

Exploring Pure Spinor String Theory on $AdS_4 \times \mathbb{CP}^3$

Giulio Bonelli ^a, Pietro Antonio Grassi ^b, and Houman Safaai ^a

a) International School of Advanced Studies (SISSA)
via Beirut 2-4, 34014 Trieste, Italy
INFN - Sezione di Trieste

b) DISTA, Università del Piemonte Orientale,
via Bellini 25/G, Alessandria, 15100, Italy
INFN - Sezione di Torino, Gruppo Collegato di Alessandria

Abstract

In this paper we formulate the pure spinor superstring theory on $AdS_4 \times \mathbb{CP}^3$. By recasting the pure spinor action as a topological A-model on the fermionic supercoset $Osp(6|4)/SO(6) \times Sp(4)$ plus a BRST exact term, we prove the exactness of the σ -model. We then give a gauged linear σ -model which reduces to the superstring in the limit of large volume and we study its branch geometry in different phases. Moreover, we discuss possible D-brane boundary conditions and the principal chiral model for the fermionic supercoset.

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Pure spinor superstring in $AdS_4 \times \mathbb{CP}^3$ background	3
3	Kähler potential for the Grassmannian and the A-model	7
4	From pure spinor to A-model	9
4.1	Pure spinor with \mathbb{Z}_4 automorphism and “bonus“ symmetry	9
4.2	Mapping pure spinor to A-model	13
5	Linear gauged σ-model for $AdS_4 \times \mathbb{CP}^3$	16
5.1	Vacua of the gauged linear sigma model and zero radius limit	16
6	Principal chiral model	19
7	D-branes and gauge theories	20
8	Further directions	21

1 Introduction

The pure spinor formulation of superstrings [1] is a powerful method to tame superconformal exactness, the string loop expansion and RR-background σ -model couplings at the same time. In particular, let us remark that, although conjectured because of maximal supersymmetry, the quantum exactness of type IIB string on $AdS_5 \times S^5$ was proved in [2] by making use of the pure spinor formulation.

Recently, the M-theory analog $AdS_4 \times S^7$ is receiving large attentions [3, 4] because of its conjectured duality with the Bagger-Lambert-Gustavsson [5] theory of multiple M2-branes. As a superstring theory, because of the circle fibration

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S^1 & \hookrightarrow & S^7 \\ & & \downarrow \\ & & \mathbb{P}^3 \end{array}$$

this is represented as type IIA superstring on $AdS_4 \times \mathbb{CP}^3$ with appropriate RR-fluxes turned on. This superstring background is undergoing an intense study [6, 7, 8, 9], but its exact superconformal invariance has not been established so far. This is one motivation¹ to study the pure spinor formulation of superstrings on $AdS_4 \times \mathbb{CP}^3$ and in this paper we actually prove its superconformal exactness. This is done by writing the pure spinor action as a manifestly superconformal term plus a BRST trivial term. Under the assumption that only mild non-locality arises in the BRST trivial term, we establish superconformal exactness.

¹For further motivations and results on the $AdS_4 \times \mathbb{CP}^3$ from a supergravity point of view, see also [10].

Let us point out some further considerations about the system for $AdS_4 \times \mathbb{CP}^3$. In the present background there is a RR flux balancing the spacetime curvature which can be tuned to reach opposite limits: the strong coupling limit where the RR fields become dominant and the weak coupling limit where the supergravity approximation is valid. In the case of $AdS_5 \times S^5$, the two limits are covered by the same theory, namely $N = 4, d = 4$ SYM and the two limits of string theory are represented by the opposite limits of the gauge theory side. In that perspective, the supergravity computations in $AdS_5 \times S^5$ background lead to strong coupling correlation functions, whereas the strong coupling limit in string theory (where the supergravity approximation is no longer a good one) corresponds to perturbative SYM at weak coupling.

Recently, in [11] and in [12], it has been conjectured that this limit can be achieved by constructing the pure spinor sigma model on the coset $PSU(2, 2|4)/SO(1, 4) \times SO(5)$, by taking the limit where the coupling constant goes to infinity and, finally, by adding a BRST trivial term, one can recast the σ -model into a non-linear sigma model which is a topological A-model that can be proved to be conformal to all orders (given the fact that the sigma model is based on a symmetric coset and the supergroup $PSU(2, 2|4)$ is a super-Calabi-Yau). This construction has been tested in [13] where, by using a mirror symmetry argument, this program was realized for circular planar 1/2-BPS Wilson loops. See also [14] for further developments. Actually, in [15], the relation between perturbative SYM $N = 4$ and the topological sigma model has been further developed. There, it has been noticed that given a suitable measure for integrating the pure spinors in tree level amplitudes and identifying the vertex operators of the topological sigma model with the states of the fundamental representation of $PSU(2, 2|4)$ (known as singleton), one can define some correlation functions with the properties of tree level SYM amplitudes. However, several checks and computations should be performed to test this new idea. Nevertheless, it has been shown that the topological σ -model can be viewed as a gauged linear σ -model of the G/G type with a suitable gauge fixing.

On another side, we would like to perform the same analysis with another gauged linear sigma model. We consider the gauged sigma model with the supergroup $Osp(6|4)$. It has been already shown that this model leads to a pure spinor string theory model and its action has been constructed. In the present work we construct the gauged sigma model by gauge fixing the gauge symmetries of $Osp(6|4)$. Again, there are two limits. One limit is the weak coupling limit where the supergravity approximation is valid (see for example [3]) and this leads to multiple M2 brane interpretation of its dual theory (based on Bagger-Lambert-Gustavsson theory). This model is a superconformal Chern-Simons theory in $d = 3$ with $N = 6$. Notice that in this model the kinetic term of the gauge field is neglected since it has a dimensionful coupling, corresponding to the inverse of radius of AdS which, in the limit of small RR flux e , tends to zero. The only remaining gauge field dynamics is described by a Chern-Simons model. However, being supersymmetric, it has some auxiliary fields which have to be integrated leading to the potential for the matter fields. So, the limit of strong coupling is the limit explored in the weak coupling limit of string theory. On the other side, by considering the opposite limit, namely for large RR flux e , one should see the opposite limit of perturbative SYM $d=3$ model (with the kinetic term). This model has been constructed

in [16]. So, we expect that in this limit the theory is no longer superconformal and contains some dynamical gauge field. The perturbative computation, which can be performed using the singleton conjecture of [15] should not correspond to the strong coupling limit of $N=6$ $d=3$ model, but to the weak coupling limit of $N = 6, d = 3, SYM$ (the symmetry of this model could be maybe enhanced to $N = 8$).

The plan of the paper is as follows. In the next section we implement and solve the pure spinor constraints on $AdS_4 \times \mathbb{CP}^3$ and therefore we formulate the pure spinor superstring theory on this background. In section 3 we study the A-model topological string on the fermionic supercoset $Osp(6|4)/SO(6) \times Sp(4)$ and we establish its superconformal invariance. In section 4 we show that the pure spinor action for the superstring on $AdS_4 \times \mathbb{CP}^3$ can be recasted up to an additive BRST exact term as the topological A-model on the fermionic supercoset $Osp(6|4)/SO(6) \times Sp(4)$. Actually, this is obtained as a particular case of a more general construction for supercosets admitting a compatible \mathbb{Z}_4 grading. In section 5 we then give a gauged linear σ -model which reduces to the topological string in the limit of large Fayet-Illiopoulos coupling and we study its Coulomb branch geometry. In section 6 we formulate a principal chiral model of G/G type which upon gauge fixing reduces to the A-model on the supercoset. Moreover, in Section 7 we discuss possible D-brane boundary conditions and discuss their geometric structure. We indicate further directions to explore in Section 8 where we collect some open issues too.

2 Pure spinor superstring in $AdS_4 \times \mathbb{CP}^3$ background

As it was shown in [8, 6, 7], the $AdS_4 \times \mathbb{CP}^3$ background can be derived from a supercoset element $g \in \frac{Osp(6|4)}{U(3) \times SO(1,3)}$. Its Maurer-Cartan left invariant 1-form can be expanded into the generators of $Osp(6|4)$ as follows

$$J = J^a \gamma_a + J_{IJ} T^{IJ} + J^{IJ} T_{IJ} + H^{ab} \gamma_{ab} + H_I^J T_J^I + J_I^\alpha Q_\alpha^I + J_I^{\dot{\alpha}} Q_{\dot{\alpha}}^I + J^{I\alpha} Q_{I\alpha} + J^{I\dot{\alpha}} Q_{I\dot{\alpha}}, \quad (1)$$

where (T_{IJ}, T^{IJ}, T_J^I) are the generators of $SO(6)$, $T_{[AB]}$ with $A, B = 1 \dots 6$ decomposes according to irreducible representations of $U(3)$ as it will be explained later, and T_J^I are the generators of $U(3)$. Then, J_{IJ} and J^{IJ} are the Maurer-Cartan forms associated to the generators of the coset $\frac{SU(4)}{U(3)}$ and H_I^J are the corresponding spin connections of the coset. Similarly, (γ_a, γ_{ab}) with $a, b = 1 \dots 4$ are the generators of the anti de Sitter group $SO(2, 3)$ which as is shown in [8] they all turn out to be given by real symplectic matrices and γ_{ab} are the generators of the Lorentz group $SO(1, 3)$. The matrices $Q_I^\alpha, Q_I^{\dot{\alpha}}, Q_\alpha^I$ and $Q_{\dot{\alpha}}^I$ are the 24 fermionic generators where we split the symplectic indices $x = 1 \dots 4$ into $SO(1, 3)$ spinorial indices $\alpha, \dot{\alpha} = 1, 2$. The Maurer-Cartan 1-forms of the symplectic group $Sp(4, \mathbb{R})$ are related to the Maurer-Cartan of $SO(2, 3)$ with the relation $J^{xy} = J^a \gamma_a^{xy} + H^{ab} \gamma_{ab}^{xy}$. The fermionic 1-forms J_A^x are real and transform in the fundamental 4-dimensional representation of $\mathfrak{sp}(4, \mathbb{R})$ and in the fundamental 6-dimensional representation of $\mathfrak{so}(6)$ with the symplectic invariant antisymmetric metric $\epsilon_{xy} = i\sigma_1 \otimes \mathbb{1}$.

Notice that η^{ab} is the invariant metric on AdS_4 and $g_{I\bar{J}}$ is the $U(3)$ invariant metric on \mathbb{P}^3 and we denote by $k_{I\bar{J}}$ as the Kähler form on \mathbb{P}^3 . The index I can be raised and lowered with

the inverse metric $g^{\bar{I}J}$ as $J^{\bar{I}J} = g^{\bar{I}K}g^{\bar{J}L}J_{KL}$ which is independent of J^{IJ} , similarly we can make $J_{\bar{I}\bar{J}}$ out of J_{IJ} .

The $\mathfrak{osp}(6|4)$ algebra \mathcal{H} admits a \mathbb{Z}_4 grading with decomposition $\mathcal{H} = \sum_{i=0}^3 \mathcal{H}_i$ as follows²

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{H}_0 &= \left\{ H_{\alpha\beta}, H_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}, H_I^J \right\}, & \mathcal{H}_1 &= \left\{ J^{\alpha I}, J^{\dot{\alpha}\bar{I}} \right\}, \\ \mathcal{H}_2 &= \left\{ J_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}, J_{IJ}, J^{IJ} \right\}, & \mathcal{H}_3 &= \left\{ J_I^\alpha, J_{\bar{I}}^{\dot{\alpha}} \right\}.\end{aligned}\quad (2)$$

satisfying

$$[\mathcal{H}_m, \mathcal{H}_n] \subset \mathcal{H}_{m+n \pmod{4}} \quad (3)$$

We can check that the bilinear metric is also \mathbb{Z}_4 invariant. Recall that the invariant supermetric for $OSP(6|4)$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Str}(T_{AB}T_{CD}) &= \delta_{AC}\delta_{DB} - \delta_{AD}\delta_{CB}, \\ \text{Str}(T_{xy}T_{zt}) &= \epsilon_{xz}\epsilon_{ty} + \epsilon_{xt}\epsilon_{zy}, \\ \text{Str}(T_x T_y) &= \epsilon_{xy}, \\ \text{Str}(Q_A^x Q_B^y) &= \delta_{AB}\epsilon^{xy}.\end{aligned}\quad (4)$$

where T_{AB} and T_{xy} are the generators of the bosonic subgroups $SO(6)$ and $Sp(4, \mathbb{R})$, and Q_A^x are the fermionic generators of the supergroup. It is convenient to adopt a complex basis for the generators of $SO(6)$ and we define $T_{AB} = U_{AB}^{IJ}T_{IJ} + U_{J,AB}^I T_I^J + U_{IJ,AB} T^{IJ}$ where $U_{AB}^{IJ}, U_{J,AB}^I, U_{IJ,AB}$ are the Clebsh-Gordon matrices mapping from 15 of $SO(6)$ to the representations $\underline{\mathfrak{3}}(-1), \underline{\mathfrak{8}}(0), \underline{\mathfrak{3}}^*(+1)$ of $U(3)$, respectively. In the same way, we decompose the fermionic generators into Q_I^x and Q^{xI} of $\underline{\mathfrak{3}}(-1)$ and $\underline{\mathfrak{3}}^*(1)$, respectively. The metric becomes

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Str}(T_{IJ}T^{KL}) &= \delta_I^K \delta_J^L - \delta_J^K \delta_I^L, \\ \text{Str}(T_I^J T_K^L) &= \delta_I^L \delta_K^J, \\ \text{Str}(Q_I^x Q^{yJ}) &= \delta_I^J \epsilon^{xy}.\end{aligned}\quad (5)$$

while the other traces vanish. Which all these mean that the bilinear metric is \mathbb{Z}_4 invariant, satisfying

$$\langle \mathcal{H}_m, \mathcal{H}_n \rangle = \text{Str}(\mathcal{H}_m \mathcal{H}_n) = 0, \quad \text{unless } m+n = 0 \pmod{4} \quad (6)$$

Using this \mathbb{Z}_4 automorphism, it was shown that the pure spinor sigma model action can be decomposed in the following way

$$S = S_{GS} + S_{GF} + S_{\text{ghost}}, \quad (7)$$

where S_{GS} is the Green-Schwarz action was shown in [8, 6, 7] to exhibit the usual quadratic form after using the important feature of the possibility of writing the Wess-Zumino term as a total derivative in this background

$$S_{GS} = R^2 \int d^2z \text{Str} \left[\frac{1}{2} J_2 \bar{J}_2 + \frac{1}{4} (J_1 \bar{J}_3 - J_3 \bar{J}_1) \right], \quad (8)$$

²In the paper, also the notation \hat{J} will be used to denote the currents of the subset \mathcal{H}_3 .

where $J_i = J|_{\mathcal{H}_i}$ are the projections of the MC left invariant currents into different subclasses according to \mathbb{Z}_4 automorphism as it was given in (2). The action can be written in terms of the left-invariant supercurrents of the coset in the following form

$$S_{GS} = R^2 \int d^2z \left[\epsilon_{xy} J^x \bar{J}^y + \frac{1}{2} J_{IJ} \bar{J}^{IJ} + \frac{1}{4} \left(J_{\alpha I} \bar{J}^{\alpha I} + J_{\dot{\alpha} \bar{I}} \bar{J}^{\dot{\alpha} \bar{I}} - J_{\alpha \bar{I}} \bar{J}^{\alpha \bar{I}} - J_{\dot{\alpha} I} \bar{J}^{\dot{\alpha} I} \right) \right]. \quad (9)$$

To this, one has to add a term which breaks κ -symmetry and adds kinetic terms for the target-space fermions and the coupling to the RR flux. This gauge fixing action S_{GF} was shown to be given by [8]

$$S_{GF} = R^2 \int d^2z \left(J_{\alpha \bar{I}} \bar{J}^{\alpha \bar{I}} + J_{\dot{\alpha} I} \bar{J}^{\dot{\alpha} I} \right), \quad (10)$$

which gives

$$S_{GS} + S_{GF} = R^2 \int d^2z \left[\epsilon_{xy} J^x \bar{J}^y + \frac{1}{2} J_{IJ} \bar{J}^{IJ} + \frac{1}{4} \left(J_{\alpha I} \bar{J}^{\alpha I} + J_{\dot{\alpha} \bar{I}} \bar{J}^{\dot{\alpha} \bar{I}} \right) + \frac{3}{4} \left(J_{\alpha \bar{I}} \bar{J}^{\alpha \bar{I}} + J_{\dot{\alpha} I} \bar{J}^{\dot{\alpha} I} \right) \right]. \quad (11)$$

In order to write the pure spinor ghost part of the action, we introduce the pure spinors $(\lambda_I^\alpha, \lambda_{\bar{I}}^{\dot{\alpha}})$, $(\hat{\lambda}^{\alpha I}, \hat{\lambda}^{\dot{\alpha} \bar{I}})$ and their conjugate momenta $(w_\alpha^I, w_{\dot{\alpha}}^{\bar{I}})$, $(\hat{w}_{\alpha I}, \hat{w}_{\dot{\alpha} \bar{I}})$, belonging to the \mathcal{H}_1 and \mathcal{H}_3 respectively. The pure spinor constraints can be written as follows

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \lambda_I^\alpha \lambda^{\dot{\alpha} I} = 0 \\ \lambda_I^\alpha \epsilon_{\alpha\beta} \lambda_J^\beta = 0 \\ \lambda^{\dot{\alpha} I} \epsilon_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} \lambda^{\dot{\beta} J} = 0 \end{array} \right\}, \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \hat{\lambda}^{\alpha I} \hat{\lambda}^{\dot{\alpha}} = 0 \\ \hat{\lambda}^{\alpha I} \epsilon_{\alpha\beta} \hat{\lambda}^{\beta J} = 0 \\ \hat{\lambda}^{\dot{\alpha}} \epsilon_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} \hat{\lambda}^{\dot{\beta} J} = 0 \end{array} \right\} \quad (12)$$

to solve this constraint, we can use the following ansatz

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_I^\alpha &= \lambda^\alpha u_I, & \lambda^{\dot{\alpha} I} &= \lambda^{\dot{\alpha}} v^I, \\ \hat{\lambda}^{\alpha I} &= \hat{\lambda}^\alpha \hat{u}^I, & \hat{\lambda}^{\dot{\alpha}} &= \hat{\lambda}^{\dot{\alpha}} \hat{v}_I, \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

subject to the following gauge transformations

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda^\alpha &\rightarrow \frac{1}{\rho} \lambda^\alpha, & \lambda^{\dot{\alpha}} &\rightarrow \frac{1}{\sigma} \lambda^{\dot{\alpha}}, & u_I &\rightarrow \rho u_I, & v^I &\rightarrow \sigma v^I, \\ \hat{\lambda}^\alpha &\rightarrow \frac{1}{\hat{\rho}} \hat{\lambda}^\alpha, & \hat{\lambda}^{\dot{\alpha}} &\rightarrow \frac{1}{\hat{\sigma}} \hat{\lambda}^{\dot{\alpha}}, & \hat{u}^I &\rightarrow \hat{\rho} \hat{u}^I, & \hat{v}_I &\rightarrow \hat{\sigma} \hat{v}_I, \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

where $\rho, \sigma, \hat{\rho}, \hat{\sigma} \in \mathbb{C}^*$.

Inserting these factorization into (12), we arrive to the following constraints

$$u_I v^I = 0, \quad \hat{v}_I \hat{u}^I = 0. \quad (15)$$

So, the counting of the degrees of freedom gives $2 \times (2 + 3 - 1) - 1 = 7$ complex for λ and the same for $\hat{\lambda}$. The geometry of the pure spinor space can be easily described. Using the

gauge symmetries ρ and σ we can fix the norm of u_I and v^I as such $u_I \bar{u}^I = 1$ and $v^I \bar{v}_I = 1$. Then, together the constraint $u_I v^I = 0$, the matrix $(u_I, \bar{v}_I, \epsilon_{IJK} \bar{u}^J v^K)$ is an $SU(3)$ matrix. In addition, using the remaining phases of the gauge symmetries ρ and σ , we see that the variables u_I and v^I parametrize the space $SU(3)/U(1) \times U(1)$ which is the space of the harmonic variables of the $N = 3$ harmonic superspace (it is also known as the flag manifold $F(1, 2, 3)$).³

The pure spinor constraints are first class constraints and they commute with the Hamiltonian, therefore they generate the gauge symmetries on the antighost fields w 's. In particular if we denote by $\eta_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}, \eta^{IJ}, \eta_{IJ}$ and by $\kappa_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}, \kappa^{IJ}, \kappa_{IJ}$ the infinitesimal parameters of the gauge symmetries we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \delta w_\alpha^I &= \eta_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} \lambda^{\dot{\alpha}I} + 2\eta^{IJ} \epsilon_{\alpha\beta} \lambda_J^\beta, & \delta w_{\dot{\alpha}I} &= \eta_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} \lambda_I^\alpha + 2\eta_{IJ} \epsilon_{\dot{\alpha}\beta} \lambda^{\beta J}, \\ \delta \hat{w}_{\alpha I} &= \kappa_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} \hat{\lambda}_I^{\dot{\alpha}} + 2\kappa^{IJ} \epsilon_{\alpha\beta} \hat{\lambda}^{\beta J}, & \delta \hat{w}_{\dot{\alpha} I} &= \kappa_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} \hat{\lambda}^{\alpha I} + 2\eta^{IJ} \epsilon_{\dot{\alpha}\beta} \hat{\lambda}_I^\beta, \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

We can also introduce the pure spinor Lorentz generators ($N = -\{w, \lambda\}, \hat{N} = -\{\hat{w}, \hat{\lambda}\}$) $\in \mathcal{H}_0$, which are needed in the action and determine the couplings between the pure spinor fields and matter fields, as follows

$$\begin{aligned} N_{\alpha\beta} &= w_{(\alpha}^I \lambda_{\beta)I}, & \hat{N}_{\alpha\beta} &= w_{I(\alpha} \lambda_{\beta)}^I, \\ N_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} &= w_{(\dot{\alpha}I} \lambda_{\dot{\beta})}^I, & \hat{N}_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} &= \hat{w}_{(\dot{\alpha}I} \hat{\lambda}_{\dot{\beta})}^I, \\ N_I^J &= w_\alpha^I \lambda_I^\alpha + w_{I\dot{\alpha}} \lambda^{\dot{\alpha}I}, \\ \hat{N}_I^J &= \hat{w}_I^\alpha \hat{\lambda}_\alpha^I + \hat{w}^{I\dot{\alpha}} \hat{\lambda}_{I\dot{\alpha}}. \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

They are gauge invariant under the transformations (16). Finally, we can write the pure spinor ghost piece of the action

$$\begin{aligned} S_{\text{ghost}} &= R^2 \int d^2z \left(w_\alpha^I \bar{\nabla} \lambda_I^\alpha + w_{\dot{\alpha}I} \bar{\nabla} \lambda^{\dot{\alpha}I} + \hat{w}_{\alpha I} \nabla \hat{\lambda}^{I\alpha} + \hat{w}_{\dot{\alpha} I} \nabla \hat{\lambda}^{\dot{\alpha}I} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \eta^{(\alpha\beta)(\gamma\delta)} N_{\alpha\beta} \hat{N}_{\gamma\delta} - \eta^{(\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta})(\dot{\gamma}\dot{\delta})} N_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} \hat{N}_{\dot{\gamma}\dot{\delta}} - \eta_{JK}^I N_I^J \hat{N}_K^L \right), \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

where the bilinear metrics η are given from (4) and (5) as

$$\eta^{(\alpha\beta)(\gamma\delta)} = \epsilon^{\alpha\gamma} \epsilon^{\beta\delta} + \epsilon^{\alpha\delta} \epsilon^{\beta\gamma}, \quad \eta_{JK}^I = \delta_L^I \delta_J^K. \quad (19)$$

Putting everything together we get the pure spinor action for $AdS_4 \times \mathbb{CP}^3$

$$\begin{aligned} S &= R^2 \int d^2z \left[\epsilon_{xy} J^x \bar{J}^y + \frac{1}{2} J_{IJ} \bar{J}^{IJ} + \frac{1}{4} \left(J_{\alpha I} \bar{J}^{\alpha I} + J_{\dot{\alpha} I} \bar{J}^{\dot{\alpha} I} \right) + \frac{3}{4} \left(J_{\alpha I} \bar{J}^{\alpha I} + J_{\dot{\alpha} I} \bar{J}^{\dot{\alpha} I} \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + w_\alpha^I \bar{\nabla} \lambda_I^\alpha + w_{\dot{\alpha}I} \bar{\nabla} \lambda^{\dot{\alpha}I} + \hat{w}_{\alpha I} \nabla \hat{\lambda}^{I\alpha} + \hat{w}_{\dot{\alpha} I} \nabla \hat{\lambda}^{\dot{\alpha}I} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \eta^{(\alpha\beta)(\gamma\delta)} N_{\alpha\beta} \hat{N}_{\gamma\delta} - \eta^{(\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta})(\dot{\gamma}\dot{\delta})} N_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} \hat{N}_{\dot{\gamma}\dot{\delta}} - \eta_{JK}^I N_I^J \hat{N}_K^L \right], \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

³Another way to solve the constraints (12) is decomposing the pure spinor into $\lambda_I^\alpha = (\lambda_a^\alpha, \lambda^\alpha)$ and $\lambda^{\dot{\alpha}I} = (\lambda^{\dot{\alpha}a}, \lambda^{\dot{\alpha}})$ where $a = 1, 2$. It is easy to show that the pure spinor constraints become $\lambda_a^\alpha \lambda^{\dot{\alpha}a} + \lambda^\alpha \lambda^{\dot{\alpha}} = 0$, $\det(\lambda_a^\alpha) = 0$, $\det(\lambda^{\dot{\alpha}}) = 0$, $\lambda_a^\alpha \epsilon_{\alpha\beta} \lambda^{\dot{\beta}} = 0$ and $\lambda_a^\alpha \epsilon_{\dot{\alpha}\beta} \lambda^{\dot{\beta}} = 0$. The first set of constraints implies that we can solve 3 parameters in terms of the rest and we get a consistency condition $\det(\lambda_a^\alpha) \det(\lambda^{\dot{\alpha}}) = 0$. This is solved by imposing the second and the third conditions. The latter also imply the existence of a solution for the fourth and for the fifth constraints. Again the counting of the parameters gives 7 complex numbers.

The theory admits a BRST transformation with the following BRST charge

$$\begin{aligned} Q + \bar{Q} &= \oint \left\langle dz \lambda J_3 + d\bar{z} \hat{\lambda} \bar{J}_1 \right\rangle \\ &= \oint dz \left(\lambda_{I\alpha} \hat{J}^{\alpha I} + \lambda^{\dot{\alpha} I} \hat{J}_{\dot{\alpha} I} \right) + \oint d\bar{z} \left(\hat{\lambda}^{\alpha I} \bar{J}_{\alpha I} + \hat{\lambda}_{\dot{\alpha} I} \bar{J}_{\dot{\alpha} I} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

The general pure spinor action with \mathbb{Z}_4 discrete symmetry is invariant under the following BRST variations

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_B(J_0) &= [J_3, \lambda] + [\bar{J}_1, \hat{\lambda}] \\ \delta_B(J_1) &= \nabla \lambda + [J_2, \hat{\lambda}] \\ \delta_B(J_2) &= [J_1, \lambda] + [J_3, \hat{\lambda}] \\ \delta_B(J_3) &= \nabla \hat{\lambda} + [J_2, \lambda] \\ \delta_B(\lambda) &= 0, \quad \delta_B(\hat{\lambda}) = 0 \\ \delta_B(\omega) &= -J_3, \quad \delta_B(\hat{\omega}) = -\bar{J}_1 \\ \delta_B(N) &= [J_3, \lambda], \quad \delta_B(\hat{N}) = [\bar{J}_1, \hat{\lambda}] \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

where $\nabla Y = \partial Y + [J_0, Y]$ and $\bar{\nabla} Y = \bar{\partial} Y + [\bar{J}_0, Y]$. These can be written in the following form for the $AdS_4 \times \mathbb{CP}^3$,

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_B J_{\alpha\beta} &= -2\lambda_{(\alpha I} \hat{J}_{\beta)}^I - 2J_{(\alpha I} \hat{\lambda}_{\beta)}^I, & \delta_B J_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} &= -2\lambda_{(\dot{\alpha} I}^I \hat{J}_{\dot{\beta}) I} - 2J_{(\dot{\alpha} I}^I \hat{\lambda}_{\dot{\beta}) I}, \\ \delta_B \hat{J}^{\alpha I} &= (\nabla \hat{\lambda})^{\alpha I} + J^{IJ} \lambda_J^\alpha + J_\alpha^\alpha \lambda^{\dot{\alpha} I}, & \delta_B \hat{J}_{\dot{\alpha} I} &= (\nabla \hat{\lambda})_{\dot{\alpha} I} + J_{IJ} \lambda^{\dot{\alpha} J} + J_\alpha^\alpha \lambda_I^\alpha \\ \delta_B J_I^\alpha &= (\nabla \lambda)_I^\alpha + J_{IJ} \hat{\lambda}^{\alpha J} + J_\alpha^\alpha \hat{\lambda}_I^\alpha, & \delta_B J^{\dot{\alpha} I} &= (\nabla \lambda)^{\dot{\alpha} I} + J^{IJ} \hat{\lambda}_J^{\dot{\alpha}} + J_\alpha^\alpha \hat{\lambda}^{\dot{\alpha} I}, \\ \delta_B J_{\alpha\dot{\beta}} &= \lambda_{\alpha I} J_{\dot{\beta}}^I + J_{\alpha I} \lambda_{\dot{\beta}}^I + \hat{J}_{\dot{\beta} I} \hat{\lambda}_\alpha^I + \hat{\lambda}_{\dot{\beta} I} \hat{J}_\alpha^I, \\ \delta_B J_{IJ} &= 2\epsilon^{\alpha\beta} \lambda_{\alpha[I} J_{J]\beta} + 2\epsilon^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} \hat{J}_{\dot{\alpha}[I} \hat{\lambda}_{J]\dot{\beta}}, \\ \delta_B J^{IJ} &= 2\epsilon^{\alpha\beta} \lambda_\alpha^{[I} J_\beta^{J]} + 2\epsilon^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} \hat{J}_{\dot{\alpha}}^{[I} \hat{\lambda}_{\dot{\beta}}^{J]}, \\ \delta_B \omega_\alpha^I &= -\hat{J}_\alpha^I, & \delta_B \omega_{\dot{\alpha} I} &= -\hat{J}_{\dot{\alpha} I}, \\ \delta_B \hat{\omega}_{\alpha I} &= -J_{\alpha I}, & \delta_B \hat{\omega}_{\dot{\alpha} I}^I &= -J_{\dot{\alpha} I}^I, \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

the variations of $N_{\alpha\beta}, N_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}, \hat{N}_{\alpha\beta}, \hat{N}_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}$ can be easily derived by their definitions (17). Using this notation, we can assign a further quantum number by assigning 0 to $J_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}$, +1 to J^{IJ} , -1 to J_{IJ} , -1/2 to $J_{\alpha I}, \hat{J}_{\dot{\alpha}, I}$ and +1/2 to $\hat{J}_{\alpha I}, J_{\dot{\alpha}, I}$. This is the center of $U(1)$ inside of $U(3)$. Notice that the symmetry is a \mathbb{Z}_5 symmetry. The action, the BRST transformations and the pure spinor conditions respect such a symmetry.

3 Kähler potential for the Grassmannian and the A-model

Let's consider the Grassmannian coset $\frac{O_{ps}(6|4)}{SO(6) \times Sp(4)}$ which is obtained out of the similar twisted coordinates Θ_A^x which was introduced by Berkovits for $AdS_5 \times S^5$ [11]. A general Kähler

potential on a coset G/H was shown in [17] to have the form

$$K(\Theta, \bar{\Theta}) = \frac{1}{2} \ln \det (\bar{\xi}(\bar{\Theta})\xi(\Theta)) , \quad (24)$$

where $\xi(\Theta) \in G/H$ is a representative of the coset G/H where for any $h \in H$ and $g \in G$ satisfies

$$g\xi(\Theta) = \xi(\Theta')h(\Theta, g) , \quad (25)$$

Like in the case of the $G/H = \frac{PU(2,2|4)}{SU(4) \times SU(2,2)}$ coset [11], for $G/H = \frac{Ops(6|4)}{SO(6) \times Sp(4)}$ also, there exists a gauging in which the coset representative can be written in the following form

$$\xi = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{1}_{4 \times 4} & \Theta \\ \bar{\Theta} & \mathbb{1}_{6 \times 6} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \bar{\xi} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{1}_{4 \times 4} & \Theta \\ -\bar{\Theta} & \mathbb{1}_{6 \times 6} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (26)$$

where here, Θ_A^x and $\bar{\Theta}_x^A$ are 4×6 and 6×4 fermionic matrices respectively. Using the convention $i\bar{\Theta} = \Theta^\dagger$, the Kähler potential (24) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} K(\Theta, \bar{\Theta}) &= \frac{1}{2} \ln \det \left[\begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{1}_{4 \times 4} & \Theta \\ \bar{\Theta} & \mathbb{1}_{6 \times 6} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{1}_{4 \times 4} & \Theta \\ -\bar{\Theta} & \mathbb{1}_{6 \times 6} \end{pmatrix} \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \ln \det \left[\begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{1}_{4 \times 4} - \Theta\bar{\Theta} & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbb{1}_{6 \times 6} + \bar{\Theta}\Theta \end{pmatrix} \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \ln [\det(\mathbb{1}_{4 \times 4} - \Theta\bar{\Theta}) \times \det(\mathbb{1}_{6 \times 6} + \bar{\Theta}\Theta)] \\ &= \text{Tr} \ln(\mathbb{1}_{6 \times 6} + \bar{\Theta}\Theta) \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

which in the last line we used the fact that

$$\text{Tr}(\Theta\bar{\Theta})^n = -\text{Tr}(\bar{\Theta}\Theta)^n, \quad \text{for } n > 0, \quad (28)$$

One can easily show, for such a Kähler potential, exactly in the same way as it was shown in section (4.3) of [11], that this N=2 action is conformal invariant, namely by computing the one-loop beta function

$$R = \ln \det(\partial_{\Theta}\partial_{\bar{\Theta}}K) = 0, \quad (29)$$

which then the $N = 2$ supersymmetry non-renormalization theorem implies its conformal invariance to all loops.

The worldsheet variables for this Kähler N=2 sigma-model on $\frac{Ops(6|4)}{SO(6) \times Sp(4)}$ are fermionic superfields Θ_A^x and $\bar{\Theta}_x^A$ where $A = 1, \dots, 6$ and $x = 1, \dots, 4$ label fundamental representations of $SO(6)$ and $Sp(4)$ respectively. These $N = 2$ chiral and anti-chiral superfields can be expanded in terms of the fields of the pure spinor superstring theory on the target $AdS_4 \times \mathbb{CP}^3$ as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta_A^x(\kappa_+, \kappa_-) &= \theta_A^x + \kappa_+ Z_A^x + \kappa_- \bar{Y}_A^x + \kappa_+ \kappa_- f_A^x, \\ \bar{\Theta}_x^A(\bar{\kappa}_+, \bar{\kappa}_-) &= \bar{\theta}_x^A + \bar{\kappa}_+ \bar{Z}_x^A + \bar{\kappa}_- Y_x^A + \bar{\kappa}_+ \bar{\kappa}_- \bar{f}_x^A, \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

where $(\kappa_+, \bar{\kappa}_+)$ are left-moving and $(\kappa_-, \bar{\kappa}_-)$ are right-moving Grassmannian parameters of the worldsheet N=2 supersymmetry.

In this expansion, the 24 lowest components θ_A^x and $\bar{\theta}_x^A$ are 24 fermionic coordinates of the $\frac{Osp(6|4)}{U(3) \times SO(1,3)}$ supercoset which parametrizes the $AdS_4 \times \mathbb{CP}^3$ superspace together with the 24 bosonic variables Z_A^x and \bar{Z}_x^A which are twistor-like variables combining the 10 spacetime coordinates of AdS_4 and \mathbb{CP}^3 with pure spinors $(\lambda_A^x, \bar{\lambda}_x^A)$ which their number was obtained in [8] to be 14. They can be expressed explicitly as follows

$$\begin{aligned} Z_A^x &= H_{x'}^x(x_A)(\tilde{H}^{-1}(x_P))_A^{A'} \lambda_{A'}^{x'}, \\ \bar{Z}_x^A &= (H^{-1}(x_A))_x^{x'} \tilde{H}_{A'}^A(x_P) \bar{\lambda}_{x'}^{A'}, \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

Here $H_{x'}^x(x_A)$ is a coset representative for the AdS_4 coset $\frac{Sp(4)}{SO(1,3)}$ and $\tilde{H}_{A'}^A(x_P)$ is a coset representative for the \mathbb{CP}^3 coset $\frac{SO(6)}{U(3)}$. Similarly, the conjugate twistor-like variables Y_J^A and \bar{Y}_A^J are constructed from the conjugate momenta to the pure spinors and f_A^x and \bar{f}_x^A are auxiliary fields.

4 From pure spinor to A-model

Here we show that the same way Berkovits and Vafa [12] showed the equivalence of the A-model and the pure spinor superstring for $AdS_5 \times S^5$, we can show the existence of such an equivalence for any supercoset admitting a \mathbb{Z}_4 automorphism, as is the case also for the $AdS_4 \times \mathbb{CP}^3$ supercoset.

4.1 Pure spinor with \mathbb{Z}_4 automorphism and “bonus” symmetry

Consider a supercoset G/H which admits a \mathbb{Z}_4 automorphism under which its generators can be decomposed into invariant subspaces $\mathcal{H}_i, i = 0 \cdot 3$. The matter fields of the sigma model can be written in terms of the left-invariant currents $J = g^{-1}\partial g, \bar{J} = g^{-1}\bar{\partial}g$, where $g \in G$. The left-invariant currents are decomposed according to the invariant subspaces of the \mathbb{Z}_4 into $J = J_0 + J_1 + J_2 + J_3$ as follows

$$\begin{array}{cccc} \mathcal{H}_0 & \mathcal{H}_1 & \mathcal{H}_2 & \mathcal{H}_3 \\ J^{[AB]} & J^\alpha & J^M & J^{\hat{\alpha}} \end{array} \quad (32)$$

where the left-invariant current $J = g^{-1}\partial g$ is expanded by the generators of the superalgebra as

$$J = \sum_{i=0}^3 J_i = J^{[AB]}T_{[AB]} + J^m T_m + J^\alpha T_\alpha + J^{\hat{\alpha}} T_{\hat{\alpha}}, \quad (33)$$

here, $J^{[AB]} \in H$ are the spin connections of the supercoset and J^m and $(J^\alpha, J^{\hat{\alpha}})$ are the bosonic and fermionic components of the supervielbein respectively. The generators of the

supercoset are $(T_{[AB]}, T_m, T_\alpha, T_{\hat{\alpha}})$ which are the Lorentz generators, translations and fermionic generators respectively with the following non-zero structure constants

$$f_{mn}{}^p, f_{mn}{}^{[AB]}, f_{[AB][CD]}{}^{[EF]}, f_{\alpha\hat{\beta}}{}^{[AB]}, f_{\alpha\hat{\beta}}{}^m, \quad (34)$$

Besides the matter fields, the pure spinor action has a ghost sector consisting of the pure spinors and their conjugate momenta

$$\lambda = \lambda^\alpha T_\alpha, \quad \hat{\lambda} = \hat{\lambda}^{\hat{\alpha}} T_{\hat{\alpha}}, \quad \omega = \eta^{\alpha\hat{\alpha}} \omega_\alpha T_{\hat{\alpha}}, \quad \hat{\omega} = \eta^{\alpha\hat{\alpha}} \hat{\omega}_{\hat{\alpha}} T_\alpha, \quad (35)$$

and the corresponding pure spinor currents $N = -\{\omega, \lambda\}, \hat{N} = \{\hat{\omega}, \hat{\lambda}\} \in \mathcal{H}_0$ which generate the Lorentz transformations in the pure spinor variables.

The theory admits a BRST transformation with the following operator

$$Q + \bar{Q} = \oint \left\langle dz \lambda J_3 + d\bar{z} \hat{\lambda} \bar{J}_1 \right\rangle, \quad (36)$$

under which the fields transform as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_B(J_0) &= [J_3, \lambda] + [J_1, \hat{\lambda}], \\ \delta_B(J_1) &= \nabla \lambda + [J_2, \hat{\lambda}], \\ \delta_B(J_2) &= [J_1, \lambda] + [J_3, \hat{\lambda}], \\ \delta_B(J_3) &= \nabla \hat{\lambda} + [J_2, \lambda], \\ \delta_B(\lambda) &= 0, \quad \delta_B(\hat{\lambda}) = 0, \\ \delta_B(\omega) &= -J_3, \quad \delta_B(\hat{\omega}) = -\bar{J}_1, \\ \delta_B(N) &= [J_3, \lambda], \quad \delta_B(\hat{N}) = [\bar{J}_1, \hat{\lambda}], \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

where $\nabla Y = \partial Y + [J_0, Y]$ and $\bar{\nabla} Y = \bar{\partial} Y + [\bar{J}_0, Y]$. These can also be written in the expanded form,

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_B(J^{[AB]}) &= J^{\hat{\alpha}} \lambda^{\beta} f_{\hat{\alpha}\beta}{}^{[AB]} + J^\alpha \hat{\lambda}^{\hat{\beta}} f_{\alpha\hat{\beta}}{}^{[AB]}, \\ \delta_B(J^m) &= J^\alpha \lambda^\beta f_{\alpha\beta}{}^m + J^{\hat{\alpha}} \hat{\lambda}^{\hat{\beta}} f_{\hat{\alpha}\hat{\beta}}{}^m, \\ \delta_B(J^\alpha) &= \nabla \lambda^\alpha + J^m \hat{\lambda}^{\hat{\alpha}} f_{m\hat{\alpha}}{}^\alpha, \\ \delta_B(J^{\hat{\alpha}}) &= \bar{\nabla} \hat{\lambda}^{\hat{\alpha}} + J^m \lambda^\alpha f_{m\alpha}{}^{\hat{\alpha}}. \end{aligned} \quad (38)$$

The sigma model is invariant under the global transformations $\delta g = \Sigma g$, $\Sigma \in \mathcal{G}$ and under the BRST transformations, using the fact that $\langle AB \rangle \neq 0$ only for $A \in \mathcal{H}_i$ and $B \in \mathcal{H}_{4-i}$. It can be written in the following form

$$S = R^2 \int d^2 z \left\langle \frac{1}{2} J_2 \bar{J}_2 + \frac{1}{4} J_1 \bar{J}_3 + \frac{3}{4} J_3 \bar{J}_1 + w \bar{\partial} \lambda + \hat{w} \partial \hat{\lambda} + N \bar{J}_0 + \hat{N} J_0 - N \hat{N} \right\rangle, \quad (39)$$

for any supercoset admitting a \mathbb{Z}_4 automorphism including $AdS_5 \times S^5$ and $AdS_4 \times \mathbb{CP}^3$ examples (see also [18, 19] for non-critical examples based on different sets of pure spinor variables).

On top of the global bosonic isometry group G_b of the supergroup G , the A-model action has a 'bonus' chiral symmetry exchanging left and right movers which appears in the sigma model as a symmetry between left and right moving fermions J^α and $J^{\hat{\alpha}}$. Apparently (39) does not have such a symmetry because of the different coefficients of $J_1 \bar{J}_3$ and $J_3 \bar{J}_1$ terms. To promote the symmetry of (39), one can add an additional term to the action including a $-\frac{1}{2} J_3 \bar{J}_1$ to cancel the asymmetry of the fermionic currents together with its appropriate companion in order that the whole term stays a BRST-closed term,

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{trivial} &= S_m + S_g \\
&= \frac{R^2}{2} \int d^2 z \left(C_{mn} J^m \bar{J}^n - \langle J_3 \bar{J}_1 \rangle + \langle \omega \bar{\nabla} \lambda + \hat{\omega} \nabla \hat{\lambda} - N \hat{N} \rangle \right) \\
&= \frac{R^2}{2} \int d^2 z \left(C_{mn} J^m \bar{J}^n + \eta_{\alpha\hat{\beta}} J^{\hat{\beta}} \bar{J}^\alpha + \omega_\alpha \bar{\nabla} \lambda^\alpha + \hat{\omega}_{\hat{\alpha}} \nabla \hat{\lambda}^{\hat{\alpha}} - \eta_{[AB][CD]} N^{[AB]} \hat{N}^{[CD]} \right),
\end{aligned} \tag{40}$$

where $S_g = \frac{R^2}{2} \int d^2 z (\omega \bar{\nabla} \lambda + \hat{\omega} \nabla \hat{\lambda} - N \hat{N})$ is exactly the ghost part of the original action (39) and $\eta_{XY} = \langle T_X T_Y \rangle = Str(T_X T_Y)$. The requirement of BRST invariance of the $S_{trivial}$ will determine the unknown tensor C_{mn} .

Using the classical equations of motion

$$\nabla \hat{\lambda} - [N, \hat{\lambda}] = 0, \quad \bar{\nabla} \lambda - [\hat{N}, \lambda] = 0, \tag{41}$$

and the identities $[N, \lambda] = [\hat{N}, \hat{\lambda}] = 0$ coming from the pure spinor constraints, it can be shown that under the BRST transformations (38), S_g and S_m vary as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta_B(S_g) &= \frac{R^2}{2} \int d^2 z \langle -J_3 \bar{\partial} \lambda - \bar{J}_1 \partial \hat{\lambda} - J_3 [\bar{J}_0, \lambda] - \bar{J}_1 [J_0, \hat{\lambda}] \rangle \\
&= \frac{R^2}{2} \int d^2 z \eta_{\alpha\hat{\beta}} (-J^{\hat{\beta}} \bar{\nabla} \lambda^\alpha + \bar{J}^\alpha \nabla \hat{\lambda}^{\hat{\beta}}), \\
\delta_B(L_m) &= \frac{R^2}{2} \left[C_{mn} \left(J^\alpha \lambda^\beta f_{\alpha\beta}^m + J^{\hat{\alpha}} \hat{\lambda}^{\hat{\beta}} f_{\hat{\alpha}\hat{\beta}}^m \right) \bar{J}^n + C_{mn} J^m \left(\bar{J}^\alpha \lambda^\beta f_{\alpha\beta}^n + \bar{J}^{\hat{\alpha}} \hat{\lambda}^{\hat{\beta}} f_{\hat{\alpha}\hat{\beta}}^n \right) \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \eta_{\alpha\hat{\beta}} \left(\nabla \hat{\lambda}^{\hat{\beta}} + J^m \lambda^\beta f_{m\beta}^{\hat{\beta}} \right) \bar{J}^\alpha + \eta_{\alpha\hat{\beta}} J^{\hat{\beta}} \left(\bar{\nabla} \lambda^\alpha + \bar{J}^n \hat{\lambda}^{\hat{\alpha}} f_{n\hat{\alpha}}^\alpha \right) \right],
\end{aligned} \tag{43}$$

which gives

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{R^2} \delta_B(S_{trivial}) &= \frac{1}{2} C_{mn} J^m \bar{J}^\alpha \lambda^\beta f_{\alpha\beta}^n + \frac{1}{2} \eta_{\alpha\hat{\beta}} J^m \bar{J}^\alpha \lambda^\beta f_{m\beta}^{\hat{\beta}}, \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2} C_{mn} \bar{J}^n J^{\hat{\beta}} \hat{\lambda}^{\hat{\alpha}} f_{\hat{\beta}\hat{\alpha}}^m + \frac{1}{2} \eta_{\alpha\hat{\beta}} \bar{J}^n J^{\hat{\beta}} \hat{\lambda}^{\hat{\alpha}} f_{n\hat{\alpha}}^\alpha \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2} C_{mn} \bar{J}^n J^\alpha \lambda^\beta f_{\alpha\beta}^m + \frac{1}{2} C_{mn} J^m \bar{J}^{\hat{\alpha}} \hat{\lambda}^{\hat{\beta}} f_{\hat{\alpha}\hat{\beta}}^n \\
&= 0,
\end{aligned} \tag{44}$$

which admits the following solution for $\delta_B(S_{trivial}) = 0$ after using the Jacobi identities for the structural constants

$$C_{mn} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\eta_{\alpha\hat{\beta}} (\hat{\lambda}^{\hat{\alpha}} f_{n\hat{\alpha}}^\alpha) (\lambda^\beta f_{m\beta}^{\hat{\beta}})}{\eta_{\alpha\hat{\beta}} \lambda^\alpha \hat{\lambda}^{\hat{\beta}}}. \tag{45}$$

The first and the second lines of (44) vanish because of the identity $\eta_{\beta\hat{\alpha}} = \text{Str}(T_\beta T_{\hat{\alpha}}) = f_{\alpha\beta}^n f_{n\hat{\alpha}}^\alpha$ and the terms in the last line vanish because of the following Jacobi identity,

$$f_{\alpha\gamma}^m f_{m\beta}^{\hat{\beta}} + f_{\alpha\beta}^m f_{m\gamma}^{\hat{\beta}} = f_{\beta\gamma}^m f_{m\alpha}^{\hat{\beta}}, \quad (46)$$

which implies

$$\lambda^\beta \lambda^\gamma \left(f_{\alpha\gamma}^m f_{m\beta}^{\hat{\beta}} + f_{\alpha\beta}^m f_{m\gamma}^{\hat{\beta}} \right) = 0. \quad (47)$$

So $S_{trivial}$ of (40) with C_{mn} given in (45) is BRST-closed. We should also show that it is really a BRST-trivial term satisfying $S_{trivial} = Q\bar{Q}X$, up to the equations of motion. In order to do that, we introduce the antifields w_α^* and $\hat{w}_{\hat{\alpha}}^*$ which after adding the term

$$R^2 \int d^2z \eta^{\alpha\hat{\beta}} w_\alpha^* \hat{w}_{\hat{\beta}}^*, \quad (48)$$

the full action stay invariant under the new BRST transformations,

$$\begin{aligned} Q'w_\alpha &= -\eta_{\alpha\hat{\alpha}} J^{\hat{\alpha}}, & \bar{Q}'w_\alpha &= w_\alpha^*, \\ Q'\hat{w}_{\hat{\alpha}} &= \hat{w}_{\hat{\alpha}}^*, & \bar{Q}'\hat{w}_{\hat{\alpha}} &= -\eta_{\hat{\alpha}\alpha} \bar{J}^\alpha, \\ Q'w_\alpha^* &= \eta_{\alpha\hat{\alpha}} (\nabla \hat{\lambda}^{\hat{\alpha}} - [N, \hat{\lambda}]^{\hat{\alpha}}), & \bar{Q}'w_\alpha^* &= 0, \\ Q'\hat{w}_{\hat{\alpha}}^* &= 0, & \bar{Q}'\hat{w}_{\hat{\alpha}}^* &= \eta_{\hat{\alpha}\alpha} (\bar{\nabla} \lambda^\alpha - [\hat{N}, \lambda]^\alpha), \\ Q'N &= [J_3, \lambda], & \bar{Q}'N &= [w^*, \lambda], \\ Q'\hat{N} &= [\bar{J}_1, \hat{\lambda}], & \bar{Q}'\hat{N} &= [\hat{w}^*, \hat{\lambda}], \end{aligned} \quad (49)$$

which this BRST transformation is nilpotent off-shell instead of being nilpotent up to the equations of motion. Now consider the following identities

$$\begin{aligned} Q'\bar{Q}'(C_{mn}J^m\bar{J}^n) &= C_{mn} \{ Q'\bar{Q}'(J^m)\bar{J}^n + Q'(J^m)\bar{Q}'(\bar{J}^n) + \bar{Q}'(J^m)Q'(\bar{J}^n) + J^mQ'\bar{Q}'(\bar{J}^n) \} \\ &= C_{mn} \left\{ \nabla \hat{\lambda}^{\hat{\alpha}} \hat{\lambda}^{\hat{\beta}} f_{\hat{\alpha}\hat{\beta}}^m \bar{J}^n + J^m \bar{\nabla} \lambda^\alpha \lambda^\beta f_{\alpha\beta}^n \right\} \\ &+ C_{mn} \left\{ J^p \bar{J}^n \lambda^\alpha \hat{\lambda}^{\hat{\beta}} f_{p\alpha}^{\hat{\beta}} f_{\hat{\alpha}\hat{\beta}}^m + J^m \bar{J}^p \lambda^\alpha \hat{\lambda}^{\hat{\beta}} f_{p\alpha}^{\hat{\beta}} f_{\hat{\alpha}\hat{\beta}}^n \right\} \\ &+ C_{mn} \left\{ J^\alpha \bar{J}^{\hat{\alpha}} \lambda^\beta \hat{\lambda}^{\hat{\beta}} f_{\alpha\beta}^m f_{\hat{\alpha}\hat{\beta}}^n + J^{\hat{\alpha}} \bar{J}^\alpha \hat{\lambda}^{\hat{\beta}} \lambda^\beta f_{\hat{\alpha}\hat{\beta}}^m f_{\alpha\beta}^n \right\} \\ &= 2C_{mn} J^m \bar{J}^n (\eta \lambda \hat{\lambda}), \end{aligned} \quad (50)$$

$$\begin{aligned} Q'\bar{Q}'(N\hat{N}) &= Q'\bar{Q}'(N)\hat{N} + Q'(N)\bar{Q}'(\hat{N}) + \bar{Q}'(N)Q'(\hat{N}) + NQ'\bar{Q}'(\hat{N}) \\ &= [(\nabla \hat{\lambda} - [N, \hat{\lambda}]), \lambda] \hat{N} + [J_3, \lambda] [\bar{J}_1, \hat{\lambda}] + [w^*, \lambda] [\hat{w}^*, \hat{\lambda}] + N [(\bar{\nabla} \lambda - [\hat{N}, \lambda]), \hat{\lambda}], \end{aligned} \quad (51)$$

and,

$$\begin{aligned} Q'\bar{Q}'((\omega\lambda)(\hat{w}\hat{\lambda})) &= Q'\bar{Q}'(\omega\lambda)(\hat{w}\hat{\lambda}) + Q'(\omega\lambda)\bar{Q}'(\hat{w}\hat{\lambda}) + \bar{Q}'(\omega\lambda)Q'(\hat{w}\hat{\lambda}) + (\omega\lambda)Q'\bar{Q}'(\hat{w}\hat{\lambda}) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} [(\nabla \hat{\lambda} - [N, \hat{\lambda}]), \lambda] (\hat{w}\hat{\lambda}) + \frac{1}{4} [J_3, \lambda] [\bar{J}_1, \hat{\lambda}] + \frac{1}{4} [w^*, \lambda] [\hat{w}^*, \hat{\lambda}] \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} (\omega\lambda) [(\bar{\nabla} \lambda - [\hat{N}, \lambda]), \hat{\lambda}], \end{aligned} \quad (52)$$

to get these identities, we used the equation of motions, (44), (46) and (47) together with the following Jacobi identity,

$$f_{M\underline{\alpha}}^{\underline{\beta}} f_{N\underline{\beta}}^{\underline{\gamma}} - f_{N\underline{\alpha}}^{\underline{\beta}} f_{M\underline{\beta}}^{\underline{\gamma}} = f_{MN}^P f_{P\underline{\alpha}}^{\underline{\beta}}, \quad (53)$$

where $M, N, \dots = \{m, [mn]\}$ and $\underline{\alpha}, \underline{\beta}, \dots = \{\alpha, \hat{\alpha}\}$. From (50), (51) and (52) one can see that there exists a linear combination of them such that $S_{trivial} = Q\bar{Q}X$ up to the anti-ghost term, that is up to the momenta equations of motion,

$$X = \frac{1}{2} \int d^2z \frac{1}{\eta_{\alpha\hat{\alpha}} \lambda^\alpha \hat{\lambda}^{\hat{\alpha}}} \left[\frac{1}{4} C_{mn} J^m \bar{J}^n + \frac{1}{4} (\omega\lambda)(\hat{\omega}\hat{\lambda}) - \frac{1}{8} N\hat{N} \right]. \quad (54)$$

The sigma model action after adding $S_{trivial}$ becomes

$$S_b = \frac{R^2}{2} \int d^2z \left[\left(\frac{1}{2} \frac{\eta_{\alpha\hat{\beta}} (\hat{\lambda}^{\hat{\alpha}} f_{n\hat{\alpha}}^\alpha) (\lambda^\beta f_{m\beta}^{\hat{\beta}})}{\eta_{\alpha\hat{\beta}} \lambda^\alpha \hat{\lambda}^{\hat{\beta}}} + \eta_{mn} \right) j^m \bar{J}^n \right. \\ \left. + \frac{1}{2} \langle J_3 \bar{J}_1 - J_1 \bar{J}_3 + \omega \bar{\nabla} \lambda + \hat{\omega} \nabla \hat{\lambda} - N \hat{N} \rangle \right] \quad (55)$$

The analysis follows the considerations in the literature, but it is derived in a very general way.

4.2 Mapping pure spinor to A-model

In order to relate S_b and the A-model action, we should write the supercoset element $g(x, \theta, \bar{\theta}) \in \frac{G}{H}$ in terms of the Grassmannian coset element $G(\theta, \bar{\theta}) \in \frac{G}{G_b}$.

We can define the following bosonic twisted variables out of the bosonic coset elements $H(x) \in \frac{G_b}{H}$ and the pure spinors in this way

$$\begin{aligned} Z^\alpha &= [H, \lambda] = H^{[AB]}(x) \lambda^\beta f_{[AB]\beta}^\alpha \\ \bar{Z}^{\hat{\alpha}} &= [H^{-1}, \hat{\lambda}] = (H^{-1})^{[AB]}(x) \hat{\lambda}^{\hat{\beta}} f_{[AB]\hat{\beta}}^{\hat{\alpha}} \\ Y^{\hat{\alpha}} &= [H^{-1}, w] = (H^{-1})^{[AB]}(x) \eta^{\beta\hat{\beta}} w_\beta f_{[AB]\hat{\beta}}^{\hat{\alpha}} \\ \bar{Y}^\alpha &= [H, \hat{w}] = H^{[AB]}(x) \eta^{\beta\hat{\beta}} \hat{w}_{\hat{\beta}} f_{[AB]\beta}^\alpha \end{aligned} \quad (56)$$

Supercoset element g can be parametrized as follows

$$g(x, \theta, \bar{\theta}) = G(\theta, \bar{\theta}) H(x) \quad (57)$$

where $G(\theta, \bar{\theta}) = e^{\theta^\alpha T_\alpha + \bar{\theta}^{\hat{\alpha}} T_{\hat{\alpha}}}$ and $H(x) = e^{x^m T_m}$ in which $(T_m, T_\alpha, T_{\hat{\alpha}})$ are the generators of the supercoset G/H .

According to (57), we can decompose the left-invariant currents $J = g^{-1}\partial g$. The pure spinor action can be written into H and G components, corresponding to the purely bosonic part and purely fermionic part of the supercoset as follows

$$J = H^{-1}\partial H + H^{-1}(G^{-1}\partial G)H \quad (58)$$

Its componets $J = J^m T_m + J^{[AB]} T_{[AB]} + J^\alpha T_\alpha + J^{\hat{\alpha}} T_{\hat{\alpha}}$ can be written as

$$J^M = (H^{-1}\partial H)^M + (H^{-1})^M (G^{-1}\partial G)^P H^Q f_{NP}^R f_{RQ}^M \quad (59)$$

$$J^\alpha = (H^{-1})^M (G^{-1}\partial G)^\beta H^N f_{M\beta}^\gamma f_{\gamma N}^\alpha \quad (60)$$

where $M, N, \dots = \{m, [AB]\}$ and $\underline{\alpha}, \underline{\beta}, \dots = \{\alpha, \hat{\alpha}\}$.

The A-model action can be written in terms of the fermionic superfields $(\Theta^\alpha, \bar{\Theta}^{\hat{\alpha}})$ which was defined before as $S = \int \text{Tr} \ln[1 + \bar{\Theta}\Theta]$. Here we assume that for the Grassmannian supercoset G/G_b , there exist a gauging in which the supercoset elements G can be written in the following form

$$G^m = \mathbb{1}, \quad G^{[AB]} = \mathbb{1}, \quad G^\alpha = \theta^\alpha, \quad G^{\hat{\alpha}} = \bar{\theta}^{\hat{\alpha}} \quad (61)$$

Finally, the A-model action, after integration over the auxiliary fields can be written in this form

$$\begin{aligned} S_A &= t \int d^2 z \left[(G^{-1}\partial G)(G^{-1}\bar{\partial} G) + Y\bar{\nabla} Z + \bar{Y}\nabla\bar{Z} - (YZ)(\bar{Z}\bar{Y}) \right] \quad (62) \\ &= t \int d^2 z \left[\eta_{\alpha\hat{\alpha}} (G^{-1}\partial G)^\alpha (G^{-1}\bar{\partial} G)^{\hat{\alpha}} + \eta_{MN} (G^{-1}\partial G)^M (G^{-1}\bar{\partial} G)^N \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \eta_{\alpha\hat{\alpha}} Y^{\hat{\alpha}} (\bar{\nabla} Z)^\alpha + \eta_{\alpha\hat{\alpha}} \bar{Y}^\alpha (\nabla\bar{Z})^{\hat{\alpha}} - \eta_{mn} f_{\alpha\hat{\alpha}}^m f_{\beta\hat{\beta}}^n \left[(Y^{\hat{\alpha}} Z^\alpha)(\bar{Z}^{\hat{\beta}} \bar{Y}^\beta) + (Z^\alpha Y^{\hat{\alpha}})(\bar{Y}^\beta \bar{Z}^{\hat{\beta}}) \right] \right] \end{aligned}$$

where,

$$\begin{aligned} (\bar{\nabla} Z)^\alpha &= \bar{\partial} Z + [G^{-1}\bar{\partial} G, Z] \quad (63) \\ &= \bar{\partial} Z^\alpha + (G^{-1}\bar{\partial} G)^{[AB]} Z^\beta f_{[AB]\beta}^\alpha \\ (\nabla\bar{Z})^{\hat{\alpha}} &= \partial\bar{Z} + [G^{-1}\partial G, \bar{Z}] \\ &= \partial\bar{Z}^{\hat{\alpha}} + (G^{-1}\partial G)^{[AB]} Z^{\hat{\beta}} f_{[AB]\hat{\beta}}^{\hat{\alpha}} \end{aligned}$$

To relate the pure spinor action (55) and the A-model action (62), we use the explicit form of the twisted variables (56). Using (56) and Jacobi identity (53), one can write

$$\begin{aligned} Y\bar{\partial} Z &= [H^{-1}, w]\bar{\partial}([H, \lambda]) \quad (64) \\ &= [H^{-1}, w]([\bar{\partial} H, \lambda] + [H, \bar{\partial}\lambda]) \\ &= w\bar{\partial}\lambda + [H^{-1}\bar{\partial} H, w\lambda] \\ &= w\bar{\partial}\lambda + [H^{-1}\bar{\partial} H, w\lambda] + [H^{-1}(G^{-1}\bar{\partial} G)H, w\lambda] - [H^{-1}(G^{-1}\bar{\partial} G)H, w\lambda] \\ &= w\bar{\partial}\lambda + [\bar{J}, w\lambda] - [(G^{-1}\bar{\partial} G), YZ] \end{aligned}$$

which after using (63), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
Y\bar{\nabla}Z &= w\bar{\partial}\lambda + [\bar{J}, w\lambda] \\
&= w_\alpha\bar{\partial}\lambda^\alpha + \bar{J}^{[AB]}w_\alpha\lambda^\beta f_{[AB]\beta}^\alpha + \eta_{mn}\eta^{\alpha\beta}\bar{J}^m w_\alpha\lambda^\gamma f_{\gamma\beta}^n \\
&= w_\alpha\bar{\nabla}\lambda^\alpha + \eta_{mn}\eta^{\alpha\beta}\bar{J}^m w_\alpha\lambda^\gamma f_{\gamma\beta}^n
\end{aligned} \tag{65}$$

similarly, one can see that

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{Y}\nabla\bar{Z} &= \hat{w}\partial\hat{\lambda} + [J, \hat{w}\hat{\lambda}] \\
&= \hat{w}_{\hat{\alpha}}\partial\hat{\lambda}^{\hat{\alpha}} + J^{[AB]}\hat{w}_{\hat{\alpha}}\hat{\lambda}^{\hat{\beta}} f_{[AB]\hat{\beta}}^{\hat{\alpha}} + \eta_{mn}\eta^{\hat{\alpha}\hat{\beta}}J^m\hat{w}_{\hat{\alpha}}\hat{\lambda}^{\hat{\gamma}} f_{\hat{\gamma}\hat{\beta}}^n \\
&= \hat{w}_{\hat{\alpha}}\nabla\hat{\lambda}^{\hat{\alpha}} + \eta_{mn}\eta^{\hat{\alpha}\hat{\beta}}J^m\hat{w}_{\hat{\alpha}}\hat{\lambda}^{\hat{\gamma}} f_{\hat{\gamma}\hat{\beta}}^n
\end{aligned} \tag{66}$$

the last term simplifies as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
(YZ)(\bar{Z}\bar{Y}) &= ([H^{-1}, w][H, \lambda]) ([H^{-1}, \hat{\lambda}][H, \hat{w}]) \\
&= (w\lambda)(\hat{w}\hat{\lambda}) \\
&= \eta^{[AB][CD]} \left(f_{\alpha[AB]}^\beta w_\beta \lambda^\alpha \right) \left(\hat{w}_{\hat{\beta}} \hat{\lambda}^{\hat{\alpha}} f_{\hat{\alpha}[CD]}^{\hat{\beta}} \right) - \eta_{mn} \left(\eta^{\alpha\gamma} f_{\alpha\beta}^m w_\gamma \lambda^\beta \right) \left(\eta^{\hat{\alpha}\hat{\gamma}} f_{\hat{\alpha}\hat{\beta}}^n \hat{w}_{\hat{\gamma}} \hat{\lambda}^{\hat{\beta}} \right)
\end{aligned} \tag{67}$$

Putting everything together, we obtain the A-model action in terms of the pure spinor fields

$$\begin{aligned}
S_A &= t \int d^2z \left[\frac{1}{2} \eta_{\alpha\hat{\beta}} (J^{\hat{\beta}} \bar{J}^\alpha - J^\alpha \bar{J}^{\hat{\beta}}) + w\bar{\nabla}\lambda + \hat{w}\nabla\hat{\lambda} - N\hat{N} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \eta^{\alpha\beta} \bar{J}^m w_\alpha \lambda^\gamma f_{m\gamma}^\alpha + \eta^{\hat{\alpha}\hat{\beta}} J^m \hat{w}_{\hat{\alpha}} \hat{\lambda}^{\hat{\gamma}} f_{m\hat{\gamma}}^{\hat{\alpha}} - \eta_{mn} \left(\eta^{\alpha\gamma} f_{\alpha\beta}^m w_\gamma \lambda^\beta \right) \left(\eta^{\hat{\alpha}\hat{\gamma}} f_{\hat{\alpha}\hat{\beta}}^n \hat{w}_{\hat{\gamma}} \hat{\lambda}^{\hat{\beta}} \right) \right]
\end{aligned} \tag{68}$$

The equations of motion for w and \hat{w} comes from the variation of the action under the transformations $\delta w_\alpha = f_{\alpha\beta}^m \lambda^\beta \Lambda_m$ and $\delta \hat{w}_{\hat{\alpha}} = f_{\hat{\alpha}\hat{\beta}}^m \hat{\lambda}^{\hat{\beta}} \tilde{\Lambda}_m$, as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
(f_{m\alpha}^\delta \lambda^\alpha) \left(\bar{J}^m - \eta^{\hat{\beta}\hat{\gamma}} f_{\hat{\beta}\hat{\alpha}}^m \hat{w}_{\hat{\gamma}} \hat{\lambda}^{\hat{\alpha}} \right) &= 0 \\
(f_{m\hat{\alpha}}^\delta \hat{\lambda}^{\hat{\alpha}}) \left(J^m - \eta^{\beta\gamma} f_{\beta\alpha}^m w_\gamma \lambda^\alpha \right) &= 0
\end{aligned} \tag{69}$$

After inserting these equations of motion into (68), the second line of (68) produces the kinetic term for the bosonic Maurer-Cartan currents,

$$t \int d^2z \left[\frac{1}{2} \frac{\eta_{\alpha\hat{\beta}} (\hat{\lambda}^{\hat{\alpha}} f_{n\hat{\alpha}}^\alpha) (\lambda^\beta f_{m\beta}^{\hat{\beta}})}{\eta_{\alpha\hat{\beta}} \lambda^\alpha \hat{\lambda}^{\hat{\beta}}} + \eta_{mn} \right] J^n \bar{J}^m \tag{70}$$

Then the action (68), becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
S &= t \int d^2z \left[\left(\frac{1}{2} \frac{\eta_{\alpha\hat{\beta}} (\hat{\lambda}^{\hat{\alpha}} f_{n\hat{\alpha}}^\alpha) (\lambda^\beta f_{m\beta}^{\hat{\beta}})}{\eta_{\alpha\hat{\beta}} \lambda^\alpha \hat{\lambda}^{\hat{\beta}}} + \eta_{mn} \right) J^n \bar{J}^m + \frac{1}{2} \eta_{\alpha\hat{\beta}} (J^{\hat{\beta}} \bar{J}^\alpha - J^\alpha \bar{J}^{\hat{\beta}}) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + w\bar{\nabla}\lambda + \hat{w}\nabla\hat{\lambda} - N\hat{N} \right]
\end{aligned} \tag{71}$$

which coincides with the action (55) after identifying $t = \frac{1}{2}R^2$.

5 Linear gauged σ -model for $AdS_4 \times \mathbb{CP}^3$

Similarly to the non-linear sigma model of the $AdS_5 \times S^5$ which was studied by Berkovits and Vafa in [12], we can write a linear gauged sigma model for the non-linear sigma model for $AdS_4 \times \mathbb{CP}^3$ which was given in the previous section.

The 2-dimensional N=(2,2) linear gauged sigma model can be described by a set of matter fields which are chiral and antichiral superfields Φ_R^Σ and $\bar{\Phi}_\Sigma^R$ gauged under the real worldsheet superfield V_S^R taking value in the $SO(6)$ gauge group where $R, S, \dots = 1, \dots, 6$ are gauge field indices and $\Sigma = (x, A)$ is a global $Osp(6|4)$ index. We can take Φ_R^x to be fermionic while Φ_R^A are bosonic superfields.

The gauged linear sigma model action can be written in a $Osp(6|4)$ invariant way as

$$S = \int d^2z \int d^4\kappa \left[\bar{\Phi}_\Sigma^S (e^V)_S^R \Phi_R^\Sigma + t \text{Tr} V + \frac{1}{e^2} \Sigma^2 \right] \quad (72)$$

where $\Sigma = \bar{D}DV$ is the field strength of the gauge field V and is a twisted chiral superfield. As it is clear from the matter content of the theory, it contains 24 fermions and 36 bosons and so the theory actually has conformal anomaly if we ask the bosons and fermions to be gauged in the same representation of the gauge group as we did. But still the theory has a conformal IR fixed point corresponding to the large volume and gauge coupling limit which after integrating out the auxiliary equations of motion for the gauge field we obtain the non-linear sigma model (when $e \rightarrow \infty$)

$$S = t \int d^2z \int d^4\kappa \text{Tr} [\bar{\Phi}_\Sigma^R \Phi_S^\Sigma] \quad (73)$$

which can be rewritten in terms of the meson fields Θ_A^x and $\bar{\Theta}_x^A$ defined as

$$\Theta_A^x \equiv \Phi_R^x (\Phi^{-1})_A^R, \quad \bar{\Theta}_x^A \equiv (\bar{\Phi}^{-1})_R^A \bar{\Phi}_x^R \quad (74)$$

which gives exactly the A-model sigma model which was obtained from the pure spinor string for $AdS_4 \times \mathbb{CP}^3$ as

$$S = t \int d^2z \int d^4\kappa \text{Tr} \ln [1 + \bar{\Theta}\Theta] \quad (75)$$

The FI parameter corresponds to the Kähler parameter of the supercoset Grassmannian target space $\frac{Osp(6|4)}{SO(6) \times Sp(4)}$.

5.1 Vacua of the gauged linear sigma model and zero radius limit

The small radius limit of the gauged linear sigma-model is convenient to study the perturbative regime of the gauge theory since the introduction of the Coulomb branch, because of the presence of the gauge group which is an additional degree of freedom in the gauged linear sigma model with respect to non-linear sigma model, resolves the singularity of the non-linear sigma-model in the small radius limit. To study different phases of the theory, we should solve the D-term equations coming from the gauged linear sigma-model. It is enough to

focus on the fields which have conformal weight zero because they are the only fields which can get non-zero expectation value. We analyze the gauged linear σ -model following the standard techniques of [20] and [21].

The gauge superfield V_S^R in Wess-Zumino gauge can be expanded as

$$V_S^R = \sigma_S^R \kappa_+ \bar{\kappa}_- + \bar{\sigma}_S^R \kappa_+ \bar{\kappa}_+ + \dots + \kappa_+ \kappa_- \bar{\kappa}_+ \bar{\kappa}_- D_S^R \quad (76)$$

similarly we can expand the fermionic and bosonic superfields as follows

$$\Phi_R^\Sigma = \phi_R^\Sigma + \kappa_+ \psi_R^\Sigma + \dots, \quad \bar{\Phi}_\Sigma^R = \bar{\phi}_\Sigma^R + \bar{\kappa}_- \bar{\psi}_\Sigma^R + \dots \quad (77)$$

where we just keep the components which will have zero conformal weight after the A-twist because they are the only fields which can attain nonzero expectation value and so can appear in the D-term equations. Here the index Σ refers to both x and A indices. Note that $(\phi_R^A, \psi_R^x, \bar{\phi}_A^R, \bar{\psi}_x^R)$ are bosonic and $(\phi_R^x, \psi_R^A, \bar{\phi}_x^R, \bar{\psi}_A^R)$ are fermionic fields.

Using the vector superfield and the usual superderivatives D_\pm and \bar{D}_\pm , one can define the covariant superderivatives as follows

$$\mathcal{D}_\pm = e^{-V} D_\pm e^{+V}, \quad \bar{\mathcal{D}}_\pm = e^{+V} \bar{D}_\pm e^{-V} \quad (78)$$

Then the field strength Σ which is a twisted chiral superfield is constructed as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma &= \{\bar{\mathcal{D}}_+, \mathcal{D}_-\} \\ &= \sigma + \dots + \kappa_+ \kappa_- \bar{\kappa}_+ \bar{\kappa}_- (D^m D_m \sigma + [\sigma, [\sigma, \bar{\sigma}]] + i[\partial^m v_m, \sigma]) \end{aligned} \quad (79)$$

which produces the following gauge field kinetic term in the Lagrangian

$$\begin{aligned} L_{gauge} &= -\frac{1}{e^2} \int d^4 \kappa \text{Tr} \bar{\Sigma} \Sigma \\ &= \frac{1}{e^2} \text{Tr} \left(-D_i \bar{\sigma} D^i \sigma - \frac{1}{2} [\sigma, \bar{\sigma}]^2 + \dots \right) \end{aligned} \quad (80)$$

and also we have the FI term $L_{D,\theta}$,

$$\begin{aligned} L_{D,\theta} &= it \int d\kappa_+ d\bar{\kappa}_- \text{Tr} \Sigma \Big|_{\kappa_- = \bar{\kappa}_+ = 0} - i\bar{t} \int d\kappa_- d\bar{\kappa}_+ \text{Tr} \bar{\Sigma} \Big|_{\kappa_+ = \bar{\kappa}_- = 0} \\ &= \text{Tr} \left(-rD + \frac{\theta}{2\pi} v_{01} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (81)$$

Now we can consider the matter part of the gauged linear sigma model consisting of the kinetic terms for the fermionic and bosonic superfields which carries the kinetic and interaction terms for the bosonic and fermionic fields,

$$\begin{aligned}
L_{kin}^b &= \int d^4\kappa \bar{\Phi}_A^R e^V \Phi_R^A \\
&= -(\bar{D}_j \bar{\phi}_A^R)(D^j \phi_R^A) + \bar{F}_A^R F_R^A - \bar{\phi}_A^S \{\sigma, \bar{\sigma}\}_S^R \phi_R^A + \bar{\phi}_A^S D_S^R \phi_R^A + \dots
\end{aligned} \tag{82}$$

Similarly we can write the kinetic term for the fermionic chiral superfields,

$$\begin{aligned}
L_{kin}^f &= \int d^4\kappa \bar{\Phi}_x^R e^V \Phi_R^x \\
&= -(\bar{D}_j \bar{\phi}_x^R)(D^j \phi_R^x) + \bar{F}_x^R F_R^x - \bar{\phi}_x^S \{\sigma, \bar{\sigma}\}_S^R \phi_R^x + \bar{\phi}_x^S D_S^R \phi_R^x + \dots
\end{aligned} \tag{83}$$

We can see that $\{\sigma, \bar{\sigma}\}$ appears as the mass for the matter fields and so whenever σ gets VEV, the matter fields become massive and can be integrated out in the effective theory as is happening in the Coulomb phase.

The potential of the theory can be written as,

$$\begin{aligned}
L_V &= \frac{1}{2e^2} \text{Tr} D^2 - r \text{Tr} D + \bar{\phi}_x^S D_S^R \phi_R^x + \bar{\phi}_A^S D_S^R \phi_R^A \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{2e^2} \text{Tr} [\sigma, \bar{\sigma}]^2 - \bar{\phi}_x^S \{\sigma, \bar{\sigma}\}_S^R \phi_R^x - \bar{\phi}_A^S \{\sigma, \bar{\sigma}\}_S^R \phi_R^A
\end{aligned} \tag{84}$$

which after eliminating the D-field by using the following D-term equation

$$D_R^S = \bar{\phi}_x^S \phi_R^x + \bar{\phi}_A^S \phi_R^A - r \delta_R^S \tag{85}$$

one obtains the potential

$$\begin{aligned}
V &= \frac{e^2}{2} [\bar{\phi}_x^S \phi_R^x + \bar{\phi}_A^S \phi_R^A - r \delta_R^S] [\bar{\phi}_x^R \phi_S^x + \bar{\phi}_A^R \phi_S^A - r \delta_S^R] \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2e^2} \text{Tr} [\sigma, \bar{\sigma}]^2 + \bar{\phi}_x^S \{\sigma, \bar{\sigma}\}_S^R \phi_R^x + \bar{\phi}_A^S \{\sigma, \bar{\sigma}\}_S^R \phi_R^A
\end{aligned} \tag{86}$$

The space of the classical vacua is given by putting the potential to zero up to gauge transformations. We can study the vacua in two regimes, when $r > 0$ and not small, the constraint $V = 0$ implies that $\sigma = 0$ which implies the following condition as the classical vacua for the matter fields

$$D_R^S = \bar{\phi}_x^S \phi_R^x + \bar{\phi}_A^S \phi_R^A - r \delta_R^S = 0 \tag{87}$$

It means actually that the vectors (ϕ_R^x, ψ_R^A) for any $R = 1, \dots, 4$ are orthonormal. Any such vector, after diagonalization, is subject to the constraint

$$\sum_{A=1}^6 \bar{\phi}_A \phi^A + \sum_{x=1}^4 \bar{\phi}_x \phi^x = r \tag{88}$$

which defines a supersphere $\mathbb{S}^{(5|4)}$.⁴ The space of classical vacua is the gauge invariant subspace of the product of such vectors [23] giving the orbit space $(\mathbb{S}^{(5|4)})^3 // S_3 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ obtained

⁴The conditions for a supermanifold of being a super-Ricci flat are discussed in [22].

by dividing the action of $S_3 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ on the three copies, where \mathbb{Z}_2 is the simultaneous reflection. This phase corresponds to the Higgs phase of the theory because the gauge symmetry completely breaks.

If one looks into $r \rightarrow 0$ limit, on top of the above Higgs phase, one can have another possibility as it is explained in [24] and [12]. In this phase, the σ_R^S is unconstrained but the matter variables are constrained to satisfy

$$\mathcal{O}_R^S = \bar{\phi}_x^S \phi_R^x + \bar{\phi}_A^S \phi_R^A = 0 \quad (89)$$

The mass term for the fermions and bosons are written as

$$\bar{\phi}_x^S \{\sigma, \bar{\sigma}\}_S^R \phi_R^x + \bar{\phi}_A^S \{\sigma, \bar{\sigma}\}_S^R \phi_R^A \quad (90)$$

And so whenever the σ gets expectation value the matter fields become massive and one can integrate them out from the theory. One can easily compute the 1-loop correction to the condition (89) which should be proportional to r by doing the path integral with a cut-off μ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathcal{O} \rangle_{1\text{-loop}} &= - \sum_{A=1}^6 \int d^2 p \frac{1}{p^2 + \{\sigma, \bar{\sigma}\}} + \sum_{x=1}^4 \int d^2 p \frac{1}{p^2 + \{\sigma, \bar{\sigma}\}} \\ &= - \frac{1}{2\pi} \log \left(\frac{\{\sigma, \bar{\sigma}\}}{2\mu^2} \right) = r \end{aligned} \quad (91)$$

which has a solution as

$$\{\sigma, \bar{\sigma}\} = 2\mu^2 \exp(-2\pi r) \quad (92)$$

After integrating over all the matter fields, the classical vacua $V = 0$ is given by condition $\text{Tr}[\sigma, \bar{\sigma}]^2 = 0$ which together with (92) gives the following solution,

$$\sigma = \sigma_0 \mu \exp(-2\pi r) \quad (93)$$

where here σ_0 is an orthogonal 6×6 constant matrix. This means that σ can be diagonalized and for each diagonal component of the σ in the small radius regime, one gets a copy of the $\mathbb{S}^{(5|4)}$ as it was seen before.

6 Principal chiral model

In this section, we derive the PCM (principal chiral model) for $Osp(6|4)$. We analyze the differences. The model is based on gauging the coset $\frac{Osp(6|4)}{SO(6) \times Sp(4)}$. This is a purely Grassmannian coset manifold with 24 fermionic coordinates Θ_A^x . There are other gaugings leading to $\frac{Osp(6|4)}{Osp(4|2) \times Osp(2|2)}$ and to $\frac{Osp(6|4)}{Osp(4|2) \times SU(1|1,1)}$, but we do not discuss them in the present paper. Notice that unlike $\frac{Osp(6|4)}{SO(6) \times Sp(4)}$, which has 24 fermions, the other two spaces have 12 bosons and 12 fermions.

The worldsheet action is

$$S = r_{AdS}^2 \int d^2 z \text{Str} \left((g^{-1} \partial g - A)(g^{-1} \bar{\partial} g - \bar{A}) \right) \quad (94)$$

where the indices x, y are raised and lowered with ϵ^{xy} . It is invariant under the local symmetry $Osp(6|4)$ under the transformations

$$\delta g = g \Omega, \delta A = d\Omega + [A, \Omega], \quad (95)$$

where $\Omega \in Osp(6|4)$. We can gauge-fix the subgroup $SO(6) \times Sp(4)$ by choosing the gauge $g = G(\theta, \hat{\theta}) = \exp(\theta_I^x Q_x^I + \theta^{xI} Q_{xI})$. Furthermore, we can gauge-fix the rest of the symmetries by choosing the gauge

$$A_I^x = 0, \bar{A}_x^I = 0. \quad (96)$$

This second gauge fixing requires the ghost fields (\bar{Z}_I^x, Z_x^I) and the antighosts (\bar{Y}_x^I, Y_I^x) with the action

$$S_{ghost} = r_{AdS}^2 \int d^2 z \left[-Y_I^x (\bar{\nabla} Z)_x^I + \bar{Y}_x^I (\nabla \bar{Z})_I^x \right], \quad (97)$$

where

$$(\bar{\nabla} Z)_x^I = \bar{\partial} Z_x^I + \bar{A}_x^y Z_y^I + \bar{A}^I_J Z_x^J, \quad (\nabla \bar{Z})_I^x = \partial \bar{Z}_I^x + A^x_y \bar{Z}_I^y + A_I^J \bar{Z}_J^x. \quad (98)$$

Assuming that the kinetic term for the remaining gauge fields A_x^I, \bar{A}_I^x vanishes in the limit of large RR fluxes, we can integrate out these fields leading to get the complete action

$$\begin{aligned} S &= r_{AdS}^2 \int d^2 z \left[(G^{-1} \partial G)_I^x (G^{-1} \bar{\partial} G)_x^I - Y_I^x (\bar{\nabla} Z)_x^I + \bar{Y}_x^I (\nabla \bar{Z})_I^x \right. \\ &\quad + (G^{-1} \partial G - A)_{xy} (G^{-1} \bar{\partial} G - \bar{A})^{xy} + (G^{-1} \partial G - A)_{IJ} (G^{-1} \bar{\partial} G - \bar{A})^{IJ} \\ &\quad \left. + (G^{-1} \partial G - A)_I^J (G^{-1} \bar{\partial} G - \bar{A})_J^I + (G^{-1} \partial G - A)^{IJ} (G^{-1} \bar{\partial} G - \bar{A})_{IJ} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (99)$$

Notice that the action has the gauge symmetry $SO(6) \times Sp(4)$. Eliminating the gauge fields $A^{xy}, \dots, \bar{A}^{IJ}$, one gets a non-linear sigma model which corresponds to the pure spinor sigma model with the addition of a BRST exact term (62).

7 D-branes and gauge theories

In order to discuss open strings and D-branes we have to see how to put the boundary conditions. We start from the supercoset $Osp(6|4)/SO(6) \times Sp(4)$. We reduce it as follows: the bosonic subcoset: $SO(6) \times Sp(4)$ is reduced to $U(3) \times Sp(2)$ and the fermionic part is halved. This achieved by using the boundary conditions

$$\Theta^{\alpha I} = \delta_{\dot{\alpha}}^{\alpha} \mathcal{J}_J^I \bar{\Theta}^{\dot{\alpha} J}, \quad \bar{\Theta}_I^{\dot{\alpha}} = \delta_{\alpha}^{\dot{\alpha}} \mathcal{J}_I^J \Theta_J^{\alpha}, \quad (100)$$

where \mathcal{J}_J^I is the complex structure on \mathbb{P}^3 . The tensor $\delta_{\dot{\alpha}}^{\alpha}$ reduce the subgroup $Sp(4)$ to $Sp(2)$. We recall that using the symplectic matrices Λ of $Sp(4, \mathbb{R})$ as the 4×4 matrices satisfying $\Lambda^T \epsilon \Lambda = \epsilon$ where $\epsilon = i \sigma_2 \otimes \mathbb{1}$, we can see immediately the two subgroups $Sp(2, \mathbb{R}) \times Sp(2, \mathbb{R})$. In the above equation, we have selected the diagonal subgroup $Sp(2, \mathbb{R})$. The above equations are invariant under $Sp(2, \mathbb{R}) \times U(3)$. Notice that we have identified on the boundary of the Riemann surface the fermionic variables of the subset $\mathcal{H}_1 = \{\Theta^{\alpha I}, \bar{\Theta}_I^{\dot{\alpha}}\}$ with those of the

other subset $\mathcal{H}_3 = \{\bar{\Theta}_I^\alpha, \bar{\Theta}^{\dot{\alpha}I}\}$. This simply reduces the 24 fermions to 12 ones. The new set of states can be represented in terms of the supercoset

$$\frac{SU(3|1,1)}{U(3) \times SU(1,1)} \quad (101)$$

(where we have used the isomorphism $Sp(2, \mathbb{R}) \simeq SL(2, \mathbb{R}) \simeq SU(1, 1)$). The 6 fermions are in the $(3, 2)$ or in the $(\bar{3}, 2)$ representation of the bosonic subgroup.

In addition, we have to recall $SL(2, \mathbb{R}) \simeq AdS_3$, which can be seen by parameterizing a group element of $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ as follows

$$g = \begin{pmatrix} X_{-1} + X_1 & X_0 - X_2 \\ -X_0 - X_2 & X_{-1} - X_1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (102)$$

with the condition $\det g = X_{-1}^2 - X_1^2 + X_0^2 - X_2^2 = 1$ which shows that the $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ group manifold is a 3-dimensional hyperboloid. The metric on AdS_3 is given by $ds^2 = -dX_{-1}^2 + dX_1^2 - dX_0^2 + dX_2^2$, which is the invariant metric on the group manifold. Then, we have that these boundary conditions imply a boundary theory of the type $N = 6$ super-YM/Chern-Simons on AdS_3 space.

There is another possibility which is given by the following boundary conditions

$$\Theta^{\alpha I} = \delta_\alpha^\alpha \delta_J^I \bar{\Theta}^{\dot{\alpha}J}, \quad \bar{\Theta}^{\dot{\alpha}I} = \delta_\alpha^\alpha \delta_I^J \Theta_J^\alpha, \quad (103)$$

In this case the supergroup $Osp(6|4)$ is broken to $Osp(6|2) \times SO(2)$. Notice that using the delta δ_I^J in place of \mathcal{J}_I^J we do not break the $SO(6)$. In addition, the subgroup $Sp(4)$ is broken to $Sp(2) \times SO(2)$. Now, using the isomorphism $SU(4) \simeq SO(6)$, we can see the coset $SO(6) \times SO(2)/SU(3) \times U(1) \simeq \mathbb{S}^7/\mathbb{Z}_p$ where p defines how the $U(1)$ is embedded in the groups of the numerator. This observation would help us to lift the D-branes solution to KK monopoles of M-theory. The fermions are halved by the boundary conditions. So, the boundary open topological model can be described as the Grassmannian

$$\frac{Osp(6|2) \times SO(2)}{U(4) \times Sp(2)}. \quad (104)$$

This solution deserves more attention and the study will be postponed in future publications.

8 Further directions

There are several open questions to answer in the framework of gauge/string correspondence and in particular for this peculiar case given by $AdS_4 \times \mathbb{CP}^3$. Here we list some of them and we hope to report on them in the near future.

To complete the program presented here, one needs to explore the cohomology of the BRST operator in order to check if the bulk and the boundary theory describe at least at the linearized level the supergravity states we expect. In addition, using the analysis performed in [25], it should be possible to devise a way to define a pure spinor measure for tree level

and higher loop computations. Once this has been established, one of the problems is to prescribe quantum amplitudes for the pure spinor superstring which could be compared with super Chern-Simons amplitudes. It would be interesting to single out a subclass of BPS protected amplitudes whose string counterpart is therefore calculable via the point particle limit and first quantized Chern-Simons theory.

Having noticed that the vacuum of the target space theory has a Coulomb branch and the relation with the supersphere $\mathbb{S}^{(5|4)}$, one is tempted to put the gauge amplitude in relation with a topological/twistor string theory on that superspace similarly to [26].

Regarding the boundary field theory, we recall that, using the oscillator technique, the UIR of $\text{Osp}(6|4)$ are decomposed into representations of its maximal subgroup $SU(3|1,1)$ [27]. The singleton is generated out of the vacuum $|0\rangle$ and its superpartner $K^{I\alpha}|0\rangle$ where $K^{I\alpha}$ is a fermionic oscillator in the fundamental representation of $SU(3) \times SU(2)$. The quantum numbers of the vacuum are

$$\begin{aligned} |0\rangle &= |j_0 = 0, Q_2 = 1, \underline{1}, Q_3 = -2\rangle, \\ K^{i\alpha}|0\rangle &= |j_0 = 1/2, Q_2 = 2, \underline{3}, Q_3 = -1\rangle, \end{aligned} \tag{105}$$

where its energy is given by $E_0 = Q_2/2$. These are the only two states annihilated by the annihilation operators of the subgroup $SU(3|1,1)$. Acting repeatedly with a single-oscillator creation operator (α^I) of $U(3)$ denoted by $L^+ = \alpha^{[I}\alpha^{J]}$ we get the states

$$\begin{aligned} |0\rangle, L^+|0\rangle &\longrightarrow \underline{1}(-2) \oplus \underline{3}^*(0) \\ K^{i\alpha}|0\rangle, L^+K^{i\alpha}|0\rangle &\longrightarrow \underline{3}(-1) \oplus \underline{1}(+1) \end{aligned} \tag{106}$$

The first set is a scalar multiplet that can be recast into a spinorial representation of $SO(6)$, namely the fundamental rep $\underline{4}$ of $SU(4)$. The second set of states forms a multiplet of spin 1/2 fermions in the $\underline{4}^*$ rep of $SU(4)$. The number of fields coincides exactly with the content of $D2$ brane counting. So, it would be interesting to study the relation between the supersingleton representation and the dual theory [28].

Of course the relation with M-theory and the membrane theory should be explored also in the pure spinor context.

Acknowledgements We thank N. Berkovits, P. Fré, A. Tanzini, M. Trigiante and R. D’Auria for very useful discussions. H.S. is grateful to DISTA where part of this work was done. This research has been supported by the Italian MIUR under the program “Teoria dei Campi, Superstringhe e Gravità”. The work of G.B. and of P.A.G. is supported by the European Commission RTN Program MRTN-CT-2004-005104.

References

- [1] N. Berkovits, *Super-Poincare covariant quantization of the superstring*, JHEP **0004** (2000) 018 [arXiv:hep-th/0001035].

- [2] N. Berkovits, *Quantum consistency of the superstring in $AdS_5 \times S^5$ background*, JHEP **0503** (2005) 041 [arXiv:hep-th/0411170].
- [3] O. Aharony, O. Bergman, D. L. Jafferis and J. Maldacena, *$N=6$ superconformal Chern-Simons-matter theories, $M2$ -branes and their gravity duals*,” arXiv:0806.1218 [hep-th].
- [4] K. Hosomichi, K. M. Lee, S. Lee, S. Lee and J. Park, JHEP **0807** (2008) 091 [arXiv:0805.3662 [hep-th]]. K. Hosomichi, K. M. Lee, S. Lee, S. Lee and J. Park, arXiv:0806.4977 [hep-th]. C. Ahn, arXiv:0806.1420 [hep-th]. M. Benna, I. Klebanov, T. Klose and M. Smedback, arXiv:0806.1519 [hep-th]. J. Bhattacharya and S. Minwalla, arXiv:0806.3251 [hep-th]. J. A. Minahan and K. Zarembo, arXiv:0806.3951 [hep-th]. A. Armoni and A. Naqvi, arXiv:0806.4068 [hep-th]. A. Hanany, N. Mekareeya and A. Zaffaroni, arXiv:0806.4212 [hep-th]. D. Gaiotto, S. Giombi and X. Yin, arXiv:0806.4589 [hep-th]. C. Ahn, JHEP **0807** (2008) 101 [arXiv:0806.4807 [hep-th]]. G. Grignani, T. Harmark and M. Orselli, arXiv:0806.4959 [hep-th]. N. Gromov and P. Vieira, arXiv:0807.0437 [hep-th]. N. Gromov and P. Vieira, arXiv:0807.0777 [hep-th]. M. A. Bandres, A. E. Lipstein and J. H. Schwarz, arXiv:0807.0880 [hep-th]. M. Schnabl and Y. Tachikawa, arXiv:0807.1102 [hep-th]. M. R. Garousi, A. Ghodsi and M. Khosravi, arXiv:0807.1478 [hep-th]. A. Hashimoto and P. Ouyang, arXiv:0807.1500 [hep-th]. D. Bak and S. J. Rey, arXiv:0807.2063 [hep-th]. E. A. Bergshoeff, O. Hohm, D. Roest, H. Samtleben and E. Sezgin, arXiv:0807.2841 [hep-th]. I. Shenderovich, arXiv:0807.2861 [hep-th]. C. Krishnan, arXiv:0807.4561 [hep-th]. N. Gromov and V. Mikhaylov, arXiv:0807.4897 [hep-th]. O. Aharony, O. Bergman and D. L. Jafferis, arXiv:0807.4924 [hep-th]. H. Singh, arXiv:0807.5016 [hep-th]. G. Bonelli, A. Tanzini and M. Zabzine, arXiv:0807.5113 [hep-th]. D. Bak, D. Gang and S. J. Rey, arXiv:0808.0170 [hep-th]. A. Giveon and D. Kutasov, arXiv:0808.0360 [hep-th]. M. R. Garousi and A. Ghodsi, arXiv:0808.0411 [hep-th]. H. Ooguri and C. S. Park, arXiv:0808.0500 [hep-th]. D. L. Jafferis and A. Tomasiello, arXiv:0808.0864 [hep-th]. D. Martelli and J. Sparks, arXiv:0808.0904 [hep-th].
- [5] J. Bagger and N. Lambert, *Comments On Multiple $M2$ -branes*, JHEP **0802** (2008) 105 [arXiv:0712.3738 [hep-th]]; *Gauge Symmetry and Supersymmetry of Multiple $M2$ -Branes*, Phys. Rev. D **77** (2008) 065008 [arXiv:0711.0955 [hep-th]] *Modeling multiple $M2$'s*, Phys. Rev. D **75** (2007) 045020 [arXiv:hep-th/0611108]. A. Gustavsson, *Algebraic structures on parallel $M2$ -branes*, arXiv:0709.1260 [hep-th].
- [6] G. Arutyunov and S. Frolov, *Superstrings on $AdS_4 \times CP^3$ as a Coset Sigma-model*, arXiv:0806.4940 [hep-th].
- [7] B. J. Stefanski, *Green-Schwarz action for Type IIA strings on $AdS_4 \times CP^3$* , arXiv:0806.4948 [hep-th].
- [8] P. Fré and P. A. Grassi, *Pure Spinor Formalism for $Osp(N|4)$ backgrounds*, arXiv:0807.0044 [hep-th].

- [9] B. Chen and J. B. Wu, arXiv:0807.0802 [hep-th]. D. Astolfi, V. G. M. Puletti, G. Grignani, T. Harmark and M. Orselli, arXiv:0807.1527 [hep-th]. B. H. Lee, K. L. Panigrahi and C. Park, arXiv:0807.2559 [hep-th]. C. Ahn, P. Bozhilov and R. C. Rashkov, arXiv:0807.3134 [hep-th]. T. McLoughlin and R. Roiban, arXiv:0807.3965 [hep-th]. L. F. Alday, G. Arutyunov and D. Bykov, arXiv:0807.4400 [hep-th].
- [10] A. Tomasiello, *New string vacua from twistor spaces*, arXiv:0712.1396 [hep-th].
- [11] N. Berkovits, *A New Limit of the $AdS_5 \times S^5$ Sigma Model*, JHEP **0708**, 011 (2007) [arXiv:hep-th/0703282].
- [12] N. Berkovits and C. Vafa, *Towards a Worldsheet Derivation of the Maldacena Conjecture*, JHEP **0803** (2008) 031 [arXiv:0711.1799 [hep-th]].
- [13] G. Bonelli and H. Safaai, *On gauge/string correspondence and mirror symmetry*, JHEP **0806** (2008) 050 [arXiv:0804.2629 [hep-th]].
- [14] W. D. . Linch and B. C. Vallilo, *Integrability of the Gauged Linear Sigma Model for $AdS_5 \times S^5$* , arXiv:0804.4507 [hep-th]. J. Kluson, *D-brane Description of New Open String Solutions in $AdS(5)$* , arXiv:0805.4719 [hep-th].
- [15] N. Berkovits, *Perturbative Super-Yang-Mills from the Topological $AdS_5 \times S^5$ Sigma Model*, arXiv:0806.1960 [hep-th].
- [16] D. Fabbri, P. Fre, L. Gualtieri and P. Termonia, *$Osp(N|4)$ supermultiplets as conformal superfields on $d(AdS(4))$ and the generic form of $N = 2$, $D = 3$ gauge theories,* Class. Quant. Grav. **17**, 55 (2000) [arXiv:hep-th/9905134].
- [17] K. Itoh, T. Kugo and H. Kunitomo, *Supersymmetric Nonlinear Realization For Arbitrary Kahlerian Coset Space G/H* , Nucl. Phys. B **263** (1986) 295.
- [18] I. Adam, P. A. Grassi, L. Mazzucato, Y. Oz and S. Yankielowicz, *Non-critical pure spinor superstrings*, JHEP **0703**, 091 (2007) [arXiv:hep-th/0605118].
- [19] I. Adam, A. Dekel, L. Mazzucato and Y. Oz, *Integrability of type II superstrings on Ramond-Ramond backgrounds in various dimensions*, JHEP **0706**, 085 (2007) [arXiv:hep-th/0702083].
- [20] E. Witten, *Phases of $N = 2$ theories in two dimensions*, Nucl. Phys. B **403**, 159 (1993) [arXiv:hep-th/9301042].
- [21] S. Seki, K. Sugiyama and T. Tokunaga, *Superconformal symmetry in linear sigma model on supermanifolds*, Nucl. Phys. B **753**, 295 (2006) [arXiv:hep-th/0605021]. S. Seki and K. Sugiyama, *Gauged linear sigma model on supermanifold*, arXiv:hep-th/0503074.
- [22] P. A. Grassi and M. Marescotti, *Flux vacua and supermanifolds*, JHEP **0701**, 068 (2007) [arXiv:hep-th/0607243].

- [23] S. Cecotti and C. Vafa, *On classification of $N=2$ supersymmetric theories*, Commun. Math. Phys. **158**, 569 (1993) [arXiv:hep-th/9211097].
- [24] R. Gopakumar and C. Vafa, *On the gauge theory/geometry correspondence*, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **3**, 1415 (1999) [arXiv:hep-th/9811131]. H. Ooguri and C. Vafa, *Worldsheet derivation of a large N duality*, Nucl. Phys. B **641**, 3 (2002) [arXiv:hep-th/0205297].
- [25] N. Berkovits, *Explaining pure spinor superspace*, arXiv:hep-th/0612021.
- [26] E. Witten, *Perturbative gauge theory as a string theory in twistor space*, Commun. Math. Phys. **252**, 189 (2004) [arXiv:hep-th/0312171].
- [27] H. Nicolai and E. Sezgin, *Singleton Representations Of $Osp(N,4)$* , Phys. Lett. B **143**, 389 (1984). M. P. Blencowe and M. J. Duff, *SUPERSINGLETONS*, Phys. Lett. B **203** (1988) 229. H. Nicolai, E. Sezgin and Y. Tanii, *Conformally Invariant Supersymmetric Field Theories On $S^{**}P \times S^{**}1$ And Super P -Branes*, Nucl. Phys. B **305** (1988) 483. C. Fronsdal, *THE SUPERSINGLETON. 1. FREE DIPOLE AND INTERACTIONS AT INFINITY*, Lett. Math. Phys. **16** (1988) 163. M. Gunaydin, *Singleton And Doubleton Supermultiplets Of Space-Time Supergroups And Infinite Spin Superalgebras*, Published in Trieste Supermembr. 1989:0442-456. M. Gunaydin and D. Minic, *Singletons, doubletons and M -theory*, Nucl. Phys. B **523**, 145 (1998) [arXiv:hep-th/9802047]. S. Ferrara and C. Fronsdal, *Conformal Maxwell theory as a singleton field theory on $AdS(5)$, IIB three branes and duality*, Class. Quant. Grav. **15**, 2153 (1998) [arXiv:hep-th/9712239].
- [28] G. Dall'Agata, D. Fabbri, C. Fraser, P. Fre, P. Termonia and M. Trigiante, *The $Osp(8|4)$ singleton action from the supermembrane*, Nucl. Phys. B **542**, 157 (1999) [arXiv:hep-th/9807115].