## Band structure asymmetry of bilayer graphene revealed by infrared spectroscopy

Z. Q. Li,<sup>1,\*</sup> E. A. Henriksen,<sup>2</sup> Z. Jiang,<sup>2,3</sup> Z. Hao,<sup>4</sup> M. C. Martin,<sup>4</sup> P. Kim,<sup>2</sup> H. L. Stormer,<sup>2,5,6</sup> and D. N. Basov<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Physics, University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, California 92093, USA

<sup>2</sup>Department of Physics, Columbia University, New York, New York 10027, USA

<sup>3</sup>National High Magnetic Field Laboratory, Tallahassee, Florida 32310, USA

<sup>4</sup>Advanced Light Source Division, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, California 94720, USA

Columbia University, New York, New York 10027, USA

<sup>6</sup>Bell Labs, Alcatel-Lucent, Murray Hill, New Jersey 07974, USA

(Dated: November 5, 2018)

We report on infrared spectroscopy of bilayer graphene integrated in gated structures. We observed a significant asymmetry in the optical conductivity upon electrostatic doping of electrons and holes. We show that this finding arises from a marked asymmetry between the valence and conduction bands, which is mainly due to the inequivalence of the two sublattices within the graphene layer. From the conductivity data, the energy difference of the two sublattices is determined.

Recently there has been unprecedented interest in carbon-based materials due to the discovery of graphene [1]. Among all carbon systems, bilayer graphene stands out due to its remarkable properties such as the formation of a tunable band gap between the valence and conduction bands [2][3][4][5]: a property not attainable in common semiconductors. The vast majority of previous experimental and theoretical studies of bilayer graphene assumed a symmetric band structure that is governed by the interlayer coupling energy  $\gamma_1$ . This is in contrast with a significant electron-hole asymmetry observed in cyclotron resonance [6] and cyclotron mass experiments [2]. Several theoretical proposals have been put forward to explain these results [2][7]. Yet, the microscopic origin of the observed effects remains unknown.

Here we present the first investigation of the optical conductivity of bilayer graphene via infrared spectroscopy. We observed dramatic differences in the evolution of the conductivity for electron and hole polarities of the gate voltage. We show that small band parameters other than  $\gamma_1$  give rise to an asymmetry between the valence and conduction bands, in contrast to the commonly assumed symmetric band structure. The systematic character of our IR data enables us to extract an energy difference between the A and B sublattices within the same graphene layer (Fig 1(b)) of  $\delta_{AB} \approx 18$ meV. We analyze some of the implications of these findings for other properties of bilayer graphene.

Infrared (IR) reflectance  $R(\omega)$  and transmission  $T(\omega)$ measurements were performed on bilayer graphene samples on SiO<sub>2</sub>/Si substrate [6] as a function of gate voltage V<sub>g</sub> at 45K employing synchrotron radiation, as described in [8]. We find that both  $R(\omega)$  [9, 10] and  $T(\omega)$ spectra of the bilayer graphene device can be strongly modified by a gate voltage. Figure 1 shows the transmission ratio data at several voltages normalized by data at the charge neutrality voltage  $V_{CN}$ :  $T(V)/T(V_{CN})$ , where  $V_{CN}$  is the voltage corresponding to the minimum DC conductivity, and  $V = V_g - V_{CN}$ . The  $T(V)/T(V_{CN})$  spectra are dominated by a dip at around 3000 cm<sup>-1</sup>, the magnitude of which increases systematically with voltage. Apart from the main dip, a peak was observed in the  $T(V)/T(V_{CN})$  data below 2500 cm<sup>-1</sup>, which shifts systematically with voltage. This latter feature is similar to the  $T(V)/T(V_{CN})$  data for single layer graphene [8]. The gate-induced enhancement in transmission  $(T(V)/T(V_{CN})>1)$  below 2500 cm<sup>-1</sup> and above 3500 cm<sup>-1</sup> implies a decrease of the absorption with voltage in these frequency ranges.

The most informative quantity for exploring the quasiparticle dynamics in bilayer graphene is the two dimensional (2D) optical conductivity  $\sigma_1(\omega) + i\sigma_2(\omega)$  [8][11]. First, we extracted the optical conductivity at  $V_{CN}$  from the reflectance data (not shown) employing a multilayer analysis of the device [8][11]. We find that  $\sigma_1(\omega, V_{CN})$ has a value of  $2 * (\pi e^2/2h)$  at high energies, with a pronounced peak at 3250 cm<sup>-1</sup> (inset of Fig 2(b)). This observation is in agreement with theoretical analysis on undoped bilayer graphene[12][13][14]. Our high energy data agree with recent experiments in the visible region [15]. The peak around 3250 cm<sup>-1</sup> can be assigned to the interband transition in undoped bilayer near the interlayer coupling energy  $\gamma_1$ .

An applied gate voltage shifts the Fermi energy  $E_F$ to finite values leading to significant modifications of the optical conductivity. The  $\sigma_1(\omega, V)$  and  $\sigma_2(\omega, V)$  spectra extracted from voltage-dependent reflectance and transmission data [8] are shown in Fig 2. At frequencies below 2500 cm<sup>-1</sup>, we observe a suppression of  $\sigma_1(\omega, V)$  below  $2 * (\pi e^2/2h)$  and a well-defined threshold structure, the energy of which systematically increases with voltage. Significant conductivity was observed at frequencies below the threshold feature. These observations are similar to the data in single layer graphene [8]. The threshold feature below 2500 cm<sup>-1</sup> can be attributed to the onset of interband transitions at  $2E_F$ , as shown by the arrow labeled  $e_1$  in the inset of Fig 2(a) and (b). The observed residual conductivity below  $2E_F$  is in contrast

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>Department of Applied Physics and Applied Mathematics,

to the theoretical absorption for ideal bilayer graphene [13][14] that shows nearly zero conductivity up to  $2E_F$ . Similar to single layer graphene, the residual conductivity may originate from disorder effects [13] or many body intertactions [8]. Apart from the above similarities, the optical conductivity of bilayer graphene is significantly different from the single layer conductivity. First, the energy range where the conductivity  $\sigma_1(\omega, V)$  is impacted by the gate voltage extends well beyond the  $2E_F$  threshold. Furthermore, we find a pronounced peak near 3000 cm<sup>-1</sup>, the oscillator strength of which shows a strong voltage dependence. This peak originates from the interband transition between the two conduction bands or two valence bands (inset of Fig.2a) [13][14].

The voltage dependence of the Fermi energy in bilayer graphene can be extracted from  $\sigma_2(\omega, V)$  using a similar procedure as in [8]. In order to isolate the  $2E_F$  feature, we fit the main resonance near  $3000 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  with Lorentzian oscillators and then subtracted them from the experimental  $\sigma_2(\omega, V)$  spectra to obtain  $\sigma_2^{diff}(\omega, V)$ . The latter spectra reveal a sharp minimum at  $\omega = 2E_F$  (Fig 2(c)) in agreement with single layer graphene [8]. Figure 3a depicts the experimental  $2E_F$  values along with the theoretical result in [5]. Assuming the Fermi velocity  $v_F$  in bilayer graphene is similar to that in single layer graphene  $(v_F=1.1\times10^6 \text{ m/s})$ , we find that our data can be fitted with  $\gamma_1 = 450 \pm 80$  meV. Equally successful fits can be obtained assuming the Fermi velocity and interlayer coupling in the following parameter space:  $v_F = 1.0 - 1.1 \times 10^6$ m/s and  $\gamma_1$ =360-450 meV. Previous theoretical and experimental studies showed that an applied gate voltage opens a gap  $\Delta$  between the valence and conduction bands [2][3][4][5]. Because  $\Delta(V)$  is much smaller than  $2E_F(V)$ for any applied bias in bottom-gate devices [5], it has negligible effects on the experimentally observed  $2E_F(V)$ behavior.

The central result of our study is an observation of a pronounced asymmetry in evolution of the optical conductivity upon injection of electrons or holes in bilayer graphene. Specifically, the frequencies of the main peak  $\omega_{peak}$  in  $\sigma_1(\omega, V)$  are very distinct for  $E_F$  on the electron and hole sides, as shown in Fig 3(b). In addition,  $\omega_{peak}$  on the electron side shows a much stronger voltage dependence compared to that on the hole side. All these features are evident in the raw data in Fig.1, where the resonance leads to a dip in  $T(V)/T(V_{CN})$  spectra. These behaviors are reproducible in multiple gated samples. Such an electron-hole asymmetry is beyond a simple band structure only taking  $\gamma_1$  into account, which predicts symmetric properties between electron and hole sides.

We propose that the electron-hole asymmetry in our  $\sigma_1(\omega, V)$  data reflects an asymmetry between valence and conduction bands. Such an asymmetric band structure arises from finite band parameters  $\delta_{AB}$  and  $v_4$ , where  $\delta_{AB}$  (denoted as  $\Delta$  in [16][13]) is the energy difference between A and B sublattices within the same graphene layer, and  $v_4 = \gamma_4/\gamma_0$ .  $\gamma_4$  and  $\gamma_0$  are defined as interlayer next-nearest-neighbor coupling energy and in-plane nearest-neighbor coupling energy, respectively [16][13]. We first illustrate the effects of  $\delta_{AB}$  and  $v_4$  on the energy bands of bilayer graphene  $E_i(k)$  (i=1,2,3,4), which can be obtained from solving the tight binding Hamiltonian Eq (6) in Ref. [13]. We find that finite values of  $\delta_{AB}$  and  $v_4$  break the symmetry between valence and conduction bands, as schematically shown in the inset of Fig 2(a). Specifically,  $\delta_{AB}$  induces an asymmetry in  $E_1$  and  $E_4$  bands such that  $E_1 > E_4$  at k=0, whereas  $v_4$  induces an electron-hole asymmetry in the slope of the valence and conduction bands. With finite  $v_4$ , the bands  $\mathrm{E}_1$  and  $\mathrm{E}_2$  are closer and  $\mathrm{E}_3$  and  $\mathrm{E}_4$  are further apart at high k compared to those with zero  $v_4$  value.

Next we examine the effects of  $\delta_{AB}$  and  $v_4$  on  $\sigma_1(\omega, V)$ . It was predicted theoretically [14] that the main peak in  $\sigma_1(\omega, V)$  occurs in the frequency range between two transitions labeled  $e_2$  and  $e_3$  as shown in the inset of Fig 2(a) and (b). Here  $e_2 = -E_4(k=0) - \Delta/2$ and  $e_3 = E_3(k = k_F) - E_4(k = k_F)$  for the hole side, and  $e_2 = E_1(k=0) - \Delta/2$  and  $e_3 = E_1(k=k_F) - E_2(k=k_F)$  for the electron side [14], with  $\Delta$  defined as the gap at k=0. For zero values of  $\delta_{AB}$  and  $v_4$ ,  $e_2$  and  $e_3$  transitions are identical on the electron and hole sides. The finite values of  $\delta_{AB}$  and  $v_4$  induce a significant inequality between  $e_2$ and  $e_3$  on the electron and hole sides. We first focus on the low voltage regime, where  $\omega_{peak} = e_2 = e_3$ . Because  $v_F$ and  $v_4$  always enter the Hamiltonian in the form of  $v_F k$ and  $v_4k$  products[13], these terms give vanishing contributions at low V, where k goes to zero. Consequently,  $\omega_{peak}$  value at low bias is solely determined by  $\gamma_1$  and  $\delta_{AB}$ , with  $\omega_{peak} = \gamma_1 + \delta_{AB}$  and  $\omega_{peak} = \gamma_1 - \delta_{AB}$  for the electron and hole sides, respectively. At  $V_{CN}$  (0V), interband transitions between the two conduction bands and the two valence bands are both allowed, which leads to a broad peak centered between  $\gamma_1 + \delta_{AB}$  and  $\gamma_1 - \delta_{AB}$ (Fig 3(b)). From the two distinct low voltage  $\omega_{peak}$  values on the electron and hole sides shown in Fig 3(b), the values of  $\gamma_1$  and  $\delta_{AB}$  can be determined with great accuracy:  $\gamma_1 = 404 \pm 10 \text{meV}$  and  $\delta_{AB} = 18 \pm 2 \text{meV}$ . Therefore, the  $\sigma_1(\omega, V)$  data at low biases clearly indicates an asymmetry between valence and conduction bands in bilayer graphene due to finite energy difference of A and B sublattices.

In order to explore the V dependence of  $\omega_{peak}$  and the width of the main peak in  $\sigma_1(\omega, V)$ ,  $\Gamma_{peak}$ , we plot the e<sub>2</sub> and e<sub>3</sub> transition energies [14] as a function of V (Fig. 3b), using the gap formula  $\Delta$ (V) in [5][17] and our calculated asymmetric dispersion E<sub>i</sub>(k) (i=1,2,3,4) [18], with v<sub>F</sub>=1.1×10<sup>6</sup>m/s,  $\gamma_1$ =404meV,  $\delta_{AB}$ =18meV, and for both v<sub>4</sub> = 0 and v<sub>4</sub> = 0.04. We find that e<sub>2</sub> does not depend on v<sub>4</sub> [17], whereas e<sub>3</sub> is strongly affected by v<sub>4</sub>. With a finite value of v<sub>4</sub> (≈0.04), an assignment of  $\omega_{peak}$  to (e<sub>2</sub>+e<sub>3</sub>)/2 appears to fit our data well on both electron and hole sides. Nevertheless, larger separation of e<sub>2</sub> and e<sub>3</sub> on the hole side is inconsistent with the relatively narrow peak in  $\sigma_1(\omega, V)$  for both electron and hole injection with nearly identical width. Yet the finite value of the v<sub>4</sub> parameter is essential to qualitatively account for the voltage dependence of  $\omega_{peak}$ , because with v<sub>4</sub>≈0  $\omega_{peak}$  follows e<sub>2</sub> and e<sub>3</sub> on the electron and hole sides (Fig 3b), respectively, eluding a consistent description. A quantitative understanding of the V dependence of  $\omega_{peak}$  and  $\Gamma_{peak}$  is lacking at this stage. Our results highlight a need for further experimental and theoretical investigation of v<sub>4</sub> including its possible V dependence.

We stress that  $\gamma_1$  and  $\delta_{AB}$  are determined from the low bias (low  $k_F$ ) data. Therefore the values of  $\gamma_1$  and  $\delta_{AB}$  reported here do not suffer from the currently incomplete understanding of V dependence of  $\omega_{peak}$  and  $\Gamma_{peak}$  discussed above. The  $\gamma_1$  value (404±10meV) is directly determined from measurements of transitions between the two conduction bands or valence bands. It has been predicted theoretically that the band structure of bilayer graphene as well as the parameters  $\gamma_1$  and  $v_F$ can be strongly renormalized by electron-electron interactions [7]. The  $\gamma_1$  value inferred from our data is close to theoretical estimates of the renormalized  $\gamma_1$  [7].

IR measurements reported here have enabled accurate extraction of  $\delta_{AB}$  in bilayer graphene free from ambiguities of alternative experimental methods. Interestingly, the energy difference between A and B sublattices  $\delta_{AB}$ in bilayer graphene (18meV) is much greater than that in graphite ( $\delta_{AB} \approx 8 \text{meV}$ ) [16]. Such a large value of  $\delta_{AB}$ in bilayer is very surprising. We propose that this observation stems from different interlayer coupling between the B sublattices in bilayer graphene and graphite. In bilayer, the direct interlayer coupling between  $A_1$  and  $A_2$ (Fig 1(b)) considerably enhances the energy of A sublattices due to Coulomb repulsion between the  $\pi$  orbits. However, the sublattices  $B_1$  and  $B_2$  are not on top of each other as shown in Fig 1(b) and thus are more weakly coupled. Therefore, the energy of the B sites is lower than that of the A sites within the same layer, leading to a large  $\delta_{AB}$  in bilayer graphene. On the other hand, in graphite the B sublattice in the third layer  $B_3$  is on top of  $B_1$ , and that in the fourth layer  $B_4$  is right above  $B_2$ . The coupling between the B sites in the next nearest neighbor layers  $(B_1 \text{ and } B_3, B_2 \text{ and } B_4, \text{ etc})$  increases the energy of the B sites compared to that in bilayer, giving rise to a smaller  $\delta_{AB}$  value.

The asymmetry between valence and conduction bands uncovered by our study has broad implications on the fundamental understanding of bilayer graphene. An electron-hole asymmetry was observed in the cyclotron resonance [6] and cyclotron mass experiments [2] in bilayer, both of which have eluded a complete understanding so far. Our accurate determination of finite values of  $\delta_{AB}$  and  $v_4$  calls for explicit account of the asymmetric band structure in the interpretation of the cyclotron data. Moreover, the different  $\delta_{AB}$  values in bilayer graphene and graphite reveal the importance of interlayer coupling in defining the electronic properties and band structure of graphitic systems.

During the preparation of this paper, we became aware of another infrared study of bilayer graphene by A.B. Kuzmenko et al [10]. We thank M.L. Zhang and M.M. Fogler for fruitful discussions. Work at UCSD is supported by DOE (No. DE-FG02-00ER45799). Research at Columbia University is supported by the DOE (No. DE-AIO2-04ER46133 and No. DE-FG02-05ER46215), NSF (No. DMR-03-52738 and No. CHE-0117752), NYS-TAR, the Keck Foundation and Microsoft, Project Q. The Advanced Light Source is supported by the Director, Office of Science, Office of Basic Energy Sciences, of the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract No. DE-AC02-05CH11231.

- \* Electronic address: zhiqiang@physics.ucsd.edu
- K. S. Novoselov et al, Nature 438, 197 (2005); Y. Zhang et al, Nature 438, 201 (2005); A. K. Geim and K. S. Novoselov, Nat. Mater. 6, 183 (2007); A. K. Geim and A. H. MacDonald, Phys. Today 60, 35 (2007); A. H. Castro Neto et al, arXiv:cond-mat/0709.1163.
- [2] E. V. Castro, et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 99, 216802 (2007).
- [3] T. Ohta et al, Science 313, 951 (2006).
- [4] E. McCann and V. I. Fal'ko, Phys. Rev. Lett. 96, 086805 (2006); F. Guinea et al, Phys. Rev. B 73, 245426 (2006);
  J. Nilsson et al, Phys. Rev. B 76, 165416 (2007); H. Min et al, Phys. Rev. B 75, 155115 (2007).
- [5] E. McCann, Phys. Rev. B 74, 161403(R) (2006).
- [6] E. Henriksen et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 100, 087403 (2008).
- [7] S. V. Kusminskiy, D. K. Campbell, A. H. Castro Neto, arXiv:cond-mat/0805.0305; private communication.
- [8] Z.Q. Li et al, Nature Physics 4, 532 (2008).
- [9] F. Wang et al, Science 320, 206 (2008).
- [10] A.B. Kuzmenko et al, unpublished.
- [11] Z.Q. Li et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 99, 016403 (2007).
- [12] J. Nilsson et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 97, 266801 (2006); D.
   S. L. Abergel and V. I. Fal'ko, Phys. Rev. B 75, 155430 (2007).
- [13] J. Nilsson et al, arXiv:cond-mat/0712.3259.
- [14] E. J. Nicol and J. P. Carbotte, Phys. Rev. B 77, 155409 (2008).
- [15] R.R. Nair et al, Science 320, 1308 (2008).
- [16] N. B. Brandt, S. M. Chudinov and Ya. G. Ponomarev, Semimetals 1: Graphite and its Compounds (North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1988). D. D. L. Chung, Journal of Materials Science 37, 1 (2002).
- [17] The gap formula  $\Delta(V)$  in [5] did not take into account  $\delta_{AB}$  and  $v_4$ . However, we find that finite  $\delta_{AB}$  and  $v_4$  values have no effect on the gap  $\Delta$ . Specifically,  $\delta_{AB}$  primarily modifies the E<sub>1</sub> and E<sub>4</sub> bands, while leaving unchanged the gap  $\Delta$  between the E<sub>2</sub> and E<sub>3</sub> bands at k=0, as shown in Fig 2(a). In addition,  $v_4$  always appears in a term  $v_4$ k in the Hamiltonian[13], therefore it has zero effect on  $\Delta$ .
- [18] In the calculation of the energy bands  $E_i(k)$  (i=1,2,3,4),



FIG. 1: (color online)  $T(V)/T(V_{CN})$  spectra of bilayer graphene. (a) and (b): data for  $E_F$  on the hole side and electron side. Inset of (a): a schematic of the device and infrared measurements. Inset of (b): a schematic of bilayer graphene. The solid lines indicate bonds in the top layer  $A_1B_1$ , whereas the dashed lines indicate bonds in the bottom layer  $A_2B_2$ . The sublattice  $A_1$  is right on top of the sublattice  $A_2$ .

we used the approximation  $\Delta=0$ , which can be justified for the purpose of estimating  $e_2$  and  $e_3$ . The gap  $\Delta$  is very small (<80 meV) in the voltage range studied in our work [5], and does not affect the higher energy bands  $E_1(k=0)$ or  $E_4(k=0)$  and therefore the value of  $e_2$ . Note that  $\Delta=0$ is only assumed when calculating  $E_i(k)$ , but not in the  $\Delta$  term in the expression of  $e_2$ . Moreover,  $E_2$  and  $E_3$ bands are modified by the gap only at energies below  $\Delta/2$ . Because  $E_F$  is much larger than  $\Delta/2$  under applied voltage [5],  $E_2(k=k_F)$  and  $E_3(k=k_F)$  are not affected by  $\Delta$ . Therefore, a finite gap does not modify the value of  $e_3$  compared to that with  $\Delta=0$ .



FIG. 2: (color online) The optical conductivity of bilayer graphene. (a) and (b):  $\sigma_1(\omega, V)$  data for  $E_F$  on the hole side and electron side. (c):  $\sigma_2^{diff}(\omega, V)$  spectra in the low frequency range, after subtracting the Lorentzian oscillators describing the main resonance around 3000 cm<sup>-1</sup> from the whole  $\sigma_2(\omega, V)$  spectra. Inset of (a): Schematics of the band structure of bilayer with zero values of  $\delta_{AB}$  and  $v_4$  (red) and finite values of  $\delta_{AB}$  and  $v_4$  (black), together with allowed interband transitions. Insets of (b):  $\sigma_1(\omega, V)$  at 0V (V<sub>CN</sub>) and 40V on the hole side with assignments of the features.



FIG. 3: (color online) (a) Symbols: the  $2E_F$  values extracted from the optical conductivity detailed in the text. The error bars are estimates of the uncertainties of  $\sigma_2^{diff}(\omega, V)$  spectra in Fig 2(c). Solid lines: the theoretical  $2E_F$  values using  $v_F=1.1\times10^6$  m/s and  $\gamma_1=450$ meV. (b) Solid symbols, the energy of the main peak  $\omega_{peak}$  in the  $\sigma_1(\omega, V)$  spectrum. Open symbols: the energy of the dip feature  $\omega_{dip}$ in the T(V)/T(V<sub>CN</sub>) spectra. Note that  $\omega_{peak}$  in  $\sigma_1(\omega, V)$ is shifted from  $\omega_{dip}$  in the raw T(V)/T(V<sub>CN</sub>) data with an almost constant offset, which is due to the presence of the substrate. Solid lines: theoretical values of the transitions at e<sub>2</sub>, e<sub>3</sub> and (e<sub>2</sub>+e<sub>3</sub>)/2 with  $v_F=1.1\times10^6$ m/s,  $\gamma_1=404$ meV and  $\delta_{AB}=18$ meV and  $v_4=0.04$ . Red dashed lines: e<sub>3</sub> with similar parameters except  $v_4=0$ .