

To appear in *Amer. Math. Monthly*.

## A CURIOUS RESULT RELATED TO KEMPNER'S SERIES

BAKIR FARHI

Département de Mathématiques, Université du Maine,  
Avenue Olivier Messiaen, 72085 Le Mans Cedex 9, France.  
bakir.farhi@gmail.com

**Abstract.**— It is well known since A. J. Kempner's work that the series of the reciprocals of the positive integers whose the decimal representation does not contain any digit 9, is convergent. This result was extended by F. Irwin and others to deal with the series of the reciprocals of the positive integers whose the decimal representation contains only a limited quantity of each digit of a given nonempty set of digits. Actually, such series are known to be all convergent. Here, letting  $S^{(r)}$  ( $r \in \mathbb{N}$ ) denote the series of the reciprocal of the positive integers whose the decimal representation contains the digit 9 exactly  $r$  times, the impressive obtained result is that  $S^{(r)}$  tends to  $10 \log 10$  as  $r$  tends to infinity!

**MSC:** 40A05.

**Keywords:** Kempner's series, harmonic series, series with deleted terms.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout this article, we let  $\mathbb{N}^*$  denote the set  $\mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$  of positive integers. We let  $E^{(r)}$  ( $r \in \mathbb{N}$ ) denote the set of all positive integers whose decimal representation contains the digit 9 exactly  $r$  times. We also let  $E_n^{(r)}$  ( $r, n \in \mathbb{N}$ ) denote the set  $E^{(r)} \cap [10^n, 10^{n+1}[$ . We clearly have for any  $r \in \mathbb{N}$ :

$$E^{(r)} = \bigsqcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} E_n^{(r)}.$$

For all  $r, n \in \mathbb{N}$ , write:

$$S_n^{(r)} := \sum_{k \in E_n^{(r)}} \frac{1}{k}$$

and for all  $r \in \mathbb{N}$ , write:

$$S^{(r)} := \sum_{k \in E^{(r)}} \frac{1}{k} = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} S_n^{(r)}.$$

In 1914, A. J. Kempner [3] showed that the series  $S^{(0)}$  converges. After him, several generalizations were obtained by several authors. Among others, F. Irwin [2] showed that the series derived from the harmonic series  $1 + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3} + \dots$  by including only those terms whose denominators contain a limited quantity of each digit of a given nonempty set of digits is convergent. It follows in particular that the series  $S^{(r)}$  converges for all natural numbers  $r$ . In this paper, the main result obtained is that the sequence  $(S^{(r)})_{r \geq 1}$  decreases and converges to  $10 \log 10$  (see Theorem 3). As a consequence, we deduce that we have  $S^{(r)} > 10 \log 10 \simeq 23.025$  ( $\forall r \geq 1$ ). So, according to the calculations of R. Baillie [1], we have the unexpected inequality  $S^{(0)} \simeq 22.920 < S^{(1)}$ . We must notice that the approximate numerical values of the  $S^{(r)}$ 's ( $r \geq 1$ ) are very difficult to calculate.

In the last section of the paper, we state a generalization of our main result by taking instead of the digit 9 any other digit  $d \in \{0, 1, \dots, 9\}$ .

## 2. THE RESULTS

Suppose  $r, n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ . If a positive integer  $k$  belongs to  $E_n^{(r)}$ , then writing

$$k = 10t + \ell$$

with  $t \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\ell \in \{0, 1, \dots, 9\}$ , we clearly have either

- $t \in E_{n-1}^{(r)}$  and  $\ell \in \{0, 1, \dots, 8\}$ , or
- $t \in E_{n-1}^{(r-1)}$  and  $\ell = 9$ .

It follows that

$$(1) \quad S_n^{(r)} = \sum_{\ell=0}^8 \sum_{t \in E_{n-1}^{(r)}} \frac{1}{10t + \ell} + \sum_{t \in E_{n-1}^{(r-1)}} \frac{1}{10t + 9}.$$

We will find it useful to approximate  $S_n^{(r)}$  with the simpler formula

$$(2) \quad T_n^{(r)} := \sum_{\ell=0}^8 \sum_{t \in E_{n-1}^{(r)}} \frac{1}{10t} + \sum_{t \in E_{n-1}^{(r-1)}} \frac{1}{10t} = \frac{9}{10} S_{n-1}^{(r)} + \frac{1}{10} S_{n-1}^{(r-1)}.$$

The error in this approximation is given by

$$(3) \quad C_{n,r} := T_n^{(r)} - S_n^{(r)}.$$

So, we have

$$(4) \quad S_n^{(r)} = \frac{9}{10} S_{n-1}^{(r)} + \frac{1}{10} S_{n-1}^{(r-1)} - C_{n,r}.$$

This identity will play an important role in what follows.

Our first proposition shows that the errors  $C_{n,r}$  are not very large.

**Proposition 1.** *The real numbers  $C_{n,r}$  ( $r, n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ ) are all nonnegative and we have*

$$\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} C_{n,r} < \infty.$$

**Proof.** From (1) and the first equality of (2), we have for all  $r, n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ :

$$(5) \quad C_{n,r} = \sum_{\ell=1}^8 \sum_{t \in E_{n-1}^{(r)}} \frac{\ell}{10t(10t + \ell)} + \sum_{t \in E_{n-1}^{(r-1)}} \frac{9}{10t(10t + 9)}.$$

This last identity shows that we have  $C_{n,r} \geq 0$  ( $\forall r, n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ ). Moreover, using (5), we have for all  $r, n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ :

$$C_{n,r} \leq \frac{9}{25} \sum_{t \in E_{n-1}^{(r)}} \frac{1}{t^2} + \frac{9}{100} \sum_{t \in E_{n-1}^{(r-1)}} \frac{1}{t^2}.$$

Since the sets  $E_n^{(r)}$  ( $r, n \in \mathbb{N}$ ) form a partition of  $\mathbb{N}^*$ , it follows that:

$$\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} C_{n,r} \leq \left( \frac{9}{25} + \frac{9}{100} \right) \sum_{t=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{t^2} = \frac{9}{20} \frac{\pi^2}{6} < \infty.$$

The proof is complete. ■

For the following, put for all  $r \in \mathbb{N}^*$ :

$$C_r := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} C_{n,r}.$$

According to (5), we clearly have  $C_{n,r} > 0$  for all  $n, r \in \mathbb{N}^*$  such that  $n \geq r$ . Consequently, we have  $C_r > 0$  for all  $r \in \mathbb{N}^*$ .

**Proposition 2.** *For all  $r \in \mathbb{N}$ , the series  $S^{(r)}$  converges. In addition, we have:*

$$(6) \quad S^{(1)} = S^{(0)} - 10C_1 + \frac{10}{9}$$

and

$$(7) \quad S^{(r)} = S^{(r-1)} - 10C_r \quad (\forall r \geq 2).$$

**Proof.** The fact that the series  $S^{(r)}$  ( $r \in \mathbb{N}$ ) are all convergent is already known (see, e.g., [2]). Let us prove the relations (6) and (7) of the proposition. Using the relation (4), we have for all  $r \in \mathbb{N}^*$ :

$$\begin{aligned} S^{(r)} &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} S_n^{(r)} + S_0^{(r)} \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{9}{10} S_{n-1}^{(r)} + \frac{1}{10} S_{n-1}^{(r-1)} - C_{n,r} \right) + S_0^{(r)} \\ &= \frac{9}{10} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} S_{n-1}^{(r)} + \frac{1}{10} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} S_{n-1}^{(r-1)} - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} C_{n,r} + S_0^{(r)} \\ &= \frac{9}{10} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} S_n^{(r)} + \frac{1}{10} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} S_n^{(r-1)} - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} C_{n,r} + S_0^{(r)} \\ &= \frac{9}{10} S^{(r)} + \frac{1}{10} S^{(r-1)} - C_r + S_0^{(r)}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence:

$$\begin{aligned} S^{(r)} &= S^{(r-1)} - 10C_r + 10S_0^{(r)} \\ &= \begin{cases} S^{(r-1)} - 10C_r + \frac{10}{9} & \text{if } r = 1, \\ S^{(r-1)} - 10C_r & \text{if } r \geq 2. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

This confirms the required formulas (6) and (7) of the proposition and finishes this proof.  $\blacksquare$

We now arrive at the most important and completely new result of this paper:

**Theorem 3.** *The sequence  $(S^{(r)})_{r \geq 1}$  decreases and converges to  $10 \log 10$ . In particular, we have:*

$$S^{(r)} > 10 \log 10 \quad (\forall r \geq 1).$$

**Proof.** Since  $C_r > 0$  ( $\forall r \geq 1$ ), the formula (7) of Proposition 2 shows that the sequence  $(S^{(r)})_{r \geq 1}$  decreases. Since this sequence is positive (so bounded from below by 0), it is necessarily convergent. It remains to calculate its limit as  $r$  tends to infinity. We have for all integer  $R \geq 2$ :

$$\begin{aligned} S^{(R)} &= \sum_{r=2}^R (S^{(r)} - S^{(r-1)}) + S^{(1)} \\ &= \sum_{r=2}^R (-10C_r) + S^{(0)} - 10C_1 + \frac{10}{9} \quad (\text{according to (6) and (7)}) \\ &= S^{(0)} - 10 \sum_{r=1}^R C_r + \frac{10}{9}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence:

$$(8) \quad \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} S^{(R)} = S^{(0)} - 10 \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} C_r + \frac{10}{9}.$$

Now, let us calculate the sum  $\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} C_r = \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} C_{n,r}$ . From (1), (2), and (3), we have for all  $r, n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ :

$$\begin{aligned} C_{n,r} &:= T_n^{(r)} - S_n^{(r)} \\ &= \sum_{\ell=0}^8 \sum_{t \in E_{n-1}^{(r)}} \left( \frac{1}{10t} - \frac{1}{10t+\ell} \right) + \sum_{t \in E_{n-1}^{(r-1)}} \left( \frac{1}{10t} - \frac{1}{10t+9} \right). \end{aligned}$$

By remarking that the sets  $E_{n-1}^{(r)}$  ( $r, n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ ) form a partition of  $\mathbb{N}^* \setminus E^{(0)}$  and that the sets  $E_{n-1}^{(r-1)}$  ( $r, n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ ) form a partition of  $\mathbb{N}^*$ , we deduce that:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} C_{n,r} &= \sum_{\ell=0}^8 \sum_{t \in \mathbb{N}^* \setminus E^{(0)}} \left( \frac{1}{10t} - \frac{1}{10t+\ell} \right) + \sum_{t=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{10t} - \frac{1}{10t+9} \right) \\ &= \sum_{\ell=0}^8 \left\{ \sum_{t=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{10t} - \frac{1}{10t+\ell} \right) - \sum_{t \in E^{(0)}} \left( \frac{1}{10t} - \frac{1}{10t+\ell} \right) \right\} + \sum_{t=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{10t} - \frac{1}{10t+9} \right) \\ &= \sum_{\ell=0}^9 \sum_{t=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{10t} - \frac{1}{10t+\ell} \right) - \sum_{\ell=0}^8 \sum_{t \in E^{(0)}} \left( \frac{1}{10t} - \frac{1}{10t+\ell} \right) \\ &= \sum_{\ell=0}^9 \sum_{t=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{10t} - \frac{1}{10t+\ell} \right) - \frac{9}{10} \sum_{t \in E^{(0)}} \frac{1}{t} + \sum_{\ell=0}^8 \sum_{t \in E^{(0)}} \frac{1}{10t+\ell}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\sum_{t \in E^{(0)}} 1/t = S^{(0)}$  and

$$\sum_{\ell=0}^8 \sum_{t \in E^{(0)}} \frac{1}{10t+\ell} = \sum_{t \in E^{(0)}} \frac{1}{t} - \sum_{t=1}^8 \frac{1}{t} = S^{(0)} - \sum_{t=1}^8 \frac{1}{t}$$

(because  $\{10t+\ell \mid t \in E^{(0)}, \ell \in \{0, 1, \dots, 8\}\} = E^{(0)} \setminus \{1, 2, \dots, 8\}$ ), it follows that:

$$(9) \quad \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} C_{n,r} = \Delta + \frac{1}{10} S^{(0)} - \sum_{t=1}^8 \frac{1}{t},$$

where

$$\Delta := \sum_{\ell=0}^9 \sum_{t=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{10t} - \frac{1}{10t+\ell} \right) = \sum_{t=1}^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{1}{t} - \sum_{10t \leq m < 10(t+1)} \frac{1}{m} \right\}.$$

Let us calculate  $\Delta$ . For all sufficiently large positive integers  $N$ , we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{t=1}^N \left\{ \frac{1}{t} - \sum_{10t \leq m < 10(t+1)} \frac{1}{m} \right\} &= \sum_{t=1}^N \frac{1}{t} - \sum_{m=10}^{10N+9} \frac{1}{m} \\ &= (\log N + \gamma) - \left\{ \log(10N+9) + \gamma - \sum_{m=1}^9 \frac{1}{m} \right\} + o_N(1) \\ &= \sum_{m=1}^9 \frac{1}{m} - \log 10 + o_N(1), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\gamma$  denotes the Euler's constant. By taking the limits as  $N$  tends to infinity, we obtain:

$$\Delta = \sum_{m=1}^9 \frac{1}{m} - \log 10.$$

Now, by substituting this value of  $\Delta$  into (9), we obtain:

$$\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} C_r = \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} C_{n,r} = \frac{1}{10} S^{(0)} - \log 10 + \frac{1}{9}.$$

Finally, by substituting this value of  $\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} C_r$  into (8), we conclude that:

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} S^{(R)} = 10 \log 10$$

as required. The proof is complete. ■

### 3. GENERALIZATION TO OTHER DIGITS

The method presented above can be applied to obtain the general result related to any digit  $d \in \{0, 1, \dots, 9\}$ . For  $d \in \{0, 1, \dots, 9\}$  and for  $r \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $\sigma_d(r)$  denote the sum of the reciprocals of all positive integers whose decimal representation contains the digit  $d$  exactly  $r$  times. Then, we have the following:

**Theorem 4.** *For all  $d \in \{0, 1, \dots, 9\}$ , the sequence  $(\sigma_d(r))_{r \geq 1}$  decreases and converges to  $10 \log 10$ .*

**Remark.** According to Theorem 4 and to the approximate values of the numbers  $\sigma_d(0)$  ( $0 \leq d \leq 9$ ) given by R. Baillie [1], we see that only in the particular case  $d = 0$  (already studied by A. D. Wadhwa [4]) does the sequence  $(\sigma_d(r))_r$  start its decrease at  $r = 0$ .

### REFERENCES

- [1] R. Baillie, Sums of reciprocals of integers missing a given digit, *Amer. Math. Monthly*, **86** (1979), p. 372-374.
- [2] F. Irwin, A curious convergent series, *Amer. Math. Monthly*, **23** (1916), p. 149-152.
- [3] A. J. Kempner, A curious convergent series, *Amer. Math. Monthly*, **21** (1914), p. 48-50.
- [4] A. D. Wadhwa, Some convergent subseries of the harmonic series, *Amer. Math. Monthly*, **85** (1978), p. 661-663.