A BINARY TREE REPRESENTATION FOR THE 2-ADIC VALUATION OF A SEQUENCE ARISING FROM A RATIONAL INTEGRAL

XINYU SUN AND VICTOR H. MOLL

ABSTRACT. We analyze properties of the 2-adic valuation of an integer sequence that originates from an explicit evaluation of a quartic integral. We present a tree that encodes this valuation.

1. INTRODUCTION

The integral

(1.1)
$$N_{0,4}(a;m) = \int_0^\infty \frac{dx}{(x^4 + 2ax^2 + 1)^{m+1}},$$

with a > -1 is given by

(1.2)
$$N_{0,4}(a,m) = \frac{\pi}{2} \frac{P_m(a)}{[2(a+1)]^{m+1/2}}$$

where

(1.3)
$$P_m(a) = \sum_{l=0}^m d_{l,m} a^l$$

with

(1.4)
$$d_{l,m} = 2^{-2m} \sum_{k=l}^{m} 2^k \binom{2m-2k}{m-k} \binom{m+k}{m} \binom{k}{l}, \quad 0 \le l \le m.$$

The reader will find in [2] a survey of the different proofs of (1.2) and an introduction to the many issues involved in the evaluation of definite integrals in [6].

The study of combinatorial aspects of the sequence $d_l(m)$ was initiated in [3] where the authors show that $d_l(m)$ form a unimodal sequence, that is, there exists and index l^* such that $d_{0,m} \leq \ldots \leq d_{l^*,m}$ and $d_{l^*,m} \geq \ldots \geq d_{m,m}$. The fact that $d_{l,m}$ satisfies the stronger condition of logconcavity $d_{l-1,m}d_{l+1,m} \leq d_{l,m}^2$ has been recently established in [5].

We consider here arithmetical properties of the sequence $d_{l,m}$. It is more convenient to analyze the auxiliary sequence

(1.5)
$$A_{l,m} = l! \, m! \, 2^{m+l} d_{l,m} = \frac{l! \, m!}{2^{m-l}} \sum_{k=l}^{m} 2^k \binom{2m-2k}{m-k} \binom{m+k}{m} \binom{k}{l}$$

Date: October 29, 2018.

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 11B50, Secondary 05A15.

Key words and phrases. valuations, binary trees, rational integrals.

for $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $0 \leq l \leq m$. The integral (1.1) is then given explicitly as

(1.6)
$$N_{0,4}(a;m) = \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{2}m! (4(2a+1))^{m+1/2}} \sum_{l=0}^{m} A_{l,m} \frac{a^l}{l!}.$$

We present here a binary tree that encodes the 2-adic valuation of $A_{l,m}$. Recall that, for $x \in \mathbb{N}$, the 2-adic valuation $\nu_2(x)$ is the highest power of 2 that divides x. This is extended to $x = a/b \in \mathbb{Q}$ via $\nu_2(x) = \nu_2(a) - \nu_2(b)$.

Given $l \in \mathbb{N}$ we associate a tree T(l), the decision tree of l, that provides a combinatorial interpretation of $\nu_2(A_{l,m})$. It has the following properties:

1) Aside from the labels on the vertices, T(l) depends only on the odd part of l. Therefore it suffices to consider l odd.

2) For l odd, define $k^*(l) = \lfloor \log_2 l \rfloor$. The index k^* is determined by $2^{k^*} < l < 2^{k^*+1}$.

3) The generations are labelled starting at 0; that is, the root is generation 0. For $0 \le k \le k^*$, the k-th generation consists of 2^k vertices. These form a complete binary tree.

4) A vertex with degree 1 is called *terminal*. The edge containing a terminal vertex is called a *terminal branch*. The k^* -th generation contains $2^{k^*+1} - l$ terminal vertices. The tree T(l) has one more generation consisting of $2(l - 2^{k^*})$ terminal vertices.

5) Each terminal vertex of T(l) has a vertex constant attached to it. These are given in Lemmas 2.8 and 2.10. Each non-terminal vertex has two edge functions attached to it.

The main results presented here is:

Theorem 1.1. Let $l \in \mathbb{N}$. The data described above provides an explicit formula for the 2-adic valuation of the sequence $A_{l,m}$.

The complete results are described in Section 2 and illustrated here for l = 3.

The sequence $\{\nu_2(A_{3,m}) : m \ge 1\}$ satisfies $\nu_2(A_{3,2m-1}) = \nu_2(A_{3,2m})$. Therefore the subsequence $A_{3,2m+1}$, denoted by $C_{3,m}$, contains all the 2-adic information of $A_{3,m}$.

For instance, at the first level in Figure 1 we have two edges with functions 2m and 2m-1 and the first generation consists of two vertices, one of which is terminal with vertex constant 7. This vertex is adjacent to the terminal branch labeled 2m-1.

This tree produces a formula for $\nu_2(C_{3,m})$ by the following mechanism: define

(1.7)
$$f_3(m) = \begin{cases} 7 + \nu_2 \left(\frac{m+1}{2}\right) & \text{if } m \equiv 1 \mod 2, \\ 9 + \nu_2 \left(\frac{m}{4}\right) & \text{if } m \equiv 0 \mod 4, \\ 9 + \nu_2 \left(\frac{m+2}{4}\right) & \text{if } m \equiv 2 \mod 4. \end{cases}$$



FIGURE 1. The decision tree for l = 3

There is one expression per terminal branch. The numbers 9, 9, 7 are the vertex constants of T(3) and the arguments of ν_2 in f come from the branch labels. The tree now encodes the formula

(1.8)
$$\nu_2(C_{3,m}) = f_3(m), \text{ for } m \ge 1.$$

2. The tree

In this section we describe a binary tree that encodes the 2-adic valuation of the sequence $A_{l.m}$. This value is linked to that of the Pochhammer symbol

(2.1)
$$(a)_n := \begin{cases} a(a+1)(a+2)\cdots(a+n-1), & \text{for } n > 0\\ 1, & \text{for } n = 0, \end{cases}$$

via the identity

(2.2)
$$\nu_2(A_{l,m}) = \nu_2((m+1-l)_{2l}) + l,$$

established in [1]. This is a generalization of the main result in [4], namely,

(2.3)
$$\nu_2(A_{1,m}) = \nu_2(m(m+1)) + 1$$

The expression (2.2) can also be written as

(2.4)
$$\nu_2(A_{l,m}) = l + \sum_{j=-l+1}^l \nu_2(m+j).$$

To encode the information about $\nu_2(A_{l,m})$ we employ the notion of *simple sequences*.

Definition 2.1. A sequence $\{a_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is called *s*-simple if there exists a number *s* such that, for each $t \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$, we have

(2.5)
$$a_{st+1} = a_{st+2} = \dots = a_{s(t+1)}.$$

In pictorial terms, s-simple sequences are formed by blocks of length s where they attain the same value. In [1] it is shown that, for fixed $l \in \mathbb{N}$, the sequence $\{\nu_2(A_{l,m}): m \geq l\}$ is $2^{1+\nu_2(l)}$ -simple. For instance,

(2.6)
$$\nu_2(A_{2,m}) = \{5, 5, 5, 5, 6, 6, 6, 6, 5, 5, 5, 5, 7, 7, 7, 7, 5, 5, 5, 5, \dots\}.$$

is 4-simple.

Definition 2.2. Let $l \in \mathbb{N}$ be fixed. Define

(2.7)
$$C_{l,m} = A_{l,l+(m-1)\cdot 2^{1+\nu_2(l)}},$$

so that the sequence $\{C_{l,m} : m \ge 1\}$ reduces each block of $A_{l,m}$ to a single point. In particular, for l odd we have $C_{l,m} = A_{l,2(m-1)}$.

The tree associated to l. We associate to each index $l \in \mathbb{N}$ a tree by the following rule: start with a *root* vertex. This root is the 0-th generation of T(l). To the root vertex we attach the sequence

(2.8)
$$\{\nu_2(C_{l,m}): m \ge 1\}$$

and ask whether

(2.9)
$$\nu_2(C_{l,m}) - \nu_2(m)$$

is independent of m. If the answer is yes, we label the vertex v_0 with this constant value. This is the case for l = 4 as shown in Figure 2. If the answer is negative, we split the integers into classes modulo 2 and create a vertex for each class. These two classes are attached to two new vertices

$$v_1 \mapsto \{\nu_2(C_{l,2m-1}) : m \ge 1\}$$

and

$$v_2 \mapsto \{\nu_2(C_{l,2m}) : m \ge 1\}.$$

Each positive answer produces the end of the branch and each negative one yields

FIGURE 2. The decision tree for l = 4

two new branches that need to be tested. The process stops when there are no more vertices that need to be tested.

Note. Assume the vertex v corresponding to the sequence $\{2^k(m-1)+a: m \ge 1\}$ produces a negative answer. Then it splits in the next generation into two vertices corresponding to the sequences $\{2^{k+1}(m-1)+a: m \ge 1\}$ and $\{2^{k+1}(m-1)+2^k+a: m \ge 1\}$. For instance, in Figure 3, the vertex corresponding to $\{4m: m \ge 1\}$, that is not terminal, splits into $\{8m: m \ge 1\}$ and $\{8m+4: m \ge 1\}$. These two edges lead to terminal vertices. Theorem 2.7 shows that this process ends in a finite number of steps.

Figure 2 shows the decision tree for l = 4 and Figure 1 the corresponding one for l = 3. In the latter figure the branches are labelled according to the arithmetic sequence they represent.



FIGURE 3. The decision tree for l = 5

At the second level we find the first appearance of a terminal vertex, namely the one corresponding the edge marked 2m - 1. Its vertex constant is 7, stating that

(2.10)
$$\nu_2(C_{3,2m-1}) = \nu_2(m) + 7.$$

The first step in the analysis of the tree T(l) is to reduce it to the case where l is odd.

Theorem 2.3. The tree of an integer depends only upon its odd part, that is, for b odd and $a \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

(2.11)
$$T(b) = T(2^a b),$$

up to relabel.

The proof of this theorem is based on a relation of the 2-adic valuations of $C_{2l,m}$ and $C_{l,m}$. We establish first an auxiliary result for $A_{l,m}$.

Lemma 2.4. Let $l, m \in \mathbb{N}$. Then

(2.12) $\nu_2(A_{2l,2m}) = \nu_2(A_{l,m}) + 3l.$

Proof. The result is equivalent to

(2.13)
$$\nu_2 (a_l/a_{-l}) = 2l$$

where

(2.14)
$$a_k = \frac{(2m+2k)!}{(m+k)!}$$

This follows from

(2.15)
$$\nu_2(a_l/a_{-l}) = \sum_{k=1-l}^l \nu_2(a_k/a_{k-1}),$$

and $a_k/a_{k-1} = 2(2m + 2k - 1)$, so that each term in the sum (2.15) is equal to 1.

Corollary 2.5. Let $l, m \in \mathbb{N}$. Then

(2.16)
$$\nu_2(C_{2l,m}) = \nu_2(C_{l,m}) + 3l.$$

Proof. The result follows from the identity

(2.17)
$$C_{2l,m} = A_{2l,2\left[l+(m-1)\cdot 2^{1+\nu_2(l)}\right]}$$

and (2.12).

Note. Corollary 2.5 and the fact that the index l is fixed yield the proof of Theorem 2.3.

From now on we assume $l \in \mathbb{N}$ is a fixed odd number. Consider now the sets

(2.18)
$$V_{k,a}^{l} := \left\{ \nu_2 \left(C_{l,2^k(m-1)+a} \right) : m \in \mathbb{N} \right\}$$

for $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $1 \leq a \leq 2^k$. Observe that, for fixed $k \in \mathbb{N}$ the 2^k sets $V_{k,a}^l$ contain all the information of the sequence $\{\nu_2(C_{l,m}) : m \geq 1\}$. For example, for k = 2, we have

$$V_{2,1}^{l} = \{\nu_{2}(C_{l,4m-3}) : m \in \mathbb{N}\}, \\ V_{2,2}^{l} = \{\nu_{2}(C_{l,4m-2}) : m \in \mathbb{N}\}, \\ V_{2,3}^{l} = \{\nu_{2}(C_{l,4m-1}) : m \in \mathbb{N}\}, \\ V_{2,4}^{l} = \{\nu_{2}(C_{l,4m}) : m \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

These four sets correspond to the third generation in the tree shown in Figure 3. We also introduce the difference between $V_{k,a}^l$ and the basic sequence $\{\nu_2(m) : m \in \mathbb{N}\}$.

Note. The sets $V_{k,a}^l$ are attached to the vertices in the k-th generation of the decision tree T(l). The terminal vertices of T(l) are those corresponding to indices a such that the set

(2.19)
$$S_{k,a}^{l} := \left\{ \nu_2 \left(C_{l,2^k(m-1)+a} \right) - \nu_2(m) : m \in \mathbb{N} \right\}$$

reduces to a single value.

Definition 2.6. Let $k^*(l)$ be the first generation in the decision tree T(l) that contains a terminal vertex. This is the minimal k for which there exist an index a, in the range $1 \leq a \leq 2^k$, such that $V_{k,a}^l$ is a constant shift of the sequence $\{\nu_2(n) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}.$

Theorem 2.7. Let $l \in \mathbb{N}$ be odd. Then $k^*(l) = \lfloor \log_2 l \rfloor$. The k^* -th generation contains $2^{k^*+1} - l$ terminal vertices. The tree T(l) has one more generation consisting of $2(l-2^{k^*})$ terminal vertices. And these are the only terminal vertices.

The proof is divided into a sequence of steps.

Lemma 2.8. Let l be an odd integer and define k via $2^k < l < 2^{k+1}$. Then for a in the range $1 \le a \le 2^{k+1} - l$ define $j_1(l,k,a) := -l + 2(1+2^k - a)$. Then

(2.20)
$$\nu_2 \left(C_{l,2^k(m-1)+a} \right) = \nu_2(m) + \gamma_1(l,k,a)$$

with

(2.21) $\gamma_1(l,k,a) = l + k + 1 + \nu_2\left((j_1 + l - 1)! \times (l - j_1)!\right).$

Therefore, the vertex corresponding to the index a is a terminal vertex for the tree T(l) with vertex constant $\gamma_1(l, k, a)$.

Proof. We have

$$(2.22) \quad \nu_2 \left(C_{l,2^k(m-1)+a} \right) = \nu_2 \left(A_{l,l+2(2^k(m-1)+a-1)} \right)$$
$$= l + \sum_{j=-l+1}^l \nu_2 \left(l + 2(2^k(m-1)+a-1) + j \right)$$

The bounds on a imply that $2-l \leq j_1 \leq 2^{k+1}-l$ showing that j_1 is in the range of summation. Morever it isolates the term $2^{k+1}m$; that is, (2.22) can be computed as

$$\nu_2\left(C_{l,2^k(m-1)+a}\right) = l + \sum_{b=1}^{j_1+l-1} \nu_2(2^{k+1}m-b) + k + 1 + \nu_2(m) + \sum_{b=1}^{l-j_1} \nu_2(2^{k+1}m+b).$$

In the first sum we have $b \leq j_1 + l - 1 = 1 - 2a + 2^{k+1} < 2^{k+1}$, and in the second one $b \leq l - j_1 = 2(l - 1 + a - 2^k)) < 2^{k+1}$, by the choice of the upper bound on a. We conclude that

$$\nu_2\left(C_{l,2^k(m-1)+a}\right) = \nu_2(m) + l + k + 1 + \sum_{b=1}^{j_1+l-1} \nu_2(b) + \sum_{b=1}^{l-j_1} \nu_2(b).$$

This is the stated result.

Lemma 2.9. Let k and l be defined as above, then

(2.23)
$$\nu_2 \left(A_{l,2^{k+1}m+a} \right) = \nu_2 \left(A_{l,2^{k+1}m-a-1} \right),$$

for any $m \ge 1$ and $0 \le a < 2^{k+1} - l$.

Proof. Since $2^k < a + l < 2^{k+1}$, $0 \le a \le 2^k$, and $-2^{k+1} < a - l < 0$. Therefore,

$$\nu_2 \left(A_{l,2^{k+1}m+a} \right) = l + \sum_{j=-l+1}^{l} \nu_2 \left(2^{k+1}m + a + j \right)$$
$$= l + \sum_{j=-l}^{l-1} \nu_2 \left(2^{k+1}m - a - j \right)$$
$$= \nu_2 \left(A_{l,2^{k+1}m-a-1} \right).$$

Now since the sets $\{2^{k+1}m \pm a \mid 0 \leq a < 2^{k+1} + 2^k - l, m \geq 1\}$ and $\{2^{k+2}m \pm b \mid 2^{k+1} - l < b < l, m \geq 1\}$ partition the set $\{a \mid a \geq l\}$, to prove the second half of Theorem 2.7, we only need to show the following.

Lemma 2.10. Let k and l be defined as above, then for a in the range $2^{k+1} - l < a \le 2^{k+1}$ define $j_2(l, k, a) := -l + 2(1 + 2^{k+1} - a)$. Then

(2.24)
$$\nu_2 \left(C_{l,2^k(m-1)+a} \right) = \nu_2(m) + \gamma_2(l,k,a)$$

with

(2.25)
$$\gamma_2(l,k,a) = l + k + 2 + \nu_2\left((j_2 + l - 1)! \times (l - j_2)!\right).$$

Therefore, the vertex corresponding to the index a is a terminal vertex for the tree T(l) with vertex constant $\gamma_2(l, k, a)$.

Proof. The proof is the same as that of Lemma 2.8, and thus omitted.

Example 2.11. In the case l = 3 we can take k = 1. On the higher level, the restrictions on the parameter a imply that must have a = 1. A direct calculation shows that $j_1(3,1,1) = 1$ and $\gamma_1(3,1,1) = 7$. For the bottom two vertices, a = 2, 4; and we have $j_2(3,1,2) = 3, \gamma_2(3,1,2) = 9$; while $j_2(3,1,4) = -1, \gamma_2(3,1,4) = 9$. This confirms the data on Figure 1.

Example 2.12. For l = 5, the theorem predicts three terminal vertices at the level k = 2, corresponding to the values a = 1, 2, 3. This confirms Figure 3 with terminal values given by $\gamma_1(5, 2, 1) = \gamma_1(5, 2, 3) = 13$ and $\gamma_1(5, 2, 2) = 14$. Similar results can be drawn for the level k = 3. As before, the tree produces an explicit formula for the 2-adic valuation of $C_{5,m}$. Indeed, define

(2.26)
$$f_5(m) = \begin{cases} 14 + \nu_2 \left(\frac{m+2}{4}\right) & \text{if } m \equiv 2 \mod 4, \\ 13 + \nu_2 \left(\frac{m+1}{4}\right) & \text{if } m \equiv 3 \mod 4, \\ 13 + \nu_2 \left(\frac{m+3}{4}\right) & \text{if } m \equiv 1 \mod 4, \\ 16 + \nu_2 \left(\frac{m}{8}\right) & \text{if } m \equiv 0 \mod 8, \\ 16 + \nu_2 \left(\frac{m+4}{8}\right) & \text{if } m \equiv 4 \mod 8. \end{cases}$$

then,

(2.27)
$$\nu_2(C_{5,m}) = f_5(m)$$

To finish the proof of Theorem 2.7, we need to establish

Lemma 2.13. There are no terminal vertices of level less than k.

Proof. The value of a vertex on the level u < k is obtained from $\nu_2(C_{l,2^u(m-1)+a})$. The proof of Lemma 2.8, shows that

$$\nu_2\left(C_{l,2^u(m-1)+a}\right) = \sum_{i=0}^v \nu_2(m+i) + c,$$

for some constants v > 0 and c. The next lemma proves that this cannot happen.

Lemma 2.14. If

(2.28)
$$\sum_{i=0}^{a} \nu_2(m+i) = \nu_2(m+b) + c$$

for all $m \ge 1$, and some constants a, b, c, then a = b = c = 0.

Proof. Suppose the lemma is not true and b > a. Choose m such that $m+b = 2^u$ for some u, then $\sum_{i=0}^{a} \nu_2(m+i) = \nu_2((b-a)\cdots b)$. Therefore $c = \nu_2((b-a)\cdots b) - u$. Similarly choose m such that $m+b = 2^{u+1}$, and conclude that $c = \nu_2((b-a)\cdots b) - u - 1$. This is a contradiction. The proof to the other two cases where $0 \le b \le a$ and b < 0 are similar, and thus omitted.

The final figure shows how to produce the trees corresponding to l odd. First determine n by $2^n < l < 2^{n+1}$ and form a complete binary tree T where the last level has 2^n vertices. Now from T branch an odd number of vertices that yields the decision trees T(l). Figure 4 shows the four trees corresponding to the odd indices l in the range 8 < l < 16.



FIGURE 4. The trees for l odd between 8 and 16

Acknowledgements. The second author acknowledges the partial support of nsf-dms 0713836.

References

- T. Amdeberhan, D. Manna, and V. Moll. The 2-adic valuation of a sequence arising from a rational integral. *Jour. Comb. A*, 2008.
- [2] T. Amdeberhan and V. Moll. A formula for a quartic integral: a survey of old proofs and some new ones. *Ramanujan Journal*, 2008.
- [3] G. Boros and V. Moll. A criterion for unimodality. Elec. Jour. Comb., 6:1-6, 1999.
- [4] G. Boros, V. Moll, and J. Shallit. The 2-adic valuation of the coefficients of a polynomial. Scientia, Series A, 7:37–50, 2001.
- [5] M. Kauers and P. Paule. A computer proof of Moll's log-concavity conjecture. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 135:3837–3846, 2007.
- [6] V. Moll. The evaluation of integrals: a personal story. Notices of the AMS, 49:311–317, 2002.

Department of Mathematics, Tulane University, New Orleans, LA 70118 E-mail address: xsun1@tulane.edu

Department of Mathematics, Tulane University, New Orleans, LA 70118 E-mail address: vhm@math.tulane.edu