

Broadening the bandwidth of entangled photons: a step towards the generation of extremely short biphotons

M. Hendrych¹, Xiaojuan Shi^{1,2}, A. Valencia¹, and Juan P. Torres^{1,2}

¹*ICFO-Institut de Ciències Fòniques, Mediterranean Technology Park, Castelldefels, 08860 Barcelona, Spain and*

²*Department of Signal Theory and Communications, Campus Nord D3, Universitat Politècnica, 080340 Barcelona, Spain**

(Dated: November 1, 2018)

We demonstrate a technique that allows to fully control the bandwidth of entangled photons independently of the frequency band of interest and of the nonlinear crystal. We show that this technique allows to generate nearly transform-limited biphotons with almost one octave of bandwidth (hundreds of THz) which corresponds to correlation times of just a few femtoseconds. The presented method becomes an enabling tool for attosecond entangled-photons quantum optics. The technique can also be used to generate paired photons with a very high degree of entanglement.

PACS numbers: 42.50.Dv, 42.65.Lm, 03.67.Bg, 42.65.Re

The development of methods for the generation of entangled photon pairs (biphotons) with a specific bandwidth has been of great interest in recent years. Narrow bandwidth of frequency correlations is important for the design of efficient atom-photon interfaces [1] in quantum networks [2], for long distance quantum communications [3], or to enable direct measurements of temporal correlations with current photodetectors [4, 5].

On the other hand, some applications such as quantum optical coherence tomography [6] and nonlinear microscopy [7] require wide bandwidths. Wide bandwidths are a requisite for the generation of biphotons with very short correlation times [8] and when high fluxes of biphotons are desired [9]. A bandwidth of hundreds of THz can generate biphotons with a few femtoseconds of correlation time. These short temporal biphotons are of particular interest in the fields of quantum metrology [10] and for some protocols for timing and positioning measurements [11]. The narrow temporal correlation embedded in the biphoton can be transmitted over large distances thanks to the strong correlations of the entangled photons that allow to remotely compensate for chromatic dispersion [12].

Spontaneous parametric down conversion (SPDC) is the most convenient source for the generation of entangled photon pairs. When an intense laser beam illuminates a nonlinear material, with a certain small probability a pump photon might split into two lower energy photons. In analogy with a classical pulse, the temporal correlation width of the biphoton is determined by its spectral bandwidth and spectral phase [13]. The bandwidth of the SPDC pairs is determined by the type of phase-matching (type-I, type-II), the length and the dispersive properties of the nonlinear material, the geometry of the SPDC configuration (collinear or non-collinear) and by the spectral and spatial characteristics of the pump beam. By changing any of these parameters it is possible to modify the bandwidth and therefore the temporal correlations of the SPDC photons. For example,

by choosing a particular material (PPLN) and pumping it at a specific wavelength ($\lambda = 1885$ nm), an ultra broad bandwidth of ~ 1080 nm has been recently reported [14]. However, from this experiment no conclusion can be drawn about the biphoton's temporal length because the spectral correlations were not measured. In contrast, the group of Silberberg achieved to produce a bandwidth of 100 nm that yielded a biphoton with 23 fs of correlation time [7].

An alternative approach to modify the SPDC bandwidth is to use chirped quasi-phase matched crystals [15]. A frequency correlation bandwidth of ~ 300 nm at a central wavelength of 812 nm has been achieved. Nevertheless, when this technique is used to obtain narrow temporal biphotons, compensation of the spectral phase is required as the biphotons are not transform limited.

In this Letter, we demonstrate experimentally a technique to increase the SPDC bandwidth in order to generate ultrashort near transform-limited biphotons. It employs angular dispersion (pulse-front tilt) to modify the effective group velocity and group velocity dispersion of the interacting waves. Differently from other approaches, the method is not based on the choice nor on the engineering of the nonlinear material and it works in any frequency band and in any nonlinear crystal of interest. That offers two advantages: First, the wavelength can be chosen in the region where single-photon detectors exhibit high detection efficiency; second, advantage can be taken of materials with high nonlinear coefficient that naturally do not provide the desired bandwidth.

Angular dispersion is a powerful enabling tool in many different areas of optics. It can be used to introduce negative group velocity dispersion in a beam propagating in free space [16], it is a key element in many techniques for pulse compression, it enables the generation of femtosecond second harmonic waves [17, 18], and it has made possible the observation of temporal solitons [19], where the natural dispersion of the material would have made such observation impossible. In quantum optics, angu-




FIG. 1: Experimental setup. SHG: second harmonic generation; M: mirrors; Gr: gratings; F: long-pass filter; PBS: polarizing beamsplitter; Mono: monochromators; D: detectors; C.C. Coincidences electronics.

lar dispersion enables to tailor the frequency correlations of entangled photons and allows to generate frequency-uncorrelated and frequency-correlated pairs of photons [20], quantum states that are not easily produced in most experimental configurations currently used [21, 22].

Let us consider collinear SPDC where the downconverted photons copropagate along the direction of the pump beam. The state of the downconverted photons at the output of the medium may be written as

$$|\psi\rangle = \int d\mathbf{q}_s d\mathbf{q}_i d\Omega_s d\Omega_i \Phi(\Omega_s, \Omega_i, \mathbf{q}_s, \mathbf{q}_i) |\Omega_s\rangle |\Omega_i\rangle, \quad (1)$$

where Ω_j ($j = s, i$) denote the signal and idler photons' angular frequency detunings from the central angular frequency ω_j^0 , i.e. $\omega_j = \omega_j^0 + \Omega_j$, and \mathbf{q}_j are the corresponding transverse wavenumber vectors. The spectral and spatial properties of the downconverted photons are described by the joint spectrum [13]

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(\Omega_s, \Omega_i, \mathbf{q}_s, \mathbf{q}_i) &\propto E_\omega(\Omega_s + \Omega_i) E_q(\mathbf{q}_s + \mathbf{q}_i) \\ &\times \text{sinc}\left(\frac{\Delta k L}{2}\right) \exp\left\{i\frac{s_k L}{2}\right\}, \quad (2) \end{aligned}$$

where E_ω and E_q are the spectral and transverse wavenumber distributions of the pump beam at the input face of the nonlinear crystal of length L , respectively. $\Delta k = k_p - k_s - k_i$ is the phase-mismatch along the longitudinal direction with $k_j = [(\omega_j n_j)^2 / c^2 - |\mathbf{q}_j|^2]^{1/2}$, and $s_k = k_p + k_s + k_i$. n_j is the index of refraction and c the speed of light.

To illustrate the principle behind the proposed method, let us consider the scheme shown in Fig. 1. In contrast to typical collinear SPDC configurations, the nonlinear crystal is placed between two optical elements that introduce angular dispersion, e.g., a pair of prisms or diffraction gratings. Angular dispersion ϵ causes the front of the pulse to be tilted by an angle ξ given by $\tan \xi = -\lambda_0 \epsilon$, where λ_0 is the central wavelength of the pulse and angular dispersion $\epsilon = m / (d \cos \beta_0)$, where m is the grating's diffraction order, d is the groove spacing and β_0 is the output diffraction angle.

The introduction of angular dispersion modifies the spatial distribution of the pump E_q and the phase-mismatch Δk of the joint spectrum of Eq. 2. If the gratings introduce opposite angular dispersion in such a way that $\tan \xi_s = -\tan \xi_p / \alpha_p$ and $\alpha_p \alpha_s = 1$, it is possible to modify Δk only, and to effectively modify the group velocity and the group velocity dispersion of the pump, signal and idler photons. Here $\alpha_j \equiv \cos \theta_j / \cos \beta_j$ with θ_j and β_j being the incidence and diffraction angles of the pump beam at grating $Gr1$, respectively.

The effects of angular dispersion on the SPDC spectrum can be better understood by expanding Δk in a Taylor series about the central frequencies ω_j^0 . For a quasi-continuous-wave pump, the frequency detunings of the downconverted photons must be anticorrelated in order to satisfy energy conservation, i.e. $\Omega_s = -\Omega_i$, and Δk becomes

$$\Delta k \approx (N'_s - N'_i) \Omega_s - \frac{1}{2} (g'_s + g'_i) \Omega_s^2 + \dots, \quad (3)$$

where $N'_j = N_j + \tan \xi_p \tan \rho_j / c$ and $g'_j = g_j - [\tan \xi_p / c]^2 / k_j^0$ play the role of effective inverse group velocity and effective group velocity dispersion, respectively. The inverse group velocity $N_j = (dk_j / d\omega_j)_{\omega_j^0}$ and group velocity dispersion $g_j = (d^2 k_j / d\omega_j^2)_{\omega_j^0}$ are modified in the presence of the pulse-front tilt ξ_p and Poynting-vector walk-off ρ_j . The new effective values of group velocity and group velocity dispersion depend on the angular dispersion experienced by the pump beam and allow us to control the SPDC bandwidth.

For example, let us consider type-II SPDC where the polarizations of the downconverted photons are mutually orthogonal. If tilt ξ_p is chosen such that the effective group velocities are equal, $N'_s = N'_i$, the lowest non-zero term in phase mismatch Δk is of the second order which results in an increase of the bandwidth. We obtain a type-II process where the dependence of the bandwidth on the length of the nonlinear crystal goes as $1/\sqrt{L}$, instead of the typical $1/L$ [13]. The value of the pulse tilt that maximizes the bandwidth is

$$\xi_{II}^{max} = \tan^{-1} \left\{ \frac{c(N_i - N_s)}{\tan \rho_s - \tan \rho_i} \right\}. \quad (4)$$

On the other hand, in a type-I process the polarizations and the group velocities of the signal and idler photons are equal. The bandwidth is increased if the tilt is chosen such that $g'_s = g'_i = 0$. In such a case, the first non-zero term in Δk is of the 4th order and the dependence of the bandwidth on the length of the crystal goes as $1/L^{1/4}$. The tilt that maximizes the bandwidth is

$$\xi_I^{max} = \tan^{-1} (c^2 g_s k_s^0)^{1/2}, \quad (5)$$

where $k_j^0 = k_j(\omega_j^0, \mathbf{q}_s = \mathbf{q}_i = 0)$.

To demonstrate the feasibility of the proposed technique, an experiment was performed (see Fig. 1). A




FIG. 2: Measured joint spectral density: (a) tilt $\xi_p = 0^\circ$, (b) tilt $\xi_p = 38^\circ$. The joint spectrum broadens sevenfold as expected.

2 mm thick, type-II BBO crystal flanked with two gratings *Gr1* and *Gr2* was pumped by the second harmonic (Radiantis Blue Stream, 40% efficiency in the picosecond regime) of a picosecond Ti:sapphire laser (Coherent Mira 900-P) tuned at 810 nm. The downconverted photons were separated by a polarizing beamsplitter and fed into monochromators *Mono1* and *Mono2* (Jobin Yvon MicroHR). Single-photon detectors (Perkin-Elmer SPCM-AQR-14-FC) measured single counts and coincidence electronics counted coincidence counts within a 3 ns window. The joint spectrum was obtained by measuring the number of coincidences while the two monochromators scanned the plane from 760 nm to 860 nm.

Figure 2 shows the experimental results. Fig. 2(a) depicts the joint spectral density for tilt $\xi_p = 0^\circ$ when the gratings were removed. The typical frequency anti-correlation of the SPDC photons can be observed. Fig. 2(b) corresponds to the situation with tilt $\xi_{II}^{max} = 38^\circ$ that maximizes the bandwidth. This was accomplished by taking grating *Gr1* with a groove spacing $d = 1/1200$ mm and diffraction angle $\beta_p = 52^\circ$. In order to satisfy $\tan \xi_s = -\tan \xi_p / \alpha_p$ and $\alpha_p \alpha_s = 1$, grating *Gr2* had $d = 1/600$ mm and $\beta_s = 18^\circ$.

A more quantitative comparison between the cases with and without pulse-front tilt is plotted in Fig. 3. The graphs in the upper row correspond to the spectra of signal single counts measured after one of the monochromators: (a) without tilt and (b) with tilt $\xi_p = 38^\circ$. The spectra of idler photons are not shown for being alike. The squares are experimental data and the solid lines are the theoretical prediction.

Figures 3(c) and (d) depict the profile of the number of coincidence counts along the antidiagonal (straight line at -45°) of Fig. 2 without tilt and with tilt, resp. The variable $\Lambda_- = (\Lambda_s - \Lambda_i) / \sqrt{2}$, where Λ_j is the detuning from the central wavelength, is associated with this antidiagonal. Without tilt, the FWHM bandwidth was measured to be $\Delta\Lambda_- \sim 7.5$ nm (Fig. 3(c)). Applying a tilt $\xi_p = 38^\circ$, the bandwidth broadened to $\Delta\Lambda_- \sim 52$ nm




FIG. 3: (a) Signal single counts for $\xi_p = 0^\circ$; $\Delta\lambda_s = 5.2$ nm. (b) Signal single counts for $\xi_p = 38^\circ$; $\Delta\lambda_s = 41$ nm. (c) Coincidences along the antidiagonal for $\xi_p = 0^\circ$; $\Delta\Lambda_- = 7.5$ nm. (d) Coincidences along the antidiagonal for $\xi_p = 38^\circ$; $\Delta\Lambda_- = 52$ nm. Solid lines represent the theoretical prediction, squares are the experimental data.

(Fig. 3(d)). The sevenfold increase of the bandwidth is achieved without any modification of the nonlinear crystal or the working wavelength, only by introducing angular dispersion into the pump beam and the downconverted photons. It is this independence of the material properties and of the working wavelength which makes the method proposed here so promising for controlling the bandwidth of the SPDC photons.

The application of this method for the generation of entangled photons with very narrow temporal correlations can be seen in Fig 4. In general, temporal biphoton $\Psi(t_1, t_2)$ (t_j is the clicking time of j -th detector) is given by the amplitude and phase of the joint spectrum $\Phi(\Omega_s, \Omega_i)$. Fig. 4 shows the spectral density (a), spectral phase (b) and temporal shape (c) of a biphoton for the case of 2-mm thick Type-I BBO SPDC at 810 nm. Type-I phase matching was chosen because of its naturally broader spectrum. In the case without tilt, the spectral phase follows a quadratic dependence. In the case with tilt in the region where the spectral density varies, the spectral phase is almost constant due to its fourth-order dependence on the frequency. It is this fact that makes possible to generate nearly transform-limited biphotons. The mentioned increase of bandwidth translates into ultrashort biphotons with a temporal correlation of a few femtoseconds. This contrasts with other methods where the increase of the bandwidth is not directly accompanied by a decrease of the correlation time [15, 23].

Figure 4(c) was obtained by performing a Fourier transform of Eq. 2, both numerically and analytically. The dashed and solid lines correspond to the case $\xi_p = 0^\circ$ and $\xi_p = \xi_I^{max} = 16.2^\circ$, resp. The FWHM bandwidth of the spectral density obtained without tilt is 96 nm




FIG. 4: (a) Spectral density $|\Phi|^2$, (b) phase $s_k L/2$ and (c) correlation time $|\Psi(t_1 - t_2)|^2$ of the biphoton for type-I BBO crystal without tilt (dashed line) and with tilt (solid line). The shrinking of the temporal correlation is clearly observed.

($2\pi 44$ THz) that corresponds to a correlation time of 19 fs (rms width) [13.4 fs FWHM]. This should be compared with the case when the optimum angular dispersion is applied that gives a bandwidth of 465 nm ($2\pi 197$ THz) and a correlation time of 6.4 fs (rms width) [4.6 fs FWHM]. It should be noted that working at a shorter wavelength, it is possible to further shorten the biphoton, because the correlation time scales with $\lambda^2/(c\Delta\lambda)$.

Pump beams with pulse-front tilt can also be employed to obtain a very high degree of entanglement. Up to now low values of the entropy of entanglement ($E \sim 1 - 2$ ebits) of paired photons in the frequency continuum have been reported [24]. A higher degree of entanglement can be achieved using broadband SPDC photons. For entangled photons of the form $\Phi(\Omega_s, \Omega_i) \sim \exp\left\{-\frac{(\Omega_s + \Omega_i)^2}{B_p^2}\right\} \exp\left\{-\frac{(\Omega_s - \Omega_i)^2}{B_c^2}\right\}$, the entropy of entanglement [25] depends on the ratio between the bandwidth of the pump beam B_p (typically $2\pi 5$ MHz) and the bandwidth of the biphoton B_c . For a bandwidth of $\Delta\lambda_s = 31$ nm ($B_c \sim 2\pi 16.4$ THz) at 1064 nm [26], one has values of $B_c/B_p \sim 3.3 \times 10^6$ and $E \sim 21$ ebits. The method presented here allows to reach values of $\Delta\lambda_s > 500$ nm ($B_c > 2\pi 420$ THz), therefore allowing typical ratios greater than 8.4×10^7 and $E > 26$ ebits.

In conclusion, a scheme to broaden the bandwidth of the SPDC photons was experimentally demonstrated. The method is based on the introduction of angular dispersion (pulse-front tilt) into the pump and downconverted photons which allowed us to demonstrate a seven-fold increase of the original bandwidth without changing the nonlinear crystal or the frequency band. The potentiality of the proposed method to generate ultrashort nearly transformed-limited biphotons, and with a high degree of entanglement, has also been discussed. The described method can allow biphotons to enter the realm of *attosecond quantum optics*, since it allows the generation of entangled photons with correlation times below one femtosecond.

Acknowledgements: We wish to thank Ladislav Mišta for the analytical solution of the Fourier transform. This work was supported by the European Commission [Qubit Applications, contract 015848] and by the Government of Spain [Consolider Ingenio 2010 (Quantum Optical Information Technology) CSD2006-00019 and FIS2007-60179]. M. H. acknowledges support from a Beatriu de Pinos fellowship.

* Electronic address: martin.hendrych@icfo.es

- [1] J.K. Thompson, J. Simon, H. Loh, and V. Vuletic, *Science* **313**, 74 (2006). K. S. Choi, H. Deng, J. Laurat, and H.J. Kimble, *Nature* **452**, 67 (2008).
- [2] L.M. Duan, M. Lukin, J.I. Cirac, and P. Zoller, *Nature* **414**, 413 (2001).
- [3] A. Fedrizzi *et al.*, *Opt. Express* **15**, 15377 (2007). S. Sauge *et al.*, *ibid.* **15**, 6926 (2007).
- [4] V. Balic *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **94**, 183601 (2005).
- [5] Z.Y. Ou and Y.J. Lu, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **83**, 2556 (1999).
- [6] M.B. Nasr, B.E.A. Saleh, A.V. Sergienko, and M.C. Teich, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **91**, 083601 (2003).
- [7] B. Dayan, A. Pe'er, A. A. Friesem, and Y. Silberberg, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **93**, 023005 (2004).
- [8] S.E. Harris, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **98**, 063602 (2007).
- [9] R. Loudon, *The Quantum Theory of Light* (Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2000), Chap. 6.
- [10] V. Giovannetti, S. Lloyd, and L. Maccone, *Science* **306**, 1330 (2004).
- [11] A. Valencia, G. Scarcelli, and Y.H. Shih, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **85**, 2655 (2004).
- [12] A. Valencia, M.V. Chekhova, A. Trifonov, and Y. Shih, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **88**, 183601 (2002).
- [13] T.E. Keller and M.H. Rubin, *Phys. Rev. A* **56**, 1534 (1997).
- [14] K.A. O'Donnell and A.B. U'Ren, *Opt. Lett.* **32**, 817 (2007).
- [15] M.B. Nasr *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **100**, 183601 (2008).
- [16] E.B. Treacy, *IEEE J. Quant. Electron.* QE-5, 454 (1969).
- [17] V.D. Volosov, S.G. Karpenko, N.E. Kornienko, and V.L. Strishevskii, *Sov. J. Quantum Electron.* **4**, 1090 (1975).
- [18] A. Dubietis *et al.*, *Opt. Lett.* **22**, 1071 (1997).
- [19] P. Di Trapani *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **81**, 570 (1998).
- [20] M. Hendrych, M. Mićuda, and J.P. Torres, *Opt. Lett.* **32** 2339 (2007).
- [21] O. Kuzucu *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **94**, 083601 (2005).
- [22] P.J. Mosley *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **100**, 133601 (2008).
- [23] J.K. Ranka, R.S. Windeler, and A.J. Stentz, *Opt. Lett.* **25**, 25 (2000).
- [24] C.K. Law, I.A. Walmsley, and J.H. Eberly, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **84**, 5304 (2000).
- [25] Eq. 42 in S. Parker, S. Bose and M.B. Plenio, *Phys. Rev. A* **61**, 032305 (2000).
- [26] A. Pe'er, B. Dayan, A.A. Friesem, and Y. Silberberg, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **94**, 073601(R) (2005).