

The type of the base ring associated to a transversal polymatroid *

Alin Ştefan

"Petroleum and Gas" University of Ploieşti, Romania

Abstract

In this paper we determine the *type*, *a* – *invariant*, *Hilbert function* and *Hilbert series* of the base ring of some transversal polymatroid such that its associated cone has dimension n and $n + 1$ facets.

Key Words: Base ring, transversal polymatroid, equations of a cone, *a*-invariant, canonical module, Hilbert series.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification:
Primary 13A02, 13H10, 13D40, Secondary 15A39

1 Introduction

In this paper we determine the facets of the polyhedral cone generated by the exponent set of the monomials defining the base ring associated to some transversal polymatroid. We need the description of these facets to find the canonical module of the base ring which is expressed in terms of the relative interior of the cone. This would allow us to compute the *a*-invariant of those base rings. The results presented were discovered by extensive computer algebra experiments performed with *Normaliz* [4].

2 Preliminaries

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $n \geq 3$, $\sigma \in S_n$, $\sigma = (1, 2, \dots, n)$ the cycle of length n , $[n] := \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ and $\{e_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ be the canonical base of \mathbb{R}^n . For a vector $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$, we will denote $|x| := x_1 + \dots + x_n$. If x^a is a monomial in $K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ we set $\log(x^a) = a$. Given a set A of monomials, the *log set of A*, denoted $\log(A)$, consists of all $\log(x^a)$ with $x^a \in A$. We consider the following set of integer vectors of \mathbb{N}^n :

$$\begin{aligned} & \downarrow i^{th} \text{ column} \\ \nu_{\sigma^0[i]}^j & := (-j, \quad -j, \quad \dots, \quad -j, \quad (n-j), \quad \dots, \quad (n-j)), \\ & \downarrow (i+1)^{th} \text{ column} \\ \nu_{\sigma^1[i]}^j & := ((n-j), \quad -j, \quad \dots, \quad -j, \quad (n-j), \quad \dots, \quad (n-j)), \\ & \downarrow (i+2)^{th} \text{ column} \\ \nu_{\sigma^2[i]}^j & := ((n-j), \quad (n-j), \quad -j, \quad \dots, \quad -j, \quad (n-j), \quad \dots, \quad (n-j)), \end{aligned}$$

*This paper was supported by CIDAGT 249-Cex-M3-249-2006

.....

$$\begin{aligned} & \downarrow (i-2)^{th} \text{ column} \quad \downarrow (n-2)^{th} \text{ column} \\ \nu_{\sigma^{n-2}[i]}^j & := (-j, \dots, -j, (n-j), \dots, (n-j), -j, -j), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \downarrow (i-1)^{th} \text{ column} \quad \downarrow (n-1)^{th} \text{ column} \\ \nu_{\sigma^{n-1}[i]}^j & := (-j, \dots, -j, (n-j), \dots, (n-j), -j), \end{aligned}$$

where $\sigma^k[i] := \{\sigma^k(1), \dots, \sigma^k(i)\}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n-2$, $1 \leq j \leq n-1$ and $0 \leq k \leq n-1$.

Remark : It is easy to see ([10]) that for any $1 \leq i \leq n-2$ and $0 \leq t \leq n-1$ we have $\nu_{\sigma^t[i]}^{n-i-1} = \nu_{\sigma^t[i]}$.

If $0 \neq a \in \mathbb{R}^n$, then H_a will denote the hyperplane of \mathbb{R}^n through the origin with normal vector a , that is,

$$H_a = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \langle x, a \rangle = 0\},$$

where \langle, \rangle is the usual inner product in \mathbb{R}^n . The two closed halfspaces bounded by H_a are:

$$H_a^+ = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \langle x, a \rangle \geq 0\} \text{ and } H_a^- = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \langle x, a \rangle \leq 0\}.$$

We will denote by $H_{\nu_{\sigma^k[i]}^j}$ the hyperplane of \mathbb{R}^n through the origin with normal vector $\nu_{\sigma^k[i]}^j$, that is,

$$H_{\nu_{\sigma^k[i]}^j} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \langle x, \nu_{\sigma^k[i]}^j \rangle = 0\},$$

for all $1 \leq i \leq n-2$, $1 \leq j \leq n-1$ and $0 \leq k \leq n-1$.

Let $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, we denote by $\text{aff}(A)$ the affine space generated by A . There is a unique linear subspace V of \mathbb{R}^n such that $\text{aff}(A) = x_0 + V$, for some $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$. The dimension of $\text{aff}(A)$ is $\dim(\text{aff}(A)) = \dim_{\mathbb{R}}(V)$.

Recall that a *polyhedral cone* $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is the intersection of a finite number of closed subspaces of the form H_a^+ . If $Q = H_{a_1}^+ \cap \dots \cap H_{a_m}^+$ is a polyhedral cone, then $\text{aff}(Q)$ is the intersection of those hyperplanes H_{a_i} , $i = 1, \dots, m$, that contain Q . (see [3, Proposition 1.2.]) The *dimension of* Q is the dimension of $\text{aff}(Q)$, $\dim(Q) = \dim(\text{aff}(Q))$.

If $A = \{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_r\}$ is a finite set of points in \mathbb{R}^n the *cone* generated by A , denoted by \mathbb{R}_+A , is defined as

$$\mathbb{R}_+A = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^r a_i \gamma_i \mid a_i \in \mathbb{R}_+ \text{ for all } 1 \leq i \leq r \right\}.$$

An important fact is that Q is a polyhedral cone in \mathbb{R}^n if and only if there exists a finite set $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $Q = \mathbb{R}_+A$ (see [3] or [15, Theorem 4.1.1.]).

Next we give some important definitions and results (see [1], [2], [3], [9], [12]).

Definition 2.1. A *proper face* of a polyhedral cone is a subset $F \subset Q$ such that there is a supporting hyperplane H_a satisfying:

- 1) $F = Q \cap H_a \neq \emptyset$,
- 2) $Q \not\subset H_a$ and $Q \subset H_a^+$.

The *dimension* of a proper face F of a polyhedral cone Q is: $\dim(F) = \dim(\text{aff}(F))$.

Definition 2.2. A cone C is *pointed* if 0 is a face of C . Equivalently we can require that $x \in C$ and $-x \in C \Rightarrow x = 0$.

Definition 2.3. The 1-dimensional faces of a pointed cone are called *extremal rays*.

Definition 2.4. A proper face F of a polyhedral cone $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is called a *facet* of Q if $\dim(F) = \dim(Q) - 1$.

Definition 2.5. If a polyhedral cone Q is written as

$$Q = H_{a_1}^+ \cap \dots \cap H_{a_r}^+$$

such that no $H_{a_i}^+$ can be omitted, then we say that this is an *irreducible representation* of Q .

Theorem 2.6. Let $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $Q \neq \mathbb{R}^n$, be a polyhedral cone with $\dim(Q) = n$. Then the halfspaces $H_{a_1}^+, \dots, H_{a_m}^+$ in an irreducible representation $Q = H_{a_1}^+ \cap \dots \cap H_{a_m}^+$ are uniquely determined. In fact, the sets $F_i = Q \cap H_{a_i}$, $i = 1, \dots, m$, are the facets of Q .

Proof. See [3, Theorem 1.6.] □

Definition 2.7. Let Q be a polyhedral cone in \mathbb{R}^n with $\dim Q = n$ and such that $Q \neq \mathbb{R}^n$. Let

$$Q = H_{a_1}^+ \cap \dots \cap H_{a_r}^+$$

be the irreducible representation of Q . If $a_i = (a_{i1}, \dots, a_{in})$, then we call

$$H_{a_i}(x) := a_{i1}x_1 + \dots + a_{in}x_n = 0, \quad i \in [r],$$

the *equations of the cone* Q .

Definition 2.8. The *relative interior* $ri(Q)$ of a polyhedral cone is the interior of Q with respect to the embedding of Q into its affine space $aff(Q)$, in which Q is full-dimensional.

The following result gives us the description of the relative interior of a polyhedral cone when we know its irreducible representation.

Theorem 2.9. Let $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $Q \neq \mathbb{R}^n$, be a polyhedral cone with $\dim(Q) = n$ and let

$$(*) \quad Q = H_{a_1}^+ \cap \dots \cap H_{a_m}^+$$

be an irreducible representation of Q with $H_{a_1}^+, \dots, H_{a_m}^+$ pairwise distinct, where $a_i \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ for all i . Set $F_i = Q \cap H_{a_i}$ for $i \in [m]$. Then:

- a) $ri(Q) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \langle x, a_1 \rangle > 0, \dots, \langle x, a_m \rangle > 0\}$, where $ri(Q)$ is the relative interior of Q , which in this case is just the interior.
- b) Each facet F of Q is of the form $F = F_i$ for some i .
- c) Each F_i is a facet of Q .

Proof. See [1, Theorems 8.2.15 and 3.2.1]. □

Theorem 2.10. (Danilov, Stanley) Let $R = K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ be a polynomial ring over a field K and F a finite set of monomials in R . If $K[F]$ is normal, then the canonical module $\omega_{K[F]}$ of $K[F]$, with respect to standard grading, can be expressed as an ideal of $K[F]$ generated by monomials

$$\omega_{K[F]} = (\{x^a \mid a \in \mathbb{N}A \cap ri(\mathbb{R}_+A)\}),$$

where $A = \log(F)$ and $ri(\mathbb{R}_+A)$ denotes the relative interior of \mathbb{R}_+A .

The formula above represents the canonical module of $K[F]$ as an ideal of $K[F]$ generated by monomials. For a comprehensive treatment of the Danilov-Stanley formula see [2], [9] or [12].

3 Polymatroids

Let K be an infinite field, n and m be positive integers, $[n] = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. A nonempty finite set B of \mathbb{N}^n is the *base set of a discrete polymatroid* \mathcal{P} if for every $u = (u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n)$, $v = (v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n) \in B$ one has $u_1 + u_2 + \dots + u_n = v_1 + v_2 + \dots + v_n$ and for all i such that $u_i > v_i$ there exists j such that $u_j < v_j$ and $u + e_j - e_i \in B$, where e_k denotes the k^{th} vector of the standard basis of \mathbb{N}^n . The notion of discrete polymatroid is a generalization of the classical notion of matroid, see [5], [6], [7], [8], [13], [16]. Associated with the base B of a discrete polymatroid \mathcal{P} one has a K -algebra $K[B]$, called the *base ring* of \mathcal{P} , defined to be the K -subalgebra of the polynomial ring in n indeterminates $K[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$ generated by the monomials x^u with $u \in B$. From [6] the algebra $K[B]$ is known to be normal and hence Cohen-Macaulay.

If A_i are some nonempty subsets of $[n]$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$, $\mathcal{A} = \{A_1, \dots, A_m\}$, then the set of the vectors $\sum_{k=1}^m e_{i_k}$ with $i_k \in A_k$ is the base of a polymatroid, called the *transversal polymatroid presented by \mathcal{A}* . The *base ring* of a transversal polymatroid presented by \mathcal{A} is the ring

$$K[\mathcal{A}] := K[x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_m} \mid i_j \in A_j, 1 \leq j \leq m].$$

4 The type of base ring associated to transversal polymatroids with the cone of dimension n with $n + 1$ facets.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $n \geq 3$, $1 \leq i \leq n - 2$ and $1 \leq j \leq n - 1$. We consider the following two cases:*

a) *If $i + j \leq n - 1$, then let $A := \{\log(x_{j_1} \cdots x_{j_n}) \mid j_k \in A_k, \text{ for all } 1 \leq k \leq n\} \subset \mathbb{N}^n$ the exponent set of generators of K -algebra $K[\mathcal{A}]$, where $\mathcal{A} = \{A_1 = [n], \dots, A_i = [n], A_{i+1} = [n] \setminus [i], \dots, A_{i+j} = [n] \setminus [i], A_{i+j+1} = [n], \dots, A_n = [n]\}$.*

b) *If $i + j \geq n$, then let $A := \{\log(x_{j_1} \cdots x_{j_n}) \mid j_k \in A_k, \text{ for all } 1 \leq k \leq n\} \subset \mathbb{N}^n$ the exponent set of generators of K -algebra $K[\mathcal{A}]$, where $\mathcal{A} = \{A_1 = [n] \setminus [i], \dots, A_{i+j-n} = [n] \setminus [i], A_{i+j-n+1} = [n], \dots, A_i = [n], A_{i+1} = [n] \setminus [i], \dots, A_n = [n] \setminus [i]\}$.*

Then the cone generated by A has the irreducible representation:

$$\mathbb{R}_+ A = \bigcap_{a \in N} H_a^+,$$

where $N = \{\nu_{\sigma^0[i]}^j, e_k \mid 1 \leq k \leq n\}$, $\{e_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ is the canonical base of \mathbb{R}^n .

Proof. We denote by $J_k = \begin{cases} (n-j)e_k + j e_{i+1}, & \text{if } 1 \leq k \leq i \\ (n-j)e_1 + j e_r, & \text{if } i+2 \leq r \leq n \end{cases}$ and by $J = n e_n$. Since $A_t = [n]$ for any $t \in \{1, \dots, i\} \cup \{i+j+1, \dots, n\}$ and $A_r = [n] \setminus [i]$ for any $r \in \{i+1, \dots, i+j\}$ it is easy to see that for any $k \in \{1, \dots, i\}$ and $r \in \{i+2, \dots, n\}$ the set of monomials $x_k^{n-j} x_{i+1}^j$, $x_1^{n-j} x_r^j$, x_n^n are a subset of the generators of K -algebra $K[\mathcal{A}]$. Thus the set

$$\{J_1, \dots, J_i, J_{i+2}, \dots, J_n, J\} \subset A.$$

If we denote by C the matrix with the rows the coordinates of the $\{J_1, \dots, J_i, J_{i+2}, \dots, J_n, J\}$, then by a simple computation we get $|\det(C)| = n(n-j)^i j^{n-i-1}$ for any $1 \leq i \leq n-2$ and $1 \leq j \leq n-1$. Thus, we get that the set

$$\{J_1, \dots, J_i, J_{i+2}, \dots, J_n, J\} \subset A.$$

is linearly independent and it follows that $\dim \mathbb{R}_+ A = n$. Since $\{J_1, \dots, J_i, J_{i+2}, \dots, J_n\}$ is linearly independent and lie on the hyperplane $H_{\nu_{\sigma^0[i]}^j}$ we have that $\dim(H_{\nu_{\sigma^0[i]}^j} \cap \mathbb{R}_+ A) = n-1$.

Now we will prove that $\mathbb{R}_+ A \subset H_a^+$ for all $a \in N$. It is enough to show that for all vectors $P \in A$,

$\langle P, a \rangle \geq 0$ for all $a \in N$. Since $\{e_k\}_{1 \leq k \leq n}$ is the canonical base of \mathbb{R}^n , we get that $\langle P, e_k \rangle \geq 0$ for any $1 \leq k \leq n$. Let $P \in A$, $P = \log(x_{j_1} \cdots x_{j_n})$. We have two possibilities:

- a) If $i+j \leq n-1$, then let t be the number of j_k , such that $k \in \{1, \dots, i\} \cup \{i+j+1, \dots, n\}$ and $j_k \in [i]$. Thus $t \leq n-j$. Then $\langle P, \nu_{\sigma^0[i]}^j \rangle = -tj + (n-j-t)(n-j) + j(n-j) = n(n-j-t) \geq 0$.
- b) If $i+j \geq n$, then let t be the number of j_k , such that $i+j-n+1 \leq k \leq i$ and $j_k \in [i]$. Thus $t \leq n-j$. Then $\langle P, \nu_{\sigma^0[i]}^j \rangle = -tj + (n-j-t)(n-j) + j(n-j) = n(n-j-t) \geq 0$.

Thus

$$\mathbb{R}_+ A \subseteq \bigcap_{a \in N} H_a^+.$$

Now we will prove the converse inclusion: $\mathbb{R}_+ A \supseteq \bigcap_{a \in N} H_a^+$.

It is enough to prove that the extremal rays of the cone $\bigcap_{a \in N} H_a^+$ are in $\mathbb{R}_+ A$. Any extremal ray of the cone $\bigcap_{a \in N} H_a^+$ can be written as the intersection of $n-1$ hyperplanes H_a , with $a \in N$. There are two possibilities to obtain extremal rays by intersection of $n-1$ hyperplanes.

First case.

Let $1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_{n-1} \leq n$ be a sequence and $\{t\} = [n] \setminus \{i_1, \dots, i_{n-1}\}$. The system of equations:

$$(*) \quad \begin{cases} z_{i_1} = 0, \\ \vdots \\ z_{i_{n-1}} = 0 \end{cases} \quad \text{admits the solution } x \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n, \quad x = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{with } |x| = n, \quad x_k = n \cdot \delta_{kt} \quad \text{for all}$$

$1 \leq k \leq n$, where δ_{kt} is Kronecker symbol.

There are two possibilities:

1) If $1 \leq t \leq i$, then $H_{\nu_{\sigma^0[i]}^j}(x) < 0$ and thus $x \notin \bigcap_{a \in N} H_a^+$.

2) If $i+1 \leq t \leq n$, then $H_{\nu_{\sigma^0[i]}^j}(x) > 0$ and thus $x \in \bigcap_{a \in N} H_a^+$ and is an extremal ray.

Thus, there exist $n-i$ sequences $1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_{n-1} \leq n$ such that the system of equations (*) has a solution $x \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$ with $|x| = n$ and $H_{\nu_{\sigma^0[i]}^j}(x) > 0$.

The extremal rays are: $\{n e_k \mid i+1 \leq k \leq n\}$.

Second case.

Let $1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_{n-2} \leq n$ be a sequence and $\{r, s\} = [n] \setminus \{i_1, \dots, i_{n-2}\}$, with $r < s$.

Let $x \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$, with $|x| = n$, a solution of system of equations:

$$(**) \quad \begin{cases} z_{i_1} = 0, \\ \vdots \\ z_{i_{n-2}} = 0, \\ -j z_1 - \dots - j z_i + (n-j)z_{i+1} + \dots + (n-j)z_n = 0. \end{cases}$$

There are two possibilities:

1) If $1 \leq r \leq i$ and $i+1 \leq s \leq n$, then the system of equations (**) admits the solution

$$x = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n, \quad \text{with } |x| = n, \quad \text{with } x_t = j \delta_{ts} + (n-j)\delta_{tr} \quad \text{for all } 1 \leq t \leq n.$$

2) If $1 \leq r, s \leq i$ or $i+1 \leq r, s \leq n$, then there exist no solution $x \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$ for the system of equations (**) because otherwise $H_{\nu_{\sigma^0[i]}^j}(x) > 0$ or $H_{\nu_{\sigma^0[i]}^j}(x) < 0$.

Thus, there exist $i(n-i)$ sequences $1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_{n-2} \leq n$ such that the system of equations (**) has a solution $x \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$ with $|x| = n$ and the extremal rays are:

$$\{(n-j)e_r + j e_s \mid 1 \leq r \leq i \text{ and } i+1 \leq s \leq n\}.$$

In conclusion, there exist $(i+1)(n-i)$ extremal rays of the cone $\bigcap_{a \in N} H_a^+$:

$$R := \{n e_k \mid i+1 \leq k \leq n\} \cup \{(n-j)e_r + j e_s \mid 1 \leq r \leq i \text{ and } i+1 \leq s \leq n\}.$$

Since $A = \{\log(x_{j_1} \cdots x_{j_n}) \mid j_k \in A_k \in \mathcal{A}, \text{ for all } 1 \leq k \leq n\} \subset \mathbb{N}^n$ where $\mathcal{A} = \{A_1 = [n], \dots, A_i = [n], A_{i+1} = [n] \setminus [i], \dots, A_{i+j} = [n] \setminus [i], A_{i+j+1} = [n], \dots, A_n = [n]\}$ or $\mathcal{A} = \{A_1 =$

$[n] \setminus [i], \dots, A_{i+j-n} = [n] \setminus [i], A_{i+j-n+1} = [n], \dots, A_i = [n], A_{i+1} = [n] \setminus [i], \dots, A_n = [n] \setminus [i]$, it is easy to see that $R \subset A$. Thus, we have $\mathbb{R}_+A = \bigcap_{a \in N} H_a^+$.

The representation is irreducible because if we delete, for some k , the hyperplane with the normal e_k , then the k^{th} coordinate of $\log(x_{j_1} \cdots x_{j_n})$ would be negative, which it is impossible; and if we delete the hyperplane with the normal $\nu_{\sigma^0[i]}^j$, then the cone \mathbb{R}_+A would be presented by $A = \{\log(x_{j_1} \cdots x_{j_n}) \mid j_k \in [n], \text{ for all } 1 \leq k \leq n\}$ which it is impossible. Thus the representation $\mathbb{R}_+A = \bigcap_{a \in N} H_a^+$ is irreducible. \square

Lemma 4.2. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $n \geq 3$, $t \geq 1$, $1 \leq i \leq n-2$ and $1 \leq j \leq n-1$. Let $A = \{\log(x_{j_1} \cdots x_{j_n}) \mid j_{\sigma^t(k)} \in A_{\sigma^t(k)}, 1 \leq k \leq n\} \subset \mathbb{N}^n$ the exponent set of generators of K -algebra $K[\mathcal{A}]$, where $\mathcal{A} = \{A_{\sigma^t(k)} \mid A_{\sigma^t(k)} = [n], \text{ for } k \in [i] \cup ([n] \setminus [i+j]) \text{ and } A_{\sigma^t(k)} = [n] \setminus \sigma^t[i], \text{ for } i+1 \leq k \leq i+j\}$, if $i+j \leq n-1$ or $\mathcal{A} = \{A_{\sigma^t(k)} \mid A_{\sigma^t(k)} = [n] \setminus [i], \text{ for } k \in [i+j-n] \cup ([n] \setminus [i]) \text{ and } A_{\sigma^t(k)} = [n], \text{ for } i+j-n+1 \leq k \leq i\}$, if $i+j \geq n$. Then the cone generated by A has the irreducible representation:*

$$\mathbb{R}_+A = \bigcap_{a \in N} H_a^+,$$

where $N = \{\nu_{\sigma^t[i]}^j, e_k \mid 1 \leq k \leq n\}$.

For the proof note that the algebras from Lemma 4.1. and 4.2. are isomorphic.

Lemma 4.3. *a) Let $1 \leq t \leq n-i-j-1$, $s \geq 2$ and $\beta \in \mathbb{N}^n$ such that $H_{\nu_{\sigma^0[i]}^j}(\beta) = n(n-i-j-t)$ and $|\beta| = sn$. Then $\beta_1 + \dots + \beta_i = (n-j)(s-1) + i + t$ and $\beta_{i+1} + \dots + \beta_n = n + j(s-1) - i - t$. b) Let $r \geq 2$, $s \geq r$, $1 \leq t \leq r(n-j) - i$ and $\beta \in \mathbb{N}^n$ such that $H_{\nu_{\sigma^0[i]}^j}(\beta) = nt$ and $|\beta| = sn$. Then $\beta_1 + \dots + \beta_i = (n-j)s - t$ and $\beta_{i+1} + \dots + \beta_n = js + t$.*

Proof. a) Let $c = \beta_1 + \dots + \beta_i$ and thus $\beta_{i+1} + \dots + \beta_n = sn - c$. Then $H_{\nu_{\sigma^0[i]}^j}(\beta) = -jc + (n-j)(sn - c) = n(sn - c - js)$. Since $H_{\nu_{\sigma^0[i]}^j}(\beta) = n(n-i-j-t)$ it follows that $sn - c - js = n - i - j - t$ and so $c = (n-j)(s-1) + i + t$. Thus, $\beta_1 + \dots + \beta_i = (n-j)(s-1) + i + t$ and $\beta_{i+1} + \dots + \beta_n = sn - c = n + j(s-1) - i - t$.

b) The proof goes as that for a). \square

The main result of this paper is the following:

Theorem 4.4. *Let $R = K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ be a polynomial ring standard graded over a field K and A the finite set of monomials in R such that the hypothesis of Lemma 4.1. are satisfied. Then:*

a) *If $i+j \leq n-1$, then the type of $K[\mathcal{A}]$ is:*

$$\text{type}(K[\mathcal{A}]) = 1 + \sum_{t=1}^{n-i-j-1} \binom{n+i-j+t-1}{i-1} \binom{n-i+j-t-1}{n-i-1}.$$

b) *If $i+j \geq n$, then the type of $K[\mathcal{A}]$ is:*

$$\text{type}(K[\mathcal{A}]) = \sum_{t=1}^{r(n-j)-i} \binom{r(n-j)-t-1}{i-1} \binom{rj+t-1}{n-i-1},$$

where $r = \left\lceil \frac{i+1}{n-j} \right\rceil$ ($\lceil x \rceil$ is the least integer $\geq x$).

Proof. Since $K[\mathcal{A}]$ is normal ([6]), then the canonical module $\omega_{K[\mathcal{A}]}$ of $K[\mathcal{A}]$, with respect to standard grading, can be expressed as an ideal of $K[\mathcal{A}]$ generated by monomials

$$\omega_{K[\mathcal{A}]} = (\{x^a \mid a \in \text{NA} \cap \text{ri}(\mathbb{R}_+A)\}),$$

where A is the exponent set of K - algebra $K[\mathcal{A}]$ and $ri(\mathbb{R}_+ A)$ denotes the relative interior of $\mathbb{R}_+ A$. By Lemma 4.1., the cone generated by A has the irreducible representation:

$$\mathbb{R}_+ A = \bigcap_{\alpha \in N} H_\alpha^+,$$

where $N = \{\nu_{\sigma^0[i]}^j, e_k \mid 1 \leq k \leq n\}$, $\{e_k\}_{1 \leq k \leq n}$ being the canonical base of \mathbb{R}^n .

a) Let $i \in [n-2]$, $j \in [n-1]$ such that $i+j \leq n-1$, $u_t = n+i-j+t$, $v_t = n-i+j-t$ for any $t \in [n-i-j-1]$. We will denote by M the set:

$$M = \{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n \mid \alpha_k \geq 1, |(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i)| = u_t, |(\alpha_{i+1}, \dots, \alpha_n)| = v_t \text{ for any } k \in [n], i \in [n-2], \\ j \in [n-1] \text{ such that } i+j \leq n-1 \text{ and } t \in [n-i-j-1]\}.$$

We will show that :

$$\mathbb{N}A \cap ri(\mathbb{R}_+ A) = ((1, \dots, 1) + (\mathbb{N}A \cap \mathbb{R}_+ A)) \cup \bigcup_{\alpha \in M} (\{\alpha\} + (\mathbb{N}A \cap \mathbb{R}_+ A)).$$

Since for any $\alpha \in M$, $H_{\nu_{\sigma^0[i]}^j}(\alpha) = -j(n+i-j+t) + (n-j)(n-i+j-t) = n(n-i-j-t) > 0$ and $H_{\nu_{\sigma^0[i]}^j}((1, \dots, 1)) = n(n-i-j) > 0$, it follows that :

$$\mathbb{N}A \cap ri(\mathbb{R}_+ A) \supseteq ((1, \dots, 1) + (\mathbb{N}A \cap \mathbb{R}_+ A)) \cup \bigcup_{\alpha \in M} (\{\alpha\} + (\mathbb{N}A \cap \mathbb{R}_+ A)).$$

Let $\beta \in \mathbb{N}A \cap ri(\mathbb{R}_+ A)$, then $\beta_k \geq 1$ for any $k \in [n]$. Since $H_{\nu_{\sigma^0[i]}^j}((1, \dots, 1)) = n(n-i-j) > 0$ it follows that $(1, \dots, 1) \in ri(\mathbb{R}_+ A)$. Let $\gamma \in \mathbb{N}^n$, $\gamma = \beta - (1, \dots, 1)$. It is clear that $H_{\nu_{\sigma^0[i]}^j}(\gamma) = H_{\nu_{\sigma^0[i]}^j}(\beta) - n(n-i-j)$. If $H_{\nu_{\sigma^0[i]}^j}(\beta) \geq n(n-i-j)$, then $H_{\nu_{\sigma^0[i]}^j}(\gamma) \geq 0$. Thus $\gamma \in \mathbb{N}A \cap \mathbb{R}_+ A$. If $H_{\nu_{\sigma^0[i]}^j}(\beta) < n(n-i-j)$, then let $1 \leq t \leq n-i-j-1$ such that $H_{\nu_{\sigma^0[i]}^j}(\beta) = n(n-i-j-t)$.

We claim that for any $\beta \in \mathbb{N}A \cap ri(\mathbb{R}_+ A)$ with $|\beta| = sn \geq 2n$ and $t \in [n-i-j-1]$ such that $H_{\nu_{\sigma^0[i]}^j}(\beta) = n(n-i-j-t)$ we can find $\alpha \in M$ with $H_{\nu_{\sigma^0[i]}^j}(\alpha) = n(n-i-j-t)$ and $\beta - \alpha \in \mathbb{N}A \cap \mathbb{R}_+ A$.

We proceed by induction on $s \geq 2$. If $s = 2$, then it is clear that $\beta \in M$. Indeed, then $\beta_1 + \dots + \beta_i = n-a$, $\beta_{i+1} + \dots + \beta_n = n+a$ for some $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ and so $H_{\nu_{\sigma^0[i]}^j}(\beta) = n(n-2j+a) = n(n-i-j-t)$ that is $a = j-i-t$. It follows $\beta \in M$.

Suppose $s > 2$. Since $\beta_1 + \dots + \beta_i = (n-j)(s-1) + i+t \geq 2(n-j) + i+t$ by Lemma 4.3 we can get γ_e , with $0 \leq \gamma_e \leq \beta_e$ for any $1 \leq e \leq i$, such that $|(\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_i)| = n-j$. Since $\beta_{i+1} + \dots + \beta_n = j(s-1) + (n-i-t) \geq 2j + n-i-t$ by Lemma 4.3 we can get γ_e , with $0 \leq \gamma_e \leq \beta_e$ for any $i+1 \leq e \leq n$, such that $|(\gamma_{i+1}, \dots, \gamma_n)| = j$. It is clear that $\beta' = \beta - \gamma \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$,

$$|(\beta'_1, \dots, \beta'_i)| = \sum_{e=1}^i (\beta_e - \gamma_e) = (n-j)(s-2) + i+t,$$

$$|(\beta'_{i+1}, \dots, \beta'_n)| = \sum_{e=i+1}^n (\beta_e - \gamma_e) = n+j(s-2) - i-t$$

and

$$H_{\nu_{\sigma^0[i]}^j}(\beta') = H_{\nu_{\sigma^0[i]}^j}(\beta) - H_{\nu_{\sigma^0[i]}^j}(\gamma) = n(n-i-j-t) - [(-j)(n-j) + (n-j)j] = n(n-i-j-t).$$

So, $\beta' \in \mathbb{N}A \cap ri(\mathbb{R}_+ A)$ and since $|\beta'| = n(s-1)$ we get by induction hypothesis that

$$\beta' \in \bigcup_{\alpha \in M} (\{\alpha\} + (\mathbb{N}A \cap \mathbb{R}_+ A)).$$

Since $H_{\nu_{\sigma^0[i]}^j}(\gamma) = 0$ and $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$ we get that $\gamma \in \mathbb{N}A \cap \mathbb{R}_+A$ and so

$$\beta \in \bigcup_{\alpha \in M} (\{\alpha\} + (\mathbb{N}A \cap \mathbb{R}_+A)).$$

Thus:

$$\mathbb{N}A \cap ri(\mathbb{R}_+A) \subseteq ((1, \dots, 1) + (\mathbb{N}A \cap \mathbb{R}_+A)) \cup \bigcup_{\alpha \in M} (\{\alpha\} + (\mathbb{N}A \cap \mathbb{R}_+A)).$$

So, the canonical module $\omega_{K[\mathcal{A}]}$ of $K[\mathcal{A}]$, with respect to standard grading, can be expressed as an ideal of $K[\mathcal{A}]$, generated by monomials

$$\omega_{K[\mathcal{A}]} = (\{x_1 \cdots x_n, x^\alpha \mid \alpha \in M\})K[\mathcal{A}].$$

The type of $K[\mathcal{A}]$ is the number of generator of the canonical module. So, $type(K[\mathcal{A}]) = \#(M) + 1$, where $\#(M)$ is the cardinal of M .

Note that for any $t \in [n - i - j - 1]$, the equation: $\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_i = n + i - j + t$ has $\binom{n+i-j+t-1}{i-1}$ distinct nonnegative integer solutions with $\alpha_k \geq 1$, for any $k \in [i]$, respectively $\alpha_{i+1} + \dots + \alpha_n = n - i + j - t$ has $\binom{n-i+j-t-1}{n-i-1}$ distinct nonnegative integer solutions with $\alpha_k \geq 1$ for any $k \in [n] \setminus [i]$. Thus, the cardinal of M is

$$\#(M) = \sum_{t=1}^{n-i-j-1} \binom{n+i-j+t-1}{i-1} \binom{n-i+j-t-1}{n-i-1}.$$

b) Let $i \in [n - 2]$, $j \in [n - 1]$ such that $i + j \geq n$, $u_t = r(n - j) - t$, $v_t = rj + t$ for any $t \in [r(n - j) - i]$, where $r = \left\lceil \frac{i+1}{n-j} \right\rceil$. We will denote by M' the set:

$$M' = \{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n \mid \alpha_k \geq 1, |(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i)| = u_t, |(\alpha_{i+1}, \dots, \alpha_n)| = v_t \text{ for any } k \in [n], i \in [n - 2], \\ j \in [n - 1] \text{ such that } i + j \geq n \text{ and } t \in [r(n - j) - i]\}.$$

We will show that :

$$\mathbb{N}A \cap ri(\mathbb{R}_+A) = \bigcup_{\alpha \in M'} (\{\alpha\} + (\mathbb{N}A \cap \mathbb{R}_+A)).$$

Since for any $\alpha \in M'$, $H_{\nu_{\sigma^0[i]}^j}(\alpha) = -j(r(n - j) - t) + (n - j)(rj + t) = nt > 0$, it follows that :

$$\mathbb{N}A \cap ri(\mathbb{R}_+A) \supseteq \bigcup_{\alpha \in M'} (\{\alpha\} + (\mathbb{N}A \cap \mathbb{R}_+A)).$$

Let $\beta \in \mathbb{N}A \cap ri(\mathbb{R}_+A)$, then $\beta_k \geq 1$ for any $k \in [n]$. Since $H_{\nu_{\sigma^0[i]}^j}((1, \dots, 1)) = n(n - i - j) < 0$ it follows that $(1, \dots, 1) \notin ri(\mathbb{R}_+A)$. We claim that $|\beta| \geq rn$. Indeed, since $\beta \in \mathbb{N}A \cap ri(\mathbb{R}_+A)$ and $|\beta| = sn$, it follows that:

$$H_{\nu_{\sigma^0[i]}^j}(\beta) = -j \sum_{k=1}^i \beta_k + (n - j)(sn - \sum_{k=1}^i \beta_k) > 0 \iff \sum_{k=1}^i \beta_k < (n - j)s.$$

Hence $i + 1 \leq s(n - j)$ and so $r = \left\lceil \frac{i+1}{n-j} \right\rceil \leq s$.

We claim that for any $\beta \in \mathbb{N}A \cap ri(\mathbb{R}_+A)$ with $|\beta| = sn \geq rn$ and $t \in [r(n - j) - i]$ such that $H_{\nu_{\sigma^0[i]}^j}(\beta) = nt$ we can find $\alpha \in M'$ with $H_{\nu_{\sigma^0[i]}^j}(\alpha) = nt$ such that $\beta - \alpha \in \mathbb{N}A \cap \mathbb{R}_+A$.

We proceed by induction on $s \geq r$. If $s = r$, then it is clear that $\beta \in M'$. Indeed, then $\beta_1 + \dots + \beta_i = n(r - 1) - a$, $\beta_{i+1} + \dots + \beta_n = n + a$ for some $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ and so $H_{\nu_{\sigma^0[i]}^j}(\beta) = n(n - jr + a) = nt$ that

is $a = jr - n + t$. It follows $\beta \in M'$.

Suppose $s > r$. Since $\beta_1 + \dots + \beta_i = (n - j)s - t \geq (n - j)(s - r) + i$ by *Lemma 4.3* we can get γ_e , with $0 \leq \gamma_e \leq \beta_e$ for any $1 \leq e \leq i$, such that $|\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_i| = n - j$. Since $\beta_{i+1} + \dots + \beta_n = js + t \geq jr + t$ by *Lemma 4.3* we can get γ_e , with $0 \leq \gamma_e \leq \beta_e$ for any $i + 1 \leq e \leq n$, such that $|\gamma_{i+1}, \dots, \gamma_n| = j$. It is clear that $\beta' = \beta - \gamma \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$,

$$|(\beta'_1, \dots, \beta'_i)| = \sum_{e=1}^i (\beta_e - \gamma_e) = (n - j)(s - 1) - t,$$

$$|(\beta'_{i+1}, \dots, \beta'_n)| = \sum_{e=i+1}^n (\beta_e - \gamma_e) = j(s - 1) + t$$

and

$$H_{\nu_{\sigma^0[i]}^j}(\beta') = H_{\nu_{\sigma^0[i]}^j}(\beta) - H_{\nu_{\sigma^0[i]}^j}(\gamma) = nt - [(-j)(n - j) + (n - j)j] = nt.$$

So, $\beta' \in \mathbb{N}A \cap \text{ri}(\mathbb{R}_+A)$ and since $|\beta'| = n(s - 1)$ we get by induction hypothesis that

$$\beta' \in \bigcup_{\alpha \in M'} (\{\alpha\} + (\mathbb{N}A \cap \mathbb{R}_+A)).$$

Since $H_{\nu_{\sigma^0[i]}^j}(\gamma) = 0$ and $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$ we get that $\gamma \in \mathbb{N}A \cap \mathbb{R}_+A$ and so

$$\beta \in \bigcup_{\alpha \in M'} (\{\alpha\} + (\mathbb{N}A \cap \mathbb{R}_+A)).$$

Thus:

$$\mathbb{N}A \cap \text{ri}(\mathbb{R}_+A) \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha \in M'} (\{\alpha\} + (\mathbb{N}A \cap \mathbb{R}_+A)).$$

So, the canonical module $\omega_{K[\mathcal{A}]}$ of $K[\mathcal{A}]$, with respect to standard grading, can be expressed as an ideal of $K[\mathcal{A}]$, generated by monomials

$$\omega_{K[\mathcal{A}]} = (\{x^\alpha \mid \alpha \in M'\})K[\mathcal{A}].$$

The type of $K[\mathcal{A}]$ is the number of generator of the canonical module. So, $\text{type}(K[\mathcal{A}]) = \#(M')$, where $\#(M')$ is the cardinal of M' .

Note that for any $t \in [r(n - j) - i]$, the equation: $\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_i = r(n - j) - t$ has $\binom{r(n - j) - t - 1}{i - 1}$ distinct nonnegative integer solutions with $\alpha_k \geq 1$, for any $k \in [i]$, respectively $\alpha_{i+1} + \dots + \alpha_n = rj + t$ has $\binom{rj + t - 1}{n - i - 1}$ distinct nonnegative integer solutions with $\alpha_k \geq 1$ for any $k \in [n] \setminus [i]$.

Thus, the cardinal of M' is

$$\#(M') = \sum_{t=1}^{r(n - j) - i} \binom{r(n - j) - t - 1}{i - 1} \binom{rj + t - 1}{n - i - 1}.$$

□

Corollary 4.5. ([10], *Lemma 5.1.*) *If $j = n - i - 1$, then K -algebra $K[\mathcal{A}]$ is Gorenstein ring.*

Let S be a standard graded K -algebra over a field K . Recall that the a -invariant of S , denoted $a(S)$, is the degree as a rational function of the Hilbert series of S , see for instance ([12, p. 99]). If S is Cohen-Macaulay and ω_S is the canonical module of S , then

$$a(S) = -\min\{i \mid (\omega_S)_i \neq 0\},$$

see [2, p. 141] and [12, Proposition 4.2.3]. In our situation $S = K[\mathcal{A}]$ is normal [6] and consequently Cohen-Macaulay, thus this formula applies. We have the following consequence of Theorem 4.4.

Corollary 4.6. *The a -invariant of $K[\mathcal{A}]$ is $a(K[\mathcal{A}]) = \begin{cases} -1, & \text{if } i+j \leq n-1 \\ -r, & \text{if } i+j \geq n \end{cases}$*

Proof. Let $\{x^{\alpha_1}, \dots, x^{\alpha_q}\}$ be generators of the K -algebra $K[\mathcal{A}]$. Then $K[\mathcal{A}]$ is a standard graded algebra with the grading

$$K[\mathcal{A}]_i = \sum_{|c|=i} K(x^{\alpha_1})^{c_1} \cdots (x^{\alpha_q})^{c_q}, \text{ where } |c| = c_1 + \dots + c_q.$$

If $i+j \leq n-1$, then $\min\{i \mid (\omega_{K[\mathcal{A}]})_i \neq 0\} = 1$ and so we have $a(K[\mathcal{A}]) = -1$.
If $i+j \geq n$, then $\min\{i \mid (\omega_{K[\mathcal{A}]})_i \neq 0\} = r$. So, we have $a(K[\mathcal{A}]) = -r$. □

5 Ehrhart function

We consider a fixed set of distinct monomials $F = \{x^{\alpha_1}, \dots, x^{\alpha_r}\}$ in a polynomial ring $R = K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ over a field K .

Let

$$\mathcal{P} = \text{conv}(\log(F))$$

be the convex hull of the set $\log(F) = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r\}$. The *normalized Ehrhart ring* of \mathcal{P} is the graded algebra

$$A_{\mathcal{P}} = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\infty} (A_{\mathcal{P}})_i \subset R[T]$$

where the i -th component is given by

$$(A_{\mathcal{P}})_i = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z} \log(F) \cap i\mathcal{P}} K x^{\alpha} T^i.$$

The *normalized Ehrhart function* of \mathcal{P} is defined as

$$E_{\mathcal{P}}(t) = \dim_K (A_{\mathcal{P}})_t = |\mathbb{Z} \log(F) \cap t\mathcal{P}|.$$

An important result of [12], Corollary 7.2.45 is the following :

Theorem 5.1. *If $K[F]$ is a standard graded subalgebra of R and h is the Hilbert function of $K[F]$, then:*

- a) $h(t) \leq E_{\mathcal{P}}(t)$ for all $t \geq 0$, and
- b) $h(t) = E_{\mathcal{P}}(t)$ for all $t \geq 0$ if and only if $K[F]$ is normal.

In this section we will compute the Hilbert function and the Hilbert series for K - algebra $K[\mathcal{A}]$, where \mathcal{A} satisfied the hypothesis of Lemma 4.1.

Proposition 5.2. *In the hypothesis of Lemma 4.1., the Hilbert function of K - algebra $K[\mathcal{A}]$ is :*

$$h(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\binom{n-j}{t}} \binom{k+i-1}{k} \binom{nt-k+n-i-1}{nt-k}.$$

Proof. From [6] we know that the K - algebra $K[\mathcal{A}]$ is normal. Thus, to compute the Hilbert function of $K[\mathcal{A}]$ it is equivalent to compute the Ehrhart function of \mathcal{P} , where $\mathcal{P} = \text{conv}(\mathcal{A})$. (see Theorem 5.1.)

It is clear that $\mathcal{P} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid x_i \geq 0, 0 \leq x_1 + \dots + x_i \leq n-j \text{ and } x_1 + \dots + x_n = n\}$ and thus, $t\mathcal{P} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid x_i \geq 0, 0 \leq x_1 + \dots + x_i \leq (n-j)t \text{ and } x_1 + \dots + x_n = nt\}$.

Since for any $0 \leq k \leq (n-j)t$ the equation $x_1 + \dots + x_i = k$ has $\binom{k+i-1}{k}$ positive solutions and the equation $x_{i+1} + \dots + x_n = nt - k$ has $\binom{nt-k+n-i-1}{nt-k}$ positive solutions, we get that

$$E_{\mathcal{P}}(t) = |\mathbb{Z} A \cap t \mathcal{P}| = \sum_{k=0}^{(n-j)t} \binom{k+i-1}{k} \binom{nt-k+n-i-1}{nt-k}.$$

□

Corollary 5.3. *In the hypothesis of Lemma 4.1., the Hilbert series of K - algebra $K[\mathcal{A}]$ is :*

$$H_{K[\mathcal{A}]}(t) = \frac{1 + h_1 t + \dots + h_{n-r} t^{n-r}}{(1-t)^n},$$

where

$$h_j = \sum_{s=0}^j (-1)^s h(j-s) \binom{n}{s},$$

$h(s)$ being the Hilbert function and $r = \left\lceil \frac{i+1}{n-j} \right\rceil$.

Proof. Since the a -invariant of $K[\mathcal{A}]$ is $a(K[\mathcal{A}]) = -r$, with $r = \left\lceil \frac{i+1}{n-j} \right\rceil$, it follows that to compute the Hilbert series of $K[\mathcal{A}]$ it is necessary to know the first $n-r+1$ values of the Hilbert function of $K[\mathcal{A}]$, $h(i)$ for $0 \leq i \leq n-r$. Since $\dim(K[\mathcal{A}]) = n$, applying n times the difference operator Δ (see [2]) on the Hilbert function of $K[\mathcal{A}]$ we get the conclusion.

Let $\Delta^0(h)_j := h(j)$ for any $0 \leq j \leq n-r$. For $k \geq 1$ let $\Delta^k(h)_0 := 1$ and $\Delta^k(h)_j := \Delta^{k-1}(h)_j - \Delta^{k-1}(h)_{j-1}$ for any $1 \leq j \leq n-r$. We claim that

$$\Delta^k(h)_j = \sum_{s=0}^k (-1)^s h(j-s) \binom{k}{s}$$

for any $k \geq 1$ and $0 \leq j \leq n-r$. We proceed by induction on k .

If $k = 1$, then

$$\Delta^1(h)_j = \Delta^0(h)_j - \Delta^0(h)_{j-1} = h(j) - h(j-1) = \sum_{s=0}^1 (-1)^s h(j-s) \binom{1}{s}$$

for any $1 \leq j \leq n-r$.

If $k > 1$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta^k(h)_j &= \Delta^{k-1}(h)_j - \Delta^{k-1}(h)_{j-1} = \sum_{s=0}^{k-1} (-1)^s h(j-s) \binom{k-1}{s} - \sum_{s=0}^{k-1} (-1)^s h(j-1-s) \binom{k-1}{s} \\ &= h(j) \binom{k-1}{0} + \sum_{s=1}^{k-1} (-1)^s h(j-s) \binom{k-1}{s} - \sum_{s=0}^{k-2} (-1)^s h(j-1-s) \binom{k-1}{s} + (-1)^k h(j-k) \binom{k-1}{k-1} \\ &= h(j) + \sum_{s=1}^{k-1} (-1)^s h(j-s) \left[\binom{k-1}{s} + \binom{k-1}{s-1} \right] + (-1)^k h(j-k) \binom{k-1}{k-1} \\ &= h(j) + \sum_{s=1}^{k-1} (-1)^s h(j-s) \binom{k}{s} + (-1)^k h(j-k) \binom{k-1}{k-1} = \sum_{s=0}^k (-1)^s h(j-s) \binom{k}{s}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, if $k = n$ it follows that

$$h_j = \Delta^n(h)_j = \sum_{s=0}^n (-1)^s h(j-s) \binom{n}{s} = \sum_{s=0}^j (-1)^s h(j-s) \binom{n}{s}$$

for any $1 \leq j \leq n-r$.

□

Next we will give some examples.

Example 5.4. Let $\mathcal{A} = \{A_1, \dots, A_7\}$, where $A_1 = A_2 = A_3 = A_6 = A_7 = [7]$, $A_4 = A_5 = [7] \setminus [3]$. The cone generated by A , the exponent set of generators of K -algebra $K[\mathcal{A}]$, has the irreducible representation:

$$\mathbb{R}_+ A = H_{\nu_{\sigma^0[3]}^+} \cap H_{e_1}^+ \cap \dots \cap H_{e_7}^+.$$

The type of $K[\mathcal{A}]$ is:

$$\text{type}(K[\mathcal{A}]) = 1 + \binom{8}{2} \binom{4}{3} = 113.$$

The Hilbert series of $K[\mathcal{A}]$ is:

$$H_{K[\mathcal{A}]}(t) = \frac{1 + 1561t + 24795t^2 + 57023t^3 + 25571t^4 + 1673t^5 + t^6}{(1-t)^7}.$$

Note that $\text{type}(K[\mathcal{A}]) = 1 + h_5 - h_1 = 113$.

Example 5.5. Let $\mathcal{A} = \{A_1, \dots, A_7\}$, where $A_3 = A_4 = [7]$, $A_1 = A_2 = A_5 = A_6 = A_7 = [7] \setminus [4]$. The cone generated by A , the exponent set of generators of K -algebra $K[\mathcal{A}]$, has the irreducible representation:

$$\mathbb{R}_+ A = H_{\nu_{\sigma^0[4]}^+} \cap H_{e_1}^+ \cap \dots \cap H_{e_7}^+.$$

The type of $K[\mathcal{A}]$ is:

$$\text{type}(K[\mathcal{A}]) = \binom{4}{3} \binom{15}{2} + \binom{3}{3} \binom{16}{2} = 540.$$

The Hilbert series of $K[\mathcal{A}]$ is:

$$H_{K[\mathcal{A}]}(t) = \frac{1 + 351t + 2835t^2 + 3297t^3 + 540t^4}{(1-t)^7}.$$

Note that $\text{type}(K[\mathcal{A}]) = h_4 = 540$.

We end this section with the following open problem:

Open Problem: Let $n \geq 4$, $A_i \subset [n]$ for any $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $K[\mathcal{A}]$ be the base ring associated to the transversal polymatroid presented by $\mathcal{A} = \{A_1, \dots, A_n\}$. If the Hilbert series is:

$$H_{K[\mathcal{A}]}(t) = \frac{1 + h_1 t + \dots + h_{n-r} t^{n-r}}{(1-t)^n},$$

then we have the followings:

- 1) If $r = 1$, then $\text{type}(K[\mathcal{A}]) = 1 + h_{n-2} - h_1$.
- 2) If $2 \leq r \leq n$, then $\text{type}(K[\mathcal{A}]) = h_{n-r}$.

Acknowledgments: The author would like to thank Professor Dorin Popescu for valuable suggestions and comments during the preparation of this paper.

References

- [1] A.Brøndsted, *Introduction to Convex Polytopes*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics 90, Springer-Verlag, 1983.
- [2] W. Bruns, J. Herzog, *Cohen-Macaulay rings*, Revised Edition, Cambridge, 1996.
- [3] W.Brun, J.Gubeladze *Polytopes, rings and K-theory*, July 30 2007.

- [4] W. Bruns, R. Koch, *Normaliz* -a program for computing normalizations of affine semigroups, 1998. Available via anonymous ftp from: ftp.mathematik.Uni-Osnabrueck.DE/pub/osm/kommalg/software.
- [5] J Edmonds, *Submodular functions, matroids, and certain polyedra*, in Combinatorial Structures and Their Applications, R Guy, H.Hanani, N. Sauer and J. Schonheim (Eds.), Gordon and Breach, New York, 1970.
- [6] J.Herzog and T.Hibi, *Discrete polymatroids*. J. Algebraic Combin. 16(2002), no. 3, 239-268.
- [7] J. Herzog, T. Hibi, M. Vlădoiu, Ideals of fiber type and polymatroids, Osaka J. Math. 42 (2005), 807-829.
- [8] J. Oxley, *Matroid Theory*, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1992.
- [9] E.Miller and B Strumfels, *Combinatorial commutative algebra*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 227, Springer-Verlag, New-York 2005.
- [10] A. Ştefan, *A class of transversal polymatroids with Gorenstein base ring*, Bull. Math. Soc. Sci. Math. Roumanie Tome 51(99) No. 1, (2008), 67-79.
- [11] A. Ştefan, *Intersections of base rings associated to transversal polymatroids*, to appear in Bull. Math. Soc. Sci. Math. Roumanie, Tome 51(99) No. 4, (2008), <http://arXiv.org/pdf/:0805.2729>.
- [12] R. Villarreal, *Monomial Algebras*, Marcel Dekker, 2001.
- [13] M. Vlădoiu, Discrete polymatroids, An. Şt. Univ. Ovidius, Constanţa, 14 (2006), 89-112.
- [14] M. Vlădoiu, Equidimensional and unmixed ideals of Veronese type, to appear in Communications in Alg., arXiv:math. AC/0611326.
- [15] R. Webster, *Convexity*, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1994.
- [16] D. Welsh, *Matroid Theory*, Academic Press, London, 1976.

Alin Ştefan, Assistant Professor
 "Petroleum and Gas" University of Ploieşti
 Ploieşti, Romania
 E-mail:nastefan@upg-ploiesti.ro