron-phonon coupling constant, $\rho = n_{\uparrow} + n_{\downarrow}$, Q is normal coordinate of the oscillation of a caged atom, P is the corresponding canonical momentum, and $V(Q)$ indicates the cage potential. Note that the reduced mass of the vibration is set as unity. The potential is given by $V(Q) = \omega^2 Q^2/2 + k_4 Q^4 + k_6 Q^6$, where ω is the phonon energy and we consider fourth- and sixth-order anharmonicity in the potential. Using the phonon operator a defined through $Q = (a + a^{\dagger})/\sqrt{2\omega}$, we obtain

$$H_{\text{eph}} = \omega\sqrt{\alpha}(a + a^{\dagger})\rho + \omega(a^{\dagger}a + 1/2) + \beta_4\omega(a + a^{\dagger})^4 + \beta_6\omega(a + a^{\dagger})^6, \quad (2)$$

where the non-dimensional electron-phonon coupling constant α is given by $\alpha = g^2/(2\omega^3)$ and non-dimensional anharmonicity parameters β_4 and β_6 are, respectively, given by $\beta_4 = k_4/(4\omega^3)$ and $\beta_6 = k_6/(8\omega^4)$. By using these non-dimensional parameters, we express the potential as

$$V(q) = \alpha\omega(q^2 + 16\alpha\beta_4q^4 + 64\alpha^2\beta_6q^6), \quad (3)$$

where q is the non-dimensional length, given by $q = Q\omega^2/g$.

In this paper, we fix β_6 as $\beta_6 = 10^{-5}$ and change β_4 in the negative side in order to examine the effect of potential shape. Note that for $\beta_4 > 0$, there is no drastic change in the potential shape. As for α , we fix $\alpha = 2$ to consider the strong electron-phonon coupling. In the calculations, we define the phonon basis as $|\ell\rangle = (a^{\dagger})^{\ell}|0\rangle/\sqrt{\ell!}$, where ℓ is the phonon number and




Fig. 1. (a) Change of the potential shape due to β_4 for $\alpha=2$ and $\beta_6=10^{-5}$. Broken curve denotes the quadratic potential. (b) Average value of q vs. β_4 for $\rho=1$ and 2. (c) Phonon-mediated attraction U_{ph}/ω vs. β_4 .

$|0\rangle$ is the vacuum state. The phonon basis is truncated at a finite number, which is set as 2000.

In Fig. 1(a), we depict $V(q)/(\alpha\omega)$ in order to see how the potential shape is changed when β_4 is decreased in the negative side. For $\beta_4=0$, there is a single minimum at $q=0$. In comparison with the case of quadratic potential, the anharmonic potential becomes steep for large q , while there is no significant difference among the potentials in the region near $q=0$. Thus, the potential in this parameter region is called the on-center type. When β_4 is decreased, shoulder-like structure gradually appears and the potential bottom becomes flat and wide. In the present definitions of parameters, potential minima at $|q|\neq 0$ appear for $\beta_4 < -\sqrt{3\beta_6/4} = -0.00274$. This shape of the potential is called the rattling type, since the caged atom is expected to oscillate with large amplitude in spite of the structure with shallow minima in the wide bottom of the potential. For further decrease of β_4 with $\beta_4 < -\sqrt{\beta_6} = -0.00316$, the minimum values of $V(q)$ at $q\neq 0$ are smaller than that at the origin. The shape of the potential in this region is called the off-center type in the sense that the atom oscillates around at off-center positions due to deep potential minima at $|q|\neq 0$.

Here we summarize the three types of the potential shape: (i) The on-center type for $-\sqrt{3\beta_6/4} < \beta_4 < 0$, (ii) the rattling type for $-\sqrt{\beta_6} < \beta_4 < -\sqrt{3\beta_6/4}$, and (iii) the off-center type for $\beta_4 < -\sqrt{\beta_6}$. When we include the electron-phonon coupling, the boundary values will be changed, but the above three types still characterize the potential shape.

In order to see the effect of the potential shape on the electron state, let us evaluate the average displacement $\langle q \rangle$ for $\rho=1$ and 2. As shown in Fig. 1(b), for the case of $\rho=1$, when we decrease β_4 from zero, first $\langle q \rangle$ is gradually decreased, since the bottom of the on-center type potential is not so widened by β_4 . Around at $\beta_4 \approx -0.0025$, corresponding to the region

of the rattling type, $\langle q \rangle$ is rapidly decreased. When we further decrease β_4 , $\langle q \rangle$ is gradually decreased for $\beta_4 < -0.003$ in accordance with the shift of potential minima. For $\rho=2$, $\langle q \rangle$ is smoothly decreased in the whole region of $\beta_4 < 0$. Note that in the regions for on-center and rattling types, we observe significant difference between the results for $\rho=1$ and 2. Since the electron-phonon coupling for $\rho=2$ is virtually stronger than that for $\rho=1$, the positions of potential minima for $\rho=2$ are larger than that for $\rho=1$. However, in the region of the off-center type, the potential is heavily deformed by large negative value of β_4 even without the electron-phonon coupling. Thus, the position of the potential minima is insensitive to the electron number for $\beta_4 < -0.003$.

The difference in the dependence of the change of the potential shape on electron number can be significantly found in the effective attraction between electrons mediated by phonons. When we define the attraction as $-U_{\text{ph}}$, the magnitude of the attraction U_{ph} is given by

$$U_{\text{ph}} = 2E_1^{(0)} - (E_0^{(0)} + E_2^{(0)}), \quad (4)$$

where $E_\rho^{(0)}$ is the ground-state energy of H_{eph} for the electron number ρ . We note that the effect of U is not included in $E_\rho^{(0)}$. Note also that $U_{\text{ph}}=2\alpha\omega$ at half-filling for harmonic phonon.

In Fig. 1(c), we plot U_{ph}/ω as a function of β_4 . At $\beta_4=0$, U_{ph}/ω is about 3.7, which is close to 2α for $\alpha=2$. When we decrease β_4 , U_{ph} is increased, since the degree of the potential deformation for $\rho=2$ is relatively larger than that for $\rho=1$. When the bottom of the potential becomes flat and wide, the phonon density of states at low energies are increased. Then, the polaronic effect is enhanced, leading to the increase of the attraction. However, in the region of the off-center type, there is no significant difference in the degree of the potential deformation between the cases for $\rho=1$ and 2, as understood from Fig. 1(b). Then, U_{ph} is decreased when we further decrease β_4 in the region of the off-center type potential.¹⁷

From the above result, we can understand that the Coulomb interaction is effectively reduced for the potential shape of the rattling type. For a reasonable choice of the parameter as $U/\omega=10$, we actually find a region with effective attraction around at $\beta_4 = -0.0025$. This suggests that the Kondo temperature should be enhanced in such a region. In the following, let us focus on the Kondo effect for $-0.003 < \beta_4 < -0.002$.

Now we include the hybridization between localized and conduction electrons. Since we consider localized oscillation of the caged atom, we ignore the coupling between phonons and conduction electrons. Then, the Hamiltonian is given by

$$H = \sum_{\mathbf{k}\sigma} \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} c_{\mathbf{k}\sigma}^\dagger c_{\mathbf{k}\sigma} + \sum_{\mathbf{k}\sigma} (V c_{\mathbf{k}\sigma}^\dagger d_\sigma + \text{h.c.}) + \varepsilon_d \rho + H_{\text{loc}}, \quad (5)$$

where $\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}$ denotes the dispersion of conduction electron, $c_{\mathbf{k}\sigma}$ is an annihilation operator of conduction electron with momentum \mathbf{k} and spin σ , V is the hybridization between conduction and localized electrons, and ε_d indicates a local electron level. In the following, we always adjust ε_d to consider the half-filling case, i.e., $\langle \rho \rangle = 1$, even though we do not mention explicitly. The energy unit is chosen as a half of the conduction bandwidth, which is expressed by D . Hereafter we fix $V/D=0.25$ and $U/D=2$. Typically, D is in the order of 1 eV.

In order to clarify electronic properties of H , we evaluate entropy S_{imp} , specific heat C_{imp} , and susceptibility by using a




Fig. 2. (Color online) (a) $T\chi_s$ and $T\chi_c$ vs. temperature. (b) S_{imp} and C_{imp} vs. temperature. (c) Kondo temperatures vs. β_4 .

numerical renormalization group (NRG) method.¹⁸ The logarithmic discretization of the momentum space is characterized by a parameter Λ and we keep M low-energy states for each renormalization step. Here we set $\Lambda = 2.5$ and $M = 5000$. Spin and charge susceptibilities are, respectively, given by

$$\chi_s = \frac{1}{Z} \sum_{n,m} \frac{e^{-E_n/T} - e^{-E_m/T}}{E_m - E_n} |\langle n | \sigma_z | m \rangle|^2, \quad (6)$$

$$\chi_c = \frac{1}{Z} \sum_{n,m} \frac{e^{-E_n/T} - e^{-E_m/T}}{E_m - E_n} |\langle n | (\rho - \langle \rho \rangle) | m \rangle|^2,$$

where E_n is the eigenenergy for the n -th eigenstate $|n\rangle$ of H , T is a logarithmic temperature defined as $T = D\Lambda^{-(N-1)/2}$, Z is the partition function given by $Z = \sum_n e^{-E_n/T}$, $\langle \rho \rangle = (1/Z) \sum_n e^{-E_n/T} \langle n | \rho | n \rangle$, and $\sigma_z = n_\uparrow - n_\downarrow$.

In Figs. 2(a) and 2(b), we show typical results for susceptibility, entropy, and specific heat for $\beta_4 = -0.0022$. Note that this is the region with weak effective attraction, given by $U - U_{\text{ph}} = -0.075D$. Thus, the spin susceptibility is rapidly suppressed due to the effect of on-site attraction, while the charge susceptibility is increased without the slight decrease near $T/D = 1$. When the spin susceptibility is suppressed, spin entropy $\log 2$ is released, leading to a peak in the specific heat. This peak temperature is defined as $T_K^{(s)}$.

After the release of the spin entropy, we observe a narrow plateau of $\log 2$, corresponding to the degenerate region characterized by vacant and double occupied states. This entropy of $\log 2$ is gradually released and it eventually goes to zero around at $T/D \sim 10^{-3}$, where we can see another peak in the specific heat. We define this temperature as $T_K^{(c)}$.

Changing the values of β_4 , we repeat the calculations and plot the Kondo temperatures in Fig. 2(c). As understood from Fig. 2(b), we can observe a couple of peaks in the specific heat, corresponding to the vanishment of spin and charge susceptibilities. Thus, we carefully check the behavior of $T\chi_s$ and $T\chi_c$, in order to specify the origin of the entropy release,




Fig. 3. (Color online) (a) Sommerfeld constant γ vs. β_4 for several values of ω . (b) γ in the unit of $\text{mJ/K}^2 \cdot \text{mol}$ vs. magnetic field for $D = 5000 \text{ K}$.

leading to the two kinds of the Kondo temperature.

In the region of the on-center type potential, $T_K^{(s)}$ is not so affected by β_4 and we cannot find a high-temperature peak corresponding to $T_K^{(c)}$. However, when we approach the region of the rattling-type potential, $T_K^{(s)}$ is increased and $T_K^{(c)}$ appears due to the reduction of the Coulomb interaction. Eventually, the effective interaction turns out to be attractive and $T_K^{(c)}$ becomes lower than $T_K^{(s)}$. When we further decrease β_4 , we enter the region of the off-center type and the phonon-mediated attraction is rapidly decreased, as found in Fig. 1(c). The peak corresponding to the entropy release of charge degree of freedom is changed to a shoulder-like structure, and it finally disappears.

In general, when the Kondo temperature is increased, we can expect that γ is magnetically robust. Roughly speaking, the electronic properties will not be significantly affected by a magnetic field H smaller than $k_B T_K / \mu_B$, where μ_B is a Bohr magneton. Thus, in the region of the rattling-type potential with enhanced T_K , we can expect that γ is magnetically robust. On the other hand, in the Kondo problem, it has been known that γ is in proportion to $1/T_K$.¹⁶ As we will describe later, the behavior of γ as a function of β_4 is well explained by that of $1/T_K$. When the Coulomb repulsion is weakened by the phonon-mediated attraction, the Kondo temperature is increased and thus, γ is decreased. Namely, the magnitude of γ is not expected to be large in the region of the rattling type.

However, in the simple discussion based on the relation of $\gamma \sim 1/T_K$, it is unclear whether the magnitude of γ is large or not. Thus, we actually evaluate γ , given by $\gamma = C_{\text{imp}}/T$ at low temperatures. Here γ is estimated at the lowest temperature at which we can reach in the present NRG calculation.

In Fig. 3(a), we show γ vs. β_4 for several values of ω . For $\omega/D = 0.01$, in which the adiabatic approximation is considered to be valid, the value of γ is not so sensitive to β_4 , even if the potential shape is changed by β_4 . In particular, for $\beta_4 > -0.002$, the values of γ are similar to that of the Ander-

son model without phonons. The deviation can be found only in the region of the potential of the off-center type.

When we increase the value of ω , the effect of anti-adiabaticity appears. For $\omega=0.2$, γ decreases significantly for $-0.003 < \beta_4 < -0.002$, which is, roughly speaking, corresponding to the region of the rattling-type potential. Note that this behavior of γ is well reproduced by $1/T_K^{(c)}$. When we further increase ω , the region of the suppressed γ becomes wide and for $\omega/D=0.5$, such a region appears for $\beta_4 > -0.003$. Note that very large values of γ can be found only in the region of the off-center type potential.

Now we turn our attention to the effect of a magnetic field. In Fig. 3(b), we show γ vs. magnetic field H along the z -axis for $-0.003 \leq \beta_4 \leq -0.002$ with $\alpha=2$, $\omega/D=0.2$, and $\beta_6=10^{-5}$. In this calculation, we add the Zeeman term $H_{\text{mag}}=\mu_B\sigma_z H$ to the Hamiltonian (5), where the g -factor is set as 2. To evaluate the values of γ in the unit of $\text{mJ/K}^2\cdot\text{mol}$, we set $D=5000$ K. For β_4 deviated from the rattling-type potential, the magnitude of γ at $H=0$ is enhanced, but it decreases with the increase of H , as found in the curves for $\beta_4=-0.002$ and -0.003 . On the other hand, when we take β_4 corresponding to the rattling-type potential, γ is actually magnetically robust, but the magnitude of γ is not so large. However, when we consider the parameter region just between the on-center and the rattling types ($\beta_4=-0.0021$) or between the off-center and the rattling types ($\beta_4=-0.0028$), it seems to be possible to reproduce magnetically robust γ in the order of $100 \text{ mJ/K}^2\cdot\text{mol}$. These regions are corresponding to the cases at which the Coulomb repulsion is canceled by phonon-mediated attraction. Thus, on the basis of a concept of the Kondo effect in the electron-phonon system, we propose that magnetically robust heavy fermion behavior is understood by the competition between spin and charge fluctuations at the region of $T_K^{(s)} \sim T_K^{(c)}$.

Here we provide a couple of comments. (i) It has been pointed out that the competition between the Coulomb interaction and phonon-mediated attraction plays an important role in strongly coupled electron-phonon system.^{19–24} Here we control such a competition by anharmonicity to explain magnetically robust heavy fermion phenomenon. (ii) We have considered the one-dimensional potential for simplicity, but in actuality, the motion of the caged atom occurs in a three-dimensional potential. In particular, there exists a mode in which the caged atom moves around potential minima.¹ Concerning this issue, the present author has analyzed the Anderson model coupled with Jahn-Teller phonons.⁹ Then, we have proposed an intriguing phenomenon of chiral Kondo effect due to the rotational degree of freedom of Jahn-Teller phonon.¹⁰ On the basis of this effect, the magnetically robust heavy fermion phenomenon may be explained for the off-center type potential. It is one of future issues.

Finally, we briefly discuss the effect of orbital degree of freedom, which has been ignored in this paper. Although the motivation of this work is magnetically robust heavy fermion phenomenon observed in Sm-based filled skutterudites, we have not considered f electrons in the model. In f -electron systems with orbital degeneracy, multipole degree of freedom becomes active due to strong spin-orbit coupling. In this sense, in order to discuss electronic properties of actual Sm-based filled skutterudites, it is important to consider multipole degrees of freedom.²⁵ The multi-orbital Anderson model

coupled with Jahn-Teller phonons has been also analyzed,²⁶ but further works are required along with this research direction, in particular, in order to understand the difference in $\text{SmOs}_4\text{Sb}_{12}$ and $\text{SmFe}_4\text{P}_{12}$. It is also expected that more stable magnetically robust heavy fermion state is realized when orbital fluctuations are also comparable with spin and charge fluctuations. It is another future problem.

In summary, we have discussed the Kondo effect of the Anderson model coupled with local anharmonic phonon. In order to understand the magnetically robust heavy fermion phenomenon, we have emphasized a key issue of the shape of the cage potential. In particular, we have found that γ is both large and magnetically robust, when the potential shape is deformed from the rattling type, in which spin and charge fluctuations are comparable to each other.

The author is grateful to Y. Aoki and H. Sato for fruitful discussions and useful comments. He also thanks R. Miyazaki for his contribution to the calculation of local phonon-mediated attraction. This work has been supported by a Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (C) from Japan Society for the Promotion of Science. The computation in this work has been done using the facilities of the Supercomputer Center of Institute for Solid State Physics, University of Tokyo.

- 1) M. A. Avila, K. Suekuni, K. Umeo, H. Fukuoka, S. Yamanaka and T. Takabatake: *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **92** (2008) 041901.
- 2) M. Yoshida, K. Arai, R. Kaido, M. Takigawa, S. Yonezawa, Y. Muraoka and Z. Hiroi: *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **98** (2007) 197002.
- 3) T. Dahm and K. Ueda: *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **99** (2007) 187003.
- 4) See, for instance, *Proceedings of International Conference on New Quantum Phenomena in Skutterudite and Related Systems (Skutterudite 2007)*, *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* **77** (2008) Suppl. A.
- 5) S. Sanada, Y. Aoki, H. Aoki, A. Tsuchiya, D. Kikuchi, H. Sugawara and H. Sato: *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* **74** (2005) 246.
- 6) S. Yotsuhashi, M. Kojima, H. Kusunose and K. Miyake: *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* **74** (2005) 49.
- 7) K. Hattori, Y. Hirayama and K. Miyake: *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* **74** (2005) 3306.
- 8) K. Hattori, Y. Hirayama and K. Miyake: *Proc. 5th Int. Symp. ASR-WYP-2005: Advances in the Physics and Chemistry of Actinide Compounds*, *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* **75** (2006) Suppl., p. 238.
- 9) T. Hotta: *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **96** (2006) 197201.
- 10) T. Hotta: *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* **76** (2007) 023705.
- 11) T. Hotta: *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* **76** (2007) 084702.
- 12) K. Mitsumoto and Y. Ōno: *Physica C* **426-431** (2005) 330.
- 13) J. K. Freericks, M. Jarrell and D. J. Scalapino: *Phys. Rev. B* **48** (1993) 6302.
- 14) J. K. Freericks, M. Jarrell and G. D. Mahan: *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **77** (1996) 4588.
- 15) D. Meyer, A. C. Hewson and R. Bulla: *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **89** (2002) 196401.
- 16) N. Andrei, K. Furuya and J. H. Lowenstein: *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **55** (1983) 331.
- 17) Note that U_{ph} shows an abrupt change at $\beta_4=-\sqrt{\beta_6}=-0.00316$, when three potential minima take the same value. Such an abrupt change should be smeared when electrons are delocalized.
- 18) H. R. Krishna-murthy, J. W. Wilkins and K. G. Wilson: *Phys. Rev. B* **21** (1980) 1003.
- 19) J. K. Freericks and M. Jarrell: *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **75** (1995) 2570.
- 20) T. Hotta and Y. Takada: *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **76** (1996) 3180.
- 21) T. Hotta and Y. Takada: *Czech. J. Phys.* **46** (1996) 2625.
- 22) T. Hotta and Y. Takada: *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* **65** (1996) 2922.
- 23) T. Hotta and Y. Takada: *Phys. Rev. B* **56** (1997) 13916.
- 24) W. Koller, D. Meyer, Y. Ōno and A. C. Hewson: *Europhys. Lett.* **64** (2004) 559.
- 25) T. Hotta: *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* **77** (2008) 074716.
- 26) T. Hotta: *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* **76** (2007) 034713.