## BRST Exact Action for N=1, D=4 Supersymmetric Yang-Mills Theory

A. Aidaoui\*, Tahiri\*

\*Laboratoire de Physique Théorique, Université d'Oran Es-Senia, 31100 Oran, Algeria

<sup>†</sup>Centre Universitaire de Béchar, B. P. 417, 08000 Béchar, Algeria E-mail: hadj\_aidaoui@yahoo.fr

## Abstract

The action for classical and consequently quantum N=1, D=4 supersymmetric Yang-Mills theories is proven to be written as a BRST exact term. In order to show this, the space of fields in the theory must be enlarged to include an extrafield as a non dynamical auxiliary field.

Keywords: Supersymmetric theories, BRST exact quantum action.

PACS numbers: 11.15.-q, 12.60.Jv

It is well known that the introduction of a set of auxiliary<sup>1</sup> fields is an appropriate framework to the quantization of theories with on-shell algebra, whereupon the algebra becomes off-shell, see [1] and references therein. An example of theories with on-shell algebra are the BF models [2] and supersymmetric (susy) theories [3,4]. Furthermore, the method of introducing auxiliary fields serves a BRST invariant extension of the classical action [1,5,6].

In Ref.[7] ( see also Ref.[8]), it is shown that the introduction of auxiliary fields in the quantized topological BF theories guarantees also the off-shell exactness of the full quantum action with respect to the BRST operator. This reports that the BF theories with auxiliary fields can be formulated from susy theories of Wess-Zumino type, by the intermediary of the twisting process. This connection was obtained in dimension two [9] and four [10].

However, the exactness of the full N=2, D=4 susy Yang-Mills (SYM) action is established in Ref.[11]. The followed strategy is to use the extension of BRST formalism<sup>2</sup> to include the global susy. This suggests a natural variable redefinition between the N=2, D=4 SYM theories and topological Yang-Mills (TYM) models, without using twisting procedure [12].

Furthermore, in Ref.[13] ( see also Ref.[14] ) an off-shell closure for N=1, D=4 SYM theories was constructed functionally by a linearized Slavnov-Taylor operator. This analysis is also applied for N=2, D=4 SYM theories [15,16]. In this representation the auxiliary fields formalism is available [17], while the on-shell closure ( with auxiliary fields eliminated ) of the algebra can be rectified by adding some quadratic terms in the action, after using the formalism of Batalin-Vilkovisky (BV) [18].

Recently, the quantization of N=1, D=4 SYM theories, without auxiliary fields, is accomplished by general-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>By definition an auxiliary field does not describe an independent degree of freedom; its equation of motion is algebraic.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Also called BV or field-antifield formalism [26,27].

ized spinor superfields [19]. In this superfield formulation, the action is written in terms of spinor superfields in the so-called transverse gauge. This treatment is also considered for N=4 SYM theories [20].

On the other hand, the possibility of directly twisting the N=1 SYM theory in a "microscopic" topological model was studied in [21,22,23].

In this present paper, we construct a BRST exact extension of the classical action for N=1, D=4 SYM theories via the introduction of an auxiliary field, in analogy to what is realized for the case of BF theory [7]. Thus we end up with a BRST exact full quantum action which leads after the elimination of the auxiliary field, to the same quantum action obtained in the context of BV formalism [24] as well as in the framework of the superfibre bundle approach [25].

In the beginning we will introduce, besides the fields present in the quantized N=1, D=4 SYM theories, an extrafield which allows an algebraic construction of an off-shell nilpotent BRST operator. From this, the gauge fixing action can be written in BRST exact form. Furthermore, we show that the classical action becomes BRST exact. Therefore, we will see that the obtained BRST exact complete quantum action makes us observe that the extrafield is a non dynamical auxiliary field. The elimination of this auxiliary field permits us to recover the standard quantum action with on-shell nilpotent BRST symmetry.

First let us recall that the N=1, D=4 SYM theory is build from a gauge potential  $A_{\mu}$  and a four component spinor (the susy partner of  $A_{\mu}$ )  $\lambda^{a}$ . Both of them taking values in a Lie algebra of a gauge group G. The classical action reads<sup>3</sup> [4]

$$S_0 = -\frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} \lambda^a (\gamma^\mu)_{ab} D_\mu \lambda^b,$$
 (1)

where  $F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_{\mu}A_{\nu} - \partial_{\nu}A_{\mu} + [A_{\mu}, A_{\nu}], D_{\mu} = \partial_{\mu} + [A_{\mu}, .]$  and  $\gamma^{\mu}$  the Dirac matrices in the Weyl basis. In (1) and in what follows the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>All notations and conventions are as in Wess and Bagger [4].

integration sign over the D=4 space-time and trace over the indices of G are omitted for simplicity.

The classical action would be invariant under susy transformations with parameter  $\omega$  given by

$$\omega = \theta^i I_i + \omega^a Q_a + \omega^\mu P_\mu, \tag{2}$$

where  $\{I_i\}_{i=1,\dots,\dim G}$  and  $\{Q_a,P_\mu\}_{a=1,\dots,4}$  are the generators of gauge group and N=1 susy group, respectively. They satisfy the following commutation relations

$$[I_i, I_j] = f_{ij}^k I_k,$$

$$[I_i, P_\mu] = [Q_a, P_\mu] = [P_\mu, P_\nu] = 0,$$

$$[Q_a, Q_b] = 2 (\gamma^\mu)_{ab} P_\mu,$$

$$[I_i, Q_a] = b_i^* Q_a.$$
(3)

where  $b_i^* = b_i$  for a = 1,2 and  $b_i^* = -b_i$  for a = 3,4, giving the representation of the gauge symmetry of  $Q_a$ .

The fields  $\theta^i$ ,  $\omega^a$  and  $\omega^\mu$  are the parameters of the Yang-Mills (YM) symmetry, susy and translation, respectively. From this, we introduce the ghost fields required for the process of quantization, by substituting, as usual, the parameters  $\theta^i$ ,  $\omega^a$  and  $\omega^\mu$  by a product of constant anti-commuting parameter and the ghosts  $c^i$ ,  $\chi^a$  and  $\xi^\mu$ , respectively. We also need for the gauge-fixing process the antighost  $\bar{c}^i$ ,  $\bar{\chi}^a$  and  $\bar{\xi}^\mu$  of  $c^i$ ,  $\chi^a$  and  $\xi^\mu$ , respectively, with their corresponding Stueckelberg fields B,  $G^a$  and  $E^\mu$ . The action of N=1 susy generators  $\{Q_a, P_\mu\}$  on the fields of the theory is given by [4]

$$[P_{\mu}, X] = \partial_{\mu} X \quad , \qquad X \quad any \ field$$

$$[Q_{a}, A_{\mu}^{i}] = -\left(\gamma_{\mu}\right)_{ab} \lambda^{bi},$$

$$[Q_{a}, \lambda_{b}^{i}] = -\frac{1}{2} \left(\gamma^{\mu\nu}\right)_{ab} F_{\mu\nu}^{i},$$

$$[Q_{a}, c^{i}] = \left(\gamma^{\mu}\right)_{ab} \chi^{b} A_{\mu}^{i},$$

$$[Q_{a}, F_{\mu\nu}^{i}] = \left(\gamma_{\mu}\right)_{ab} \left(D_{\nu} \lambda^{b}\right)^{i} - \left(\gamma_{\nu}\right)_{ab} \left(D_{\mu} \lambda^{b}\right)^{i}$$

$$(4)$$

where  $\gamma^{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \gamma^{\mu} \gamma^{\nu} - \gamma^{\nu} \gamma^{\mu} \right)$ .

It is worthwhile to mention that we are interested in our present investigation on the global N=1 susy transformation. So that, the parameter of the susy and translation groups must be space-time constant, i.e.

$$\partial_{\mu}\chi^{a}=0,$$

$$\partial_{\mu}\xi^{\nu} = 0, \tag{5}$$

and satisfy the condition of normalizations

$$\overline{\chi}^a \chi_b = \delta_b^a, \tag{6}$$

$$\overline{\xi}^{\mu}\xi_{\nu} = \delta^{\mu}_{\nu}.\tag{7}$$

Thus, in view of (5) the classical symmetry reads

$$\delta A_{\mu} = D_{\mu}\omega = D_{\mu}\theta - \omega^{a} \left[Q_{a}, A_{\mu}\right] - \omega^{\nu} \left[P_{\nu}, A_{\mu}\right],$$

$$\delta \lambda^a = -\left[\omega, \lambda^a\right] = -\left[\theta, \lambda^a\right] - \omega^b \left[Q_b, \lambda^a\right] - \omega^\mu \left[P_\mu, \lambda^a\right]. \tag{8}$$

Inserting eqs.(4) into (8), we obtain the following on-shell susy transformations

$$\delta A_{\mu} = D_{\mu}\theta + \omega^{a} \left(\gamma_{\mu}\right)_{ab} \lambda^{b} - \omega^{\nu} \partial_{\nu} A_{\mu},$$

$$\delta \lambda^a = -\left[\theta, \lambda^a\right] + \frac{1}{2} \omega^b \left(\gamma^{\mu\nu}\right)^a_b F_{\mu\nu} - \omega^\mu \partial_\mu \lambda^a. \tag{9}$$

However, an elegant way to overcome the problem of the above mentioned on-shell closure of the algebra is to use the BV formalism [26] ( see also Ref. [27]). Its characteristic feature is the doubling of the field content of the theory by the introduction of the so-called antifields. These are finally eliminated by the gauge fixing procedure, leading to the quantum theory in which effective BRST transformations are nilpotent on-shell [24].

Let us note that the symmetry (9) would, naturally, lead to an on-shell BRST algebra in the minimal sector. Indeed, we have the following BRST transformations

$$Q\lambda^{ai} = -[c, \lambda^a]^i + \frac{1}{2}\chi^b (\gamma^{\mu\nu})^a_b F^i_{\mu\nu} - \xi^\mu \partial_\mu \lambda^{ai},$$
 (10)

$$QA^{i}_{\mu} = (D_{\mu}c)^{i} + \chi^{a} \left(\gamma_{\mu}\right)_{ab} \lambda^{bi} - \xi^{\nu} \partial_{\nu} A^{i}_{\mu},$$

$$Qc^{i} = -\frac{1}{2} \left[c, c\right]^{i} + \chi^{a} \left(\gamma^{\mu}\right)_{ab} \chi^{b} A^{i}_{\mu} - \xi^{\nu} \partial_{\nu} c^{i},$$

$$Q\chi^{a} = 0,$$

$$Q\xi^{\mu} = -\chi^{a} \left(\gamma^{\mu}\right)_{ab} \chi^{b},$$

$$QF^{i}_{\mu\nu} = -\left[c, F_{\mu\nu}\right]^{i} - \chi^{a} \left[\left(\gamma_{\mu}\right)_{ab} \left(D_{\nu}\lambda\right)^{bi} - \left(\gamma_{\nu}\right)_{ab} \left(D_{\mu}\lambda\right)^{bi}\right] - \xi^{\rho} \partial_{\rho} F^{i}_{\mu\nu},$$

$$Q\overline{c}^{i} = B^{i} \qquad , \qquad QB^{i} = 0,$$

$$Q\overline{\chi}^{a} = G^{a} \qquad , \qquad QG^{a} = 0,$$

$$Q\overline{\xi}^{\mu} = E^{\mu} \qquad , \qquad QE^{\mu} = 0,$$

$$(11)$$

where the BRST operator is nilpotent only on-shell, since

$$Q^2 \lambda = \chi \gamma^{\mu} \chi D_{\mu} \lambda. \tag{12}$$

The right-hand side of (12) is proportional to the equation of motion  $\frac{\delta S_0}{\delta \lambda}$  of  $\lambda$  and thus a natural way to overcome this problem is to modify the BRST transformation (10) by introducing an extrafield  $D^i$  guaranteeing the off-shell nilpotency of the BRST symmetry. This is simply realized by adding  $\chi^a D^i$  to the right-hand side of (10) and imposing the off-shell nilpotency. So, we get

$$Q\lambda^{ai} = -[c, \lambda^{a}]^{i} + \frac{1}{2}\chi^{b} (\gamma^{\mu\nu})^{a}_{b} F^{i}_{\mu\nu} - \xi^{\mu}\partial_{\mu}\lambda^{ai} + \chi^{a}D^{i},$$

$$QD^{i} = -[c, D]^{i} - \chi^{a} (\gamma^{\mu})_{ab} (D_{\mu}\lambda^{b})^{i} - \xi^{\mu}\partial_{\mu}D^{i}$$
(13)

It is easy to check the off-shell nilpotency of (13) by an explicit calculation.

The next step is to give the complete quantum action of N=1, D=4 SYM theories and to show how this can be written in BRST exact form. To this purpose, let us recall that the gauge fixing action obtained in the case of YM theories is given by

$$S_{gf}^{YM} = Q\left(\overline{c}\partial^{\mu}A_{\mu}\right),\tag{14}$$

which involves a Lorentz gauge given by

$$\partial^{\mu} A_{\mu} = 0, \tag{15}$$

with the help of the pair  $(\overline{c}, B)$ .

In the case of SYM theory we shall choose a susy gauge fixing which is the extension of the Lorentz gauge<sup>4</sup>. This gauge fixing can be obtained from (15) by using the following substitution

$$A_{\mu} \longrightarrow A_{\mu} + [\partial_{\mu} \lambda^{a}, Q_{a}]$$

with the help of the pair  $(\overline{c} + \overline{\chi}^a Q_a + \overline{\xi}^{\mu} P_{\mu}, B + G^a Q_a + E^{\mu} P_{\mu})$ . Thus, the gauge fixing action (14) becomes<sup>5</sup>

$$S_{gf}^{SYM} = Q\left(\overline{c}\partial^{\mu}A_{\mu} + 2b_{i}^{*}\overline{\chi}^{a}\left(\gamma^{\mu}\right)_{ab}\partial_{\mu}\Box\lambda^{bi}\right). \tag{16}$$

To find this, we have used the following rules

$$Tr(I^{i}I_{j}) = \delta_{j}^{i},$$

$$Tr([Q_{a}, Q_{b}]) = 2(\gamma^{\mu})_{ab} \partial_{\mu},$$

$$Tr(P^{2}) = 0.$$
(17)

On the other hand, a simple calculation with the help of the BRST transformations (11) and (13) leads to

$$QS_0 = -\chi^a D_i \left(\gamma^\mu\right)_{ab} D_\mu \lambda^{bi}. \tag{18}$$

Thus the classical action is not BRST invariant and since our goal is to construct a BRST exact complete quantum action, we shall construct a BRST invariant extension of the classical action, which is BRST exact.

Employing the BRST transformations (11), (13) and the condition (6), we observe that the action

$$S_{ex} = Q\left(\frac{1}{2}\overline{\chi}^a D^i \lambda_{ai} + \frac{1}{16} F^i_{\mu\nu} \overline{\chi}^a (\gamma^{\mu\nu})_{ab} \lambda^b_i\right),\tag{19}$$

 $<sup>^4</sup>$ Such extension is also considered in Ref. [28], where  $\partial^{\mu}A_{\mu}$  represents a component of a chiral superfield.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>The last term in (16) which involves the ghosts and antighosts is the susy extension of the usual Faddeev-Popov action.

can be put in the form

$$S_{ex} = S_0 - \frac{1}{2}D^i D_i + G^a \left(\frac{1}{2}D^i \lambda_{ai} + \frac{1}{16}F^i_{\mu\nu} (\gamma^{\mu\nu})_{ab} \lambda^b_i\right). \tag{20}$$

This represents the effective BRST invariant extension of the classical action since the last term vanishes as equation of motion of the Stueckelberg auxiliary field  $G^a$ .

Having found the BRST invariant extension action we now write the following complete quantum action

$$S_q = S_{ex} + S_{qf}^{SYM}, (21)$$

which is consequently BRST exact. This property provides the possibility of directly twisting the N=1, D=4 SYM in a topological model.

It is worthnoting that the quantum action (21) permits us to observe that the auxiliary field  $D^i$  is effectively non dynamical, as its equation of motion is a constraint

$$\frac{\delta S_q}{\delta D^i} = -D_i + 2b_i^* \left(\partial_\mu \Box \overline{\chi}^a\right) \left(\gamma^\mu\right)_{ab} \chi^b = 0. \tag{22}$$

Thus the essential role of  $D^i$  is to guarantee the off-shell nilpotency of the BRST operator as well as to establish the exactness of the quantum action.

In conclusion, working with the same spirit as in BF theories [7], we have realized the construction of the offshell BRST symmetry for N=1, D=4 SYM theories by introducing, besides the field content of the theory, an extrafield. Another advantage of our approach is that the method of introducing auxiliary fields permits us to perform as usual the construction of the BRST exact gauge fixing action, as well as the BRST exact extension of the classical action. Therefore, we have build the BRST exact quantum action, which allows us to observe that the extrafield is a non dynamical auxiliary field. The elimination of this auxiliary field by means of its equation of motion permits us to recover the same quantum theory obtained in the context of the BV quantization method [24] as well as in the superfibre bundle approach [25].

Finally, we can go a step further, and we can use the method of introducing auxiliary fields for establishing the exactness of the quantum action for N>1 SYM theory, since the general algebra with N>1 which are called extended susy algebra, can be decomposed into N=1 sectors [4,28]. Therefore, the SYM theories are transformed into topological theories of Witten type by simply introducing extrafields playing the role of auxiliary fields.

## References

- [1] M. Blagojevic, B. Sazdovic and T. Vukašinac, Mod. Phys. Lett. A8(1993)349.
- [2] D. Birmingham, M. Blau, M. Rakowski and G. Thompson, Phys. Rep. 209(1991)129.
- [3] E. Witten, Commun. Math. Phys. 117(1988)353; Commun. Math. Phys. 118(1988)411.
- [4] J. Wess. and J. Bagger, Supersymmetry and Supergravity, (University Press, Princeton, NJ: 1983).

- [5] N. Djeghloul and M. Tahiri, Mod. Phys. Lett. A15(2000)1307; Phys. Rev. D66(2002)065010.
- [6] M. Tahiri, Phys. Lett. B325(1994)71; Phys. Lett. B403(1997)273; Int. J. Mod. Phys. A12(1997)3153.
- [7] M. Tahiri, Mod. Phys. Lett. A11(1996)1371.
- [8] A. Aidaoui, H.-D. Doebner and M. Tahiri, Mod. Phys. Lett. A19(2004)2909.
- [9] J. Kato, N. Kawamoto and Y. Uchida, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A19(2004)2149.
- [10] A. Aidaoui and M. Tahiri, Mod. Phys. Lett. A20(2005)2567.
- [11] K. Ülker, Phys. Rev. D68(2003)085005.
- [12] K. Ülker, "Construction of TYM via Field Redefinitions", Arxiv:hep-th/0511263.
- [13] K. Ülker, Mod. Phys. Lett. A17(2002)739.
- [14] N. Maggiore, O. Piguet and S. Wolf, Nucl. Phys. B458(1996)403; Nucl. Phys. B476(1996)329.
- [15] K. Ülker, Mod. Phys. Lett. A19(2004)713.
- [16] N. Maggiore, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A10(1995)3781; Int. J. Mod. Phys. A10(1995)3937.
- [17] K. Ülker, Mod. Phys. Lett. A16(2001)881.
- [18] P. L. White, Class. Quantum. Grav. 9(1992)413; Class. Quantum. Grav. 9(1992)1663.
- [19] O. F. Dayi, Mod. Phys. Lett. A21(2006)2161.
- [20] Ö. F. Dayi and K. Ülker, "Superfield formulation of N=4 Supersymmetric Yang-Mills theory in extended Superspace", Arxiv:hep-th/0608066.
- [21] E. Witten, J. Math. Phys. 35(1994)5101.
- [22] L. Baulieu and A. Tanzini, J. Geom. Phys. 56(2006)2379.
- [23] A. Johansen, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A10(1995)1325.
- [24] L. Baulieu, M. Bellon, S. Ouvry an J. C. Wallet, Phys. Lett. B252(1990)387.
- [25] H. Loumi-Fergane and M. Tahiri, Class. Quantum. Grav. 13(1996)865.
- [26] I. A. Batalin and G. A. Vilkovisky, Phys. Lett. B69(1983)309; Phys. Rev. D28(1981)2567; Phys. Lett. B102(1981)27.
- [27] J. Gomis, J. Paris and S. Samuel, Phys. Rep. 259(1995)1.
- [28] O. Piguet, "Supersymmetry, Supercurrent and Scale Invariance", Arxiv: hep-th/9611003.