

High-temperature expansion of the magnetic susceptibility and higher moments of the correlation function for the two-dimensional XY model

H. Arisue*

Osaka Prefectural College of Technology
 26-12 Saiwai-cho, Neyagawa, Osaka 572, Japan
 (Dated: November 5, 2018)

We calculate the high-temperature series of the magnetic susceptibility and the second and fourth moments of the correlation function for the XY model on the square lattice to order β^{33} by applying the improved algorithm of the finite lattice method. The long series allow us to estimate the inverse critical temperature as $\beta_c = 1.1200(1)$, which is consistent with the most precise value given previously by the Monte Carlo simulation. The critical exponent for the multiplicative logarithmic correction is evaluated to be $\theta = 0.054(10)$, which is consistent with the renormalization group prediction of $\theta = \frac{1}{16}$.

PACS numbers: 05.50.+q, 64.60.Cn, 75.10.Hk, 75.40.Cx
 Keywords: XY model, high-temperature expansion, finite lattice method

I. INTRODUCTION

It is believed that the XY model in two dimensions exhibits a phase transition of the Kosterlitz-Thouless (K-T) type[1], which is driven by the condensation of vortices. From the renormalization group arguments, it was predicted that the correlation length has an essential singularity at the transition temperature T_c as

$$\xi \sim \exp\left(\frac{b}{t^\sigma}\right), \quad (1)$$

with $\sigma = \frac{1}{2}$ where $t = T/T_c - 1$ is the reduced temperature and b is a non-universal constant. At the critical temperature the correlation function behaves like

$$G(r) \sim \frac{(\ln r)^{2\theta}}{r^\eta} \left[1 + O\left(\frac{\ln \ln r}{\ln r}\right)\right], \quad (2)$$

with $\eta = \frac{1}{4}$ and $\theta = \frac{1}{16}$, and the j -th moment m_j of the correlation function behaves like

$$m_j \sim \xi^{2+j-\eta} (\ln \xi)^{2\theta} \left[1 + O\left(\frac{\ln \ln \xi}{\ln \xi}\right)\right]. \quad (3)$$

The free energy and its temperature derivatives (i.e., the internal energy and the specific heat) were also predicted to behave like

$$f \sim \xi^{-2} + \text{non-singular term}. \quad (4)$$

At the critical temperature, the first term on the right-hand side of Eq. (4) has an essential singularity with itself and its derivatives going to zero, while the second term stays nonzero.

The behavior in Eq.(1) for the correlation length has been well established both by numerical simulations and the high-temperature expansion. The standard Monte Carlo simulation[2, 3] gave $\beta_c = 1.130(15)$ with $b = 2.15(10)$ and $\beta_c = 1.118(5)$ with $b = 1.70(20)$ for the square lattice. (Here β_c is the critical inverse temperature, which will be defined below.) More precise values $\beta_c = 1.1208(2)$ with $b = 1.800(2)$ [4] and $\beta_c = 1.1199(1)$ with $b = 1.776(4)$ [5] were obtained using the finite-size scaling technique and the renormalization group finite-size scaling method, respectively. In the latter approach, the renormalization group flow of the observable was matched with that of the exactly solvable BCSOS model. The latter value of β_c was recently confirmed by a large scale Monte Carlo simulation on a 2048×2048 lattice using the finite-size scaling method [6]. On the other hand the high-temperature expansion for the magnetic

*Electronic address: arisue@ipc.osaka-pct.ac.jp

susceptibility and higher moments of the correlation function to order β^{21} [12] and β^{26} [14] gave less precise value $\beta_c = 1.118(3)$ with $b = 1.67(4)$ and $\beta_c = 1.1198(14)$ with $b = 1.77(1)$, respectively. It seems that the available high-temperature series is not long enough to give the estimation of the values for the critical temperature and other critical parameters in the same precision as the Monte Carlo simulation. So it is desirable to extend the high-temperature series to much higher order.

As for the critical exponent θ for the multiplicative logarithmic correction in the moments of the correlation function, there has been controversial arguments. Negative values ranging from $\theta = -0.077$ to $\theta = -0.056$ [7, 8, 9] were given by the analysis of the numerical simulation based on the thermal scaling formula (3), while the finite size scaling analysis gave positive values in the range of $\theta = 0.02 - 0.035$ [8, 9, 10, 11]. The large scale Monte Carlo simulation by Hasenbusch [6] gave a value $\theta = 0.056(7)$, which is consistent with the renormalization group prediction, assuming a modified finite-size-scaling behavior

$$m_0 \sim L^{2-\eta} (C + \ln L)^{2\theta}, \quad (5)$$

where L is the size of the lattice used in the simulation and C is a constant. On the other hand, the high-temperature expansion series to order β^{21} [12] gave negative values of $\theta = -0.042(5)$ and $\theta = -0.05(2)$ assuming the thermal scaling (3). We should note that these values from the high-temperature series are obtained only for the Dlog-Padé approximants and the general inhomogeneous differential approximants do not give convergent result to this order. Higher order series would be needed again to resolve the discrepancy between the result of the high-temperature series analysis and the renormalization group prediction.

A commonly known method for series expansions is the graphical method[15]. However, in this method, one must list all the graphs that contribute to the desired order of the series. An alternative and powerful method to generate the expansion series is the finite lattice method[16, 17, 18]. It avoids listing up all the graphs and it reduces the problem to the calculation of the partition functions for the relevant finite-size lattices, which is a rather straightforward procedure if we use the transfer matrix formulation. In many cases, the finite lattice method generates longer series than the graphical method[19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26]. Unfortunately, in the case of the XY model in two dimensions, the original finite lattice method can generate a high-temperature series that is at most as long as the series that can be obtained by the graphical method.

In order to generate long series for the the XY model in two dimensions, we here apply an improved algorithm of the finite lattice method developed by the author and Tabata [27, 28]. This improved algorithm is powerful in the case of models in which the spin variable at each site takes more than two values, including an infinite number of values. This algorithm was applied to generate a low-temperature series for the solid-on-solid model[27] and high- and low-temperature series for the q -state Potts model in two dimensions[28]. In both cases, it generates much longer series than the original finite lattice method. The XY model in two dimensions can be mapped to a kind of solid-on-solid model, and the improved algorithm of the finite lattice method in fact enabled us to obtain the high-temperature series for the free energy of this model on the square lattice to order β^{48} [29], which is two times longer than the series previously derived. From the analysis of the obtained long series we confirmed that the free energy of the two-dimensional XY model behaves like Eq. (4), with values of the critical temperature and the non-universal constant b that are close to the values obtained in the study of the correlation length. We apply this improved algorithm of the finite lattice method to generate the high-temperature series to order β^{33} for the magnetic susceptibility and the second and fourth moments of the correlation function. The obtained long series will provide the value of the critical temperature in the same precision as the latest large scale Monte Carlo simulations and the value of the critical exponent θ which is consistent with the renormalization group prediction.

In section 2, we describe how to apply the improved algorithm of the finite lattice method to generate the high-temperature series for the moments of the correlation function. In section 3, the high-temperature series to order β^{33} are given. Section 4 is devoted to the analysis of the obtained series to evaluate the critical parameters.

II. ALGORITHM

We consider the XY model defined on the square lattice. The Hamiltonian of this system is

$$H = - \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} J \vec{s}_i \vec{s}_j, \quad (6)$$

where \vec{s}_i is a two-dimensional unit vector located at the lattice site i , and the summation is taken over all pairs $\langle i, j \rangle$ of nearest neighbor sites. The correlation function is given by

$$\langle \vec{s}_x \vec{s}_0 \rangle = \frac{\Gamma_{x,0}}{Z}, \quad (7)$$

where Z is the partition function

$$Z = \int \prod_i d\theta_i \exp\left(-\frac{H}{kT}\right), \quad (8)$$

and

$$\Gamma_{x,0} = \int \prod_i d\theta_i \vec{s}_x \vec{s}_0 \exp\left(-\frac{H}{kT}\right). \quad (9)$$

Here T is the temperature and θ_i is the angle variable of the spin $\vec{s}_i = (\cos\theta_i, \sin\theta_i)$.

This model can be mapped exactly to a solid-on-solid model and the numerator and denominator of Eq.(9) can be rewritten as

$$Z = \sum_{\{h \mid -\infty \leq h_i \leq +\infty\}} \prod_{\langle i,j \rangle} I_{|h_i - h_j|}(\beta), \quad (10)$$

and

$$\Gamma_{x,0} = \sum_{\{h \mid -\infty \leq h_i \leq +\infty\}} \prod_{\langle i,j \rangle} I_{|h_i - h_j + \Delta_{i,j;x,0}|}(\beta), \quad (11)$$

where $\beta = J/kT$, I_n is the modified Bessel function, the product is taken with respect to all the pairs of neighboring plaquettes, and the variable h_i at each plaquette i takes integer value ranging from $-\infty$ to $+\infty$. In Eq.(11) the $\Delta_{i,j;x,0}$ is defined as

$$\Delta_{i,j;x,0} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } b_{i,j} \in L_{x,0}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad (12)$$

where $b_{i,j}$ is the bond sandwiched by the neighboring plaquettes i and j , and $L_{x,0}$ is the set of bonds on an arbitrary shortest path connecting the sites $0 = (0,0)$ and $x = (x_1, x_2)$ along the bonds, for which we adopt here the path that starts from the site 0 and go straight first in 1 direction and then in 2 direction reaching the site x when $x_1 x_2 \geq 0$, and first in 2 direction and then in 1 direction when $x_1 x_2 < 0$.

The improved algorithm of the finite lattice method to generate the high-temperature expansion series for the correlation function of this model is essentially the same as that for the free energy described in Ref.[29]. We first calculate the correlation function for each of the finite-size rectangular lattice $\Lambda(l_1 \times l_2, p)$ with a restricted range of the value of the plaquette variable

$$\langle \vec{s}_x \vec{s}_0 \rangle_{\Lambda; h_+, h_-} = \frac{\Gamma_{x,0}(\Lambda, h_+, h_-)}{Z(\Lambda, h_+, h_-)}. \quad (13)$$

Here the finite size lattice $\Lambda(l_1 \times l_2, p)$ is specified by its size $|\Lambda| = l_1 \times l_2$ and its position p , and each plaquette variable h_i is restricted so that $h_- \leq h_i \leq h_+$ (where $h_- \leq 0$ and $h_+ \geq 0$) both in the calculation of the numerator and the denominator. We define the size of the finite lattice so that the $l_1 \times l_2$ lattice involves $l_1 \times l_2$ plaquettes, including the bonds and sites on their boundary. For instance, the 1×1 lattice consists of a single plaquette, including 4 bonds and 4 sites. We take into account finite-size lattices with $l_1 = 0$ and/or $l_2 = 0$. An $l_1 \times 0$ lattice consists of l_1 bonds and $l_1 + 1$ sites with no plaquette. The 0×0 lattice consists only of one site with no bond or plaquette. The boundary condition is taken such that all the plaquette variables outside the $l_1 \times l_2$ lattice are fixed to zero. The numerator and the denominator of Eq.(13) can be calculated efficiently by the transfer matrix method using a procedure in which a finite-size lattice is built one plaquette at a time[30, 31].

We then define $\phi_{x,0}(\Lambda, h_+, h_-)$ of the finite size lattice Λ and of the restricted range of the plaquette variables recursively as

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{x,0}(\Lambda, h_+, h_-) = & \langle \vec{s}_x \vec{s}_0 \rangle_{\Lambda; h_+, h_-} \\ & - \sum_{\substack{\Lambda' \subseteq \Lambda, \quad 0 \leq h'_+ \leq h_+, \quad h_- \leq h'_- \leq 0 \\ (\Lambda', h'_+, h'_-) \neq (\Lambda, h_+, h_-)}} \phi_{x,0}(\Lambda', h'_+, h'_-). \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

It should be noted that $\phi_{x,0}(\Lambda, h_+, h_-) = 0$ if the site x or 0 is not included in Λ .




FIG. 1: (a) Example of the main polymer in the standard cluster expansion. The open circle indicates the site $(0,0)$ where the spin \vec{s}_0 exists and the closed circle indicates the site $x = (x_1, x_2)$ where the spin \vec{s}_x exists. (b) Example of the sub-polymer.




FIG. 2: Examples of the cluster composed of a single main polymer that contributes to the lowest order term of the high-temperature expansion of $\phi(\Lambda, h_+, h_-)$ for $h_+ \geq 1$ and $h_- \leq -1$. The size of the finite lattices in these examples is $|\Lambda| = 5 \times 5$.




FIG. 3: Examples of the cluster composed of a single main polymer that contributes to the lowest order term of the high-temperature expansion of $\phi(\Lambda, h_+, h_-)$ (a) for $h_+ \geq 1$ and $h_- = 0$ and (b) for $h_+ = 0$ and $h_- \leq -1$. The size of the finite lattice in these examples is $|\Lambda| = 5 \times 5$.

The correlation function in the thermodynamic limit is then given by

$$\begin{aligned}
 \langle \vec{s}_x \vec{s}_0 \rangle &\equiv \lim_{|\Lambda| \rightarrow \infty, h_+ \rightarrow \infty, h_- \rightarrow -\infty} \langle \vec{s}_x \vec{s}_0 \rangle_{\Lambda; h_+, h_-} \\
 &= \sum_{\Lambda, h_+, h_-} \phi_{x,0}(\Lambda, h_+, h_-).
 \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

In the last line of Eq.(15) the summation should be taken for all the lattice size and all of its position and all integer values of h_+ and h_- with $0 \leq h_+ \leq \infty$, $-\infty \leq h_- \leq 0$.

In the standard (graphical) cluster expansion of the correlation function for the SOS model, a cluster is composed of polymers: one main polymer that consists of the set of bonds $L_{x,0}$ and connected plaquettes attaching to it and possible sub-polymers that consists of connected plaquettes. An example of the main polymer and sub-polymer can be seen in Fig. 1. A value h_i ($\neq 0$) is assigned to each plaquette i of the polymer. We can assign to each cluster two numbers, h_{\max} (≥ 0) and h_{\min} (≤ 0), which are the maximum and the minimum, respectively, of the plaquette variable h_i in all the plaquettes of the polymers of which the cluster consists. Then, we can prove[17] that $\phi_{x,0}(\Lambda, h_+, h_-)$ includes the contributions to $\langle \vec{s}_x \vec{s}_0 \rangle$ from all the clusters of polymers in the standard cluster expansion for which $h_{\max} = h_+$ and $h_{\min} = h_-$ and that can be embedded into the lattice Λ but cannot be embedded into any of its rectangular sub-lattices.

Now we consider from what order the series expansion of $\phi_{x,0}(\Lambda, h_+, h_-)$ with respect to β starts. It is enough to give the order for the position $x = (x_1, x_2)$ so that $x_1 \geq 0$ and $x_2 \geq 0$. The order for the other cases is known by the 90° rotational symmetry of the model. Any cluster that contributes to the lowest-order term of the series expansion for $\phi_{x,0}(\Lambda, h_+, h_-)$ consists only of a main polymer. Hence the series expansion of $\phi_{x,0}(\Lambda, h_+, h_-)$ begins from order $\beta^{n_{x,0}(\Lambda, h_+, h_-)}$ in the case of $h_+ \geq 1$ and $h_- \leq -1$ with

$$n_{x,0}(\Lambda, h_+, h_-) = \begin{cases} 2l_1 + 2l_2 - x_1 - x_2 + 4h_+ + 4|h_-| - 4 \\ \quad \text{for } (p_1, p_2) = (0, 0) \text{ and } (p'_1, p'_2) = (x_1, x_2), \\ 2l_1 + 2l_2 - x_1 - x_2 + 4h_+ + 4|h_-| - 6 \\ \quad \text{for } p_2 = 0, p'_1 = x_1, \text{ and } (p_1, p'_2) \neq (0, x_2) \\ 2l_1 + 2l_2 - x_1 - x_2 + 4h_+ + 4|h_-| - 8 \\ \quad \text{for the others.} \end{cases} \quad (16)$$

Here we denote (p_1, p_2) as the position of the bottom-left corner and (p'_1, p'_2) as the position of the top-right corner, respectively, of the lattice Λ with its size $l_1 \times l_2$ ($p'_1 - p_1 = l_1$ and $p'_2 - p_2 = l_2$). Examples of the main polymer are given in Fig. 2 (a) (b) (c), which correspond to the three cases in Eq.(16), respectively. In the case of $h_+ \geq 1$ and $h_- = 0$ we have

$$n_{x,0}(\Lambda, h_+, h_-) = \begin{cases} 2l_1 + 2l_2 - x_1 - x_2 + 4h_+ \\ \quad \text{for } p_1 = 0, p'_2 = x_2 \text{ and } (p_2, p'_1) \neq (0, x_1), \\ 2l_1 + 2l_2 - x_1 - x_2 + 4h_+ - 2 \\ \quad \text{for } p_1 = 0, p_2 < 0 \text{ and } (p'_2 > x_2 \text{ or } p'_1 = x_1), \\ 2l_1 + 2l_2 - x_1 - x_2 + 4h_+ - 2 \\ \quad \text{for } p'_2 = x_2, p'_1 > x_1 \\ \quad \text{and } (p_1 < 0 \text{ or } (p_1, p_2) = (0, 0)), \\ 2l_1 + 2l_2 - x_1 - x_2 + 4h_+ - 4 \\ \quad \text{for the others,} \end{cases} \quad (17)$$

and in the case of $h_+ = 0$ and $h_- \leq -1$

$$n_{x,0}(\Lambda, h_+, h_-) = \begin{cases} 2l_1 + 2l_2 - x_1 - x_2 + 4|h_-| \\ \quad \text{for } (p_1, p_2) = (0, 0) \text{ and } (p'_1, p'_2) = (x_1, x_2), \\ 2l_1 + 2l_2 - x_1 - x_2 + 4|h_-| + 4 \\ \quad \text{for } p_2 = 0, p'_1 = x_1 \text{ and } (p_1, p'_2) \neq (0, x_2), \\ 2l_1 + 2l_2 - x_1 - x_2 + 4|h_-| \\ \quad \text{for } p_2 = 0, p_1 < 0 \text{ or } p'_1 = x_1, p'_2 > x_2, \\ 2l_1 + 2l_2 - x_1 - x_2 + 4|h_-| - 4 \\ \quad \text{for the others.} \end{cases} \quad (18)$$

Examples of the main polymer are given in Fig. 3 (a) and (b), which correspond to the last case in Eq.(17) and the last case in Eq.(18), respectively. In the case of $h_+ = 0$ and $h_- = 0$ we have

$$n_{x,0}(\Lambda, h_+, h_-) = \begin{cases} l_1 + l_2 & \text{for } (p_1, p_2) = (0, 0) \text{ and } (p'_1, p'_2) = (x_1, x_2), \\ \infty & \text{for the others.} \end{cases} \quad (19)$$

Here $n_{x,0}(\Lambda, h_+, h_-) = \infty$ implies that $\phi_{x,0}(\Lambda, h_+, h_-) = 0$.

Thus, in order to obtain the expansion series for the correlation function $\langle \vec{s}_x \vec{s}_0 \rangle$ to order β^N , we have only to take into account all combinations of the rectangular lattice Λ and the range of the plaquette variable (h_+, h_-) that satisfy the relation $n_{x,0}(\Lambda, h_+, h_-) \leq N$ in the summation of Eq. (15) and to evaluate each of the $\phi_{x,0}(\Lambda, h_+, h_-)$ to order β^N .

The j -th moment of the correlation function is given by

$$m_j = \sum_x |x|^j \langle \vec{s}_x \vec{s}_0 \rangle \quad (20)$$

where $|x|$ is the distance between the site x and 0. The moment can be calculated more efficiently in the following way. First we calculate $\tilde{\Gamma}_j(l_1, l_2, h_+, h_-)$ defined by

$$\tilde{\Gamma}_j(l_1, l_2, h_+, h_-) = \frac{\sum_{x,y \in \Lambda} |x-y|^j \Gamma_{x,y}(\Lambda, h_+, h_-)}{Z(\Lambda)} \quad (21)$$

TABLE I: Coefficients of the high-temperature series for the magnetic susceptibility m_0 .

n	$a_n^{(0)}$
0	1 / 1
1	4 / 1
2	12 / 1
3	34 / 1
4	88 / 1
5	658 / 3
6	529 / 1
7	14933 / 12
8	5737 / 2
9	389393 / 60
10	2608499 / 180
11	3834323 / 120
12	1254799 / 18
13	84375807 / 560
14	6511729891 / 20160
15	66498259799 / 96768
16	1054178743699 / 725760
17	39863505993331 / 13063680
18	19830277603399 / 3110400
19	8656980509809027 / 653184000
20	2985467351081077 / 108864000
21	811927408684296587 / 14370048000
22	399888050180302157 / 3448811520
23	245277792666205990697 / 1034643456000
24	83292382577873288741 / 172440576000
25	376988970189597090587 / 384296140800
26	62337378385915430773643 / 31384184832000
27	480555032864478422139959 / 119830523904000
28	80636088313579215330647 / 9985876992000
29	19240186846097940775812460721 / 1186322186649600000
30	34266867760374182809422566317 / 1054508610355200000
31	9864232002328615891762221069959 / 151849239891148800000
32	9369737642802482254708555709 / 723091618529280000
33	665861878626519700317398249291449 / 2581437078149529600000

for each finite size lattice Λ . We note that the numerator and the denominator of Eq.(21) depend only on the lattice size $|\Lambda| = l_1 \times l_2$ and on h_+, h_- and that they are independent of the position of the lattice after the summation is taken for x and y . They can be calculated efficiently again by the transfer matrix method using the procedure in which a finite-size lattice is built one plaquette at a time not only for $j = 0$ but also for $j \geq 2$ (j : even), the detail of which was described in the application of the finite lattice method to the calculation of the low-temperature series for the second moment of the correlation function in the simple cubic Ising model [23]. The moment is then given by

$$m_j = \sum_{l_1, l_2, h_+, h_-} \tilde{\phi}_j(l_1, l_2, h_+, h_-) \quad (22)$$

where $\tilde{\phi}_j(l_1, l_2, h_+, h_-)$ is defined recursively as

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\phi}_j(l_1, l_2, h_+, h_-) &= \tilde{\Gamma}_j(l_1, l_2, h_+, h_-) \\ &- \sum_{\substack{l'_1, l'_2, h'_+, h'_- \\ (l'_1, l'_2, h'_+, h'_-) \neq (l_1, l_2, h_+, h_-)}} (l_1 - l'_1 + 1)(l_2 - l'_2 + 1) \tilde{\phi}_j(l'_1, l'_2, h'_+, h'_-). \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

From Eq.(16) - (19) we know that $\tilde{\phi}_j(l_1, l_2, h_+, h_-)$ starts from order $\beta^{\tilde{n}(l_1, l_2, h_+, h_-)}$ with

$$\tilde{n}(l_1, l_2, h_+, h_-) = \begin{cases} l_1 + l_2 & \text{for } h_+ = h_- = 0, \\ l_1 + l_2 + 4h_+ - 4 & \text{for } h_+ > 0 \text{ and } h_- = 0, \\ l_1 + l_2 + 4|h_-| - 3 & \text{for } h_+ = 0 \text{ and } h_- < 0, \\ l_1 + l_2 + 4h_+ + 4|h_-| - 7 & \text{for } h_+ > 0 \text{ and } h_- < 0. \end{cases} \quad (24)$$

III. SERIES

We have calculated the high-temperature expansion series to order β^{33} for the j -th moments m_j ($j = 0, 2, 4$) of the correlation function for the XY model on the square lattice (m_0 is the magnetic susceptibility). The obtained

TABLE II: Coefficients of the high-temperature series for the second moment m_2 of the correlation function.

n	$a_n^{(2)}$
0	0 / 1
1	4 / 1
2	32 / 1
3	162 / 1
4	672 / 1
5	7378 / 3
6	24772 / 3
7	312149 / 12
8	77996 / 1
9	13484753 / 60
10	28201211 / 45
11	611969977 / 360
12	202640986 / 45
13	58900571047 / 5040
14	3336209179 / 112
15	1721567587879 / 23040
16	16763079262169 / 90720
17	5893118865913171 / 13063680
18	17775777329026559 / 16329600
19	1697692411053976387 / 653184000
20	41816028466101527 / 6804000
21	206973837048951639371 / 14370048000
22	721617681295019782781 / 21555072000
23	79897272060888843617033 / 1034643456000
24	2287397511857949924319 / 12933043200
25	5412508223507386985733313 / 13450364928000
26	7139182711315236460182251 / 7846046208000
27	107851995064346070336358789 / 52725430517760
28	75355895214528595226953733 / 16476697036800
29	1724076192091313972941261252343 / 169474598092800000
30	53429382179619216000735913825117 / 2372644373299200000
31	7534609247991680570113055733178247 / 151849239891148800000
32	344373363823985360326961701207501 / 3163525831065600000
33	68217033997582723452684940622596049 / 286826342016614400000

expansion coefficients are listed in Table I, II and III, where the coefficient $a_n^{(j)}$ is defined as

$$m_j = \sum_{n=1}^N a_n^{(j)} \left(\frac{\beta}{2}\right)^n. \quad (25)$$

We have checked that each of the $\tilde{\phi}_j(l_1, l_2; h_+, h_-)$'s in Eq. (23) starts from the correct order in β , as given by Eq. (24). Our series coincide exactly with the series to order β^{21} for $j = 0, 2$ and 4 given by Campostrini et al.[12] and to order β^{26} for $j = 0$ and 2 given by Butera and Pernici[13, 14], which was obtained by a graphical method and a non-graphical recursive algorithm based on the Schwinger-Dyson equations, respectively.

To obtain the large numerators and denominators of the coefficients exactly, we have used the same technique as in the calculation of the free energy series[29]. In each step of the calculation the series expansion of a function $f(\beta)$ is expressed as

$$f(\beta) = \sum_n \frac{a_n}{n!} \left(\frac{\beta}{2}\right)^n, \quad g(\beta) = \sum_n \frac{b_n}{n!} \left(\frac{\beta}{2}\right)^n, \quad (26)$$

then the product of the two functions is given by

$$f(\beta)g(\beta) = \sum_n \frac{c_n}{n!} \left(\frac{\beta}{2}\right)^n, \quad (27)$$

with

$$c_n = \sum_{n'=0}^n \frac{n!}{n'!(n-n')!} a_{n'} b_{n-n'}, \quad (28)$$

and, if a_n 's and b_n 's are integers, c_n 's are also integers.

The calculations were carried out on a PC cluster at the Information Processing Center at OPCT and on an Altix3700 BX2 at Yukawa Institute of Kyoto University.

TABLE III: Coefficients of the high-temperature series for the fourth moment m_4 of the correlation function.

n	$a_n^{(4)}$
0	0 / 1
1	4 / 1
2	96 / 1
3	930 / 1
4	6112 / 1
5	96850 / 3
6	147648 / 1
7	7305173 / 12
8	2319540 / 1
9	498173873 / 60
10	1271029508 / 45
11	2210163319 / 24
12	2606525954 / 9
13	4449953438647 / 5040
14	3300804041221 / 1260
15	81597010130527 / 10752
16	1952458419167723 / 90720
17	782261299458533011 / 13063680
18	222847854860540393 / 1360800
19	287995465331747559427 / 653184000
20	15925021359550756357 / 13608000
21	8810941751514830879983 / 2874009600
22	8551911058786623741001 / 1077753600
23	21013548184238208070598633 / 1034643456000
24	1108989303528609120610577 / 21555072000
25	31566975982648926005193559 / 244552089600
26	629546809875204592961945533 / 1961511552000
27	1043070737244084798242371813021 / 1318135762944000
28	10631253805062761391056806729 / 5492232345600
29	5575566587216452758709818963741041 / 1186322186649600000
30	224048510020833520062889392637883 / 197720364441600000
31	63403925202711962924615730240227527 / 2336142152171520000
32	8174296006615548272296498779225887 / 126541033242624000
33	394547895932847211470169206205154958361 / 2581437078149529600000

IV. SERIES ANALYSIS

From Eq.(3) the logarithm of the moment of the correlation function is expected to behave near the critical temperature like

$$\ln m_j \sim \frac{(2 + j - \eta)b}{\tau^\sigma} + O(\ln \tau), \quad (29)$$

where $\tau = 1 - \beta/\beta_c$ and $\beta_c = J/kT_c$ is the critical inverse temperature. Here we analyze it by the first order inhomogeneous differential approximation(IDA), in which the differential equation for $f(\beta) = \ln m_j$ is satisfied as

$$Q_m(\beta)f'(\beta) + P_l(\beta)f(\beta) + R_k(\beta) = O(\beta^{m+l+k+2}), \quad (30)$$

where $Q_m(\beta)$, $P_l(\beta)$ and $R_k(\beta)$ are polynomials of order m , l and k respectively and $Q_m(0) = 1$. The critical inverse temperature β_c is given by the zero of $Q_m(\beta)$ and the exponent σ is evaluated by

$$\sigma = -\frac{P_l(\beta_c)}{Q'_m(\beta_c)}. \quad (31)$$

In the analysis by the first order IDA here and below, we restrict $m + l + k + 2$ to be the maximum order of the analyzed series with $-1 \leq k \leq 9$ and $|m - l| \leq 4$, and exclude the approximants that have another zero of $Q_m(\beta)$ with $|\beta - \beta_c|/\beta_c < 0.10$, which is called near-by singularity.

In Fig. 4 we plot the zeros of $Q_m(\beta)$ in the complex plane of β . Besides clear accumulation of the points around $\beta = 1.12$ we see another accumulation around the nonphysical point $\beta = \beta_0 \sim -1.2 \pm 0.1i$. In order to remove the influence of this nonphysical critical point, we have made Euler transformation

$$\beta' = \frac{\beta}{1 - \beta/\beta_0}. \quad (32)$$

with $\beta_0 = -1.2$. In fact after this transformation the IDA gives a series of (β_c, σ) which converges better onto a straight line. Biased analysis fixing $\sigma = \frac{1}{2}$ gives $\beta_c = 1.1200(4)$. Hence we apply this Euler transformation in all of the series analysis presented below.




FIG. 4: Plot of poles in the unbiased inhomogeneous differential approximation for $\ln m_0$. The open circle indicates the poles corresponding to the physical singularity .




FIG. 5: β_c given by the biased IDA for $\ln m_0 + c \ln \frac{m_2}{4\beta}$.

The above analysis ignores the existence of the sub-leading correction terms to the leading power-law singularity of $\ln m_j$ in Eq.(29). So we have analyzed a combination of m_0 and m_2 as

$$\ln m_0 + c \ln \frac{m_2}{4\beta}, \quad (33)$$

searching the parameter c which will give more convergent result, anticipating that the sub-leading terms will be cancelled between the first and second terms in Eq.(33). In Fig. 5 we plot the estimated value of β_c versus c in the biased analysis fixing $\sigma = \frac{1}{2}$. We find that the choice of the parameter $c = 0.08 - 0.12$ gives nicely converging result of $\beta_c = 1.12007(4)$. We have also analyzed another combination as

$$\ln m_0 + c' \ln (1 + c'' m_2), \quad (34)$$

where c'' is an arbitrary parameter which should be chosen so that the combination will give the most convergent result. We have obtained the most convergent result of $\beta_c = 1.12003(5)$ for $c' = 0.05$ and $c'' = 0.58$ and $\beta_c = 1.11997(5)$ for $c' = 0.03$ and $c'' = 0.68$. Thus we can safely estimate $\beta_c = 1.1200(1)$. This value is quite consistent with the most precise value $\beta_c = 1.1199(1)$ obtained by Hasenbusch from the large-scale Monte Carlo simulation[6]. Our value is much more precise than the value $\beta_c = 1.1198(14)$ by Butera and Pernici[13, 14] from the high-temperature series to order β^{26} .

Assuming the critical behavior of Eq.(1) and (3) we can estimate the non-universal parameter b by the Padé




FIG. 6: Plot of the estimated value of θ by the 1st order IDA for the test function versus B .

approximation of

$$\left(1 - \frac{\beta}{\beta_c}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \ln \left(1 + c \frac{m_2}{m_0}\right) \sim b \quad (35)$$

and

$$\left(1 - \frac{\beta}{\beta_c}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \ln \left(1 + c' \frac{m_4}{m_2}\right) \sim b. \quad (36)$$

By searching the parameter c or c' that gives the most convergent estimation of b keeping $\beta_c = 1.12000$ and $\sigma = \frac{1}{2}$, both of the two give the same result of $b = 1.758(1)$ for $c = 3.15 - 3.23$ and for $c' = 0.806 - 0.812$ respectively. Here we have used all of $[m, l]$ Padé approximants with $m \geq 14$ and $l \geq 14$. This value is a bit smaller than $b = 1.800(2)$ [4] and $b = 1.776(4)$ [5] obtained in the Monte Carlo simulations.

Unfortunately the long series do not improve the estimation of the exponent η so much. For instance, the Padé approximation of the quantities

$$\frac{\ln \left(1 + c \frac{m_2}{m_0}\right)}{\ln m_0} \sim \frac{2}{2 - \eta} \quad (37)$$

and

$$\frac{\ln \left(1 + c' \frac{m_2}{m_0^2}\right)}{\ln m_0} \sim \frac{\eta}{2 - \eta} \quad (38)$$

give the most convergent result of $\eta = 0.256(2)$ for $c = 0.69$ and $\eta = 0.227(2)$ for $c' = 0.60$, respectively. The Padé approximation of

$$\left(1 - \frac{\beta}{\beta_c}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \ln \left(1 + c'' \frac{m_2}{m_0^2}\right) \sim \eta b \quad (39)$$

gives $\eta b = 0.429(5)$ for $c'' = 0.83 - 0.89$ and, if we combine this with the above result $b = 1.758(1)$, gives $\eta = 0.244(3)$

As for the exponent θ , Dlog-Padé analysis of the 20th order series of the quantities

$$\frac{m_0}{\left(\frac{m_2}{m_0}\right)^{2-\eta}} \sim \frac{m_4}{\left(\frac{m_2}{m_0}\right)^{6-\eta}} \sim \tau^{-2\sigma\theta} \{1 + O(\tau^\sigma \ln \tau)\} \quad (40)$$




FIG. 7: Plot of the estimated value of θ by the 2nd order IDA for the test function versus B .




FIG. 8: Value of θ for each of k estimated by the 2nd order IDA of the combined quantity.

gave estimations $\theta = -0.042(5)$ and $\theta = -0.05(2)$ [12], which have the sign opposite to the renormalization group prediction of $\theta = \frac{1}{16}$. The situation does not change even if our long series are used in the Dlog-Padé analysis of these quantities. The series of the two quantities to 33rd order gives $\theta = -0.019(1)$ and $\theta = -0.015(9)$, respectively. The IDA with $k \geq 0$ gives rather convergent values within the same k but quite scattered values for different k 's.

One possible reason why IDA for these quantities give scattered values for different k may be the existence of the sub-leading logarithmically singular term in Eq.(40), which comes from the correction factor in Eq.(3). In fact the sub-leading logarithmic singularity can strongly disturb the correct evaluation of the leading power low exponent $2\sigma\theta$ if this exponent is as small as $\frac{1}{16}$. We plot in Fig. 6 the estimated value of θ by IDA for the expansion series to order β^{33} for a test function

$$\tau^{-2\sigma\theta} \{1 + \tau^\sigma(A + B \ln \tau)\} \quad (41)$$

with $\theta = \frac{1}{16}$, $\sigma = \frac{1}{2}$, and $A = 0$ plotted versus B . We find that the estimated value of θ is quite sensitive to the amplitude B of the logarithmic term, and although each approximant with the same k gives rather convergent result for any fixed value of B , the approximants with different k give the estimation of θ far from each other.

Thus we have evaluated θ using the combination of m_0 , m_2 and m_4 as

$$m_0^\alpha (1 + c' m_2)^{\alpha'} (1 + c'' m_4)^{\alpha''} \quad (42)$$

with

$$\alpha(2 - \eta_0) + \alpha'(4 - \eta_0) + \alpha''(6 - \eta_0) = 0 \quad (43)$$

and

$$\alpha + \alpha' + \alpha'' = 1 \quad (44)$$

and $\eta_0 = \frac{1}{4}$, which is also considered to behave like Eq.(41) in general. We can however anticipate that, by taking the combination of the three quantities, the subleading logarithmic term may be cancelled if we choose appropriate values for the parameters α , c' and c'' . We have used the biased 2nd order IDA;

$$\tau^2 Q_{m_2}(\beta) f''(\beta) + \tau Q_{m_1}(\beta) f'(\beta) + P_l(\beta) f(\beta) + R_k(\beta) = O(\beta^{m_2+m_1+l+k+3}) \quad (45)$$

with $Q_{m_2}(0) = 1$. The exponent $-2\sigma\theta$ can be evaluated by the solution γ of

$$\gamma(\gamma - 1) \frac{Q_{m_2}(\beta_c)}{\beta_c^2} - \gamma \frac{Q_{m_1}(\beta_c)}{\beta_c} + P_l(\beta_c) = 0. \quad (46)$$

Here we adopt $\beta_c = 1.1200$. The 2nd order IDA can make more precise evaluation of θ than the 1st order IDA for the function like Eq.(41). Fig. 7 is the plot of the estimation of θ by the 2nd order IDA for the 33rd order series of the test function (41) with $\theta = \frac{1}{16}$, $\sigma = \frac{1}{2}$, and $A = 0.3$. Of course the 2nd order IDA also gives different values of θ for each of k if $B \neq 0$, but if B is small enough it can present precise estimation for the exponent of the leading singularity. By the analysis of the real combined quantity (42) we have found that the estimated values of θ converge to $\theta = 0.050(15)$ for all the range of $-1 \leq k \leq 9$ in a domain of the set of parameters $-\alpha = 3.6 - 3.0$, $c' = 2.8 - 4.0$ and $c'' = 2.8 - 4.0$. In the analysis we have restricted $m_2 + m_1 + l + k + 3 = 32$ with $-1 \leq k \leq 9$ and $m_2 \geq 7$, $m_1 \geq 7$, $l \geq 7$. The approximants that have near-by singularity (i.e. the zero of $Q_2(\beta)$ or $Q_1(\beta)$) with $|\beta - \beta_c|/\beta_c < 0.2$ have been excluded. The most convergent result $\theta = 0.054(10)$ is obtained for $\alpha = -3.143$, $c' = 3.134$ and $c'' = 3.139$. The values of θ for each k in this set of parameters are shown in Fig. 8. We note that this value $\theta = 0.054(10)$ is consistent with $\theta = \frac{1}{16}$ predicted by the renormalization group.

V. SUMMARY

We calculated the high-temperature series for the zeroth moment (magnetic susceptibility) and the second and fourth moments of the correlation function in the XY model on the square lattice to order β^{33} by using the improved algorithm of the finite lattice method. The obtained long series have presented us an estimation for the value of the critical inverse temperature as $\beta_c = 1.1200(1)$, which is consistent with the most precise value given previously by the Monte Carlo simulation. The critical exponent θ for the multiplicative logarithmic correction is evaluated using the combination of the three moments of the correlation function, giving $\theta = 0.054(10)$, which is consistent with the value $\theta = \frac{1}{16}$ predicted by the renormalization group argument.

Acknowledgments

The author would like to thank P. Butera and M. Pernici for sending their high-temperature series before publication. This work was supported in part by a Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (No. 16540353) from the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology.

-
- [1] J. M. Kosterlitz and D.J. Thouless, J. Phys. C 6,1181 (1973).
J. M. Kosterlitz, J. Phys. C 7, 1046 (1974).
 - [2] R. G. Edwards, J. Goodman and A. D. Sokal, Nucl. Phys. B 354, 289 (1991).
 - [3] R. Gupta and C. F. Baillie, Phys. Rev. D 45, 2883 (1992).
 - [4] N. Schultka and E. Manousakis, Phys. Rev. B 49, 12071 (1994).
 - [5] M. Hasenbusch and K. Pinn, J. of Phys. A 30, 63 (1997).
 - [6] M. Hasenbusch, J. of Phys. A 38, 5869 (2005).
 - [7] A. Patrascioiu and E. Seiler, Phys. Rev. B 54, 7177 (1996).
 - [8] W. Janke, Phys. Rev. B 55, 3580 (1997).
 - [9] A. Jaster and H. Hahn, Physica A 252, 199 (1998).
 - [10] R. Kenna and A.C. Irving, Nucl. Phys. B 485, 583 (1997).
 - [11] S. Chandrasekharan and C.G. Strouthas, Phys. Rev. D 68, 091502 (2003); C.G. Strouthas, Nucl. Phys. B (Proc. Suppl.) 129, 608 (2004).

- [12] M. Campostrini, A. Pelissetto, P. Rossi and E. Vicari, *Phys. Rev. B* 54, 7301 (1996).
- [13] P. Butera and M. Pernici, *Phys. Rev. B* 76, 092406 (2007).
- [14] P. Butera and M. Pernici, arXiv:0806.1496v1[hep-lat] (2008).
- [15] See for example C. Domb, in *Phase Transitions and Critical Phenomena*, ed. C. Domb and M. S. Green, Vol. 3 (1974), Chap. 1, 6.
- [16] T. de Neef and I. G. Enting, *J. of Phys. A* 10, 801 (1977).
I. G. Enting, *J. of Phys. A* 11, 563 (1978); *Austr. J. of Phys.* 31, 515 (1978).
- [17] H. Arisue and T. Fujiwara, *Prog. Theor. Phys.* 72, 1176 (1984); Preprint RIFP-588 (1985 unpublished).
H. Arisue, *Nucl. Phys. B (Proc. Suppl.)* 34, 240 (1994).
- [18] M. Creutz, *Phys. Rev. B* 43, 10659 (1991).
- [19] G. Bhanot, M. Creutz and J. Lacki, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 69 (1992), 1841.
G. Bhanot, M. Creutz, U. Glässner and K. Schilling, *Phys. Rev. B* 49, 12909 (1994).
- [20] A. J. Guttmann and I. G. Enting, *J. of Phys. A* 26, 807 (1993); *ibid.* A27, 1503 (1994).
- [21] H. Arisue, *Phys. Lett. B* 313, 187 (1993).
- [22] H. Arisue and T. Fujiwara, *Nucl. Phys. B* 285, 253 (1987).
H. Arisue, *Phys. Lett. B* 322, 224 (1994).
- [23] H. Arisue and K. Tabata, *Nucl. Phys. B* 435, 555 (1995).
- [24] G. Bhanot, M. Creutz, U. Glässner, I. Horvath, J. Lacki, K. Schilling and J. Weckel, *Phys. Rev. B* 48, 6183 (1993).
- [25] A. J. Guttmann and I. G. Enting, *J. Phys. A* 27, 5801 (1994).
- [26] H. Arisue, *Phys. Rev. E* 59, 186 (1999); *Nucl. Phys. B* 546, 558 (1999)
- [27] H. Arisue, *Nucl. Phys. B* 446, 373 (1995).
- [28] H. Arisue and K. Tabata, *J. of Phys. A* 30, 3313 (1995).
- [29] H. Arisue, *Prog. Theor. Phys.* 118, 855 (2007).
- [30] I. G. Enting, *J. of Phys. A* 13, 3713 (1980).
- [31] G. Bhanot, *J. Stat. Phys.* 60, 55 (1990).
- [32] M. Hasenbusch, M. Marcu and K. Pinn, *Physica A* 208, 124 (1994).