Nonlinear Schrodinger-Pauli Equations

Wei-Khim Ng^{*} and Rajesh R. Parwani[†]

January 20, 2019

Department of Physics, National University of Singapore, Kent Ridge, Singapore.

Abstract

We obtain nonlinear Schrodinger-Pauli equations through a formal non-relativistic limit of appropriately constructed nonlinear Dirac equations. This procedure not only produces novel equations useful for tests of quantum mechanics, it also automatically provides a physical regularisation of potential singularities brought forward by the nonlinear terms. The enhancement of contributions coming from the regularised singularities suggests that one should engineer experiments which probe such regions.

1 Introduction

Several nonlinear extensions of Schrodinger's equation have been constructed to probe the accuracy of quantum linearity [1, 2]. For example, Weinberg proposed a class of equations which were then used in several experimental tests [3]. The results indicated that any potential non-linearity in those systems had to be smaller than some bound.

^{*}Email: g0500437@nus.edu.sg

[†]Email: parwani@nus.edu.sg

2 NON-RELATIVISTIC LIMIT

Ignoring external fields, the nonlinear Schrödinger equations we will study may be written in the form

$$i\hbar\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\psi = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\nabla^2\psi + f_{NR}(\psi)\psi \tag{1}$$

where the nonlinearity f_{NR} depends in general on the wavefunction, its conjugate and their derivatives. f_{NR} may be written as a ratio of two terms, $N(\psi)/D(\psi)$, with equal factors of ψ in the numerator and denominator to keep the invariance $\psi \to \lambda \psi$, λ a constant, of the linear Schrodinger equation. The denominator is typically a monomial in $\psi^*\psi$ so that the nonlinear term may be made separable for independent systems. As the nonlinearity must be weak on phenomenological grounds, the solutions of the linear equation must be very close to some solutions of the modified equation. But then any solutions of the linear equation that have nodes would make $D(\psi)$ vanish at some points and the nonlinearity generally singular and ill-defined.

Weinberg, in [2], discusses classes of nonlinear Schrödinger-Pauli equations where the nonlinearity turns out to be finite at the nodes because the numerator vanishes faster than the denominator there. However this will not happen for general classes of nonlinearities where $N(\psi)$ has derivatives, such as the equations studied in [4].

In this paper we discuss how to construct novel classes of nonlinear Schrödinger-Pauli equations, which have the $\psi \rightarrow \lambda \psi$ invariance, starting from nonlinear Dirac equations. Our procedure has the advantage of indicating a natural and physical regularisation of the singularities.

In the next section we discuss, in general terms, the formal non-relativistic limit of a subset of nonlinear Dirac equations constructed in [5]; For conciseness, in this paper we only consider the case where F = fI in (2), I being the identity matrix in spinor space. Explicit examples of the lowest order nonlinearities, corresponding to one factor of $\psi^*\psi$ in $D(\psi)$ are exhibited in Section 3, other cases being similarly handled. The singularity resolution is discussed in Section 4 and we end with a discussion in Section 5.

2 Non-Relativistic Limit

We start from nonlinear Dirac equations of the form

$$(i\hbar\gamma^{\mu}\partial_{\mu} - mc + \epsilon F)\psi = 0, \qquad (2)$$

where $F = F(\psi, \bar{\psi}) = fI$ and where we have made the small parameter ϵ explicit. We demand that F has certain properties so that desirable characteristics of the linear Dirac equation, such as locality, conservation of probability, separability and invariance under $\psi \to \lambda \psi$, are retained (we are adopting the standard kinematical structure of quantum mechanics, in particular the standard inner product). The other symbols in (2), such as those for the

3 EXAMPLES

gamma matrices, have their usual meanings; our conventions are similar to those in the textbook [6] and in [5].

In Hamiltonian form the equation is

$$i\hbar\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\psi = \left(i\hbar c\boldsymbol{\alpha}\cdot\boldsymbol{\nabla} + \beta mc^2 - \epsilon c\beta f\right)\psi \tag{3}$$

where $\alpha^i = \gamma^0 \gamma^i$ and $\beta = \gamma^0$. It maybe be decomposed into two equations by introducing upper and lower components of the wavefunction,

$$\psi = \begin{pmatrix} \varphi \\ \chi \end{pmatrix} e^{-imc^2 t/\hbar} \tag{4}$$

where the rest energy has been extracted as it is the largest component in the non-relativistic limit. We adopt the standard textbook procedure in obtaining the leading nonrelativistic limit, but for clarity we repeat some steps below. In order to make the algebra manageable, we simply take 1/cto be the same order of magnitude as the nonlinearity scale ϵ and keep only the leading nonlinear term in the standard non-relativistic expansion.

Substituting (4) into (3) we get

$$i\hbar\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(\begin{array}{c}\varphi\\\chi\end{array}\right) = i\hbar c \left(\begin{array}{c}\boldsymbol{\sigma}\cdot\boldsymbol{\nabla}\chi\\\boldsymbol{\sigma}\cdot\boldsymbol{\nabla}\varphi\end{array}\right) + mc^2 \left(\begin{array}{c}0\\-2\chi\end{array}\right) - \epsilon c f \left(\begin{array}{c}\varphi\\-\chi\end{array}\right).$$
(5)

From the lower component of (5) we have,

$$\chi = \frac{i\hbar\boldsymbol{\sigma}\cdot\boldsymbol{\nabla}\varphi}{2mc} - \frac{i\hbar}{2mc^2}\frac{\partial\chi}{\partial t} + \frac{\epsilon f\chi}{2mc}.$$
(6)

Let $\chi_0 = \frac{i\hbar\boldsymbol{\sigma}\cdot\boldsymbol{\nabla}\varphi}{2mc}$. Then expanding (6) about χ_0 , we obtain

$$\chi = \chi_0 + O\left(\frac{\epsilon}{c^2}, \frac{1}{c^3}\right). \tag{7}$$

That is, χ is the same as that in the linear theory. Substituting (6) into the upper component of (5), we arrive at

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\varphi \simeq -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\nabla^2\varphi - \epsilon c f_{NR}\varphi$$
 (8)

where f_{NR} means that the state dependence of f has been simplified using (4, 6) and higher order terms dropped. Below we look at some explicit examples.

3 Examples

3.1 Lorentz invariant f with one derivative

A Lorentz invariant f with one derivative and which is odd under the parity transformation is

$$f_1 = \epsilon \frac{\partial_\mu j_5^\mu}{\bar{\psi}\psi}, \qquad (9)$$

3 EXAMPLES

where $j_5^{\mu} = \bar{\psi}\gamma^{\mu}\gamma_5\psi$ is the usual chiral current. In this case the non-relativistic limit (8) is given by the equation

$$i\hbar\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial t} = -\frac{\hbar^2\nabla^2\varphi}{2m} - \epsilon c\varphi \frac{\boldsymbol{\nabla}\cdot\left(\varphi^{\dagger}\boldsymbol{\sigma}\varphi\right)}{|\varphi|^2} + O\left(\frac{1}{c^2},\epsilon^2,\frac{\epsilon}{c}\right). \tag{10}$$

The factor $\nabla \cdot (\varphi^{\dagger} \sigma \varphi)$ appears often in parity odd equations [5]; it couples the spin components of the two-component spinor.

3.2 Lorentz invariant f with two derivatives

For an example of a Lorentz invariant f with two derivatives consider

$$f_2 = \frac{\epsilon \left(\partial_\mu \partial^\mu \bar{\psi} \psi\right) + \delta \left(\partial_\mu \bar{\psi}\right) \left(\partial^\mu \psi\right)}{\bar{\psi} \psi}, \qquad (11)$$

where ϵ and δ are two independent small parameters taken to be of order 1/c below. The nonlinear Dirac equation is

$$i\hbar\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(\begin{array}{c}\varphi\\\chi\end{array}\right) = i\hbar c \left(\begin{array}{c}\boldsymbol{\sigma}\cdot\boldsymbol{\nabla}\chi\\\boldsymbol{\sigma}\cdot\boldsymbol{\nabla}\varphi\end{array}\right) + mc^2 \left(\begin{array}{c}0\\-2\chi\end{array}\right) - \frac{1}{c} \left(\begin{array}{c}\varphi\\-\chi\end{array}\right) \frac{A}{|\varphi|^2 - |\chi|^2}$$
(12)

where

$$A = \epsilon \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} \varphi^{\dagger} \varphi \right) - \epsilon \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} \chi^{\dagger} \chi \right) - \epsilon c^2 \left(\nabla^2 \varphi^{\dagger} \varphi \right) + \epsilon c^2 \left(\nabla^2 \chi^{\dagger} \chi \right) + \delta \left(\frac{\partial \varphi^{\dagger}}{\partial t} \right) \left(\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} \right) - \delta \left(\frac{\partial \chi^{\dagger}}{\partial t} \right) \left(\frac{\partial \chi}{\partial t} \right) - c^2 \delta \left(\nabla \varphi^{\dagger} \right) \cdot \left(\nabla \varphi \right) + c^2 \delta \left(\nabla \chi^{\dagger} \right) \cdot \left(\nabla \chi \right) + \delta \frac{imc^2}{\hbar} \left[\varphi^{\dagger} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} - \left(\frac{\partial \varphi^{\dagger}}{\partial t} \right) \varphi \right] - \delta \frac{imc^2}{\hbar} \left[\chi^{\dagger} \frac{\partial \chi}{\partial t} - \left(\frac{\partial \chi^{\dagger}}{\partial t} \right) \chi \right] + \delta \frac{m^2 c^4}{\hbar^2} \left(|\varphi|^2 - |\chi|^2 \right), \qquad (13)$$

Consider the lower component of (12), which after some rearrangement of terms is $it = \nabla t_{i}$ if $t = 2t_{i}$

$$\chi = \frac{i\hbar\boldsymbol{\sigma}\cdot\boldsymbol{\nabla}\varphi}{2mc} - \frac{i\hbar}{2mc^2}\frac{\partial\chi}{\partial t} + \frac{\chi}{2mc^3}\frac{A}{|\varphi|^2 - |\chi|^2}.$$
 (14)

Let $\chi_0 = \frac{i\hbar \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla} \varphi}{2mc}$, and expand (14) about χ_0 . Together with A from (13), we get

$$\chi = \chi_0 \left(1 + \frac{mc\delta}{2\hbar^2} \right) + O\left(\frac{1}{c^2}, \epsilon^2, \delta^2, \frac{\epsilon}{c}, \frac{\delta}{c}, \epsilon\delta \right) \,. \tag{15}$$

4 APPARENT SINGULARITIES

Substituting (15) into the upper component of (12), we have, after dropping a constant energy shift term,

$$i\hbar\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial t} = -\frac{\hbar^2\nabla^2\varphi}{2m}\left(1+\frac{mc\delta}{2\hbar^2}\right) + \frac{\varphi}{|\varphi|^2}\left\{\epsilon c\left(\nabla^2\varphi^{\dagger}\varphi\right) + \delta c\left(\nabla\varphi^{\dagger}\right)\cdot\left(\nabla\varphi\right) - \frac{\delta imc}{\hbar}\left[\varphi^{\dagger}\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial t} - \left(\frac{\partial\varphi^{\dagger}}{\partial t}\right)\varphi\right]\right\} + O\left(\frac{1}{c^2},\epsilon^2,\delta^2,\frac{\epsilon}{c},\frac{\delta}{c},\epsilon\delta\right).$$
(16)

3.3 Lorentz violating, parity even f

Lorentz violating non-linear Dirac equations are of some interest [7, 5, 8, 9]. An example of such an f with no derivatives and even under parity is

$$f_3 = A_\mu \frac{\bar{\psi}\gamma^\mu\psi}{\bar{\psi}\psi} \tag{17}$$

where A_{μ} is a constant vector background field. The corresponding non-relativistic limit is given by

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} = -\frac{\hbar^2 \nabla^2 \varphi}{2m} - cA_0 \varphi + \frac{i\hbar \varphi}{2m} \frac{\mathbf{A} \cdot \left[\varphi^{\dagger} \nabla \varphi - \left(\nabla \varphi^{\dagger}\right) \varphi\right]}{|\varphi|^2} + O\left(\frac{1}{c^2}, A^2, \frac{A}{c}\right).$$
(18)

3.4 Lorentz violating, parity odd f

A Lorentz violating f which is odd under parity is

$$f_4 = A_\mu \frac{\bar{\psi}\gamma_5 \gamma^\mu \psi}{\bar{\psi}\psi} \,. \tag{19}$$

The corresponding non-relativistic equation is

$$i\hbar\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial t} = -\frac{\hbar^2\nabla^2\varphi}{2m} - \frac{c\varphi^{\dagger}\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\boldsymbol{\sigma}\varphi}{|\varphi|^2}\varphi + \frac{A_0i\hbar\varphi}{2m}\frac{\left[\varphi^{\dagger}\boldsymbol{\sigma}\cdot\nabla\varphi - \left(\nabla\varphi^{\dagger}\right)\cdot\boldsymbol{\sigma}\varphi\right]}{|\varphi|^2} + O\left(\frac{1}{c^2}, A^2, \frac{A}{c}\right).$$
(20)

4 Apparent Singularities

From the above examples, we see the appearance of the following structures in the non-linear Schrodinger-Pauli equations,

$$X = \frac{\varphi^{\dagger} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla} \varphi}{|\varphi|^2} \quad , \quad Y = \frac{(\boldsymbol{\nabla} \varphi^{\dagger}) \cdot (\boldsymbol{\nabla} \varphi)}{|\varphi|^2} \quad , \quad Z = \frac{\varphi^{\dagger} \boldsymbol{\nabla}^2 \varphi}{|\varphi|^2} \quad . \tag{21}$$

Clearly at the nodes of φ these forms are singular. However, we can avoid these singularities in a natural way. For our nonlinear Dirac equations [5]

4 APPARENT SINGULARITIES

the nonlinearities have the common structure $\frac{N(\bar{\psi},\psi)}{(\bar{\psi}\psi)^n}$, the n = 1 case being discussed here. In terms of the two component spinors this is $\frac{N}{|\varphi|^2 - |\chi|^2}$ where the lower (small) component contribution $|\chi|^2$ is usually dropped in the non-relativistic limit. However at the nodes of φ we must keep the small component in the denominator and this regulates the previous singularity because, as we see from (6), at a node the lower component, to leading order, is proportional to the slope of φ which is unlikely to vanish simultaneously except for special spin configurations; in that extreme case one would need to keep even smaller terms in the non-relativistic expansion of the denominator.

For the specific examples illustrated above, the replacement $|\varphi|^2 \rightarrow |\varphi|^2 - |\chi|^2$ in the denominator makes X = Z = 0 at a node of φ while Y becomes finite and actually enhanced because of the small denominator. However note that at the level of the equation of motion there is an extra factor of φ which multiplies the nonlinearity f; it is clear then that X and Z contributions in the equation of motion are not singular even at the nodes but the Y contribution is, unless regularised as discussed above.

So far we have discussed singularities in f and at the level of equations of motion. As for observables, let us consider shifts in the energy levels given by first-order perturbation theory,

$$\delta E = \int d^3x \, \langle \varphi | F | \varphi \rangle = \int d^3x |\varphi|^2 f(\varphi) \tag{22}$$

where the unperturbed (linear equation) wavefunctions are used. We see that the X, Y, Z structures give finite shifts. Singularities will appear in $n \ge 2$ classes of nonlinearities discussed in [5], two examples of which are given by

$$V = Y^{2} = \frac{\left[(\boldsymbol{\nabla}\varphi^{\dagger}) \cdot (\boldsymbol{\nabla}\varphi) \right] \left[(\boldsymbol{\nabla}\varphi^{\dagger}) \cdot (\boldsymbol{\nabla}\varphi) \right]}{|\varphi|^{2} |\varphi|^{2}}, \qquad (23)$$

$$W = YZ = \frac{\left[(\nabla \varphi^{\dagger}) \cdot (\nabla \varphi) \right] (\varphi^{\dagger} \nabla^2 \varphi)}{|\varphi|^2 |\varphi|^2}.$$
 (24)

Clearly the energy shifts will be singular for such terms unless the regularisation is implemented.

The above discussion has ignored external potentials which must be included in realistic experiments. For example, in the presence of an external gauge field and for a particular spin component $\varphi = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \varphi_0$ the lower component is modified from its previous form χ_0 to become

$$\chi_0 = \frac{i\hbar}{2mc} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z} - \frac{e}{c} A_z \right) \varphi_0 \,. \tag{25}$$

Setting $\varphi_0 = f(\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}_0)$ near a node we have

$$|\chi_0|^2 = \frac{\hbar^2}{4m^2c^2} \left[\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial z}\right)^2 - 2\frac{e}{c}A_z f \frac{\partial f}{\partial z} + \frac{e^2}{c^2}A_z^2 f^2 \right] \,. \tag{26}$$

In this case, at the node of φ , $|\chi_0|^2$ has exactly the same form as when the gauge field is absent.

5 Discussion

We have illustrated how to obtain novel classes of nonlinear Schrodinger-Pauli equations starting from the nonlinear Dirac equations constructed in [5], the latter equations themselves being more general than previous constructions [11, 12]. For example, we have cases where the time-derivatives appear in the nonlinearity, and cases where the two components of the spinor are coupled through parity violation. We remark that probability is conserved for all of our non-relativistic equations. Also, the equations that are descended from Lorentz covariant equations are Galilean invariant.

An interesting point to note is that certain Lorentz-violating nonlinear Dirac equations have non-relativistic limits that are Galilean invariant. For example, for f_3 , if the background field has only a time component then the leading non-relativistic limit actually becomes linear and invariant under Galilean transformations. For f_4 , choosing a space-like background field will cause the non-relativistic equation to be still nonlinear but invariant under Galilean transformations.

We had taken the nonlinearity parameter ϵ to be the same order of magnitude as 1/c for ease of power counting, as our main aim was to isolate the leading nonlinear structure in the formal non-relativistic limit. We saw that potential singularities in the Schrodinger-Pauli equations are regularised by keeping the subleading lower components of the four component Dirac spinor in the denominators of the nonlinear terms. Thus physically it is the relativistic corrections that regulate the singularities. Precisely at a node, if the numerator is is nonzero, the nonlinearity is actually enhanced by the small denominator.

In some sense the situation is qualitatively similar to an informationtheoretically motivated nonlinear Schrodinger equation [7] which was studied perturbatively in [10]: there too the contribution to energy shifts from states with nodes was enhanced relative to states which had no nodes. Note also that in replacing the potentially singular denominator $|\varphi|^2$ by $|\varphi|^2 - |\chi|^2$ in Section (4) one has, in a formal expansion of the denominator, introduced an infinite number of derivatives into the nonlinear terms though we had started with a finite number of derivatives. This again is qualitatively similar to the situation with the information-theoretic nonlinearity in [7].

In actual applications, such as tests of quantum linearity, one would have to set ϵ much smaller than 1/c in the constructed nonlinear Schrodinger-Pauli equations even though they were formally derived from the nonlinear Dirac equations assuming $\epsilon \sim 1/c$.

The main suggestion from this study is that future precision low-energy experiments probing deviations from quantum linearity focus on systems which have nodes in their limiting linear wavefunctions, as the nonlinearity is enhanced there if nonzero.

References

- I. Bialynicki-Birula and J. Mycielski, Ann. Phys. **100** 62 (1976);
 T.W.B. Kibble, Commun. Math. Phys. **64** 73 (1978).
- [2] S. Weinberg, Ann. Phys. **194** 336 (1989).
- [3] J.J. Bolinger, et.al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 63, 1031 (1989);
 T. Chupp and R. Hoare, Phys. Rev. Lett. 64, 2261, (1990);
 R. Walsworth, et.al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 64, 2599 (1990);
 P. K. Majumder, et.al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 65, 2931 (1990), and references therein.
- [4] H.D. Doebner, G.A. Goldin, and P. Nattermann, J. Math. Phys. 40, 49 (1999).
- [5] W.K. Ng and R. Parwani, unpublished report at arXiv:0707.1553 v1.
- [6] C. Itzykson and J.B. Zuber, *Quantum field theory*, (New York: McGraw-Hill International Book Co., 1980).
- [7] R. Parwani, Ann. Phys. **315**, 419 (2005).
- [8] W.K. Ng and R. Parwani, arXiv:0805.3015 and in preparation.
- [9] L. H. Haddad and L. D. Carr, arXiv:0803.3039.
- [10] R. Parwani and G. Tabia, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 40 5621-5635 (2007).
- [11] H.D. Doebner and R. Zhdanov, arXiv:quanth-ph/0304167.
- W. I. Fushchich and R.Z. Zhdanov, Phys. Repts. 172, (1989) 123-174.
 W. I. Fushchich and R.Z. Zhdanov, Symmetries and Exact Solutions of Nonlinear Dirac Equations (Ukraina Publishers 1997).