

Decoherence of coherent electronic excited state in the reaction center of the photosynthetic purple bacterium *Rhodobacter sphaeroides*

Xian-Ting Liang¹, Wei-Min Zhang^{2,3}, and Yi-Zhong Zhuo⁴

¹*Department of Physics and Institute of Modern Physics, Ningbo University, Ningbo, 315211, China*

²*Department of Physics and Center for Quantum Information Science, National Cheng Kung University, Tainan 70101, Taiwan and*

³*National Center for Theoretical Science, Tainan 70101, Taiwan*

⁴*China Institute of Atomic Energy, P.O. Box 275, Beijing 102413, China*

Abstract

As a successive two-color laser pulses with selected frequencies casted on a sample of the photosynthetic purple bacterium *Rb. sphaeroides* two resonant excitations of electron in two chromophores are generated. These excited states are in fact constructing a two-level subsystem and it is a coherent state initially. However, the subsystem will interact with its environment and decoherence is inevitable. In this paper we suggest that the dynamics of this subsystem can be modeled with a spin-boson model. By using the model we investigate the decoherence of the initial coherent state. Here, a non-Markovian approximation and a quasiadiabatic propagator path integral (QUAPI) scheme are used. It is shown that by choosing an appropriate coupling coefficient of the subsystem with its environment our theoretical results are in good agreement with the experimental ones reported in reference [H. Lee, Y.-C. Cheng, and G. R. Fleming, *Science* 316, 1462 (2007)].

Keywords: Energy transfer; Decoherence; *Rb. sphaeroides*.

PACS numbers: 67.57.Lm, 03.65.Yz, 31.15.Kb.

In order to reduce the reliance on fossil fuels and nuclear power, people would expect to use the solar energy directly as much as possible. However, by now, the efficiency of converting the solar energy into the electrical energy or other forms of the energy is much lower by man than by the higher plants and certain photosynthetic bacteria in the natural photosynthesis. In order to learn the light energy harness and transfer from the plants and photosynthetic bacteria, one should at first need to understand the mechanism for the light energy harvesting and transfer. This topic has been investigated for many decades. The mechanism is often described by a semiclassical model that invoke ‘hopping’ excited-state populations along discrete energy levels. At first, the electrons in pigment molecules, chromophores—such as chlorophyll are excited by the incident light. Then the electronic excitation moves downhill from energy level to energy level through the chromophores before being trapped in reaction center. However, it is hardly to conceive of having so highly efficient energy transfer between chromophores according to the semiclassical model. Recently, Fleming group [1, 2] suggested that a ‘clever’ quantum algorithm may be used by the plants and the photosynthetic bacteria for the energy transfer. According to Fleming’s suggestion that a successive excitations make the coherence between electronic excited states of the chromophores, and the energy transfer is considered to be carried by the coherent state through establishing the easiest route, which is analogous to Grover’s algorithm in quantum computing and the algorithm has been proved to provide the fastest possible search of an unsorted information database. It is known that the al-

gorithm is based on the coherence and entanglement of the system. Mohsehi *et al.*[3] argued recently that the standard Grover’s algorithm does not explain the energy transfer mechanism and they pointed out that the plants and bacteria may work unlike the Grover’s algorithm but the coherent dynamics still plays a important role in the chromophoric energy transfer. So what we are interested in this Letter is to propose a microcosmic model to estimate how long the coherent state can be persisted in some subsystems such as the photosynthetic purple bacterium *Rb. sphaeroides*. According to the traditionally theoretical model the decoherence time of the electronic excitation could not last more than 220 fs. But recent experiments by Fleming group showed that the electronic coherence between two excited states may last for 400 fs or longer [1, 2]. Instead of investigating the coherence constructed with all excited states of each chromophores of the *Rb. sphaeroides* [4], they investigated the coherent state $|\varphi\rangle = \alpha|H\rangle + \beta|B\rangle$ constructed with only two electronic excited states $|H\rangle, |B\rangle$, which are created from two laser pulses. The Hamiltonian of the subsystem of the electronic excited states is

$$H_e = \epsilon_H |H\rangle \langle H| + \epsilon_B |B\rangle \langle B| + J(t) (|H\rangle \langle B| + |B\rangle \langle H|). \quad (1)$$

where $\epsilon_B = \hbar\omega_{eg}^B + T_e^B + V_e^B$, and $\epsilon_H = \hbar\omega_{eg}^H + T_e^H + V_e^H$ are the energy levels of the electronic two excited states. The laser pulses (with wavelength 750 nm and 800 nm) will make the subsystem initially in ground state $|g\rangle$ become a superposition of the ground state and the coherent state $|\varphi\rangle = \alpha|H\rangle + \beta|B\rangle$. So it is convenient to investigate the evolution of the coherent excited state in

two dimensional Hilbert space. In fact, the system will inevitably interact with its environment and lead the initial coherent state to decoherence. Then, how to obtain the persistent time of coherence (usually, the time is also called “decoherence time”, its rigorous definition can be seen as follows)? Lee *et al.* use the scheme of photon echo obtain the decoherence time, namely, they use the third incident pulse (with wavelength 750 nm) on the sample and produce a photo echo, and from the echo signals they deduce the decoherence time of the initial coherent state. In Ref.[1] Lee *et al.* also simulated the experimental results with nonlinear third-order response function theory [5]. However, these simulations are in fact based on the Markovian approximation. In this Letter, we shall use another method investigate the problem. We shall formulate the system within the framework of a well-known spin-boson model [6, 7] and investigate the coherent dynamics with quasiadiabatic propagator path integral (QUAPI) scheme [8]. In the method a non-Markovian approximation is used. We shall demonstrate that by choosing an appropriate coupling coefficient of the two-level system with its bath we can obtain the simulation results on the decoherence of the two-level system which is in good agreement with the experimental ones.

Experimental description: In Ref.[1] Lee *et al.* performed a two-color photo echo experiment on a bacterial reaction center (RC). The RC from the photosynthetic purple bacterium *Rb. sphaeroides* includes a bacteriochlorophyll dimer called the special pair (p) in the center, an accessory bacteriochlorophyll flanking p on each side (Bchl; B_L and B_M , for the L and M peptides, respectively), and a bacteriopheophytin (Bphy; H_L and H_M for the L and M peptides, respectively) next to each Bchl. The RC of *Rb. sphaeroides* has several absorption peaks which are made by the chromophores. In the Lee’s experiment the absorption spectrum of the p-oxidized RC at 77 K shows the H band at 750 nm and the B band at 800 nm (where H and B are used to denote excitonic states which are dominantly produced from monomeric Bphy and accessory Bchl in the RC, respectively). At the first step in the experiment, Lee *et al.* used a successive two laser pulses with different colors and tuned for resonant excitation of H transition at 750 nm (at time τ'_1) and the B transition at 800 nm (at time τ'_2) casted on the sample. The two pulses produce the coherence between the electronic excited states $|H\rangle$ and $|B\rangle$. Then, in order to measure the decoherence time of the excited coherent state, after a time of the second pulse (namely, at the time τ'_3), the third laser pulse is casted on the sample which generates a photon echo [5]. In the Lee’s paper, they denote $\tau'_2 - \tau'_1 \equiv t_1$, $\tau'_3 - \tau'_2 \equiv t_2$. When the times t_1 and (or) t_2 are different one can detect different integrated intensity of the echo signals in the phase matched direction. If the time t_1 is fixed (in the Ref.[1] the fixed time $t_1 = 30$ fs) then the integrated echo signals as a function of t_2 represents the decoherence of the coherent

excited states $|H\rangle$ and $|B\rangle$. The evolutions of the integrated echo signals is plotted in Fig.3 in Ref.[1]. From the figure one can obtain: (1) the coherence is resonant with the first, second, and third periods about 100 fs, 110 fs, and 120 fs; (2) the coherence between the excited states $|H\rangle$ and $|B\rangle$ persists for more than 400 fs. In the following, we shall provide a physical model to explain the experimental phenomena.

Physical model: Here, we intend to investigate the dynamics of the subsystem constructed with two excited states $|H\rangle$ and $|B\rangle$ after the the first two pulses and without the third pulse. At first, we shall suggest a Hamiltonian of the subsystem after the the first two pulses. Meanwhile, we shall give a concrete form of the coherent state $|\varphi\rangle = \alpha |H\rangle + \beta |B\rangle$ as the initial state of the system. Then, we shall investigate the decoherence of $|\varphi\rangle$ governed by the total Hamiltonian H [see it in the following Eq.(2)]. As in many references, we use the Flachlin exciton model to describe the excited electron [9, 10]. As Ref.[1], here, we confine ourselves just to investigate the subspace constructed with excited states $|H\rangle$ and $|B\rangle$ which is indeed a two-level system. Suppose the environment of two-level system is modeled with the boson bath, the total Hamiltonian can be written as [11]

$$H = H_e + H_{eb} + H_b, \quad (2)$$

$$H_{eb} = \sum_{k,i} \epsilon_k |k\rangle \langle k| c_i^k (b_i^\dagger + b_i), H_b = \sum_{k,i} \hbar \omega_i^k b_i^\dagger b_i, \quad (3)$$

where $k = H, B$, and c_i^k are the coupling coefficients of the two-level subsystem to their environment and they are proportional to potentials V_e^k acting upon the chromophores [12]. If the environment has the same influence on the two levels, namely, $c_i^H = c_i^B = c_i$, and $\omega_i^H = \omega_i^B = \omega_i/2$, and reset the zero energy at $[V_e^B - V_e^H]/2$, then the total Hamiltonian of the two-level system can be rewritten as

$$H = \varrho + \frac{1}{2} V \sigma_z + J(t) \sigma_x + \sigma_z \sum_i c_i (b_i^\dagger + b_i) + \sum_i \hbar \omega_i b_i^\dagger b_i. \quad (4)$$

Here, $\varrho = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_B & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_H \end{bmatrix}$ with $\lambda_B = \hbar \omega_{eg}^B + T_e^B$, $\lambda_H = \hbar \omega_{eg}^H + T_e^H$, $V = [V_e^B - V_e^H]/2$, σ_i ($i = x, z$) are the Pauli matrix, and b_i^\dagger (b_i) are the creation (annihilation) operators of the i -th mode of the environment. The coupling coefficient of the two levels is determined by $J(t) = \vec{E}(t) \cdot \vec{\mu}$, where $\vec{\mu}$ is the transition dipole operator, and $\vec{E}(t)$ is the electric field of the laser pulses. The first and second laser pulses make [13] $\vec{E}(t) = \vec{E}_1(t) + \vec{E}_2(t)$. Considering the pulses are Gaussian envelope then we set $\vec{E}_1(t) = \hat{e}_1 E_{01} e^{(-\Gamma t^2 + i\omega_H t)}$, $\vec{E}_2(t) = \hat{e}_2 E_{02} e^{(-\Gamma t^2 + i\omega_B t)}$, where \hat{e}_i ($i = 1, 2$) are unit vector in the direction of the field polarizations of the first and second laser pulses, τ is the time delay between the two pulses and Γ is the shape factor of the Gaussian envelope. From Fig.1A in Ref.[1]

we see that in the experiment $E_{01} \approx E_{02} \equiv E_0$. Suppose $\hat{e}_1 = \hat{e}_2 = \hat{n}$ so $J(t) = \vec{\mu} \cdot \hat{n} E_0 e^{-\Gamma t^2} [e^{i\omega_H t} + e^{i\omega_B(t-\tau)}] \equiv J_0 e^{-\Gamma t^2} [e^{i\omega_H t} + e^{i\omega_B(t-\tau)}]$. Thus, we can obtain the concrete form of the coherent state $|\varphi\rangle = \alpha |H\rangle + \beta |B\rangle$ after two laser pulses. In the derivation of the $|\varphi\rangle$ we do not consider the influence of the environment because the time between the two pulses are much shorter than the decoherence time of the state $|\varphi\rangle$. In the low field regime, starting from the time-dependent Schrödinger equation, and using the rotating wave approximation (RWA), we can obtain the system (chromophores H and B) wave function as

$$\begin{aligned} |\varphi\rangle &\approx |g\rangle + \sum_k \sum_{p=1,2} \frac{i}{\hbar} \int_{-\infty}^t \mu_{kg}(t') \tilde{E}_p(t') e^{i\omega_k(t'-t)} dt' |e_k\rangle \\ &= |g\rangle + |\psi_e(t)\rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

where $\mu_{kg}(t') = \langle e_k | q \vec{r} \cdot \vec{E}(t') | g \rangle$ is the transition dipole moment from state $|g\rangle$ towards the excited state $|e_k\rangle$. According to Ref. [13], introducing the transition probability amplitude a_k^p from ground state $|g\rangle$ towards the excited state $|e_k\rangle$ after interaction ($t \rightarrow +\infty$) with the single pulse p ($p = 1, 2$) we have $a_k^{(p)} = \frac{i}{\hbar} \mu_{kg}(\omega_k) \tilde{E}_p(\omega_k) \sim \frac{i}{\hbar} \tilde{E}_p^2(\omega_k)$, which is proportional to the Fourier transform $\tilde{E}_p^2(\omega_k)$ of the p pulse electric field at the transition energy. Note that $\tilde{E}_1^2(\omega_k) = \tilde{E}_0^2(\omega_k - \omega_L)$, $\tilde{E}_2^2(\omega_k) = \tilde{E}_0^2(\omega_k - \omega_L) e^{i2\omega_k\tau}$. Here, ω_L is the central frequency of the two laser pulses. So after the second pulse, the excited state may become

$$|\psi_e(t)\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{b_1^2(t) + b_2^2(t)}} [b_1(t) |e_1\rangle + b_2(t) |e_2\rangle], \quad (6)$$

Here, $b_k(t) = a_k^{(1)} (1 + e^{i2\omega_k\tau})$. In the following calculations we set $a_H^{(1)} = a_B^{(1)}$, namely, $\mu_{Hg}(\omega_H - \omega_L) = \mu_{Bg}(\omega_B - \omega_L)$. This state has higher degree of coherence (but is not a maximal coherent state).

Dynamics: In the following, we investigate the decoherence time of the initial state $|\psi_e(t)\rangle$ governed by the Hamiltonian Eq.(4). Suppose the bath has an Ohmic spectral density

$$J_{ohm}(\omega) = \frac{\pi}{2} \sum_i \frac{c_i^2}{m_i \omega_i} \delta(\omega - \omega_i) = \frac{\pi}{2} \hbar \xi \omega e^{-\omega/\omega_c}. \quad (7)$$

Here, ξ is the dimensionless Kondo parameter [14, 15], and it is unknown, here. One of our tasks in this Letter is to suggest an appropriate value of ξ . A large number of simulations suggest that $\xi = 0.007$ can make our simulation results agree with the experimental ones so we take ξ this value in the following calculations. ω_c is the high-frequency cut-off of the bath modes, which is in a range $\hbar\omega_c \approx 2000 \sim 5000 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ [16]. Different values of ω_c in this range can not result in essential difference in the calculated values of the decoherence times, so we take $\hbar\omega_c = 4000 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ in the following calculations. In

order to solve the dynamics of the open two-level model one must use an appropriate approximation scheme. In many cases the Markovian approximation is used. However, as pointed out in Ref.[1] that the evolution of our investigating system is highly non-Markovian, so we shall include the non-Markovian effects in our investigations. In fact, with the non-Markovian approximation it can not be solved exactly when the memory time of the bath is too long. So it is important to estimate the memory time of the bath in advance. The length of the memory time of the bath can be estimated by the following bath response function

$$\alpha(t) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\infty d\omega J(\omega) \left[\coth\left(\frac{\beta\hbar\omega}{2}\right) \cos\omega t - i \sin\omega t \right]. \quad (8)$$

Here, $\beta = 1/k_B T$ with Boltzmann's constant k_B and the temperature T . It is shown that when the real and imaginary parts behave as the delta function $\delta(t)$ and its derivative $\delta'(t)$, the dynamics of the reduced density matrix is Markovian. However, if the real and imaginary parts are broader than the delta function, the dynamics is non-Markovian. The broader the $\text{Re}[\alpha(t)]$ and $\text{Im}[\alpha(t)]$ are, the longer the memory time will be, and the more serious the practical dynamics will be distorted by the Markovian approximation. Similar to Ref.[17] we have calculated the memory time of the Ohmic bath which is not longer than $\tau^m = 15$ fs, here. The dynamics of the two-level system is characterized by the time evolution of the reduced density matrix, obtained after tracing out the bath degrees of freedom, i.e.,

$$\rho(s'', s'; t) = \text{Tr}_{bath} \langle s'' | e^{-i\mathcal{H}t/\hbar} R(0) e^{i\mathcal{H}t/\hbar} | s' \rangle. \quad (9)$$

Here, we assume that the interaction between system and bath is turned on at $t = 0$, such that the initial density matrix factorizes into its system and bath components, $R(0) = \rho(0) \otimes \rho_{bath}(0)$ where $\rho(0)$ and $\rho_{bath}(0)$ are the initial states of the qubit and bath. Here, we calculate the reduced density matrix $\rho(t)$ by using the well established iterative tensor multiplication (ITM) algorithm derived from the QUAPI. This algorithm is a numerically exact one and is successfully tested and adopted in various problems of open quantum systems [14, 15, 18]. For details of the scheme, we refer to previous works [8]. The QUAPI asks for the system Hamiltonian splitting into two parts H_0 and H_{env} . Here, we take $H_0 = \varrho + \frac{1}{2} V \sigma_z + J(t) \sigma_x$ and $H_{env} = H - H_0$. In order to make the calculations converge we use the time step $\Delta t = 5$ fs which should be shorter than the characteristic time of the two-level subsystem. To include all memory effect of the bath, in the ITM scheme, one should choose k_{\max} so that $k_{\max} \Delta t$ is larger than the effective memory time τ^m of the bath (the meaning of k_{\max} see Ref.[8]). From the taken values of Δt and the memory time of the bath we see that it is appropriate to take $k_{\max} = 3$.

Decoherence: To measure the decoherence one may

use some measures. However, essentially, the decoherence of an open quantum system is reflected through the decays of the off-diagonal coherent terms of its reduced density matrix [17]. The decoherence time denoted by τ_2 measures the time of the initial coherent terms to their $1/e$ times, namely, $\rho_i(n, m) \xrightarrow{\tau_2} \rho_f(n, m) = \rho_i(n, m)/e$. Here, $n \neq m$, and $n, m = 0$ or 1 for two-level systems. In the following, we investigate the decoherence of the two-level system in Ohmic bath via directly calculating the evolutions of the off-diagonal coherent terms, instead of using measures of the decoherence. We assume that the initial state of the two-level system is expressed as Eq.(6) and initially the environment is a thermal equilibrium state [15] $\rho_{bath}(0) = \prod_i e^{-\beta W_i} / \text{Tr}_i(e^{-\beta W_i})$, where $W_i = \hbar\omega_i b_i^\dagger b_i$. According to Ref.[1] we take $\Delta\epsilon = \epsilon_B - \epsilon_H = 680 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, and select $\Delta V = V_e^B - V_e^H = 170 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ and $J_0 = 175 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ (in Ref. [19] Parkinson *et al.* suggested that the coupling coefficient $J_0 \sim 170 \pm 30 \text{ cm}^{-1}$). Thus, we can calculate the dynamics of the time-dependent two-level system Eq.(4) in Ohmic bath with ITM scheme, and plot the evolution of the off-diagonal coherent terms of the initial state Eq.(6) as Fig.1A.

Fig.1

From the Fig.1A we obtain that the coherence is resonating with the first, the second, and the third periods about 100 fs, 110 fs, and 120 fs. From the figure we also see that the decoherence time is longer than 400 fs. It is shown that our results are in good agreement with the Lee's experimental ones.

Discussions: The coherent dynamics of the electronic excited state in reaction center is very important information for understanding the energy transfer in photosynthetic systems. Mukamel [5] develop a theory from which one can detect the dynamics by investigating spectral density of the nonlinear response functions. In this Letter we use a different scheme, numerical path integral technique [14, 15] by which we have directly obtained the evolutions of the coherent term of the reduced density matrix for the open two-level subsystem. This novel scheme conclude the non-Markovian influence of the bath and the some other results have been obtained in our calculations. If the coupling coefficient $J(t)$ is equal to a fixed value, the oscillation period will be unchanged, which has been shown in Fig.1B. As ΔV increase, the oscillation periods of the evolution curve for the coherent term will be decreased, and vice versa, see Fig.1C. Furthermore, as the coupling coefficient J_0 , or laser field

E_0 increase the oscillation periods will also be decreased, see Fig.1D, which can be verified easily by experiment and we wish it will be proved soon. On other hand, in this Letter we give a time-dependent coupling coefficient $J(t)$ and the initial coherent state is also obtained from the laser pulses.

Acknowledgement 1 *This project was sponsored by National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 10675066) and K.C.Wong Magna Foundation in Ningbo University.*

-
- [1] H. Lee, Y.-C. Cheng, and G. R. Fleming, *Science* 316, 1462 (2007).
 - [2] G. S. Engel, T. R. Calhoun, E. L. Read, T. -K. Ahn, T. Mančal, Y. -C. Cheng, R. E. Blankenship, and G. R. Fleming, *Nature* 446 (2007) 782.
 - [3] P. Rebentrost, M. Mohseni, and A. Aspuru-Guzik, arXiv: 0806.4725v1; M. Mohseni, P. Rebentrost, and A. Aspuru-Guzik, arXiv: 0805.2741v1.
 - [4] S. Jang, M. D. Newton, and R. J. Silbey, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 92, 218301 (2004).
 - [5] S. Mukamel, *Principles of non-linear optical spectroscopy*, (Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1995).
 - [6] U. Weiss, *Quantum Dissipative Systems*, 2nd ed., (World Scientific Publishing, Singapore, 1999).
 - [7] A. J. Leggett, S. Chakravarty, A. T. Dorsey, M. P. A. Fisher, A. Garg, and W. Zwerger, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* 59 (1987) 1.
 - [8] D. E. Makarov and N. Makri, *Chem. Phys. Lett.* 221 (1994) 482.
 - [9] T. Meier, V. Chernyak, and S. Mukamel, *J. Chem. Phys.* 107, 8759 (1997).
 - [10] M. Cho, and G. Fleming, *J. Chem. Phys.* 123, 114506 (2005).
 - [11] T. Mančal, A. V. Pisliakov, and G. R. Fleming, *J. Chem. Phys.* 124, 234504 (2006).
 - [12] G. D. Mahan, *Many-Particle Physics*, 3rd edition, (Kluwer Academic Publishers, New York, 2007).
 - [13] C. Rulliere, *Femtosecond laser pulses principles and experiments*, 2nd edition, (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2005).
 - [14] N. Makri, and D. E. Makarov, *J. Chem. Phys.* 102 (1995) 4600.
 - [15] N. Makri and D. E. Makarov, *J. Chem. Phys.* 102 (1995) 4611.
 - [16] M. Shiga, S. Okazaki, *Chem. Phys. Lett.* 292, 431 (1998).
 - [17] X. -T. Liang, *Phys. Rev. B* 72 (2005) 245328; *Chem. Phys. Lett.* 449 (2007) 296.
 - [18] M. Thorwart, P. Reimann, and P. Hänggi, *Phys. Rev. E* 62 (2000) 5808.
 - [19] D. Y. Parkinson, H. Lee, and G. R. Fleming, *J. Phys. Chem. B* 111 (2007) 7449.

Figure caption

The evolutions of the off-diagonal coherent terms of the reduced density matrix for the two-level subsystem,

as we take (A) $\Delta V = 170 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, $J_0 = 175 \text{ cm}^{-1}$; (B) $\Delta V = 170 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, $J(t) = 115 \text{ cm}^{-1}$; (C) $\Delta V = 200 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, $J_0 = 175 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, (D) $\Delta V = 170 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, $J_0 = 200 \text{ cm}^{-1}$. Here, we set $\Gamma = 2 \times 10^{24}$, and the ξ , ω_c and the initial state of the subsystem and bath are stressed in the text.

