

Some remarks on the Stanley depth for multigraded modules.

Mircea Cimpoeaş

Abstract

We show that Stanley's conjecture holds for any multigraded S -module M with $\text{sdepth}(M) = 0$, where $S = K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$. Also, we give some bounds for the Stanley depth of the powers of the maximal irrelevant ideal in S .

Keywords: Stanley depth, monomial ideal.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary: 13H10, Secondary: 13P10.

Introduction

Let K be a field and $S = K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ the polynomial ring over K . Let M be a finitely generated \mathbb{Z}^n -graded S -module. A *Stanley decomposition* of M is a direct sum $\mathcal{D} : M = \bigoplus_{i=1}^r m_i K[Z_i]$ as K -vector space, where $m_i \in M$, $Z_i \subset \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ such that $m_i K[Z_i]$ is a free $K[Z_i]$ -module. The latter condition is needed, since the module M can have torsion. We define $\text{sdepth}(\mathcal{D}) = \min_{i=1}^r |Z_i|$ and $\text{sdepth}(M) = \max\{\text{sdepth}(\mathcal{D}) \mid \mathcal{D} \text{ is a Stanley decomposition of } M\}$. The number $\text{sdepth}(M)$ is called the *Stanley depth* of M . Herzog, Vladioiu and Zheng show in [9] that this invariant can be computed in a finite number of steps if $M = I/J$, where $J \subset I \subset S$ are monomial ideals. A computer implementation of this algorithm, with some improvements, is given by Rinaldo in [14].

Let M be a finitely generated \mathbb{Z}^n -graded S -module. Stanley's conjecture says that $\text{sdepth}(M) \geq \text{depth}(M)$. The Stanley conjecture for S/I was proved for $n \leq 5$ and in other special cases, but it remains open in the general case. See for instance, [4], [8], [10], [1], [3] and [12]. Another interesting problem is to explicitly compute the sdepth . This is difficult, even in the case of monomial ideals! Some small progresses were made in [13], [9], [6], [7] and [15].

In the first section, we prove that the Stanley conjecture holds for modules with $\text{sdepth}(M) = 0$, see Theorem 1.4. As a consequence, it follows that any torsion free module M has $\text{sdepth}(M) \geq 1$. In the second section, we give an upper bound for the Stanley depth of the powers of the maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m} = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \subset S$, see Theorem 2.2. We conjecture that $\text{sdepth}(\mathfrak{m}^k) = \lceil \frac{n}{k+1} \rceil$, for any positive integer k .

Aknowledgements. The author would like to express his gratitude to the organizers of PRAGMATIC 2008, Catania, Italy and especially to Professor Jurgen Herzog.

¹This paper was supported by CNCSIS, ID-PCE, 51/2007

1 Stanley's conjecture for modules with sdepth zero.

Let M be a finitely generated \mathbb{Z}^n -graded S -module. We use an idea of Herzog, in order to obtain a decomposition of M , similar to the Janet decomposition given in [2]. For any $j \geq 1$, we have a natural surjective map $\varphi_j : M \rightarrow x_n^j M$ given by the multiplication with x_n^j . Obviously, $\varphi_j(x_n M) \subset x_n^{j+1} M$ and therefore φ_j induces a natural surjection $\bar{\varphi}_j : M/x_n M \rightarrow x_n^j M/x_n^{j+1} M$. We write $L_j = \text{Ker}(\bar{\varphi}_j)$.

Note that $L_j \subset L_{j+1}$ for any j , since we have a natural surjection $x_n^j M/x_n^{j+1} M \rightarrow x_n^{j+1} M/x_n^{j+2} M$ given by multiplication with x_n . As $M/x_n M$ is finitely generated, it follows that there exists a nonnegative integer q such that $L_q = L_{q+1} = \dots$ and moreover $x_n^j M/x_n^{j+1} M \cong x_n^{j+1} M/x_n^{j+2} M$ for any $j \geq q$. Now, we can prove the following Lemma.

Lemma 1.1. *Let M be a finitely generated \mathbb{Z}^n -graded S -module and q such that $L_q = L_{q+1} = \dots$. Then we have the following decomposition of M , as K -vector space:*

$$M \cong M/x_n M \oplus \dots \oplus x_n^{q-1} M/x_n^q M \oplus x_n^q M/x_n^{q+1} M[x_n].$$

Proof. Note that, since M is graded, $\bigcap x_n^j M = 0$. Therefore, we have

$$M = M/x_n M \oplus x_n M = M/x_n M \oplus x_n M/x_n^2 M \oplus x_n^2 M = \dots = \bigoplus_{j \geq 0} x_n^j M/x_n^{j+1} M.$$

Since $x_n^j M/x_n^{j+1} M \cong x_n^{j+1} M/x_n^{j+2} M$ for any $j \geq q$, the proof of Lemma is complete. \square

Note that each factor $x_n^j M/x_n^{j+1} M$ naturally carries the structure of a multigraded S' -module, where $S' = K[x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}]$. Also, if $M = S/I$, where $I \subset S$ is a monomial ideal, the above decomposition is exactly the Janet decomposition of S/I , with respect to the variable x_n .

Lemma 1.2. *Let M be a finitely generated \mathbb{Z}^n -graded S -module. Then $\text{sdepth}(M) = n$ if and only if M is free.*

Proof. If M is free, it follows that $M \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^r S(-a_i)$, where $a_i \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ are some multidegrees. Therefore, M has a basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ where e_i correspond to $1 \in S(-a_i)$. Therefore $M = \bigoplus e_i S$ is a Stanley decomposition of M and thus $\text{sdepth}(M) = n$. Conversely, given a Stanley decomposition $M = \bigoplus e_i S$, it follows that $M \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^r S(-a_i)$, where $\text{deg}(e_i) = a_i$. \square

Lemma 1.3. *Let M be a graded $K[x]$ -module. Then, the following are equivalent:*

- (1) M is free.
- (2) M is torsion free.
- (3) $\text{depth}(M) = 1$.
- (4) $\text{sdepth}(M) = 1$.

Proof. The equivalences (1) \Leftrightarrow (2) \Leftrightarrow (3) are well known. (4) \Leftrightarrow (1) is the case $n = 1$ of the previous Lemma. \square

Let $\mathfrak{m} = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \subset S$ be the maximal irrelevant ideal. Let M be a finitely generated \mathbb{Z}^n -graded S -module. We denote $\text{sat}(M) = (0 :_M \mathfrak{m}^\infty) = \bigcup_{k \geq 1} (0 :_M \mathfrak{m}^k)$ the *saturation* of M . It is well known, that $\text{depth}(M) = 0$ if and only if $\mathfrak{m} \in \text{Ass}(M)$ if and only if $\text{sat}(M) \neq 0$. On the other hand, $\text{sat}(M/\text{sat}(M)) = 0$. Note that if $I \subset S$ is a monomial ideal, then $\text{sat}(S/I) = I^{\text{sat}}/I$, where $I^{\text{sat}} = (I : \mathfrak{m}^\infty)$ is the saturation of the ideal I . We prove the following generalization of [7, Theorem 1.5].

Theorem 1.4. *Let M be a finitely generated \mathbb{Z}^n -graded S -module. If $\text{sdepth}(M) = 0$ then $\text{depth}(M) = 0$. Conversely, if $\text{depth}(M) = 0$ and $\dim_K(M_a) \leq 1$ for any $a \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, then $\text{sdepth}(M) = 0$.*

Proof. We use induction on n . If $n = 1$, then we are done by Lemma 1.3. Suppose $n > 1$. We consider the decomposition

$$(*) \quad M \cong M/x_n M \oplus \cdots \oplus x_n^{q-1} M/x_n^q M \oplus x_n^q M/x_n^{q+1} M[x_n],$$

given by Lemma 1.2. We define $M_j := x_n^j M/x_n^{j+1} M$ for $j \in [q]$. Since $\text{sdepth}(M) = 0$, it follows that $\text{sdepth}(M_j) = 0$ for some $j < q$. We have $M_j = \text{sat}(M_j) \oplus M/\text{sat}(M_j)$, where $\text{sat}(M_j)$ is the saturation of M_j as a S' -module. If there exists some nonzero element $m \in \text{sat}(M_j)$ such that $x_n^j m = 0$, it follows that $m \in \text{sat}(M)$ and thus $\text{sat}(M) \neq 0$.

For the converse, we assume $\text{depth}(M) > 0$. It follows that $x_n \text{sat}(M_j) \subset \text{sat}(M_{j+1})$ for any $j < q$. Since $\text{sat}(M_j/\text{sat}(M_j)) = 0$, by induction hypothesis, it follows that $\text{sdepth}(M_j/\text{sat}(M_j)) \geq 1$. Therefore, $(*)$ implies

$$(**) \quad M \cong \bigoplus_{j=0}^{q-1} M_j/\text{sat}(M_j) \oplus M_q/\text{sat}(M_q)[x_n] \oplus \bigoplus_{j=0}^{q-1} \text{sat}(M_j) \oplus \text{sat}(M_q)[x_n].$$

On the other hand, $\bigoplus_{j=0}^{q-1} \text{sat}(M_j) \oplus \text{sat}(M_q)[x_n] = \bigoplus_{j=0}^{q-1} \bigoplus_{\bar{m} \in \text{sat}(M_j)/\text{sat}(M_{j-1})} m K[x_n]$ since $\dim_K(M_a) \leq 1$, and therefore, by $(**)$, we obtain a Stanley decomposition of M with its $\text{sdepth} \geq 1$! \square

Corollary 1.5. *If M is torsion free, then $\text{sdepth}(M) \geq 1$.*

Proof. Obviously, since M is torsion free, we have $\text{depth}(M) \geq 1$. \square

Example 1.6. (Dorin Popescu, [12]) *The condition $\dim_K(M_a) \leq 1$ is essential in the second part of Theorem 1.4. Let $S = K[x_1, x_2]$ and consider the module $M := (S e_1 \oplus S e_2)/(x_1 z, x_2 z)$, where $z = x_1 e_2 - x_2 e_1$. M is multigraded with $\deg(e_1) = \deg(x_1) = (1, 0)$ and $\deg(e_2) = \deg(x_2) = (0, 1)$. Note that $\dim_K(M_a) = 1$ for any $a \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \setminus \{(1, 1)\}$ and $\dim_K(M_{(1,1)}) = 2$. Since $z \in \text{Soc}(M)$, it follows that $\text{depth}(M) = 0$. We have a Stanley decomposition of M ,*

$$M = \bar{e}_1 K[x_2] \oplus \bar{e}_1 x_1 K[x_1] \oplus \bar{e}_2 K[x_1] \oplus \bar{e}_2 x_2 K[x_2] \oplus \bar{e}_1 x_1 x_2 K[x_1, x_2],$$

where \bar{e}_1, \bar{e}_2 are the images of e_1 and e_2 in M . It follows that $\text{sdepth}(M) \geq 1$ and thus $\text{sdepth}(M) = 1$, since M is not free.

Remark 1.7. Let M be a torsion free finitely generated \mathbb{Z}^n -graded S -module. Then we have an inclusion $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow F$, where F is a free module with $\text{rank}(F) = \text{rank}(M)$. Let $Q := F/M$. Is it true that $\text{sdepth}(M) \geq \text{sdepth}(Q) + 1$? In particular, if $I \subset S$ is a monomial ideal, is it true that $\text{sdepth}(I) \geq \text{sdepth}(S/I) + 1$?

If this result were true, then by $\text{depth}(M) = \text{depth}(Q) + 1$, if Q satisfy Stanley's conjecture, then M also satisfy Stanley's conjecture. Note that, in general we cannot expect that $\text{sdepth}(M) = \text{sdepth}(Q) + 1$. Take for instance $M = \mathbf{m} = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \subset S$ and $Q = k = S/\mathbf{m}$. It is known from [9] and [5] that $\text{sdepth}(\mathbf{m}) = \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil$, but $\text{sdepth}(k) = 0$. It would be interesting to characterize those modules M with $\text{sdepth}(M) = \text{sdepth}(Q) + 1$. Or, at least, the monomials ideals $I \subset S$ with $\text{sdepth}(I) = \text{sdepth}(S/I) + 1$.

We end this section with the following example.

Example 1.8. Let $M_i := \text{syzy}_i(K)$ the i -th syzygy module of K . It is known that $\text{depth}(M_i) = i$ for all $0 \leq i \leq n$. The problem of computing $\text{sdepth}(M_i)$ is a challenging problem. Obviously, $\text{sdepth}(M_0) = \text{sdepth}(K) = 0$. On the other hand, $\text{sdepth}(M_1) = \text{sdepth}(\mathbf{m}) = \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil$. Also, $\text{sdepth}(M_n) = \text{sdepth}(S) = n$. We claim that $\text{sdepth}(M_{n-1}) = n - 1$.

Indeed, $M_{n-1} = \text{Coker}(S \xrightarrow{\psi} S^n)$, where we define $S^n = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n S e_i$ and $\psi(1) := x_1 e_1 + \dots + x_n e_n$. Therefore, $M_{n-1} := S \bar{e}_1 + \dots + S \bar{e}_n$, where \bar{e}_i are the class of e_i in M_{n-1} for all $i \in [n]$. Note that $\bar{e}_1, \dots, \bar{e}_{n-1}$ are linearly independent in M_{n-1} , since the only relation in M_{n-1} is $x_1 \bar{e}_1 + \dots + x_{n-1} \bar{e}_{n-1} = -x_n \bar{e}_n$. It follows that,

$$M_{n-1} = S \bar{e}_1 \oplus \dots \oplus S \bar{e}_{n-1} \oplus K[x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}] \bar{e}_n,$$

and therefore $\text{sdepth}(M_{n-1}) \geq n - 1$. On the other hand, $\text{sdepth}(M_{n-1}) \leq n - 1$, since M is not free. Thus $\text{sdepth}(M_{n-1}) = n - 1$.

2 Bounds for the sdepth of powers of the maximal irrelevant ideal

Let $\mathbf{m} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ be the maximal irrelevant ideal of S . Let $k \geq 1$ be an integer. In this section, we will give some upper bounds for $\text{sdepth}(\mathbf{m}^k)$. In order to do so, we consider the following poset, associated to \mathbf{m}^k ,

$$P := \{u \in \mathbf{m}^k \text{ monomial} : u | x_1^k x_2^k \dots x_n^k\},$$

where $u \leq v$ if and only if $u | v$. For any $u \in P$, we denote $\rho(u) = |\{j : x_j^k | u\}|$. Note that, by [9, Theorem 2.4], there exists a partition of $P = \bigoplus_{i=1}^r [u_i, v_i]$, i.e. a disjoint sum of intervals $[u_i, v_i] = \{u \in P : u_i | u \text{ and } u | v_i\}$, such that $\min_{i=1}^r \{\rho(v_i)\} = \text{sdepth}(\mathbf{m}^k)$.

We write $P_d = \{u \in P : \text{deg}(u) = d\}$, where $k \leq d \leq kn$, and $\alpha_d := |P_d|$. First, we want to compute the numbers α_d .

Lemma 2.1. *We the above notations, we have:*

$$\alpha_d = \sum_{i \geq 0} (-1)^i \binom{n}{i} \binom{n + d - i(k + 1) - 1}{n - 1}.$$

Proof. We fix $d \geq k$. For any $j \in [n]$, we write $A_j := \{u \in S : \deg(u) = d, x_j^{k+1} | u\}$. Obviously, $P_d := S_d \setminus (A_1 \cup A_2 \cup \cdots \cup A_n)$, where S_d is the set of all monomials of degree d in S . For any nonempty subset $I \subset [n]$, we write $A_I := \bigcap_{i \in I} A_i$. By inclusion-exclusion principle,

$$|A_1 \cup \cdots \cup A_n| = \sum_{\emptyset \neq I \subset [n]} (-1)^{|I|-1} |A_I|.$$

Note that a monomial $u \in A_I$ can be written as $u = w \cdot \prod_{i \in I} x_i^{k+1}$. Therefore, $|A_I| = \binom{n+d-i(k+1)-1}{n-1}$. Now, one can easily get the required conclusion. \square

Theorem 2.2. *Let $a \leq \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil$ be a positive integer. Then $\text{sdepth}(\mathbf{m}^k) \leq \lceil \frac{n}{k+1} \rceil$. In particular, if $k \geq n-1$, then $\text{sdepth}(\mathbf{m}^k) = 1$.*

Proof. Let $a = \lceil \frac{n}{k+1} \rceil$ and assume, by contradiction, that $\text{sdepth}(\mathbf{m}^k) \geq a+1$. Obviously, by Lemma 2.1, $\alpha_k = \binom{n+k-1}{n-1}$ and $\alpha_{k+1} = \binom{n+k}{n-1} - n$. We consider a partition of $\mathcal{P} : P_{n,k} = \bigcup_{i=1}^r [x^{c_i}, x^{d_i}]$ with $\text{sdepth}(\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{P})) = a+1$. Note that \mathbf{m}^k is minimally generated by all the monomials of degree k in S . We can assume that $S_k = \{x^{c_i} | i = 1, \dots, N\}$, where $N = \binom{n+k-1}{n-1}$. We consider an interval $[x^{c_i}, x^{d_i}]$. If $c_i = x_j^k$, then by $\rho(x^{d_i}) \geq a+1$, it follows that in $[x^{c_i}, x^{d_i}]$ are at least a distinct monomials of degree $k+1$. If $c_i(j) < k$ for all $j \in [n]$, then, in $[x^{c_i}, x^{d_i}]$ are at least $a+1$ distinct monomials of degree $k+1$.

We assume that $k \geq \lceil \frac{n-a}{a} \rceil$. Since $\mathcal{P} : P_{n,k} = \bigcup_{i=1}^r [x^{c_i}, x^{d_i}]$ is a partition of $P_{n,k}$, by above considerations, it follows that $\alpha_{k+1} \geq na + (\alpha_k - n)(a+1)$. Therefore, $\binom{n+k}{n-1} \geq (a+1) \binom{n+k-1}{n-1}$. This implies $n+k \geq (k+1)(a+1) \geq (k+1)(\frac{n}{k+1} + 1) = n+k+1$, a contradiction. \square

We conjecture that $\text{sdepth}(\mathbf{m}^k) \leq \lceil \frac{n}{k+1} \rceil$. Using the computer, see [14], one can prove that this conjecture is true for small n . Also, the conjecture is true for $k=1$, from [9], [5]. We end this section with the following proposition.

Proposition 2.3. *Let $I \subset S$ be a monomial ideal. Then $\text{sdepth}(\mathbf{m}^k I) = 1$ for $k \gg 0$.*

Proof. We consider the K -algebra $A := \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} \mathbf{m}^i I / \mathbf{m}^{i+1} I$ and denote A_i the i^{th} graded component of A . Note that $H(A, i) := \dim_K(A_i) = |G(\mathbf{m}^i I)|$, where $G(\mathbf{m}^i I)$ is the set of minimal monomial generators of $\mathbf{m}^i I$. Since A is a finitely generated K -algebra, it follows that the Hilbert function $H(A, i)$ is polynomial for $i \gg 0$.

Therefore, $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} H(A, i) / H(A, i+1) = 1$. Note that there are exactly $H(A, i+1)$ monomials of degree $i+1$ in $\mathbf{m}^i I$. Suppose $\text{sdepth}(\mathbf{m}^i I) \geq 2$. As in the proof of Theorem 2.2, it follows that $H(A, i+1) \geq 2(H(A, i) - n) + n$, which is false for $i \gg 0$, since it contradicts the fact that $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} H(A, i) / H(A, i+1) = 1$. \square

References

- [1] Sarfraz Ahmad, Dorin Popescu "Sequentially Cohen-Macaulay monomial ideals of embedding dimension four", Bull. Math. Soc. Sc. Math. Roumanie 50(98), no.2 (2007), p.99-110.

- [2] Imran Anwar "Janet's algorithm", Bull. Math. Soc. Sc. Math. Roumanie 51(99), no.1 (2008), p.11-19.
- [3] Imran Anwar, Dorin Popescu "Stanley Conjecture in small embedding dimension", Journal of Algebra 318 (2007), p.1027-1031.
- [4] J.Apel "On a conjecture of R.P.Stanley", Journal of Algebraic Combinatorics, 17(2003), p.36-59.
- [5] Csaba Biro, David M.Howard, Mitchel T.Keller, William T.Trotter, Stephen J.Young "Partitioning subset lattices into intervals, preliminary version", Preprint 2008.
- [6] Mircea Cimpoeas "Stanley depth for monomial complete intersection", Bull. Math. Soc. Sc. Math. Roumanie 51(99), no.3 (2008), p.205-211.
- [7] Mircea Cimpoeas "Stanley depth for monomial ideals in three variables", Preprint 2008, <http://arxiv.org/pdf/0807.2166>.
- [8] Jürgen Herzog, Ali Soleyman Jahan, Siamak Yassemi "Stanley decompositions and partitionable simplicial complexes", Journal of Algebraic Combinatorics 27(2008), p.113-125.
- [9] Jürgen Herzog, Marius Vladoiu, Xinxian Zheng "How to compute the Stanley depth of a monomial ideal", to appear in Journal of Algebra
- [10] Ali Soleyman Jahan "Prime filtrations of monomial ideals and polarizations", Journal of Algebra 312 (2007), p.1011-1032.
- [11] Sumiya Nasir "Stanley decompositions and localization", Bull. Math. Soc. Sc. Math. Roumanie 51(99), no.2 (2008), p.151-158.
- [12] Dorin Popescu "Stanley depth of multigraded modules", Preprint 2008, <http://arxiv.org/pdf/0801.2632>.
- [13] Asia Rauf "Stanley decompositions, pretty clean filtrations and reductions modulo regular elements", Bull. Math. Soc. Sc. Math. Roumanie 50(98), no.4 (2007), p.347-354.
- [14] Giancarlo Rinaldo "An algorithm to compute the Stanley depth of monomial ideals", Preprint 2008.
- [15] Yihuang Shen "Stanley depth of complete intersection monomial ideals and upper-discrete partitions", Preprint 2008

Mircea Cimpoeas, Institute of Mathematics of the Romanian Academy, Bucharest, Romania
 E-mail: mircea.cimpoeas@imar.ro