

P -wave ΛN - ΣN coupling and the spin-orbit splitting of ${}^9_\Lambda\text{Be}$

Y. Fujiwara,^{1,*} M. Kohno,² and Y. Suzuki³

¹ *Department of Physics, Kyoto University, Kyoto 606-8502, Japan*

² *Physics Division, Kyushu Dental College, Kitakyushu 803-8580, Japan*

³ *Department of Physics, and Graduate School of Science and Technology, Niigata University, Niigata 950-2181, Japan*

(Dated: October 24, 2018)

We reexamine the spin-orbit splitting of ${}^9_\Lambda\text{Be}$ excited states in terms of the SU_6 quark-model baryon-baryon interaction. The previous folding procedure to generate the $\Lambda\alpha$ spin-orbit potential from the quark-model ΛN LS interaction kernel predicted three to five times larger values for $\Delta E_{\ell s} = E_x(3/2^+) - E_x(5/2^+)$ in the model FSS and fss2. This time, we calculate $\Lambda\alpha$ LS Born kernel, starting from the LS components of the nuclear-matter G -matrix for the Λ hyperon. This framework makes it possible to take full account of an important P -wave ΛN - ΣN coupling through the antisymmetric $LS^{(-)}$ force involved in the Fermi-Breit interaction. We find that the experimental value, $\Delta E_{\ell s}^{\text{exp}} = 43 \pm 5$ keV, is reproduced by the quark-model G -matrix LS interaction with a Fermi-momentum around $k_F = 1.0$ fm⁻¹, when the model FSS is used in the energy-independent renormalized RGM formalism. On the other hand, the model fss2 gives too large splitting of almost 200 keV. Based on these results and the analysis of the Scheerbaum factors, it is concluded that the model fss2 should be improved to reproduce small single-particle spin-orbit potentials of the Λ hyperon.

PACS numbers: 21.45.-v, 13.75.Ev, 21.80.+a, 12.39.Jh

Study of hypernuclei based on the fundamental baryon-baryon interactions is important, since the available scattering data for the hyperon-nucleon (YN) interaction are very scarce. The SU_6 quark-model (QM) baryon-baryon interaction developed by the Kyoto-Niigata group is a comprehensive model for all the octet-baryons (B_8), which is formulated in the $(3q)$ - $(3q)$ resonating-group method (RGM) using the spin-flavor SU_6 QM wave functions, a colored version of the one-gluon exchange Fermi-Breit interaction, and effective meson-exchange potentials acting between quarks [1]. The early version, the model FSS [2] includes only the scalar (S) and pseudoscalar (PS) meson exchange potentials as the effective meson-exchange potentials, while the renovated one fss2 [3, 4] introduces also the vector (V) meson exchange potentials and the momentum-dependent Bryan-Scott terms for the S and V mesons.

As an important application of our QM baryon-baryon interactions, we have carried out Faddeev calculations for the triton and the hypertriton in Ref. [5], in the most reliable framework of using the energy-independent renormalized RGM kernels. The triton binding energy predicted by fss2 is very close to the experimental value with about 350 keV less bound, and the Λ separation energy of the hypertriton is 262 keV vs. the experimental value 130 ± 50 keV. In the hypertriton calculation, the detailed information is obtained for the central force of the ΛN interaction, since this system is S -wave dominant. On the other hand, the information on the ΛN LS force is obtained, for example, from the very small spin-orbit

(ℓs) splitting of the $5/2^+$ and $3/2^+$ excited states of ${}^9_\Lambda\text{Be}$, $\Delta E_{\ell s}^{\text{exp}} = 43 \pm 5$ keV [6]. In the previous papers [7, 8], we performed Faddeev calculations of the two-alpha plus Λ ($\Lambda\alpha\alpha$) system by assuming a simple $(0s)^4$ shell-model wave function for the α clusters. For the $\alpha\alpha$ interaction, a microscopic $\alpha\alpha$ RGM kernel is used with an effective NN force, Minnesota three-range force. The $\Lambda\alpha$ interaction is generated from a simple two-range Gaussian central potential (SB potential), which simulates the S -wave phase-shifts of the ΛN interaction of fss2 with a slight modification to fit the $\Lambda\alpha$ bound state. The Pauli forbidden states between the two α clusters are exactly eliminated in the three-cluster Faddeev formalism using two-cluster RGM kernels [9, 10].

The origin of the $5/2^+$ and $3/2^+$ splitting in the $\Lambda\alpha\alpha$ cluster model is the spin-orbit potential between Λ and one of the α clusters, which is known to be very small due to the strong cancellation between the symmetric (LS) and antisymmetric ($LS^{(-)}$) LS forces of the ΛN interaction. As a first step, we directly used in Ref. [8] the QM ΛN LS RGM kernel to generate the $\Lambda\alpha$ LS potential by simple α -cluster folding. In this procedure, the QM ΛN LS interaction of FSS or fss2 predicted 3 to 5 times larger values for $\Delta E_{\ell s}$, which is not much improved in comparison with the results of Nijmegen simulated potentials [11]. It was pointed out in Ref. [8] that a further reduction is possible in the model FSS, if one can properly take into account the short-range correlation of the P -wave ΛN - ΣN coupling by the $LS^{(-)}$ force. This was conjectured through the analysis of the Scheerbaum factors for the single-particle (s.p.) spin-orbit potentials, calculated in the G -matrix formalism.

In this new calculation, we generate $\Lambda\alpha$ LS Born kernel from the LS component of the nuclear-matter G -matrix

*yfujiwar@scphys.kyoto-u.ac.jp

for the Λ hyperon. For the $(0s)^4$ α -cluster folding, a new method developed in Ref. [12] is employed to derive direct and knock-on terms of the interaction Born kernel from the hyperon-nucleon G -matrices with explicit treatments of the nonlocality and the center-of-mass (c.m.) motion between the hyperon and the α cluster. The G -matrix calculations are carried out by assuming a constant Fermi momentum k_F , since the local density approximation does not seem to work in light nuclear systems. The G -matrix equation is solved for the energy-independent QM baryon-baryon interaction formulated in the renormalized RGM [13, 14], and the continuous prescription for intermediate spectra is employed. A similar procedure of the energy-independent renormalized RGM is also used for the $\alpha\alpha$ RGM kernel.

We start from the ΛN - ΣN coupled-channel G -matrix equation [15, 16]

$$G_{\gamma\alpha}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}; K, \omega, k_F) = V_{\gamma\alpha}^{\text{RGM}}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}) + \sum_{\beta} \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \int d\mathbf{k} \times V_{\gamma\beta}^{\text{RGM}}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{k}) \frac{Q_{\beta}(k, K)}{e_{\beta}(k, K; \omega)} G_{\beta\alpha}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{q}; K, \omega, k_F), \quad (1)$$

where $Q_{\beta}(k, K)$ stands for the angle-averaged Pauli operator and $e_{\beta}(k, K; \omega) = \omega - E_b(k_1) - E_N(k_2)$ is the energy denominator. Here, we use the notation β etc. to specify ΛN or ΣN channel and b for the corresponding Λ or Σ . Explicit expressions for Q_{β} and k_i are given in Ref. [15]. The s.p. energy $E_b(k)$ is defined by

$$E_b(k) = M_b + \frac{\hbar^2}{2M_b} k^2 + U_b(k), \quad (2)$$

with $U_b(k)$ and M_b being the s.p. potential and the mass for the baryon b , respectively. The starting energy ω is a sum of the s.p. energies of two interacting baryons:

$$\omega = E_a(q_1) + E_N(q_2) = M_a + M_N + \frac{\hbar^2}{2(M_a + M_N)} K^2 + \frac{\hbar^2}{2\mu_{\alpha}} q^2 + U_a(q_1) + U_N(q_2), \quad (3)$$

where \mathbf{K} and \mathbf{q} are the total and relative momenta corresponding to the initial s.p. momenta \mathbf{q}_1 and \mathbf{q}_2 . The s.p. potentials $U_{\Lambda}(q_1)$, $U_{\Sigma}(q_1)$ and $U_N(q_2)$ are determined self-consistently in the standard procedure by assuming a constant k_F . The determination of q_1 and q_2 is discussed below, in relation to the folding formula of the $\Lambda\alpha$ Born kernel.

We employ the energy-independent Born kernel [13, 14] for the ΛN - ΣN coupling:

$$V^{\text{RGM}}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}) = V_{\text{D}}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}) + G(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}) + W(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}), \quad (4)$$

with

$$W = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} (T_r + V_{\text{D}} + G) \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} - (T_r + V_{\text{D}} + G). \quad (5)$$

Here, T_r is the kinetic-energy operator for the relative motion and N stands for the normalization kernel in the

ΛN - ΣN space. This energy-independent treatment of the QM baryon-baryon interaction in the G -matrix formalism requires some kind of orthogonalization procedure for the ΛN - ΣN 1S_0 channel, since this channel involves a Pauli forbidden state at the quark level. The redundant correction of the G -matrix is carried out in a similar way to the RGM T -matrix used in the Faddeev formalism. The details will be published elsewhere [17].

The derived G -matrix interaction is expressed in the form of the invariant G -matrices as [12]

$$\begin{aligned} G_{\Lambda N, \Lambda N}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}'; K, \omega, k_F) &= \langle \Lambda N | G(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}'; K, \omega, k_F) \\ &\quad - G(\mathbf{p}, -\mathbf{p}'; K, \omega, k_F) P_{\sigma} P_{\tau} | \Lambda N \rangle \\ &= g_0 + g_{ss}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_1 \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}_2) + h_0 i\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot (\boldsymbol{\sigma}_1 + \boldsymbol{\sigma}_2) \\ &\quad + h_- i\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot (\boldsymbol{\sigma}_1 - \boldsymbol{\sigma}_2) + \dots \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

Here, $\hat{\mathbf{n}} = \mathbf{n}/|\mathbf{n}|$ with $\mathbf{n} = [\mathbf{p}' \times \mathbf{p}]$, and the invariant functions, g_0 (central), g_{ss} (spin-spin), h_0 (LS), h_- ($LS^{(-)}$), etc. depend on $p = |\mathbf{p}|$, $p' = |\mathbf{p}'|$, and $\cos\theta = (\hat{\mathbf{p}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{p}}')$, as well as the G -matrix parameters K , ω and k_F . These are expressed by the partial-wave components of the G -matrix as in Appendix D of Ref. [18]. The spin-spin term and the omitted noncentral terms in Eq. (6) do not contribute to the $\Lambda\alpha$ Born kernel, due to the spin-saturated property of the α cluster.

The $\Lambda\alpha$ Born kernel

$$V_{\Lambda\alpha}(\mathbf{q}_f, \mathbf{q}_i) = V^C(\mathbf{q}_f, \mathbf{q}_i) + V^{LS}(\mathbf{q}_f, \mathbf{q}_i) i\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\Lambda}, \quad (7)$$

is calculated by the folding formula derived in Ref. [12]. Here, $\hat{\mathbf{n}} = \mathbf{n}/|\mathbf{n}|$ with $\mathbf{n} = [\mathbf{q}_i \times \mathbf{q}_f]$. For the angular momentum projection of the central and LS terms, it is convenient to use the momentum transfer $\mathbf{k} = \mathbf{q}_f - \mathbf{q}_i$ and the local momentum $\mathbf{q} = (\mathbf{q}_f + \mathbf{q}_i)/2$ of the $\Lambda\alpha$ system, together with the similar relationship $\mathbf{k}' = \mathbf{p} - \mathbf{p}'$ and $\mathbf{q}' = (\mathbf{p} + \mathbf{p}')/2$ at the two-baryon level. For example, the central Born kernel $V^C(\mathbf{q}_f, \mathbf{q}_i)$ in Eq. (7) is expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} V^C(\mathbf{q}_f, \mathbf{q}_i) &= \mathcal{V}^C(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{q}) = 4e^{-\frac{3}{32\nu}k^2} \left(\frac{2(1+\xi)^2}{3\pi\nu} \right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \\ &\times \int d\mathbf{q}' \exp \left\{ -\frac{2(1+\xi)^2}{3\nu} \left(\mathbf{q}' - \frac{1+4\xi}{4(1+\xi)}\mathbf{q} \right)^2 \right\} \\ &\times g_0(\mathbf{q}' + \mathbf{k}/2, \mathbf{q}' - \mathbf{k}/2; (1+\xi)|\mathbf{q} - \mathbf{q}'|, \omega, k_F), \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

where $\xi = M_N/M_{\Lambda}$ and ν is the harmonic oscillator size parameter of the α cluster. Transformations from g_0 to $\mathcal{G}_0(\mathbf{k}', \mathbf{q}') = g_0(\mathbf{q}' + \mathbf{k}'/2, \mathbf{q}' - \mathbf{k}'/2; (1+\xi)|\mathbf{q} - \mathbf{q}'|, \omega, k_F)$ and from $\mathcal{V}^C(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{q})$ to $V^C(\mathbf{q}_f, \mathbf{q}_i)$ are carried out numerically for their partial-wave components. For the direct and knock-on terms like Eq. (8), we find $\mathbf{k} = \mathbf{k}'$. The relationship $K = (1+\xi)|\mathbf{q} - \mathbf{q}'|$ in Eq. (8) implies that the local momentum \mathbf{q} of the $\Lambda\alpha$ Born kernel corresponds to the initial momentum \mathbf{q}_1 for the G -matrix equation and \mathbf{q}' to the relative momentum \mathbf{q} in Eq. (3). The standard angular-averaging procedure for the \mathbf{q}' integral in Eq. (8) gives the starting energy ω as a function of q_1 and q , which we call $\omega(q_1, q)$ prescription. We therefore

assign $q_1 \rightarrow q = |\mathbf{q}|$ and $q \rightarrow q' = |\mathbf{q}'|$ in Eq. (8) and obtain a simple folding formula for the partial-wave components [12]. In the application to the $n\alpha$ RGM using the G -matrix NN interaction [19], we have improved this method to make possible the treatment of other interaction types. This improved version specifies ω as a function of q and K (namely, $\omega = \omega(q, K)$) by applying the angular-averaging procedure to \mathbf{K} . The explicit angular-momentum projection on the \mathbf{q}' -dependence in K makes it possible to deal with the Pauli-forbidden state in the $n\alpha$ relative motion. In the following, we will also show the results by this $\omega(q, K)$ prescription, but the difference from the $\omega(q_1, q)$ prescription is only quantitative.

For the Faddeev calculation, we use the same conditions as used in Ref. [8], except for the exchange mixture parameter u of the SB ΛN potential. We here use $u = 1$, which is the same value as in Ref. [7]. The increase from $u = 0.82$ to $u = 1$ is because the energy-independent treatment of the $\alpha\alpha$ RGM kernel gives slightly more repulsive effect than the previous energy-dependent treatment. With this u value, the ground-state energy of ${}^9_\Lambda\text{Be}$ is $-6.596 \sim -6.598$ MeV, which corresponds to the experimental value -6.62 ± 0.04 MeV. We have not used the central $\Lambda\alpha$ Born kernel obtained from the G -matrix calculation, since the interaction strength is rather sensitive to the assumed k_F value. For example, the $\Lambda\alpha$ bound-state energy, predicted by FSS in the $\omega(q_1, q)$ (or $\omega(q, K)$) prescription, is -2.95 (-2.46) MeV for $k_F = 1.20$ fm^{-1} and -4.04 (-3.43) MeV for $k_F = 1.07$ fm^{-1} , compared with the experimental value -3.12 ± 0.02 MeV. The purpose of the present investigation is to examine the LS component from the QM ΛN - ΣN interaction.

Table I shows the results of Faddeev calculations in the jj -coupling scheme, obtained by using the QM G -matrix $\Lambda\alpha$ LS Born kernel. The Fermi momenta $k_F = 1.07, 1.20, \text{ and } 1.35$ fm^{-1} correspond to the densities $\rho = 0.5\rho_0, 0.7\rho_0, \text{ and } \rho_0$, respectively, with $\rho_0 = 0.17$ fm^{-3} being the normal saturation density. The final values for the ℓs splitting of the $5/2^+$ and $3/2^+$ excited states are $\Delta E_{\ell s} = 39 - 96$ keV for FSS and $205 - 223$ keV for fss2, depending on the k_F values in the range of $1.07 - 1.35$ fm^{-1} . If the $\omega(q, K)$ prescription is used, the results by fss2 are similar, but those by FSS are $56 - 118$ keV. A smaller k_F value gives a smaller ℓs splitting. If we compare these results with the experimental value $\Delta E_{\ell s}^{\text{exp}} = 43 \pm 5$ keV, we find that the model FSS can reproduce the experimental value if the k_F value around $1.09 - 1.02$ fm^{-1} is used. We find that the excitation energies of the $5/2^+$ and $3/2^+$ states are almost 120 keV too low, when the ℓs splitting is correctly reproduced with FSS. This is the result when the energy-independent renormalized RGM kernels are used for the $\alpha\alpha$ RGM kernel and for the QM baryon-baryon interaction. On the other hand, fss2 gives too large values around 200 keV.

These results are consistent with the tendency of the Scheerbaum factor S_Λ in the nuclear matter. Table I also lists the Scheerbaum factor S_Λ in symmetric matter, indicating the strength of the spin-orbit potentials of the Λ

hyperon. A similar quantity can be derived for the zero-momentum Wigner transform calculated from the $\Lambda\alpha$ LS Born kernel in Eq. (7) (see Eq. (2.47) of Ref. [12]). This quantity, that we call the Scheerbaum-like factor \tilde{S}_Λ , is expected to give a better measure for the strength of the $\Lambda\alpha$ spin-orbit interaction, since it deals with the recoil effect of the α cluster due to the correct treatment of the c.m. motion in the α -cluster folding. The recoil effect is about 20 - 30% and is by no means small, as discussed in our previous paper [8]. We find that the strong cancellation between the LS and $LS^{(-)}$ forces takes place in the QM Fermi-Breit interaction for the P -wave ΛN - ΣN coupling in the 1P_1 - 3P_1 state, when the G -matrix equation is solved especially in low-density nuclear matter. This is most prominently exhibited in the model FSS. The spin-orbit contribution from the effective-meson exchange potentials in fss2 does not lead to the small ℓs splitting of the Λ hyperon, since the scalar-meson exchange LS force contains only the ordinary LS and does not produce the $LS^{(-)}$ force.

The previous energy-dependent treatment of the RGM kernels yields the results qualitatively similar to the present investigation. The reduction of the energy splitting and the $S_\Lambda, \tilde{S}_\Lambda$ factors for the smaller k_F values is very drastic for FSS. We find that $k_F = 1.25$ fm^{-1} will give the correct value of $\Delta E_{\ell s}$ if FSS is used. On the other hand, the model fss2 gives almost no reduction for the smaller k_F values.

In spite of the successful reproduction of the ${}^9_\Lambda\text{Be}$ ℓs splitting by the model FSS, there still remains an important issue on the P -wave characteristics of the ΛN interaction. Owing to the very strong P -wave ΛN - ΣN coupling in FSS, the 3P_1 ΣN resonance moves to the 1P_1 ΛN channel, resulting in a very broad step-like resonance in this channel, as seen in Fig. 14 of Ref. [1]. As the result, the cusp structure in the Λp total elastic cross sections at the ΣN threshold is largely enhanced compared with that of the fss2 prediction, which is clearly overestimated even from the present experimental data with large error bars. See Fig. 19 (e) of [1]. The original 3P_1 ΣN resonance is caused by the attractive Pauli effect from the exchange kinetic-energy kernel, related to the the Pauli forbidden $(11)_s$ SU_3 state for the most compact $(0s)^6$ six-quark configuration in the flavor-symmetric channel. The resonance behavior in the ΛN - ΣN ($I = 1/2$) 1P_1 - 3P_1 state sensitively depends on the strength of the $LS^{(-)}$ force and the strength of the attractive central force in the ΣN ($I = 1/2$) channel. Furthermore, the central ΛN interaction of FSS has a problem that the 1S_0 interaction is too attractive, in comparison with the 3S_1 interaction. For this reason, the hypertriton calculation in Ref. [5] leads to the large overbinding when FSS is used. These inconsistencies between the central and LS components of the ΛN interaction imply that we still need better models to describe the Λ hypernuclei by means of the SU_6 QM baryon-baryon interaction.

Summarizing this work, we have carried out $\Lambda\alpha\alpha$ Faddeev calculations by employing the $\Lambda\alpha$ LS Born kernel

TABLE I: The Scheerbaum factor S_Λ for symmetric nuclear matter, the Scheerbaum-like factor \tilde{S}_Λ from the $\Lambda\alpha$ zero-momentum Wigner transform for the spin-orbit force, and the energy splitting, $\Delta E_{\ell s} = E_x(3/2^+) - E_x(5/2^+)$, of the ${}^9_\Lambda\text{Be}$ excited states predicted from the $\alpha\alpha\Lambda$ Faddeev calculations using the QM G -matrix $\Lambda\alpha$ LS Born kernel. The model is fss2 and FSS and the continuous prescription is used for intermediate spectra in the G -matrix calculation. The energy-independent renormalized RGM kernel is used for the $\alpha\alpha$ RGM kernel and for the QM baryon-baryon interactions. The $\omega(q_1, q)$ prescription is used for the starting energies. The results by the $\omega(q, K)$ prescription are also shown in the parentheses. (See the text.)

	k_F (fm $^{-1}$)	1.07	1.20	1.35
	ρ/ρ_0	0.5	0.7	1
G -matrix	fss2	-11.8	-12.1	-12.3
S_Λ (MeV fm 5)	FSS	-4.1	-5.2	-6.3
$\Lambda\alpha$	fss2	-14.7 (-14.8)	-15.6 (-15.6)	-16.3 (-16.3)
\tilde{S}_Λ (MeV fm 5)	FSS	-3.5 (-4.6)	-5.7 (-6.7)	-7.6 (-8.7)
$\Lambda\alpha\alpha$ Faddeev	fss2	205 (204)	213 (214)	223 (220)
$\Delta E_{\ell s}$ (keV)	FSS	39 (56)	68 (87)	96 (118)
$\Delta E_{\ell s}^{\text{exp}}$ (keV)		43 \pm 5		

generated from the LS components of the nuclear-matter G -matrix for the Λ hyperon. One of our SU_6 QM baryon-baryon interaction FSS can reproduce the very small ℓs splitting of ${}^9_\Lambda\text{Be}$ excited states, $\Delta E_{\ell s}^{\text{exp}} = 43 \pm 5$, when an appropriate k_F value corresponding to the half density of the normal saturation density is employed in the G -matrix calculation. The explicit value of k_F depends on the model construction even within the framework of the $\Lambda\alpha\alpha$ cluster model for ${}^9_\Lambda\text{Be}$; $k_F = 1.09$ fm $^{-1}$ for the model FSS with the $\omega(q_1, q)$ prescription and 1.02 fm $^{-1}$ with the $\omega(q, K)$ prescription, when the energy-independent renormalized RGM kernels are used for the $\alpha\alpha$ RGM kernel and for the QM baryon-baryon interaction. The previous energy-dependent version of the RGM kernel requires $k_F = 1.25$ fm $^{-1}$ to reproduce $\Delta E_{\ell s}$ by FSS. On the other hand, the model fss2 gives too large splitting of almost 200 keV. An essential ingredient of the present formalism is to take into account an important P -wave ΛN - ΣN coupling through the antisymmetric $LS^{(-)}$ force involved in the Fermi-Breit interaction. From the present results and the analysis of the Scheerbaum factors for the s.p. spin-orbit potentials, we conclude that the spin-orbit

contribution from the effective meson-exchange potentials in fss2 needs to be improved to reproduce the small spin-orbit interaction of the Λ hyperon, experimentally observed. Construction of a new model with consistent ΛN central and LS interactions is now in progress.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by Grants-in-Aids for Scientific Research (C) (Grant Nos. 18540261 and 17540263), and for Scientific Research on Priority Areas (Grant No. 20028003), and Bilateral Joint Research Projects (2006-2008) from the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (JSPS). This work was also supported by the Grant-in-Aid for the Global COE Program ‘‘The Next Generation of Physics, Spun from Universality and Emergence’’ from the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology (MEXT) of Japan.

-
- | | |
|--|--|
| <p>[1] Y. Fujiwara, Y. Suzuki, and C. Nakamoto, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. 58, 439 (2007).</p> <p>[2] Y. Fujiwara, C. Nakamoto, and Y. Suzuki, Phys. Rev. Lett. 76, 2242 (1996); Phys. Rev. C 54, 2180 (1996).</p> <p>[3] Y. Fujiwara, T. Fujita, M. Kohno, C. Nakamoto, and Y. Suzuki, Phys. Rev. C 65, 014002 (2002).</p> <p>[4] Y. Fujiwara, M. Kohno, C. Nakamoto, and Y. Suzuki, Phys. Rev. C 64, 054001 (2001).</p> <p>[5] Y. Fujiwara, Y. Suzuki, M. Kohno, and K. Miyagawa Phys. Rev. C 77, 027001 (2008).</p> <p>[6] O. Hashimoto and H. Tamura, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. 57, 564 (2006).</p> <p>[7] Y. Fujiwara, K. Miyagawa, M. Kohno, Y. Suzuki, D.</p> | <p>Baye, and J.-M. Sparenberg, Phys. Rev. C 70, 024002 (2004).</p> <p>[8] Y. Fujiwara, M. Kohno, K. Miyagawa, and Y. Suzuki, Phys. Rev. C 70, 047002 (2004).</p> <p>[9] Y. Fujiwara, H. Nemura, Y. Suzuki, K. Miyagawa, and M. Kohno, Prog. Theor. Phys. 107, 745 (2002).</p> <p>[10] Y. Fujiwara, Y. Suzuki, K. Miyagawa, M. Kohno, and H. Nemura, Prog. Theor. Phys. 107, 993 (2002).</p> <p>[11] E. Hiyama, M. Kamimura, T. Motoba, T. Yamada, and Y. Yamamoto, Phys. Rev. Lett. 85, 270 (2000).</p> <p>[12] Y. Fujiwara, M. Kohno, and Y. Suzuki, Nucl. Phys. A784, 161 (2007).</p> <p>[13] Y. Suzuki, H. Matsumura, M. Orabi, Y. Fujiwara, P.</p> |
|--|--|

- Descouvemont, M. Theeten, and D. Baye, Phys. Lett. **B659**, 160 (2008).
- [14] M. Theeten, H. Matsumura, M. Orabi, D. Baye, P. Descouvemont, Y. Fujiwara, and Y. Suzuki, Phys. Rev. C **76**, 054003 (2007).
- [15] M. Kohno, Y. Fujiwara, T. Fujita, C. Nakamoto, and Y. Suzuki, Nucl. Phys. **A674**, 229 (2000).
- [16] Y. Fujiwara, M. Kohno, T. Fujita, C. Nakamoto, and Y. Suzuki, Nucl. Phys. **A674**, 493 (2000).
- [17] Y. Fujiwara, M. Kohno, and Y. Suzuki, to be submitted to Prog. Theor. Phys. (2008).
- [18] Y. Fujiwara, M. Kohno, T. Fujita, C. Nakamoto, and Y. Suzuki, Prog. Theor. Phys. **103**, 755 (2000).
- [19] Y. Fujiwara, M. Kohno, and Y. Suzuki, Prog. Theor. Phys. **120**, No. 2 (2008) (in press).