arXiv:0809.0027v1 [q-bio.BM] 29 Aug 2008

Kramers Theory for Conformational Transitions of Macromolecules

Marcello Sega,¹ Pietro Faccioli,^{2,3} Francesco Pederiva,^{2,4} and Henri Orland⁵

¹Frankfurt Institute for Advanced Studies, Ruth-Moufang-Str. 1, D-60435 Frankfurt, Germany^{*}

²Dipartmento di Fisica, Università di Trento, Via Sommarive 14, I-38050 Povo (Trento), Italy

³I.N.F.N Gruppo Collegato di Trento

⁴I.N.F.M-Democritos, National Simulation Center, Trieste, Italy

⁵Service de Physique Théorique, Centre d'Etudes de Saclay, F-91191 Gif-sur-Yvette Cedex, France

(Dated: November 4, 2018)

We consider the application of Kramers theory to the microscopic calculation of rates of conformational transitions of macromolecules. The main difficulty in such an approach is to locate the transition state in a huge configuration space. We present a method which identifies the transition state along the most probable reaction pathway. It is then possible to microscopically compute the activation energy, the damping coefficient, the eigenfrequencies at the transition state and obtain the rate, without any *a priori* choice of a reaction coordinate. Our theoretical results are tested against the results of Molecular Dynamics simulations for transitions in a 2-dimensional double well and for the cis-trans isomerization of a linear molecule.

PACS numbers:

The kinetics of conformational changes of macromolecules is believed to provide important information about the underlying mechanisms involved in such reactions. In such a context, rates are the fundamental observables. Not only they provide direct tests for theoretical calculations, but they also encode information about the structure of the important reaction pathways. For example, by ϕ -value analysis it is possible to identify the residues which are structured at the transition state [1].

Kramers theory and its multidimensional generalization offer a scheme to compute the transition rates for bistable molecular systems. In such a formalism [2, 3, 4], the transition rate of a particle in an external potential U(x) in N dimensions, from the meta-stable state b across the saddle-point o can be written, in the strong friction regime, as

$$k_K = \frac{\omega_s}{\gamma \tau_{eq}}, \quad \tau_{eq}^{-1} \equiv \frac{1}{(2\pi)} \frac{\prod_i^N \omega_i^b}{\prod_j^{N-1} \omega_j^o} e^{-\frac{[U(o)-U(b)]}{k_B T}}, \quad (1)$$

where γ is the friction coefficient, ω_s is the angular frequency of the single unstable mode at the saddle-point and ω_i^b and ω_j^o are the stable frequencies in *b* and in *o*, respectively. The ratio ω_s/γ is often called the (adimensional) damping factor. It is responsible for lowering the actual rate from the theoretical upper limit, τ_{eq}^{-1} , given by transition state theory.

Kramers theory has been successfully applied to more complicated chemical reactions involving macromolecules [3], such as two-state protein folding. In this context, Eq.(1) is used as a phenomenological prescription in which x is a set of reaction coordinates, U(x) is the corresponding potential of mean force (free energy) and γ is the effective friction at the transition state.

In the present work we adopt a different strategy: The configuration x specifies the microscopic degrees of free-

dom of the molecule (e.g. the atom or residue coordinates) and U(x) is the interaction potential with implicit solvent [5]. The main difficulty in such an approach to chemical reaction rates, is that it requires to know the location of the saddle-point state x = o in a large configuration space. This information is needed to determine the activation energy, the damping factor and the eigenfrequencies, which are in turn needed to estimate the rate constant. In practice, the identification of the transition state in molecular reactions represents a very challenging task and Kramers formula cannot be directly applied.

The key point of this work is to show that the problem of finding the transition state in two-state conformational reactions of macro-molecules can be efficiently solved using the recently developed Dominant Reaction Pathways formalism [6, 7, 8, 9]. This formulation of the stochastic dynamics leads to an impressive computational simplification of the problem of finding the most important reaction pathways in high dimensional systems [6]. The reason is that the dominant reaction pathway is sampled at equally-spaced displacement steps, rather than using constant time steps. In thermally activated reactions, due to the decoupling of time scales, the difference between these two samplings is huge. In particular, for the folding of a polypeptide chain, the number of displacement discretization steps is of order 30 - 100 [6, 7]. This number should be compared with the order 10^{12} steps which would be required to describe the same reaction using constant time steps. In our recent work [6, 7, 8], we have shown that it is possible to determine the most statistically important reaction pathways in conformational transitions of amino-acid chains. In this Letter, we show how to perform the atomistic calculation of Kramers reaction rates in macromolecular transitions by using the most probable paths, with available computers.

We begin by briefly reviewing the Dominant Reaction Pathways approach (for a detailed and self-contained introduction, see [9]). For the sake of simplicity, we present all formulas in the case of the diffusion in a onedimensional external potential. The generalization to the multidimensional case is straightforward. Let us therefore consider the overdamped Langevin dynamics of a system with coordinate x in a potential U(x):

$$\frac{\partial x}{\partial t} = -\frac{D}{k_B T} \nabla U(x) + \eta(t), \qquad (2)$$

where $D = k_B T/\gamma$ is the diffusion coefficient, and $k_B T$ is the thermal energy. $\eta(t)$ is a Gaussian noise with zero average and correlation given by $\langle \eta(t)\eta(t')\rangle = 2D\delta(t-t')$. Note that in the original Langevin equation an inertial term, $m\ddot{x}$, appears. However, in the case of the dynamics of biopolymers in water, the effect of such a term can be neglected for time scales larger than a fraction of picosecond [10]. As is well known, the stochastic differential equation (2) generates a probability distribution P(x,t) which obeys the Fokker-Plank Equation (FPE)

$$\frac{\partial P(x,t)}{\partial t} = D\nabla \left(\frac{\nabla U(x)}{k_B T} P(x,t)\right) + D\nabla^2 P(x,t).$$
(3)

In the study of noise-driven reactions, we are interested in transitions between two meta-stable states a and b. The starting point of the Dominant Reaction Pathways approach is to express the solution of the FPE, subject to the boundary conditions x(0) = b and x(t) = a in terms of a path integral:

$$P(b,0;a,t) = e^{-\frac{U(b)-U(a)}{2k_B T}} \int_b^a \mathcal{D}x \, e^{-S_{\text{eff}}[x]}, \qquad (4)$$

where $S_{eff}[x] = \int d\tau \left(\dot{x}^2/4D + V_{eff}[x] \right)$ is an effective action and the effective potential reads $V_{eff}(x) = D/(2k_BT)^2 \left[(\nabla U(x))^2 - 2k_BT\nabla^2 U(x) \right]$.

The most probable paths in configuration space contributing to (4) are called the *dominant reaction path*ways (DRP). They are those for which the exponential weight $e^{-S_{eff}}$ is maximum, hence for which the effective action S_{eff} is minimum. In our recent works [6, 7, 9] we have shown that the DRP can be rigorously obtained by minimizing the effective Hamilton-Jacobi (HJ) functional

$$S_{HJ}([x]; b, a) \equiv \int_{b}^{a} d\ell \sqrt{D^{-1} \left(V_{eff}[x(\ell)] - V_{eff}(a) \right)}, \quad (5)$$

where $d\ell = \sqrt{\sum_i dx^2}$ is a measure of the elementary displacement along the reaction path. We note that the HJ functional does not depend on the diffusion coefficient D. Hence, the DRPs are not sensitive to the choice of the friction coefficient. This could be seen already at the level of the FPE (3), in which the choice of the diffusion constant just sets the time scale.

The transition state can be identified as the configuration for which the potential energy is maximum along



FIG. 1: Contour plot of the two-dimensional energy landscape used to test the method.

the DRP, and is a saddle point on the full potential energy landscape. Once the transition state x_{ts} has been found, one can easily compute the eigenfrequencies and damping factor required to compute the rate from eq. (1). With this knowledge, it is possible to estimate the friction coefficient in the transition state, which enters in (1), by means of short MD simulations with explicit solvent.

Before showing examples of applications of the present method to specific systems, we first show that the DRP contains much more information about the kinetics of the reaction than encoded in the Kramers rate formula. In fact, it is possible to use this method to obtain microscopic parameter-free predictions not only for the rate, but also for the probability of performing an arbitrary number q of transitions between b and a, in a given time interval t. We start from the work of Caroli *et al.* [11], who studied the diffusion in an asymmetric onedimensional double-well, by estimating the path integral (4) in the so-called dilute instanton gas approximation. They obtained the expression for the transition probability form b to a in a time t, with unconstrained number of barrier crossings:

$$P(b,0;a,t) = \sqrt{\frac{U''(b)}{2\pi k_B T}} \frac{k_a}{k_b + k_a} \left\{ 1 - e^{-(k_b + k_a)t} \right\}, \quad (6)$$

where

$$k_i = D\sqrt{|U''(o)|U''(i)}(2\pi k_B T)^{-1} e^{-\frac{U(o)-U(i)}{k_B T}}.$$
 (7)

Note that the relaxation to the equilibrium distribution is controlled by the rate $(k_a + k_b)$, which is precisely Kramers rate. A generalization of this result to a fixed number q of barrier crossing in time t is made possible by exploiting the peculiar form of the path-integral solution, which allows to find the analytical solution for a generic double well. Here we show only the result for the symmetric double-well, which takes the particularly simple



FIG. 2: Comparison between Dominant Reaction Pathway prediction (dashed line) and MD simulations (solid line) for the $P^{(q)}(t)$, with q = 1, 3, 5, 7, in the two-dimensional double well of Fig. 1.

form $P^{(q)}(t) \propto (k_b t)^q e^{-k_b t}/q!$. It is easy to check that after summing over all possible numbers of crossing, one recovers the correct unconditioned probability (6) with Kramers time $\tau_k = (2k_b)^{-1}$ now appearing at the exponent. These results can be generalized to bistable systems in higher dimensions, by replacing the expression in Eq.(7) for k_b with $k_b = \omega_s / \gamma \tau_{eq}$, where ω_s and τ_{eq} are defined as in Eq.(1).

We now illustrate how the present method is implemented in practice and we compare it with Molecular Dynamics (MD) simulations results, focusing on the generalized transition probabilities $P^{(q)}(t)$, since they provide a very stringent test for the approach we have developed.

Consider the simple case of the 2-dimensional bistable potential $U(x, y)/k_BT = A(x^2 - 1)^2 + By^2$, plotted in Fig. 1 with A = 4 and B = 15. In Fig. 2 we report the sampled histograms of $P^{(q)}(t)$ up to q = 7, obtained from several MD simulations, and we compare it with the corresponding theoretical curves obtained by the present analysis. In this case the dominant trajectory is unique and coincides with the straight line connecting the minima of U(x, y).

On the other hand, the construction of the histograms requires a prescription to record crossing events. We decided to set two thresholds, one on the left and one on the right of the barrier top, at $x = \pm 0.4$, respectively. A crossing event is marked only when the two thresholds are crossed in sequence. The histogram of the transitions is updated each time the system is closer to *a* than a given distance δ . The domain width δ and the integration time-step have been set respectively to 0.02 and 3×10^{-4} .

The agreement between the analytic calculation based on the DRP and the histograms obtained from the MD simulation is excellent and holds for all the conditional probabilities, $P^{(1)}(t), ..., P^{(7)}(t)$. We emphasize again the fact that the theoretical curves are parameter-free and therefore the agreement represents a compelling evidence, supporting the validity of the method.

Let us now consider the application of the Dominant Reaction Pathways method to the kinetics of conformational transitions of a molecular system. It is important to stress the fact that for sufficiently complex molecules, the position of the transition state in a conformational transition cannot in general be inferred *a priori*. This limitation prevents the direct application of Kramers theory. The aim of the present analysis is to check if the present approach is able to find the correct saddle-point, and predict the $P^{(q)}(t)$ (and therefore Kramers rate). To this end, we consider the cis-trans isomerization of a toy molecule, in which the exact location of the transition state is known by construction. In particular, we used a model for a linear molecule composed of 8 interaction centers, with fixed bond lengths of 1 Å, masses of 10 a.m.u., and torsional potentials acting on the 5 dihedral angles ϕ_d , of the form $U = C_d \left[1 + \cos(n_d \phi_d - \phi_d^0) \right]$. The interaction parameters are $C_3 = 3kJ/mol$, $n_3 = 2$ and $\phi_3^0 = 90^\circ$ for the central dihedral ϕ_3 and $C_d = 10 k J/mol$, $n_d = 1$ and $\phi_d^0 = 0$ for the remaining four dihedral angles. The plane angles between every three consecutive atoms are kept fixed at 90°. This potential has two minima, located at the *cis* and *trans* conformation relative to the central dihedral, respectively.

We computed the DRP by minimizing numerically the HJ action by means of a simulated annealing algorithm, starting from several randomly generated arbitrary paths connecting the two states. We then looked for the maximum of the potential energy along the reaction path to identify x_{ts} . The correct location of the saddle-point took only few minutes of CPU time and gave the same result, regardless of the random starting path used.

The next step consists in computing the equilibrium constant $1/\tau_{eq}$ which enters the expression of the $P^{(q)}(t)$ and of the Kramers rate formula. If the only active degrees of freedom are the torsional angles (bond length and angles are kept fixed), the Jacobian of the coordinate transformation from Cartesian to internal ones does not depend on the internal coordinates themselves [12]. This fact can be used to simplify the expression for the equilibrium rate constant for a generic molecule[3] to the following:

$$\frac{1}{\tau_{eq}} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{|M^{-1/2} \nabla_x \ell(o)|}{|\nabla_\phi \ell(o)|} \sqrt{\frac{\prod_i^N \lambda_i^b}{\prod_j^{N-1} \lambda_j^o}} e^{-\frac{U(o) - U(b)}{k_B T}}.$$
 (8)

Here N is the number of the internal degrees of freedom, M is the diagonal matrix of the atom masses, and x and ϕ indicate the Cartesian and internal coordinates (dihedral angles in the present case), respectively. The (positive) eigenvalues of the Hessian matrix $H_{ij} = \frac{\partial^2 U(\phi)}{\partial \phi_i \partial \phi_j}$ evaluated



FIG. 3: Comparison between the $P^{q}(t)$ functions for the cistrans isomerization of the toy molecule, obtained from MD simulations (solid lines) and from the DRP (dashed lines).

at the saddle point and in the starting well are denoted λ^o and λ^b , respectively. The damping factor Γ can be estimated[5] as $\Gamma = \frac{1}{k_B T} \frac{\Lambda}{\sqrt{\lambda_-^o}} \frac{|\nabla_{\phi} \ell(o)|}{|M^{-1/2} \nabla_x \ell(o)|}$, where Λ is the negative eigenvalue of the $\hat{\mathbf{D}}(o)\mathbf{H}(o)$ matrix, $\hat{\mathbf{D}}(o)$ is the diffusion tensor in internal coordinates and, λ_-^o is the negative eigenvalue of the Hessian matrix at the transition state. The Kramers rate has to be estimated in the moderate-strong friction regime, where the adimensional damping factor $\Gamma \simeq 0.5$. The computation of $k_K = \left[\sqrt{(2\Gamma)^{-2} + 1} - (2\Gamma)^{-1}\right] \tau_{eq}^{-1}$, resulted in a rate of 0.034 ps^{-1}.

As before, we are interested in assessing the reliability of this approach, by comparing the prediction of the generalized transition probabilities with the results of MD simulations. We integrated the full Langevin equation using a diffusion coefficient of $0.1 \text{\AA}^2 \text{ ps}^{-1}$ and integration time step of 0.001 ps, at a temperature of 300 K. The histograms obtained from 10^{10} integration time-step are shown in Fig. 3, along with the prediction obtained from the present method. The thresholds and domain width δ for the cosine of the torsional angles have been chosen to be ± 0.5 and 0.05, respectively. The agreement between the theoretical predictions and the results of numerical simulations is quite good, given the approximations involved in the estimate of the rate constant. The calculation of the $P^{(q)}(t)$ starting from the DRP took only minutes of CPU time. On the other hand, approximatively 150 CPU hours were required to reconstruct the same curves from histograms obtained from MD simulations. Note that such a gain was observed also for reactions in more realistic systems, using empiric atomistic force fields [7].

In conclusion, in this work we have developed a parameter-free method to compute Kramers rate at the microscopic level, in high-dimensional systems exhibiting two-state kinetics. Our theoretical expressions for the generalized transition probabilities $P^{(q)}(t)$ have been found in excellent agreement with the results of MD simulations performed in a two-dimensional double well and in the cis-trans isomerization of a simple molecule. This approach is very accurate and leads to a huge computational gain with respect to MD simulations, and makes it possible to perform calculations of rates for systems in which MD techniques are not presently feasible.

Computations were performed on the HPC facility at the Department of Physics of the Trento University and at the Frankfurt Center for Scientific Computing, whose support we gratefully acknowledge. We thank W. Eaton and A.Szabo for important discussions.

* Electronic address: sega@fias.uni-frankfurt.de

- Daggett V, Fersht AR., Mechanisms of Protein Folding 2nd ed, editor RH Pain. Oxford University Press (2000), and references therein.
- [2] H. Kramers, *Physica* 7, 284 (1940).
- [3] P. Hänggi, P. Talkner and M. Borkovec, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* 62, 251 (1990).
- [4] R. Landauer and J. Swanson, Phys. Rev. 121, 1668 (1961).
- [5] B. Berne and M. Borkovec, J. Chem. Soc., Faraday Trans., 94 2717 (1998)
- [6] P. Faccioli, M. Sega, F. Pederiva and H. Orland, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 97, 108101 (2006).
- [7] M. Sega, P. Faccioli, F. Pederiva and H. Orland, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **99**, 118102 (2007).
- [8] P. Faccioli, arXiv: 0806.3734 (2008)
- [9] E.Autieri, P. Faccioli, M.Sega, F. Pederiva and H. Orland, arXiv: 0806.0236 (2008),
- [10] E. Pitard and H. Orland, Europhys. Lett. 41, 467 (1998).
- [11] B. Caroli, C. Caroli, and B. Roulet, J. Stat. Phys. 26, 83 (1981).
- [12] K. Pitzer, J. Chem. Phys. 14 (4), 239–243 (1946).