THE ENERGY SPACE FOR THE GROSS-PITAEVSKII EQUATION WITH MAGNETIC FIELD

AYMAN KACHMAR

ABSTRACT. We study the energy space for the Gross-Pitaevskii equation with magnetic field and non-vanishing conditions at infinity. We provide necessary and sufficient conditions on the magnetic field for which the energy space is non-empty.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let us consider the Gross-Pitaevskii equation with magnetic field,

(1.1)
$$i\partial_t \psi = (\nabla - iA)^2 \psi + (1 - |\psi|^2) \psi \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^2.$$

where ψ is a complex-valued wave function and $A \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^2; \mathbb{R}^2)$ is a given magnetic potential - the magnetic field being,

$$(1.2) B = \operatorname{curl} A.$$

Setting A = 0, we get the usual Gross-Pitaevskii equation, which is being intensively studied, see for instance the papers [1, 3, 4], where solutions with non-vanishing conditions at infinity appear to be of particular interest.

When seeking solutions of (1.1) with non-vanishing conditions at infinity, the natural set-up is to work in the energy space,

(1.3)
$$\mathcal{E}_B = \left\{ \psi \in H^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^2; \mathbb{C}) : (\nabla - iA)\psi, \ 1 - |\psi|^2 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2) \right\}.$$

The rough justification is that Eq. (1.1) appears formally as the Hamiltonian evolution of the Ginzburg-Landau energy,¹

(1.4)
$$E_B(\psi) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left(|(\nabla - iA)\psi|^2 + \frac{1}{2}(1 - |\psi|^2)^2 \right) \mathrm{d}x.$$

In the presence of magnetic fields, i.e. when B does not vanish, it is far from obvious that the energy space (1.3) is non-empty for any magnetic potential A. As we shall see, this will be entirely dependent on the magnetic field B (for instance, when B is constant, \mathcal{E}_B will be empty).

Theorem 1.1. Assume that the magnetic field satisfies

(1.5)
$$B \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^2; \mathbb{R}) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2; \mathbb{R}), \quad B(x) \ge 0 \quad \forall \ x \in \mathbb{R}^2$$

and let A be any magnetic potential satisfying (1.2). Then the energy space \mathcal{E}_B is non-empty if and only if $B \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^2; \mathbb{R})$.

- **Remark 1.2.** (1) We drop the magnetic potential A from the notation due to gauge invariance. Actually, if $\psi \in H^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ is such that $E_B(\psi, A) < \infty$, then for all $\chi \in H^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^2)$, $E_B(\psi e^{i\chi}, A + \nabla \chi) < \infty$.
 - (2) Thanks to gauge invariance, we may always assume, under the assumptions made in Theorem 1.1, that $A \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$.

¹We may some times write $E_B(\psi, A)$ instead of $E_B(\psi)$, in order to point out the dependence on A.

A. KACHMAR

- (3) If one may pick a potential $A' \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ such that $\operatorname{curl} A' = B$, then it is clear that the energy space \mathcal{E}_B is non-empty, as it contains a function of constant module, $e^{i\chi}$. Actually this will be shown to be the case if we assume, in addition to the hypotheses made in Theorem 1.1, that $B \in$ $L^1(\mathbb{R}^2; \mathbb{R})$.
- (4) As an immediate corollary of Theorem 1.1, if the magnetic field is constant or more generally if

$$B(x) \to c$$
 as $|x| \to \infty$, $c > 0$.

then the energy space \mathcal{E}_B is empty.

(5) The hypotheses on the sign of B is to establish the necessary condition. As one may check through the proof, this can be relaxed to B of constant sign.

The hypotheses made in Theorem 1.1 on the magnetic field B are physically relevant and fit the regimes observed in the analysis of the Ginzburg-Landau functional, as one might see the books [6, 7]. However, we may give further generalizations when dropping the hypothesis that the magnetic field is bounded and positive, as we indeed do in the next two theorems.

Theorem 1.3. Assume that the magnetic field satisfies $B \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^2; \mathbb{R})$ and $B = \operatorname{curl} A$ for some $A \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2; \mathbb{R}^2)$ such that $\operatorname{div} A \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2; \mathbb{R})$. Then the energy space \mathcal{E}_B is non-empty if and only if $B = \operatorname{curl} A'$ for some $A' \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^2; \mathbb{R})$.

 $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2;\mathbb{R}^2).$

Theorem 1.4. Assume that the magnetic potential satisfies,

$$A \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^2), \quad \nabla A \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2).$$

Then the energy space \mathcal{E}_B is non-empty if and only if $B = \operatorname{curl} A'$ for some $A' \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2; \mathbb{R}^2)$.

Theorems 1.1-1.4 support the following conjecture.

Conjecture 1.5. Let $B \in C(\mathbb{R}^2)$. Then the energy space \mathcal{E}_B is non-empty if and only if $B = \operatorname{curl} A'$ for some $A' \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2; \mathbb{R}^2)$.

We finally conclude by mentioning that we use two dimensional tools in handling Theorems 1.1-1.4, that's why we could not extend them to three dimensions. However, as one may check through the proofs, it still holds in three dimensions that the energy space is empty when the magnetic field is constant. Therefore, it sounds reasonable to believe that the results extend to three dimensions as well.

2. Preliminaries

We start with some observations concerning the Ginzburg-Landau equation in \mathbb{R}^2 ,

(2.1)
$$-(\nabla - iA)^2 \psi = (1 - |\psi|^2) \psi \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^2$$

Lemma 2.1. Assume that $A \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^2; \mathbb{R}^2)$. Let $\psi \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^2; \mathbb{C})$ be a solution of the Ginzburg-Landau equation (2.1). Then $|\psi| \leq 1$.

Proof. This is a classical consequence of the strong maximum principle, see [7, Chapter 3]. \Box

Lemma 2.2. Let $A \in L^{\infty}_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^2; \mathbb{R}^2)$. If the energy space \mathcal{E}_B is non-empty, then there exists a finite-energy solution $\psi \in \mathcal{E}_B$ of the Ginzburg-Landau equation (2.1). If we assume in addition that $B \in C(\mathbb{R}^2)$, then up to a gauge transformation, $\psi \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$.

 $\mathbf{2}$

Proof. The energy space being non-empty, we denote by

$$c_0 = \inf_{\psi \in \mathcal{E}_B} E_B(\psi) \,.$$

We shall prove that E_B admits a minimizer in \mathcal{E}_B . To that end, pick a minimizing sequence (ψ_n) in \mathcal{E}_B such that

$$E_B(\psi_n) \to c_0 \quad \text{as } n \to \infty.$$

Then, ψ_n is pre-compact in $H^1(B(0, R); \mathbb{C})$ for all R > 0. Consequently, using a standard diagonal argument, we may pick a subsequence, still denoted by (ψ_n) , and a function $\psi \in H^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ such that

$$\psi_n \rightharpoonup \psi$$
 weakly in $H^1(B(0,R);\mathbb{C}), \quad \forall R > 0$.

By lower semi-continuity of the H^1 -norm, the continuous embedding of H^1 in L^4 and the locally compact embedding of H^1 in L^2 , it holds that,

$$\int_{B(0,R)} \left(|(\nabla - iA)\psi|^2 + \frac{1}{2}(1 - |\psi|^2)^2 \right) dx$$

$$\leq \liminf_{n \to \infty} \int_{B(0,R)} \left(|(\nabla - iA)\psi_n|^2 + \frac{1}{2}(1 - |\psi_n|^2)^2 \right) dx \leq 2c_0.$$

The radius R > 0 being arbitrary, we deduce that $E_B(\psi) \leq c_0$, hence $\psi \in \mathcal{E}_B$ and minimizes E_B .

Knowing more information about the magnetic potential A, we may precise the behavior of finite-energy solutions of (2.1) as $|x| \to \infty$.

Lemma 2.3. Let $A \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2; \mathbb{R}^2)$ be such that div $A \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ and curl $A \in C(\mathbb{R}^2)$. If ψ is a finite-energy solution of (2.1), then $1 - |\psi|^2 \in H^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$, hence $|\psi(x)| \to 1$ as $|x| \to \infty$.

Proof. Setting $\varphi = 1 - |\psi|^2$, it is easy to establish that,

$$-\Delta \varphi + 2\varphi = |(\nabla - iA)\varphi|^2 + 2\varphi^2 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^2$$

Using the bound $|\psi| \leq 1$ of Lemma 2.1 and the fact that $E_B(\psi) < \infty$, we infer that $\varphi \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$ and $\varphi^2 \in L^4(\mathbb{R}^2)$. By showing that $|(\nabla - iA)\psi| \in L^4(\mathbb{R}^2)$, we invoke the L^2 regularity of $-\Delta + 2$ and we deduce the desired result, $\varphi \in H^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$.

So, let us establish that $|(\nabla - iA)\psi| \in L^4(\mathbb{R}^2)$. Setting $v = (\nabla - iA)\psi$, we know that $v \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ since ψ has finite energy. For instance, it holds that,

$$-(\nabla - iA)^2 v = (1 - |\psi|^2)v - 2\psi|\psi|\nabla|\psi|$$

Thus, using the diamagnetic inequality, $|(\nabla - iA)\psi| \ge |\nabla|\psi||$, the bounds $|\psi| \le 1$ and $E_B(\psi) < \infty$, we deduce that $(\nabla - iA)^2 v \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$.

Up to now, we have not used the hypotheses on A. We shall need them to show that $\Delta v \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$. Actually, it holds that,

$$\Delta v = 2iA \cdot \nabla v + i (\operatorname{div} A) v - |A|^2 v.$$

Since $v \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$, we deduce that $\Delta v \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$. Consequently, we obtain $v \in H^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$. Using Sobolev embedding, we get what we desire to prove. \Box

Lemma 2.4. Let $A \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^2; \mathbb{R}^2)$ be such that $\nabla A \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$. If ψ is a finiteenergy solution of (2.1), then $|\psi(x)| \to 1$ as $|x| \to \infty$.

Proof. Let us establish in a first step that $|(\nabla - iA)\psi| \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2)$. Assume by contradiction that there exists a sequence (x_n) such that

(2.2)
$$|(\nabla - iA)\psi|(x_n) \to \infty \text{ as } n \to \infty.$$

Define the translated functions,

$$\psi_n(x) = \psi(x_n + x), \quad A_n(x) = A(x_n + x).$$

Then, ψ_n satisfies the following equation,

 $-\Delta\psi_n + 2iA_n \cdot \nabla\psi_n + i(\operatorname{div} A_n)\psi_n = (1 - |\psi_n|^2)\psi_n + |A_n|^2\psi_n \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^2.$

Take R > 0, p > 2 and let us establish the existence of positive constants C_R , $C_{R,p} > 0$ and a function $\chi_n \in H^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ such that, upon setting $A'_n = A - \nabla \chi_n$ and $\varphi_n = e^{i\chi_n}\psi_n$,

(2.3)
$$||A'_n||_{L^{\infty}(B_R)} \le C_R, \quad ||\varphi_n||_{W^{2,p}(B_R)} \le C_{R,p}, \quad \forall \ n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Once this is shown to hold, φ_n becomes bounded in $W^{2,p}(B_R)$, and hence, by the Sobolev embedding theorem, in $C^{1,\alpha}(B_R)$ for any $\alpha \in (0,1)$. Since $C^{1,\alpha}(B_R)$ is compactly embedded in $C^1(B_R)$, we get a function $\varphi \in C^1(B_R)$ such that, upon extraction of a subsequence, φ_n converges to φ locally in C^1 . Thanks again to (2.3), we get a constant vector $a \in \mathbb{R}^2$ such that by passing to a further subsequence,

 $|(\nabla - iA'_n)\varphi_n|(0) \to |(\nabla - ia)\varphi|(0) \text{ as } n \to \infty.$

Coming back to the initial coordinates and gauge, this is in contradiction with (2.2). Now we show why (2.3) holds. Actually, setting $\chi_n(x) = A_n(0)x$, we get by the definition of A'_n and the mean value theorem,

$$|A'_n(x)| = |A_n(x) - A_n(0)| \le \|\nabla A_n\|_{L^{\infty}(B_R)} |x| \le R \|\nabla A\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2)}, \quad \forall x \in B_R.$$

The equation of φ_n becomes,

$$-\Delta\varphi_n + 2iA'_n \cdot \nabla\varphi_n + i(\operatorname{div} A'_n)\varphi_n = (1 - |\varphi_n|^2)\varphi_n + |A'_n|^2\varphi_n \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^2.$$

By Lemma 2.1, $|\varphi_n| \leq 1$, hence there exists a constant $C_R > 0$ such that,

 $\|\Delta \varphi_n\|_{L^p(B_R)} \le C_R + 2\|A'_n\|_{L^\infty(B_R)} \times \|\nabla \varphi_n\|_{L^p(B_R)}, \quad \forall \ p \ge 2.$

Moreover, since φ_n has finite energy, we get by L^2 elliptic estimates that $\varphi_n \in H^2(B_R)$. Using the embedding $H^2(B_R) \hookrightarrow W^{1,p}(B_R)$ for all p > 2, we conclude through L^p estimates that $\varphi_n \in W^{2,p}(B_R)$, proving thus the desired bound in (2.3).

Now, having proved that $(\nabla - iA)\psi \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2)$, we deduce by the diamagnetic inequality that $\nabla |\psi| \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2)$. Therefore, $|\psi|$ is globally Lipschitz in \mathbb{R}^2 , and since ψ has finite energy, $1 - |\psi|^2 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$. This leads to the desired conclusion, $1 - |\psi(x)|^2 \to 0$ as $|x| \to \infty$.

We close the section by recalling a result from the spectral theory of magnetic Shrödinger operators.

Lemma 2.5. Under the assumptions of Theorem 1.1, there exists a constants C > 0 such that, for all $\psi \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^2; \mathbb{C})$ and R > 0, the following inequality holds,

$$\int_{B(0,R)} |(\nabla - iA)\psi|^2 \,\mathrm{d}x \ge \frac{1}{2} \int_{B(0,R/2)} B(x)|\psi|^2 \,\mathrm{d}x - \frac{C}{R^2} \int_{B(0,R)\setminus B(0,R/2)} |\psi(x)|^2 \,\mathrm{d}x + \frac{C}{R^2} \,\mathrm{d}x + \frac{C}{R^2} \,\mathrm{d}x + \frac{C}{R^2} \,\mathrm{d}x + \frac{$$

Proof. Let χ be a cut-off function such that $0 \le \chi \le 1$, $\chi = 1$ in $[0, \frac{1}{2}]$ and $\chi = 0$ in $[1, \infty)$. Put

$$\chi_R(x) = \chi\left(\frac{|x|}{R}\right) \quad \forall \ x \in \mathbb{R}^2$$

Next, we write,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B(0,R)} |(\nabla - iA)\psi|^2 \, \mathrm{d}x &\geq \int_{B(0,R)} |\chi_R(\nabla - iA)\psi|^2 \, \mathrm{d}x \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \int_{B(0,R)} |(\nabla - iA)(\chi_R\psi)|^2 \, \mathrm{d}x - \int_{B(0,R)} |\psi\nabla\chi_R|^2 \, \mathrm{d}x \, . \end{aligned}$$

To finish the proof, we just use the following well known inequality (see [2] or [6, Lemma 2.4.1]),

$$\int_{B(0,R)} |(\nabla - iA)\phi|^2 \, \mathrm{d}x \ge \pm \int_{B(0,R)} B(x) |\phi|^2 \, \mathrm{d}x \,, \quad \forall \ \phi \in H^1_0(B(0,R)) \,.$$

3. PROOF OF MAIN THEOREMS

3.1. Proof of Theorem 1.1.

3.1.1. Necessary condition. Assume that the energy space \mathcal{E}_B is non-empty. Using Lemma 2.2, there exists a solution $\psi \in \mathcal{E}_B$ of the Ginzburg-Landau equation (2.1). Thanks to Lemma 2.1, we have the uniform estimate $|\psi| \leq 1$.

We would like to show that $B \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$. To that end, it is sufficient to bound $\int_{B(0,R)} B(x) \, \mathrm{d}x$ uniformly with respect to $R \in (1,\infty)$.

We therefore apply Lemma 2.5 (with ψ as above, a solution of (2.1)). We get,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |(\nabla - iA)\psi|^2 \, \mathrm{d}x \ge \frac{1}{2} \int_{B(0, R/2)} B(x) |\psi|^2 \, \mathrm{d}x - \frac{C}{R^2} \int_{B(0, R) \setminus B(0, R/2)} |\psi|^2 \, \mathrm{d}x \, .$$

Using the bound $|\psi| \leq 1$, we infer from the above estimate,

(3.1)
$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |(\nabla - iA)\psi|^2 \, \mathrm{d}x \ge \frac{1}{2} \int_{B(0,R/2)} B(x) |\psi|^2 \, \mathrm{d}x - \frac{3\pi C}{4} \, .$$

So, let us handle the first term in the right hand side above. We write,

$$\int_{B(0,R/2)} B(x) |\psi|^2 \, \mathrm{d}x = \int_{B(0,R/2)} B(x) \, \mathrm{d}x + \int_{B(0,R/2)} B(x) (|\psi|^2 - 1) \, \mathrm{d}x \, .$$

Applying a Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we get for all $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ (remark that $|\psi|^2 - 1 \le 0$),

$$\int_{B(0,R/2)} B(x)(|\psi|^2 - 1) \, \mathrm{d}x \ge -\varepsilon \int_{B(0,R/2)} |B(x)|^2 \, \mathrm{d}x - \varepsilon^{-1} \int_{B(0,R/2)} (1 - |\psi|^2)^2 \, \mathrm{d}x \, .$$

Consequently, knowing that B is bounded and positive, we infer that

$$\int_{B(0,R/2)} B(x) |\psi|^2 \, \mathrm{d}x \ge \left(1 - \varepsilon \|B\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2)}\right) \int_{B(0,R/2)} B(x) \, \mathrm{d}x$$
$$-\varepsilon^{-1} \int_{B(0,R/2)} (1 - |\psi|^2)^2 \, \mathrm{d}x \, .$$

Choosing $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{2}(\|B\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2)} + 1)^{-1}$ and replacing the above estimate in (3.1), we deduce that,

$$\frac{1}{4} \int_{B(0,R/2)} B(x) \, \mathrm{d}x \le C' \left(E_B(\psi) + 1 \right) \,, \quad \forall \ R \ge 1 \,,$$

where $C' = \max\left(1, \frac{3\pi C}{4}, \frac{1}{2}(\|B\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2)} + 1)\right)$. Since the energy $\mathcal{E}_B(\psi)$ is finite, we get the desired uniform bound.

3.1.2. Sufficient condition. Assume now, in addition to the hypotheses made in Theorem 1.1, that $B \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$. Then we get that $B \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^2)$ for all $p \ge 1$. Our aim next is to construct a magnetic potential $A' \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2; \mathbb{R}^2)$ such that $\operatorname{curl} A' = B$. Define $\Gamma_2(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \ln |x|$, the fundamental solution of the Laplacian in two dimensions. Setting $w = \Gamma_2 * B$, we get $w \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ (see [5]). Actually, taking $q \in (1, 2)$, we know that $\Gamma_2 \in W^{1,q}(\mathbb{R}^2)$. Then using Young's inequality,

$$\|\Gamma_2 * B\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} \le \|\Gamma_2\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^2)} \times \|B\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^2)}, \quad \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{2} + 1,$$

we deduce that $w = \Gamma_2 * B \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$. Now, we observe that,

$$\Delta w = B \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^2, \quad w \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2),$$

from which we invoke $w \in H^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$. Let us now define the magnetic potential A' by $A' = \nabla^{\perp} w = (-\partial_{x_2} w, \partial_{x_1} w)$. Then $A' \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ and satisfies,

 $\operatorname{curl} A' = B$, $\operatorname{div} A' = 0$ in \mathbb{R}^2 ,

which is what we desire to prove.

3.2. **Proof of Theorems 1.3 and 1.4.** The sufficient condition being immediate (see Remark 1.2), we assume again that the energy space is non-empty, $\mathcal{E}_B \neq \emptyset$. Therefore, by Lemma 2.1, there exists a solution ψ of (2.1) such that $E_B(\psi) < \infty$. Furthermore, $|\psi(x)| \to 1$ as $|x| \to \infty$. Actually, under the hypotheses of Theorem 1.3, we use Lemma 2.3, and under those of Theorem 1.4, we use Lemma 2.4. Now, up to a gauge transformation, we may assume that $\psi \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$. Thus, we may write $\psi = \rho e^{i\chi}$, $\rho = |\psi|$, for a smooth real-valued function χ . From $E_B(\psi) < \infty$, we infer

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \rho^2 |A - \nabla \chi|^2 \, \mathrm{d}x < \infty \, .$$

Setting $A' = A - \nabla \chi$, we get that $A' \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ in light of $\rho \to 1$ as $|x| \to \infty$.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author wishes to thank P. Gérard for useful discussions.

References

- F. Bethuel, J.C. Saut. Travelling waves for the Gross-Pitaevskii equation. I. Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Phys. Théor. 70 (1999), no. 2, 147-238.
- [2] H.L. CYCON, R.G. FROESE, W. KIRSH, B. SIMON, Schrödinger operators. Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1987).
- [3] G. Clement. Schrödinger group on Zhidkov spaces. Adv. Differential Equations 9 (2004), no. 5-6, 509-538.
- [4] P. Gérard. The Cauchy problem for the Gross-Pitaevskii equation. Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire 23 (2006), no. 5, 765-779.
- [5] D. GILBARG, N.S. TRUDINGER. Elliptic Partial Differential Equations of Second Order. Springer, 2008.
- [6] S. FOURNAIS, B. HELFFER. Spectral Methods in Surface Superconductivity. Monograph in preparation.
- [7] E. SANDIER, S. SERFATY. Vortices for the magnetic Ginzburg-Landau model. Progress in Nonlinear Differential Equations and their Applications, 70. Birkhäuser Boston, 2007.

A. Kachmar

UNIVERSITÉ PARIS-SUD, DÉPARTEMENT DE MATHÉMATIQUE, BÂT. 425, F-91405 ORSAY *E-mail address:* ayman.kachmar@math.u-psud.fr