

SOBOLEV-TYPE FUNCTIONS ON METRIC SPACES: AREA AND CO-AREA FORMULAS BY WAY OF LUZIN, RADÓ, AND REICHELDERFER

NIKO MAROLA AND WILLIAM P. ZIEMER

ABSTRACT. We consider some measure-theoretic properties of functions belonging to a Sobolev-type class on metric measure spaces that admit both a Poincaré inequality and are equipped with a doubling measure. The properties we have selected to study are those that are closely related to area and coarea formulas. We present these formulas for Newton-Sobolev functions in the abstract metric setting.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010): Primary: 46E35, 46E40; Secondary: 30L99, 28A99.

Key words and phrases: Area, coarea, condition N, doubling measure, Luzin's condition, metric space, Newtonian space, Poincaré inequality, Sobolev space, upper gradient.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this note we investigate some measure-theoretic properties of mappings belonging to the Banach or vector space-valued Newtonian space $N^{1,p}(X)$, which is the metric space analogue of the classical Sobolev space $W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and was first introduced and studied by Shanmugalingam [31]. Here X is a complete metric measure space that possesses a measure that is doubling and X supports a Poincaré inequality. With these conditions on the space, we give a metric space version of Luzin's condition (N) for the graph mapping similar to one in Malý et al. [28], study absolute continuity as defined by Malý [25] for functions in the Newtonian class, the metric version of the coarea property, and consider the condition due to Radó and Reichelder [30]. Our main results are versions of the area and the co-area formula for Newtonian functions. In particular, we are able to extend the Euclidean results of Hajlasz [11] and Malý et al. [28] to Newton-Sobolev functions in the setting of general metric spaces.

There is an abundance of examples of complete metric spaces with a doubling measure and supporting a Poincaré inequality where our results are applicable. To name but a few, we list Carnot–Carathéodory spaces, thus including the Heisenberg group and more general Carnot groups, as well as Riemannian manifolds with non-negative Ricci curvature.

In outline, the paper is organized as follows: In Section 2 we introduce the necessary background material such as the doubling condition for the measure, upper gradients, Poincaré inequality, Newtonian spaces, and capacity. In Section 3 we establish a general criterion for the quantitative version of Luzin's condition (N) in the spirit of Radó and Reichelderfer [30, V.3.6], see also Malý et al. [28]. Then we close Section 3 by proving, with the aid of estimates between the capacity and the Hausdorff content, that the graph mapping of a vector-valued Newtonian function satisfies this quantitative version of the Luzin condition (N). In Section 4 we deal with the coarea property and the area and co-area formulas. In Section 5 we study the Radó–Reichelderfer condition and absolute continuity of Newtonian functions in the spirit of Malý [25]. It is shown that some Newtonian functions are absolutely continuous by showing that they satisfy the Radó–Reichelderfer condition.

2. METRIC MEASURE SPACES: DOUBLING AND POINCARÉ

We briefly recall the basic definitions and collect some well-known results needed later. For a thorough treatment we refer the reader to the forthcoming monograph by A. and J. Björn [3] and Heinonen [14].

Throughout the paper, if not otherwise stated, $X := (X, d, \mu)$ is a complete metric space endowed with a metric d and a positive complete Borel regular measure μ such that $0 < \mu(B(x, r)) < \infty$ for all balls $B(x, r) := \{y \in X : d(x, y) < r\}$; and if $B = B(x, r)$, then we denote $\tau B = B(x, \tau r)$ for each $\tau > 0$. We also denote the metric ball $B(x, r)$ by $B_X(x, r)$ if necessary. Also throughout the paper, if not otherwise stated, let $Y := (Y, \tilde{d})$ be a separable metric space. A function $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is called L -Lipschitz if for all $x, y \in X$, $\tilde{d}(f(x), f(y)) \leq Ld(x, y)$. We let $\text{Lip}(f)$ be the infimum of such L . Our standing assumptions on the metric space X are as follows.

- (D) The measure μ is doubling, i.e., there exists a constant $C_\mu \geq 1$, called the *doubling constant* of μ , such that

$$\mu(B(x, 2r)) \leq C_\mu \mu(B(x, r)).$$

for all $x \in X$ and $r > 0$.

- (PI) The space X supports a weak $(1, p)$ -Poincaré inequality for some $p \geq 1$ (see below).

We note the doubling condition (D) implies that for every $x \in X$ and $r > 0$, we have for $\lambda \geq 1$

$$\mu(B(x, \lambda r)) \leq C\lambda^Q \mu(B(x, r)),$$

where $Q = \log_2 C_\mu$, and the constant depends only on C_μ . The exponent Q serves as a dimension of the doubling measure μ ; we emphasize that it need not be an integer. *When it is necessary to emphasize the relationship between Q and X , we will use the notation X^Q .* Complete metric spaces verifying condition (D) are precisely those that have finite Assouad dimension [14]. This notion of dimension, however, need not be uniform in space.

Let $s \geq 0$. We define the s -Hausdorff measure in X as in Federer [9, 2.10.2] (see also [14]) and will denote it by \mathcal{H}^s . We also denote by \mathcal{H}_∞^s the s -Hausdorff content in X . We note here that if X is a proper, i.e. boundedly compact, metric space, then Hausdorff content is inner regular in the following sense

$$\mathcal{H}_\infty^s(E) = \sup\{\mathcal{H}_\infty^s(K) : K \subset E \text{ compact}\}$$

whenever $E \subset X$ is a Borel set. See Federer [9, Corollary 2.10.23]. Clearly $\mathcal{H}_\infty^s(E) \leq \mathcal{H}^s(E)$.

The *upper s -density* of a finite Borel regular measure ν at x is defined by

$$\Theta_s^*(\nu, x) = \limsup_{r \rightarrow 0+} \frac{\nu(B(x, r))}{\omega_s r^s},$$

where ω_s is the Lebesgue measure of the unit ball in \mathbb{R}^s when s is a positive integer, and $\omega_s = \Gamma(1/2)^s / \Gamma(s/2 + 1)$ otherwise. We record that if for all x in a Borel set $E \subset X$, $\Theta_s^*(\nu, x) \geq \alpha$, $0 < \alpha < \infty$, then

$$\nu \geq \alpha \mathcal{H}^s \llcorner E.$$

On the other hand, if $\Theta_s^*(\nu, x) \leq \alpha$ we obtain

$$\nu \llcorner E \leq 2^s \alpha \mathcal{H}^s \llcorner E.$$

See Federer [9, 2.10.19]. Recall that the Vitali covering theorem is valid in our setting. From a given family of balls \mathcal{B} with $\sup\{\text{diam } B : B \in \mathcal{B}\} < \infty$ covering a set $E \subset X$ we can select a pairwise disjoint subfamily \mathcal{B}' of balls such that

$$E \subset \bigcup_{B \in \mathcal{B}'} 5B,$$

see [9, Corollary 2.8.5]. If X is separable, then \mathcal{B}' is countable and $\mathcal{B}' = \{B_i\}_{i \geq 1}$.

In this note, a *curve* γ in X is a continuous mapping from a compact interval $[0, L]$ to X . We recall that each curve can be parametrized by 1-Lipschitz map $\tilde{\gamma} : [0, L] \rightarrow X$. A nonnegative Borel function g on X is an *upper gradient* of a function $f : X \rightarrow Y$ if for all rectifiable curves γ , we have

$$(2.1) \quad \tilde{d}(f(\gamma(L)), f(\gamma(0))) \leq \int_\gamma g \, ds.$$

See Cheeger [5] and Shanmugalingam [31] for a discussion on upper gradients. If g is a nonnegative measurable function on X and if (2.1) holds for p -almost every curve, $p \geq 1$, then g is a *weak upper gradient* of f . By saying that (2.1) holds for p -almost every curve we mean that it fails only for a curve family with zero p -modulus (see, e.g., [31]). If u has an upper gradient in $L^p(X)$, then it has a *minimal weak upper gradient* $g_f \in L^p(X)$ in the sense that for every weak upper gradient $g \in L^p(X)$ of f , $g_f \leq g$ μ -almost everywhere (a.e.), see Corollary 3.7 in Shanmugalingam [32]. While the results in [31] and [32] are formulated for real-valued functions and their upper gradients, they are applicable for metric space valued functions and their upper gradients; the proofs of these results require only the manipulation of upper gradients, which are always real-valued.

We define Sobolev spaces on metric spaces following Shanmugalingam [31]. Let $\Omega \subseteq X$ be nonempty and open. Whenever $u \in L^p(\Omega)$ and $p \geq 1$, let

$$(2.2) \quad \|u\|_{N^{1,p}(\Omega)} := \|u\|_{1,p} := \left(\int_{\Omega} |u|^p d\mu + \int_{\Omega} g_u^p d\mu \right)^{1/p}.$$

The *Newtonian space* on Ω is the quotient space

$$N^{1,p}(\Omega) = \{u : \|u\|_{N^{1,p}(\Omega)} < \infty\} / \sim,$$

where $u \sim v$ if and only if $\|u - v\|_{N^{1,p}(\Omega)} = 0$. The space $N^{1,p}(\Omega)$ is a Banach space and a lattice. If $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is open, then $N^{1,p}(\Omega) = W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ as Banach spaces. For these and other properties of Newtonian spaces we refer to [31]. The class $N^{1,p}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m)$ consists of those mappings $u : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ whose component functions each belong to $N^{1,p}(\Omega) = N^{1,p}(\Omega; \mathbb{R})$. Qualitative properties like Lebesgue points, density of Lipschitz functions, quasicontinuity, etc. may be investigated componentwise.

A function belongs to the *local Newtonian space* $N_{\text{loc}}^{1,p}(\Omega)$ if $u \in N^{1,p}(V)$ for all bounded open sets V with $\bar{V} \subset \Omega$, the latter space being defined by considering V as a metric space with the metric d and the measure μ restricted to it.

Newtonian spaces share many properties of the classical Sobolev spaces. For example, if $u, v \in N_{\text{loc}}^{1,p}(\Omega)$, then $g_u = g_v$ μ -a.e. in $\{x \in \Omega : u(x) = v(x)\}$, furthermore, $g_{\min\{u,c\}} = g_u \chi_{\{u \neq c\}}$ for $c \in \mathbb{R}$.

We shall also need a *Newtonian space with zero boundary values*. For a measurable set $E \subset \Omega$, let

$$N_0^{1,p}(E) = \{f|_E : f \in N^{1,p}(E) \text{ and } f = 0 \text{ on } \Omega \setminus E\}.$$

This space equipped with the norm inherited from $N^{1,p}(\Omega)$ is a Banach space.

We say that X supports a *weak $(1, p)$ -Poincaré inequality* if there exist constants $C > 0$ and $\tau \geq 1$ such that for all balls $B(z, r) \subset X$, all measurable functions f on X and for all weak upper gradients g_f of f ,

$$(2.3) \quad \int_{B(z, r)} |f - f_{B(z, r)}| d\mu \leq Cr \left(\int_{B(z, \tau r)} g_f^p d\mu \right)^{1/p},$$

where $f_{B(z, r)} := \int_{B(z, r)} f d\mu / \mu(B(z, r))$.

It is known, see e.g. Heinonen [15, Proposition 10.9] that the embedding $N^{1,p}(X) \rightarrow L^p(X)$ is not surjective if and only if X there exists a curve family in X with a positive p -modulus. Moreover, the validity of a Poincaré inequality can sometimes be stated in terms of p -modulus. More precisely, to require that (2.3) holds in X is to require that the p -modulus of curves between every pair of distinct points of the space is sufficiently large, see Theorem 2 in Keith [17].

It is noteworthy that by a result of Keith and Zhong [18] in a complete metric space equipped with a doubling measure and supporting a weak $(1, p)$ -Poincaré inequality there exists $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that the space admits a weak $(1, p')$ -Poincaré inequality for each $p' > p - \varepsilon_0$.

See Shanmugalingam [31, Theorem 4.1] and Hajlasz [10, Theorem 5] for the following Luzin-type approximation theorem which shall be of use later in the paper.

Theorem 2.1. *Suppose X satisfies (D) and (PI) for some $1 < p < \infty$. Let $u \in N^{1,p}(X)$. Then for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a Lipschitz function $\varphi_\varepsilon : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that*

$$\mu(\{x \in X : u(x) \neq \varphi_\varepsilon(x)\}) < \varepsilon$$

and $\|u - \varphi_\varepsilon\|_{1,p} < \varepsilon$. In other words, with $E_\varepsilon := \{x \in X : u(x) \neq \varphi_\varepsilon(x)\}$, we have $u \llcorner (X \setminus E_\varepsilon)$ is Lipschitz.

Capacity. There are several equivalent definitions for capacities, and the following are the ones we find most suitable for our purposes. Let $1 \leq p < \infty$ and $\Omega \subset X$ bounded.

- The variational p -capacity of a set $E \subset X$ is the number

$$\text{cap}_p(E) = \inf \|g_u\|_{L^p(X)}^p,$$

where the infimum is taken over all p -weak upper gradients g_u of some $u \in N^{1,p}(X)$ such that $u \geq 1$ on E .

- The relative p -capacity of $E \subset \Omega$ is the number

$$\text{Cap}_p(E, \Omega) = \inf \|g_u\|_{L^p(\Omega)}^p,$$

where the infimum is taken over all $u \in N_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ such that $u \geq 1$ on E .

- The Sobolev p -capacity of $E \subset X$ is the number

$$C_p(E) = \inf \|u\|_{N^{1,p}(X)}^p,$$

where the infimum is taken over all $u \in N^{1,p}(X)$ such that $u \geq 1$ on E .

Observe that if $\mu(X) < \infty$ the constant function will do as a test function, thus all sets are of zero variational p -capacity. Under our assumptions, these capacities enjoy the standard properties of capacities. For instance, when $p > 1$ they are Choquet capacities, i.e., the capacity of a Borel set can be obtained by approximating with compact sets from inside and open sets from outside. It is noteworthy, however, that the Choquet property fails for $p = 1$ in the general metric setting. This does not cause any problems for us as we mainly deal with compact sets in this note. In a recent paper by Kinnunen–Hakkarainen [13] the BV-capacity was proved to be a Choquet capacity. See, e.g., Kinnunen–Martio [20], [21] for a discussion on capacities on metric spaces.

The Sobolev capacity is the correct gauge for distinguishing between Newtonian functions: if $u \in N^{1,p}(X)$, then $u \sim v$ if and only if $u = v$ p -quasieverywhere, i.e., outside a set of zero Sobolev p -capacity. Moreover, by Shanmugalingam [31] if $u, v \in N^{1,p}(X)$ and $u = v$ μ -a.e., then $u \sim v$. A function $u \in N^{1,p}(X)$ is said to be *quasicontinuous*, if there exists an open set $G \subset X$ with arbitrarily small Sobolev p -capacity such that the restriction of u to $X \setminus G$ is continuous. A mapping in $N^{1,p}(X; \mathbb{R}^m)$ is said to be quasicontinuous if each of its component functions is quasicontinuous. Recall that *all* functions in $N^{1,p}(X)$ are quasicontinuous, see Björn et al. [4]. Since Newtonian functions have Lebesgue points outside a set of zero Sobolev capacity we may assume, in what follows, that every Newtonian function is precisely represented.

3. GRAPHS OF NEWTONIAN FUNCTIONS: LUZIN'S CONDITION

Let $Q > 0$. Recall that a mapping $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is said to satisfy *Luzin's condition* (N_Q) if $\mathcal{H}^Q(f(E)) = 0$ whenever $E \subset X$ satisfies $\mu(E) = 0$. By way of motivation, the validity of Luzin's condition implies certain change of variable formulas, thus it is of independent interest in analysis.

Let $E \subset X$. We denote by $\bar{f} : X \rightarrow X \times Y$ the *graph mapping* of f

$$\bar{f}(x) = (x, f(x)), \quad x \in X,$$

and $\mathcal{G}_f(E)$ is the *graph* of f over E defined by

$$\mathcal{G}_f(E) = \{(x, f(x)) : x \in E\} \subset X \times Y.$$

It is well known that if the mapping f is Borel measurable, then the graph $\mathcal{G}_f(X)$ is Borel measurable as well, see, e.g., [11, Lemma 18]. We, furthermore, denote by $\text{pr}_X : X \times Y \rightarrow X$ the projection $\text{pr}_X(x, y) = x$, and by $\text{pr}_Y : X \times Y \rightarrow Y$ the projection $\text{pr}_Y(x, y) = y$. Observe that $\text{Lip}(\text{pr}_X) = \text{Lip}(\text{pr}_Y) = 1$. Also it is well-known that if $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is continuous, then $\mathcal{G}_f(X)$ is homeomorphic to X .

Lemma 3.1. *Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be measurable. Then $\text{pr}_X(\mathcal{G}_f(X) \cap E)$ is measurable for every measurable subset $E \subset X \times Y$.*

Proof. Let f^* and f_* be Borel measurable representatives of f ; Borel regularity of the measure μ implies that if f is measurable, then there exist Borel measurable functions f_*, f^* such that $f_* \leq f \leq f^*$ and $f_* = f^*$ μ -a.e. Thus the graph $\mathcal{G}_{f_*}(X)$ of f_* and the graph $\mathcal{G}_{f^*}(X)$ of f^* are Borel subsets of $X \times Y$. Then Kuratowski [22, Theorem 2, p. 385] implies that the projections $\text{pr}_X(\mathcal{G}_{f_*}(X) \cap E)$ and $\text{pr}_X(\mathcal{G}_{f^*}(X) \cap E)$ are Borel measurable for every Borel measurable set $E \subset X \times Y$. Since f_* and f^* agree up to a set of μ -measure zero, so do sets $\text{pr}_X(\mathcal{G}_{f^*}(X) \cap E)$ and $\text{pr}_X(\mathcal{G}_f(X) \cap E)$, implying that $\text{pr}_X(\mathcal{G}_f(X) \cap E)$ is measurable. \square

We now state a general criterion for the condition (N_Q) similar to that of Radó and Reichelderfer, see [30, V.3.6] and Malý [25]. In Euclidean spaces this result was obtained by Malý et al. [28].

In what follows, we suppose that $1 \leq m < Q$, where m is related to \mathbb{R}^m . In other words, the range space will be of dimension no greater than our domain space.

Theorem 3.2. *Suppose X satisfies (D) and $f : X^Q \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ is a measurable function. Let*

$$\Xi_r := \mathcal{G}_f(X^Q) \cap B(z, r),$$

where $z \in X^Q \times \mathbb{R}^m$ and $0 < r < \text{diam}(X^Q)$. Then if there exists a weight $\Phi \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(X^Q)$ such that

$$(3.1) \quad \mathcal{H}^{Q-m}_{\infty}(\text{pr}_X(\Xi_r)) \leq \frac{1}{\text{diam}(\Xi_r)^m} \int_{\text{pr}_X(\Xi_{4r})} \Phi \, d\mu$$

for all $z \in X^Q \times \mathbb{R}^m$ and all $0 < r < \text{diam}(X^Q)/4$. Then there exist a constant C depending on C_μ and m such that

$$(3.2) \quad \mathcal{H}^Q(\bar{f}(E)) \leq C \int_E \Phi \, d\mu$$

for each measurable set $E \subset X^Q$. In particular, \bar{f} satisfies Luzin's condition (N_Q) .

Proof. Define a set function σ on the Cartesian product $X^Q \times \mathbb{R}^m$ by

$$\sigma(E) := \int_{\text{pr}_X(\mathcal{G}_f(X^Q) \cap E)} \Phi \, d\mu, \quad E \subset X^Q \times \mathbb{R}^m.$$

By a Vitali-type covering theorem there is a pairwise disjoint countable subfamily of balls $\{B_i\} := \{B(x_i, r_i)\}$ such that we may cover $\text{pr}_X(\Xi_r)$ as follows

$$\text{pr}_X(\Xi_r) \subset \bigcup_i B(x_i, 5r_i) =: \bigcup_i 5B_i.$$

For each i let M_i denote the greatest integer satisfying

$$C(M_i - 1)r_i < \text{diam}(\Xi_r)$$

with some positive uniform constant C . Since $\Xi_r \cap \text{pr}_X^{-1}(5B_i)$ is bounded in $X^Q \times \mathbb{R}^m$, it can be contained in a large enough cylinder of the form $5B_i \times R_i(\text{diam}(\Xi_r))$, where R_i is a cube in \mathbb{R}^m with side-length $\text{diam}(\Xi_r)$. Since $CM_i r_i \geq \text{diam} \Xi_r$, R_i may be covered by M_i^m cubes $\{R_i^j\}$ of side-length Cr_i . Hence we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_\infty^Q(\Xi_r \cap \text{pr}_X^{-1}(5B_i)) &\leq C \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \text{diam}(5B_i \times R_i^j)^Q \\ &\leq CM_i^m \text{diam}(5B_i)^Q \leq C(M_i \text{diam}(5B_i))^m \text{diam}(5B_i)^{Q-m} \\ &\leq (\text{diam}(\Xi_r) + \text{diam}(5B_i))^m \text{diam}(5B_i)^{Q-m}. \end{aligned}$$

As $\text{diam}(5B_i) \leq \text{diam} \text{pr}_X(\Xi_r) \leq \text{diam}(\Xi_r)$ summing over i shows that

$$\mathcal{H}_\infty^Q(\Xi_r) \leq C \text{diam}(\Xi_r)^m \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \text{diam}(5B_i)^{Q-m}.$$

Hence by taking the infimum over all coverings we have obtained the following estimate

$$\mathcal{H}_\infty^Q(\Xi_r) \leq C \text{diam}(\Xi_r)^m \mathcal{H}_\infty^{Q-m}(\text{pr}_X(\Xi_r)),$$

where the constant C depends only on C_μ and m . Assumption (3.1) together with this estimate gives for each $z \in X \times \mathbb{R}^m$ and $0 < r < \text{diam}(X^Q)/4$

$$\begin{aligned} (3.3) \quad \mathcal{H}_\infty^Q(\Xi_r) &\leq C \text{diam}(\Xi_r)^m \mathcal{H}_\infty^{Q-m}(\text{pr}_X(\Xi_r)) \\ &\leq C \int_{\text{pr}_X(\Xi_{4r})} \Phi \, d\mu \leq C \sigma(B(z, 4r)). \end{aligned}$$

Since for \mathcal{H}^Q -almost every $z \in \mathcal{G}_f(X^Q)$, see Federer [8, Lemma 10.1],

$$(3.4) \quad \limsup_{r \rightarrow 0+} \frac{\mathcal{H}_\infty^Q(\Xi_r)}{\omega_Q r^Q} \geq C,$$

it follows from (3.3) that

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow 0+} \frac{\sigma(B(z, r))}{\omega_Q r^Q} \geq C$$

for \mathcal{H}^Q -almost every $z \in \mathcal{G}_f(X^Q)$. Lemma 3.1 implies that σ is a measure on the Borel sigma algebra of $X^Q \times \mathbb{R}^m$, and it may be extended to a regular Borel outer measure σ^* on all of $X^Q \times \mathbb{R}^m$ in the usual way

$$\sigma^*(A) := \inf\{\sigma(E) : A \subset E, E \text{ is a Borel set}\}.$$

Since $\Phi \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(X^Q)$ it follows that σ^* is a Radon measure on $X^Q \times \mathbb{R}^m$. Therefore, by (3.4)

$$\mathcal{H}^Q(E) \leq C\sigma^*(E)$$

for all $E \subset \mathcal{G}_f(X^Q)$. Finally, given a μ measurable set $E \subset X^Q$, choose a Borel set G with $E \subset G$. Then $\bar{f}(E) \subset G \times \mathbb{R}^m$, $G \times \mathbb{R}^m$ is a Borel set, and

$$\mathcal{H}^Q(\bar{f}(E)) \leq C\sigma^*(\bar{f}(E)) \leq C\sigma(G \times \mathbb{R}^m) = C \int_G \Phi d\mu.$$

The proof is completed by taking the infimum over all such G . If $E \subset X^Q$ such that $\mu(E) = 0$ then it readily follows that $\mathcal{H}^Q(\bar{f}(E)) = 0$. This completes the proof. \square

We shall show, as an application of Theorem 3.2, that the graph mapping of a Newtonian function satisfies a version of Luzin's condition (N_Q) . We start with a few auxiliary estimates. We shall need the following relation between the p -capacity and the Hausdorff content when $p \geq 1$. For the proof of (I) in the next lemma we refer to a special case of Theorem 4.4 in Costea [6], and (II) is a result by Kinnunen et al. [19, Theorem 3.5].

Lemma 3.3. *Suppose X satisfies conditions (D) and (PI), and assume further that there exists a constant $C > 0$, depending only on C_μ , such that the measure μ satisfies the lower mass bound*

$$(3.5) \quad Cr^Q \leq \mu(B(x, r))$$

for all $x \in X$ and $0 < r < \text{diam}(X)$. Let $E \subset X$ be a Borel set.

(I) *Let $1 < p \leq Q$ and suppose that $t > Q - p$. Then*

$$\mathcal{H}_\infty^t(E \cap B(x, r)) \leq Cr^{t-Q+p} \text{Cap}_p(E \cap B(x, r), B(x, 2r)),$$

where $x \in X$, $r > 0$, and C depends on C_μ , p , t , and the constants in the weak $(1, p)$ -Poincaré inequality.

(II) *Let $p = 1$. Then*

$$\mathcal{H}_\infty^{Q-1}(E) \leq C \text{cap}_1(E),$$

where the constant C depends only on the doubling constant C_μ and the constants in the weak $(1, 1)$ -Poincaré inequality.

Remark 3.4. The additional assumption that μ satisfies the lower mass bound (3.5) is not restrictive. It follows readily from (D) that μ satisfies the following local version of (3.5): For a fixed $x_0 \in X$ and a scale $r_D > 0$ we have

$$\tilde{C}r^Q \leq \mu(B(x, r))$$

for all balls $B(x, r) \subset X$ with $x \in B(x_0, r_D)$ and $0 < r < r_D$, where $\tilde{C} = Cr_D^{-Q}\mu(B(x_0, r_D))$ and C is from (3.5).

Let us mention that the lower mass bound (3.5) for the measure μ implies that \mathcal{H}^Q is absolutely continuous with respect to μ .

Remark 3.5. If $u \in N_0^{1,p}(B(x, 2r); \mathbb{R}^m)$ such that $u \geq 1$ on $E \cap B(x, r)$, g_u is a minimal p -weak upper gradient of u , and $t = Q - m$, where $1 \leq m < \min\{p, Q\}$, we obtain

$$\mathcal{H}_\infty^{Q-m}(E \cap B(x, r)) \leq Cr^{p-m} \int_{B(x, 2r)} g_u^p d\mu,$$

where the constant C is as in Lemma 3.3 (I).

Remark 3.6. If $u \in N^{1,1}(X; \mathbb{R})$ such that $u \geq 1$ on E and g_u is a minimal 1-weak upper gradient of u , Lemma 3.3 (II) implies that

$$\mathcal{H}_\infty^{Q-1}(E) \leq C \int_X g_u d\mu,$$

where the constant C is from Lemma 3.3 (II).

The preceding estimates imply the following. Observe also that the graph mapping is always one-to-one.

Theorem 3.7. *Suppose that X satisfies conditions (D) and (PI) with some $1 \leq p \leq Q$, and the lower mass bound (3.5) is satisfied. Let $u \in N^{1,p}(X^Q; \mathbb{R}^m)$, where either $p > m$ or $p \geq m = 1$. Then the graph mapping \bar{u} satisfies a version of Luzin's condition (N_Q).*

The assumption that $p > m$ or $p \geq m = 1$ is necessary already in the Euclidean case. We refer to a discussion in Malý et al. [28].

Proof of Theorem 3.7. It is sufficient to verify the hypothesis of Theorem 3.2 with some locally integrable function Φ on X^Q .

Assume first $p > m$ and, to this end, fix a point $z = (\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}) \in X^Q \times \mathbb{R}^m$ and $r > 0$. We observe the following

$$\Xi_r := \mathcal{G}_u(X^Q) \cap B(z, r) \subset (\mathcal{G}_u(X^Q) \cap (B_X(\tilde{x}, r) \times B(\tilde{y}, r))).$$

Hence we have that

$$\text{pr}_X(\Xi_r) \subset (B_X(\tilde{x}, r) \cap u^{-1}(B(\tilde{y}, r))),$$

moreover $u(x) \in B(\tilde{y}, r)$ for μ -a.e. $x \in B_X(\tilde{x}, r) \cap u^{-1}(B(\tilde{y}, r))$. Let us define the function $v : X^Q \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$v(x) = \max \left\{ 2 - \frac{|u(x) - u(\tilde{x})|}{r}, 0 \right\},$$

and consider an open subset $O \subset X^Q$ such that $\{x \in X^Q : v(x) > 0\} \subset O$. Then $g_u/r\chi_O$ is a p -weak upper gradient of v [31, Lemma 4.3], where g_u is a minimal p -weak upper gradient of u . Let $\eta : X^Q \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a Lipschitz cut-off function so that $\eta = 1$ on $B_X(\tilde{x}, r)$, $\eta = 0$ in $X^Q \setminus B_X(\tilde{x}, 2r)$, $0 \leq \eta \leq 1$, and $g_\eta \leq 2/r$. Then $v\eta \geq 1$ on $B_X(\tilde{x}, r) \cap u^{-1}(B(\tilde{y}, r))$, and $v\eta \in N_0^{1,p}(B(\tilde{x}, 2r))$. Moreover, the product rule for upper gradients gives us the following $g_{v\eta} \leq g_v + 2v/r$ μ -a.e. Thus $v\eta$ is admissible for the relative p -capacity and Lemma 3.3 (I) implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_\infty^{Q-m}(\text{pr}_X(\Xi_r)) &\leq \mathcal{H}_\infty^{Q-m}(B_X(\tilde{x}, r) \cap u^{-1}(B(\tilde{y}, r))) \\ &\leq Cr^{p-m} \int_{B_X(\tilde{x}, 2r) \cap O} g_{v\eta}^p d\mu \\ &\leq Cr^{p-m} \int_{B_X(\tilde{x}, 2r) \cap O} \left(\frac{v^p}{r^p} + g_v^p \right) d\mu \\ &\leq Cr^{-m} \int_{B_X(\tilde{x}, 2r) \cap u^{-1}(B(\tilde{y}, 2r))} (1 + g_u^p) d\mu. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$B_X(\tilde{x}, 2r) \cap u^{-1}(B(\tilde{y}, 2r)) \subset \text{pr}_X(\Xi_{4r}),$$

above reasoning gives us that

$$\mathcal{H}_\infty^{Q-m}(\text{pr}_X(\Xi_r)) \leq \frac{C}{r^m} \int_{\text{pr}_X(\Xi_{4r})} (1 + g_u^p) d\mu.$$

This verifies the assumptions of Theorem 3.2 with $\Phi = C(1 + g_u^p)$, and thus concludes the proof when $p > m$. The case $p \geq m = 1$ is dealt with by a similar argument together with the estimate in Lemma 3.3 (II). \square

Having Theorem 2.1 and Luzin's condition (N_Q) at our disposal it is standard to show for a function $u \in N^{1,p}(X^Q; \mathbb{R}^m)$ that the graph $\mathcal{G}_u(X^Q)$ can be approximated by Lipschitz functions from X^Q to $X^Q \times \mathbb{R}^m$. Let $u \in N^{1,p}(X^Q; \mathbb{R}^m)$ with either $p > m$ or $p \geq m = 1$. Then

$$\mathcal{H}^Q \left(\mathcal{G}_u(X^Q) \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \overline{\varphi}_i(E_i) \right) = 0,$$

where $\varphi_i : X^Q \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ are Lipschitz functions.

We want to recall the following definition (see, e.g., [9], [1]). Let X be a metric space; a Borel set $E \subset X$ is called *countably \mathcal{H}^m -rectifiable* if there

exists subsets $A_i \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ and Lipschitz maps $\varphi_i : A_i \rightarrow X$, $i = 1, 2, \dots$, such that

$$\mathcal{H}^m \left(E \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \varphi_i(A_i) \right) = 0.$$

Remark 3.8. It was shown by Ambrosio and Kirchheim [1] that the Heisenberg group H is purely k -unrectifiable for $k = 2, 3, 4$, i.e., $\mathcal{H}^k(S) = 0$ for any countably \mathcal{H}^k -rectifiable subset $S \subset H$. Hence it is not clear that graphs of Newtonian functions on X are rectifiable. In general, the graphs of Newton–Sobolev functions on “nice” spaces X are not rectifiable. For example, if X is the Heisenberg group and we consider a constant mapping on X then the graph looks essentially the same as the Heisenberg group and thus there is no Euclidean rectifiability.

A different notion of rectifiable sets was introduced by Pauls [29] in the setting of Carnot groups. Given Carnot groups G and F , a set $E \subset G$ is defined to be F -rectifiable if $E = f(U)$ for some set $U \subset F$ and a Lipschitz map $f : F \rightarrow G$. Furthermore, a set is countably F -rectifiable if it is a countable union of F -rectifiable sets up to a set of \mathcal{H}_G^k measure zero, where k is the Hausdorff dimension of F . This definition clearly extends the classical notion by using a Carnot group, instead of \mathbb{R}^m , as a model space for rectifiability. It might be interesting to generalize Paul’s notion of rectifiability to the metric setting by using some nice metric space as a model space.

4. NEWTONIAN FUNCTIONS: AREA AND CO-AREA FORMULAS

In this section we shall prove a version of the area and co-area formula for Newtonian functions. In the metric space setting these formulas have been studied previously by Ambrosio–Kirchheim [1], Magnani [23, 24], and Malý [26], to name a few. We also refer to Hajłasz [11] for a very nice discussion on the topic. Let us first deal with some preliminary properties.

For a moment, let $X = (X, d, \mu)$ be a boundedly compact (i.e., bounded sequences admit converging subsequences) metric measure space with a positive complete Borel measure μ and $Y = (Y, \tilde{d})$ another boundedly compact metric space. A function $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is said to satisfy the *t-coarea property*, for some $t > 0$, in X if for each set $E \subset X$ with $\mu(E) = 0$ and for \mathcal{H}^t -almost every $y \in Y$ we have $\mathcal{H}^t(E \cap f^{-1}(y)) = 0$.

We recall Eilenberg’s inequality [7], we refer also to Federer [9, 2.10.25–27] and Malý [26]. Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a Lipschitz map, $A \subset X$, $0 \leq k < \infty$, and $0 \leq h < \infty$, then

$$(4.1) \quad \int_Y^* \mathcal{H}^k(A \cap f^{-1}(w)) d\mathcal{H}^h(w) \leq C \operatorname{Lip}(f)^h \mathcal{H}^{k+h}(A),$$

where C is a constant depending on k and h . The symbol \int^* denotes the upper integral. The following proposition follows readily from Eilenberg's inequality and Theorem 3.7.

Proposition 4.1. *Suppose X satisfies conditions (D) and (PI) with some $1 \leq p \leq Q$, and the lower mass bound (3.5) is satisfied. Let $u \in N^{1,p}(X^Q; \mathbb{R}^m)$, where either $p > m$ or $p \geq m = 1$. Then u satisfies the $(Q - m)$ -coarea property, i.e., for every μ -null set $E \subset X^Q$*

$$\mathcal{H}^{Q-m}(E \cap u^{-1}(y)) = 0$$

for \mathcal{H}^{Q-m} -a.e. $y \in \mathbb{R}^m$.

Proof. Let $E \subset X^Q$ so that $\mu(E) = 0$. We apply Eilenberg's inequality (4.1) for $A = \overline{u}(E) \subset X^Q \times \mathbb{R}^m$, $f = \text{pr}_{\mathbb{R}^m} : X^Q \times \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$, $h = m$, and $k = Q - m$. Then, due to Theorem 3.7 we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^m}^* \mathcal{H}^{Q-m}(\overline{u}(E) \cap \text{pr}_{\mathbb{R}^m}^{-1}(y)) d\mathcal{H}^m(y) \leq C\mathcal{H}^Q(\overline{u}(E)) = 0.$$

Since $\overline{u}(E) \cap \text{pr}_{\mathbb{R}^m}^{-1}(y) = \{(x, u(x)) \in X^Q \times \mathbb{R}^m : x \in E, u(x) = y\}$, it follows that $\text{pr}_X(\overline{u}(E) \cap \text{pr}_{\mathbb{R}^m}^{-1}(y)) = E \cap u^{-1}(y)$. The fact that Hausdorff measure is not increased under projection, gives us that $\mathcal{H}^{Q-m}(E \cap u^{-1}(y)) = 0$ for \mathcal{H}^{Q-m} -a.e. $y \in \mathbb{R}^m$, thus completing the proof. \square

4.1. Area and coarea formulas. Let us turn to area and coarea formulas. We define the generalized Jacobian of a continuous map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ at $x \in X$ as follows

$$\mathcal{J}f(x) := \limsup_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{\mu_Y(f(B(x, r)))}{\mu(B(x, r))},$$

where the Borel measure μ_Y measures Y . It follows from [9] applied to the pull-back measure $\nu(E) = \mu_Y(f(E))$, that $f(E)$ is measurable for every Borel set $E \subset X$. Moreover, for μ -a.e. $x \in X$, $\mathcal{J}f(x)$ is finite. *coarea measure*

$$\int_Y \mathcal{H}^{Q-P}(E \cap f^{-1}(y)) d\mathcal{H}^P(y),$$

whenever $E \subset X$ is a measurable set. The coarea measure ν_f is a Borel regular measure on X , cf. Magnani [23]. Moreover, if $f : X^Q \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ is a Lipschitz function, then by the Eilenberg inequality, (4.1), the coarea measure ν_f is absolutely continuous with respect to \mathcal{H}^Q , and thus to μ .

We may state the following theorems.

Theorem 4.2 (Area formula). *Suppose X satisfies conditions (D) and (PI) with some $1 \leq p \leq Q$, and the lower mass bound (3.5) is satisfied. Let*

$u \in N_{\text{loc}}^{1,p}(X^Q; \mathbb{R}^m)$, where $p > m$ or $p \geq m = 1$. Then the following area formula is valid

$$\int_A \mathcal{J}\bar{u}(x) d\mu(x) = \mathcal{H}^Q(\bar{u}(A)),$$

where A is a measurable subset.

Proof. Due to Theorem 3.7 the graph mapping \bar{u} satisfies Luzin's condition (N_Q) and is, moreover, one-to-one. Thus the pull-back measure $\mathcal{H}^Q(\bar{u}(A))$, $A \subset X^Q$ measurable, is absolute continuous with respect to the doubling measure μ . Let $\varphi_i : X^Q \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ be a sequence of Lipschitz maps and $E_1 \subset E_2 \subset \dots \subset X^Q$ sets such that $u \llcorner E_i = \varphi_i \llcorner E_i$ and $\mu(X^Q \setminus \bigcup_i E_i) = 0$. These Lipschitz functions and sets follow from Theorem 2.1. We obtain

$$\mathcal{H}^Q(\bar{u}(E_i)) = \mathcal{H}^Q(\bar{\varphi}_i(E_i)) = \int_{E_i} \mathcal{J}\bar{\varphi}_i(x) d\mu(x) = \int_{E_i} \mathcal{J}\bar{u}(x) d\mu(x).$$

Since $u(x) = \varphi_i(x)$ for every $x \in E_i$ it follows that $\mathcal{J}\bar{u} = \mathcal{J}\bar{\varphi}_i$ μ -a.e. $x \in X^Q$. Moreover, (4.2) is satisfied whenever $\mu(A) = 0$, $A \subset X^Q$ measurable. Thus (4.2) holds true for all $A \subset X^Q$. \square

Theorem 4.3 (Co-area formula). *Suppose X satisfies conditions (D) and (PI) with some $1 \leq p \leq Q$, and the lower mass bound (3.5) is satisfied. Let $u \in N_{\text{loc}}^{1,p}(X^Q; \mathbb{R}^m)$, where $p > m$ or $p \geq m = 1$. Then the following co-area formula is valid*

$$\int_A \mathcal{J}u(x) d\mu(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \mathcal{H}^{Q-m}(A \cap u^{-1}(y)) d\mathcal{H}^m(y),$$

where A is a μ -measurable subset.

Proof. Since u satisfies the $(Q - m)$ -coarea property by Proposition 4.1, the coarea measure ν_f is absolutely continuous with respect to μ . Thus we obtain the claim as in the proof of the preceding theorem. Firstly, we have that on the set E_i (the sets are as in the preceding proof)

$$(4.3) \quad \int_{E_i} \mathcal{J}u(x) d\mu(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \mathcal{H}^{Q-m}(E_i \cap u^{-1}(y)) d\mathcal{H}^m(y),$$

which is satisfied also when $\mu(A) = 0$. Hence (4.3) holds true for all measurable $A \subset X^Q$. \square

Remark 4.4. Magnani [24] has recently presented an area formula for continuous mappings between metric spaces without any notion of differentiability. We mention that in the present paper a function u in $N_{\text{loc}}^{1,p}(X^Q; \mathbb{R}^m)$, $p > m$, appearing both in Theorem 4.2 and 4.3, need not to be continuous. In addition, we do not assume a priori that the pull-back measure or the coarea measure is absolutely continuous with respect to the measure μ .

Remark 4.5. The co-area formula in Theorem 4.3 can be extended by applying the formula to φ_i and $\theta_i = \theta \chi_{E_i}$, where $\theta : A \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ is measurable. Then by carrying out standard approximation scheme with measurable step functions, we obtain the following co-area formula

$$\int_A \theta(x) \mathcal{J}u(x) d\mu(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \left(\int_{A \cap u^{-1}(y)} \theta(x) d\mathcal{H}^{Q-m}(x) \right) d\mathcal{H}^m(y),$$

where $A \subset X^Q$ is measurable.

5. NEWTONIAN FUNCTIONS: ABSOLUTE CONTINUITY, RADÓ, REICHELDERFER, AND MALÝ

Classically, absolutely continuous functions on the real line satisfy Luzin's condition, are continuous, and differentiable almost everywhere. It is well-known that these properties for the Sobolev class $W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^m)$ depend on p . For instance, functions in $W^{1,m}(\mathbb{R}^m)$ may be nowhere differentiable and nowhere continuous whereas functions in $W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^m)$, $p > m$, have Hölder continuous representatives and are differentiable almost everywhere. Next we consider Luzin's condition, absolute continuity, and differentiability for the Banach space valued Newtonian space $N^{1,p}(X^Q; \mathcal{V})$, when $p \geq Q$. Here $\mathcal{V} := (\mathcal{V}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{V}})$ is an arbitrary Banach space of positive dimension. We refer the reader to Heinonen et al. [16] for a detailed discussion on the Banach space valued Newtonian functions. Suppose X satisfies conditions (D) and (PI) with some $1 \leq p < \infty$; the following is known.

- Let $p > Q$. In this case each function $u \in N^{1,p}(X^Q; \mathbb{R})$ is locally $(1 - Q/p)$ -Hölder continuous (Shanmugalingam [31]), moreover u is differentiable μ -a.e. with respect to the strong measurable differentiable structure (see Cheeger [5]), consult Balogh et al. [2].
- Let $p = Q$. Then every continuous pseudomonotone mapping in $N_{\text{loc}}^{1,Q}(X^Q; \mathcal{V})$ satisfies Luzin's condition (N_Q) (Heinonen et al. [16, Theorem 7.2]).

Following Malý–Martio [27], we call a map $f : X \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$ *pseudomonotone* if there exists a constant $C_M \geq 1$ and $r_M > 0$ such that

$$\text{diam}(f(B(x, r))) \leq C_M \text{diam}(f(\partial B(x, r)))$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $0 < r < r_M$. Note that we denote $\partial B(x, r) := \{y \in X : d(y, x) = r\}$.

Let $\Omega \Subset X^Q$ be open. We show next that $u \in N^{1,p}(\Omega; \mathcal{V})$, $p \geq Q$, is absolutely continuous in the following sense. Following Malý [25] we say that a mapping $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$ is *Q-absolutely continuous* if for each $\varepsilon > 0$

there exists $\delta = \delta(\varepsilon) > 0$ such that for every pairwise disjoint finite family $\{B_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ of (closed) balls in Ω we have that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \text{diam}(f(B_i))^Q < \varepsilon,$$

whenever $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu(B_i) < \delta$. Furthermore, we say that a mapping $f : X \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$ satisfies the *Q-Radó-Reichelderfer condition, condition (RR)* for short, if there exists a non-negative control function $\Phi_f \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(X)$ such that

$$(5.1) \quad \text{diam}(f(B(x, r)))^Q \leq \int_{B(x, r)} \Phi_f d\mu$$

for every ball $B(x, r) \subset X$ with $0 < r < R$. A condition similar to this was used by Radó and Reichelderfer in [30, V.3.6] as a sufficient condition for the mappings with the condition (RR) to be differentiable a.e. and to satisfy Luzin's condition, see also Malý [25]. A function f is said to satisfy condition (RR) weakly if (5.1) holds true with a dilated ball $B(x, \alpha r)$, $\alpha > 1$, on the right-hand side of the equation.

It readily follows that condition (RR) implies (local) Q -absolute continuity of f . Indeed, let $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\{B(x_i, r_{x_i})\}$, $0 < r_{x_i} < R$, a pairwise disjoint finite family of balls in Ω such that $E = \bigcup_i B(x_i, r_{x_i})$, and $\mu(E) < \delta$. Then condition (RR) and pairwise disjointness of $\{B(x_i, r_{x_i})\}$ imply

$$\sum_i \text{diam}(f(B(x_i, r_{x_i})))^Q \leq \sum_i \int_{B(x_i, r_{x_i})} \Phi_f d\mu = \int_E \Phi_f d\mu < \varepsilon.$$

Local absolute continuity of a function follows even if the functions satisfies condition (RR) weakly.

Condition (RR) also implies that the map f has finite pointwise Lipschitz constant almost everywhere, see Wildrick–Zürcher [33, Proposition 3.4]. Combined with a Stepanov-type differentiability theorem [2], this has implications for differentiability [5].

For the next proposition, we recall that the noncentered Hardy–Littlewood maximal function restricted to Ω , denoted M_Ω , is defined for a integrable (real-valued) function f on Ω by

$$M_\Omega f(x) := \sup_B \int_{B(x, r)} |f| d\mu,$$

where the supremum is taken over all balls $B \subset \Omega$ containing x . Consider further the restrained noncentered maximal function $M_{\Omega, R}$ in which the supremum is taken only over balls in Ω with radius less than R . Then $M_\Omega f = \sup_{R>0} M_{\Omega, R} f$. It is standard also in the metric space setting, we refer to Heinonen [14], that for $1 < p \leq \infty$ the operator M_Ω is bounded on

L^P , i.e., there exists a constant C , depending on C_μ and p , such that for all $f \in L^p$

$$\|Mf\|_{L^p} \leq C\|f\|_{L^p}.$$

We have the following generalization.

Proposition 5.1. *Suppose X satisfies conditions (D) and (PI) with*

- (I) $p = Q$. *If $u \in N_{\text{loc}}^{1,Q}(X^Q; \mathcal{V})$ is continuous and pseudomonotone, then u satisfies condition (RR), and thus is (locally) Q -absolutely continuous.*
- (II) *some $p > Q$. Then $u \in N_{\text{loc}}^{1,p}(X^Q; \mathcal{V})$ satisfies condition (RR) weakly, and thus is (locally) Q -absolutely continuous.*

Proof. Let $\Omega \subseteq X^Q$ be open, and fix $x \in \Omega$.

(I): Let $B(x, r_x)$, $0 < r_x < \min\{r_D, r_M\}$, be a ball such that $B(x, 12\tau r_x) \subset \Omega$; $\tau \geq 1$ is the dilatation constant appearing in the Poincaré inequality. By a Sobolev embedding theorem Hajłasz–Koskela [12, Theorem 7.1] there exists a constant C , depending on C_μ and the constants in the weak $(1, Q)$ -Poincaré inequality, and a radius $r_x < r < 2r_x$ such that

$$(5.2) \quad \|u(z) - u(y)\|_{\mathcal{V}}^p \leq C d(z, y)^{p/Q} r_x^{p(1-1/Q)} \int_{B(x, 5\tau r_x)} g_u^p d\mu$$

for each $z, y \in \Omega$ with $d(y, x) = r = d(z, x)$, where $p \in (Q - \varepsilon_0, Q)$. In fact, [12, Theorem 7.1] is stated and proved only for real-valued functions, but the argument is valid also when the target is a Banach space as we may make use of the Lebesgue differentiation theorem for Banach space valued maps as in [16, Proposition 2.10]. Since u is pseudomonotone we obtain from (5.2)

$$\text{diam}(u(B(x, r_x)))^p \leq C_M^p \text{diam } u(\partial B(x, r))^p \leq C r_x^p \int_{B(x, 5\tau r_x)} g_u^p d\mu,$$

where C depends on C_μ , C_M , and the constants in the weak $(1, Q)$ -Poincaré inequality. For each $y \in B(x, r_x)$ we have

$$\int_{B(x, 5\tau r_x)} g_u^p d\mu \leq \int_{B(y, 10\tau r_x)} g_u^p d\mu \leq M_{\Omega, 12\tau r_x} g_u^p(y).$$

Compining the preceding two estimates and integrating over $y \in B(x, r_x)$ we get

$$\text{diam}(u(B(x, r_x)))^p \leq C r_x^p \int_{B(x, r_x)} M_{\Omega, 12\tau r_x} g_u^p d\mu.$$

Recall that $Q - \varepsilon_0 < p < Q$; we get

$$\begin{aligned} \text{diam}(u(B(x, r_x)))^p &\leq Cr_x^p \mu(B(x, r_x))^{-p/Q} \\ &\quad \left(\int_{B(x, r_x)} (M_{\Omega, 12\tau r_x} g_u^p)^{Q/p} d\mu \right)^{p/Q} \\ &\leq Cr_x^p \mu(B(x, r_x))^{-p/Q} \left(\int_{B(x, r_x)} g_u^Q d\mu \right)^{p/Q}, \end{aligned}$$

which implies by Remark 3.4 that

$$\text{diam}(u(B(x, r_x)))^Q \leq C\tilde{C} \int_{B(x, r_x)} g_u^Q d\mu,$$

where C depends on C_μ , C_M , and the constants in the weak $(1, Q)$ -Poincaré inequality, and \tilde{C} is from Remark 3.4. As $g_u^Q \in L_{\text{loc}}^1(X)$ this verifies the fact that u satisfies condition (RR), and thus is locally Q -absolutely continuous.

(II): Let $B(x, r_x)$, $0 < r_x < r_D$, be a ball such that $B(x, 5\tau r_x) \subset \Omega$. Theorem 5.1 (3) in Hajłasz–Koskela [12, Theorem 5.1] implies that there exist a constant C , depending on C_μ , p , and the constants appearing in the weak $(1, p)$ -Poincaré inequality, such that

$$\|u(z) - u(y)\|_{\mathcal{V}} \leq Cd(z, y)^{1-Q/p} r_x^{Q/p} \left(\int_{B(x, 5\tau r_x)} g_u^p d\mu \right)^{1/p}$$

for all $z, y \in B(x, r_x)$. In fact, [12, Theorem 5.1] is stated and proved only for real-valued functions, but the argument is valid also when the target is a Banach space. Young's inequality $ab \leq a^p/p + b^{p'}/p'$ and Remark 3.4 imply

$$\begin{aligned} \text{diam}(u(B(x, r_x)))^Q &\leq \frac{Cr_x^Q}{\mu(B(x, r_x))^{Q/p}} \left(\int_{B(x, 5\tau r_x)} g_u^p d\mu \right)^{Q/p} \\ &\leq C \left(\tilde{C}^{-1} \mu(B(x, r_x)) + \int_{B(x, 5\tau r_x)} g_u^p d\mu \right) \\ &\leq C \left(\int_{B(x, \alpha r_x)} (\tilde{C}^{-1} + g_u^p) d\mu \right). \end{aligned}$$

Hence u satisfies condition (RR) weakly with $\alpha = 5\tau$ and with $\Phi_u = C(\tilde{C}^{-1} + g_u^p)$, \tilde{C} appearing in Remark 3.4. \square

The fact that a continuous pseudomonotone function $u \in N_{\text{loc}}^{1, Q}(X^Q; \mathcal{V})$ verifies Luzin's condition (N_Q) would easily follow also from Proposition 5.1 (I).

REFERENCES

- [1] AMBROSIO, L. and KIRCHHEIM, B., Rectifiable sets in metric and Banach spaces, *Math. Ann.* **318** (2000), 527–555.
- [2] BALOGH, Z. M., ROGOVIN, K. and ZÜRCHER, T., The Stepanov differentiability theorem in metric measure spaces, *J. Geom. Anal.* **14** (2004), 405–422.
- [3] BJÖRN, A. and BJÖRN, J., *Nonlinear Potential Theory on Metric Spaces*, EMS Tracts Math., European Math. Soc., Zurich, in press.
- [4] BJÖRN, A., BJÖRN, J. and SHANMUGALINGAM, N., Quasicontinuity of Newton–Sobolev functions and density of Lipschitz functions on metric spaces, *Houston J. Math.* **34** (2008), 1197–1211.
- [5] CHEEGER, J., Differentiability of Lipschitz functions on metric measure spaces, *Geom. Funct. Anal.* **9** (1999), 428–517.
- [6] COSTEA, S., Sobolev capacity and Hausdorff measures in metric measure spaces, *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math.* **34** (2009), 179–194.
- [7] EILENBERG, S., On φ measures, *Ann. Soc. Pol. de Math.* **17** (1938), 251–252.
- [8] FEDERER, H., The (φ, k) rectifiable subsets of n -space, *Trans. Amer. Soc.* **62** (1947), 114–192.
- [9] FEDERER, H., *Geometric Measure Theory*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1969.
- [10] HAJŁASZ, P., Sobolev spaces on an arbitrary metric space, *Potential Anal.* **5** (1996), 403–415.
- [11] HAJŁASZ, P., Sobolev mappings, co-area formula and related topics, Proceedings on Analysis and Geometry, (Novosibirsk Akademgorodok, 1999), 227–254, Izdat. Ross. Akad. Nauk Sib. Otd. Inst. Mat., Novosibirsk, 2000.
- [12] HAJŁASZ, P. and KOSKELA, P., Sobolev met Poincaré, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* **145** (2000), no. 688.
- [13] HAKKARAINEN, H. and KINNUNEN, J., The BV-capacity in metric spaces, *Manuscripta Math.* **132** (2010), 51–73.
- [14] HEINONEN, J., *Lectures on Analysis on Metric Spaces*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2001.
- [15] HEINONEN, J., Nonsmooth calculus, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.)* **44** (2007), 163–232.
- [16] HEINONEN, J., KOSKELA, P., SHANMUGALINGAM, N., TYSON, J.T., Sobolev classes of Banach space-valued functions and quasiconformal mappings, *J. Anal. Math.* **85** (2001), 87–139.
- [17] KEITH, S., Modulus and the Poincaré inequality on metric measure spaces, *Math. Z.* **245** (2003), 255–292.
- [18] KEITH, S. and ZHONG, X., The Poincaré inequality is an open ended condition, *Ann. of Math.* (2) **167** (2008), 575–599.
- [19] KINNUNEN, J., KORTE, R., SHANMUGALINGAM, N. and TUOMINEN, H., Lebesgue points and capacities via boxing inequality in metric spaces, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **57** (2008), 401–430.
- [20] KINNUNEN, J. and MARTIO, O., The Sobolev capacity on metric spaces, *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math.* **21** (1996), 367–382.
- [21] KINNUNEN, J. and MARTIO, O., Choquet property for the Sobolev capacity in metric spaces, in *Proceedings on Analysis and Geometry* (Novosibirsk, Akademgorodok, 1999), pp. 285–290, Sobolev Institute Press, Novosibirsk, 2000.
- [22] KURATOWSKI, K., *Topology. Volume I*, Academic Press, 1966.
- [23] MAGNANI, V., Area implies coarea, to appear in *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*

- [24] MAGNANI, V., An area formula in metric spaces, Preprint at <http://cvgmt.sns.it/people/magnani/>, 2010.
- [25] MALÝ, J., Absolutely continuous functions of several variables, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **231** (1999), 492–508.
- [26] MALÝ, J., Coarea integration in metric spaces, *Nonlinear Analysis, Function Spaces and Applications Vol. 7. Proceedings of the Spring School held in Prague July 17–22, 2002.* Eds. B. Opic and J. Rakosnik. Math. Inst. of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic, Praha 2003, pp. 142–192.
- [27] MALÝ, J. and MARTIO, O., Lusin’s condition (N) and mappings of the class $W^{1,n}$, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **458** (1995), 19–36.
- [28] MALÝ, J., SWANSON, D. and ZIEMER, W. P., The coarea formula for Sobolev mappings, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **355** (2003), 477–492.
- [29] PAULS, S. D., A notion of rectifiability modeled on Carnot groups, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **53** (2004), 49–81.
- [30] RADO, T. and REICHELDERFER, P. V., *Continuous Transformations in Analysis*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Gttingen-Heidelberg, 1955.
- [31] SHANMUGALINGAM, N., Newtonian spaces: An extension of Sobolev spaces to metric measure spaces, *Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana* **16** (2000), 243–279.
- [32] SHANMUGALINGAM, N., Harmonic functions on metric spaces, *Illinois J. Math.* **45** (2001), 1021–1050.
- [33] WILDRICK, K. and ZÜRCHER, T., Mappings with an upper gradient in a Lorentz space, Preprint 382, University of Jyväskylä, 2009.

(Niko Marola) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, UNIVERSITY OF HELSINKI, P.O. BOX 86, FI-00014 UNIVERSITY OF HELSINKI, FINLAND
E-mail address: niko.marola@helsinki.fi

(William P. Ziemer) MATHEMATICS DEPARTMENT, INDIANA UNIVERSITY, BLOOMINGTON, INDIANA 47405, USA
E-mail address: ziemer@indiana.edu