

MICROSUPPORT OF TEMPERED SOLUTIONS OF \mathcal{D} -MODULES ASSOCIATED TO SMOOTH MORPHISMS

TERESA MONTEIRO FERNANDES

ABSTRACT. Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a smooth morphism of complex analytic manifolds and let F be an \mathbb{R} -constructible complex on Y . Let \mathcal{M} be a coherent \mathcal{D}_X -module. We prove that the microsupport of the solution complex of \mathcal{M} in the tempered holomorphic functions $t\mathcal{H}om(f^{-1}F, \mathcal{O}_X)$ is contained in the 1-characteristic variety of \mathcal{M} associated to f , and that the microsupport of the solution complex in the tempered microfunctions $t\mu\text{hom}(f^{-1}F, \mathcal{O}_X)$ is contained in the 1-microcharacteristic variety of the microlocalization of \mathcal{M} along $T^*Y \times_Y X$. We apply our results to the complex of solutions of \mathcal{M} in the sheaf of distributions holomorphic in the fibers of an arbitrary smooth morphism.

Dedicated to the memory of my friend and colleague Miguel Ramos, with admiration.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let X be a complex analytic manifold. Let \mathcal{O}_X denote the sheaf of holomorphic functions on X and let \mathcal{D}_X denote the sheaf of holomorphic differential operators.

Let $D_{\mathbb{R}-c}^b(\mathbb{C}_X)$ denote the full subcategory of the derived category $D^b(\mathbb{C}_X)$ of the complexes with \mathbb{R} -constructible cohomologies (we shall call such a complex an \mathbb{R} -constructible sheaf for short).

Let $t\mathcal{H}om(\cdot, \mathcal{O}_X)$ denote the functor of moderate cohomology introduced by M. Kashiwara in ([13]) and studied by M. Kashiwara and P. Schapira in ([15]). It is a functor from $D_{\mathbb{R}-c}^b(\mathbb{C}_X)$ to $D^b(\mathcal{D}_X)$ and, in particular, when M is a real manifold and X is a complexification of M , by taking as F the dual $D'(\mathbb{C}_M)$ of the constant sheaf on M , we get $t\mathcal{H}om(F, \mathcal{O}_X) = Db_M$, the sheaf of Schwartz distributions on M .

Also when $F = \mathbb{C}_U$, the constant sheaf on a subanalytic Stein open subset U , $t\mathcal{H}om(\mathbb{C}_U, \mathcal{O}_X)$ is concentrated in degree zero ([3], Lemma 2.6) and is well understood as the sheaf \mathcal{O}_X^{t-U} of holomorphic functions which are tempered on U .

The research of the author was supported by Fundação para a Ciência e Tecnologia and Programa Ciência, Tecnologia e Inovação do Quadro Comunitário de Apoio.

Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary: 35A27; Secondary: 32C38.

The notion of microsupport of an object G in $D^b(\mathbb{C}_X)$, $SS(G)$, was introduced by M. Kashiwara and P. Schapira ([14]), as a subset of the cotangent bundle T^*X and it describes the directions of non propagation for G .

Let \mathcal{M} be a coherent \mathcal{D}_X -module. In other words, locally, \mathcal{M} is a system of partial differential equations on X . The estimation of the microsupport of the solutions of \mathcal{M} in $t\mathcal{H}om(F, \mathcal{O}_X)$ (or, more generally, of an object of $D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{D}_X)$) is a challenging problem in algebraic analysis, since the growth conditions require far beyond algebro-geometrical tools. One of these tools is the classical Levi-condition for one operator generalized to systems by the notion of 1-microcharacteristic variety (of a microdifferential system along an involutive submanifold of T^*X) ([18], [20], [22]).

Several particular cases have been settled such as the case of a microdifferential operator and the sheaf of tempered microfunctions (also introduced by [1]) in [4]. When \mathcal{M} has real simple characteristics, the microsupport of the distribution solutions of \mathcal{M} was studied in [11] and [6].

More recently, for a \mathbb{C} -constructible object F , we proved in [19] that the microsupport of the solutions of \mathcal{M} in $t\mathcal{H}om(F, \mathcal{O}_X)$ is controlled by the 1-microcharacteristic variety of the microlocalised of \mathcal{M} along any smooth involutive manifold containing the microsupport of F . For that we used the crucial fact that, by [13] (see also [15]), $t\mathcal{H}om(F, \mathcal{O}_X)$ is an object of the bounded derived category of regular holonomic \mathcal{D}_X -modules which has no counterpart for an arbitrary \mathbb{R} -constructible F .

In [16], assuming that \mathcal{M} is regular along a sub-bundle V of T^*X in the sense of [17], for any $F \in D_{\mathbb{R}-c}^b(\mathbb{C}_X)$, one obtained the estimate

$$SS(\mathcal{R}\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_X}(\mathcal{M}, t\mathcal{H}om(F, \mathcal{O}_X))) \subset V \hat{\uparrow} SS(F)^a$$

(a denotes the antipodal map on T^*X and the operation $\hat{\uparrow}$ was defined in [14]).

To treat the case of a general \mathbb{R} -constructible F , we have tools which allow to reduce to $F = \mathbb{C}_U$ for a relatively compact subanalytic open set U , but $t\mathcal{H}om(\mathbb{C}_U, \mathcal{O}_X)$ is not easy to manipulate and, and, even in the case U Stein subanalytic, the usual techniques require a Cauchy theorem which is unavailable for general U .

In this paper we consider the case of $t\mathcal{H}om(f^{-1}F, \mathcal{O}_X)$ where $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a smooth morphism of complex manifolds and $F \in D_{\mathbb{R}-c}^b(\mathbb{C}_Y)$. To make it clear, realizing f locally as a projection, it means that we deal with growth conditions on the factor Y . This appears to be the farthest one can go with this kind of techniques in the present state of art.

The control of the microsupport is then expressed in terms of the 1-characteristic variety (of a coherent \mathcal{D}_X -module with respect to a smooth morphism $f : X \rightarrow Y$), introduced in [22], where it is denoted by $C_f^1(\mathcal{M})$. It is a variant of the the notion

of 1-microcharacteristic variety for \mathcal{D}_X -modules and it is a conic closed involutive analytic subset of the relative conormal bundle $T^*(X|Y)$.

More precisely, denoting by \tilde{f} the canonical projection $T^*X \rightarrow T^*(X|Y)$, we prove in Theorem 3.1 that, for any $G \in D_{\mathbb{R}-c}^b(\mathbb{C}_X)$ such that $SS(G) \subset X \times_Y T^*Y$, we have

$$(1) \quad SS(\mathcal{R}\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_X}(\mathcal{M}, t\mathcal{H}om(G, \mathcal{O}_X))) \subset \tilde{f}^{-1}(C_f^1(\mathcal{M})).$$

Of course, when f is the identity, the estimate above is trivial since

$$T^*(X|X) = 0.$$

Note that, by Proposition 5.4.5 of [14], any G satisfying the assumption of Theorem 3.1 is, locally on X , isomorphic to $f^{-1}F$ for some $F \in D_{\mathbb{R}-c}^b(\mathbb{C}_Y)$.

Our main tools for the proofs are:

- The analysis developed by Jean-Michel Bony in [4], which we partially adapted in order to obtain a Cauchy-Kowalevskaia theorem of precise type with data satisfying tempered growth conditions on a subanalytic open subset of the form $f^{-1}\Omega$.
- The subanalytic version of Grauert's theorem obtained in [2] which asserts that any subanalytic open subset of a real analytic manifold admits, in any of its complexifications, a fundamental system of Stein subanalytic open neighborhoods.

The paper is organized as follows:

In the Section 2 we pass under review the basic material for this work.

In Section 3 we state our main result Theorem 3.1 and treat a few non trivial examples. In Section 4 we study the realification procedure.

In Section 5 we study the Cauchy problem and propagation in order to prove Theorem 3.1. Let us give the guiding ideas of the proof:

We start by treating the Cauchy problem for $\mathcal{O}_X^{t-f^{-1}(\Omega)}$, for a given open subanalytic set $\Omega \subset Y$. More precisely, given a coherent \mathcal{D}_X -module \mathcal{M} and a closed submanifold non 1-characteristic for \mathcal{M} with respect to f , we prove a Cauchy-Kowalevskaia-Kashiwara theorem (Theorem 5.6) with data in $\mathcal{O}_X^{t-f^{-1}\Omega}|_H$ and $\mathcal{O}_H^{t-f^{-1}\Omega \cap H}$.

This is performed by reduction of f to the case of a projection $f : X = Z \times Y \rightarrow Y$, and to $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{D}_X/\mathcal{D}_X P$ for an operator P . Then, given H a submanifold of X non 1-characteristic for P with respect to f , we obtain a precised Cauchy-Kowalevskaia theorem in Proposition 5.1. The proof is essentially an adaptation of the Cauchy theorem 2.4.3 in [4](and of the techniques in [5]) with the variable in Y playing the role of a parameter.

After obtaining a Zerner type Lemma (cf. Lemma 5.7), we first prove estimate (1) in the case $G = \mathbb{C}_\Omega$, for Ω subanalytic open Stein in Y , which implies that (1) holds for $G = \mathbb{C}_S$ provided that

- S is a closed subanalytic subset of Y complementary to a finite union of Stein subanalytic open subsets.

The proof of Theorem 3.1 is then reduced, by the realification procedure, to the case $G = f^{-1}\mathbb{C}_U$, for an open subanalytic relatively compact subset U in a real manifold N complexified by Y . At this stage, the result follows by the subanalytic version of Grauert's theorem ([2]).

As an example of application we obtain (cf. Corollary 3.5):

Let X be a complex manifold, let Y be a d -dimensional manifold complexifying a real analytic submanifold N and let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a smooth morphism. Then $t\mathcal{H}om(D'(f^{-1}\mathbb{C}_N), \mathcal{O}_X)$ is concentrated in degree d and $\mathcal{H}^d(t\mathcal{H}om(D'(f^{-1}\mathbb{C}_N), \mathcal{O}_X))$ can be understood as a sheaf of distributions with holomorphic parameters which we shall denote, for the sake of simplicity, $Db_{X|N}$. By Theorem 3.1 we get:

$$SS(\mathcal{R}\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_X}(\mathcal{M}, Db_{X|N})) \subset \tilde{f}^{-1}(C_f^1(\mathcal{M})).$$

The Appendix is devoted to explain how far we can go with our results in the microlocal setting. More precisely, although persuaded that the estimation in Theorem 3.1 holds when we deal with microdifferential systems and the tempered microlocalization functor $t\mu hom$, we were not able to prove it at present. Recall that recently S. Guillermou proved in [7] that $t\mu hom(F, \mathcal{O}_X)$ is an object of the derived category of \mathcal{E}_X -modules.

Instead, similarly to [16], we can prove it for the microlocalization of a \mathcal{D}_X -module \mathcal{M} , that is, the \mathcal{E}_X -module obtained by tensorizing \mathcal{M} by the sheaf \mathcal{E}_X of microdifferential operators. More precisely, we obtain in Theorem A.2 a variant of the differential estimate with the 1-characteristic variety replaced by the 1-microcharacteristic variety:

Let \mathcal{E}_X denote the sheaf of microdifferential operators on X and let $\pi : T^*X \rightarrow X$ be the projection. Let $t\mu hom(\cdot, \mathcal{O}_X)$ denote the tempered microfunctions functor introduced in [1], from $D_{\mathbb{R}-c}^b(X)$ to $D^b(\mathcal{E}_X)$. Setting

$$\tilde{\mathcal{M}} := \mathcal{E}_X \otimes_{\pi^{-1}\mathcal{D}_X} \pi^{-1}\mathcal{M},$$

noting V the regular involutive submanifold $X \times_Y T^*Y$ of T^*X , noting

$$\rho_V : T(T^*X) \rightarrow T_V(T^*X)$$

the canonical projection, and noting

$$C_V^1(\tilde{\mathcal{M}})$$

the 1-microcharacteristic variety of $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}$ along $V = V \setminus T_X^*X$ (recall that $C_V^1(\tilde{\mathcal{M}})$ is a subset of $T_V(T^*X)$) where we identify $T^*(T^*X)$ to $T(T^*X)$ by the Hamiltonian morphism, we obtain in Theorem A.2,

$$SS(R\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{E}_X}(\tilde{\mathcal{M}}, t\mu\text{hom}(G, \mathcal{O}_X))) \subset \rho_V^{-1}(C_V^1(\tilde{\mathcal{M}})),$$

for any $G \in D_{\mathbb{R}-c}^b(X)$ whose microsupport is contained in V .

We thank M. Kashiwara and P. Schapira for their useful comments, and also G. Morando, L. Prelli, A.R. Martins, S. Guillermou, for their interest. Last but not the least, I thank J-M Bony for his patience in reading and clearing what I took from his techniques.

2. BACKGROUND

We will mainly follow the notations in [14], [15] and in 1.3 of [22].

On a complex manifold X , we consider the sheaf \mathcal{O}_X of holomorphic functions, the sheaf $\mathcal{D}b_X$ of Schwartz distributions on X and the sheaf \mathcal{D}_X of linear holomorphic differential operators of finite order. For a non negative integer m , we note $\mathcal{D}_X(m)$ the subsheaf of operators of order at most m . For $\mathcal{M} \in D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{D}_X)$, we denote by $Char(\mathcal{M})$ its characteristic variety.

We denote by $Mod(\mathcal{D}_X)$ the abelian category of \mathcal{D}_X -modules and denote by $Mod_{coh}(\mathcal{D}_X)$ the abelian category of coherent \mathcal{D}_X -modules.

Given a morphism $f : X \rightarrow Y$ of complex manifolds we shall consider the associated (derived) functors \underline{f}^{-1} from $D^b(Mod(\mathcal{D}_Y))$ to $D^b(Mod(\mathcal{D}_X))$ and $R\underline{f}_*$, from $D^b(Mod(\mathcal{D}_X))$ to $D^b(Mod(\mathcal{D}_Y))$.

2.1. Microlocal tools and review on microsupport. Let X be a real analytic manifold. Let TX be the tangent bundle to X and T^*X the cotangent bundle, with the projection $\pi : T^*X \rightarrow X$. We identify X with the zero section of T^*X . Given a smooth submanifold Y of X , let T_YX be the normal bundle to Y and let T_Y^*X be the conormal bundle.

For a morphism $f : X \rightarrow Y$ of manifolds, we denote by

$$f_\pi : X \times_Y T^*Y \rightarrow T^*Y \text{ and } f_d : X \times_Y T^*Y \rightarrow T^*X$$

the associated morphisms. Recall that when f is smooth, f_d is an embedding, and that when f is an embedding, f_π is smooth.

Let us assume that f is smooth. Set $V = X \times_Y T^*Y$. Then f_π is smooth and, by f_d , V is a sub-bundle of T^*X . Recall that the relative cotangent bundle is defined

by the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow X \times_Y T^*Y \xrightarrow{f_d} T^*X \xrightarrow{\tilde{f}} T^*(X|Y) \rightarrow 0.$$

Remark 2.1. Let X, Y be real manifolds, and let $p : X \times Y \rightarrow X$, $q : T^*(X \times Y) \rightarrow T^*X$ be the projections. Identifying Y with the zero section of T^*Y , we have $T^*X \times Y \subset T^*(X \times Y)$. Let $A \subset T^*X \times Y$. Then $p_\pi p_d^{-1}(A) = q(A)$.

For a subset A of T^*X , we denote by A^a the image of A by the antipodal map

$$a : (x, \xi) \mapsto (x, -\xi).$$

The closure of A is denoted by \overline{A} . Let $\gamma \subset TX$ be a cone; the polar cone γ° to γ is the convex cone in T^*X defined by

$$\gamma^\circ = \{(x; \xi) \in T^*X; x \in \pi(\gamma) \text{ and } \text{Re}\langle v, \xi \rangle \geq 0 \text{ for any } (x; v) \in \gamma\}.$$

Given A and B two closed \mathbb{R}^+ -conic subsets of T^*X one associates a closed \mathbb{R}^+ -conic subset $A \hat{+} B$ of T^*X (cf. Definition 6.2.3 and Proposition 6.2.4 of [14]).

Let $j : X \rightarrow Y$ be an embedding of manifolds. The notion of j^\sharp will be used in the Appendix. Recall that it is a correspondence introduced in [14] associating conic subsets of T^*X to conic subsets of T^*Y . It is characterized by the following result:

Lemma 2.2 (cf. Remark 6.2.8 of [14]). *Let Λ be a conic subset of T^*Y . Let (x', x'') be a system of local coordinates on Y such that $X = \{x' = 0\}$. Let $(x', x''; \xi', \xi'')$ be the associated coordinates on T^*Y . Then*

$(x'_0; \xi'_0) \in j^\sharp(\Lambda)$ if and only if there exists a sequence $\{(x'_n, x''_n; \xi'_n, \xi''_n)\}_n$ in Λ such that

$$x'_n \xrightarrow[n]{} 0, x''_n \xrightarrow[n]{} x''_0, \xi'_n \xrightarrow[n]{} \xi'_0, |\xi''_n| \xrightarrow[n]{} 0.$$

We denote by $D^b(\mathbb{C}_X)$ the triangulated category of complexes of \mathbb{C} -vector spaces with bounded cohomologies and by $D_{\mathbb{R}-c}^b(\mathbb{C}_X)$ (respectively $D_{\mathbb{C}-c}^b(\mathbb{C}_X)$) the triangulated category of complexes with bounded and \mathbb{R} -constructible cohomologies (respectively with \mathbb{C} -constructible cohomologies).

For any $F \in D^b(\mathbb{C}_X)$, its microsupport $SS(F)$, introduced by M. Kashiwara and P. Schapira in [14], is a closed \mathbb{R}^+ -conic involutive subset of T^*X given by:

Definition 2.3. Let $F \in D^b(\mathbb{C}_X)$. Let $p \in T^*X$. Then $p \notin SS(F)$ if and only if there exists an open conic neighbourhood U of p such that for any $x \in \pi(U)$ and any \mathbb{R} -valued C^α -function φ defined on a neighbourhood of x such that $\varphi(x) = 0$, $d\varphi(x) \in U$, one has

$$H_{\{\varphi \geq 0\}}^j(F)_x = 0 \text{ for any } j.$$

We shall need the following description of $SS(\cdot)$:

Given $(x_0, \xi_0) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times (\mathbb{R}^n)^*$ and $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}$ we set:

$$H_\varepsilon(x_0, \xi_0) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n; \langle x - x_0, \xi_0 \rangle > -\varepsilon\},$$

and if there is no risk of confusion we will write H_ε instead of $H_\varepsilon(x_0, \xi_0)$.

Proposition 2.4 ([14]). *Let Ω be a local chart in a neighbourhood of p such that Ω is identified to an open subset of \mathbb{R}^n and $p = (x_0, \xi_0)$. Assume that $p \notin SS(F)$. Then there exist a proper closed convex cone $\gamma \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $\varepsilon > 0$ and an open neighbourhood ω of x_0 with $\xi_0 \in \text{Int}(\gamma^\circ)$ such that $(\omega + \gamma^a) \cap \overline{H_\varepsilon} \subset X$ and*

$$H^j(X; \mathbb{C}_{(\omega + \gamma^a) \cap H_\varepsilon} \otimes F) = 0, \text{ for any } j \in \mathbb{Z}, x \in \omega.$$

2.2. Review on $t\mathcal{H}om$. The functor of moderate cohomology from $D_{\mathbb{R}-c}^b(\mathbb{C}_X)$ to $D^b(\mathcal{D}_X)$, $t\mathcal{H}om(\cdot, \mathcal{O}_X)$, was introduced in [13]. For a detailed study we refer to [15]. Recall that, when X is a complexification of a real analytic manifold M and $F = D'(\mathbb{C}_M)$, we have $t\mathcal{H}om(F, \mathcal{O}_X) \simeq \mathcal{D}b_M$.

Given an open subanalytic subset U of X , one defines the sheaf of tempered holomorphic functions on U , \mathcal{O}_X^{t-U} , by setting:

$$\Gamma(\Omega; \mathcal{O}^{t-U}) = \{f \in \Gamma(\Omega \cap U; \mathcal{O}_X); \text{ for any compact } K \subset \Omega \text{ there exist an integer } k \geq 0 \text{ and a number } C_K \geq 0 \text{ such that } \sup_{K \cap U} |f(z) d(z, \mathbb{C}U)^k| \leq C_K\}.$$

As a byproduct of Section 10.2 of [15] we get

Proposition 2.5. *For an open subanalytic subset U of \mathbb{C}^n , one has*

$$\mathcal{H}^0 t\mathcal{H}om(\mathbb{C}_U, \mathcal{O}_X) = \mathcal{O}_X^{t-U}.$$

Proposition 2.6 (cf [3], Lemma 2.6, [9], Theorem 2.5). *Assume that U is Stein relatively compact. Then $t\mathcal{H}om(\mathbb{C}_U, \mathcal{O}_X)$ is concentrated in degree 0 and, for any open Stein subset V , for any $j \geq 1$,*

$$(2) \quad H^j(V; t\mathcal{H}om(\mathbb{C}_U, \mathcal{O}_X)) = 0.$$

2.3. Review on the 1-characteristic variety. Let us now consider a smooth morphism $f : X \rightarrow Y$ of complex manifolds, let $\mathcal{M} \in \text{Mod}_{coh}(\mathcal{D}_X)$ and let us recall the construction of $C_f^1(\mathcal{M})$ due to P. Schapira in [22]. The ring of differential operators relative to f is

$$\mathcal{D}_{X|Y} := \{P \in \mathcal{D}_X; [P, f^{-1}\mathcal{O}_Y] = 0\}$$

which is endowed with the filtration by the order induced by the order on \mathcal{D}_X . We note $\sigma_{X|Y}^1$ the associated principal symbol regarded as a holomorphic function on

$T^*(X|Y)$. We shall keep the notation $\sigma(P)$ for the usual principal symbol of P as a section of \mathcal{D}_X .

The 1-characteristic variety of \mathcal{M} with respect to f is a closed conic analytic subset of $T^*(X|Y)$ which, assuming that $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{D}_X/\mathcal{J}$ for a coherent ideal \mathcal{J} of \mathcal{D}_X , is given by:

$$C_f^1(\mathcal{M}) = \{\theta \in T^*(X|Y); \sigma_{X|Y}^1(P)(\theta) = 0, \forall P \in \mathcal{J} \cap \mathcal{D}_{X|Y}\}.$$

Proposition 2.7. ([22]) *If $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N} \rightarrow 0$ is an exact sequence of coherent \mathcal{D}_X -modules, then*

$$C_f^1(\mathcal{M}) = C_f^1(\mathcal{L}) \cup C_f^1(\mathcal{N}).$$

We can extend this construction to $D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{D}_X)$, setting, for $\mathcal{M} \in D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{D}_X)$,

$$C_f^1(\mathcal{M}) := \cup_j C_f^1(\mathcal{H}^j(\mathcal{M})).$$

Lemma 2.8. *Let X, Y, Z be complex manifolds and let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a smooth morphism. Let $p : X \times Z \rightarrow X$ denote the projection and let $q : T^*(X \times Z) \rightarrow T^*X$ denote the canonical projection. Then, for any $\mathcal{M} \in D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{D}_X)$, one has $q^{-1}\tilde{f}^{-1}(C_f^1(\mathcal{M})) = (f \times \widetilde{Id}_Z)^{-1}(C_{f \times Id_Z}^1(\underline{p}^{-1}\mathcal{M}))$.*

Proof. By classic tools, we may assume that \mathcal{M} is concentrated in degree zero and is of the form $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{D}_X/\mathcal{J}$, for a coherent ideal \mathcal{J} of \mathcal{D}_X . Let us consider a system of local coordinates (x) on X , (z) on Z and (y) on Y . Then

$$(f \times \widetilde{Id}_Z)^{-1}(C_{f \times Id_Z}^1(\underline{p}^{-1}\mathcal{M})) = \{(\theta, \theta') \in T^*X \times T^*Z; \sigma(P)(\theta, \theta') = 0\}$$

where P varies in $\mathcal{D}_{X \times Z|Y \times Z} \cap \langle \mathcal{D}_{X \times Z}\mathcal{J}, \mathcal{D}_{X \times Z}D_z \rangle$ and the result follows by the equality $\mathcal{D}_{X \times Z|Y \times Z} \cap \langle \mathcal{D}_{X \times Z}\mathcal{J}, \mathcal{D}_{X \times Z}D_z \rangle = \mathcal{D}_{X \times Z|Y \times Z} \cap \mathcal{D}_{X \times Z}\mathcal{J}$. q.e.d.

For a submanifold $H \subset X$, we shall say that H is non 1-characteristic for \mathcal{M} with respect to f if $T_H^*X \cap \tilde{f}^{-1}(C_f^1(\mathcal{M})) \subset T_H^*H$.

Remark 2.9. Let \mathcal{E}_X denote the sheaf of microdifferential operators on X . Denoting by V the sub-bundle $X \times_Y T^*Y$ of T^*X , as we shall see in Section 6, $C_f^1(\mathcal{M})$ is the differential version of the 1-microcharacteristic variety of the microdifferential system $\mathcal{E}_X \otimes_{\pi^{-1}\mathcal{D}_X} \pi^{-1}\mathcal{M}$ along $V \setminus T_X^*X$.

3. MAIN RESULT AND EXAMPLES

We now state the main result of this work. Its proof will be given in several steps throughout sections 4 and 5.

Theorem 3.1. *Let X and Y be complex manifolds, let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a smooth morphism. Then, for any $G \in D_{\mathbb{R}-c}^b(\mathbb{C}_X)$ such that $SS(G) \subset X \times_Y T^*Y$ and any $\mathcal{M} \in \text{Mod}_{\text{coh}}(\mathcal{D}_X)$, we have*

$$(3) \quad SS(\mathcal{R}\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_X}(\mathcal{M}, t\mathcal{H}om(G, \mathcal{O}_X))) \subset \tilde{f}^{-1}(C_f^1(\mathcal{M})).$$

We illustrate our result with simple examples:

Example 3.2. Let $X = \mathbb{C}^2 = \mathbb{C}_z \times \mathbb{C}_y$ and let $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_y$ be the projection. Let $P(z, D_z, y) \in \mathcal{D}_{X|Y}$ be the operator $D_z - y$. Consider the \mathcal{D}_X -module $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{D}_X/\langle P \rangle$. Then, in the canonical symplectic coordinates $(z, y; \zeta, \eta)$ in T^*X , we get $C_f^1(\mathcal{M}) = \{\zeta = 0\}$ hence $(0, 0; 1, 0) \notin C_f^1(\mathcal{M})$. Let $F = \mathbb{C}_U$ with $U = \{y; \Re y > 0\}$ and let $H_+ = \{(z, y); \Re z > 0\}$. We have $t\mathcal{H}om(f^{-1}\mathbb{C}_U, \mathcal{O}_X) = \mathcal{O}^{t-\mathbb{C} \times U}$.

Since $e^{-yz}(D_z - y)e^{yz} = D_z$, \mathcal{M} is regular along $V = \{(z, y; \zeta, \eta); \zeta = 0\}$ in the sense of [17], hence this example is also an application of [16]. Let B_ϵ be an open polydisc of X with center $(0, 0)$ and radius $1 \gg \epsilon > 0$. Let $g \in \Gamma(B_\epsilon \cap H_+; t\mathcal{H}om(f^{-1}\mathbb{C}_U, \mathcal{O}_X))$ (respectively $g \in \Gamma(B_\epsilon; t\mathcal{H}om(f^{-1}\mathbb{C}_U, \mathcal{O}_X))$). Then, equation $Pu = g$ has a solution u in $\Gamma(B_\epsilon \cap H_+ \cap \mathbb{C} \times U; \mathcal{O}_X)$ (respectively in $\Gamma(B_\epsilon \cap \mathbb{C} \times U; \mathcal{O}_X)$) since solving $(D_z - y)f = g$ is equivalent to solve $D_z(e^{-zy}f) = e^{-zy}g$. In both cases it becomes clear that in fact u defines a section of $t\mathcal{H}om(\mathbb{C} \times U, \mathcal{O}_X)$.

Suppose now that $u \in \Gamma(B_\epsilon \cap H_+; t\mathcal{H}om(f^{-1}\mathbb{C}_U, \mathcal{O}_X))$ is such that Pu extends, for some ϵ' , to a section in $\Gamma(B_{\epsilon'}; t\mathcal{H}om(f^{-1}\mathbb{C}_U, \mathcal{O}_X))$. Since u extends in the z variable by classical Zerner's Lemma, and the general solution of the homogeneous equation $Pu = 0$ in $t\mathcal{H}om(f^{-1}\mathbb{C}_U, \mathcal{O}_X)$ is of the form $C(y)e^{yz}$, with $C(y) \in t\mathcal{H}om(\mathbb{C}_U, \mathcal{O}_Y)$, the extension of u defines a section in $\Gamma(B_{\epsilon'}; t\mathcal{H}om(f^{-1}\mathbb{C}_U, \mathcal{O}_X))$.

Example 3.3. In the situation of 1. let $Q(z, D_z, y) = z^2D_z + y^2$ and let $\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{D}_X/\langle Q \rangle$. Let $0 < \epsilon \ll 1$. Then $C_f^1(\mathcal{N}) = \{z = 0\} \cup \{\zeta = 0\}$. Therefore $(0, 0; 1, 0) \in \tilde{f}^{-1}(C_f^1(\mathcal{N}))$. Let $U \subset Y$ be equal to $\{\Re y > 0\}$. Consider a solution $u = C(y)e^{-y^2/z}$ of the homogeneous equation, with $C(y) \in \Gamma(B_\epsilon, t\mathcal{H}om(\mathbb{C}_U, \mathcal{O}_Y))$. Clearly, $u \in \Gamma(\{\Re z > 0\} \times B_\epsilon(0), t\mathcal{H}om(f^{-1}\mathbb{C}_U, \mathcal{O}_X))$. However u does not extend to any open set containing points of the form $(0, y)$.

Example 3.4. Let $X = \mathbb{C}_{z_1} \times \mathbb{C}_{z_2} \times \mathbb{C}_y$, let $P(z_1, z_2, y; D_{z_1}, D_{z_2}) = D_{z_1} - yD_{z_2} \in \mathcal{D}_{X|Y}$ and let $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{D}_X/\langle D_{z_1} - yD_{z_2} \rangle$. Let $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_y$ be the projection and let $U = \{y; \Re y > 0\}$ as in the previous examples. Then $\tilde{f}^{-1}(C_f^1(\mathcal{M})) = \{(z_1, z_2, y; \zeta_1, \zeta_2, \eta); \zeta_1 = y\zeta_2\}$, hence $(0, 1, 0; 1, 0, 0)$ is 1-non characteristic for \mathcal{M} with respect to f . Given $0 < \epsilon \ll 1$, the solution of the Cauchy problem $Pu = 0$, $u|_{z_1=0} = 1/ye^{1/z_2}$, for $|z_1| < \epsilon$, $\Re y > 0$, $|y| < \epsilon$ and $|z_2 - 1| < \epsilon$ is $u = 1/ye^{\frac{1}{yz_1+z_2}}$ which is a section of $t\mathcal{H}om(f^{-1}U, \mathcal{O}_X)$ since $e^{\frac{1}{yz_1+z_2}}$ it is holomorphic for $|z_1| < \epsilon$, $|y| < \epsilon$ and $|z_2 - 1| < \epsilon$.

Assume that Y is a complexification of a real analytic manifold N and let $d = \dim Y$. For a smooth morphism $f : X \rightarrow Y$ the complex $t\mathcal{H}om(\mathbb{C}_{f^{-1}(N)}, \mathcal{O}_X)$ is concentrated in degree d . In particular, when f is a projection, we can describe $\mathcal{H}^d(t\mathcal{H}om(\mathbb{C}_{f^{-1}(N)}, \mathcal{O}_X)) \otimes \text{or}_{f^{-1}(N)|X}$ as a sheaf of distributions with holomorphic parameters which we denote by $Db_{X|N}$ for short. We get the following estimate, which is to relate with the results in [4].

Corollary 3.5. *Let $\mathcal{M} \in \text{Mod}_{\text{coh}}(\mathcal{D}_X)$. Then,*

$$SS(R\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_X}(\mathcal{M}, Db_{X|N})) \subset \tilde{f}^{-1}(C_f^1(\mathcal{M})).$$

4. REALIFICATION

Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a smooth morphism of complex manifolds. Let us denote by \bar{Y} the underlying topological space Y endowed with the complex conjugate structure and, identifying Y to the diagonal $\Delta \subset Y \times \bar{Y}$ by the canonical embedding δ , let us regard $Y \times \bar{Y}$ as a complexification of Y . Let us denote by $j : X \rightarrow X \times \bar{Y}$ the associated morphism and $p : X \times \bar{Y} \rightarrow X$ the associated projections.

Proposition 4.1. *For any $F \in D_{\mathbb{R}-c}^b(\mathbb{C}_Y)$ and any $\mathcal{M} \in D_{\text{coh}}^b(\mathcal{D}_X)$ we have a canonical isomorphism:*

$$\begin{aligned} & Rp_* R\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_{X \times \bar{Y}}}(\underline{p}^{-1}\mathcal{M}, t\mathcal{H}om((f \times Id_{\bar{Y}})^{-1}R\delta_*F, \mathcal{O}_{X \times \bar{Y}})[\dim Y]) \\ (4) \quad & \simeq R\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_X}(\mathcal{M}, t\mathcal{H}om(f^{-1}F, \mathcal{O}_X)). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We have $pj = Id_X$ and j is proper so we may use the adjunction formula (7.4) of Theorem 7.2 of [15] with respect to j , $f^{-1}F$ and $\underline{p}^{-1}\mathcal{M}$ to obtain a natural isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} (5) \quad & R\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_{X \times \bar{Y}}}(\underline{p}^{-1}\mathcal{M}, t\mathcal{H}om(Rj_*f^{-1}F, \mathcal{O}_{X \times \bar{Y}})[\dim Y]) \\ & \simeq Rj_*R\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_X}(\mathcal{M}, t\mathcal{H}om(f^{-1}F, \mathcal{O}_X)). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, the equality $\delta f = (f \times Id_{\bar{Y}})j$ and Proposition 2.5.11 of [14] entail the existence of a natural isomorphism such that, for any $F \in D_{\mathbb{R}-c}^b(\mathbb{C}_Y)$, $Rj_*f^{-1}F \simeq (f \times Id_{\bar{Y}})^{-1}R\delta_*F$ which, composed with (5), gives (4.1). q.e.d.

Corollary 4.2. *In the situation of Proposition 4.1, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} & SS(R\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_X}(\mathcal{M}, t\mathcal{H}om(f^{-1}F, \mathcal{O}_X))) \\ & \subset p_{\pi}D(SS(R\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_{X \times \bar{Y}}}(\underline{p}^{-1}\mathcal{M}, t\mathcal{H}om((f \times Id_{\bar{Y}})^{-1}R\delta_*F, \mathcal{O}_{X \times \bar{Y}}))))). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. This is a consequence of the properness of p on the support of

$$R\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}_{X \times \bar{Y}}}(\underline{p}^{-1}\mathcal{M}, t\mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}((f \times id_{\bar{Y}})^{-1}R\delta_*F, \mathcal{O}_{X \times \bar{Y}})).$$

q.e.d.

Proposition 4.3. *For any $\mathcal{M} \in D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{D}_X)$, we have*

$$p_\pi p_d^{-1}((f \times Id_{\bar{Y}})^{-1}(C_{f \times Id_{\bar{Y}}}^1(\underline{p}^{-1}\mathcal{M}))) = \tilde{f}^{-1}(C_f^1(\mathcal{M})).$$

Proof. Let $q : T^*(X \times \bar{Y}) \rightarrow T^*X$ be the projection. Since $C_{f \times Id_{\bar{Y}}}^1(\underline{p}^{-1}\mathcal{M}) \subset T^*(X|Y) \times \bar{Y}$, by Remark 2.1 we have

$$p_\pi p_d^{-1}((f \times Id_{\bar{Y}})^{-1}(C_{f \times Id_{\bar{Y}}}^1(\underline{p}^{-1}\mathcal{M}))) = q((f \times Id_{\bar{Y}})^{-1}(C_{f \times Id_{\bar{Y}}}^1(\underline{p}^{-1}\mathcal{M}))).$$

By Lemma 2.8 we have $q((f \times Id_{\bar{Y}})^{-1}(C_{f \times Id_{\bar{Y}}}^1(\underline{p}^{-1}\mathcal{M}))) = \tilde{f}^{-1}C_f^1(\mathcal{M})$ which ends the proof. q.e.d.

5. CAUCHY-KOWALEVSKAIA THEOREM AND PROPAGATION

5.1. Cauchy-Kowalevskia theorem. Let $X \subset \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}^d$ be an open neighbourhood of 0 of the form $X = Z \times Y$, with $Z \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ and $Y \subset \mathbb{C}^d$. f will denote the projection $X \rightarrow Y$.

We shall consider a differential operator $P \in \mathcal{D}_{X|Y}$ of the form

$$(6) \quad P(z, y, D_z, D_y) = D_{z_1}^m + \sum_{0 \leq j < m} a_{\alpha_j}(z, y) D_{z'}^\alpha D_{z_1}^j, |\alpha| \leq m - j,$$

where $\alpha = (\alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{N}^{n-1}$, $D_{z'}^\alpha = D_{z_2}^{\alpha_2} \dots D_{z_n}^{\alpha_n}$ and where the coefficients $a_{\alpha_j}(z, y)$ are holomorphic in a neighbourhood Ω_0 of 0 in X .

Let W be an open subset in Z and let $h \in \mathbb{C}$. We note H_h and H , respectively, the hyperplane in Z given by $z_1 = h$ and $z_1 = 0$. Let δ be a real positive number. According to the definition of J.M. Bony and P. Schapira in [5], W is $\delta - H_h - flat$ if W is convex and for any two given points $z \in W$ and $\tilde{z} \in H_h$, the condition

$$|z_1 - h| \geq \delta |z_j - \tilde{z}_j|_{j=2, \dots, n}$$

implies that \tilde{z} is contained in $W \cap H_h$.

Let now Ω be an open subset of X . We shall say that Ω is $Y - \delta - H_h - flat$ if, for any $y \in Y$, $f^{-1}(y) \cap \Omega$ is $\delta - H_h - flat$ in $f^{-1}(y)$.

In this situation we have:

Proposition 5.1. (Precised Cauchy Theorem) *Let U be an open subanalytic set of Y . Let P be an operator of the form (6). Then there exist an open neighbourhood Ω_0 of $0 \in X$ and $\delta > 0$ such that, for any h , for any open subset $\Omega \subset \Omega_0$*

which is $Y - \delta - H_h - \text{flat}$, for any

$$f \in \Gamma(\Omega; \mathcal{O}_X^{t-Z \times U})$$

and for any

$$(g) = (g_j)_{j=0, \dots, m-1} \in \Gamma(\Omega \cap H_h \times Y; \mathcal{O}_{H_h \times Y}^{t-H_h \times U})^m$$

the Cauchy Problem

$$(7) \quad Pu = f, \gamma(u) = (g),$$

where $\gamma(u) = (u|_{H_h \times Y}, \dots, D_{z_1}^m u|_{H_h \times Y})$, admits a unique solution

$$u \in \Gamma(\Omega; \mathcal{O}_X^{t-Z \times U}).$$

Proof. We shall adapt and follow step by step the proof of Theorem 2.4.3 of [4]. If $0 \in U \cup (Y \setminus \overline{U})$ the assertion is the classical precised Cauchy Theorem. Hence we assume that $0 \in \partial U$. Let us start by considering as Ω_0 an open neighborhood of 0 where all the a_{α_j} are holomorphic. We need to introduce some notations:

Let $\tilde{\Omega} \subset \Omega_0$ be a relatively compact open subset. For $(z, y) = (z_1, z', y) \in \tilde{\Omega}$ we set

$$(i) \quad d'_{\tilde{\Omega}}(z, y) = \inf\{|z' - \tilde{z}'|; (z_1, \tilde{z}', y) \in \mathbb{C}\tilde{\Omega}\}.$$

For $f \in \Gamma(\Omega; \mathcal{O}_X^{t-Z \times U})$, for any relatively compact open subset $\tilde{\Omega} \subset \Omega$ and any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we set

$$(ii) \quad |f|_{\tilde{\Omega}, k} = \sup_{\tilde{\Omega} \cap Z \times U} (|f(w)| d(w, \mathbb{C}(Z \times U))^k d'_{\tilde{\Omega}}(w)).$$

Therefore, the Cauchy data f and (g) satisfy the following condition: for any relatively compact open subset $\tilde{\Omega} \subset \Omega$ (respectively $\tilde{\Omega}' \subset \Omega \cap H_h \times Y$), there exists an integer $k \geq 0$ such that $|f|_{\tilde{\Omega}, k} < +\infty$ (respectively, for each $j = 0, \dots, m-1$, $|g_j|_{\tilde{\Omega}', k} < +\infty$).

Notice that the operator P may obviously be written in the form

$$(8) \quad P(z, y, D_z, D_y) = D_{z_1}^m + \sum_{0 \leq j < m-1} D_{z_1}^{\alpha_j} D_{z_1}^j a'_{\alpha_j}(z, y), |\alpha_j| \leq m-j,$$

where the coefficients $a'_{\alpha_j}(z, y)$ are holomorphic in Ω_0 . It is then clear that, for any α_j , any relatively compact open subset $\tilde{\Omega} \subset \Omega$ and $f \in \Gamma(\Omega; \mathcal{O}_X^{t-Z \times U})$, there exists $C_{\alpha_j} \geq 0$ such that

$$(9) \quad |a'_{\alpha_j} f|_{\tilde{\Omega}, k} < C_{\alpha_j} |f|_{\tilde{\Omega}, k}.$$

Up to shrinking Ω_0 and δ , any compact subset K of Ω is contained in a finite union of relatively compact open sets of the form

$\tilde{\Omega} = \tilde{\Omega}_1 \times \tilde{\Omega}_2$, with relatively compact open subsets $\tilde{\Omega}_1 \subset Z$, $\tilde{\Omega}_2 \subset Y$ and $\tilde{\Omega}_1$ being $\delta - H_h - flat$.

We may therefore assume that K is contained in such a single $\tilde{\Omega}$.

Lemma 5.2. *Assume that the Cauchy data of problem (7) satisfies*

$$(10) \quad |f|_{\tilde{\Omega},k} < +\infty, |g_j|_{\tilde{\Omega}',k} < +\infty, j \leq m-1$$

then the solution u satisfies $|u|_{\tilde{\Omega},k} < +\infty$.

Proof. We may assume $h = 0$ and then set $H = H_0$. We shall construct the solution u of (7) by successive approximation, defining recursively a sequence

$$u_\nu \in \Gamma(\Omega; \mathcal{O}_X^{t-Z \times U})$$

satisfying $|u_\nu|_{\tilde{\Omega},k} < +\infty$, by setting $u_0 = 0$ and $u_{\nu+1}$ being the solution of the Cauchy Problem

$$(11) \quad D_{z_1}^m u_{\nu+1} = f + \sum_{0 \leq j \leq m-1, |\alpha| \leq m-j} D_{z'}^\alpha D_{z_1}^j a'_{\alpha_j} u_\nu,$$

$$D_{z_1}^j u_{\nu+1}|_{H \times Y} = g_j, j = 0, \dots, m-1.$$

Note that Ω being $Y - \delta - H - flat$, for any $(z_1, z', y) \in \Omega \cap Z \times U$ and any $t \in [0, 1]$, the point (tz_1, z', y) belongs to $\Omega \cap Z \times U$, hence problem (11) is solvable.

Let us note $v_\nu = u_{\nu+1} - u_\nu$. We get $u_\nu = \sum_{i=0, \dots, \nu-1} v_i$,

$$(12) \quad D_{z_1}^m v_0 = f, D_{z_1}^j v_0|_{H \times Y} = g_j, j = 0, \dots, m-1$$

and

$$(13) \quad D_{z_1}^m v_{\nu+1} = \sum_{0 \leq j \leq m-1, |\alpha| \leq m-j} D_{z'}^\alpha D_{z_1}^j a'_{\alpha_j} v_\nu, D_{z_1}^j v_{\nu+1}|_{H \times Y} = 0.$$

Let us fix a given ν , assume that $v_\nu \in \Gamma(\Omega; \mathcal{O}_X^{t-Z \times U})$ and satisfies $|v_\nu|_{\tilde{\Omega},k} < +\infty$. We shall prove that there exists a constant $C > 0$ depending only on P and Ω_0 , such that

$$(14) \quad |v_{\nu+1}|_{\tilde{\Omega},k} \leq C\delta |v_\nu|_{\tilde{\Omega},k}.$$

In view of (9), it is enough to prove the following lemma:

Lemma 5.3. *There exists a constant $C > 0$, only depending on P and Ω_0 such that, given*

$$\omega \in \Gamma(\Omega; \mathcal{O}_X^{t-Z \times U})$$

satisfying $|\omega|_{\tilde{\Omega},k} < +\infty$, the solution v of the Cauchy problem

$$D_{z_1}^m v = D_{z'}^\alpha D_{z_1}^j \omega, \text{ (with } |\alpha| \leq m - j, j < m)$$

$$D_{z_1}^j v|_{H \times Y} = 0, j < m$$

satisfies

$$(15) \quad |v|_{\tilde{\Omega}, k} \leq C\delta |\omega|_{\tilde{\Omega}, k}.$$

Proof. Remark that v is also the solution of the Cauchy Problem

$$(16) \quad D_{z_1}^{m-j} v = D_{z'}^\alpha \omega, D_{z_1}^j v|_{H \times Y} = 0, j \leq m - j - 1.$$

We have, for such $\tilde{\Omega}$, $|\omega|_{\tilde{\Omega}, k} = \sup_{(z, y) \in \tilde{\Omega}_1 \times (\tilde{\Omega}_2 \cap U)} (|\omega(z, y)| d(y, \mathbb{C}U)^k d'_{\tilde{\Omega}}(z, y))$, therefore,

for any $(z, y) \in \tilde{\Omega}_1 \times (\tilde{\Omega}_2 \cap U)$,

$$|\omega(z, y)| \leq |\omega|_{\tilde{\Omega}, k} d(y, \mathbb{C}U)^{-k} d'_{\tilde{\Omega}}(z, y)^{-1}.$$

Let $z = (z_1, z') \in \tilde{\Omega}_1$ and let $y \in \tilde{\Omega}_2 \cap U$. By Cauchy integral formula, we get

$$(17) \quad |D_{z'}^\alpha \omega(z, y)| \leq |\omega|_{\tilde{\Omega}, k} e^{|\alpha|} (|\alpha| + 1)! d(y, \mathbb{C}U)^{-k} d'_{\tilde{\Omega}}(z, y)^{-|\alpha| - 1}.$$

Furthermore, we may assume $\delta < 1$ and the diameter of $\Omega_0 < 1/m$. Hence

$$(18) \quad |D_{z'}^\alpha \omega(z, y)| \leq |\omega|_{\tilde{\Omega}, k} e^{|\alpha|} (|\alpha| + 1)! d(y, \mathbb{C}U)^{-k} d'_{\tilde{\Omega}}(z, y)^{-m+j-1}.$$

Since $\tilde{\Omega}_1$ is $\delta - H - flat$, for $t \in [0, 1]$, $p_t := (tz_1, z', y)$ belongs to $\tilde{\Omega} \cap Z \times U$ and

$$d'_{\tilde{\Omega}}(p_t) \geq d'_{\tilde{\Omega}}(z, y) + \left| \frac{(1-t)z_1}{\delta} \right|$$

hence,

$$(19) \quad \left| \int_0^1 D_{z'}^\alpha \omega(z_1 dt) \right| \leq |\omega|_{\tilde{\Omega}, k} e^{|\alpha|} (|\alpha| + 1)! d(y, \mathbb{C}U)^{-k} \frac{\delta}{m-j} d'_{\tilde{\Omega}}(z, y)^{-m+j}.$$

Iterating $m - j$ times this integration, we get

$$|v(z, y)| \leq |\omega|_{\tilde{\Omega}, k} e^{|\alpha|} (|\alpha| + 1)! d(y, \mathbb{C}U)^{-k} \frac{\delta^{m-j}}{(m-j)!} d'_{\tilde{\Omega}}(z, y)^{-1},$$

hence

$$|v|_{\tilde{\Omega}, k} \leq |\omega|_{\tilde{\Omega}, k} e^{|\alpha|} (|\alpha| + 1)! \frac{\delta^{m-j}}{(m-j)!},$$

and we can choose $C = e^{|\alpha|} (|\alpha| + 1)!$.

q.e.d.

Remark that $v_0 = G + \sum_{j=0, \dots, m-1} g_j(z', y) z_1^j / j!$ where G denotes the m th-primitive of f , vanishing up to the order m on $H \times Y$. By assumption, $|f|_{\tilde{\Omega}, k} < +\infty$, $|g_j|_{\tilde{\Omega} \cap H \times Y, k} < +\infty$. Hence $|v_0|_{\tilde{\Omega}, k} < +\infty$. Noting $C_0 = |v_0|_{\tilde{\Omega}, k}$, arguing by induction thanks to (9) and (14) we get

$$(20) \quad |v_{\nu+1}|_{\tilde{\Omega}, k} \leq C_0 (C\delta)^{\nu+1}.$$

We may also assume that $\delta < 1/C$. Therefore the series $\sum v_\nu$ defines a holomorphic function u in $\Omega \cap Z \times U$ satisfying the estimate $|u|_{\tilde{\Omega}, k} \leq C_0 \sum (C\delta)^\nu < +\infty$. Clearly, u satisfies $Pu = f$ and u is unique.

This ends the proof of Lemma 5.2. q.e.d.

Proposition 5.1 follows immediately from Lemma 5.2. q.e.d.

We shall now obtain in Theorem 5.6 below a tempered variant of Cauchy-Kowalevskaia-Kashiwara theorem (cf [12]).

In the following results we consider X and Y complex manifolds, we assume $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a given smooth morphism and $\mathcal{M} \in D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{D}_X)$ be given. Let us note $V = X \times_Y T^*Y$. Let be given a submanifold H of X non 1-characteristic for \mathcal{M} with respect to f and let f_H denote $f|_H$.

Corollary 5.4. *Assume $F = \mathbb{C}_U$ where U is a finite union of Stein open subanalytic relatively compact subsets of Y .*

Then the natural morphism in $D^b(\mathbb{C}_H)$

$$(21) \quad R\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_X}(\mathcal{M}, t\mathcal{H}om(f^{-1}F, \mathcal{O}_X))|_H \rightarrow R\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_H}(\mathcal{M}_H, t\mathcal{H}om(f_H^{-1}F, \mathcal{O}_H))$$

is an isomorphism.

Proof. The statement follows easily by the classical methods first reducing to the case of a single coherent module \mathcal{M} and then to the case where H is an hypersurface and where \mathcal{M} is defined by an operator in the conditions of Proposition 5.1. To end the proof one argues by induction on the number of open subanalytic sets whose union gives U , using the fact that the family of Stein open subanalytic sets is stable under finite intersection. q.e.d.

Corollary 5.5. *Assume that Y is the complexification of a real analytic manifold N and that $F \in D_{\mathbb{R}-c}^b(\mathbb{C}_N)$. Then the natural morphism in $D^b(\mathbb{C}_H)$*

$$(22) \quad R\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_X}(\mathcal{M}, t\mathcal{H}om(f^{-1}F, \mathcal{O}_X))|_H \rightarrow R\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_H}(\mathcal{M}_H, t\mathcal{H}om(f_H^{-1}F, \mathcal{O}_H))$$

is an isomorphism.

Proof. We may assume the following situation in a neighborhood of x : $X = Z \times Y$, where Z is an open neighbourhood of $0 \in \mathbb{C}^n$, Y is an open neighbourhood of $0 \in \mathbb{C}^d$ and f is the projection.

It is enough to prove the result for $F = \mathbb{C}_U$, with U being an open subanalytic relatively compact subset of N . Moreover we may assume that N is \mathbb{R}^d and $Y = \mathbb{C}^d$. By the subanalytic Grauert's theorem ([2]), we can write U as $U = \Omega \cap \mathbb{R}^d$, where Ω is Stein open subanalytic in \mathbb{C}^d . On the other hand, $\mathbb{C}^d \setminus \mathbb{R}^d$ is a finite union of Stein open subsets. The result then follows by Corollary 5.4. q.e.d.

Keeping the same notations and assumptions, the preceding Corollaries and Proposition 4.1 together entail:

Theorem 5.6. (*Cauchy-Kowalevskaia-Kashiwara theorem*)

Let $F \in D_{\mathbb{R}-c}^b(\mathbb{C}_X)$ and assume that $SS(F) \subset V$. Then the natural morphism in $D^b(\mathbb{C}_H)$

$$(23) \quad R\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_X}(\mathcal{M}, t\mathcal{H}om(F, \mathcal{O}_X))|_H \rightarrow R\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_H}(\mathcal{M}_H, t\mathcal{H}om(F|_H, \mathcal{O}_H))$$

is an isomorphism.

5.2. Propagation. Notice that, for an open subset Ω of a complex manifold X , U a subanalytic open subset of X and $p \in \partial\Omega$, given $u \in \Gamma(\Omega; \mathcal{O}_X^{t-U})$, the precise meaning of the assertion that u extends as a section of \mathcal{O}_X^{t-U} in a neighbourhood of p is that there exist an open neighborhood Ω' of p and a section $u' \in \Gamma(\Omega'; \mathcal{O}_X^{t-U})$, such that, as holomorphic functions, $u'|_{\Omega' \cap \Omega \cap U} = u|_{\Omega' \cap \Omega \cap U}$.

Let us now come back to the situation of Proposition 5.1. Hence $X \subset \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}^d$ is an open neighbourhood of 0 of the form $X = Z \times Y$, for some open subsets $Z \subset \mathbb{C}^n$, $Y \subset \mathbb{C}^d$, f denotes the projection $X \rightarrow Y$ and $P \in \mathcal{D}_{X|Y}$ satisfies (6). The following Lemma is an adaptation of Zerner's Lemma([23]):

Lemma 5.7. *Let U be an open subanalytic set of Y . Let ϕ be a C^∞ function in a neighbourhood of 0 in X such that $\phi(0) = 0$ and $d\phi(0) = dz_1$. Let $\Omega = \{(z, y) \in X : \phi(z, y) < 0\}$. Assume that Pu extends as a section of $\mathcal{O}_X^{t-Z \times U}$ in a neighbourhood of 0. Then u extends (as a section of $\mathcal{O}_X^{t-Z \times U}$) in a neighbourhood of 0.*

Proof. In view of Proposition 5.1 the proof is similar to that of Lemma 2.7 in [19]. We may assume that ϕ is defined in $\Omega_0 \subset \Omega$, with Ω_0 satisfying the assumptions of Proposition 5.1 with respect to a given δ , and that Pu extends to Ω_0 . Then there exists $0 < \epsilon \ll 1$ and $R > 0$ such that the open polydisc centered in $(-\epsilon, 0, 0)$ and radius $\max(R, \delta R)$ is contained in Ω_0 . Then,

$$W_\epsilon = \{(z_1, z', y) : |z_1 + \epsilon| < \delta(R - \|z'\|), \|z'\| < R, \|y\| < R\} \subset \Omega_0$$

is $Y - \delta - H_{-\epsilon}$ - flat and is a neighbourhood of 0. Again by Proposition 5.1 the solution of $Pu_\epsilon = Pu$, $\gamma(u_\epsilon) = \gamma(u)$ defines a section of $\mathcal{O}_X^{t-Z \times U}$ on W_ϵ . q.e.d.

The preceding Lemma has a global version adapting Lemma 3.1.5 of [22]:

Lemma 5.8. *Let ω and Ω be two convex subsets in X , with Ω open, ω locally closed and $\omega \subset \Omega$. Assume that any real hyperplane whose conormal belongs to the closure of $\{\xi; \exists x \in \Omega, \tilde{f}(x, \xi) \in C_f^1(\mathcal{D}_X/\mathcal{D}_X P)\}$ which intersects Ω also intersects ω . Then, if $u \in \Gamma(\omega; \mathcal{O}_X^{t-Z \times U})$ is such that Pu extends to Ω (as a section in $\Gamma(\Omega; \mathcal{O}_X^{t-Z \times U})$), then u extends to Ω .*

Remark 5.9. Let Z, Y be open sets respectively in \mathbb{C}^n and \mathbb{C}^d and let $f : Z \times Y \rightarrow Y$ be the projection. Let U be a subanalytic open set in Y . Given an open subset Ω of $X = Z \times Y$ such that, for any $y \in U$, $\Omega \cap f^{-1}(y)$ is connected, and given an open subset $\omega \subset \Omega$ such that, for any $y \in U$, $\omega \cap f^{-1}(y)$ is non empty,

if $u \in \Gamma(\Omega; \mathcal{O}_X^{t-Z \times U})$ vanishes on ω , it follows that $u = 0$.

Indeed, regarding u as a holomorphic function on $\Omega \cap f^{-1}(U)$, we may apply the analytic continuation principle to the restriction of u to each $\Omega \cap f^{-1}(y)$, $y \in U$, as a holomorphic function in the z -variable. Since $u|_{\omega \cap f^{-1}(y)}$ vanishes, $u|_{\Omega \cap f^{-1}(y)}$ vanishes and the statement follows.

Note that in the case of $f = Id : \mathbb{C}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^d$, this result is trivial since the conditions above just mean that $U \subset \omega$ and that u , regarded as a holomorphic function on $\omega \cap U = U = \Omega \cap U$, vanishes.

Lemma 5.10. (*Propagation*) Let X and Y complex manifolds and let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a smooth morphism. Let U be a finite union of Stein subanalytic relatively compact open subsets of Y . Then, for any $\mathcal{M} \in D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{D}_X)$, we have

$$(24) \quad SS(R\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_X}(\mathcal{M}, t\mathcal{H}om(\mathbb{C}_{f^{-1}U}, \mathcal{O}_X))) \subset \tilde{f}^{-1}(C_f^1(\mathcal{M})).$$

Proof. By induction on the number of open subsets whose reunion gives U , we may assume that U is a relatively compact connected Stein open set. Let $p = (x, \xi) \notin \tilde{f}^{-1}(C_f^1(\mathcal{M}))$. As above, we may assume that $x = 0 \in \mathbb{C}^{n+d}$, $X = Z \times Y$, where Z is an open neighborhood of $0 \in \mathbb{C}^n$, Y is an open neighbourhood of $0 \in \mathbb{C}^d$, f is the projection and $p = dz_1$. Moreover, we may assume that \mathcal{M} is of the form $\mathcal{D}_X/\mathcal{D}_X P$ with

$$(25) \quad P(z, y, D_z, D_y) = D_{z_1}^m + \sum_{0 \leq j < m} a_\alpha(z, y) D_z^\alpha D_{z_1}^j, |\alpha| \leq m$$

where $\alpha = (\alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{N}^{n-1}$, $D_z^\alpha = D_{z_2}^{\alpha_2} \dots D_{z_n}^{\alpha_n}$ and where the coefficients $a_\alpha(z, y)$ are holomorphic in a neighbourhood Ω_0 of 0 . Let \mathcal{H} denote the complex $R\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_X}(\mathcal{M}, t\mathcal{H}om(\mathbb{C}_{Z \times U}, \mathcal{O}_X))$. Let Ω and δ be given by Proposition 5.1. We shall apply Proposition 2.4 and prove that, for $0 < \epsilon \ll 1$ and $0 < R \ll 1$, denoting by V the open polydisc of center $(0, \dots, 0)$ and radius R , $B_R(0)$, by $F_\epsilon = \{(z, y) \in X; \operatorname{Re} z_1 > -\epsilon\}$, by $L_\epsilon = \{(z, y); \operatorname{Re} z_1 = -\epsilon\}$ and by γ the proper closed convex cone of \mathbb{C}^{n+d}

$$\gamma = \{(z_1, z', y), \operatorname{Re} z_1 \leq -\delta(\|(z', y)\| + |\operatorname{Im} z_1|)\},$$

we have

$$H^j(X; \mathbb{C}_{((z, y) + \gamma) \cap F_\epsilon} \otimes \mathcal{H}) = 0, \text{ for any } j \in \mathbb{Z}, \text{ and any } (z, y) \in V.$$

Remark that $p \in \text{int}\gamma^{oa}$. We may assume ϵ and R small enough such that for $(z, y) \in V$, $((z, y) + \gamma) \cap \overline{F_\epsilon} \subset \Omega_0$. It is enough to prove that P defines an isomorphism in

$$\mathcal{H}^j(X; \mathbb{C}_{((z,y)+\gamma) \cap F_\epsilon} \otimes t\mathcal{H}\text{om}(\mathbb{C}_{Z \times U}, \mathcal{O}_X)).$$

We claim that

$$(26) \quad \text{for } j \neq 1, \mathcal{H}^j(X; \mathbb{C}_{((z,y)+\gamma) \cap F_\epsilon} \otimes t\mathcal{H}\text{om}(\mathbb{C}_{Z \times U}, \mathcal{O}_X)) = 0.$$

Set $F'_\epsilon = \{(z, y) : \text{Re}z_1 < -\epsilon\}$.

Case $j = 0$:

Let us prove that:

$$H^0(X; \mathbb{C}_{((z,y)+\gamma) \cap F_\epsilon} \otimes t\mathcal{H}\text{om}(\mathbb{C}_{Z \times U}, \mathcal{O}_X)) = 0.$$

Let $s \in \Gamma(X; \mathbb{C}_{((z,y)+\gamma) \cap F_\epsilon} \otimes t\mathcal{H}\text{om}(\mathbb{C}_{Z \times U}, \mathcal{O}_X))$. Then there exists $(\tilde{z}, \tilde{y}) \in V$ such that s extends as a section s' of $\Gamma((\tilde{z}, \tilde{y}) + \text{int}(\gamma); t\mathcal{H}\text{om}(\mathbb{C}_{Z \times U}, \mathcal{O}_X))$ with support in $\overline{F_\epsilon}$. Take $\Omega = (\tilde{z}, \tilde{y}) + \text{int}(\gamma)$ and $\omega = \Omega \cap F'_\epsilon$. Hence s vanishes on ω . Since Ω is convex, for any $y \in U$, $f^{-1}(y) \cap \Omega$ is convex. Moreover $f^{-1}(y) \cap \omega \neq \emptyset$ provided δ is small enough. By Remark 5.9 it follows that $s = 0$.

Case $j > 1$:

Set $\overline{F'_{\epsilon'}} = \{(z, y) \in X; \text{Re}z_1 \leq -\epsilon'\}$. Since $(z, y) + \gamma$, $((z, y) + \gamma) \cap \overline{F'_{\epsilon'}}$ are closed convex, by Proposition 2.6 we get, for $j \geq 1$,

$$H^j(X; \mathbb{C}_{((z,y)+\gamma)} \otimes t\mathcal{H}\text{om}(\mathbb{C}_{Z \times U}, \mathcal{O}_X)) = 0,$$

$$H^j(X; \mathbb{C}_{((z,y)+\gamma) \cap \overline{F'_{\epsilon'}}} \otimes t\mathcal{H}\text{om}(\mathbb{C}_{Z \times U}, \mathcal{O}_X)) = 0.$$

Then (26) follows by the distinguished triangle

$$\begin{aligned} R\Gamma(X; \mathbb{C}_{((z,y)+\gamma) \cap F_\epsilon} \otimes t\mathcal{H}\text{om}(\mathbb{C}_{Z \times U}, \mathcal{O}_X)) &\rightarrow \\ R\Gamma(X; \mathbb{C}_{(z,y)+\gamma} \otimes t\mathcal{H}\text{om}(\mathbb{C}_{Z \times U}, \mathcal{O}_X)) &\rightarrow \\ R\Gamma(X; \mathbb{C}_{((z,y)+\gamma) \cap \overline{F'_{\epsilon'}}} \otimes t\mathcal{H}\text{om}(\mathbb{C}_{Z \times U}, \mathcal{O}_X)) &\rightarrow_{+1} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, it is enough to prove that P defines an isomorphism in

$$\mathcal{H}^1(X; \mathbb{C}_{((z,y)+\gamma) \cap F_\epsilon} \otimes t\mathcal{H}\text{om}(\mathbb{C}_{Z \times U}, \mathcal{O}_X)).$$

It is sufficient to prove that P defines an isomorphism on

$$\mathcal{H}^1((z, y) + \gamma) \cap \overline{F_{\epsilon'}}, t\mathcal{H}\text{om}(\mathbb{C}_{Z \times U}, \mathcal{O}_X))$$

for $\epsilon > \epsilon' > 0$. By successive application of Proposition 2.6 and Remark 5.9, we get

$$\mathcal{H}^1((z, y) + \gamma) \cap \overline{F_{\epsilon'}}, t\mathcal{H}\text{om}(\mathbb{C}_{Z \times U}, \mathcal{O}_X)) \simeq \frac{\Gamma(((z,y)+\gamma) \cap L_{\epsilon'}; t\mathcal{H}\text{om}(\mathbb{C}_{Z \times U}, \mathcal{O}_X))}{\Gamma(((z,y)+\gamma) \cap \overline{F_{\epsilon'}}; t\mathcal{H}\text{om}(\mathbb{C}_{Z \times U}, \mathcal{O}_X))}$$

Note that any given $(z, y) \in L_{\epsilon'}$ admits a fundamental system of $Y - \delta - H_{-\epsilon'}$ -flat neighborhoods. So, given $v \in \Gamma(((z, y) + \gamma) \cap L_{\epsilon'}; t\mathcal{H}\text{om}(\mathbb{C}_{Z \times U}, \mathcal{O}_X))$, by Proposition 5.1 we solve the equation $Pu = v$ in a neighborhood of $H_{-\epsilon'}$ and then we extend it to a neighborhood of $((z, y) + \gamma) \cap \overline{F}_{\epsilon'}$ by Lemma 5.8. This proves the surjectivity of P . To prove the injectivity we follow [19], which is based on (5.1.4) and (5.1.5) of [14]. For each $a = (z_0, y_0) \in V \cap (\overline{F}_{\epsilon'} \setminus L_{\epsilon'})$ one constructs a family of open subsets $\{\Omega_t(a)\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}^+}$, such that:

- (1) $\Omega_t(a) \subset a + \text{int}\gamma$,
- (2) $\Omega_t(a) \cap L_{\epsilon'} = (a + \text{int}\gamma) \cap L_{\epsilon'}$,
- (3) $\Omega_t(a) = \bigcup_{r < t} (a)$,
- (4) $\partial\Omega_t(a)$ is smooth real analytic,
- (5) $Z_t(a) := (\bigcap_{s > t} \overline{\Omega_s(a)} \setminus \overline{\Omega_t(a)}) \cap \overline{F}_{\epsilon'} \subset \partial\Omega_t(a)$ and the conormal to $\Omega_t(a)$ at the points in $Z_t(a)$ is non 1-characteristic for P ,
- (6) $(\bigcup_{t > 0} \Omega_t(a)) \cap \overline{F}_{\epsilon'} \subset (a + \text{int}\gamma) \cap \overline{F}_{\epsilon'}$,
- (7) $(\bigcap_{t > 0} \Omega_t(a)) \cap \overline{F}_{\epsilon'} \subset (a + \text{int}\gamma) \cap L_{\epsilon'}$.

Remark that, for $v = (1, 0, \dots, 0; 0, \dots, 0)$ the family

$$\{(z, y) + \rho v + \text{int}\gamma \cap \overline{F}_{\epsilon'}\}_{\rho > 0}$$

forms a neighborhood system of $(z, y) + \gamma \cap \overline{F}_{\epsilon'}$, and the family

$$\{\Omega_t((z, y) + \rho v) \cap \overline{F}_{\epsilon'}\}_{\rho > 0, t > 0}$$

forms a neighborhood system of $((z, y) + \gamma) \cap L_{\epsilon'}$. Let $f \in \Gamma(((z, y) + \gamma) \cap L_{\epsilon'}; t\mathcal{H}\text{om}(\mathbb{C}_{Z \times U}, \mathcal{O}_X))$ such that $Pf = g$ extends to a neighborhood of $((z, y) + \gamma) \cap \overline{F}_{\epsilon'}$. Choose ρ and t_0 such that f is defined in $\Omega_{t_0}((z, y) + \rho v) \cap \overline{F}_{\epsilon'}$ and such that g is defined in $((z, y) + \rho v + \text{int}\gamma) \cap \overline{F}_{\epsilon'}$. By the assumptions and Lemma 5.7 we can extend f to $\Omega_{t'}((z, y) + \rho v) \cap \overline{F}_{\epsilon'}$ for some $t' > t_0$ and this procedure gives an extension of g to $((z, y) + \gamma) \cap \overline{F}_{\epsilon'}$. q.e.d.

Proposition 5.11. *Let X and Y complex manifolds and let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a smooth morphism. Assume that Y is a complexification of a real manifold N . Let F belong to $D_{\mathbb{R}-c}^b(\mathbb{C}_N)$. Then (3) holds for $G = f^{-1}F$.*

Proof. It is enough to prove the result for $F = \mathbb{C}_U$ for an open subanalytic relatively compact subset of N . Moreover we may assume that N is \mathbb{R}^d and $Y = \mathbb{C}^d$. By the subanalytic Grauert's theorem (cf.[2]), we can write U as $U = \Omega \cap \mathbb{R}^d$, where Ω is Stein open subanalytic in \mathbb{C}^d . By Lemma 5.10, (3) holds for Ω . On the other hand, $\mathbb{C}^d \setminus \mathbb{R}^d$ is a finite union of Stein open subsets, hence (3) holds for $\Omega \setminus \mathbb{R}^d$ which ends the proof. q.e.d.

Proof of Theorem 3.1. The problem being of local nature on X , we may assume that $G \simeq f^{-1}F$ for some $F \in D_{\mathbb{R}-c}^b(\mathbb{C}_Y)$. By Corollary 4.2 we have

$$SS(\mathcal{R}\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_X}(\mathcal{M}, t\mathcal{H}om(f^{-1}F, \mathcal{O}_X))) \subset p_{\pi}p_d(SS(\mathcal{R}\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_{X \times \overline{Y}}}(\underline{p}^{-1}\mathcal{M}, t\mathcal{H}om(f \times id_{\overline{Y}})^{-1}R\delta_*F, \mathcal{O}_{X \times \overline{Y}}))).$$

where $p : X \times \overline{Y} \rightarrow X$ denotes the projection. The result is then an immediate consequence of Proposition 5.11 together with Corollary 4.3. q.e.d.

Proof of Corollary 3.5. It is an immediate consequence of Proposition 5.11. q.e.d.

In the case of a product, f being a projection, there is a particular class of \mathcal{D}_X -modules for which the estimate in Theorem 3.1 is easily improved.

Corollary 5.12. *Assume that $X = Z \times Y$, let $p : X \rightarrow Z$, $f : X \rightarrow Y$ and $q : T^*Z \times T^*Y \rightarrow T^*Z$ denote the projections. Let $\mathcal{M} \in D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{D}_Z)$ and let $G \in D_{\mathbb{R}-c}^b(\mathbb{C}_X)$ be given and satisfying $SS(G) \subset V$. Then*

$$SS(\mathcal{R}\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_X}(\underline{p}^{-1}\mathcal{M}, t\mathcal{H}om(G, \mathcal{O}_X))) \subset q^{-1}(Char(\mathcal{M})).$$

Proof. Reducing first to \mathcal{M} in degree zero, and secondly to $\mathcal{M} \simeq \mathcal{D}_Z/\mathcal{J}$, for a coherent ideal of \mathcal{D}_Z , one easily checks the equality

$$\tilde{f}^{-1}(C_f^1(\underline{p}^{-1}\mathcal{M})) = q^{-1}(Char(\mathcal{M})).$$

q.e.d.

APPENDIX A. MICROLOCALIZATION

A.1. 1-microcharacteristic varieties. Let V be a smooth involutive submanifold of $T^*X \setminus T_X^*X$, let $\mathcal{E}_X(m)_{m \in \mathbb{Z}}$ denote the filtration of \mathcal{E}_X by the order, and let \mathcal{J}_V denote the subsheaf of \mathcal{E}_X of microdifferential operators of order at most 1 whose symbol of order 1 vanishes on V . Let $I_V \subset \mathcal{O}_{T^*X}$ be the defining ideal of V . One denotes \mathcal{E}_V the sub-sheaf of rings of \mathcal{E}_X generated by \mathcal{J}_V ,

$$\mathcal{E}_V = \cup_{m \geq 0} \mathcal{J}^m.$$

For $P \in \mathcal{E}_V \cap \mathcal{E}_X(m)$, $P \notin \mathcal{E}_X(m-1)$, one defines $\sigma_V^1(P)$ as being the image of $\sigma(P)$ in I_V^m/I_V^{m+1} . Hence $\sigma_V^1(P)$ defines a holomorphic function on $T_V(T^*X)$.

Let \mathcal{M} be a coherent \mathcal{E}_X -module. By the constructions of [20] and [18], one associates to \mathcal{M} the 1-microcharacteristic variety along V , a conic analytic subset of $T_V(T^*X)$, satisfying, for $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{E}_X/\mathcal{J}$, \mathcal{J} a coherent ideal of \mathcal{E}_X ,

$$C_V^1(\mathcal{M}) = \{p \in T_V(T^*X); \sigma_V^1(P)(p) = 0, \forall P \in \mathcal{J} \cap \mathcal{E}_V\}.$$

The definition extends naturally to $D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{E}_X)$.

Recall that the canonical 1-form on T^*X defines a section $T^*X \xrightarrow{H} T^*(T^*X)$. We also note ρ_V the composition of the Hamiltonian isomorphism $T^*(T^*X) \rightarrow T(T^*X)$ with the canonical projection

$$V \times_{T^*X} T(T^*X) \rightarrow T_V(T^*X).$$

Let now $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a smooth morphism and set $V = T^*Y \times_Y X$. Set $V \cdot = V \setminus T_X^*X$. Then $\pi^{-1}\mathcal{D}_{X|Y} \subset \mathcal{E}_{V \cdot}$, more precisely, it is equal to $\pi^{-1}\mathcal{D}_X \cap \mathcal{E}_{V \cdot}$.

Let now $\mathcal{M} \in D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{D}_X)$ and set $\tilde{\mathcal{M}} = \mathcal{E}_X \otimes_{\pi^{-1}\mathcal{D}_X} \pi^{-1}\mathcal{M}$.

Proposition A.1. $H^{-1}\rho_V^{-1}(C_V^1(\tilde{\mathcal{M}})) = \tilde{f}^{-1}(C_f^1(\mathcal{M}))$.

Proof. We may assume that \mathcal{M} is concentrated in degree zero and that $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{D}_X/\mathcal{L}$ for a coherent ideal $\mathcal{L} \subset \mathcal{D}_X$. Moreover, since the problem is local, we may assume that $f : X = Z \times Y \rightarrow Y$ is the projection. Taking local coordinates (z, y) in X and the associated canonical coordinates $(z, y; \xi, \eta)$ on T^*X , we get $V = \{(z, y; \xi, \eta) \in T^*X, \xi = 0\}$. The result then follows because, for $P \in \mathcal{D}_{X|Y}$, one has

$$\sigma_V^1(P)(z, y, 0, \eta; \tilde{\xi}) = \sigma_{X|Y}^1(P)(z, y; \tilde{\xi}).$$

q.e.d.

A.2. Estimate for $t\mu hom$. In the results below we adapt the techniques in [16].

Let us recall the construction of the functor $t\mu hom(\cdot, \mathcal{O}_X)$ of tempered microlocalization of ([1]) (also we refer [7] where it is proved that $t\mu hom(F, \mathcal{O}_X)$ is an object of $D(\mathcal{E}_X)$).

Let $\tilde{X}^{\mathbb{C}}$ be the complex normal deformation of $X \times X$ along the diagonal Δ which we identify with X by the first projection p_1 . This gives an identification of TX with the normal bundle $T_{\Delta}(X \times X)$. Let $t : \tilde{X}^{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and $p : \tilde{X}^{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow X \times X$ be the canonical maps, let $\tilde{\Omega}$ be $t^{-1}(\mathbb{C} - \{0\})$ and $\Omega = t^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^+) \subset \tilde{\Omega}$. Let $p_2 : X \times X \rightarrow X$ be the second projection.

Consider the following diagram of morphisms:

$$(27) \quad TX \simeq T_{\Delta}(X \times X) \xrightarrow{i} \tilde{X}^{\mathbb{C}} \xleftarrow{j} \Omega = t^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^+)$$

Let $\tilde{p} : \tilde{\Omega} \rightarrow X \times X$, be the restriction of p . Denote by \bar{p}_1 the composition $p_1 \circ p$ and by \bar{p}_2 the composition $p_2 \circ p$.

Under these notations, $t\mu hom(F, \mathcal{O}_X)$ is defined by

$$t\mu hom(F, \mathcal{O}_X) = i^{-1}R\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_{\tilde{X}^{\mathbb{C}}}}(\mathcal{D}_{\tilde{X}^{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow X}, t\mathcal{H}om(\bar{p}_2^{-1}F \otimes \mathbb{C}_{\Omega}, \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}^{\mathbb{C}}}))$$

Let $D_{\mathbb{R}^+}^b(\mathbb{C}_{TX})$ (resp. $D_{\mathbb{R}^+}^b(\mathbb{C}_{T^*X})$) be the derived category of complexes of sheaves on TX (resp. T^*X) with conic cohomologies. We denote by the symbol $\hat{}$ the

Fourier-Sato Transform from $D_{\mathbb{R}^+}^b(\mathbb{C}_{TX})$ to $D_{\mathbb{R}^+}^b(\mathbb{C}_{T^*X})$. Then, by definition, $t\mu\text{hom}(F, \mathcal{O}_X) = tv\text{hom}(F, \mathcal{O}_X)^\wedge$. Let us recall that under the identification of $T^*(TX)$ with $T^*(T^*X)$ by the Hamiltonian isomorphism we have $SS(F) = SS(F)^\wedge$ for any $F \in D_{\mathbb{R}^+}^b(\mathbb{C}_{TX})$.

Remark that for any coherent \mathcal{D}_X -module \mathcal{M} , one has

$$(28) \quad \begin{aligned} R\mathcal{H}om_{\pi^{-1}\mathcal{D}_X}(\pi^{-1}\mathcal{M}, t\mu\text{hom}(F, \mathcal{O}_X)) \\ \simeq R\mathcal{H}om_{\tau^{-1}\mathcal{D}_X}(\tau^{-1}\mathcal{M}, tv\text{hom}(F, \mathcal{O}_X))^\wedge. \end{aligned}$$

As before, let $\tilde{\mathcal{M}} = \mathcal{E}_X \otimes_{\pi^{-1}\mathcal{D}_X} \pi^{-1}\mathcal{M}$.

Theorem A.2. *Let $\mathcal{M} \in D_{\text{coh}}^b(\mathcal{D}_X)$. Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a smooth morphism and let $V = T^*Y \times_Y X$. Let $G \in D_{\mathbb{R}^+}^b(\mathbb{C}_X)$ and assume that $SS(G) \subset V$. Then*

$$(29) \quad SS(R\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{E}_X}(\tilde{\mathcal{M}}, t\mu\text{hom}(G, \mathcal{O}_X))) \subset \rho_V^{-1}(C_V^1(\tilde{\mathcal{M}})).$$

Proof. By (28) it is sufficient to prove the analogue of (29) with $t\mu\text{hom}(G, \mathcal{O}_X)$ replaced by $tv\text{hom}(G, \mathcal{O}_X)$. Moreover, the assertion being local, we may assume that $f : X = Z \times Y \rightarrow Y$ is the projection. We have

$$\begin{aligned} R\mathcal{H}om_{\tau^{-1}\mathcal{D}_X}(\tau^{-1}\mathcal{M}, tv\text{hom}(G, \mathcal{O}_X)) \\ \simeq i^{-1}R\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_{\tilde{X}^c}}(\underline{\bar{p}}_1(\mathcal{M}), t\mathcal{H}om(\underline{\bar{p}}_2^{-1}G \otimes \mathbb{C}_\Omega, \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}^c})) \end{aligned}$$

By Proposition 6.6.2 of [14], we have an inclusion

$$\begin{aligned} SS(i^{-1}R\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_{\tilde{X}^c}}(\underline{\bar{p}}_1(\mathcal{M}), t\mathcal{H}om(\underline{\bar{p}}_2^{-1}G \otimes \mathbb{C}_\Omega, \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}^c}))) \subset \\ i^\sharp(SS(R\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_{\tilde{X}^c}}(\underline{\bar{p}}_1(\mathcal{M}), t\mathcal{H}om(\underline{\bar{p}}_2^{-1}G \otimes \mathbb{C}_\Omega, \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}^c}))). \end{aligned}$$

Endow $X \times X$ with the system of local coordinates (x, x') , so that $\Delta \subset X \times X$ is defined by $x = x'$. Under the change of coordinates $x = x', y = x - x'$, Δ will be defined by $y = 0$. The coordinates (x, y) induce in \tilde{X}^c coordinates (t, x, y) , such that

$$(30) \quad p(t, x, y) = (x, x - ty), \bar{p}_1(t, x, y) = x, \text{ and } \bar{p}_2(t, x, y) = x - ty$$

We may assume that the local coordinate system x is of the form $x = (z, u)$ such that $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is given by $(z, u) \mapsto u$. Then $V = X \times_Y T^*Y$ is given by $V = \{(z, u; \xi, \eta); \xi = 0\}$. Set $y = (z', u')$. Let f_2 denote the smooth morphism $\tilde{X}^c \rightarrow Y \times \mathbb{C}$ given by

$$f_2(t, x, y) = (f \circ \bar{p}_2(t, x, y), t) = (u - tu', t).$$

Let $(t, z, u, z', u'; \tau, \xi, \eta, \xi', \eta')$ be the associated coordinates of $T^*(\tilde{X}^c)$.

Since $\bar{p}_2^{-1}G \otimes \mathbb{C}_\Omega$ is locally of the form $f_2^{-1}(F \boxtimes \mathbb{C}_{\{\Re t > 0\}})$, by Theorem 3.1 we have:

$$(31) \quad SS(R\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_{\bar{X}^c}}(\bar{p}_1(\mathcal{M}), t\mathcal{H}om(\bar{p}_2^{-1}G \otimes \mathbb{C}_\Omega, \mathcal{O}_{\bar{X}^c}))) \subset \tilde{f}_2^{-1}(C_{f_2}^1(\bar{p}_1(\mathcal{M}))).$$

By (A.2) and (31) we get

$$(32) \quad SS(R\mathcal{H}om_{\tau^{-1}\mathcal{D}_X}(\tau^{-1}\mathcal{M}, tvhom(G, \mathcal{O}_X))) \subset i^\#(\tilde{f}_2^{-1}(C_{f_2}^1(\bar{p}_1(\mathcal{M}))).$$

Therefore it is enough to prove the inclusion

$$i^\#(\tilde{f}_2^{-1}(C_{f_2}^1(\bar{p}_1(\mathcal{M})))) \subset \rho_V^{-1}(C_V^1(\tilde{\mathcal{M}})).$$

We may assume that \mathcal{M} is concentrated in degree zero and is of the form $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{D}_X/\mathcal{J}$ for a coherent ideal \mathcal{J} . In this case we have

$$\bar{p}_1\mathcal{M} \simeq \frac{\mathcal{D}_{\bar{X}^c}}{\mathcal{D}_{\bar{X}^c}\mathcal{J} + \langle D_{z'}, D_{u'}, D_t \rangle}.$$

Since $\langle D_{z'}, \mathcal{J} \cap \mathcal{D}_{X|Y} \rangle \subset \mathcal{D}_{\bar{X}^c|Y \times \mathbb{C}}$ we have

$$\tilde{f}_2^{-1}(C_{f_2}^1(\bar{p}_1(\mathcal{M}))) \subset \{(t, z, u, z', u'; \tau, \xi, \eta, \xi', \eta'); \xi' = 0, \sigma_{X|Y}^1(P)(z, u; \xi) = 0,$$

$$\forall P \in \mathcal{J} \cap \mathcal{D}_{X|Y}\}.$$

Hence

$$i^\#(\tilde{f}_2^{-1}(C_{f_2}^1(\bar{p}_1(\mathcal{M})))) \subset \{(z, u, z', u', \xi, \eta, 0, \eta') \in T^*(TX);$$

$$\exists (t_n, z_n, u_n, z'_n, u'_n; \tau_n, \xi_n, \eta_n, 0, \eta'_n),$$

$$t_n \rightarrow 0, z_n \rightarrow z, u_n \rightarrow u, \xi_n \rightarrow \xi, \eta_n \rightarrow \eta, \eta'_n \rightarrow \eta', |t_n \tau_n| \rightarrow 0,$$

$$\sigma_{X|Y}^1(P)(z_n, u_n; \xi_n) = 0, \forall P \in \mathcal{J} \cap \mathcal{D}_{X|Y}\}$$

$$\subset \{(z, u, z', u', \xi, \eta, 0, \eta'); \sigma_{X|Y}^1(P)(z, u; \xi) = 0, \forall P \in \mathcal{J} \cap \mathcal{D}_{X|Y}\}.$$

Recall that the identification of $T^*(TX)$ to $T(T^*X)$ is described by:

$$T^*(TX) \ni (z, u, z', u'; \xi, \eta, \xi', \eta') \leftrightarrow (z, u, \xi', \eta'; z', u', \xi, \eta) \in T(T^*X).$$

Hence

$$i^\#(\tilde{f}_2^{-1}(C_{f_2}^1(\bar{p}_1(\mathcal{M})))) \subset \{(z, u, 0, \eta'; z', u', \xi, \eta) \in T(T^*X); \sigma_{X|Y}^1(P)(z, u; \xi) = 0\}$$

$$= \{(z, u, 0, \eta'; z', u', \xi, \eta) \in T(T^*X); \sigma_V^1(P)(z, u, 0, \eta'; \xi) = 0, \forall P \in \mathcal{J} \cap \mathcal{E}_V\} =$$

$$\rho_V^{-1}(C_V^1(\mathcal{M})).$$

q.e.d.

REFERENCES

- [1] E. Andronikof, *Microlocalization tempérée*, Supplément au Bull.Soc.Math.France, mémoire 57, **122**, 2, (1994).
- [2] D. Barlet and T. Monteiro Fernandes, *Grauert's theorem for subanalytic open sets in real analytic manifolds*, *Studia Mathematica*, **204**,3, 265-274, (2011).
- [3] O. Berni, *A vanishing theorem for formal cohomology of perverse sheaves*, *Journal of Funct. Anal.*, **158**, 2, 267-288, (1998).
- [4] J.M. Bony, *Propagation des singularités différentiables pour une classe d'opérateurs différentiels à coefficients analytiques*, *Astérisque*, **34-35** , 43-92, (1976).
- [5] J.M. Bony and P. Schapira, *Propagation des singularités analytiques pour les solutions des équations aux dérivées partielles*, *Ann. Inst. Fourier*, **26**, 81-140, (1976)
- [6] A. D'Agnolo and F.Tonin, *Cauchy Problem for hyperbolic \mathcal{D} -modules with regular singularities*, *Pacific J. Math.* **184**, 1-22 (1998).
- [7] S. Guillermou, *DG-Methods for Microlocalization*, *Publ. RIMS, Kyoto Univ.*, **1**, 99-140, (2011).
- [8] H. Grauert, *On Levi's Problem and the embedding of real analytic manifolds*, *Annals of Maths*, **68**, 2, 460-472 (1958).
- [9] L. Hörmander, *An introduction to Complex Analysis in several variables*, North-Holland, **7**, (1973).
- [10] L. Hörmander, *The analysis of linear partial differential operators II*, *Grundlehren der Math. Wiss.* **257**, Springer Verlag, (1983).
- [11] H. Koshimizu and K. Takeuchi, *Extension theorems for distribution solutions to \mathcal{D} -modules with regular singularities*, *Proceedings of the AMS*, **128**, 6, 1685-1690 (2000).
- [12] M. Kashiwara, *D-Modules and Microlocal Calculus*, *Translations of Mathematical Monographs*, **217**, AMS (2003).
- [13] M. Kashiwara, *The Riemann-Hilbert problem for holonomic systems*, *Publ. RIMS, Kyoto Univ.*, **20**, 219-315 (1984).
- [14] M. Kashiwara and P. Schapira, *Sheaves on manifolds*, *Grundlehren der Math. Wiss.*, **292**, Springer Verlag (1990).
- [15] M. Kashiwara and P. Schapira, *Moderate and formal cohomology associated with constructible sheaves*, *Bull.Soc. Math. France, Mémoire* **94** (1996).
- [16] M. Kashiwara, T. Monteiro Fernandes and P. Schapira, *Micro-support and Cauchy problem for temperate solutions of regular D -modules*, *Portugaliae Mathematica*, Vol 58, pp. 485-504 (2001).
- [17] M. Kashiwara and T. Oshima, *Systems of differential equations with regular singularities and their boundary values problem*, *Annals of Math.*, **106**, 145-200 (1977).
- [18] Y. Laurent, *Théorie de la deuxième microlocalization*, *Progr. Math.*, Birkhauser,**53** (1985).
- [19] T. Monteiro Fernandes, *Propagation of the irregularity of a microdifferential system*, *Publ. RIMS, Kyoto Univ.*, **37**, 2, 119-139, (2001)
- [20] T. Monteiro Fernandes *Problème de Cauchy pour les systèmes microdifférentiels*, *Astérisque*, **140-141**, 135-220, (1986).
- [21] M. Sato, T. Kawai and M. Kashiwara, *Hyperfunctions and pseudodifferential equations*, *Lecture Notes in Math.*, Springer **287**, 265-529 (1973).

- [22] P. Schapira, *Microdifferential systems in the complex domain*, Grundlehren der Math. Wiss., Vol. **269**, Springer-Verlag, (1985).
- [23] M. Zerner, *Domaine d'holomorphie des fonctions vérifiant une équation aux dérivées partielles*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Série A, **272**, 1646-1648 (1971).