

# On a Hamiltonian form of an elliptic spin Ruijsenaars-Schneider system

F.Soloviev

November 1, 2018

## 1 Introduction

An elliptic Ruijsenaars-Schneider (RS) model [1] is a Hamiltonian system of  $N$  interacting particles with a Hamiltonian

$$H = \sum_{j=1}^N e^{p_j} \prod_{s \neq j}^N \left( \frac{\sigma(x_j - x_s + \eta)\sigma(x_j - x_s - \eta)}{\sigma^2(x_j - x_s)} \right)^{1/2} \quad (1)$$

and the canonical symplectic form  $\omega = \sum \delta p_i \wedge \delta x_i$ , where  $p_i = \dot{x}_i$ .

The equations of motions are

$$\ddot{x}_i = \sum_{s \neq i} \dot{x}_i \dot{x}_s (V(x_s - x_i) - V(x_i - x_s)), \quad (2)$$

where  $V(x) = \zeta(x + \eta) - \zeta(x)$ , and  $\zeta(x)$  is a Weierstrass zeta function.

The limit when one or two periods of the elliptic curve go to infinity yields a trigonometric or rational system. A RS system is a relativistic generalization of the Calogero-Moser model.

A spin generalization of RS system was suggested in [2]. Each particle additionally carries two  $l$ -dimensional vectors  $a_i$  and  $b_i$  that describe the internal degrees of freedom and affect the interaction. Remarkably, the equations of motion remain integrable and are given by the formulas

$$\begin{cases} \dot{f}_{ij} = \sum_{k \neq j} f_{ik} f_{kj} V(x_j - x_k) - \sum_{k \neq i} f_{ik} f_{kj} V(x_k - x_i) \\ \dot{x}_i = f_{ii}, \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

where  $f_{ij} = b_i^T a_j$ .

It was shown in [3] using the universal symplectic form (proposed in [4]) that a spin elliptic RS system is Hamiltonian. An expression of a symplectic form (or Poisson structure) in explicit coordinates is known only in the rational and trigonometric limits (see [7]).

The aim of this paper is to compute  $\omega$  in the original coordinates  $x_i$  and  $f_{ij}$  in the simplest elliptic case of 2 particles,  $N = 2$ . We compare the obtained 2-form with a symplectic form for a system without spin and with a Poisson structure found in [7] in the rational case.

## 2 Symplectic form in the case $N = 2$

The general procedure developed by Krichever and Phong in [4] allows to construct action-angle variables for an elliptic RS system and its spin generalization. It was done in [3]. The upshot of the procedure is the following.

A Lax representation with a spectral parameter for an elliptic RS system has been found in [2]. A Lax matrix is

$$L_{ij} = f_i \Phi(x_i - x_j - \eta), \text{ where } \Phi(x, z) = \frac{\sigma(z + x + \eta)}{\sigma(z + \eta)\sigma(x)} \left[ \frac{\sigma(z - \eta)}{\sigma(z + \eta)} \right]^{x/2\eta}. \quad (4)$$

The spectral parameter  $z$  is defined on an elliptic curve  $\Gamma_0$  with a cut between points  $z = \eta$  and  $z = -\eta$ .

The universal symplectic form is given by the formula

$$\omega = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{q \in I} \text{res}_q \text{Tr} (\Psi^{-1} L^{-1} \delta L \wedge \delta \Psi - \Psi^{-1} \delta \Psi \wedge K^{-1} \delta K) dz, \quad (5)$$

where the sum is taken over the poles of  $L$  and zeroes of  $\det L$ .  $\Psi$  is a matrix composed of eigenvectors of  $L$ , which has poles  $\hat{\gamma}_s$  on the spectral curve  $\hat{\Gamma} : \det(L - kI) = 0$  due to normalization of eigenvectors.  $k$  is a meromorphic function on  $\hat{\Gamma}$  and the matrix  $K = \text{diag}(k_1, \dots, k_N)$  is composed of values of  $k$  on different sheets of  $\hat{\Gamma}$ .

$\omega$  doesn't depend on the gauge transformations  $L \rightarrow gLg^{-1}$  and the normalization of eigenvectors on the leaves where the form  $\delta \ln k dz$  is holomorphic.  $\text{Tr}(\dots) dz$  is a meromorphic differential, and the sum of all its residues is zero. Using these facts, one can show that on the leaves

$$\omega = \sum_s \delta \ln k(\hat{\gamma}_s) \wedge \delta z(\hat{\gamma}_s). \quad (6)$$

Computations performed in [3] for Lax matrix (4) show that

$$\omega = \sum_i \delta \ln f_i \wedge \delta x_i + \sum_{i \neq j} V(x_i - x_j) \delta x_i \wedge \delta x_j, \quad (7)$$

where

$$f_i = e^{p_i} \prod_{s \neq i}^N \left( \frac{\sigma(x_i - x_s + \eta)\sigma(x_i - x_s - \eta)}{\sigma^2(x_i - x_s)} \right)^{1/2}$$

and the Hamiltonian for system (2) is  $H = \sum_{i=1}^N f_i$ .

A Lax representation with a spectral parameter for an elliptic spin RS system (3) has been found in [2]. The Lax matrix is  $L_{ij} = f_{ij} \Phi(x_i - x_j - \eta)$ . Formally, equations (3) are Hamiltonian with  $H = \sum_{i=1}^N f_{ii}$  and symplectic form (5) (see [3] for details). The goal of this paper is to compute form (5) in the original coordinates  $x_i$  and  $f_{ij}$ .

After the gauge transformation by a diagonal matrix  $g = \text{diag}(\Phi(x_1, z), \lambda\Phi(x_2, z))$  with an appropriate choice of  $\lambda$ , the matrix  $L_{ij}$  becomes

$$L = \begin{pmatrix} -f_1 \frac{\sigma(z)}{\sigma(\eta)} & f_3 \frac{\sigma(z-x_1+x_2)\sigma(z+x_1+\eta)\sigma(x_2)}{\sigma(x_2-x_1-\eta)\sigma(z+x_2+\eta)\sigma(x_1)} \\ f_3 \frac{\sigma(z+x_1-x_2)\sigma(z+x_2+\eta)\sigma(x_1)}{\sigma(x_1-x_2-\eta)\sigma(z+x_1+\eta)\sigma(x_2)} & -f_2 \frac{\sigma(z)}{\sigma(\eta)} \end{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\sigma(z+\eta)\sigma(z-\eta)}},$$

where  $f_1 \equiv f_{11}$ ,  $f_2 \equiv f_{22}$  and  $f_3 \equiv \sqrt{f_{12}f_{21}}$ .

The matrix  $L$  is defined on a curve  $\Gamma$  of genus  $g = 2$ , which is a 2-sheeted cover of the elliptic curve  $\Gamma_0$  with 2 branch points  $z = \eta$  and  $z = -\eta$ .

The spectral curve  $\hat{\Gamma}$  of  $L$  is defined by the equation  $R = \det(L_{ij} - k) = 0$ . It is a 2-sheeted cover of  $\Gamma$ , and the function  $\partial_k R$  has 4 simple poles on  $\hat{\Gamma}$  above points  $z = \pm\eta$ .  $\partial_k R$  is a meromorphic function on  $\hat{\Gamma}$ , hence it also has 4 zeroes. Its zeroes are precisely the branch points of  $\hat{\Gamma}$  over  $\Gamma$ , and the Riemann-Hurwitz formula implies that the genus of  $\hat{\Gamma}$  is  $\hat{g} = 5$ .

The matrix valued differential  $Ldz$  can be seen as a global section of the bundle  $\text{End}(V_{\gamma, \alpha}) \otimes \Omega^{1,0}(\Gamma)$ .  $V_{\gamma, \alpha}$  is a vector bundle determined by Tyurin parameters  $z(\gamma_i) = -x_1 - \eta$ ,  $z(\gamma_j) = -x_2 - \eta$ , and  $\alpha_i = (0, 1)^T$ ,  $\alpha_j = (1, 0)^T$ , where  $i = 1, 2$  and  $j = 3, 4$ .

The set  $I$  in (5) is  $I = \{\gamma_s, 0, \pm z_0\}$ , where  $z_0$  is defined by the equation  $\det L(z_0) = 0$ , or

$$f_1 f_2 \frac{\sigma^2(z_0)}{\sigma^2(\eta)} - f_3^2 \frac{\sigma(z_0+x_1-x_2)\sigma(z_0-x_1+x_2)}{\sigma(x_1-x_2-\eta)\sigma(x_2-x_1-\eta)} = 0.$$

Notice, that we can use variables  $(x_1, x_2, f_1, f_2, z_0)$  instead of  $(x_1, x_2, f_1, f_2, f_3)$ .

**Theorem 1.** *In the case  $N = 2$  the elliptic spin RS system is Hamiltonian with a symplectic form*

$$\omega = -\delta \ln f_1 \wedge \delta x_1 - \delta \ln f_2 \wedge \delta x_2 + 2\tilde{V}(x_1 - x_2)\delta x_1 \wedge \delta x_2 \quad (8)$$

and Hamiltonian  $H = f_1 + f_2$ , where  $\tilde{V}(x) = \zeta(x + z_0) - \zeta(x)$ . The spinless case corresponds to  $z_0 = \eta$ .

*Proof.* The eigenvector  $\psi$  of  $L$  in any normalization is a meromorphic function on  $\hat{\Gamma}$  and it has  $\hat{g} + 1 = 6$  poles  $\hat{\gamma}_s$ . The proof of formula (6) in [6] assumes that the situation is in general position, i.e. projections of points  $\hat{\gamma}_i$  don't coincide with  $\gamma_s$ .

Most appropriate normalization here is  $\psi_1 \equiv 1$ , because it easily allows us to find poles  $\hat{\gamma}_s$  of  $\psi$ . Two of them ( $s = 1, 2$ ) lie above the point  $z = x_1 - x_2$ , and the other are above  $z = -x_1 - \eta$  ( $s = 3, 4, 5, 6$ ). This is not the case of general position, but it turns out that the same formula (6) still holds.

The proof in [5] and [6] implies that 2-form (5) in the normalization  $\psi_1 \equiv 1$  equals to  $\omega_0 = \sum_{s=1}^2 \delta \ln k(\hat{\gamma}_s) \wedge \delta z(\hat{\gamma}_s)$ .

A change of normalization of  $\Psi$  from  $\psi_1 \equiv 1$  to  $\sum \psi_i \equiv 1$  (the last one is in "general position") corresponds to the transformation  $\tilde{\Psi} = \Psi V$ , where

$$V = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{L_{12}}{k_1 - L_{11} + L_{12}} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{L_{12}}{k_2 - L_{11} + L_{12}} \end{pmatrix}.$$

According to the computations in [6],

$$\omega = \omega_0 + \sum_{q \in I} \text{res}_q \text{Tr} (K^{-1} \delta K \wedge \delta V V^{-1}) dz.$$

Since  $\omega$  has to be restricted to the leaves where  $\delta \ln kdz$  is holomorphic (which is equivalent to 2 conditions:  $\delta \eta = 0$  and  $\delta z_0 = 0$ ), the only non-zero residue in the second term is at the point  $z(\gamma_i) = -x_1 - \eta$ . After computing the residue, we get that  $\omega = \omega_0 + \sum_{s=3}^6 \delta \ln k(\hat{\gamma}_s) \wedge \delta z(\hat{\gamma}_s)$ , i.e. effectively formula (6) holds in both normalizations.

Substituting  $\hat{\gamma}_s$  in (6), we find that

$$\omega = -\delta \ln f_1 \wedge \delta x_1 - \delta \ln f_2 \wedge \delta x_2 + 2\tilde{V}(x_1 - x_2) \delta x_1 \wedge \delta x_2,$$

where  $\tilde{V}(x) = \zeta(x + z_0) - \zeta(x)$ .

The Hamiltonian  $H = f_1 + f_2$  defines the flow

$$\begin{cases} \dot{f}_1 = -f_1 f_2 (\zeta(z_0 + x_1 - x_2) - \zeta(z_0 - x_1 + x_2) - 2\zeta(x_1 - x_2)) \\ \dot{f}_2 = f_1 f_2 (\zeta(z_0 + x_1 - x_2) - \zeta(z_0 - x_1 + x_2) - 2\zeta(x_1 - x_2)) \\ \dot{x}_1 = f_1 \\ \dot{x}_2 = f_2. \end{cases}$$

Using identities for Weierstrass  $\sigma$ -functions, namely,

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(a+c)\sigma(a-c)\sigma(b+d)\sigma(b-d) - \sigma(a+d)\sigma(a-d)\sigma(b+c)\sigma(b-c) = \\ = \sigma(a+b)\sigma(a-b)\sigma(c+d)\sigma(c-d), \text{ and} \\ \zeta(a) + \zeta(b) + \zeta(c) - \zeta(a+b+c) = \frac{\sigma(a+b)\sigma(b+c)\sigma(a+c)}{\sigma(a)\sigma(b)\sigma(c)\sigma(a+b+c)}, \end{aligned}$$

it follows from the definition of  $z_0$  that

$$\begin{aligned} f_1 f_2 (2\zeta(x_1 - x_2) + \zeta(z_0 - x_1 + x_2) - \zeta(z_0 + x_1 - x_2)) = \\ = f_3^2 (2\zeta(x_1 - x_2) + \zeta(\eta - x_1 + x_2) - \zeta(\eta + x_1 - x_2)). \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

With the help of this identity, we can show that the above equations are equivalent to

$$\begin{cases} \ddot{x}_1 = f_3^2 (2\zeta(x_1 - x_2) + \zeta(\eta - x_1 + x_2) - \zeta(\eta + x_1 - x_2)) \\ \ddot{x}_2 = -f_3^2 (2\zeta(x_1 - x_2) + \zeta(\eta - x_1 + x_2) - \zeta(\eta + x_1 - x_2)), \end{cases}$$

which is an RS system.

The spinless case occurs when  $f_3^2 = f_1 f_2$  and  $z_0 = \eta$  as one can observe from (9).  $\square$

*Remark.* A Poisson structure was found in [7] in the rational limit for arbitrary  $N$  (see formula (3.31) in [7]). In the case of 2 particles it is non-degenerate and defined on a 6-dimensional space  $(f_{11}, f_{12}, f_{21}, f_{22}, x_1, x_2)$ . The corresponding 2-form is defined on the same space and coincides with (8) on the leaves  $\delta z_0 = 0$  and after reduction with respect to the action  $f_{12} \rightarrow f_{12}/\lambda, f_{21} \rightarrow f_{21}\lambda$ .

### 3 Acknowledgments

I am very grateful to I.Krichever for many helpful and interesting discussions.

### References

- [1] S.N.M. Ruijsenaars, H. Schneider, *A new class of integrable systems and its relation to solitons*, Ann. Phys. (NY) 170 (1986), 370-405.
- [2] I.M. Krichever, A.V. Zabrodin, *Spin generalization of the Ruijsenaars-Schneider model, non-abelian 2D Toda chain and representations of Sklyanin algebra*, Uspekhi Mat. Nauk 50 (1995), no.6, 3-56.
- [3] I.M. Krichever, *Elliptic solutions to difference non-linear equations and nested Bethe ansatz equations*, solv-int/9804016.
- [4] I.M. Krichever, D.H. Phong, *On the integrable geometry of soliton equations and  $N=2$  supersymmetric gauge theories*, J. Differential Geometry 45 (1997), 349-389.
- [5] I.M. Krichever, *Vector bundles and Lax equations on algebraic curves*, Comm. Math. Phys. 229 (2002), no.2, 229-269.
- [6] I.M. Krichever, *Integrable Chains on Algebraic Curves*, Geometry, topology and mathematical physics, Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. Ser.2, 212 (2004), 219-236.
- [7] G.E. Arutyunov, S.A. Frolov, *On the Hamiltonian structure of the spin Ruijsenaars-Schneider model*, J. Phys. A 31 (1998), no.18, 4203-4216.