Separable operations on pure states

Vlad Gheorghiu^{1, *} and Robert B. Griffiths¹

 $¹ Department of Physics, Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15213, USA$ </sup>

(Dated: Version of July 14, 2008)

It is shown that the possible ensembles produced when a separable operation acts on a single pure bipartite entangled state are completely characterized by a majorization condition, a collection of inequalities for Schmidt coefficients, which is identical to that already known for the particular case of local operations and classical communication (LOCC). As a consequence, various known results for LOCC, including some involving monotonicity of entanglement, can be extended to the class of all separable operations.

PACS numbers: 03.67.Mn

I. INTRODUCTION

A separable operation Λ on a bipartite quantum system is a transformation of the form

$$
\rho' = \Lambda(\rho) = \sum_{k=1}^{N} (A_k \otimes B_k) \rho (A_k \otimes B_k)^{\dagger}, \qquad (1)
$$

where ρ is an initial density operator on the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_A \otimes \mathcal{H}_B$. The Kraus operators $A_k \otimes B_k$ are arbitrary product operators satisfying the closure condition

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{N} A_k^{\dagger} A_k \otimes B_k^{\dagger} B_k = I_A \otimes I_B, \qquad (2)
$$

with I_A and I_B the identity operators. The extension to multipartite systems is obvious, but here we will only consider the bipartite case. To avoid technical issues the sums in [\(1\)](#page-0-1) and [\(2\)](#page-0-2) as well as the dimensions D_A and D_B of \mathcal{H}_A and \mathcal{H}_B are assumed to be finite.

Local operations with classical communication (LOCC) form a subset of separable operations in which the Kraus operators $A_k \otimes B_k$ are restricted by the requirement that they be generated in the following fashion. Alice carries out an operation $\{A_i^{(1)}\},\$ $\sum_i A_i^{(1)\dagger} A_i^{(1)} = I_A$, in the usual way with the help of an ancilla, the measurement of which yields the value of i, which is then transmitted to Bob. He uses i to choose an operation ${B_j^{(2,i)}},$ the result j of which is transmitted back to Alice, whose next operation can depend on j as well as i , and so forth. While it is (fairly) easy to see that the end result after an arbitrary number of rounds is of the form [\(1\)](#page-0-1), it is difficult to characterize in simple mathematical or physical terms precisely what it is that distinguishes LOCC from more general separable operations. Examples show that separable operations can be more effective than LOCC in distinguishing certain sets of orthogonal states [\[1\]](#page-3-0), even in a system

as simple as two qubits [\[2\]](#page-3-1), but apart from this little is known about the difference.

What we demonstrate in Sec. [II](#page-0-3) of this paper is that the ensemble $\{p_k, |\phi_k\rangle\}$ produced by a separable operation acting on a pure state $|\psi\rangle$, see [\(5\)](#page-0-4), satisfies a majorization condition [\(7\)](#page-1-0), which is already known to be a necessary and sufficient condition for producing the same ensemble from the same $|\psi\rangle$ by LOCC. Among the consequences discussed in Sec. [III](#page-2-0) are: a separable operation acting on a pure state can be "simulated" by LOCC; a necessary condition for a deterministic transformation $|\psi\rangle \rightarrow |\phi\rangle$ given in [\[3](#page-3-2)] can be replaced by a necessary and sufficient majorization condition; and certain entanglement measures are nonincreasing under separable operations. Section [IV](#page-2-1) summarizes our main result and indicates some open questions.

II. ENSEMBLES PRODUCED BY SEPARABLE OPERATIONS ON PURE BIPARTITE STATES

A. Majorization conditions

Let ${A_k \otimes B_k}_{k=1}^N$ be a separable operation on $\mathcal{H}_A \otimes$ \mathcal{H}_B , specified by N Kraus operators satisfying the closure condition [\(2\)](#page-0-2). Let $|\psi\rangle$ be a normalized entangled state on $\mathcal{H}_A \otimes \mathcal{H}_B$ with Schmidt form

$$
|\psi\rangle = \sum_{j=1}^{D} \sqrt{\lambda_j} |a_j\rangle |b_j\rangle, \tag{3}
$$

where $D = D_B$, and we assume without loss of generality that $D_A \geq D_B$. Here $\{|a_j\rangle\}$ and $\{|b_j\rangle\}$ are orthonormal bases chosen so that the Schmidt weights (coefficients) λ_i are in increasing order, i.e.

$$
0 \leq \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \cdots \leq \lambda_D. \tag{4}
$$

The separable operation acting on $|\psi\rangle$ will produce an ensemble $\{p_k, |\phi_k\rangle\}_{k=1}^N$, where

$$
(A_k \otimes B_k)|\psi\rangle = \sqrt{p_k}|\phi_k\rangle \tag{5}
$$

and

$$
p_k = \langle \psi | A_k^\dagger A_k \otimes B_k^\dagger B_k | \psi \rangle. \tag{6}
$$

[∗]Electronic address: vgheorgh@andrew.cmu.edu

In [\[4\]](#page-3-3) it was shown that such an ensemble $\{p_k, |\phi_k\rangle\}$ can be produced from $|\psi\rangle$ by a suitable LOCC if and only if the majorization inequalities

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{N} p_k E_n(|\phi_k\rangle) \leqslant E_n(|\psi\rangle)
$$
\n(7)

hold for $1 \leqslant n \leqslant D$, where

$$
E_n(|\psi\rangle) = \chi_n\big(\text{Tr}_A|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|\big) = \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j,\tag{8}
$$

and similarly for the $|\phi_k\rangle$. Here $\text{Tr}_A(|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|)$ is the reduced density operator of $|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|$ on Bob's side, and $\chi_n(\cdot)$ is defined to be the sum of the first n smallest eigenvalues of its argument. Note that we are assuming that $D = D_B \leq D_A$, because if D_B were greater than D_A the extra zero eigenvalues in $\text{Tr}_A|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|$ would cause confusion when using χ_n .

Our main result is the following.

Theorem 1. The ensemble $\{p_k, |\phi_k\rangle\}_{k=1}^N$ can be produced by a bipartite separable operation acting on the normalized state $|\psi\rangle$ if and only if the majorization condition defined by the collection of inequalities in [\(7\)](#page-1-0) is satisfied.

Proof. To simplify the proof we assume that $D_A = D_B =$ D. If D_A is larger, one always modify each A_k by following it with a suitable local unitary which has the result that as long as the Kraus operators are acting on a fixed $|\psi\rangle$ the action on the A side takes place in a subspace of \mathcal{H}_A of dimension D. These local unitaries do not change the Schmidt weights of the $|\phi_k\rangle$ or alter the closure condition [\(2\)](#page-0-2). For more details about this "decoupling" see [\[3\]](#page-3-2).

When the majorization condition [\(7\)](#page-1-0) holds the result in [\[4](#page-3-3)] guarantees the existence of an LOCC (hence separable operation) which will produce the ensemble out of $|\psi\rangle$. The reverse inference, that the ensemble $\{p_k, |\phi_k\rangle\}$ defined in [\(5\)](#page-0-4) and [\(6\)](#page-0-5) satisfies [\(7\)](#page-1-0), follows from noting that

$$
p_k E_n(|\phi_k\rangle) = \chi_n \big(\text{Tr}_A[A_k \otimes B_k | \psi \rangle \langle \psi | A_k^{\dagger} \otimes B_k^{\dagger}] \big), \quad (9)
$$

and applying Theorem [2](#page-1-1) below with $R = I_A \otimes I_B$, corre-sponding to [\(2\)](#page-0-2), so $||R|| = 1$.

B. A majorization theorem

Theorem 2. Let \mathcal{H}_A and \mathcal{H}_B have the same dimension D, let $|\psi\rangle$ be some pure state on $\mathcal{H}_A \otimes \mathcal{H}_B$, and let $\{A_k \otimes$ $\{B_k\}_{k=1}^N$ be any collection of product operators on $\mathcal{H}_A \otimes$ \mathcal{H}_B . Then for every $1 \leq n \leq D$

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{N} \chi_n \left(\text{Tr}_A[A_k \otimes B_k | \psi \rangle \langle \psi | A_k^{\dagger} \otimes B_k^{\dagger}] \right) \leq ||R|| \chi_n \left(\text{Tr}_A | \psi \rangle \langle \psi | \right), \quad (10)
$$

where $||R|| = \sup_{||\omega||=1} ||R|\omega\rangle||$ is the largest eigenvalue of the positive operator

$$
R = \sum_{k=1}^{N} A_k^{\dagger} A_k \otimes B_k^{\dagger} B_k. \tag{11}
$$

Proof. By map-state duality [\[3](#page-3-2), [5,](#page-3-4) [6\]](#page-3-5), using the Schmidt bases of $|\psi\rangle$, we transform the state $A_k \otimes B_k |\psi\rangle$ to a map $A_k \psi \bar{B}_k$, where

$$
\psi = \sum_{j=1}^{D} \sqrt{\lambda_j} |a_j\rangle\langle b_j|.
$$
 (12)

denotes an operator mapping \mathcal{H}_B to \mathcal{H}_A , and $\bar{B}_k = B_k^T$ is the transpose of B_k . The matrix of ψ using the Schmidt bases of $|\psi\rangle$ is diagonal, with the entries on the diagonal in increasing order. (See Sec. II of [\[3\]](#page-3-2) for more details on map-state duality.) Upon writing the partial traces as

$$
\text{Tr}_A|\psi\rangle\langle\psi| = \psi\psi^{\dagger},
$$

\n
$$
\text{Tr}_A[A_k \otimes B_k|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|A_k^{\dagger} \otimes B_k^{\dagger}] = A_k \psi B_k \bar{B}_k^{\dagger} \psi^{\dagger} A_k^{\dagger}, \quad (13)
$$

the inequalities [\(10\)](#page-1-2) become:

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{N} \chi_n(A_k \psi \bar{B}_k \bar{B}_k^{\dagger} \psi^{\dagger} A_k^{\dagger}) \leq \|R\| \chi_n(\psi \psi^{\dagger}). \tag{14}
$$

For some n between 1 and D write the diagonal matrix ψ as

$$
\psi = \psi_n + \tilde{\psi}_n,\tag{15}
$$

where ψ_n is the same matrix but with $\lambda_{n+1}, \lambda_{n+2}, \ldots$ set equal to zero, while $\tilde{\psi}_n$ is obtained by setting $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_n$ equal to zero. Lemma [1,](#page-1-3) below, tells us that for each k ,

$$
\chi_n(A_k \psi \bar{B}_k \bar{B}_k^\dagger \psi^\dagger A_k^\dagger) \leq \text{Tr}(A_k \psi_n \bar{B}_k \bar{B}_k^\dagger \psi_n^\dagger A_k^\dagger). \tag{16}
$$

By map-state duality,

$$
\text{Tr}(A_k \psi_n \bar{B}_k \bar{B}_k^\dagger \psi_n^\dagger A_k^\dagger) = \langle \psi_n | A_k^\dagger A_k \otimes B_k^\dagger B_k | \psi_n \rangle \quad (17)
$$

where $|\psi_n\rangle$, the counterpart of ψ_n , is given by [\(3\)](#page-0-6) with D replaced by n. Inserting (17) in (16) and summing over k , see (11) , yields

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{N} \chi_n(A_k \psi \bar{B}_k \bar{B}_k^{\dagger} \psi^{\dagger} A_k^{\dagger}) \leq \langle \psi_n | R | \psi_n \rangle
$$

$$
\leq \|R\| \langle \psi_n | \psi_n \rangle = \|R\| \chi_n(\psi^{\dagger} \psi). \tag{18}
$$

 \Box

This establishes [\(14\)](#page-1-7), which is equivalent to [\(10\)](#page-1-2).

Lemma 1. Let A, B, and ψ be $D \times D$ matrices, where ψ is diagonal with nonnegative diagonal elements in increasing order, and for some $1 \leq n \leq D$ let ψ_n be obtained from ψ by setting all but the n smallest diagonal elements equal to 0 , as in (15) . Then

$$
\chi_n(A\psi BB^{\dagger}\psi^{\dagger}A^{\dagger}) \leq \text{Tr}(A\psi_n BB^{\dagger}\psi_n^{\dagger}A^{\dagger}).\tag{19}
$$

Proof. The inequality

$$
\chi_n(A\psi BB^\dagger \psi^\dagger A^\dagger) \leq \text{Tr}(P_n A\psi BB^\dagger \psi^\dagger A^\dagger P_n),\qquad(20)
$$

where P_n is a projector (orthogonal projection operator) of rank at least n , follows from the fact that for any Hermitian operator T the sum of its n smallest eigenvalues is the minimum of $\text{Tr}(P_nTP_n)$ over such P_n , see [\[7](#page-3-6)]. Choose P_n to be the projector onto the orthogonal complement of the range of $A\tilde{\psi}_n$, where $\tilde{\psi}_n = \psi - \tilde{\psi}_n$, as in [\(15\)](#page-1-8). The rank of $A\tilde{\psi}_n$ is no larger than the rank of $\tilde{\psi}_n$, which is smaller than or equal to $D - n$. Thus the dimension of the range of $A\tilde{\psi}_n$ cannot exceed $D-n$, so the rank of P_n is at least *n*. By construction, $P_n A \tilde{\psi}_n = 0$, so

$$
P_n A \psi = P_n A(\psi_n + \tilde{\psi}_n) = P_n A \psi_n.
$$
 (21)

Thus with this choice of P_n the right side of [\(20\)](#page-2-2) is

$$
\text{Tr}(P_n A \psi_n B B^\dagger \psi_n^\dagger A^\dagger P_n),\tag{22}
$$

and this implies [\(19\)](#page-1-9), since $P_n \leqslant I$ and $A\psi_n BB^{\dagger} \psi_n^{\dagger} A^{\dagger}$ is positive.

III. CONSEQUENCES

The following are some consequences of Theorem [1.](#page-1-10)

- i) An ensemble $\{p_k, |\phi_k\rangle\}$ can be produced by a separable operation acting on a bipartite entangled state $|\psi\rangle$ if and only if it can be produced by some LOCC acting on the same state $|\psi\rangle$.
- ii) For a given bipartite $|\psi\rangle$ and separable operation ${A_k \otimes B_k}_{k=1}^N$ there is another operation of the form $\{\hat{A}_k \otimes U_k\}_{k=1}^M$, where the U_k are unitary operators (and the closure condition is $\sum_{k} \hat{A}_{k}^{\dagger} \hat{A}_{k} = I_{A}$), which produces the same ensemble when applied to $|\psi\rangle$. Here M could be different from N, as two Kraus operators might yield the same $|\phi_k\rangle$.
- iii) A deterministic transformation $|\psi\rangle \rightarrow |\phi\rangle$ by a separable operation is possible if and only if $E_n(|\phi\rangle) \leq$ $E_n(|\psi\rangle)$ for every *n* between 1 and *D*, with $E_n(.)$ defined in [\(8\)](#page-1-11) This is often written as $\lambda_{\psi} \prec \lambda_{\phi}$, where λ_{ψ} and λ_{ϕ} are vectors of the corresponding Schmidt weights. (This extends Theorem 1 in [\[3\]](#page-3-2).)
- iv) The maximum probability of success for the transformation $|\psi\rangle \rightarrow |\phi\rangle$ by a separable operation is given by

$$
p_{max}^{SEP}(|\psi\rangle \to |\phi\rangle) = \min_{n \in [1,D]} \frac{E_n(|\psi\rangle)}{E_n(|\phi\rangle)},\tag{23}
$$

where $E_n(\cdot)$ was defined in [\(8\)](#page-1-11).

v) An entanglement measures E defined on pure bipartite states is nonincreasing on average under separable operations, which is to say

$$
E(|\psi\rangle) \geqslant \sum_{k=1}^{N} p_k E(|\phi_k\rangle) \tag{24}
$$

if and only if it is similarly nonincreasing under LOCC.

vi) Let

$$
\hat{E}(\rho) = \inf \sum_{i} p_i E(|\psi_i\rangle),\tag{25}
$$

with the infimum over all ensembles $\{p_i, |\psi_i\rangle\}$ yielding the density operator $\rho = \sum_i p_i |\psi_i\rangle \langle \psi_i|$, be the convex roof extension of a pure state entanglement measure E that is monotone on pure states in the sense of (24) . Then \hat{E} is monotone on mixed states in the sense that

$$
\hat{E}(\rho) \geqslant \sum_{k=1}^{N} p_k \hat{E}(\sigma_k)
$$
\n(26)

for any ensemble $\{p_k, \sigma_k\}$ produced from ρ by separable operations.

The result (i) is an immediate consequence of Theorem [1,](#page-1-10) as the same majorization condition applies for both separable and LOCC. Then (ii), (iii), and (iv) are immediate consequences of known results, in [\[8](#page-3-7)], [\[9](#page-3-8)], and [\[10\]](#page-3-9), respectively, for LOCC. The result (v) is an obvious consequence of (i), whereas (vi) follows from general arguments about convex roof extensions; see Sec. XV.C.2 of [\[11](#page-3-10)].

IV. CONCLUSION

We have shown that possible ensembles of states produced by applying a separable operation to a bipartite entangled pure state can be exactly characterized through a majorization condition, the collection of inequalities (7) for different *n*. These have long been known to be necessary and sufficient conditions for producing such an ensemble using LOCC, so their extension to the full class of separable operations is not altogether surprising, even if our proof is not altogether straightforward.

Connecting the full set of separable operations with the more specialized LOCC class immediately yields several significant consequences for the former, as indicated in the list in Sec. [III,](#page-2-0) because much is already known about the latter. Of particular significance is that various entanglement measures are monotone, meaning they cannot increase, under separable operations—something expected on intuitive grounds, but now rigorously proved. Since such monotonicity under LOCC has long been considered a necessary, or at least a very desirable, condition

for any "reasonable" entanglement measure on mixed states, one wonders whether monotonicity under separable operations, in principle a stronger condition, might be an equally good or even superior desideratum.

Our results apply only to bipartite states, but separable operations and the LOCC subclass can both be defined for multipartite systems. Might it be that in the multipartite case the ensemble produced by applying a separable operation to a pure entangled state could also be produced by some LOCC applied to the same state? It might be, but proving it would require very different methods than used here. There are no simple multipartite analogs of the Schmidt representation [\(3\)](#page-0-6), the majorization condition [\(7\)](#page-1-0), or map-state duality.

Even in the bipartite case we still know very little about separable operations which are not LOCC, aside

from the fact that they exist and can be used to distinguish certain collections of orthogonal states more effectively than LOCC. The results in this paper contribute only indirectly to a better understanding of this matter: looking at what a separable operation does when applied to a single entangled state will not help; one must ask what it does to several different states.

Acknowledgments

We thank Li Yu for useful comments. The research described here received support from the National Science Foundation through Grant No. PHY-0456951.

- [1] C. H. Bennett, D. P. DiVincenzo, C. A. Fuchs, T. Mor, E. Rains, P. W. Shor, J. A. Smolin, and W. K. Wootters, Phys. Rev. A 59, 1070 (1999).
- [2] R. Duan, Y. Feng, and M. Ying, Distinguishability of quantum states by separable operations, e-print [arXiv:0705.0795](http://arxiv.org/abs/0705.0795) [quant-ph], URL <http://arxiv.org/abs/0705.0795>.
- [3] V. Gheorghiu and R. B. Griffiths, Physical Review A (Atomic, Molecular, and Optical Physics) 76, 032310 (pages 5) (2007), URL <http://link.aps.org/abstract/PRA/v76/e032310>.
- [4] D. Jonathan and M. B. Plenio, Phys. Rev. Lett. 83, 1455 (1999).
- [5] K. Zyczkowski and I. Bengtsson, Open Syst. Inf. Dyn. 11, 3 (2004), e-print [arXiv:quant-ph/0401119.](http://arxiv.org/abs/quant-ph/0401119)
- [6] R. B. Griffiths, S. Wu, L. Yu, and S. M. Cohen, Physical Review A (Atomic, Molecular, and Optical Physics) 73, 052309 (pages 17) (2006), URL <http://link.aps.org/abstract/PRA/v73/e052309>.
- [7] R. A. Horn and C. R. Johnson, Matrix Analysis (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1999).
- [8] H.-K. Lo and S. Popescu, Phys. Rev. A 63, 022301 (2001).
- [9] M. A. Nielsen, Phys. Rev. Lett. 83, 436 (1999).
- [10] G. Vidal, Phys. Rev. Lett. 83, 1046 (1999).
- Horodecki, P. Horodecki, M. Horodecki, and K. Horodecki, Quantum entanglement, e-print [arXiv:quant-ph/0702225,](http://arxiv.org/abs/quant-ph/0702225) URL <http://arxiv.org/abs/quant-ph/0702225>.