

A Lorentz and gauge invariant measure of laser intensity

Thomas Heinzl* and Anton Ilderton†

*School of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Plymouth
Drake Circus, Plymouth PL4 8AA, UK*

(Dated: May 31, 2018)

Focussing on null fields as simple models of laser beams we discuss the classical relativistic motion of charges in strong electromagnetic fields. We suggest a universal, Lorentz and gauge invariant measure of laser intensity and explicitly calculate and interpret it for crossed field, plane wave and vortex models.

PACS numbers: 42.55.Ah

I. INTRODUCTION

Since the advent of chirped pulse amplification [1] optical lasers have reached unprecedented intensities, the present state of the art being about 10^{22} W/cm². The associated electric fields of approximately 10^{13} V/m have renewed interest in the idea of particle acceleration using laser fields. Using plasma wake fields impressive progress has been made during the last few years [2]. In vacuum, however, achieving laser acceleration is nontrivial as a laser field is oscillatory; the periodic sign change seems to render acceleration of charges unfeasible. Indeed there is a result named the Lawson-Woodward theorem [3] which states that a plane wave cannot accelerate charges (see [4] for a thorough discussion). This is somewhat academic to the extent that a laser beam is only crudely modelled by a plane wave, as there is no ponderomotive force. Nevertheless, adopting plane waves as a first approximation, it suggests that a laser may not be a very efficient particle accelerator in vacuum – unless its oscillatory and/or plane wave characteristics can be overcome or modified. Part of this paper will be to analytically assess some options in this direction.

The most important parameter characterising the ‘strength’ of a high power laser is the ‘dimensionless laser amplitude’ which, in a particle physics context, may be written [5]

$$a_0 \equiv \frac{eE_{\text{rms}}}{\omega m_e c}, \quad (1)$$

with e being the elementary charge, $E_{\text{rms}} \equiv \langle E^2 \rangle^{1/2}$ the rms electric field, ω the laser frequency and m_e the electron mass. The analogue of $1/a_0$ in atomic physics is the Keldysh parameter, see [6] and references therein. Employing the laser wavelength $\lambda_L \equiv c/\omega$ we may write

$$a_0 = \frac{eE_{\text{rms}}\lambda_L}{m_e c^2}, \quad (2)$$

which describes a_0 as the ratio of two energies; the average energy gain of the electron in the laser moving over a

laser wavelength, divided by its rest energy. The presence of the velocity of light, c , signals the relativistic nature of the strength parameter, with $a_0 > 1$ describing the regime where electrons become relativistic.

Note that a_0 is a purely classical quantity as no factors of \hbar are present. This should be compared with the situation when the denominator of (2) is written in terms of Sauter’s critical field [7], more commonly known as the Schwinger limit [8]. This is defined by $m_e c^2 = eE_{\text{crit}}\lambda_C$, where $\lambda_C \equiv \hbar/m_e c$ is the Compton wavelength. At the critical field strength it becomes energetically favourable for the vacuum to ‘break down’ by emitting electron positron pairs which will move apart such as to decrease the external field until it becomes subcritical again. This is clearly a quantum effect. Numerically, one finds $E_{\text{crit}} = 1.3 \times 10^{18}$ V/m, which is still five orders of magnitude above what can presently be achieved. Inserting this definition into (2) we find $a_0 = (\lambda_L/\lambda_C)(E_{\text{rms}}/E_{\text{crit}})$. The smallness of the second factor is compensated by the large ratio of laser to Compton wavelength which is of the order of 10^6 . That is why the high-intensity regime, which is the main focus of this paper, indeed corresponds to $a_0 > 1$, the current upper limit being about 10^2 .

Looking at (1) we note that a_0 appears to be a frame dependent quantity as the laser wavelength (and, more subtly, the time average involved in defining E_{rms}) do not represent Lorentz invariant concepts. This shortcoming is claimed to be overcome [5] by employing the gauge 4-potential A_μ to define a_0 via

$$a_0^2 = -\frac{e^2 \langle A_\mu A^\mu \rangle}{m_e^2 c^4}. \quad (3)$$

This new definition, however, seems like a cure worse than the disease as (for a properly chosen average) it establishes Lorentz invariance by sacrificing gauge invariance – (3) is not equal to (1) in all gauges. This is clear from a particle physics perspective as $A_\mu A^\mu$ precisely corresponds to a photon mass term (say in a Lagrangian) which certainly violates gauge invariance.

The main topic of this paper will hence be to provide a universal definition of a_0 that meets both the requirements of Lorentz and gauge invariance. Adopting common particle physics practice we will set $\hbar = c = 1$ in the remainder of this paper.

*Electronic address: theinzl@plymouth.ac.uk

†Electronic address: abilderton@plymouth.ac.uk

II. MOTION IN PLANE WAVE FIELDS

Since we know from (1) that a_0 is a classical quantity, it will suffice to consider the classical motion of a charged particle (chosen to be an electron throughout), as governed by the Lorentz equation

$$\dot{p}_\mu(\tau) = \frac{e}{m_e} F_{\mu\nu}(x(\tau)) p^\nu(\tau), \quad (4)$$

maintaining both gauge invariance and Lorentz covariance. Throughout, $p^\mu = m_e \dot{x}^\mu$ is the 4-momentum of the electron and dots represent derivatives with respect to proper time τ . The right-hand side of (4) is the Lorentz force acting on the particle. Gauge invariance is manifest as only the gauge invariant field strength tensor $F_{\mu\nu}$ appears¹.

Our first task is to solve (4) in terms of $F_{\mu\nu}$ rather than the potential A_μ (recall $F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu$) which was the previously adopted approach [10, 11] – see, however, [12]. For an arbitrary field configuration it will generally not be possible to find an analytic solution of (4) which is a *nonlinear* differential equation depending arbitrarily on $x(\tau)$ via $F_{\mu\nu}$. On the other hand, drastic simplifications arise if $F_{\mu\nu}(x)$ is a plane wave. In this case the field strength tensor may be written [8]

$$F^{\mu\nu}(x) = F_1(k \cdot x) f_1^{\mu\nu} + F_2(k \cdot x) f_2^{\mu\nu}, \quad (5)$$

with the amplitudes F_j depending on the Lorentz invariant phase $k \cdot x$, where $k^\mu \equiv \omega n^\mu$ is the 4-momentum of the wave. The constant tensors $f_j^{\mu\nu}$ are parameterised in terms of dimensionless 4-vectors n and ϵ_j ,

$$f_j^{\mu\nu} \equiv n^\mu \epsilon_j^\nu - n^\nu \epsilon_j^\mu. \quad (6)$$

The lightlike vector n_μ may be chosen ‘orthogonal’ to the spacelike polarisation vectors ϵ_j , i.e. $n \cdot \epsilon_j = 0$. For circular polarisation we have $\epsilon_1 \cdot \epsilon_2 = 0$ and for linear polarisation we simply set one of the F_j to zero. In either case we clearly have $n_\mu F^{\mu\nu} = 0$, which expresses the transversality of the wave. Hence, dotting n (or k) into the equation of motion we find that the momentum component $k \cdot p$ is a constant of motion which, upon integrating, induces a proportionality between proper time τ and ‘light-cone time’ $n \cdot x$ (assuming $n \cdot x(0) = 0$). For the phase $k \cdot x$ in (5) this implies $k \cdot x \equiv \Omega\tau$. Thus, quite remarkably, we can trade the x dependence of $F^{\mu\nu}$ for a dependence solely on proper time τ whereupon the equation of motion becomes *linear*. Consequently it can be solved analytically by exponentiation. As the time dependence resides in the scalar prefactors F_j , the tensor $F_{\mu\nu}$ commutes with itself at different times and time-ordering of the exponential is unnecessary. Denoting the integral of $eF_{\mu\nu}/m_e$ by $G_{\mu\nu}$ such that $G_{\mu\nu}(0) = 0$, the

first integral of the equation of motion (4) is

$$p_\mu(\tau) = \exp[G(\tau)]_{\mu\nu} p^\nu(0). \quad (7)$$

To evaluate the exponential in (7) we have to determine all powers of $F_{\mu\nu}$ (or $G_{\mu\nu}$). For $F_{\mu\nu}^2$ one finds the rather simple result,

$$F_{\mu\nu}^2 \equiv F_\mu^\sigma F_{\sigma\nu} = F_j F_j n_\mu n_\nu. \quad (8)$$

Multiplying with $F_{\mu\nu}$ once more, transversality implies that its cube vanishes, $F_{\mu\nu}^3 = 0$. Fields with this property are called null fields in general relativity [13]. In Taub’s classification of constant fields this case is denoted ‘parabolic’ [12] i.e. electric and magnetic fields are orthogonal and of equal magnitude such that the basic bilinear Lorentz and gauge invariants vanish,

$$-\frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2} (E^2 - B^2) = 0, \quad (9)$$

$$-\frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} \tilde{F}^{\mu\nu} = \mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0. \quad (10)$$

Constant fields of this type are also referred to as crossed fields, e.g. in [11], and constitute the simplest model of a laser beam applicable when all relevant length scales are small compared to λ_L . As (9) and (10) are invariant statements, identifying fields as null is a Lorentz invariant characterisation. It is easy to check that these identities are equally valid for the plane waves (5). We note in this context that the energy momentum tensor for null fields takes on a particularly simple form and coincides with (8) as the trace term vanishes, $T_{\mu\nu} = F_{\mu\nu}^2$.

As a result of this discussion the exponential series (7) is truncated to second order, giving the simple expression

$$p_\mu(\tau) = [g_{\mu\nu} + G_{\mu\nu}(\tau) + \frac{1}{2} G_{\mu\nu}^2(\tau)] p^\nu(0), \quad (11)$$

with $g_{\mu\nu} = \text{diag}(1, -1, -1, -1)$. This constitutes the gauge invariant first integral of the Lorentz equation (4). It is a trivial matter to integrate again, which yields a gauge invariant expression for the particle orbit. For the moment, (11) is sufficient for a proper determination of the strength parameter a_0 .

From (3), where a_0^2 is quadratic in A_μ , we expect a gauge invariant definition to be quadratic in $F_{\mu\nu}$ or $G_{\mu\nu}$. Furthermore it should be related to an energy density or intensity, which suggests using the energy momentum tensor $T_{\mu\nu}$. As the usual Lorentz invariants (9) and (10) vanish we are lead to the only remaining invariant $p_\mu T^{\mu\nu} p_\nu$ given by contracting with the gauge invariant momentum (11). There is more than one way to make this dimensionless, but our previous formulae (1) and (2) uniquely lead to the definition

$$a_0^2 \equiv \frac{e^2}{m_e^2} \frac{\langle\langle p_\mu T^{\mu\nu} p_\nu \rangle\rangle}{(k \cdot p)^2}. \quad (12)$$

Here $\langle\langle \dots \rangle\rangle$ denotes the Lorentz invariant proper time average which, in this paper, we take over *all* proper time such that it yields the Fourier zero mode.

¹ For a discussion of the gauge invariance of a_0 using A_μ see [9].

The invariant numerator in (12), originally introduced in [14] as $(F_{\mu\nu}p^\nu)^2$, is indeed proportional to the energy density seen by the charge, as in the charge rest frame, where $p = (m_e, \mathbf{0})$, one has $p_\mu T^{\mu\nu} p_\nu = m_e^2 T^{00} = m_e^2 (E^2 + B^2)/2$. The general relativistic analogue of this is an important characteristic of curved space times, constrained by positive energy theorems [15]. The numerator of (12) is then the average energy density seen by the charge during its *entire* exposure to the laser field. The second invariant $k \cdot p = m_e \Omega$ in the denominator is the constant of motion proportional to the laser frequency seen by the particle. Altogether, a_0 is a ratio of two energies as described below (2).

Taking p as the momentum of a probe photon a_0 also determines the amount of vacuum birefringence in ultra-strong laser fields due to an induced effective metric, $h_{\mu\nu} = g_{\mu\nu} - \kappa T_{\mu\nu}$ with $\kappa = \kappa(a_0)$ [16].

We conclude this section with three explicit examples: linearly and circularly polarised plane waves, and crossed fields. In each case our definition (12) recovers (1), and the gauge non-invariant expression (3) is obtained from (12) by substituting for $F^{\mu\nu}$ in terms of the gauge potential A_μ in light-cone gauge, $n \cdot A = 0$ [17]. We will now describe the orbits and calculate a_0 for our three examples. In all cases we choose initial conditions $\epsilon_j \cdot p(0) = 0$, i.e. the electron has zero initial transverse momentum, and as before $n \cdot x(0) = 0$. From (11), we find that the orbits may be parameterised by three functions b_1, b_2, b_3 . These are derived from the F_j describing the laser fields as in (5) and (6), and will be given below for each example. The form of the orbits is:

$$x^\mu(\tau) = x^\mu(0) + \frac{\tau}{m_e} p^\mu(0) - \frac{e b_j(\tau)}{k \cdot p} \epsilon_j^\mu + \frac{e^2 b_3(\tau)}{2(k \cdot p)^2} k^\mu. \quad (13)$$

The first two terms represent initial conditions and the final two terms describe the transverse and longitudinal motion, respectively. We proceed to the examples.

Linear polarisation. We have $F_1 \equiv \omega C \cos k \cdot x$, with C a constant amplitude, and $F_2 \equiv 0$. The orbit is described by $b_1 = C(1 - \cos \Omega\tau)$, $b_2 = 0$ and $b_3 = C^2(2\Omega\tau - \sin 2\Omega\tau)/4$. With transverse coordinates x, y and longitudinal coordinate z , we see a frequency doubling in the longitudinal orbit coefficient b_3 . Accordingly, and in the frame where the average drift velocity vanishes, the motion in the x - z plane acquires the well known ‘figure-8’ shape [11, 18] which is nothing but the Lissajous figure corresponding to frequency ratio 2:1, see Figure 1. The energy-momentum tensor is $T^{\mu\nu} = C^2 k^\mu k^\nu \cos^2 \Omega\tau$ with the cosine averaging to 1/2 so that $a_0^2 = e^2 C^2 / 2m_e^2$.

Circular polarisation. We choose F_1 as above and $F_2 \equiv -\omega C \sin \Omega\tau$. In this case b_1 is as above, $b_2 = -C(\Omega\tau - \sin \Omega\tau)$ and $b_3 = -2Cb_2$ i.e. there is no frequency doubling. In the average rest frame where all drift velocities vanish the trajectory is an ellipse in the x - z plane. The energy momentum tensor becomes constant, $T^{\mu\nu} = C^2 k^\mu k^\nu$, implying a laser amplitude $a_0 = eC/m_e$.

In both these examples our a_0^2 defines the effective mass of an electron in the field [19] via the square of the average 4-momentum, $\langle\langle p \rangle\rangle^2 = m_e^2 (1 + a_0^2)$, identifying $1 + a_0^2$ with a gamma factor squared [18]. Periodicity of the above fields results in periodic orbits, hence no net acceleration. This is different for our final example.

Crossed fields. These are obtained by letting $\Omega \rightarrow 0$ in either of the cases above. We find $F_1 = C\omega$ and $F_2 = 0$ implying constant field strength. Transverse and longitudinal orbit coefficients become quadratic and cubic in τ respectively: $b_1 = C\Omega^2\tau^2/2$, $b_2 = 0$, $b_3 = C^2\Omega^3\tau^3/3$. As the charge does not experience a full period of the laser field there is no cancellation between positive and negative field regions and we hence have net acceleration (subcycle acceleration). The energy momentum tensor and a_0 are the same as for circular polarisation.

III. ELECTROMAGNETIC VORTICES

A more sophisticated null field model of a laser beam is the electromagnetic vortex found by Białynicki-Birula [20]. The author states that this approximates a circularly polarised Laguerre-Gauss beams in the vicinity of the beam axis/vortex line. The tensor structure is as in (5) and (6) but the amplitude functions now depend on transverse coordinates as well as on the phase $k \cdot x$. It is useful to introduce complex notation for the amplitudes, $\mathcal{F} \equiv F_1 + iF_2$, the polarisation vectors, $\epsilon \equiv \epsilon_1 + i\epsilon_2$ and the transverse coordinates, $Z \equiv \epsilon \cdot x$.

The vortex field strength tensor

$$F^{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{F} (n^\mu \epsilon^\nu - n^\nu \epsilon^\mu) + c.c., \quad (14)$$

is determined by the complex ‘envelope’ function, $\mathcal{F} \equiv C\omega Z \exp(-ik \cdot x)$. It follows that the energy momentum tensor is of type (8),

$$T^{\mu\nu} = |\mathcal{F}|^2 n^\mu n^\nu = C^2 \omega^2 |Z|^2 n^\mu n^\nu, \quad (15)$$

but now depends explicitly on the transverse coordinate $Z(\tau)$. Nevertheless, this form implies that, according to (12), the dimensionless intensity parameter for electromagnetic vortices is

$$a_0^2 = \frac{e^2 C^2}{m_e^2} \langle\langle ZZ^* \rangle\rangle \equiv \omega_c^2 \langle\langle ZZ^* \rangle\rangle, \quad (16)$$

with cyclotron frequency ω_c . To determine this elegant expression explicitly we obviously need to find Z by solving the equation of motion,

$$\ddot{x}^\mu = \frac{1}{2} \omega \omega_c \epsilon \cdot x \{ \epsilon \cdot \dot{x} n^\mu - n \cdot \dot{x} \epsilon^\mu \} + c.c. \quad (17)$$

We point out that this equation is *nonlinear* and cannot be solved by exponentiation. Fortunately, Białynicki-Birula has shown there is still an exact solution. He notices that longitudinal (n^μ) and transverse (ϵ^μ) motion decouple. The former is easily solved because the tensor




FIG. 1: Left: motion in a linearly polarised plane wave (electron's average rest frame). Right: bounded motion in the vortex, projected into the transverse plane.

structure again guarantees transversality so $k \cdot p$ is conserved exactly as before. This conservation renders the transverse equation linear. Its solution amounts, in our notation, to introducing a co-moving basis ϵ_τ via

$$\zeta(\tau) \equiv e^{-i\Omega\tau/2} Z(\tau) \equiv \epsilon_\tau \cdot x. \quad (18)$$

In terms of ζ the transverse equation of motion is

$$\ddot{\zeta} + i\Omega\dot{\zeta} - \frac{\Omega^2}{4}\zeta - \omega_c\Omega\zeta^* = 0, \quad (19)$$

which is clearly linear, describing a damped harmonic oscillator. Its solution is given explicitly in [20], so we do not reproduce it here. For $\kappa \equiv 4\omega_c/\Omega < 1$ it describes non-periodic bounded motion, see Figure 1. $\kappa > 1$ yields acceleration which, however, is an artifact of the unphysical transverse growth of the fields.

With the solution in hand, we return to a_0 . It is clear from (18) that the intensity parameter becomes

$$a_0^2 = \omega_c^2 \langle\langle \zeta\zeta^* \rangle\rangle, \quad (20)$$

and it remains only to evaluate the proper time average over transverse coordinates. We find the resulting a_0 to depend explicitly on the charge's motion through its

initial position and momentum. e.g. if the particle is at rest initially at transverse co-ordinates x_0, y_0 , the intensity parameter is

$$a_0^2 = \frac{\omega_c^2}{2} \frac{x_0^2(1+\kappa)(2-\kappa) + y_0^2(1-\kappa)(2+\kappa)}{(1-\kappa^2)}. \quad (21)$$

This dependence on the particle's orbit is not so surprising: the same is actually true for the plane wave, as a_0 contains e, m_e and an average over the particle's proper time. As the vortex has a non-trivial spacetime dependence, any measure of its strength should reflect this.

IV. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

We have given a thorough discussion of particle motion in null fields from a particle physics perspective. The fields in question are regarded as simple models of laser fields, for which the usual Lorentz and gauge invariants quadratic in electromagnetic fields vanish. It follows that the laser fields can only be characterised in terms of a particle *probe*. Its 4-vector p then may be employed to form a nontrivial invariant $p_\mu T^{\mu\nu} p_\nu$ which is the energy density of the field as seen by the probe in its instantaneous rest frame. By making this quantity dimensionless one arrives at a universal definition of laser strength satisfying the crucial requirements of both Lorentz and gauge invariance. For more complicated beams a_0 becomes dependent on transverse coordinates and hence acquires a profile. Its nonvanishing gradient will in turn determine the ponderomotive force.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank G. Dunne, C. Harvey, K. Langfeld, M. Lavelle, D. McMullan, V. Serbo and A. Wipf for useful discussions.

-
- [1] A. Strickland and G. Mourou, *Opt. Commun.* **56**, 212 (1985).
[2] S. P. D. Mangles *et al.*, *Nature* **431** (2004) 535; C. G. R. Geddes *et al.*, *ibid.* 538; J. Faure *et al.*, *ibid.* 541.
[3] P. Woodward, *J. IEE* **93**, 1554 (1946), Part IIIA; P. Woodward and J. Lawson, *J. IEE* **95**, 363 (1948), Part III.
[4] R. Palmer, (1987), SLAC-PUB-4320.
[5] C. Bamber *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. D* **60**, 092004 (1999).
[6] G. V. Dunne, [arXiv:hep-th/0406216].
[7] F. Sauter, *Z. Phys.* **69**, 742 (1931).
[8] J. Schwinger, *Phys. Rev.* **82**, 664 (1951).
[9] H. R. Reiss, *Phys. Rev. A* **19**, 1140 (1979).
[10] T. Kibble, *Phys. Rev.* **138**, B 740 (1965); E. S. Sarachik and G. T. Schappert, *Phys. Rev.* **D1**, 2738 (1970); A. L. Troha *et al.*, *Phys. Rev.* **E60**, 926 (1999).
[11] V. Berestetskii *et al.*, *Quantum Electrodynamics (Course of Theoretical Physics)* (Butterworth-Heinemann, 1982).
[12] A. Taub, *Phys. Rev.* **73**, 786 (1948).
[13] H. Stephani, *Relativity* (Cambridge Univ. Press, 2004).
[14] A. I. Nikishov and V. I. Ritus, *Sov. Phys. JETP* **19**, 529 (1964).
[15] R. Wald, *General Relativity* (Univ. Chicago Press, 1984).
[16] T. Heinzl *et al.*, *Opt. Commun.* **267**, 318 (2006).
[17] L. Brown and T. Kibble, *Phys. Rev.* **133**, A705 (1964).
[18] K. T. McDonald, (1986), preprint DOE/ER/3072-38; www.hep.princeton.edu/~mcdonald/e144/prop.pdf.
[19] N. D. Sengupta, *Bull. Math. Soc. (Calcutta)* **44**, 175 (1952).
[20] I. Bialynicki-Birula, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **93**, 020402 (2004).