

# TOPOLOGICAL OBSTRUCTIONS TO EMBEDDING OF A MATRIX ALGEBRA BUNDLE INTO A TRIVIAL ONE

A.V. ERSHOV

ABSTRACT. In the present paper we describe topological obstructions to embedding of a (complex) matrix algebra bundle into a trivial one under some additional arithmetic condition on their dimensions. We explain a relation between this problem and some principal bundles with structure groupoid. Finally, we briefly discuss a relation of our results to the twisted K-theory.

## INTRODUCTION

The starting point of the present work was the following question. Let  $X$  be (say) a compact manifold,

$$(1) \quad A_k \xrightarrow{p_k} X$$

a locally trivial bundle with fibre a complex matrix algebra  $M_k(\mathbb{C})$  (so its “natural” structure group is  $\text{Aut}(M_k(\mathbb{C})) \cong \text{PGL}_k(\mathbb{C})$ ). Then *is (1) a subbundle of a (finite dimensional) trivial bundle  $X \times M_n(\mathbb{C})$ , i.e. is there a fiberwise map (in fact embedding)*

$$(2) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} A_k & \xrightarrow{\mu} & X \times M_n(\mathbb{C}) \\ & \searrow p_k & \swarrow pr_1 \\ & & X \end{array}$$

*such that  $\forall x \in X$  its restriction  $\mu|_x$  embeds the fiber  $(A_k)_x \cong M_k(\mathbb{C})$  into  $M_n(\mathbb{C})$  as a unital subalgebra?*

It is natural to compare this question with the well-known fact that any finite dimensional vector bundle  $\xi$  over a compact base  $X$  is a subbundle of the product bundle  $X \times \mathbb{C}^n$  for large enough  $n$ .

A unital homomorphism  $M_k(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow M_n(\mathbb{C})$  exists if and only if  $n = kl$  for some  $l \in \mathbb{N}$ . As in the case of vector bundles  $n$  should be large enough relative to  $\dim(X)$ . Thus, the initial question can be refined as follows: *are there “stable” (i.e. non-vanishing when  $l$  tends to infinity) obstructions to the existence of embedding (2)?*

It turns out that there are no stable obstructions if we do not impose any additional condition on  $l$  (for example, for any bundle (1) there is an embedding (2) with  $l = k^m$ , where  $m$  is large enough). But if we assume, say,  $l$  to be relatively prime to  $k$ , then stable obstructions arise. Moreover, those obstructions do not depend on the particular choice of  $l$  provided that  $(l, k) = 1$ , i.e. they are characteristic classes of the  $M_k(\mathbb{C})$ -bundle (1) itself.

In low dimensions this obstructions can be expressed in terms of known characteristic classes. For instance, the first obstruction to the existence of embedding (2) is the obstruction to the

reduction of the structure group of bundle (1) from  $\mathrm{PGL}_k(\mathbb{C})$  to  $\mathrm{SL}_k(\mathbb{C})$ . If (1) has the form  $\mathrm{End}(\xi_k)$  for some vector  $\mathbb{C}^k$ -bundle  $\xi_k$  then it is just  $c_1(\xi_k) \bmod k \in H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z})$ , where  $c_1$  is the first Chern class. Note that for bundle (1) of the form  $\mathrm{End}(\xi_k) \rightarrow X$  the class  $c_1(\xi_k) \bmod k$  is well-defined: indeed,  $\mathrm{End}(\xi_k) \cong \mathrm{End}(\xi'_k) \Leftrightarrow \xi'_k = \xi_k \otimes \zeta$ , where  $\zeta \rightarrow X$  is a complex line bundle, but  $c_1(\xi_k \otimes \zeta) = c_1(\xi_k) + kc_1(\zeta)$ .

If the just described obstruction is equal to 0, then the next one belongs to  $H^4(X, \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z})$  and can be described as follows. We can assume that (1) has the form  $\mathrm{End}(\xi_k) \rightarrow X$  for some vector  $\mathbb{C}^k$ -bundle  $\xi_k$  with the structure group  $\mathrm{SL}_k(\mathbb{C})$ . Then the mentioned obstruction is  $c_2(\xi_k) \bmod k \in H^4(X, \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z})$ .

We define the sequence of obstructions  $\kappa_n \in H^{2n}(X, \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z})$  inductively, where  $\kappa_n$  is defined on the kernel of  $\kappa_{n-1}$ , and prove that they form in some sense the full set of obstructions.

Bundles (1) that admit embeddings (2) with  $(k, l) = 1$  form a proper subclass in the class of Morita-trivial bundles of the form  $\mathrm{End}(\xi_k)$  which is closed under the tensor product. In particular, the Dixmier-Douady class of any such bundle is equal to 0, but this condition is not sufficient. Moreover, every such bundle is of the form  $\mathrm{End}(\xi_k)$ , where  $\xi_k$  is a vector bundle with the structure group  $\mathrm{SU}(k)$ , and in addition its second Chern class is equal to 0 modulo  $k$ , etc.

Moreover, one can give the following characterization of such bundles: (1) admits an embedding (2) with  $n = kl$ ,  $(k, l) = 1$  if and only if the bundle  $A_k \otimes M_{l\infty}(\mathbb{C})$  is trivial as a bundle with the structure monoid  $\mathrm{End}(M_{kl\infty}(\mathbb{C}))$  [13].

Note that in purely algebraic situation the analog of bundles that admit embedding (2) provided that  $n = kl$ ,  $(k, l) = 1$  is trivial. More precisely, recall [7] that for a field  $F$  there is the Brauer group  $Br(F)$  consisting of Morita-equivalence classes of central simple algebras with respect to the operation induced by the tensor product of such algebras. It easily follows from the theory of central simple algebras over a field  $F$  that any such algebra  $A$  of linear dimension  $k^2$  over  $F$  that admits a unital embedding into a matrix algebra  $M_{kl}(F)$ ,  $(k, l) = 1$  is isomorphic to the matrix algebra over  $F$ ,  $A \cong M_k(F)$ . Indeed, it directly follows from Artin-Wedderburn's theorem that  $A \cong M_m(D)$ , where  $D$  is a division ring over  $F$ , and  $D$  is unique up to isomorphism. If there exists a unital homomorphism  $A \rightarrow M_n(F)$ , then the centralizer to the image of  $A$  is a central simple algebra  $B$  such that  $A \otimes B \cong M_n(F)$ . Then it follows from the theory of the Brauer group that  $B \cong M_r(D^o)$ , where  $D^o$  is the so-called opposite ring (i.e.  $D$  with the product of elements in reverse order). Clearly, this is only possible when  $D$  is one-dimensional over  $F$ , i.e.  $D = F$ .

This paper is organized as follows. In Subsection 1.1 we reduce the embedding problem (2) to a lifting problem for a suitable fibration  $H_{k,l}(A_k^{univ}) \rightarrow \mathrm{BPU}(k)$ , see Proposition 1. We consider the general case of  $M_k(\mathbb{C})$ -bundles and the case of  $M_k(\mathbb{C})$ -bundles of the form  $\mathrm{End}(\xi_k)$ , where  $\xi_k$  is a vector bundle. Moreover, in this section we define a homotopy equivalence between the total space  $H_{k,l}(A_k^{univ})$  of the constructed fibration and the so-called matrix Grassmannian (see Propositions 2 and 3).

In Subsection 1.2 we describe the first obstruction to the existence of a section of the fibration  $H_{k,l}(A_k^{univ}) \rightarrow \mathrm{BPU}(k)$  which turns out to be the obstruction to the reduction of the structure

group of  $M_k(\mathbb{C})$ -bundle from  $\mathrm{PGL}_k(\mathbb{C})$  to  $\mathrm{SL}_k(\mathbb{C})$ , see Theorem 5 (in case of bundles of the form  $\mathrm{End}(\xi_k)$  it is just the characteristic class  $c_1(\xi_k) \bmod k$ , see Theorem 6).

In Subsection 1.3 we define the next obstruction provided that the previous one is equal to 0. It follows from the last condition that our bundle has the form  $\mathrm{End}(\xi_k)$ , where  $\xi_k$  is a vector bundle with the structure group  $\mathrm{SU}(k)$ , and in this case it is the characteristic class  $c_2(\xi_k) \bmod k$ , see Theorem 8.

In Subsection 1.4 we give the general construction of obstructions  $\kappa_r \in H^{2r}(X, \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z})$  in all dimensions  $r$  by means of Postnikov's tower.

In Subsection 1.5 we show that our "higher" obstructions  $\kappa_r$ ,  $r > 2$  can be expressed by Chern classes  $\tilde{c}_r$  of connective covers  $\mathrm{BU}(k)\langle 2r \rangle$  of  $\mathrm{BU}(k)$  in the same way as in dimensions 1 and 2 (when  $\kappa_1 = c_1 \bmod k$ ,  $\kappa_2 = c_2 \bmod k$ ), see Theorem 12.

It turns out that the fibration  $\mathrm{H}_{k,l}(A_k^{univ}) \rightarrow \mathrm{BPU}(k)$  appeared in connection with the embedding problem admits a natural interpretation in terms of some groupoid  $\mathfrak{G}_{k,l}$  related to the set of unital subalgebras  $\cong M_k(\mathbb{C})$  in the fixed matrix algebra  $M_{kl}(\mathbb{C})$ . More precisely,  $\mathrm{H}_{k,l}(A_k^{univ}) \rightarrow \mathrm{BPU}(k)$  is the universal principal  $\mathfrak{G}_{k,l}$ -bundle (see Theorem 18 and its corollary). We study the relation between such bundles and groupoids in Section 2.

In Section 3 we continue to discuss some relations between groupoids  $\mathfrak{G}_{k,l}$  and a geometry of related bundles.

Section 4 is an attempt to apply our approach to the twisted  $K$ -theory. **Important:** the latest version of this approach is given in preprint [13].

*In what follows we replace the groups  $\mathrm{PGL}_n(\mathbb{C})$  by compact ones  $\mathrm{PU}(n)$  considering only  $*$ -homomorphisms instead of all unital homomorphisms of matrix algebras. Since  $\mathrm{PU}(n)$  is a deformation retract of  $\mathrm{PGL}_n(\mathbb{C})$  this does not have any effect on the homotopy theory.*

**Acknowledgments:** I am grateful to A.S. Mishchenko and E.V. Troitsky for all-round support and very helpful discussions. I would like to express my deep gratitude to Thomas Schick for hospitality and very helpful discussions during my visit to Göttingen.

## 1. A HOMOTOPIC DESCRIPTION OF OBSTRUCTIONS

**1.1. The reduction to the lifting problem.** The embedding problem (2) can be reduced to the lifting problem for a suitable fibration. The next construction can be regarded as a version of a "bijection"  $\mathrm{Mor}(X \times Y, Z) \rightarrow \mathrm{Mor}(X, \mathrm{Mor}(Y, Z))$  adapted to the case of fibration ("Mor" means "morphisms").

So, let  $\mathrm{Hom}_{alg}(M_k(\mathbb{C}), M_{kl}(\mathbb{C}))$  be the set of all unital  $*$ -homomorphisms  $M_k(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow M_{kl}(\mathbb{C})$ . It follows from Noether-Skolem's theorem [7] that there is the representation

$$(3) \quad \mathrm{Hom}_{alg}(M_k(\mathbb{C}), M_{kl}(\mathbb{C})) \cong \mathrm{PU}(kl)/(E_k \otimes \mathrm{PU}(l))$$

(here and below  $E_k$  denotes the unit  $k \times k$ -matrix and the tensor product symbol " $\otimes$ " denotes the Kronecker product of matrices) in the form of a homogeneous space of the group  $\mathrm{PU}(kl)$ . We denote this space by  $\mathrm{Fr}_{k,l}$  for short ("Fr" refers to "frame"). It follows from this representation

together with Bott periodicity that the stable (i.e. low dimensional) homotopy groups of this space are as follows:

$$(4) \quad \pi_r(\mathrm{Fr}_{k,l}) \cong \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z} \text{ for } r \text{ odd and } \pi_r(\mathrm{Fr}_{k,l}) = 0 \text{ for } r \text{ even.}$$

Let  $A_k^{univ} \rightarrow \mathrm{BPU}(k)$  be the universal  $M_k(\mathbb{C})$ -bundle. Applying the functor  $\mathrm{Hom}_{alg}(\dots, M_{kl}(\mathbb{C}))$  (taking values in the category of topological spaces) to  $A_k^{univ}$  fiberwisely, we obtain the fibration

$$(5) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Fr}_{k,l} & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{H}_{k,l}(A_k^{univ}) \\ & & \downarrow p_{k,l} \\ & & \mathrm{BPU}(k). \end{array}$$

It is easy to see that there exists the canonical embedding  $\tilde{\mu}_{k,l}$  of  $M_k(\mathbb{C})$ -bundle  $p_{k,l}^*(A_k^{univ}) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{k,l}(A_k^{univ})$  into the product bundle  $\mathrm{H}_{k,l}(A_k^{univ}) \times M_{kl}(\mathbb{C})$ . More precisely,  $\tilde{\mu}_{k,l}(h, T) = (h, h(T))$  for  $h \in p_{k,l}^{-1}(x)$ ,  $T \in (A_k^{univ})_x$ , where  $h$  is regarded as a homomorphism  $h: (A_k^{univ})_x \rightarrow M_{kl}(\mathbb{C})$ .

Let

$$(6) \quad \bar{f}: X \rightarrow \mathrm{BPU}(k)$$

be a classifying map for  $M_k(\mathbb{C})$ -bundle (1), i.e.  $A_k = \bar{f}^*(A_k^{univ})$ . It is easy to see that embedding (2) with  $n = kl$  is the same thing as a lift  $\tilde{f}$  of the classifying map  $\bar{f}$ ,

$$\tilde{f}: X \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{k,l}(A_k^{univ}), \quad p_{k,l} \circ \tilde{f} = \bar{f}$$

in fibration (5), and vice versa such a lift defines an embedding. Thus we have the following proposition.

**Proposition 1.** *There is a natural one-to-one correspondence between embeddings (2) of  $A_k = \bar{f}^*(A_k^{univ})$  and lifts  $\tilde{f}$  of its classifying map  $\bar{f}$  in fibration (5).*

The lift of  $\bar{f}$  corresponding to an embedding  $\mu$  we denote by  $\tilde{f}_\mu$ . Clearly, we also have the one-to-one correspondence between homotopy classes of embeddings and (fiberwise) homotopy classes of lifts given by  $[\mu] \mapsto [\tilde{f}_\mu]$ .

There is also a modification of the given construction to the case of bundles  $A_k$  of the form  $\mathrm{End}(\xi_k)$ . More precisely, let  $\xi_k^{univ} \rightarrow \mathrm{BU}(k)$  be the universal  $\mathbb{C}^k$ -bundle. Applying the functor  $\mathrm{Hom}_{alg}(\dots, M_{kl}(\mathbb{C}))$  to the  $M_k(\mathbb{C})$ -bundle  $\mathrm{End}(\xi_k^{univ}) \rightarrow \mathrm{BU}(k)$  fiberwisely, we obtain the fibration (cf. (5)):

$$(7) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Fr}_{k,l} & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{H}_{k,l}(\mathrm{End}(\xi_k^{univ})) \\ & & \downarrow \hat{p}_{k,l} \\ & & \mathrm{BU}(k). \end{array}$$

Note that there is the canonical embedding  $\hat{p}_{k,l}^*(\mathrm{End}(\xi_k^{univ})) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{H}_{k,l}(\mathrm{End}(\xi_k^{univ})) \times M_{kl}(\mathbb{C})$ . Now it is easy to see that an embedding  $\mathrm{End}(\xi_k) \hookrightarrow X \times M_{kl}(\mathbb{C})$  is the same thing as a lift in (7) of the classifying map  $f: X \rightarrow \mathrm{BU}(k)$  for  $\xi_k$ .

It turns out that the total space  $H_{k,l}(A_k^{univ})$  of fibration (5) is homotopy equivalent to the so-called *matrix Grassmannian*  $\text{Gr}_{k,l}$  which is the homogeneous space parameterizing the set of unital  $*$ -subalgebras isomorphic to  $M_k(\mathbb{C})$  (“ $k$ -subalgebras”) in the fixed algebra  $M_{kl}(\mathbb{C})$ . Note that according to Noether-Skolem’s theorem it can be represented as the homogeneous space

$$(8) \quad \text{Gr}_{k,l} \cong \text{PU}(kl)/(\text{PU}(k) \otimes \text{PU}(l))$$

of the group  $\text{PU}(kl)$ .

**Proposition 2.** *The space  $H_{k,l}(A_k^{univ})$  is homotopy equivalent to the matrix Grassmannian  $\text{Gr}_{k,l}$ .*

*Proof.* Consider the map

$$(9) \quad \tau_{k,l}: H_{k,l}(A_k^{univ}) \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{Gr}_{k,l}$$

defined as follows: it takes a point  $h \in H_{k,l}(A_k^{univ})$  such that  $p_{k,l}(h) = x \in \text{BPU}(k)$  to the  $k$ -subalgebra  $h((A_k^{univ})_x) \subset M_{kl}(\mathbb{C})$  (here we identify points in  $\text{Gr}_{k,l}$  with corresponding  $k$ -subalgebras in  $M_{kl}(\mathbb{C})$ ). It is easy to verify that  $\tau_{k,l}$  is a fibration with contractible fibers  $H_{k,1}(A_k^{univ}) \cong \text{EPU}(k)$  (where  $\text{EPU}(k)$  is the total space of the universal principal  $\text{PU}(k)$ -bundle), whence  $\tau_{k,l}$  is a homotopy equivalence.  $\square$

The tautological  $M_k(\mathbb{C})$ -bundle  $\mathcal{A}_{k,l} \rightarrow \text{Gr}_{k,l}$  can be defined as a subbundle of the product bundle  $\text{Gr}_{k,l} \times M_{kl}(\mathbb{C})$  consisting of all pairs  $\{(x, T) \mid x \in \text{Gr}_{k,l}, T \in M_{k,x} \subset M_{kl}(\mathbb{C})\}$ , where  $M_{k,x}$  denotes the  $k$ -subalgebra corresponding to  $x \in \text{Gr}_{k,l}$ . Let  $\lambda_{k,l}: \text{Gr}_{k,l} \rightarrow \text{BPU}(k)$  be the classifying map for the principal  $\text{PU}(k)$ -bundle  $\text{PU}(k) \rightarrow \text{Fr}_{k,l} \rightarrow \text{Gr}_{k,l}$  (note that the tautological  $M_k(\mathbb{C})$ -bundle  $\mathcal{A}_{k,l} \rightarrow \text{Gr}_{k,l}$  is associated with it). Then the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_{k,l}(A_k^{univ}) & \xrightarrow{\tau_{k,l}} & \text{Gr}_{k,l} \\ p_{k,l} \downarrow & \swarrow \lambda_{k,l} & \\ \text{BPU}(k) & & \end{array}$$

is commutative. In particular,  $p_{k,l}^*(A_k^{univ}) = \tau_{k,l}^*(\mathcal{A}_{k,l})$  and the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} p_{k,l}^*(A_k^{univ}) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\mu}_{k,l}} & H_{k,l}(A_k^{univ}) \times M_{kl}(\mathbb{C}) \\ \tilde{\tau}_{k,l} \downarrow & & \downarrow \tau_{k,l} \times \text{id} \\ \mathcal{A}_{k,l} & \xrightarrow{\mu_{k,l}} & \text{Gr}_{k,l} \times M_{kl}(\mathbb{C}), \end{array}$$

is commutative, where  $\mu_{k,l}: \mathcal{A}_{k,l} \hookrightarrow \text{Gr}_{k,l} \times M_{kl}(\mathbb{C})$  is the tautological embedding and  $\tilde{\tau}_{k,l}$  covers  $\tau_{k,l}$ .

Suppose that  $(k, l) = 1$ . Note that in this case the matrix Grassmannian  $\text{Gr}_{k,l}$  can be represented in the form

$$(10) \quad \text{Gr}_{k,l} \cong \text{SU}(kl)/(\text{SU}(k) \otimes \text{SU}(l)).$$

(cf. (8)). Indeed, if  $k$  and  $l$  are relatively prime then the center of the group  $\text{SU}(kl)$  (which is the group  $\rho_{kl}$  of  $kl$ th roots of unity) is the product  $\rho_k \times \rho_l$  of the centers of  $\text{SU}(k)$  and  $\text{SU}(l)$ .

In particular, the structure group of the tautological bundle  $\mathcal{A}_{k,l} \rightarrow \text{Gr}_{k,l}$  is  $\text{SU}(k)$  (because it is associated with the principal bundle  $\text{SU}(k) \rightarrow \text{SU}(kl)/(E_k \otimes \text{SU}(l)) \rightarrow \text{Gr}_{k,l}$ ).

Note that the space  $\text{Gr}_{k,l}$  provided that  $(k, l) = 1$  has the following homotopy groups in stable dimensions:

$$\pi_r(\text{Gr}_{k,l}) \cong \mathbb{Z} \text{ for } r \geq 4 \text{ even and } 0 \text{ otherwise.}$$

The simplest way to compute them is to use the homotopy sequence of the fibration  $\text{SU}(k) \times \text{SU}(l) \rightarrow \text{SU}(kl) \rightarrow \text{Gr}_{k,l}$  for  $(k, l) = 1$ , where the first map is the homomorphism given by the Kronecker product of matrices.

**Proposition 3.** *The space  $\text{H}_{k,l}(\text{End}(\xi_k^{univ}))$  is homotopy equivalent to  $\text{H}_{k,l}(A_k^{univ}) \times \mathbb{C}P^\infty$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\chi_k: \text{U}(k) \rightarrow \text{PU}(k)$  be the group epimorphism (factorization by the center  $\text{U}(1) \subset \text{U}(k)$ ). It is easy to see that the classifying map  $\text{BU}(k) \rightarrow \text{BPU}(k)$  for the bundle  $\text{End}(\xi_k^{univ}) \rightarrow \text{BU}(k)$  as a bundle with the structure group  $\text{PU}(k)$  is  $\text{B}\chi_k$ .

Consider the Cartesian square

$$(11) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \text{H}_{k,l}(\text{End}(\xi_k^{univ})) & \xrightarrow{\widetilde{\text{B}\chi_k}} & \text{H}_{k,l}(A_k^{univ}) \\ \widehat{p}_{k,l} \downarrow & & \downarrow p_{k,l} \\ \text{BU}(k) & \xrightarrow{\text{B}\chi_k} & \text{BPU}(k), \end{array}$$

where  $\text{B}\chi_k$  is a  $\mathbb{C}P^\infty$ -fibration classified by the map  $\text{BPU}(k) \rightarrow \text{K}(\mathbb{Z}, 3)$ . Thus,  $\widetilde{\text{B}\chi_k}$  is also a  $\mathbb{C}P^\infty$ -fibration which is the pullback of  $\text{B}\chi_k$  by  $p_{k,l}$ . It follows from the above given homotopy groups of  $\text{Gr}_{k,l}$  provided that  $(k, l) = 1$  that the first nontrivial homotopy group is  $\pi_4(\text{Gr}_{k,l}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ , in particular  $H^3(\text{Gr}_{k,l}, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ , therefore  $\mathbb{C}P^\infty$ -fibration  $\widetilde{\text{B}\chi_k}$  is trivial.  $\square$

*Remark 4.* The matrix Grassmannians  $\text{Gr}_{k,l}$  classify equivalence classes of pairs  $(A_k, \mu)$  over finite  $CW$ -complexes  $X$ , where  $A_k \rightarrow X$  is a locally trivial  $M_k(\mathbb{C})$ -bundle over  $X$  and  $\mu$  is an embedding  $A_k \rightarrow X \times M_{kl}(\mathbb{C})$  (see (2)). Two such pairs  $(A_k, \mu), (A'_k, \mu')$  are equivalent if  $A_k \cong A'_k$  and  $\mu$  is homotopic to  $\mu'$ .

**1.2. The first obstruction.** Now we give the promised description of the obstructions to the lifting in fibration (5). First, consider the first obstruction. According to the topological obstruction theory (and taking into account the homotopy groups (4)) it is a characteristic class  $A_k \mapsto \bar{\kappa}_1(A_k) = \bar{f}^*(\bar{\kappa}_1) \in H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z})$ , where  $\bar{\kappa}_1 := \bar{\kappa}_1(A_k^{univ}) \in H^2(\text{BPU}(k), \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z})$ .

**Theorem 5.** *The first obstruction  $\bar{\kappa}_1(A_k)$  is the obstruction to the reduction (or lift) of the structure group  $\text{PU}(k)$  of bundle (1) to  $\text{SU}(k)$  (here we mean the exact sequence of groups  $1 \rightarrow \rho_k \rightarrow \text{SU}(k) \xrightarrow{\vartheta_k} \text{PU}(k) \rightarrow 1$ , where  $\rho_k$  is the group of  $k$ th roots of unity).*

*Proof.* Note that it follows from (10) provided that  $(k, l) = 1$  that the structure group of  $M_k(\mathbb{C})$ -bundles  $\mathcal{A}_{k,l} \rightarrow \text{Gr}_{k,l}$  and  $p_{k,l}^*(A_k^{univ}) \rightarrow \text{H}_{k,l}(A_k^{univ})$  is  $\text{SU}(k)$ . From the other hand, if the structure group of  $A_k$  can be reduced to  $\text{SU}(k)$ , then  $\bar{\kappa}_1(A_k) = 0$  because the space  $\text{BSU}(k)$  is 3-connected.  $\square$

Clearly that  $\bar{\kappa}_1$  is a generator of  $H^2(\text{BPU}(k), \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}$ .

Now assume that  $A_k$  has the form  $\text{End}(\xi_k)$ , where  $\xi_k \rightarrow X$  is a vector  $\mathbb{C}^k$ -bundle. Note that not every  $M_k(\mathbb{C})$ -bundle can be represented in such a form: the obstruction is the class  $\delta(\kappa_1(A_k)) \in$

$Br(X) := H_{tors}^3(X, \mathbb{Z})$ , where  $\delta: H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^3(X, \mathbb{Z})$  is the coboundary homomorphism corresponding to the coefficient sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{\cdot k} \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0.$$

**Theorem 6.** For  $A_k = \text{End}(\xi_k)$  the first obstruction is  $\kappa_1(A_k) := c_1(\xi_k) \bmod k \in H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z})$ , where  $c_1$  is the first Chern class.

*Proof.* Note that  $\kappa_1 = (\text{B}\chi_k)^*(\bar{\kappa}_1)$ . It follows from (11) that the first obstruction for (7) coincides with the first obstruction for the fibration

$$\text{PU}(k) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^\infty \rightarrow \text{BU}(k)$$

obtained by the extension of the exact sequence of groups  $\text{U}(1) \rightarrow \text{U}(k) \xrightarrow{\chi_k} \text{PU}(k)$  to the right.

Consider the morphism of bundles

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & \text{U}(k) & \longrightarrow & \text{EU}(k) \\ & \swarrow & & & \downarrow \\ \text{U}(1) & & & & \text{U}(1) \\ & \searrow & & & \downarrow \\ \text{PU}(k) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{C}P^\infty & & \text{BU}(k) \\ & & \downarrow & = & \swarrow \\ & & \text{BU}(k) & & \end{array}$$

According to the definition by means of the obstruction theory, the first Chern class  $c_1 \in H^2(\text{BU}(k), \mathbb{Z})$  is the first obstruction to the existence of a section of the universal principal  $\text{U}(k)$ -bundle on the above diagram.

Note that the left slanting arrow induces the map  $\pi_1(\text{U}(k)) \rightarrow \pi_1(\text{PU}(k))$ ,  $\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\alpha \mapsto \alpha \bmod k$  of the fundamental groups. Now the required assertion follows from the functoriality of obstruction classes.  $\square$

Note that since  $(\text{B}\chi_k)^*$  induces an isomorphism  $H^2(\text{BPU}(k), \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^2(\text{BU}(k), \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z})$ , we see that the equality  $(\text{B}\chi_k)^*(\bar{\kappa}_1) = c_1 \bmod k$  uniquely determines the class  $\bar{\kappa}_1$ .

The fact that the structure group of a bundle  $\text{End}(\xi_k)$  can be reduced to  $\text{SU}(k)$  (see Proposition 5) if and only if  $c_1(\xi_k) \equiv 0 \bmod k$  can be explained as follows. If  $c_1(\xi_k) \equiv 0 \bmod k$ , then  $c_1(\xi_k) = k\alpha$ ,  $\alpha \in H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$ . There exists a line bundle  $\zeta' \rightarrow X$  (unique up to isomorphism) such that  $c_1(\zeta') = -\alpha$ . Then  $c_1(\xi_k \otimes \zeta') = c_1(\xi_k) + kc_1(\zeta') = 0$ , i.e.  $\xi_k \otimes \zeta'$  is an  $\text{SU}(k)$ -bundle. From the other hand,  $\text{End}(\xi_k) = \text{End}(\xi_k \otimes \zeta')$ . Conversely, the classifying map

$$\text{B}\chi_k: \text{BU}(k) \rightarrow \text{BPU}(k)$$

for  $\text{End}(\xi_k^{univ})$  as a  $\text{PU}(k)$ -bundle has the fiber  $\mathbb{C}P^\infty$ . Then the topological obstruction theory implies that  $\text{End}(\xi_k) \cong \text{End}(\xi'_k)$  as  $M_k(\mathbb{C})$ -bundles if and only if  $\xi'_k = \xi_k \otimes \zeta'$  for some line bundle  $\zeta' \rightarrow X$ . Clearly,  $c_1(\xi'_k) \equiv c_1(\xi_k) \bmod k$  and  $\xi'_k$  is an  $\text{SU}(k)$ -bundle  $\Leftrightarrow c_1(\xi'_k) = 0 \Rightarrow c_1(\xi_k) \equiv 0 \bmod k$ .

*Remark 7.* Let us describe the relation between two versions (“PU” and “U”) of the first obstructions and the Brauer group  $Br(X) = H_{tors}^3(X, \mathbb{Z})$ . Consider the exact coefficient sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{\cdot k} \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0$$

and the piece of the corresponding cohomology sequence:

$$H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\lambda} H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\delta} H^3(X, \mathbb{Z}).$$

Then  $\delta(\kappa_1(A_k)) = 0 \Leftrightarrow A_k$  has the form  $\text{End}(\xi_k)$  for some vector  $U(k)$ -bundle  $\xi_k$  (note that  $\delta(\kappa_1(A_k)) \in H^3(X, \mathbb{Z})$  is exactly the class of  $A_k$  in the Brauer group). If  $\delta(\kappa_1(A_k)) = 0$ , then  $\kappa_1(A_k) = \lambda(c_1(\xi_k))$ , where  $\lambda$  is the reduction modulo  $k$ . But the bundle  $\xi_k$  such that  $\text{End}(\xi_k) = A_k$  is not unique:  $\xi'_k = \xi_k \otimes \zeta'$  also suits. Clearly,  $c_1(\xi'_k) \equiv c_1(\xi_k) \pmod{k}$  and  $c_1(\xi_k) \equiv 0 \pmod{k} \Leftrightarrow \xi'_k = \xi_k \otimes \zeta'$  is an  $SU(k)$ -bundle for some line bundle  $\zeta'$ .

**1.3. The second obstruction.** Now assume that for a bundle  $A_k \xrightarrow{pk} X$  (1) the first obstruction is equal to 0. We have shown that such a bundle has the form  $\text{End}(\tilde{\xi}_k)$  for some vector  $\mathbb{C}^k$ -bundle  $\tilde{\xi}_k$  with the structure group  $SU(k)$  (note that such a bundle  $\tilde{\xi}_k$  is unique up to isomorphism). Equivalently, the classifying map  $\bar{f}: X \rightarrow \text{BPU}(k)$  (6) can be lifted to  $f: X \rightarrow \text{BSU}(k)$ . It follows from standard facts of topological obstruction theory and given above (see (4)) stable homotopy groups of the space  $\text{Fr}_{k,l} = \text{Hom}_{alg}(M_k(\mathbb{C}), M_{kl}(\mathbb{C}))$  that the next obstruction belongs to  $H^4(X, \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z})$ .

**Theorem 8.** *The second obstruction is  $\kappa_2(A_k) := c_2(\tilde{\xi}_k) \pmod{k}$ , where  $c_2$  is the second Chern class.*

*Proof.* First note that the space  $\text{Fr}_{k,l}$  has the universal covering

$$(12) \quad \rho_k \rightarrow \tilde{\text{Fr}}_{k,l} \rightarrow \text{Fr}_{k,l}.$$

Hence  $\pi_r(\tilde{\text{Fr}}_{k,l}) = \pi_r(\text{Fr}_{k,l})$  for  $r \geq 2$  and  $\pi_1(\tilde{\text{Fr}}_{k,l}) = 0$  (while  $\pi_1(\text{Fr}_{k,l}) = \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}$ ). Obviously,  $\tilde{\text{Fr}}_{k,l} \cong \text{SU}(kl)/(E_k \otimes \text{SU}(l))$  (cf. (3)).

Now consider the following diagram:

$$(13) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} & & \text{Fr}_{k,l} & \longrightarrow & \text{EPU}(k) \times_{\text{PU}(k)} \text{Fr}_{k,l} \\ & \nearrow & & \nearrow \simeq & \downarrow p_{k,l} \\ \tilde{\text{Fr}}_{k,l} & \longrightarrow & \text{ESU}(k) \times_{\text{SU}(k)} \tilde{\text{Fr}}_{k,l} & & \text{BPU}(k) \\ & & \downarrow \tilde{p}_{k,l} & \nearrow & \\ & & \text{BSU}(k) & & \end{array}$$

where  $p_{k,l}$  is fibration (5). (Indeed, it is associated with the universal principal  $\text{PU}(k)$ -bundle with respect to the natural action of the group  $\text{PU}(k) = \text{Aut}(M_k(\mathbb{C}))$  on the space  $\text{Fr}_{k,l} = \text{Hom}_{alg}(M_k(\mathbb{C}), M_{kl}(\mathbb{C}))$ ). Note that the homotopy equivalence  $\tilde{\tau}_{k,l}: \text{ESU}(k) \times_{\text{SU}(k)} \tilde{\text{Fr}}_{k,l} \simeq \text{Gr}_{k,l}$

(cf. (9)) can easily be obtained from representation (10).  $\pi_3(\tilde{\text{Fr}}_{k,l}) = \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z} \Rightarrow$  the “universal” obstruction is a characteristic class  $\kappa_2 \in H^4(\text{BSU}(k), \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z})$ .



Let  $\tilde{\xi}_k^{univ} \rightarrow \text{BSU}(k)$  be the universal  $\text{SU}(k)$ -bundle. Since  $c_2(\tilde{\xi}_k^{univ}) \bmod k$  is a generator of  $H^4(\text{BSU}(k), \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}$ , we see that

$$(14) \quad \kappa_2 = \alpha c_2(\tilde{\xi}_k^{univ}) \bmod k \in H^4(\text{BSU}(k), \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}), \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

We have the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{ESU}(k) \times_{\text{SU}(k)} \tilde{\text{Fr}}_{k,l} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\tau}_{k,l}} & \text{Gr}_{k,l} \\ \tilde{p}_{k,l} \downarrow & \swarrow \lambda_{k,l} & \\ \text{BSU}(k), & & \end{array}$$

where  $\lambda_{k,l}$  is the classifying map for  $\mathcal{A}_{k,l} \rightarrow \text{Gr}_{k,l}$  as an  $\text{SU}(k)$ -bundle. Thus, the piece of the homotopy sequence for the ‘‘SU’’-part of (13)

$$\pi_4(\tilde{\text{Fr}}_{k,l}) \rightarrow \pi_4(\text{ESU}(k) \times_{\text{SU}(k)} \tilde{\text{Fr}}_{k,l}) \rightarrow \pi_4(\text{BSU}(k)) \rightarrow \pi_3(\tilde{\text{Fr}}_{k,l}) \rightarrow \pi_3(\text{ESU}(k) \times_{\text{SU}(k)} \tilde{\text{Fr}}_{k,l})$$

is

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0$$

$\Rightarrow$  the image  $\pi_4(\text{ESU}(k) \times_{\text{SU}(k)} \tilde{\text{Fr}}_{k,l}) \hookrightarrow \pi_4(\text{BSU}(k))$  is the subgroup of index  $k$  in  $\pi_4(\text{BSU}(k)) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ .

Take  $X = S^4$  and consider the group homomorphism  $\pi_4(\text{BSU}(k)) \rightarrow H^4(S^4, \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z})$ ,  $[g] \mapsto g^* \kappa_2$ , where  $g: S^4 \rightarrow \text{BSU}(k)$  and  $[g] \in \pi_4(\text{BSU}(k))$  is the corresponding homotopy class. If  $k \nmid [g]$  in  $\pi_4(\text{BSU}(k)) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ , then  $g^* \kappa_2 \neq 0$  because  $g^* \kappa_2$  is the unique obstruction to the embedding in this case. Hence,  $\alpha$  in (14) is invertible modulo  $k$ .

In order to prove that  $\alpha = 1$  consider the morphism of the fibrations

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & \text{SU}(k) & \longrightarrow & \text{ESU}(k) \\ & & \swarrow & & \downarrow \\ \tilde{\text{Fr}}_{k,l} & \longrightarrow & \text{ESU}(k) \times_{\text{SU}(k)} \tilde{\text{Fr}}_{k,l} & & \text{BSU}(k) \\ & & \downarrow & \swarrow = & \\ & & \text{BSU}(k) & & \end{array}$$

$c_2$  is the first obstruction to the existence of a section of the universal principal  $\text{SU}(k)$ -bundle. Note that the map  $\text{SU}(k) \rightarrow \tilde{\text{Fr}}_{k,l}$  induces the homomorphism  $\pi_3(\text{SU}(k)) \rightarrow \pi_3(\tilde{\text{Fr}}_{k,l})$ ,  $\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\beta \mapsto \beta \bmod k$  of the third homotopy groups. Now it follows from the functoriality of the first obstruction class that  $\kappa_2 = c_2 \bmod k$ , as required.  $\square$

Note that the obstructions are stable in the sense that they do not vanish under the taking of the direct limit over pairs  $\{k, l\}$  provided that  $(k, l) = 1$ . Moreover, they do ‘‘behave well’’ with respect to the tensor product of bundles (cf. (25)).

**1.4. Higher obstructions.** In this section we shall define obstructions to the existence of embedding (2) of a bundle of the form  $A_k = \text{End}(\xi_k) \rightarrow X$  by induction with the help of Postnikov's tower. Of course, in dimensions 2 and 4 we shall obtain the above described obstructions.

According to the obstruction theory (applied to (4)) the first obstruction  $\kappa_1$  to the existence of a section in fibration (7) is in  $H^2(\text{BU}(k), \pi_1(\text{Fr}_{k,l})) = H^2(\text{BU}(k), \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z})$ . The cohomology class  $\kappa_1$  defines the map  $\text{BU}(k) \rightarrow \text{K}(\mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}, 2)$  (which is unique up to homotopy), which we shall denote by the same symbol  $\kappa_1$ . Let  $\text{F}(\kappa_1)$  be the fiber of the map  $\kappa_1$ .

We have the diagram

$$(15) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} & & \text{Fr}_{k,l} & \longleftarrow & \text{Fr}_{k,l}^{[1]} \\ & & \downarrow & & \swarrow \\ & & \text{H}_{k,l}(\text{End}(\zeta_k^{univ})) & & \\ p_{k,l}^{[1]} \swarrow & & \downarrow \widehat{p}_{k,l} & & \\ \text{F}(\kappa_1) & \xrightarrow{i_1} & \text{BU}(k) & \xrightarrow{\kappa_1} & \text{K}(\mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}, 2), \end{array}$$

where the vertical arrows form fibration (7). The existence of  $p_{k,l}^{[1]}$  follows from the universal property of a fiber (applied to the horizontal fibration) together with the fact that the composition  $\kappa_1 \circ \widehat{p}_{k,l}$  is homotopy trivial, and  $\text{Fr}_{k,l}^{[1]}$  is the fiber of the map  $p_{k,l}^{[1]}$ .

**Proposition 9.** *The fiber  $\text{F}(\kappa_1)$  is homotopy equivalent to  $\mathbb{C}P^\infty \times \text{BSU}(k)$ .*

*Proof.* Consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathbb{C}P^\infty \times \text{BSU}(k) & & & & \\ \alpha \downarrow & \searrow \lambda & & & \\ \text{F}(\kappa_1) & \xrightarrow{i_1} & \text{BU}(k) & \xrightarrow{\kappa_1} & \text{K}(\mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}, 2), \end{array}$$

where  $\lambda$  is the classifying map for  $\zeta^{univ} \otimes \widetilde{\xi}_k^{univ}$ , where  $\widetilde{\xi}_k^{univ} \rightarrow \text{BSU}(k)$  is the universal vector  $\mathbb{C}^k$ -bundle with the structure group  $\text{SU}(k)$  and  $\zeta^{univ} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^\infty$  is the universal line bundle. The existence of the map  $\alpha$  follows from the universal property of a fiber because the composition  $\kappa_1 \circ \lambda$  obviously homotopy trivial. Now an easy calculation with homotopy groups shows that  $\alpha$  is in fact a homotopy equivalence.  $\square$

Note that if we take  $\bar{\kappa}_1: \text{BPU}(k) \rightarrow \text{K}(\mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}, 2)$  in place of  $\kappa_1$  then we obtain the fiber  $\text{F}(\bar{\kappa}_1) \simeq \text{BSU}(k)$ . Clearly that the first factor  $\mathbb{C}P^\infty$  in  $\text{F}(\kappa_1)$  has no effect on the next obstructions, therefore abusing notation in what follows we consider only the second factor  $\text{BSU}(k)$  in  $\text{F}(\kappa_1)$  (and replace  $\text{H}_{k,l}(\text{End}(\zeta_k^{univ}))$  by  $\text{H}_{k,l}(A_k^{univ})$  in diagrams analogous to (15), cf. Proposition 3).

Now assume that for the bundle  $A_k \xrightarrow{p_k} X$  the first obstruction  $\kappa_1(A_k)$  is equal to 0. Taking into account the previous remark we can assume that such bundle has the form  $\text{End}(\widetilde{\xi}_k)$  for some vector  $\mathbb{C}^k$ -bundle  $\widetilde{\xi}_k$  with the structure group  $\text{SU}(k)$  (note that its isomorphism class is uniquely determined). Equivalently, we consider a lift of the classifying map  $f: X \rightarrow \text{BU}(k)$  to a map  $\widetilde{f}: X \rightarrow \text{BSU}(k)$ . It follows from the topological obstruction theory (applied to homotopy groups

(4)) that the next obstruction  $\kappa_2$  belongs to  $H^4(X, \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z})$ . The diagram for the definition of  $\kappa_2$  has the form

$$(16) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} & & \text{Fr}_{k,l}^{[1]} & \longleftarrow & \text{Fr}_{k,l}^{[2]} \\ & & \downarrow & & \swarrow \\ & & \text{H}_{k,l}(A_k^{univ}) & & \\ p_{k,l}^{[2]} \swarrow & & \downarrow p_{k,l}^{[1]} & & \\ \text{F}(\kappa_2) & \longrightarrow & \text{BSU}(k) & \xrightarrow{\kappa_2} & \text{K}(\mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}, 4) \end{array}$$

(recall that we take  $\text{F}(\bar{\kappa}_1) = \text{BSU}(k)$  in place of  $\text{F}(\kappa_1)$  rejecting the factor  $\mathbb{C}P^\infty$ ), where  $\kappa_2: \text{BSU}(k) \rightarrow \text{K}(\mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}, 4)$  is the map representing the class  $\kappa_2$ , and  $\text{F}(\kappa_2)$  is its homotopy fiber. The map  $p_{k,l}^{[2]}$  exists because of  $\kappa_2 \circ p_{k,l}^{[1]} \simeq *$  and  $\text{Fr}_{k,l}^{[2]}$  is the homotopy fiber of  $p_{k,l}^{[2]}$ . Note also that  $\text{Fr}_{k,l}^{[1]} = \widetilde{\text{Fr}}_{k,l}$  is the universal cover for  $\text{Fr}_{k,l}$ .

As we have already known, the second obstruction  $\kappa_2$  is  $c_2(\widetilde{\xi}_k) \bmod k$ , where  $c_2$  is the second Chern class.

Clearly that the homomorphism  $(\kappa_2)_*: \pi_4(\text{BSU}(k))(\cong \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \pi_4(\text{K}(\mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}, 4))(\cong \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z})$  is onto and it follows from the homotopy sequence of the fibration

$$(17) \quad \text{F}(\kappa_2) \rightarrow \text{BSU}(k) \xrightarrow{\kappa_2} \text{K}(\mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}, 4)$$

that  $\pi_4(\text{F}(\kappa_2)) \cong \mathbb{Z}$  and the homomorphism  $\pi_4(\text{F}(\kappa_2)) \rightarrow \pi_4(\text{BSU}(k))$  is  $\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $1 \mapsto k$ . Moreover, the fiber inclusion  $\text{F}(\kappa_2) \rightarrow \text{BSU}(k)$  induces the isomorphisms  $\pi_r(\text{F}(\kappa_2)) \cong \pi_r(\text{BSU}(k))$  for  $r \neq 4$ .

Let us return to diagram (16). Consider the following piece of the morphism of the homotopy sequences:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \pi_5(\text{F}(\kappa_2)) & \longrightarrow & \pi_4(\text{Fr}_{k,l}^{[2]}) & \longrightarrow & \pi_4(\text{H}_{k,l}(A_k^{univ})) & \longrightarrow & \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow = & & \\ \pi_5(\text{BSU}(k)) & \longrightarrow & \pi_4(\text{Fr}_{k,l}^{[1]}) & \longrightarrow & \pi_4(\text{H}_{k,l}(A_k^{univ})) & \longrightarrow & \\ \longrightarrow & \pi_4(\text{F}(\kappa_2)) & \longrightarrow & \pi_3(\text{Fr}_{k,l}^{[2]}) & \longrightarrow & \pi_3(\text{H}_{k,l}(A_k^{univ})) & \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow = & & \\ \longrightarrow & \pi_4(\text{BSU}(k)) & \longrightarrow & \pi_3(\text{Fr}_{k,l}^{[1]}) & \longrightarrow & \pi_3(\text{H}_{k,l}(A_k^{univ})), & \end{array}$$

i.e.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \pi_4(\text{Fr}_{k,l}^{[2]}) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z} & \xrightarrow{=} & \mathbb{Z} & \longrightarrow & \pi_3(\text{Fr}_{k,l}^{[2]}) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ \downarrow = & & \downarrow & & \downarrow = & & \downarrow \cdot k & & \downarrow & & \downarrow = \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z} & \xrightarrow{\cdot k} & \mathbb{Z} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z} & \longrightarrow & 0, \end{array}$$

whence  $\pi_3(\text{Fr}_{k,l}^{[2]}) = 0 = \pi_4(\text{Fr}_{k,l}^{[2]})$ ,  $p_{k,l*}^{[2]}: \pi_4(\text{H}_{k,l}(A_k^{univ})) \xrightarrow{\cong} \pi_4(\text{F}(\kappa_2))$ . It is easy to see that the map  $\text{Fr}_{k,l}^{[2]} \rightarrow \text{Fr}_{k,l}^{[1]}$  (see (16)) induces isomorphisms  $\pi_r(\text{Fr}_{k,l}^{[2]}) \cong \pi_r(\tilde{\text{Fr}}_{k,l})$  for  $r \neq 3$ . In particular,  $\pi_{2r+1}(\text{Fr}_{k,l}^{[2]}) \cong \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}$  for  $r \geq 2$  and 0 otherwise.

Now assume that for the  $\text{SU}(k)$ -bundle  $\xi_k \rightarrow X$  the second obstruction  $\kappa_2(\xi_k) = 0$ . Assume that we have chosen a lift  $f_\xi^{[2]}: X \rightarrow \text{F}(\kappa_2)$  of its classifying map  $f_\xi: X \rightarrow \text{BSU}(k)$ . According to the obstruction theory, such a lift is not unique, more precisely, the set of homotopy classes of lifts are in one-to-one correspondence with the set  $H^3(X, \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z})$  (because the fiber of the map  $\text{F}(\kappa_2) \rightarrow \text{BSU}(k)$  is  $\text{K}(\mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}, 3)$ , see diagram (16)). The next obstruction is a characteristic class  $\kappa_3 \in H^6(\text{F}(\kappa_2), \pi_5(\text{Fr}_{k,l}^{[2]})) = H^6(\text{F}(\kappa_2), \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z})$ . Consider the following fibration (cf. (17)):

$$\text{F}(\kappa_3) \rightarrow \text{F}(\kappa_2) \xrightarrow{\kappa_3} \text{K}(\mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}, 6)$$

and the corresponding diagram (cf. (16)):

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & \text{Fr}_{k,l}^{[2]} & \longleftarrow & \text{Fr}_{k,l}^{[3]} \\ & & \downarrow & & \swarrow \\ & & \text{H}_{k,l}(A_k^{univ}) & & \\ p_{k,l}^{[3]} \swarrow & & \downarrow p_{k,l}^{[2]} & & \\ \text{F}(\kappa_3) & \longrightarrow & \text{F}(\kappa_2) & \xrightarrow{\kappa_3} & \text{K}(\mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}, 6). \end{array}$$

We claim that the homomorphism  $(\kappa_3)_*: \pi_6(\text{F}(\kappa_2))(\cong \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \pi_6(\text{K}(\mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}, 6))(\cong \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z})$  is onto. Indeed, take  $\varphi: S^6 \rightarrow \text{F}(\kappa_2)$  such that  $k \nmid [\varphi]$  in  $\pi_6(\text{F}(\kappa_2)) \cong \mathbb{Z} \Rightarrow \varphi$  can not be lifted to  $\tilde{\varphi}: S^6 \rightarrow \text{H}_{k,l}(A_k^{univ})$  (because  $(p_{k,l}^{[2]})_*: \pi_6(\text{H}_{k,l}(A_k^{univ})) \rightarrow \pi_6(\text{F}(\kappa_2))$  is the homomorphism  $\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $1 \mapsto k$ )  $\Rightarrow \varphi^*(\kappa_3) \neq 0 \in H^6(S^6, \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z})$  (because this is the unique obstruction in this case). In particular,  $\kappa_3$  is an element of order  $k$  in the group  $H^6(\text{F}(\kappa_2), \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z})$ .

This implies that the inclusion  $\text{F}(\kappa_3) \rightarrow \text{F}(\kappa_2)$  of the homotopy fiber induces the homomorphism  $\pi_6(\text{F}(\kappa_3)) \rightarrow \pi_6(\text{F}(\kappa_2))$ ,  $\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $1 \mapsto k$  in dimension 6 and isomorphisms  $\pi_r(\text{F}(\kappa_3)) \xrightarrow{\cong} \pi_r(\text{F}(\kappa_2))$  in other dimensions  $r \neq 6$ . It is easy to see that  $\pi_{2r+1}(\text{Fr}_{k,l}^{[3]}) \cong \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}$  for  $r \geq 3$  and 0 otherwise. In particular,  $p_{k,l}^{[3]}$  induces a homotopy equivalence between  $\text{H}_{k,l}(A_k^{univ})$  and  $\text{F}(\kappa_3)$  up to dimension 7.

Using this pattern, by induction one can define characteristic classes  $\kappa_4 \in H^8(\text{F}(\kappa_3), \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z})$ ,  $\kappa_5 \in H^{10}(\text{F}(\kappa_4), \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z})$ , etc., each of which is defined on the kernel of the predecessor. Note that  $(p_{k,l}^{[i]})_*: \pi_r(\text{H}_{k,l}(A_k^{univ})) \rightarrow \pi_r(\text{F}(\kappa_i))$  is an isomorphism for  $r \leq 2i + 1$ .

Let  $\xi_k \rightarrow X$  be a  $\text{U}(k)$ -bundle with a classifying map  $f_\xi: X \rightarrow \text{BU}(k)$ ,  $\dim X < 2 \min\{k, l\}$ . A lift  $\hat{f}_\xi: X \rightarrow \text{H}_{k,l}(A_k^{univ})$  of the classifying map  $f_\xi$  can be constructed by induction as a sequence

of maps  $f_\xi^{[i-1]}$ ,  $2 \leq i \leq (\dim X)/2$  that make the diagram ( $i \geq 2$ )

$$(18) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} & & & \mathbb{H}_{k,l}(A_k^{univ}) & & & \\ & & p_{k,l}^{[i+1]} \swarrow & \downarrow p_{k,l}^{[i]} & \searrow p_{k,l}^{[i-1]} & & \\ \cdots & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{F}(\kappa_{i+1}) & \xrightarrow{\mathbb{K}(\mathbb{Z}_k, 2i+1)} & \mathbb{F}(\kappa_i) & \xrightarrow{\mathbb{K}(\mathbb{Z}_k, 2i-1)} & \mathbb{F}(\kappa_{i-1}) \longrightarrow \cdots \\ & & \nwarrow f_\xi^{[i+1]} & \uparrow f_\xi^{[i]} & \nearrow f_\xi^{[i-1]} & & \\ & & & X & & & \end{array}$$

commutative ( $\mathbb{F}(\kappa_1) := \text{BSU}(k)$ ,  $p_{k,l}^{[1]} = \tilde{p}_{k,l}$ ,  $f_\xi^{[1]} = f_\xi$ ). The extension  $f_\xi^{[i]}$  of  $f_\xi^{[i-1]}$  exists only if  $(f_\xi^{[i-1]})^*(\kappa_i) = 0$ ,  $f_\xi^{[0]} := f_\xi$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq (\dim X)/2$ , where  $\kappa_i \in H^{2i}(\mathbb{F}(\kappa_{i-1}), \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z})$  are the above defined characteristic classes. (Note that by abusing notation we take  $\mathbb{F}(\kappa_1) := \text{BSU}(k)$ , but it is more natural to put  $\kappa_1 := c_1 \bmod k$  and  $\mathbb{F}(\kappa_1)$  to be the homotopy fiber of  $\text{BU}(k) \xrightarrow{\kappa_1} \mathbb{K}(\mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}, 2)$  which, recall, is  $\text{BSU}(k) \times \mathbb{C}P^\infty$ . In this case every  $\mathbb{F}(\kappa_i)$  should be replaced by  $\mathbb{F}(\kappa_i) \times \mathbb{C}P^\infty$ ). In other words, a lift  $\widehat{f}_\xi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_{k,l}(A_k^{univ})$  is constructed step by step, and the obstruction on the  $i$ th step is  $(f_\xi^{[i-1]})^*(\kappa_i) \in H^{2i}(X, \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z})$ .

The following theorem summarizes the obtained results.

**Theorem 10.** *There is a lift  $\widehat{f}_\xi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_{k,l}(\text{End}(\xi_k^{univ}))$  of  $f_\xi$  iff there is a sequence of maps  $f_\xi^{[i-1]}$ ,  $2 \leq i \leq (\dim X)/2$  making diagram (18) commutative and such that  $(f_\xi^{[i-1]})^*(\kappa_i) = 0$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq (\dim X)/2$ , where  $\kappa_i \in H^{2i}(\mathbb{F}(\kappa_{i-1}), \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z})$  are the above defined characteristic classes.*

Note that on every step the choice of a lift is not unique in general. Moreover, the defined obstructions are functorial and are “well-behaved” with respect to the tensor product of bundles (cf. (25)). In particular, the inclusion  $\text{BPU}(k^m) \rightarrow \text{BPU}(k^{m+1})$  (induced by the tensor product by the trivial  $M_k(\mathbb{C})$ -bundle) induces the embedding of obstructions  $\kappa_r^{(k^m)} \in H^{2r}(X, \mathbb{Z}/k^m\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^{2r}(X, \mathbb{Z}/k^{m+1}\mathbb{Z}) \ni \kappa_r^{(k^{m+1})}$  corresponding to the embedding of the coefficient groups  $\mathbb{Z}/k^m\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/k^{m+1}\mathbb{Z}$ .

**1.5. Relation to the Chern classes of connective covers of BU.** In general, “higher” obstructions (in stable dimensions) are in  $H^{2r}(X, \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z})$ ,  $r \in \mathbb{N}$ . But for  $r > 2$  they do not coincide with the Chern classes reduced modulo  $k$ . To see this, take  $X = S^8$  and consider a 6-dimensional complex vector bundle  $\xi_6 \rightarrow S^8$ . It is well-known [4] that for  $S^{2r}$  the Chern classes of complex vector bundles form the subgroup of index  $(r-1)!$  in  $H^{2r}(S^{2r}, \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ . In particular, in our case  $r = 4$ ,  $k = 6$  we have  $c_4(\xi_6) \equiv 0 \pmod{6}$ , but it follows from the homotopy sequence of fibration (5) (or (13)) that not every such a bundle has a lift.

In order to go further, one can use the modification of Chern classes for connective covers of BU. More precisely, let  $\iota_r: \text{BU}\langle 2r \rangle \rightarrow \text{BU}$  be the connective cover of BU whose first non-zero homotopy is in degree  $2r$  (thus  $\text{BU}\langle 2 \rangle = \text{BU}$ ,  $\text{BU}\langle 4 \rangle = \text{BSU}, \dots$ ). Then the image of the  $r$ 'th Chern class under the pullback  $\iota_r^*: H^*(\text{BU}, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^*(\text{BU}\langle 2r \rangle, \mathbb{Z})$  is divisible by  $(r-1)!$  [6]. Put  $\tilde{c}_r := \frac{\iota_r^*(c_r)}{(r-1)!}$ .

<sup>1</sup>I am grateful to Professor Thomas Schick for bringing this result to my attention.

The following theorem generalizes Theorems 6 and 8.

**Theorem 11.** *For bundles classified by the space  $\mathrm{BU}\langle 2r \rangle$  the first obstruction to the considered lifting problem is  $\tilde{c}_r \bmod k$ .*

*Proof.* For the connective cover  $\iota_{r,k}: \mathrm{BU}(k)\langle 2r \rangle \rightarrow \mathrm{BU}(k)$ ,  $k > r$  consider the  $\mathrm{Fr}_{k,l}$ -fibration

$$(19) \quad \iota_{r,k}^*(\mathbb{H}_{k,l}(\mathrm{End}(\xi_k^{univ}))) \rightarrow \mathrm{BU}(k)\langle 2r \rangle$$

induced from (7). Clearly, the first obstruction to lifting in this fibration is a characteristic class

$$\omega_r \in H^{2r}(\mathrm{BU}(k)\langle 2r \rangle, \pi_{2r-1}(\mathrm{Fr}_{k,l})) = H^{2r}(\mathrm{BU}(k)\langle 2r \rangle, \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}.$$

It follows from the homotopy sequence of fibration (19) that  $\pi_{2r}(\iota_{r,k}^*(\mathbb{H}_{k,l}(\mathrm{End}(\xi_k^{univ})))) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ , the homomorphism  $\pi_{2r}(\iota_{r,k}^*(\mathbb{H}_{k,l}(\mathrm{End}(\xi_k^{univ})))) \rightarrow \pi_{2r}(\mathrm{BU}(k)\langle 2r \rangle)$  is injective and its image is the subgroup of index  $k$  in  $\pi_{2r}(\mathrm{BU}(k)\langle 2r \rangle) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ .

Now using the same argument as in the proof of Theorem 8 with  $S^{2r}$  in place of  $S^4$ , we see that for the bundle  $\xi_k \rightarrow S^{2r}$  corresponding to the generator  $1 \in \pi_{2r}(\mathrm{BU}(k)) \cong \mathbb{Z}$  the class  $\omega_r$  is a generator of  $H^{2r}(S^{2r}, \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}$ , i.e.  $\omega_r = \alpha \tilde{c}_r \bmod k$ , where  $\alpha$  is invertible mod  $k$ .

In order to prove that  $\alpha = 1$  we may use the argument analogous the conclusions of the proofs of Theorems 6 and 8. More precisely, it follows from Hurewicz's theorem and the universal coefficients formula that  $H^{2r}(\mathrm{BU}(k)\langle 2r \rangle, \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ . Furthermore, since  $\tilde{c}_r(\xi_k)$  is a generator of  $H^{2r}(S^{2r}, \mathbb{Z})$  for some vector bundle  $\xi_k \rightarrow S^{2r}$ , we see that  $\tilde{c}_r(\xi_k) \in H^{2r}(\mathrm{BU}(k)\langle 2r \rangle, \mathbb{Z})$  is a generator too.

Let

$$(20) \quad \iota_{r,k}^*(\mathrm{EU}(k)) \rightarrow \mathrm{BU}(k)\langle 2r \rangle$$

be a  $\mathrm{U}(k)$ -bundle induced from the universal  $\mathrm{U}(k)$ -bundle  $\mathrm{EU}(k) \rightarrow \mathrm{BU}(k)$  by the map  $\iota_{r,k}$ . Let  $h: S^{2r} \rightarrow \mathrm{BU}(k)\langle 2r \rangle$  be a generator in  $H_{2r}(\mathrm{BU}(k)\langle 2r \rangle, \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ . Since the homomorphism  $\pi_{2r}(\mathrm{BU}(k)\langle 2r \rangle) \rightarrow \pi_{2r-1}(\mathrm{U}(k))$  in the homotopy sequence of fibration (20) is an isomorphism, we see that the first obstruction to the lift in fibration (20) is a generator in  $H^{2r}(\mathrm{BU}(k)\langle 2r \rangle, \mathbb{Z}) = \mathrm{Hom}(H_{2r}(\mathrm{BU}(k)\langle 2r \rangle, \mathbb{Z}), \mathbb{Z})$  (i.e. it is the fundamental class  $\mathrm{id}: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ ), hence it is  $\tilde{c}_r$ .

Note that the map  $\mathrm{SU}(k) \rightarrow \tilde{\mathrm{Fr}}_{k,l}$  induces the following homomorphism of homotopy groups:

$$\pi_{2r-1}(\mathrm{SU}(k)) \rightarrow \pi_{2r-1}(\tilde{\mathrm{Fr}}_{k,l}), \quad \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}, \quad \forall r \geq 2.$$

The proof concludes the same argument as in the conclusion of the proof of Theorem 8.  $\square$

The obtained result can also be reformulated as follows. Let  $\xi_k \rightarrow X$  be a vector  $\mathbb{C}^k$ -bundle such that  $c_1(\xi_k) = 0$ ,  $c_2(\xi_k) = 0$ . The its classifying map  $f_\xi: X \rightarrow \mathrm{BSU}(k)$  can be lifted to  $\mathrm{BU}(k)\langle 6 \rangle$ :

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \dots & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{BU}(k)\langle 8 \rangle & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{K}(\mathbb{Z}, 5)} & \mathrm{BU}(k)\langle 6 \rangle & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{K}(\mathbb{Z}, 3)} & \mathrm{BU}(k)\langle 4 \rangle = \mathrm{BSU}(k) \\ & & & & \uparrow f_\xi^{(3)} & & \nearrow f_\xi = f_\xi^{(2)} \\ & & & & X & & \\ & & \nwarrow f_\xi^{(4)} & & & & \end{array}$$

(the upper row in the diagram is the Whitehead tower for  $\mathrm{BSU}(k)$ ). In fact, the space  $\mathrm{BU}(k)\langle 6 \rangle$  represents some refined (because the choice of a lift  $f_\xi^{(3)}$  is not unique) theory of bundles with  $c_2 = 0$  and we can regard the lift  $f_\xi^{(3)}$  as a classifying map for some bundle  $\xi_k^{(3)}$  of this type. Thus,

we have the characteristic class  $\tilde{c}_3(\xi_k^{(3)}) := f_\xi^{(3)*}(\tilde{c}_3) \in H^6(X, \mathbb{Z})$ . If  $\tilde{c}_3(\xi_k^{(3)}) = 0$ , then we choose a lift  $f_\xi^{(4)}$  (see the above diagram) corresponding to some bundle  $\xi_k^{(4)}$  (of even more “subtle” type of bundles with  $\tilde{c}_2 = 0, \tilde{c}_3 = 0$ ) with the characteristic class  $\tilde{c}_4(\xi_k^{(4)}) \in H^8(X, \mathbb{Z})$ , etc. Suppose that starting with the bundle  $\xi_k \rightarrow X$  we obtain a sequence of bundles  $\xi_k^{(i)}, i \leq r, \tilde{c}_i(\xi_k^{(i)}) = 0$  for  $i < r$ . Then the first obstruction for embedding  $\mu: \text{End}(\xi_k^{(r)}) \hookrightarrow X \times M_{kl}(\mathbb{C}), (k, l) = 1$  is  $\tilde{c}_r(\xi_k^{(r)}) \bmod k$ .

In order to establish a relation between two outlined approaches to definition of obstructions, consider the diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 \text{BU}(k)\langle 6 \rangle & & & & \\
 \lambda_3 \downarrow & \searrow & & & \\
 \text{F}(\kappa_2) & \longrightarrow & \text{BSU}(k) & \xrightarrow{\kappa_2} & \text{K}(\mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}, 4) \\
 & & \searrow c_2 & & \uparrow \\
 & & & & \text{K}(\mathbb{Z}, 4)
 \end{array}$$

whose low right triangle is commutative and the map  $\lambda_3$  is defined by the universal property of a fiber (and the right vertical arrow  $\text{K}(\mathbb{Z}, 4) \rightarrow \text{K}(\mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}, 4)$  is induced by the surjective homomorphism  $\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}$ ). Because of the functoriality of the first obstruction we have:

$$(21) \quad \lambda_3^*(\kappa_3) = \tilde{c}_3 \bmod k.$$

Now consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 \text{BU}(k)\langle 8 \rangle & \longrightarrow & \text{BU}(k)\langle 6 \rangle & \xrightarrow{\tilde{c}_3} & \text{K}(\mathbb{Z}, 6) \\
 \lambda_4 \downarrow & & \lambda_3 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 \text{F}(\kappa_3) & \longrightarrow & \text{F}(\kappa_2) & \xrightarrow{\kappa_3} & \text{K}(\mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}, 6),
 \end{array}$$

where the right square is commutative because of (21) (note that the right vertical arrow is induced by the surjective homomorphism  $\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}$ ). Again using the functoriality of the first obstruction, we have:

$$\lambda_4^*(\kappa_4) = \tilde{c}_4 \bmod k.$$

Repeating this argument by induction, we obtain the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 \dots & \xrightarrow{\text{K}(\mathbb{Z}, 7)} & \text{BU}(k)\langle 8 \rangle & \xrightarrow{\text{K}(\mathbb{Z}, 5)} & \text{BU}(k)\langle 6 \rangle & \xrightarrow{\text{K}(\mathbb{Z}, 3)} & \text{BU}(k)\langle 4 \rangle = \text{BSU}(k) \\
 & & \lambda_4 \downarrow & & \lambda_3 \downarrow & & \downarrow = \\
 \dots & \xrightarrow{\text{K}(\mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}, 7)} & \text{F}(\kappa_3) & \xrightarrow{\text{K}(\mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}, 5)} & \text{F}(\kappa_2) & \xrightarrow{\text{K}(\mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}, 3)} & \text{F}(\kappa_1) = \text{BSU}(k),
 \end{array}$$

where the symbols over arrows indicate the fibers.

The following theorem summarizes the obtained result.

**Theorem 12.** *For any  $r \geq 2$   $\lambda_r^*(\kappa_r) = \tilde{c}_r \bmod k$ , where  $\lambda_r: \text{BU}(k)\langle 2r \rangle \rightarrow \text{F}(\kappa_{r-1})$  are the above defined maps (note that  $\lambda_2 = \text{id}: \text{BSU}(k) \rightarrow \text{BSU}(k)$  in accordance with  $\kappa_2 = c_2 \bmod k$ ).*

Note that this theorem generalizes the relation between the obstructions  $\kappa_1, \kappa_2$  and the corresponding Chern classes  $c_1, c_2$  (see Theorems 6 and 8). (More precisely, this theorem shows that we should consider the Chern classes  $\tilde{c}_r$  of the connective covers of  $\text{BU}$ .) This follows from the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \text{BU}(k)\langle 4 \rangle = \text{BSU}(k) & \longrightarrow & \text{BU}(k)\langle 2 \rangle = \text{BU}(k) & \xrightarrow{c_1} & \text{K}(\mathbb{Z}, 2) \\ \lambda_2 \downarrow & & \lambda_1 \downarrow = & & \downarrow \\ \text{F}(\kappa_1) = \mathbb{C}P^\infty \times \text{BSU}(k) & \longrightarrow & \text{BU}(k) & \xrightarrow{\kappa_1} & \text{K}(\mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}, 4). \end{array}$$

## 2. AN APPROACH VIA GROUPOIDS

It turns out that above considered spaces and bundles (like  $\text{Gr}_{k,l}$ ,  $\text{H}_{k,l}(\mathcal{A}_{k,l})$ ,  $\text{H}_{k,l}(A_k^{univ})$  etc.) can naturally be interpreted in terms of some groupoid  $\mathfrak{G}_{k,l}$  of matrix subalgebras in the fixed matrix algebra  $M_{kl}(\mathbb{C})$ .

**2.1. Groupoids  $\mathfrak{G}_{k,l}$ .** Let  $M_{kl}(\mathbb{C})$  be the complex matrix algebra. Recall that unital  $*$ -subalgebras in  $M_{kl}(\mathbb{C})$  isomorphic to  $M_k(\mathbb{C})$  we call  $k$ -subalgebras.

Define the following category  $C_{k,l}$ . Its objects  $\text{Ob}(C_{k,l})$  are  $k$ -subalgebras in the fixed  $M_{kl}(\mathbb{C})$ , i.e. actually points of the matrix grassmannian  $\text{Gr}_{k,l}$ .

For two objects  $M_{k,\alpha}, M_{k,\beta} \in \text{Ob}(C_{k,l})$  the set of morphisms  $\text{Mor}_{C_{k,l}}(M_{k,\alpha}, M_{k,\beta})$  is just the space  $\text{Hom}_{alg}(M_{k,\alpha}, M_{k,\beta})$  of all unital  $*$ -homomorphisms of matrix algebras (i.e. actually isometric isomorphisms).

Put

$$\mathfrak{G}_{k,l}^0 := \text{Ob}(C_{k,l}), \quad \mathfrak{G}_{k,l} := \bigcup_{\alpha, \beta \in \text{Ob}(C_{k,l})} \text{Mor}_{C_{k,l}}(M_{k,\alpha}, M_{k,\beta}).$$

Clearly,  $\mathfrak{G}_{k,l}$  is a topological groupoid (in fact, even a Lie groupoid).

*Remark 13.* Note that we do not fix an extension of a homomorphism from  $\text{Hom}_{alg}(M_{k,\alpha}, M_{k,\beta})$  to an automorphism of the whole algebra  $M_{kl}(\mathbb{C})$ , so it is not the action groupoid corresponding to the action of  $\text{PU}(kl)$  on  $\text{Ob}(C_{k,l})$ .

It is interesting to note that if  $\mathfrak{G}_{k,l}$  would be an action groupoid for some topological group  $\text{H}$  acting on  $\mathfrak{G}_{k,l}^0$ , then  $\text{H} \simeq \text{Fr}_{k,l}$ . This result follows from the homotopy equivalence  $\text{B}\mathfrak{G}_{k,l} \simeq \text{BPU}(k)$  (see below) and the fact that for action groupoid  $\mathfrak{G} := X \rtimes \text{H}$  corresponding to an action of  $\text{H}$  on  $X$  the classifying space  $\text{B}\mathfrak{G}$  is homotopy equivalent to  $X \times_{\text{H}} \text{EH}$  [3].

As a topological space  $\mathfrak{G}_{k,l}$  can be represented as follows. Applying fiberwisely the functor  $\text{Hom}_{alg}(\dots, M_{kl}(\mathbb{C}))$  (see Subsection 1.1) to the tautological  $M_k(\mathbb{C})$ -bundle  $\mathcal{A}_{k,l} \rightarrow \text{Gr}_{k,l}$  we obtain the space  $\text{H}_{k,l}(\mathcal{A}_{k,l})$  which is exactly  $\mathfrak{G}_{k,l}$ .

Being a groupoid,  $\mathfrak{G}_{k,l}$  has canonical morphisms: source and target  $s, t: \mathfrak{G}_{k,l} \rightrightarrows \mathfrak{G}_{k,l}^0$ , composition  $m: \mathfrak{G}_{k,l} \times_{s \mathfrak{G}_{k,l}^0 t} \mathfrak{G}_{k,l} \rightarrow \mathfrak{G}_{k,l}$ , identity  $e: \mathfrak{G}_{k,l}^0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{G}_{k,l}$  and inversion  $i: \mathfrak{G}_{k,l} \rightarrow \mathfrak{G}_{k,l}$ .

Let us describe first two of them in terms of topological spaces  $\text{Gr}_{k,l} \sim \mathfrak{G}_{k,l}^0$  and  $\text{H}_{k,l}(\mathcal{A}_{k,l}) \sim \mathfrak{G}_{k,l}$ . The source morphism  $s: \text{H}_{k,l}(\mathcal{A}_{k,l}) \rightarrow \text{Gr}_{k,l}$  is just the bundle projection (recall that



$\mathbb{H}_{k,l}(\mathcal{A}_{k,l})$  is obtained from the bundle  $\mathcal{A}_{k,l} \rightarrow \text{Gr}_{k,l}$  by the fiberwise application of the functor  $\text{Hom}_{\text{alg}}(\dots, M_{kl}(\mathbb{C}))$ . The target morphism  $t: \mathbb{H}_{k,l}(\mathcal{A}_{k,l}) \rightarrow \text{Gr}_{k,l}$  is the map  $h \mapsto h((\mathcal{A}_{k,l})_\alpha)$ , where  $h \in \mathbb{H}_{k,l}(\mathcal{A}_{k,l})$ ,  $s(h) = \alpha \in \text{Gr}_{k,l}$  and as usual we identify the  $k$ -subalgebra  $h((\mathcal{A}_{k,l})_\alpha) \subset M_{kl}(\mathbb{C})$  with the corresponding point in  $\text{Gr}_{k,l}$ .

There are also analogous descriptions of maps  $e: \text{Gr}_{k,l} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_{k,l}(\mathcal{A}_{k,l})$ ,  $i: \mathbb{H}_{k,l}(\mathcal{A}_{k,l}) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_{k,l}(\mathcal{A}_{k,l})$  and

$$(22) \quad m: \mathbb{H}_{k,l}(\mathcal{A}_{k,l}) \underset{s}{\times} \underset{t}{\text{Gr}_{k,l}} \mathbb{H}_{k,l}(\mathcal{A}_{k,l}) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_{k,l}(\mathcal{A}_{k,l}).$$

Note that there are bifunctors  $C_{k,l} \times C_{m,n} \rightarrow C_{km,ln}$  induced by the tensor product of matrix algebras and therefore the corresponding morphisms of topological groupoids

$$(23) \quad \mathfrak{G}_{k,l} \times \mathfrak{G}_{m,n} \rightarrow \mathfrak{G}_{km,ln}.$$

They cover the maps  $\text{Gr}_{k,l} \times \text{Gr}_{m,n} \rightarrow \text{Gr}_{km,ln}$  [12].

*Remark 14.* Note that one can define an ‘‘SU’’-analog of the groupoid  $\mathfrak{G}_{k,l}$  replacing  $\text{PU}(k)$  by  $\text{SU}(k)$ . This is a  $k$ -fold covering of  $\mathfrak{G}_{k,l}$  (cf. Subsection 1.3).

Note that for any  $\alpha \in \text{Ob}(C_{k,l})$  we have the (full) subcategory with one object  $\alpha$ . The corresponding groupoid morphism  $\text{PU}(k) \rightarrow \mathfrak{G}_{k,l}$  is a Morita morphism, i.e. the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{PU}(k) & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{G}_{k,l} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow s \times t \\ \alpha & \longrightarrow & \text{Gr}_{k,l} \times \text{Gr}_{k,l} \end{array}$$

is a Cartesian square. It turns out (see the next subsection) that this Morita morphism induces a homotopy equivalence of the classifying spaces  $\text{BPU}(k) \simeq \text{B}\mathfrak{G}_{k,l}$ .

**2.2. Groupoids  $\widehat{\mathfrak{G}}_{k,l}$ .** Define a new category  $\widehat{C}_{k,l}$  whose objects  $\text{Ob}(\widehat{C}_{k,l}) = \text{Ob}(C_{k,l})$  but morphism from  $\alpha \in \text{Ob}(\widehat{C}_{k,l})$  to  $\beta \in \text{Ob}(\widehat{C}_{k,l})$  is the set of all pairs  $(\lambda, \mu)$ , where  $\lambda: M_{k,\alpha} \rightarrow M_{k,\beta}$  and  $\mu: M_{l,\alpha} \rightarrow M_{l,\beta}$  are  $*$ -isomorphisms, where  $M_{l,\alpha} \cong M_l(\mathbb{C})$ ,  $M_{l,\beta} \cong M_l(\mathbb{C})$  are centralizers (in  $M_{kl}(\mathbb{C})$ ) of  $M_{k,\alpha}$  and  $M_{k,\beta}$  respectively.

Let  $\widehat{\mathfrak{G}}_{k,l}$  be the set of all morphisms in  $\widehat{C}_{k,l}$ . Clearly, it is again a topological (even a Lie) groupoid. As a topological space it can also be described as the total space of some  $\text{PU}(k) \times \text{PU}(l)$ -bundle over  $\text{Gr}_{k,l} \times \text{Gr}_{k,l}$  (the projection is given by  $s \times t: \widehat{\mathfrak{G}}_{k,l} \rightarrow \text{Gr}_{k,l} \times \text{Gr}_{k,l}$ ).

We also have the map  $\widehat{\vartheta}: \widehat{\mathfrak{G}}_{k,l} \rightarrow \text{PU}(kl)$ ,  $(\lambda, \mu) \mapsto \widehat{\vartheta}(\lambda, \mu)$ , where  $\widehat{\vartheta}(\lambda, \mu): M_{kl}(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow M_{kl}(\mathbb{C})$  is the unique automorphism induced by  $(\lambda, \mu)$ .

*Remark 15.* In fact,  $\widehat{\mathfrak{G}}_{k,l}$  is an action groupoid  $\text{Gr}_{k,l} \rtimes \text{PU}(kl)$  related to the action of  $\text{PU}(kl)$  on  $\text{Gr}_{k,l}$ .

We have the natural groupoid morphism  $\pi: \widehat{\mathfrak{G}}_{k,l} \rightarrow \mathfrak{G}_{k,l}$ ,  $(\lambda, \mu) \mapsto \lambda$ . The fiber of  $\pi$  is clearly  $\text{PU}(l)$ . Thus, we have the groupoid extension

$$(24) \quad \text{PU}(l) \longrightarrow \widehat{\mathfrak{G}}_{k,l} \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathfrak{G}_{k,l}.$$

*Remark 16.* Note that  $\mathfrak{G}_{k,l}$  can also be regarded as an extension of the pair groupoid  $\text{Gr}_{k,l} \times \text{Gr}_{k,l}$  by  $\text{PU}(k)$ .

**2.3. Universal principal groupoid  $\mathfrak{G}_{k,l}$ -bundle.** In this subsection we shall show that our previous construction (see Subsection 1.1) which to an  $M_k(\mathbb{C})$ -bundle  $A_k \rightarrow X$  associates  $\text{Fr}_{k,l}$ -bundle  $\text{H}_{k,l}(A_k) \rightarrow X$  is nothing but the extension functor from the structure group  $\text{PU}(k)$  to the structure groupoid  $\mathfrak{G}_{k,l}$ . Moreover, it turns out that  $\text{H}_{k,l}(A_k^{\text{univ}}) \rightarrow \text{BPU}(k)$  is the universal principal  $\mathfrak{G}_{k,l}$ -bundle, in particular, the classifying spaces  $\text{BPU}(k)$  and  $\text{B}\mathfrak{G}_{k,l}$  are homotopy equivalent. Consequently, every  $\mathfrak{G}_{k,l}$ -bundle can be obtained from some  $M_k(\mathbb{C})$ -bundle in this way.

*Remark 17.* Note that  $\text{B}\widehat{\mathfrak{G}}_{k,l} \simeq \text{BPU}(k) \times \text{BPU}(l)$  because  $\widehat{\mathfrak{G}}_{k,l}$  is an action groupoid (cf. Remarks 13 and 15).

In Subsection 1.1 (see (9)) we defined the map  $\tau_{k,l}: \text{H}_{k,l}(A_k^{\text{univ}}) \rightarrow \text{Gr}_{k,l}$ ,  $h \mapsto h((A_k^{\text{univ}})_x) \subset M_{kl}(\mathbb{C})$ , where  $x \in \text{BPU}(k)$  and  $h \in p_{k,l}^{-1}(x)$  which is a fibration with contractible fibres; in particular, it is a homotopy equivalence.

There is the free and proper action

$$\varphi: \mathfrak{G}_{k,l} \times_s \text{H}_{k,l}(A_k^{\text{univ}}) \rightarrow \text{H}_{k,l}(A_k^{\text{univ}})$$

( $\tau := \tau_{k,l}$ ) defined by the compositions of algebra homomorphisms. More precisely, for  $g \in \mathfrak{G}_{k,l}$ ,  $h \in p_{k,l}^{-1}(x)$ ,  $x \in \text{BPU}(k)$  such that  $s(g) = \tau_{k,l}(h)$  we put  $\varphi(g, h) := g(h((A_k^{\text{univ}})_x)) \subset M_{kl}(\mathbb{C})$  (in particular,  $\tau_{k,l}(\varphi(g, h)) = t(g)$ ).

**Theorem 18.** *The base space of the principal groupoid  $\mathfrak{G}_{k,l}$ -bundle  $(\text{H}_{k,l}(A_k^{\text{univ}}), \mathfrak{G}_{k,l}, \varphi)$  is  $\text{BPU}(k)$  (see (5)).*

*Proof.* It is easy to see that the map

$$\mathfrak{G}_{k,l} \times_s \text{H}_{k,l}(A_k^{\text{univ}}) \rightarrow \text{H}_{k,l}(A_k^{\text{univ}}) \times_{\text{BPU}(k)} \text{H}_{k,l}(A_k^{\text{univ}}), (g, p) \mapsto (gp, p)$$

is a homeomorphism.  $\square$

Thus, the action  $\varphi$  turns the fibration (5) into a principal groupoid  $\mathfrak{G}_{k,l}$ -bundle. Moreover, it is the *universal*  $\mathfrak{G}_{k,l}$ -bundle because (as we have already noticed)  $\tau_{k,l}: \text{H}_{k,l}(A_k^{\text{univ}}) \rightarrow \text{Gr}_{k,l}$  has contractible fibers. Therefore there is a homotopy equivalence  $\text{B}\mathfrak{G}_{k,l} \simeq \text{BPU}(k)$ .

*Remark 19.* The last result (in particular, that the homotopy type of  $\text{B}\mathfrak{G}_{k,l}$  does not depend on  $l$ ) can be explained using the notion of Morita equivalence for groupoids (see [5]). Take a positive integer  $m$  and define  $\mathfrak{G}_{k,l} - \mathfrak{G}_{k,m}$ -bimodule  $\mathfrak{M}_{k,l;k,m}$  as follows.  $\mathfrak{M}_{k,l;k,m}$  consists of all unital  $*$ -homomorphisms from  $k$ -subalgebras in  $M_{km}(\mathbb{C})$  to  $k$ -subalgebras in  $M_{kl}(\mathbb{C})$ . Clearly,  $\mathfrak{M}_{k,l;k,m}$  is an *equivalence bimodule* [5]. If we take  $m = 1$  we obtain the homotopy equivalence  $\text{B}\mathfrak{G}_{k,l} \simeq \text{BPU}(k)$  directly.

*Remark 20.* It is easy to see that for the  $\text{SU}$ -analog of the groupoid  $\mathfrak{G}_{k,l}$  (see Remark 14) the classifying space is homotopy equivalent to  $\text{BSU}(k)$  (cf. (13)).

Note that the groupoid  $\mathfrak{G}_{k,l}$  itself is (the total space of) a principal  $\mathfrak{G}_{k,l}$ -bundle with the base space  $\text{Gr}_{k,l} = \mathfrak{G}_{k,l}^0$ . This bundle is called *unit* [8]. A principal groupoid  $\mathfrak{G}_{k,l}$ -bundle  $\text{H}_{k,l}(A_k) \rightarrow X$

(we have already noticed that every principal  $\mathfrak{G}_{k,l}$ -bundle is of this form) is called *trivial w.r.t.* a map  $f: X \rightarrow \mathfrak{G}_{k,l}^0$  if it is the pullback of the unit bundle via this map [8]. In particular, the unit bundle is trivial with respect to the identity map  $\text{id}: \mathfrak{G}_{k,l}^0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{G}_{k,l}^0$ . (Thus, in general, there are non isomorphic trivial bundles over the same base space). Note that a  $\mathfrak{G}_{k,l}$ -bundle  $H_{k,l}(A_k) \rightarrow X$  is trivial iff it has a section, i.e. *there is an embedding (2) (with  $n = kl$ ) iff  $H_{k,l}(A_k) \rightarrow X$  is a trivial principal groupoid  $\mathfrak{G}_{k,l}$ -bundle.*

*Remark 21.* Let us return to the functor  $(A_k, \mu) \mapsto A_k$  (see Remark 4) corresponding to the map of classifying spaces  $\text{Gr}_{k,l} \rightarrow \text{BPU}(k)$ . Now we see that it can be interpreted as the factorization by the action of the groupoid  $\mathfrak{G}_{k,l}$  (cf. Subsection 3.2 below).

**2.4. A remark about stabilization.** Note that maps (23) induce maps of classifying spaces

$$(25) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} H_{k,l}(A_k^{univ}) \times H_{m,n}(A_m^{univ}) & \longrightarrow & H_{km,ln}(A_{km}^{univ}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{BPU}(k) \times \text{BPU}(m) & \longrightarrow & \text{BPU}(km) \end{array}$$

(we should restrict ourself to the case  $(km, ln) = 1$ ), cf. [12]. In the direct limit we obtain the  $H$ -space homomorphism

$$(26) \quad \text{Gr} \rightarrow \varinjlim_k \text{BPU}(k),$$

where  $\text{Gr} := \varinjlim_{(k,l)=1} \text{Gr}_{k,l}$  [12], maps in the direct limits are induced by the tensor product and we use the homotopy equivalences  $H_{k,l}(A_k^{univ}) \simeq \text{Gr}_{k,l}$ . Since there is an  $H$ -space isomorphism  $\text{Gr} \cong \text{BSU}_\otimes$  [12], we see that (26) is the composition of the localization map

$$\text{BSU}_\otimes \rightarrow \prod_{n \geq 2} \text{K}(\mathbb{Q}, 2n)$$

and the natural inclusion

$$\prod_{n \geq 2} \text{K}(\mathbb{Q}, 2n) \hookrightarrow \text{K}(\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}, 2) \times \prod_{n \geq 2} \text{K}(\mathbb{Q}, 2n) \simeq \varinjlim_k \text{BPU}(k).$$

Consider the abelian group

$$(27) \quad \text{coker}\{[X, \text{Gr}] \rightarrow [X, \varinjlim_k \text{BPU}(k)]\},$$

where the homomorphism of the groups of homotopy classes is induced by (26). It admits the following “geometric” description. We call an  $M_k(\mathbb{C})$ -bundle *embeddable* if there is an embedding  $\mu: A_k \hookrightarrow X \times M_{kl}(\mathbb{C})$  as above for some  $l$ ,  $(k, l) = 1$ . We say that  $M_k(\mathbb{C})$  and  $M_m(\mathbb{C})$ -bundles  $C_k, D_m$  over  $X$  are *equivalent* if there are embeddable bundles  $A_l, B_n$  such that  $C_k \otimes A_l \cong D_m \otimes B_n$ . The set of such equivalence classes over the given base space  $X$  is a group with respect to the operation induced by the tensor product. Clearly, this group is the cokernel (27). In particular, for every even-dimensional sphere  $S^{2n}$  it is  $\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$  (and 0 for every odd-dimensional one).

*Remark 22.* Since  $\text{BSU}_\otimes$  is an infinite loop space [9], this invariant can be interpreted in terms of the coefficient sequence for the corresponding cohomology theory.

## 3. SOME CONSTRUCTIONS

**3.1. Partial isomorphisms.** Let  $A_k \rightarrow X$  be an  $M_k(\mathbb{C})$ -bundle over  $X$  and  $\mu: A_k \hookrightarrow X \times M_{kl}(\mathbb{C})$  ( $(k, l) = 1$ ) a bundle map which is a unital  $*$ -algebra homomorphism on each fiber as above. So every fiber  $(A_k)_x$ ,  $x \in X$  can be identified with the corresponding  $k$ -subalgebra  $\mu|_x((A_k)_x) \subset M_{kl}(\mathbb{C})$  and we have the triple  $(A_k, \mu, X \times M_{kl}(\mathbb{C}))$ . Let  $(A'_k, \mu', X \times M_{kl}(\mathbb{C}))$  be another triple of such a kind. Assume that the bundles  $A_k$  and  $A'_k$  are isomorphic and choose some  $*$ -isomorphism  $\vartheta: A_k \cong A'_k$ .

Note that embeddings  $\mu, \mu'$  define the corresponding maps to the matrix Grassmannian  $f_\mu, f_{\mu'}: X \rightarrow \text{Gr}_{k,l}$  and, moreover  $\vartheta, \mu$  and  $\mu'$  define a map  $\nu: X \rightarrow \mathfrak{G}_{k,l}$  such that  $s \circ \nu = f_\mu$ ,  $t \circ \nu = f_{\mu'}$  and  $\nu|_x = \mu' \circ \vartheta|_x \circ \mu^{-1}: \mu((A_k)_x) \rightarrow \mu'((A'_k)_x)$ .

Conversely, a map  $\nu: X \rightarrow \mathfrak{G}_{k,l}$  gives us some maps  $f_\mu := s \circ \nu$  and  $f_{\mu'} := t \circ \nu: X \rightarrow \text{Gr}_{k,l}$  that come from some triples  $(A_k, \mu, X \times M_{kl}(\mathbb{C}))$ ,  $(A'_k, \mu', X \times M_{kl}(\mathbb{C}))$ , and an isomorphism  $\vartheta: A_k \cong A'_k$ . Such a  $\nu$  will be called a *partial isomorphism* from  $(A_k, \mu, X \times M_{kl}(\mathbb{C}))$  to  $(A'_k, \mu', X \times M_{kl}(\mathbb{C}))$  or just a *partial automorphism* of the trivial bundle  $X \times M_{kl}(\mathbb{C})$ . Partial isomorphisms that can be lifted to “genuine” automorphisms of the trivial bundle  $X \times M_{kl}(\mathbb{C})$  (i.e. to genuine bundle maps  $\tilde{\vartheta}: X \times M_{kl}(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow X \times M_{kl}(\mathbb{C})$  such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A_k & \xrightarrow{\vartheta} & A'_k \\ \mu \downarrow & & \downarrow \mu' \\ X \times M_{kl}(\mathbb{C}) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\vartheta}} & X \times M_{kl}(\mathbb{C}) \end{array}$$

commutes) are just called *isomorphisms*.

*Remark 23.* An extension of a partial isomorphism  $\nu: X \rightarrow \mathfrak{G}_{k,l}$  to a genuine isomorphism is equivalent to the choice of a lift  $\tilde{\nu}: X \rightarrow \widehat{\mathfrak{G}}_{k,l}$  of  $\nu$  in (24) (to show this one can use the map  $\widehat{\vartheta}: \widehat{\mathfrak{G}}_{k,l} \rightarrow \text{PU}(kl)$  introduced in Subsection 2.2).

Now we claim that there are partial isomorphisms that are not isomorphisms. To show this, take  $X = \text{Fr}_{k,l}$ . The map  $\nu: \text{Fr}_{k,l} \rightarrow \mathfrak{G}_{k,l}$  is defined as follows. Fix  $\alpha \in \text{Gr}_{k,l}$  and consider all  $*$ -isomorphisms from  $M_{k,\alpha} \subset M_{kl}(\mathbb{C})$  to  $M_{k,\beta} \subset M_{kl}(\mathbb{C})$ , where  $\beta$  runs over all  $k$ -subalgebras in  $M_{kl}(\mathbb{C})$ . Clearly, this defines a subspace in  $\mathfrak{G}_{k,l}$  homeomorphic to  $\text{Fr}_{k,l}$ . In our case  $A_k \cong A'_k = \text{Fr}_{k,l} \times M_k(\mathbb{C})$ , but  $\mu$  and  $\mu'$  are different.

In order to show this, define the  $M_l(\mathbb{C})$ -bundle  $\mathcal{B}_{k,l} \rightarrow \text{Gr}_{k,l}$  as the centralizer of the tautological subbundle  $\mathcal{A}_{k,l} \hookrightarrow \text{Gr}_{k,l} \times M_{kl}(\mathbb{C})$  (for more details see e.g. [10]). Clearly,  $f_\mu: \text{Fr}_{k,l} \rightarrow \text{Gr}_{k,l}$  is the map to the point  $\alpha \in \text{Gr}_{k,l}$ , while  $f_{\mu'}: \text{Fr}_{k,l} \rightarrow \text{Gr}_{k,l}$  is (the projection of) the principal  $\text{PU}(k)$ -bundle  $\text{PU}(k) \rightarrow \text{Fr}_{k,l} \rightarrow \text{Gr}_{k,l}$ . Clearly, both bundles  $A_k = f_\mu^*(\mathcal{A}_{k,l})$  and  $A'_k = f_{\mu'}^*(\mathcal{A}_{k,l})$  are trivial, as we have already asserted (note that  $A'_k = f_{\mu'}^*(\mathcal{A}_{k,l})$  is trivial because  $f_{\mu'}: \text{Fr}_{k,l} \rightarrow \text{Gr}_{k,l}$  is the frame bundle for  $\mathcal{A}_{k,l} \rightarrow \text{Gr}_{k,l}$ ). The bundle  $f_\mu^*(\mathcal{B}_{k,l})$  is also trivial, while  $f_{\mu'}^*(\mathcal{B}_{k,l})$  is nontrivial (because it is associated with the principal bundle  $\text{PU}(l) \rightarrow \text{PU}(kl) \rightarrow \text{Fr}_{k,l}$ ). This shows that for chosen  $\nu: \text{Fr}_{k,l} \rightarrow \mathfrak{G}_{k,l}$   $\vartheta$  can not be extended to an automorphism of  $\text{Fr}_{k,l} \times M_{kl}(\mathbb{C})$  (because

such an automorphism induces an isomorphism not only between the subbundles  $A_k, A'_k$ , but also between their centralizers).

In particular, we see that the analog of Noether-Skolem's theorem is not true for matrix algebras  $\Gamma(X, X \times M_{kl}(\mathbb{C})) = M_{kl}(C(X))$  over  $C(X)$ .

**3.2. An action on fibers of a forgetful functor.** Consider the forgetful functor given by the assignment  $(A_k, \mu, X \times M_{kl}(\mathbb{C})) \mapsto A_k$  corresponding to the map of representing spaces  $\text{Gr}_{k,l} \rightarrow \text{BPU}(k)$  (whose homotopy fiber is  $\text{Fr}_{k,l}$ ). We claim that our previous construction can be regarded as an action of the groupoid on its fibres.

First, let us recall some of the previous results. Applying fiberwisely the functor  $\text{Hom}_{\text{alg}}(\dots, M_{kl}(\mathbb{C}))$  to the universal  $M_k(\mathbb{C})$ -bundle  $A_k^{\text{univ}} \rightarrow \text{BPU}(k)$  we obtain the fibration

$$(28) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \text{Fr}_{k,l} & \longrightarrow & \text{H}_{k,l}(A_k^{\text{univ}}) \\ & & \downarrow p_{k,l} \\ & & \text{BPU}(k) \end{array}$$

with fiber  $\text{Fr}_{k,l} := \text{Hom}_{\text{alg}}(M_k(\mathbb{C}), M_{kl}(\mathbb{C}))$ . We have the map  $\tau_{k,l}: \text{H}_{k,l}(A_k^{\text{univ}}) \rightarrow \text{Gr}_{k,l}$ ,  $h \mapsto h((A_k^{\text{univ}})_x) \subset M_{kl}(\mathbb{C})$ , where  $x \in \text{BPU}(k)$  and  $h \in p_{k,l}^{-1}(x)$ , which is a fibration with contractible fibres, i.e. a homotopy equivalence.

Moreover, there is the free and proper action

$$\varphi: \mathfrak{G}_{k,l} \times_s \times_{\text{Gr}_{k,l}^\tau} \text{H}_{k,l}(A_k^{\text{univ}}) \rightarrow \text{H}_{k,l}(A_k^{\text{univ}})$$

which turns the fibration (28) into the universal principal groupoid  $\mathfrak{G}_{k,l}$ -bundle.

We have also shown that for a map  $\bar{f}: X \rightarrow \text{BPU}(k)$  the choice of its lift  $\tilde{f}: X \rightarrow \text{H}_{k,l}(A_k^{\text{univ}})$  (if it exists) is equivalent to the choice of an embedding  $\mu: \bar{f}^*(A_k^{\text{univ}}) \rightarrow X \times M_{kl}(\mathbb{C})$ . Such a lift we denoted by  $\tilde{f}_\mu$ .

Given  $\nu: X \rightarrow \mathfrak{G}_{k,l}$  such that  $s \circ \nu = \tau_{k,l} \circ \tilde{f}_\mu = f_\mu$ ,  $t \circ \nu = f_{\mu'}: X \rightarrow \text{Gr}_{k,l}$  we define the composite map  $\tilde{f}_{\mu'}$ :

$$X \xrightarrow{\text{diag}} X \times X \xrightarrow{\nu \times \tilde{f}_\mu} \mathfrak{G}_{k,l} \times_s \times_{\text{Gr}_{k,l}^\tau} \text{H}_{k,l}(A_k^{\text{univ}}) \xrightarrow{\varphi} \text{H}_{k,l}(A_k^{\text{univ}})$$

which is (in general) another lift of  $\bar{f}$  ( $p_{k,l} \circ \tilde{f}_{\mu'} = \bar{f} = p_{k,l} \circ \tilde{f}_\mu$ ), i.e. it corresponds to another (homotopy nonequivalent in general) embedding

$$\mu': \bar{f}^*(A_k^{\text{univ}}) \rightarrow X \times M_{kl}(\mathbb{C}), \quad \text{i.e. } f_{\mu'} = \tau_{k,l} \circ \tilde{f}_{\mu'}: X \rightarrow \text{Gr}_{k,l}.$$

Clearly, this action is transitive on homotopy classes of such embeddings.

*Remark 24.* It seems natural to consider the action  $\varphi$  modulo the action

$$\widehat{\varphi}: \widehat{\mathfrak{G}}_{k,l} \times_s \times_{\text{Gr}_{k,l}^\tau} \text{H}_{k,l}(A_k^{\text{univ}}) \rightarrow \text{H}_{k,l}(A_k^{\text{univ}})$$

of the groupoid  $\widehat{\mathfrak{G}}_{k,l}$  and the corresponding equivalence relation on lifts  $\tilde{f}$  (cf. Remark 23).

**3.3. A remark about groupoid cocycles.** In this subsection we sketch an approach to groupoid bundles via local trivializing data and 1-cocycles. The reader can find the general results in [8], but we hope that our groupoids provide an instructive illustration of the general theory.

In Subsection 2.3 we have already seen that a trivial  $\mathfrak{G}_{k,l}$ -bundle  $H_{k,l}(A_k) \rightarrow X$  is the pullback of the unit bundle  $H_{k,l}(\mathcal{A}_{k,l}) \rightarrow \text{Gr}_{k,l}$  via some map  $f: X \rightarrow \text{Gr}_{k,l}$ . Moreover, such a map  $f$  is nothing but a trivialization of  $H_{k,l}(A_k) \rightarrow X$ . Such a trivialization can also be thought of as a triple  $(A_k, \mu, X \times M_{kl}(\mathbb{C}))$  (see Subsection 3.1), where  $\mu: A_k \rightarrow X \times M_{kl}(\mathbb{C})$  is a fiberwise embedding as above, because  $f = f_\mu$  is its classifying map.

For a topological group  $G$  the group of automorphisms of a trivial  $G$ -bundle over  $X$  can be identified with the group of continuous maps  $X \rightarrow G$  which take one trivialization to another. The analogous maps  $\nu: X \rightarrow \mathfrak{G}_{k,l}$  to the groupoid  $\mathfrak{G}_{k,l}$  were called partial isomorphisms in Subsection 3.1. Recall that such  $\nu$  defines two compositions  $s \circ \nu$  and  $t \circ \nu: X \rightarrow \text{Gr}_{k,l}$  which give rise to some triples as above and therefore to some trivializations.

Let  $X$  be a compact manifold,  $\mathcal{U} := \{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$  its open covering. A  $\mathfrak{G}_{k,l}$  1-cocycle can be defined as a groupoid homomorphism (more precisely, as a functor) from the Čech groupoid to  $\mathfrak{G}_{k,l}$ . So we get the following unfolded form of this definition.

**Definition 25.** A groupoid  $\mathfrak{G}_{k,l}$  1-cocycle  $\{g_{\alpha\beta}\}_{\alpha,\beta \in A}$  is a collection of continuous maps  $g_{\alpha\beta}: U_\alpha \cap U_\beta \rightarrow \mathfrak{G}_{k,l}$  such that

- 1)  $g_{\alpha\beta}$  and  $g_{\beta\gamma}$  are composable on  $U_\alpha \cap U_\beta \cap U_\gamma$ , i.e.  $\forall x \in U_\alpha \cap U_\beta \cap U_\gamma$   $t(g_{\alpha\beta}(x)) = s(g_{\beta\gamma}(x))$ , where  $s$  and  $t$  are the source and target maps for  $\mathfrak{G}_{k,l}$ ;
- 2)  $g_{\alpha\beta}g_{\beta\gamma} = g_{\alpha\gamma}$  on  $U_\alpha \cap U_\beta \cap U_\gamma$  (in particular,  $g_{\alpha\alpha} \in e$ ,  $g_{\beta\alpha} = i(g_{\alpha\beta})$ , where  $e$  and  $i$  are the identity and the inversion for the groupoid  $\mathfrak{G}_{k,l}$ , see Subsection 2.1).

In the same way one can define a groupoid  $\widehat{\mathfrak{G}}_{k,l}$  1-cocycle  $\{\widehat{g}_{\alpha\beta}\}_{\alpha,\beta \in A}$ .

*Remark 26.* Note that a trivial bundle over  $X$  of our kind with a trivialization  $(A_k, \mu, X \times M_{kl}(\mathbb{C}))$  corresponds to the trivial  $\mathfrak{G}_{k,l}$  1-cocycle. Indeed, two maps  $f_\mu, f_{\mu'} = f_\mu: X \rightarrow \text{Gr}_{k,l}$  give rise to the *identity* partial isomorphism (see Subsection 3.1)  $\nu: X \rightarrow \mathfrak{G}_{k,l}$ ,  $\nu|_x = \text{id}_{\mu((A_k)_x)}$  which is the trivial groupoid  $\mathfrak{G}_{k,l}$  1-cocycle as claimed.

Now the gluing of a groupoid bundle using local data can be described as follows. So we start with an open covering  $\mathcal{U} = \{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$  and trivial groupoid bundles  $(A_{k,\alpha}, \mu_\alpha, U_\alpha \times M_{kl}(\mathbb{C}))$  over  $U_\alpha$ ,  $\alpha \in A$ . Suppose we are given a groupoid  $\mathfrak{G}_{k,l}$  1-cocycle  $\{g_{\alpha\beta}\}_{\alpha,\beta \in A}$  (over the same open covering  $\mathcal{U}$ ) such that  $s(g_{\alpha\beta}) \equiv f_{\mu_\alpha}|_{U_\alpha \cap U_\beta}$  and  $t(g_{\alpha\beta}) \equiv f_{\mu_\beta}|_{U_\alpha \cap U_\beta} \forall \alpha, \beta \in A$ . (In our previous notation it is natural to denote it by  $\{\nu_{\alpha\beta}\}$ ). The groupoid  $\mathfrak{G}_{k,l}$  1-cocycle  $\{g_{\alpha\beta}\}_{\alpha,\beta \in A}$  defines partial isomorphisms (see Subsection 3.1) from  $(A_{k,\alpha}, \mu_\alpha, U_\alpha \times M_{kl}(\mathbb{C}))|_{U_\alpha \cap U_\beta}$  to  $(A_{k,\beta}, \mu_\beta, U_\beta \times M_{kl}(\mathbb{C}))|_{U_\alpha \cap U_\beta}$  for all  $\alpha, \beta \in A$  which agree on triple intersections.

We can summarize the previous results as follows. Put

$$Y := \coprod_{\alpha} U_\alpha, \quad Y^{[2]} := Y \times_X Y = \coprod_{\alpha,\beta} U_\alpha \cap U_\beta.$$

For every pair  $\alpha, \beta \in A$  a 1-cocycle  $\{g_{\alpha\beta}\}_{\alpha, \beta \in A}$  defines maps

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & \mathfrak{G}_{k,l} & & \\
 & s \swarrow & \uparrow & \searrow t & \\
 \text{Gr}_{k,l} & & g_{\alpha\beta} = \nu_{\alpha\beta} & & \text{Gr}_{k,l} \\
 \uparrow f_\alpha & & \uparrow & & \uparrow f_\beta \\
 & & U_\alpha \cap U_\beta & & \\
 & i_\alpha \swarrow & & \searrow i_\beta & \\
 U_\alpha & & & & U_\beta
 \end{array}$$

satisfying the cocycle conditions on triple intersections. The idea is to regard the map  $f_\alpha: U_\alpha \rightarrow \text{Gr}_{k,l}$  (corresponding to the ‘‘identity’’  $g_{\alpha\alpha}$ ) as a *local trivialization* and  $\nu_{\alpha\beta}: U_\alpha \cap U_\beta \rightarrow \mathfrak{G}_{k,l}$  for  $\alpha \neq \beta$  as a *gluing* of different trivializations over the double intersection  $U_\alpha \cap U_\beta$ . Thus, we have maps

$$f: Y \rightarrow \text{Gr}_{k,l}, \quad g: Y \times_X Y \rightarrow \mathfrak{G}_{k,l}$$

such that  $s \circ g = f \circ \pi_1|_{Y \times_X Y}$ ,  $t \circ g = f \circ \pi_2|_{Y \times_X Y}$ , where  $\pi_i: Y \times_X Y \rightarrow Y$  are the projections onto  $i$ th factor.

There is a natural equivalence relation on the set of groupoid 1-cocycles generalizing the equivalence relation on group 1-cocycles. As in the case of usual bundles constructed by means of group  $G$  1-cocycles, we have:

- 1) equivalence of 1-cocycles over the same open covering  $\mathcal{U}$ ;
- 2) equivalence of 1-cocycles related to the refinement of the open covering.

The case 1) concerns to the different choices of trivializations over open subsets  $U_\alpha$ . We have already noticed that such a trivialization is actually a map  $f_{\mu_\alpha}: U_\alpha \rightarrow \text{Gr}_{k,l}$  and two such trivializations  $f_{\mu_\alpha}, f_{\mu'_\alpha}$  are related by the map  $\nu_\alpha: U_\alpha \rightarrow \mathfrak{G}_{k,l}$  (such that  $s \circ \nu_\alpha = f_{\mu_\alpha}$ ,  $t \circ \nu_\alpha = f_{\mu'_\alpha}$ ).

*Remark 27.* Note that using groupoid  $\mathfrak{G}_{k,l}$  1-cocycle one can glue some global  $M_k(\mathbb{C})$ -bundle  $A_k \rightarrow X$  such that  $A_k|_{U_\alpha} = A_{k,\alpha}$ . It agrees with the proved above homotopy equivalence  $B \mathfrak{G}_{k,l} \simeq \text{BPU}(k)$ . In other words, the groupoid bundle glued by the 1-cocycle is  $H_{k,l}(A_k) \rightarrow X$ . Note that local embeddings  $\mu_\alpha$ ,  $\alpha \in A$  do not give rise to some global object (like local trivializations in the case of ‘‘usual’’ bundles).

The case of the groupoid  $\widehat{\mathfrak{G}}_{k,l}$  can be described in the similar way. In this case a map  $f: X \rightarrow \text{Gr}_{k,l}$  (a ‘‘trivialization’’) can be regarded as the product bundle  $X \times M_{kl}(\mathbb{C})$  together with a chosen decomposition into the tensor product  $A_k \otimes B_l$  of its  $M_k(\mathbb{C})$  and  $M_l(\mathbb{C})$ -subbundles  $A_k \rightarrow X$  and  $B_l \rightarrow X$  respectively.

Note that using a  $\widehat{\mathfrak{G}}_{k,l}$ -cocycle  $\{\widehat{g}_{\alpha\beta}\}_{\alpha, \beta \in A}$  as above one can glue some  $M_k(\mathbb{C})$  and  $M_l(\mathbb{C})$ -bundles  $A_k, B_l$  over  $X$ . It relates to the existence of a homotopy equivalence  $B \widehat{\mathfrak{G}}_{k,l} \simeq \text{BPU}(k) \times \text{BPU}(l)$  (cf. Remarks 13 and 15).

The relation between  $\widehat{\mathfrak{G}}_{k,l}$  and  $\mathfrak{G}_{k,l}$ -groupoid bundles follows from the exact sequence (24).

4. ON THE  $K$ -THEORY AUTOMORPHISMS

**4.1. The case of line bundles.** First, consider the case of line bundles. The classifying space of  $K$ -theory can be taken to be  $\text{Fred}(\mathcal{H})$ , the space of Fredholm operators on Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ . It is known [2] that for a compact space  $X$  the action of the Picard group  $\text{Pic}(X)$  on  $K(X)$  is induced by the conjugation action

$$\gamma: \text{PU}(\mathcal{H}) \times \text{Fred}(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow \text{Fred}(\mathcal{H}), \quad \gamma(g, T) = gTg^{-1}$$

of  $\text{PU}(\mathcal{H})$  on  $\text{Fred}(\mathcal{H})$ . The more precise statement is given in the following theorem (recall that  $\text{PU}(\mathcal{H}) \simeq \mathbb{C}P^\infty \simeq K(\mathbb{Z}, 2)$ ).

**Theorem 28.** *If  $f_\xi: X \rightarrow \text{Fred}(\mathcal{H})$  and  $\varphi_\zeta: X \rightarrow \text{PU}(\mathcal{H})$  represent  $\xi \in K(X)$  and  $\zeta \in \text{Pic}(X)$  respectively, then the composite map*

$$(29) \quad X \xrightarrow{\text{diag}} X \times X \xrightarrow{\varphi_\zeta \times f_\xi} \text{PU}(\mathcal{H}) \times \text{Fred}(\mathcal{H}) \xrightarrow{\gamma} \text{Fred}(\mathcal{H})$$

represents  $\zeta \otimes \xi \in K(X)$ .

*Proof* see [2].  $\square$

If we want to restrict ourself to the action of line bundles corresponding to elements of finite order in the group  $\text{Pic}(X)$  we have to consider the subgroups  $\text{PU}(k) \subset \text{PU}(\mathcal{H})$ . Let us describe this inclusion.

Let  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  be the algebra of bounded operators on the separable Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ ,  $M_k(\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})) := M_k(\mathbb{C}) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  the matrix algebra over  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  (of course, it is isomorphic to  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ ). Let  $U_k(\mathcal{H}) \subset M_k(\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}))$  be the corresponding unitary group (isomorphic to  $U(\mathcal{H})$ ). It acts on  $M_k(\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}))$  by conjugations (which are  $*$ -algebra automorphisms). Moreover, the kernel of the action is the center, i.e. the subgroup of scalar matrices  $U(1)$ . The corresponding quotient group we denote by  $\text{PU}_k(\mathcal{H})$  (it is isomorphic to  $\text{PU}(\mathcal{H})$ ).

$M_k(\mathbb{C}) \otimes \text{Id}_{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})}$  is a  $k$ -subalgebra (i.e. a unital  $*$ -subalgebra isomorphic to  $M_k(\mathbb{C})$ ) in  $M_k(\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}))$ . Then  $\text{PU}(k) \subset \text{PU}_k(\mathcal{H})$  is the subgroup of automorphisms of the mentioned  $k$ -subalgebra. Thus we have defined the injective group homomorphism

$$\Psi_k: \text{PU}(k) \hookrightarrow \text{PU}_k(\mathcal{H}),$$

induced by the injective homomorphism  $U(k) \hookrightarrow U_k(\mathcal{H})$ ,  $g \mapsto g \otimes \text{Id}_{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})}$ .

**Proposition 29.** *For a line bundle  $\zeta \rightarrow X$  satisfying the condition*

$$(30) \quad \zeta^{\oplus k} \cong X \times \mathbb{C}^k$$

the classifying map  $\varphi_\zeta: X \rightarrow \text{PU}_k(\mathcal{H}) \cong \text{PU}(\mathcal{H})$  can be lifted to a map  $\tilde{\varphi}_\zeta: X \rightarrow \text{PU}(k)$  such that  $\Psi_k \circ \tilde{\varphi}_\zeta \simeq \varphi_\zeta$ .

*Proof.* Consider the exact sequence of groups

$$(31) \quad 1 \rightarrow U(1) \rightarrow U(k) \xrightarrow{\lambda_k} \text{PU}(k) \rightarrow 1$$

and the fibration

$$(32) \quad \text{PU}(k) \xrightarrow{\psi_k} \text{BU}(1) \xrightarrow{\omega_k} \text{BU}(k)$$



obtained by its extension to the right. In particular,  $\psi_k: \text{PU}(k) \rightarrow \text{BU}(1) \simeq \mathbb{C}P^\infty$  is a classifying map for the  $\text{U}(1)$ -bundle (31). Clearly,  $\Psi_k \simeq \psi_k$  under the homotopy equivalence  $\text{PU}(\mathcal{H}) \simeq \text{BU}(1)$ . (Indeed, it follows from the map of  $\text{U}(1)$ -bundles

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{U}(k) & \longrightarrow & \text{U}_k(\mathcal{H}) \simeq \text{EU}(1) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{PU}(k) & \longrightarrow & \text{PU}_k(\mathcal{H}) \simeq \text{BU}(1). \end{array}$$

It is easy to see from fibration (32) that for an arbitrary line bundle  $\zeta \rightarrow X$  satisfying the condition (30) the classifying map  $\varphi_\zeta: X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^\infty$  can be lifted to  $\tilde{\varphi}_\zeta: X \rightarrow \text{PU}(k)$  (because for such a bundle we have  $\omega_k \circ \varphi_\zeta \simeq *$  and  $\omega_k$  is induced by  $\zeta \mapsto \zeta^{\oplus k}$ ).  $\square$

The choice of a lift  $\tilde{\varphi}_\zeta$  corresponds to the choice of a trivialization (30): two lifts differ by a map  $X \rightarrow \text{U}(k)$ . Therefore the subgroup in  $\text{Pic}(X)$  formed by line bundles satisfying condition (30) is isomorphic to  $\text{im}\{\psi_{k*}: [X, \text{PU}(k)] \rightarrow [X, \mathbb{C}P^\infty]\} = [X, \text{PU}(k)]/(\chi_{k*}[X, \text{U}(k)])$  (cf. the definition of the “finite” Brauer group).

**4.2. The general case.** In [1] M. Atiyah and G. Segal wrote: “The group  $\text{Fred}_1$  is a product

$$\text{Fred}_1 \simeq \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^\infty \times \text{SFred}_1,$$

where  $\text{SFred}_1$  is the fibre of the determinant map

$$\text{Fred}_1 \cong \text{BU} \rightarrow \text{BT} \cong \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^\infty,$$

and the twistings of this paper are those coming from  $(\pm 1) \times \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^\infty$ . We do not know any equally geometrical approach to the more general ones.”

In what follows we are going to describe the action of the group of (isomorphism classes of)  $\text{SU}$ -bundles of finite order on  $K(X)$ . It corresponds to the torsion subgroup in  $\text{SFred}_1$  (our notation differs from the one in [1]). We hope that this construction would provide a geometric approach to more general twistings in  $K$ -theory.

As we have seen in the previous subsection, the group  $\text{PU}(\mathcal{H})$  from one hand acts on the representing space  $\text{Fred}(\mathcal{H})$  of the  $K$ -theory and from the other hand it is the base space of the universal line bundle. These two facts lead to the result that the action of  $\text{PU}(\mathcal{H})$  on  $K(X)$  corresponds to the tensor product by elements of the Picard group  $\text{Pic}(X)$  (i.e. by line bundles). This action can be restricted to the subgroups  $\text{PU}(k) \subset \text{PU}(\mathcal{H})$  which classify the elements of finite order  $k$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

In what follows the role of subgroups  $\text{PU}(k)$  will play the spaces  $\text{Fr}_{k,l}$ . From one hand, they in some sense “act” on the  $K$ -theory (more precisely, we have the action of their direct limit which is an  $H$ -space with respect to the natural operation), from the other hand they are bases of some  $l$ -dimensional bundles whose classes have order  $k$ . We shall show that the “action” of  $\text{Fr}_{k,l}$  on  $K(X)$  corresponds to the tensor product by those  $l$ -dimensional bundles (see Theorem 33).

**Proposition 30.** *A map  $X \rightarrow \text{Fr}_{k,l}$  is the same thing as an embedding*

$$(33) \quad \mu: X \times M_k(\mathbb{C}) \hookrightarrow X \times M_{kl}(\mathbb{C})$$

whose restriction to every fiber is a unital  $*$ -algebra homomorphism.

*Proof* follows directly from the bijection  $\text{Mor}(X \times M_k(\mathbb{C}), M_{kl}(\mathbb{C})) \rightarrow \text{Mor}(X, \text{Mor}(M_k(\mathbb{C}), M_{kl}(\mathbb{C})))$ .  $\square$

For the  $\text{PU}(k)$ -bundle projection  $\pi_{k,l}: \text{Fr}_{k,l} \rightarrow \text{Gr}_{k,l}$  ( $\pi_{k,l} = f_{\mu}$  in the notation of Subsection 3.1) the pull-back  $\pi_{k,l}^*(\mathcal{A}_{k,l})$  has the canonical trivialization (because  $\pi_{k,l}$  is the frame bundle for  $\mathcal{A}_{k,l}$ ). In general  $\mu$  in (33) is a nontrivial embedding, i.e. not equivalent to the choice of a constant  $k$ -subalgebra in  $X \times M_{kl}(\mathbb{C})$  (equivalently, the homotopy class of  $X \rightarrow \text{Fr}_{k,l}$  is nontrivial). In particular, the subbundle of centralizers  $B_l$  for  $\mu(X \times M_k(\mathbb{C})) \subset X \times M_{kl}(\mathbb{C})$  can be nontrivial as an  $M_l(\mathbb{C})$ -bundle.

The fibration

$$(34) \quad \text{PU}(l) \xrightarrow{E_k \otimes \dots} \text{PU}(kl) \xrightarrow{\chi'_k} \text{Fr}_{k,l}$$

(cf. (3)) can be extended to the right

$$(35) \quad \text{Fr}_{k,l} \xrightarrow{\psi'_k} \text{BPU}(l) \xrightarrow{\omega'_k} \text{BPU}(kl),$$

where  $\psi'_k$  is the classifying map for the  $M_l(\mathbb{C})$ -bundle  $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{k,l} := \pi_{k,l}^*(\mathcal{B}_{k,l}) \rightarrow \text{Fr}_{k,l}$  (see the end of Subsection 3.1) which is associated with principal  $\text{PU}(l)$ -bundle (34).

**Proposition 31.** (Cf. Proposition 29) For  $M_l(\mathbb{C})$ -bundle  $B_l \rightarrow X$  such that

$$(36) \quad [M_k] \otimes B_l \cong X \times M_{kl}(\mathbb{C})$$

(cf. (30)), where  $[M_k]$  is the trivial  $M_k(\mathbb{C})$ -bundle over  $X$ , a classifying map  $\varphi_{B_l}: X \rightarrow \text{BPU}(l)$  can be lifted to a map  $\tilde{\varphi}_{B_l}: X \rightarrow \text{Fr}_{k,l}$  (i.e.  $\psi'_k \circ \tilde{\varphi}_{B_l} = \varphi_{B_l}$  or  $B_l = \tilde{\varphi}_{B_l}^*(\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{k,l})$ ).

*Proof* follows from fibration (35).  $\square$

Moreover, the choice of such a lift corresponds to the choice of trivialization (36) and we return to the interpretation of a map  $X \rightarrow \text{Fr}_{k,l}$  given in Proposition 30. We stress that a map  $X \rightarrow \text{Fr}_{k,l}$  is not just an  $M_l(\mathbb{C})$ -bundle but an  $M_l(\mathbb{C})$ -bundle together with a particular choice of trivialization (36).

It follows from our previous results that the bundle  $B_l \rightarrow X$  has the form  $\text{End}(\eta_l)$  for some (unique up to isomorphism)  $\mathbb{C}^l$ -bundle  $\eta_l \rightarrow X$  with the structure group  $\text{SU}(l)$  (that's because we assumed that  $(k, l) = 1$ ). Let  $\tilde{\zeta} \rightarrow \text{Fr}_{k,l}$  be the line bundle associated with universal covering (12) and  $\zeta' \rightarrow X$  its pullback via  $\tilde{\varphi}_{B_l}$ . Put  $\eta'_l = \eta_l \otimes \zeta'$ .

Let  $\text{Fred}_n(\mathcal{H})$  be the space of Fredholm operators in  $M_n(\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}))$ . Clearly,  $\text{Fred}_n(\mathcal{H}) \cong \text{Fred}(\mathcal{H})$ . The canonical evaluation map

$$(37) \quad \text{Fr}_{k,l} \times M_k(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow M_{kl}(\mathbb{C}), \quad (h, T) \mapsto h(T)$$

(recall that  $\text{Fr}_{k,l} = \text{Hom}_{\text{alg}}(M_k(\mathbb{C}), M_{kl}(\mathbb{C}))$ ) induces the map

$$(38) \quad \gamma'_{k,l}: \text{Fr}_{k,l} \times \text{Fred}_k(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow \text{Fred}_{kl}(\mathcal{H}).$$

*Remark 32.* Note that map (37) can be decomposed into the composition

$$\mathrm{Fr}_{k,l} \times M_k(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Fr}_{k,l} \times_{\mathrm{PU}(k)} M_k(\mathbb{C}) = \mathcal{A}_{k,l} \rightarrow M_{kl}(\mathbb{C}),$$

where the last map is the tautological embedding  $\mu: \mathcal{A}_{k,l} \rightarrow \mathrm{Gr}_{k,l} \times M_{kl}(\mathbb{C})$  followed by the projection onto the second factor.

Now suppose  $f_\xi: X \rightarrow \mathrm{Fred}_k(\mathcal{H})$  represents some element  $\xi \in K(X)$ .

**Theorem 33.** (Cf. Theorem 28). *In the above notation the composite map (cf. (29))*

$$X \xrightarrow{\mathrm{diag}} X \times X \xrightarrow{\tilde{\varphi}_{B_l} \times f_\xi} \mathrm{Fr}_{k,l} \times \mathrm{Fred}_k(\mathcal{H}) \xrightarrow{\gamma'_{k,l}} \mathrm{Fred}_{kl}(\mathcal{H})$$

represents  $\eta'_l \otimes \xi \in K(X)$ .

*Proof* (cf. [2], Proposition 2.1). By assumption the element  $\xi \in K(X)$  is represented by a family  $F = \{F_x\}$  of Fredholm operators in a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}^k$ . Then  $\eta'_l \otimes \xi \in K(X)$  is represented by the family  $\{\mathrm{Id}_{(B_l)_x} \otimes F_x\}$  of Fredholm operators in the Hilbert bundle  $\eta'_l \otimes (\mathcal{H}^k)$  (recall that  $\mathrm{End}(\eta_l) = B_l \Rightarrow \mathrm{End}(\eta'_l) = B_l$ ). A trivialization  $\eta'_l \otimes (\mathcal{H}^k) \cong \mathcal{H}^{kl}$  is the same thing as a lift  $\tilde{\varphi}_{B_l}: X \rightarrow \mathrm{Fr}_{k,l}$  of the classifying map  $\varphi_{B_l}: X \rightarrow \mathrm{BPU}(l)$  for  $B_l$  (see (35)).  $\square$

*Remark 34.* In order to separate the ‘‘SU’’-part of the ‘‘action’’  $\gamma'_{k,l}$  from its ‘‘line’’ part, one can consider the analogous ‘‘action’’ of the space  $\tilde{\mathrm{Fr}}_{k,l}$  in place of  $\mathrm{Fr}_{k,l}$ . Then one would have the representing map for  $\eta_l \otimes \xi \in K(X)$  in the statement of Theorem 33.

The commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Fr}_{k,l} \times \mathrm{Fr}_{k,l} \times M_{k^2}(\mathbb{C}) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Fr}_{k^2,l^2} \times M_{k^2}(\mathbb{C}) & (h_2, h_1; x_1 \otimes x_2) & \longrightarrow & (h_2 \otimes h_1; x_1 \otimes x_2) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathrm{Fr}_{k,l} \times M_{k^2l}(\mathbb{C}) & \longrightarrow & M_{k^2l^2}(\mathbb{C}) & (h_2; h_1(x_1) \otimes x_2) & \longrightarrow & (h_1(x_1) \otimes h_2(x_2)) \end{array}$$

(where  $h_i \in \mathrm{Fr}_{k,l} = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{alg}}(M_k(\mathbb{C}), M_{kl}(\mathbb{C}))$ ,  $x_i \in M_k(\mathbb{C})$ ,  $M_{k^2}(\mathbb{C}) = M_k(\mathbb{C}) \otimes M_k(\mathbb{C})$ ) gives rise to the ‘‘associativity’’ condition for the ‘‘action’’  $\gamma'_{k,l}$ . Note that the map  $\mathrm{Fr}_{k,l} \times \mathrm{Fr}_{k,l} \rightarrow \mathrm{Fr}_{k^2,l^2}$  corresponds to the tensor product of corresponding  $M_l(\mathbb{C})$ -bundles. In fact, the maps  $\mathrm{Fr}_{k^m,l^m} \times \mathrm{Fr}_{k^n,l^n} \rightarrow \mathrm{Fr}_{k^{m+n},l^{m+n}}$  (induced by the tensor product of matrix algebras) define the structure of an  $H$ -space on  $\mathrm{Fr}_{k^\infty} := \varinjlim_n \mathrm{Fr}_{k^n,l^n}$ . Moreover,  $\mathrm{Fr}_{k^\infty}$  is an infinite loop space, because it is the fiber of the localization  $\mathrm{BU}_\otimes \rightarrow \mathrm{BU}_\otimes[\frac{1}{k}]$  (and  $\mathrm{BU}_\otimes$  is an infinite loop space according [9]).

Note that the ‘‘action’’ (38) is not invertible because we take the tensor product of  $K(X)$  by some  $l$ -dimensional bundle. Therefore it makes sense to consider the localization

$$\mathrm{Fred}(\mathcal{H})_{(l)} := \varinjlim_n \mathrm{Fred}_{l^n}(\mathcal{H}),$$

where the direct limit is taken over the maps induced by the tensor product, so  $l$  becomes invertible and the index takes values in  $\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{l}]$ . (In fact, our construction is independent of the choice of  $l$ ,  $(k, l) = 1$ , so we can consider a pair of such numbers in order to avoid the localization.)

The idea is to associate a  $\mathrm{Fred}(\mathcal{H})_{(l)}$ -bundle with the universal  $\mathrm{Fr}_{k^\infty,l^\infty}$ -bundle  $\mathrm{Fr}_{k^\infty,l^\infty} \rightarrow \mathrm{E}\mathrm{Fr}_{k^\infty,l^\infty} \rightarrow \mathrm{B}\mathrm{Fr}_{k^\infty,l^\infty}$  using the action  $\varinjlim_n \gamma'_{k^n,l^n}: \mathrm{Fr}_{k^\infty,l^\infty} \times \mathrm{Fred}(\mathcal{H})_{(l)} \rightarrow \mathrm{Fred}(\mathcal{H})_{(l)}$ . This

$\text{Fred}(\mathcal{H})_{(l)}$ -bundle in our version of the twisted  $K$ -theory should play the same role as the  $\text{Fred}(\mathcal{H})$ -bundle associated (by the action  $\gamma$ ) with the universal  $\text{PU}(\mathcal{H})$ -bundle in the “usual” version of the twisted  $K$ -theory.

**4.3. Some speculations.** We have already noticed (see Remark 13) that  $\mathfrak{G}_{k,l}$  is not an action groupoid related to an action of some Lie group on  $\text{Gr}_{k,l}$ . But in the direct limit it is an “action groupoid”. More precisely, consider  $\mathfrak{G} := \varinjlim_{(k,l)=1} \mathfrak{G}_{k,l}$  (the maps are induced by the tensor product).

Since  $\text{Fr} := \varinjlim_{(k,l)=1} \text{Fr}_{k,l}$  is an  $H$ -space (even an infinite loop space [9]), we see that  $\mathfrak{G}$  corresponds to the action of  $\text{Fr}$  on  $\text{Gr}$  (see Subsection 2.4). Moreover, in this situation the map (26) can be extended to the fibration

$$(39) \quad \text{Gr} \rightarrow \varinjlim_k \text{BPU}(k) \rightarrow \text{BFr} \quad \text{i.e.} \quad \text{BSU}_{\otimes} \rightarrow \text{K}(\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}, 2) \times \prod_{n \geq 2} \text{K}(\mathbb{Q}, 2n) \rightarrow \text{BFr}$$

(cf. Subsection 2.4). Note that we can also define  $\tilde{\text{Fr}} := \varinjlim_{(k,l)=1} \tilde{\text{Fr}}_{k,l}$  (see (12)) and consider the corresponding fibration

$$(40) \quad \text{BSU}_{\otimes} \rightarrow \prod_{n \geq 2} \text{K}(\mathbb{Q}, 2n) \rightarrow \text{B}\tilde{\text{Fr}}.$$

In fact,  $\text{BFr} = \text{K}(\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}, 2) \times \text{B}\tilde{\text{Fr}}$ . We also have the “unitary” version

$$(41) \quad \text{BU}_{\otimes} \rightarrow \prod_{n \geq 1} \text{K}(\mathbb{Q}, 2n) \rightarrow \text{BFr},$$

where recall  $\text{BU}_{\otimes} \cong \mathbb{C}P^{\infty} \times \text{BSU}_{\otimes}$  and therefore it splits as follows:

$$\mathbb{C}P^{\infty} \times \text{BSU}_{\otimes} \rightarrow \text{K}(\mathbb{Q}, 2) \times \prod_{n \geq 2} \text{K}(\mathbb{Q}, 2n) \rightarrow \text{K}(\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}, 2) \times \text{B}\tilde{\text{Fr}}.$$

The part

$$\mathbb{C}P^{\infty} \rightarrow \text{K}(\mathbb{Q}, 2) \rightarrow \text{K}(\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}, 2)$$

corresponds to the “usual” finite Brauer group  $H_{tors}^3(X, \mathbb{Z})$  ( $= \text{coker}\{H^2(X, \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H^2(X, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})\} = \text{im } \delta: \{H^2(X, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^3(X, \mathbb{Z})\}$ , cf. Remark 7). Therefore using the fibration (40) one can define a “noncommutative” analog of the Brauer group of  $X$  as

$$\text{coker}\{[X, \prod_{n \geq 2} \text{K}(\mathbb{Q}, 2n)] \rightarrow [X, \text{B}\tilde{\text{Fr}}]\}.$$

In this connection note that  $\text{BSU}_{\otimes}$  represents the group of virtual  $\text{SU}$ -bundles of virtual dimension 1 with respect to the tensor product while  $\mathbb{C}P^{\infty}$  represents the Picard group, i.e. the group of line bundles with respect to the tensor product too. The Picard group acts on  $K(X)$  by group homomorphisms [2] and this leads to the “usual” twisted  $K$ -theory.

Comparing two previous subsections we see that the “action” of  $\text{Fr}_{k^{\infty}}$  on  $K(X)$  (strictly speaking, on the localization  $K(X)[\frac{1}{l}]$ ) is an analog of the action of  $\text{PU}(k^{\infty}) := \varinjlim_n \text{PU}(k^n)$  on  $K(X)$  which leads to the  $k$ -primary component of  $Br(X)$ . So the idea is to show that  $\gamma'$  (see the previous subsection) gives rise to the action of the  $H$ -space  $\text{Fr}$  on the  $K$ -theory spectrum and using this

action to associate the corresponding  $\text{Fred}(\mathcal{H})$ -bundle with the universal  $\text{Fr}$ -bundle over  $\text{BFr}$  (as in the case of the “usual” twisted  $K$ -theory one associates a  $\text{Fred}(\mathcal{H})$ -bundle with the universal  $\text{PU}(\mathcal{H})$ -bundle over  $\text{BPU}(\mathcal{H})$  using the action  $\gamma$  (see Subsection 4.1)).

#### REFERENCES

- [1] M. ATIYAH, G. SEGAL Twisted K-theory // arXiv:math/0407054v2 [math.KT]
- [2] M. ATIYAH, G. SEGAL Twisted K-theory and cohomology // arXiv:math/0510674v1 [math.KT]
- [3] R. BROWN: From Groups to Groupoids: A Brief Survey. *Bull. London Math. Soc.* 19, 113-134, 1987.
- [4] M. KAROUBI: K-theory. An Introduction. *Springer Verlag*, 1978.
- [5] R. MEYER: Morita Equivalence In Algebra And Geometry. <http://citeseer.ist.psu.edu/meyer97morita.html>
- [6] F.P. PETERSON: Some remarks on Chern classes, *Ann. Math.* 69 (1959) 414-420.
- [7] R.S. PIERCE: Associative Algebras. *Springer Verlag*, 1982.
- [8] C.A. ROSSI: Principal bundles with groupoid structure: local vs. global theory and nonabelian čech cohomology // arXiv:math/0404449v1 [math.DG]
- [9] G.B. SEGAL: Categories and cohomology theories. *Topology* 13 (1974).
- [10] A.V. ERSHOV: Theories of bundles with additional structures. *Fundamentalnaya i prikladnaya matematika*, vol. 13 (2007), no. 8, pp. 7798.
- [11] A.V. ERSHOV: Theories of bundles with additional homotopy conditions // arXiv:0804.1119v3 [math.KT]
- [12] A.V. ERSHOV: A generalization of the topological Brauer group // Journal of K-theory: K-theory and its Applications to Algebra, Geometry, and Topology , Volume 2, Special Issue 03, December 2008, pp 407-444
- [13] A.V. ERSHOV: A model of the twisted  $K$ -theory // arXiv:1005.3807v5 [math.KT]

*E-mail address:* `ershov.andrei@gmail.com`