

# ERGODIC ACTION OF THE MAPPING CLASS GROUP ON MODULI SPACES OF REPRESENTATIONS OF NON-ORIENTABLE SURFACES

FREDERIC PALESI

ABSTRACT. Let  $M$  be a non-orientable surface with  $\chi(M) \leq -2$ . We consider the moduli space of flat  $SU(2)$ -connections, or equivalently the moduli space of representations

$$\mathcal{X}(M) = \text{Hom}(\pi_1(M), SU(2)) / SU(2).$$

Let  $\Gamma_M$  be the mapping class group of  $M$ . There is a natural action of  $\Gamma_M$  on  $\mathcal{X}(M)$  and we show here that this action is ergodic with respect to the natural measure given by the pushforward of Haar measure. This result is an extension of earlier results of Goldman for orientable surfaces (see [6]).

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $M$  be a closed surface with  $\chi(M) < 0$  and let  $\pi$  denote its fundamental group. Let  $G$  be a Lie group and consider the space of homomorphisms  $\pi \rightarrow G$ , denoted  $\text{Hom}(\pi, G)$ , and called the *representation variety*. The space of  $G$ -conjugacy classes of such homomorphisms, called the *character variety* is denoted  $\mathcal{X}(M) = \text{Hom}(\pi, G)$ . Geometrically,  $\mathcal{X}(M)$  identifies with the moduli space of flat principal  $G$ -bundles over  $M$ . The mapping class group  $\Gamma_M$  is defined as the group of isotopy class of orientation-preserving diffeomorphisms of  $M$  when the surface is orientable, and as the full group of isotopy classes of diffeomorphisms when the surface is non-orientable. A classical result of Nielsen [16] tells that the mapping class group is isomorphic to the group  $\text{Out}^+(\pi)$  (resp.  $\text{Out}(\pi)$ ) of positive outer automorphisms (resp. outer automorphisms) when the surface is orientable (resp. non-orientable). Hence there is a natural action of  $\Gamma_M$  on  $\mathcal{X}(M)$  induced by the action of  $\text{Aut}(\pi) \times \text{Aut}(G)$  on  $\text{Hom}(\pi, G)$  by composition. The dynamics of

---

*Date:* April 23, 2019.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 57M05; Secondary: 22D40, 20F34.

*Key words and phrases.* Non-orientable surface, Mapping class group, fundamental group, representation variety, Dehn twist, Ergodic theory.

these actions have been extensively studied in various cases depending on the Lie group  $G$  (see [8] for a survey on the subject). In the following, we will focus only on the particular case where  $G = \mathrm{SU}(2)$ . The main result of this paper is

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $M$  be a closed surface such that  $\chi(M) \leq 2$  and let  $G = \mathrm{SU}(2)$ . The mapping class group  $\Gamma_M$  acts ergodically on  $\mathcal{X}(M)$  with respect to the measure induced by the pushforward of Haar measure on  $\mathrm{SU}(2)$ .*

This result was proven by Goldman in [6] for  $M$  an orientable surface and, we extend it for  $M$  a non-orientable surface.

When  $M$  is orientable, there is a natural  $\Gamma_M$ -invariant symplectic structure on  $\mathcal{X}(M)$  ([4, 7]) which induces a volume form and hence a measure. Goldman uses this symplectic structure and a certain hamiltonian  $\mathbb{R}^n$ -action defined on the character variety to show that the  $\Gamma_M$ -action is ergodic with respect to this measure. On the other side, when  $M$  is non-orientable, a symplectic structure may not exist on the character variety as the dimension of this space might be odd. However the measure defined as the pushforward of Haar measure is still natural in some sense. In [23] Witten defined and computed a volume on this space using the Reidemeister-Ray-Singer torsion (see e.g. [1]). In the case of an orientable surface, Witten proved that this volume is equal to the symplectic volume on the moduli space. In [10], Jeffrey and Ho used the natural pushforward of Haar measure to prove Witten's formula in the case of a non-orientable surface. A more general treatment of this volume arising as the pushforward of Haar measure associated to a presentation of the group can be found in [11].

Theorem 1 is proved by gluing together smaller surfaces, which are necessarily surfaces with boundary. We then have to generalize the theorem to surfaces with boundary. Assume  $M$  has  $m$  boundary components  $\partial_1 M, \dots, \partial_m M$ , then the inclusion maps  $\partial_i M \hookrightarrow M$  induce the application

$$\partial^\# : \mathcal{X}(M) \longrightarrow \mathcal{X}(\partial M) = \prod_{i=1}^m \mathcal{X}(\partial_i M).$$

Then  $\mathcal{X}(M)$  may be viewed as a family of *relative character varieties* over  $\mathcal{X}(\partial M)$ . As each  $\mathcal{X}(\partial_i M)$  is identified to the set  $[G]$  of conjugacy classes in  $G$ , the base of this family is a product of copies of  $[G]$ . Specifically, let  $\{C_1, C_2, \dots, C_m\} \subset \pi$  be elements of the fundamental group corresponding to the  $m$  boundary components. Assign to each  $C_i$  a conjugacy class  $c_i \in [G]$  and define the relative character variety

over  $\mathcal{C} = (c_1, \dots, c_m) \in [G]^m$  as

$$\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{C}}(M) = \{[\rho] \in \mathcal{X}(M) \mid \rho(C_i) = c_i, 1 \leq i \leq m\}.$$

For a surface with boundary, the mapping class group  $\Gamma_M$  is identified with the group  $\text{Out}(\pi, \partial M)$  of outer automorphisms of  $\pi$  (or  $\text{Out}^+(\pi, \partial M)$  if the surface is orientable) which preserve the conjugacy class of every cyclic subgroup corresponding to a boundary component. Then  $\Gamma_M$  acts on  $\mathcal{X}(M)$  by outer automorphism of  $\pi$  and in particular on  $\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{C}}(M)$ .

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $M$  be a surface with  $m$  boundary components such that  $\chi(M) \leq -2$  and let  $\mathcal{C} = (c_1, \dots, c_m) \in [G]^m$ . Then the action of the mapping class group  $\Gamma_M$  on  $\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{C}}(M)$  is ergodic.*

This theorem includes Theorem 1 as the special case where  $M$  has no boundary and was also proven by Goldman in [6] for  $M$  an orientable surface. For surfaces of Euler characteristic  $-1$ , the behavior of the  $\Gamma_M$ -action depends on the orientability:

- If  $M$  is orientable, namely  $M$  is a three-holed sphere or a one-holed torus, then Theorem 2 holds.
- If  $M$  is non-orientable, namely the two-holed projective plane, the one-holed Klein bottle or the connected sum of three projective plane, then the action of  $\Gamma_M$  is not ergodic. In each of these cases, there is an essential curve which is invariant under the action of the mapping class group (see [3]).

For an orientable surface, Theorem 2 was extended to the general case of a compact Lie group  $G$  by Pickrell and Xia [17, 18] by using sewing techniques on the representation variety. Their approach relies on the study of the infinitesimal transitivity in the case of the one-holed torus and then using sewing techniques. For non-orientable surfaces, this result cannot hold in Euler characteristic  $-1$ , so in order to prove an analogue result, we would have to study this infinitesimal transitivity for the two-holed Klein bottle and the three-holed projective plane, which is much more complicated.

The topological dynamics of these actions are more delicate as we do not ignore the subsets of null measure. We can hope that if a representation  $\rho \in \text{Hom}(\pi, \text{SU}(2))$  has dense image in  $\text{SU}(2)$ , then the  $\Gamma_M$ -orbit of  $[\rho]$  is dense in  $\mathcal{X}(M)$ . This result is true if the surface  $M$  is orientable and the genus of  $M$  is strictly positive ([19, 20]). However in genus 0, there are representations  $\rho$  with dense image but whose orbit  $\Gamma_M \cdot [\rho]$  consists only of two points ([21]).

**Summary.** This paper is organized as follows.

In Section 2, we review some basic knowledge about non-orientable surfaces their mapping class groups and moduli spaces. In Section 3 we define the *Goldman flow* on non-orientable surfaces following Klein [12]. This is a circle action on a dense open subspace of the character variety of  $M$ . This action corresponds to the circle action defined by L. Jeffrey and J. Weitsman in [9] in the case of an orientable closed surface.

In Section 4 we study the case where  $M$  is a non-orientable surface of even genus. In this case we split  $M$  along a non-separating curve  $X$  to obtain an orientable surface with two more boundary components. We use the Goldman flow associated to  $X$  and apply the result for orientable surfaces. The last step of the proof is the study of a particular Dehn twist in the two-holed Klein bottle with explicit calculations using trace coordinates.

The case of odd non-orientable genus is slightly more complicated. It is impossible to split  $M$  along a curve  $X$  to have only orientable surfaces anymore, as in the previous case. Instead of that, we split  $M$  along a separating curve  $C$  such that one of the two connected components obtained by this splitting is orientable and the other is a non-orientable surface of Euler characteristic  $-2$ . Section 5 is dedicated to the study of the particular cases of non-orientable surfaces of odd genus, with Euler characteristic  $-2$  using explicit calculations. And section 6 corresponds to the study of the gluing of the surface using the Goldman flow associated to  $C$ .

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

**2.1. Mapping class group of non-orientable surfaces.** We summarize some basic knowledge on non-orientable surfaces and their mapping class groups. For details and proofs, we refer to [13, 14, 22].

Let  $M = N_{g,m}$  be a compact non-orientable surface of genus  $g \geq 1$  and with  $m$  boundary components, denoted  $\partial M = C_1 \sqcup \dots \sqcup C_m$ . Recall that  $N_{g,0}$  is a connected sum of  $g$  projective planes, and that  $N_{g,m}$  is obtained by removing  $m$  open discs of  $N_{g,0}$ . The fundamental group  $\pi_1(N_g)$  admits two important presentations that we recall here. The first is the natural presentation which exhibits the fact that  $N_g$  is a connected sum of projective planes.

$$\pi_1(N_{g,0}) = \langle A_1, \dots, A_g \mid A_1^2 \cdots A_g^2 = 1 \rangle.$$

Another presentation can be obtained by transforming a connected sum of three projective planes to the connected sum of a handle with only

one projective plane. The presentation depends on the parity of  $g$ .

$$\pi_1(N_{2k+1,0}) = \langle A_1, B_1, \dots, A_k, B_k, C \mid [A_1, B_1] \dots [A_k, B_k] C^2 = 1 \rangle$$

$$\pi_1(N_{2k+2,0}) = \langle A_1, B_1, \dots, A_k, B_k, C, D \mid [A_1, B_1] \dots [A_k, B_k] C^2 D^2 = 1 \rangle.$$

A simple closed curve is called *two-sided* if a regular neighborhood of it is an annulus. Similarly, a simple closed curve is called *one-sided* if a regular neighborhood of it is a Möbius strip. A *circle* on  $M$  is a closed connected one-dimensional submanifold of  $M$ . We denote by  $M|X$  the surface obtained by splitting  $M$  along a circle  $X$ , defined as the surface with boundary for which there is an identification map  $i_X : M|X \rightarrow M$  satisfying

- the restriction of  $i_X$  to  $i_X^{-1}(M - X)$  is a diffeomorphism;
- $i_X^{-1}(X)$  consists of two components  $X_+, X_- \subset \partial(M|X)$ , to each of which the restriction of  $i_X$  is a diffeomorphism onto  $X$ .

A circle  $X$  is called *non-separating* if  $M|X$  is connected, and *separating* otherwise. A separating circle is *trivial* if one of the two components is either a disc, a cylinder or a Möbius strip.

The mapping class group  $\Gamma_M$  is defined to be the group of isotopy classes of all diffeomorphisms  $\phi : M \rightarrow M$  such that the restriction map to each boundary component is the identity, i.e.  $\phi|_{C_i} = Id|_{C_i}$  for all  $i$ . For a two-sided circle  $X$ , we will denote by  $\tau_X$  the Dehn twist about  $X$ . Another important class of elements of  $\Gamma_M$  are *crosscap slides* which are characteristic of non-orientable surfaces and that we define below. Consider a Möbius strip  $M$  with one hole, or equivalently a projective plane from which the interiors of two discs have been removed. Attach another Möbius strip  $N$  along one of the boundary components. The resulting surface  $K$  is a Klein bottle with one hole. By sliding  $N$  once along the core of  $M$ , we get a diffeomorphism of  $K$  fixing the boundary of  $K$  (cf figure below). Assume that this diffeomorphism is the identity in a neighborhood of the boundary of  $K$ . If  $K$  is embedded in a surface  $S$ , it can be extended to a diffeomorphism  $y$  of  $S$  by the identity. We call the resulting diffeomorphism  $y$  and its class a *crosscap slide* or a *y-homeomorphism*. The mapping class  $y^2$  is equal to a Dehn twist about the boundary of  $K$ .

For an orientable surface  $S$ , the mapping class group  $\Gamma_S$  is generated by Dehn twists, and the number of generators can be chosen to be finite.[14, 16]. However, for a non-orientable surface  $M$  the Dehn twists do not generate the mapping class group  $\Gamma_M$ , instead the *twist subgroup* generated by Dehn twists is an index 2 subgroup of  $\Gamma_M$ . Besides Dehn twist, we also need one crosscap slide to generate the full group  $\Gamma_M$ . The number of generators of  $\Gamma_M$  can be chosen to be finite




FIGURE 1. Crosscap Slide

[13].

**2.2. Measure on the moduli spaces.** We define here the measure that we will use on the moduli space, using a pushforward of the Haar measure, in a general setting. For a detailed study of this measure see [10, 11].

Let  $\Pi$  be a finitely presented group,  $\Pi = \langle a_1, \dots, a_k \mid q_1, \dots, q_r \rangle$  and let  $G$  be a compact Lie group. The set of relators of  $\Pi$  defines the *presentation map*

$$q : G^k \longrightarrow G^r$$

$$x = (x_1, \dots, x_k) \longmapsto (q_1(x), \dots, q_r(x)).$$

The set of group homomorphisms from  $\Pi$  to  $G$  is then naturally identified with

$$(2.1) \quad \text{Hom}(\Pi, G) = q^{-1}(1)$$

which makes  $\text{Hom}(\Pi, G)$  a real analytic subvariety of  $G^k$ . We denote by  $\mu$  a left and right invariant Haar measure on  $G$ . This defines a product measure on  $G^k$ . We take the push-forward of this measure through the identification (2.1).

This measure is invariant under the action of the cartesian product  $\text{Aut}(\Pi) \times \text{Aut}(G)$  by composition (see [11]). In particular  $G$  acts on  $\text{Hom}(\Pi, G)$  by inner automorphisms. The measure passes to the quotient,  $\mathcal{X}(M) = \text{Hom}(\Pi, G)/G$  and this defines the measure on the moduli space. On the other side, we have an induced action of  $\text{Out}(\Pi)$  on this space. With this construction, we see that this measure is naturally invariant under the action of  $\text{Out}(\Pi)$ .

This construction holds for the particular case  $G = \text{SU}(2)$ , and  $\Pi$  is a non-orientable surface group  $\pi_1(M)$  which is just a special case of a finitely presented group. Moreover, the measure is invariant under

the action of the mapping class group as  $\Gamma_M = \text{Out}(\Pi)$  for a closed non-orientable surface, and as  $\Gamma_M = \text{Out}(\Pi, \partial M) \subset \text{Out}(\Pi)$  for a non-orientable surface with boundary.

**2.3. Lemma of ergodic decomposition.** Throughout this paper, we will use a classical result of measure theory as a tool to prove ergodicity. We recall this result here and refer to [2](Theorem 5.8) for a proof

**Lemma 3. of ergodic decomposition**

Let  $(X, \mathfrak{B}, \mu)$  a measured space,  $Y, Z$  Borel spaces, and  $F : X \rightarrow Y$  a measurable map. Suppose that  $\Gamma$  is a group of automorphisms of  $(X, \mathfrak{B}, \mu)$  such that  $F$  is  $\Gamma$ -invariant. Let  $\mu_y$  be the measures on  $F^{-1}(y)$  obtained by disintegrating  $\mu$  over  $F$ . Let  $h : X \rightarrow Z$  be a measurable  $\Gamma$ -invariant function.

Suppose that the action of  $\Gamma$  is ergodic on the fiber  $(F^{-1}(y), \mu_y)$  for almost all  $y \in Y$ .

Then there exists a measurable function  $H : Y \rightarrow Z$  such that  $h = H \circ F$  almost everywhere.

### 3. SURFACES DECOMPOSITIONS AND GOLDMAN'S FLOW

**3.1. Goldman's flow.** Let  $f : G = \text{SU}(2) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a  $C^1$  function invariant under inner automorphisms of  $G$ , namely  $f(PAP^{-1}) = f(A)$ , for all  $A, P \in \text{SU}(2)$ . The construction exposed here may work for any invariant function in a general Lie group, but for our particular purpose in this paper, we shall take  $f(A) = \cos^{-1}(\frac{\text{tr}(A)}{2}) \in [0, \pi]$ , where  $\text{tr}$  denote the usual trace in  $\text{SU}(2)$ .

The *variation* of the function  $f$  is a  $G$ -equivariant function  $F : G \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$  where  $\mathfrak{g}$  is the Lie algebra of  $G$ . The basic inner product on  $\mathfrak{g}$  is denoted  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ , in other words  $\langle X, Y \rangle = -\text{Tr}(XY)$ . The variation  $F$  is defined by

$$\langle X, F(A) \rangle = df_A(X) = \frac{d}{dt} f(A \exp(tX)), \quad \forall A \in G, \forall X \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

If  $A = \text{diag}(e^{i\theta}, e^{-i\theta})$  with  $\theta \in ]0, \pi[$ , we have  $F(A) = \text{diag}(-i, i)$ . For  $B = gAg^{-1}$  with  $g \in G$  and  $A$  of the above form, we define

$$(3.2) \quad F(B) = F(gAg^{-1}) = g \text{diag}(-i, i) g^{-1}.$$

For the extremal values  $\theta = 0, \pi$  the function is not defined, i.e.  $F$  is defined on  $G \setminus \{\pm I\}$ . However it will be sufficient to define the flow on an open dense subset of the character variety.

Let  $M$  be a non-orientable surface and  $\gamma$  a two-sided simple closed curve on  $M$ . We define the function  $f_\gamma : \mathcal{X}(M) = \text{Hom}(\pi_1(M), G)/G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by  $f_\gamma([\rho]) = f(\rho(\gamma))$ , where  $\rho \in \text{Hom}(\pi, G)$ . Let  $\mathcal{S}_\gamma = \{\rho \in$

$\text{Hom}(\pi, G) \setminus \{\rho(\gamma) \neq \pm I\}$  which is an open dense subset. We construct a flow on  $\mathcal{S}_\gamma$  called a *generalized twist flow* or *Goldman flow*. For  $\rho \in \mathcal{S}_\gamma$ , let  $\zeta_t(\rho) = \exp(tF(\rho(\gamma)))$ . This defines a path in the centralizer  $Z(\rho(\gamma))$  of  $\rho(\gamma)$  in  $G$ .

We define explicitly the flow  $\Theta_t(\rho)$  in the two case that interest us, namely when  $\gamma$  is a separating curve, and when  $\gamma$  is a particular non-separating curve in a surface of even genus such that  $M|\gamma$  is orientable. (The last case corresponding to a non-separating curve such that  $M|\gamma$  is non-orientable has no use in this paper, and can be treated in the same way that when  $M|\gamma$  is an orientable surface.)

**3.2. Separating case.** Let  $\gamma \subset M$  be a separating circle. Then  $M|\gamma$  is a disjoint union  $M = A \cup B$  where  $A$  can be chosen non-orientable without loss of generality. We place a base point  $p$  on  $A$ . The surface  $M$  is obtained by gluing  $A$  and  $B$  along the curve  $\gamma$ , which means we can construct  $\pi_1(M)$  from  $\pi_1(A)$  and  $\pi_1(B)$ .

$$\pi_1(M) = \pi_1(A) *_\gamma \pi_1(B).$$

The flow on  $\text{Hom}(\pi, G)$  is defined by

$$(3.3) \quad \tilde{\Xi}_t \rho : \delta \longmapsto \begin{cases} \rho(\delta) & \text{if } \delta \in \pi_1(A) \\ \zeta_t(\rho)\rho(\delta)\zeta_t(\rho)^{-1} & \text{if } \delta \in \pi_1(B) \end{cases}$$

This flow is well-defined as  $\tilde{\Xi}_t \rho(\gamma) = \rho(\gamma) = \zeta_t(\rho)\rho(\gamma)\zeta_t(\rho)^{-1}$ . We define a flow  $\{\Xi_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$  on  $\text{Hom}(\pi, G)/G$ , whose cover is  $\{\tilde{\Xi}_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ . The flow is naturally measure preserving as it acts by left and right multiplication. Simple calculations using the formula (3.2) gives  $\zeta_\pi(\rho) = \exp(\pi F(\rho(\gamma))) = \exp(\pi g \text{diag}(-i, i) g^{-1}) = -I$  and similarly  $\zeta_{2\pi} = I$ . So we have  $\Xi_\pi \rho = \rho$ , and it is clear that the flow  $\{\Xi_t\}$  is  $\pi$ -periodic and defines a circle action on  $\mathcal{X}(M)$ .

We then define  $\mathcal{X}(M; A, B, \gamma)$  as the pull-back in the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{X}(\gamma) & \longleftarrow & \mathcal{X}(A) \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ \mathcal{X}(B) & \longleftarrow & \mathcal{X}(M; A, B, \gamma) \end{array}$$

$\mathcal{X}(M; A, B, \gamma)$  is the set of pairs  $([\alpha], [\beta]) \in \mathcal{X}(A) \times \mathcal{X}(B)$  such that

$$[\alpha]_{|\pi_1(\gamma)} = [\beta]_{|\pi_1(\gamma)} \in \mathcal{X}(\gamma)$$

We have a natural map

$$\begin{aligned} j : \mathcal{X}(M) &\longrightarrow \mathcal{X}(M; A, B, \gamma) \\ [\rho] &\longmapsto ([\rho]_{|\pi_1(A)}, [\rho]_{|\pi_1(B)}). \end{aligned}$$



**Proposition 4.** *The generic fibers of the map*

$$j : \mathcal{X}(M) \longrightarrow \mathcal{X}(M; A, B, \gamma)$$

*are the orbits of the circle action  $\{\Xi_t\}$ .*

*Proof.* The map  $j$  is surjective : let  $([\alpha], [\beta]) \in \mathcal{X}(M; A, B, \gamma)$  and let  $\alpha, \beta$  be some lift. There exists  $g \in G$  such that  $\alpha|_{\pi_1(\gamma)} = g \cdot \beta|_{\pi_1(\gamma)} \cdot g^{-1}$ . We then define  $\rho \in \text{Hom}(\pi_1(M), G)$  on  $\pi_1(A)$  and  $\pi_1(B)$  by

$$\rho(\tau) \mapsto \begin{cases} \alpha(\tau) & \text{if } \tau \in \pi_1(A) \\ g \cdot \beta(\tau) \cdot g^{-1} & \text{if } \tau \in \pi_1(B) \end{cases}$$

$\rho$  is well-defined on  $\pi_1(\gamma)$  and it is easy to check that  $j([\rho]) = ([\alpha], [\beta])$ .

Then we study the generic fiber of this application. Let  $([\alpha], [\beta]) \in \mathcal{X}(M; A, B, \gamma)$  and  $\alpha, \beta$  be representants such that  $\alpha|_{\pi_1(\gamma)} = \beta|_{\pi_1(\gamma)}$ . Let  $\rho \in \text{Hom}(\pi_1(M), G)$ , we have  $j([\rho]) = ([\alpha], [\beta])$  if and only if there exists  $h_1, h_2$  in  $G$  such that  $\rho|_{\pi_1(A)} = h_1 \alpha h_1^{-1}$  and  $\rho|_{\pi_1(B)} = h_2 \beta h_2^{-1}$ . We choose  $\rho$  such that  $h_1 = 1$ , then we have  $\alpha(\gamma) = h_2 \beta(\gamma) h_2^{-1} = h_2 \alpha(\gamma) h_2^{-1}$ . It follows that  $h_2$  is in the centralizer of  $\alpha(\gamma)$  denoted  $Z(\alpha(\gamma)) = Z(\beta(\gamma))$ . If  $\alpha(\gamma) \neq \pm Id$  then  $Z(\alpha(\gamma))$  is a maximal torus in  $SU(2)$  which acts transitively on the fiber. Finally we identify the maximal torus  $Z(\alpha(\gamma))$  with the space  $\{\zeta_t(\rho(\alpha)) | t \in [0, 2\pi]\}$  when  $\alpha(\gamma) \neq \pm I$ .  $\square$

*Action of the Dehn twist.* With the identification  $\pi_1(M) = \pi_1(A) *_{\gamma} \pi_1(B)$ , the Dehn twist  $\tau_{\gamma}$  about the curve  $\gamma$  acts on  $\text{Hom}(\pi_1(M), G)$  as

$$\tau_{\gamma} \cdot \rho : \delta \longmapsto \begin{cases} \rho(\delta) & \text{if } \delta \in \pi_1(A) \\ \rho(\gamma) \cdot \rho(\delta) \cdot \rho(\gamma)^{-1} & \text{if } \delta \in \pi_1(B) \end{cases}$$

For any  $g \in G$  we have  $g = \exp(f(g) \cdot F(g))$ , so we can express  $\rho(\gamma) = \exp(f(\rho(\gamma)) \cdot F(\rho(\gamma))) = \zeta_{f(\rho(\gamma))}(\rho)$ . Then it is easy to express the Dehn twist on  $\mathcal{X}(M)$  with the Goldman flow :

$$(3.4) \quad \tau_{\gamma} = \Xi_{f(\rho(\gamma))}.$$

The Goldman flow is a circle action which is  $\pi$ -periodic on a generic fiber, so the twist acts on the fiber  $j^{-1}([\alpha], [\beta])$  of the application  $j : \mathcal{X}(M) \rightarrow \mathcal{X}(M; A, B, \gamma)$  as the rotation of angle  $2f(\alpha(\gamma)) = 2f(\beta(\gamma)) = 2f(\rho(\gamma))$ , with  $[\rho] \in j^{-1}([\alpha], [\beta])$ .

**3.3. Non-Separating case.** Let  $M = N_{2g+2, m}$  be a non-orientable surface of even genus with  $m$  boundary components, that we represent as the connected sum of an orientable surface of genus  $g$  and  $m$  boundary components with two projective planes (see Fig. 1). The curve  $\gamma$  is the two-sided curve passing through the two crosscaps and is such that the surface  $M|\gamma$  is an orientable surface of genus  $g$  with  $m + 2$

boundary components. The two additional boundary components are denoted  $\gamma_+$  and  $\gamma_-$ .




FIGURE 2. Non orientable surface of even genus

The surface  $M$  is obtained from  $A = M|\gamma$  by gluing the two boundary components  $\gamma_+, \gamma_-$  with an orientation-reversing homeomorphism. So  $\pi_1(M)$  can be constructed from  $\pi_1(A)$  with a method similar to an HNN construction. We have that  $\pi_1(M)$  is the quotient

$$\pi_1(M) = (\pi_1(A) * \langle \beta \rangle) / N,$$

of the free product  $\pi_1(A) * \langle \beta \rangle$ , of  $\pi_1(A)$  with a cyclic group  $\langle \beta \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}$ , by the normal subgroup generated by the set

$$N = \{i_-(\tau) \cdot \beta \cdot i_+(\tau) \cdot \beta^{-1} \mid \tau \in \pi_1(\gamma)\},$$

where  $i_{\pm}$  are the embeddings induced by inclusion  $X \hookrightarrow X_{\pm} \hookrightarrow M$ .

$$i_{\pm} : \pi_1(X) \longrightarrow \pi_1(X_{\pm}) \xrightarrow{i_X} \pi_1(M).$$

The new generator  $\beta$  corresponds to a one-sided circle in  $M$  which crosses  $\gamma$  exactly once.

The flow on  $\text{Hom}(\pi, G)$  is defined by

$$(3.5) \quad \tilde{\Xi}_t \rho : \delta \longmapsto \begin{cases} \rho(\delta) & \text{if } \delta \in \pi_1(A) \\ \zeta_t(\rho)\rho(\delta) & \text{if } \delta \in \pi_1(\beta). \end{cases}$$

The flow  $\{\Xi_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$  on  $\text{Hom}(\pi, G)/G$  is naturally defined and is measure preserving. In this case, the flow is  $2\pi$ -periodic and defines a circle action on  $\mathcal{X}(M)$ .

**Proposition 5.** *The generic fibers of the map*

$$\phi : \mathcal{X}(M) \longrightarrow \mathcal{X}(M|\gamma)$$

*are the orbits of the circle action  $\{\Xi_t\}$ .*

*Proof.* We denote by  $\beta_0$  the preimage of  $\beta$  in  $A$ , which is an arc with one endpoint on  $\gamma_+$  and one endpoint on  $\gamma_-$ . Choose for a basepoint  $x_0$  the endpoint of  $\beta_0$  on  $\gamma_-$ , and by a slight abuse of notation we denote also  $\gamma_-$  the corresponding element of  $\pi_1(A, x_0)$ , and by  $\gamma_+$  the element

of  $\pi_1(M, x_0)$  corresponding to the loop  $\beta_0^{-1} \star \tilde{\gamma}_+ \star \beta_0$  where  $\tilde{\gamma}_+$  is the loop based on the endpoint of  $\beta_0$  on  $X_+$ . A representation  $\rho_A : \pi_1(A) \rightarrow G$  extends to a representation of  $\pi_1(M)$  if and only if there exists  $b \in G$  such that  $\rho_A(\gamma_-)^{-1}$  is conjugate to  $\rho_A(\gamma_+)$ , i.e.

$$(3.6) \quad \rho_A(\gamma_-)^{-1} = b\rho_A(\gamma_+)b^{-1}.$$

The choice of the element  $b$  corresponds to the choice of the image of the new generator  $\beta$ . Two elements of  $G = \mathrm{SU}(2)$  are conjugate if and only if they have the same trace, and an element of  $G$  and its inverse have the same trace. We deduce that the image of the application  $\phi$  denoted  $\mathcal{X}'(A)$  consists in the set of  $[\rho_A] \in \mathcal{X}(A)$  such that  $\mathrm{tr}(\rho_A(\gamma_-)) = \mathrm{tr}(\rho_A(\gamma_+))$ .

For  $[\rho_A] \in \mathcal{X}'(A)$ , the fiber  $\phi^{-1}([\rho_A])$  identifies with the set of  $b \in G$  satisfying (3.6). Suppose that we have a particular  $g \in G$  such that  $\rho_A(\gamma_-) = g\rho_A(\gamma_+)g^{-1}$ . Then we can see that

$$\phi^{-1}([\rho_A]) = \{b \cdot g \mid b \in Z(\rho_A(\gamma_-))\}.$$

So the fiber  $\phi^{-1}([\rho_A])$  is a right-coset of the centralizer of  $\rho_A(\gamma_-)$ . If  $\rho_A(\gamma_-) \neq \pm Id$  then  $Z(\rho_A(\gamma_-))$  is a maximal torus in  $SU(2)$  which acts transitively on the fiber by left multiplication. Finally we identify the maximal torus  $Z(\rho_A(\gamma_-))$  with the space  $\{\zeta_t(\rho_A(\gamma_-)) \mid t \in [0, 2\pi]\}$  when  $\rho_A(\gamma_-) \neq \pm I$ .  $\square$

*Action of the Dehn twist.* With the identification of  $\pi_1(M) = (\pi_1(A) * \langle \beta \rangle) / N$ , the Dehn twist  $\tau_\gamma$  about the curve  $\gamma$  acts on  $\mathrm{Hom}(\pi_1(M), G)$  as

$$\tau_\gamma \cdot \rho : \delta \longmapsto \begin{cases} \rho(\delta) & \text{if } \delta \in \pi_1(A) \\ \rho(\gamma) \cdot \rho(\delta) & \text{if } \delta \in \langle \beta \rangle \end{cases}.$$

For any  $\rho \in \mathrm{Hom}(\pi, G)$ , we can express  $\rho(\gamma) = \exp(f(\rho(\gamma)) \cdot F(\rho(\gamma))) = \zeta_{f(\rho(\gamma))}(\rho)$ . Then it is easy to express the Dehn twist on  $\mathcal{X}(M)$  with the Goldman flow :

$$(3.7) \quad \tau_\gamma = \Xi_{f(\rho(\gamma))}.$$

The Goldman flow is a circle action which is  $2\pi$ -periodic on a generic fiber, so the twist acts on a generic fiber  $\phi^{-1}([\rho_A])$  of the application  $\phi : \mathcal{X}(M) \rightarrow \mathcal{X}(A)$  as the rotation of angle  $f(\rho_A(\gamma)) = f(\rho(\gamma))$  with  $\rho \in \phi^{-1}([\rho_A])$ .

*Remark 6.* When the surface  $M$  is oriented and compact, the flow defined by (3.3) or (3.5) covers the flow of the Hamiltonian vector field on  $\mathcal{X}(M)$  associated to the function  $f_\alpha$ . The Hamiltonian is defined by the natural symplectic structure on the space  $\mathcal{X}(M)$  (see [5]).

## 4. SURFACE OF EVEN GENUS

In this section, we prove theorem ?? in the case of a non-orientable surface of even genus. We look at the surface  $N_{2g+2,m}$  and a non-separating curve  $X$  as in the previous section, as indicated in the figure below.




FIGURE 3. The curve  $X$  on  $N_{2g+2,m}$

**4.1. Action of the Dehn twist about  $X$ .** According to (3.7) the Dehn twist  $\tau_X$  about the curve  $X$  acts on a generic fiber of the application  $\phi : \mathcal{X}(M) \rightarrow \mathcal{X}(A)$  as the rotation of angle  $f(\rho(X))$ , which is an irrational multiple of  $\pi$ , except for countably many values of  $f(\rho(X))$ . The set of representations such that  $f(\rho(X))$  is a rational multiple of  $\pi$  is a null-measure subset of  $\mathcal{X}(M)$ . So on a full-measure set of  $\mathcal{X}(M)$  the rotation is irrational, which means that the action of the Dehn twist is ergodic on the fiber  $\phi^{-1}([\rho_A])$  for almost all  $[\rho_A]$ .

So we have a measurable map  $\phi : \mathcal{X}(M) \rightarrow \mathcal{X}(A)$  such that  $\phi$  is  $\tau_X$ -invariant. Moreover the action of  $\tau_X$  on the fiber  $\phi^{-1}([\alpha])$  is ergodic for almost all  $[\alpha] \in \mathcal{X}(A)$ . So we apply the lemma of ergodic decomposition.

Let  $h : \mathcal{X}(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a measurable function which is  $\tau_X$ -invariant. There exists a function  $H : \mathcal{X}(A) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $h = H \circ \phi$  almost everywhere. So we have shown that a  $\Gamma_M$ -invariant function is almost everywhere equal to a function depending only on  $\mathcal{X}(A)$ .

**4.2. Ergodicity on  $A$ .** The surface  $A = M|X$  is an orientable surface with boundary. An element  $g_A$  of the mapping class group  $\Gamma_A \subset \text{Out}(\pi_1(A))$  can be naturally extended to an element  $g$  of  $\Gamma_M \subset \text{Out}(\pi_1(M))$ . To do that, we define naturally  $g_A$  on the free product  $\pi_1(A) \amalg \langle \tau \rangle$  with  $g_A$  acting identically on  $\langle \tau \rangle$ . We check that  $g_A$  passes to the quotient as  $g_A$  leaves invariant  $\gamma_-$  and  $\gamma_+$  (in fact,  $g_A$  is the identity on the boundary components). So we have a mapping  $\Gamma_A \hookrightarrow \Gamma_M$ . We recall that in [6] Goldman showed that for the natural symplectic measure on  $\mathcal{X}(A)$

**Proposition 7.** *A measurable function  $f : \mathcal{X}(A) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  which is  $\Gamma_A$ -invariant is almost everywhere equal to a function depending only of the traces of the boundary  $(x, x, c_1, \dots, c_m)$ .*

In our case, where two of the boundary components are equals, we have a function  $G : [-2, 2]^{m+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $f([\rho]) = G(x, c_1, \dots, c_m)$  almost everywhere.

To conclude the proof of the theorem (??), we have to "eliminate" the coordinate  $x$ . It is at this point that we will use the hypothesis on  $g$  and  $m$ , because the surface needs to have a sufficiently large mapping class group in order to be able to find a Dehn twist that acts non-trivially on the coordinate  $x$  corresponding to the trace of  $X$ . Thanks to the hypothesis on  $M$ , there is a two-holed Klein bottle  $N_{2,2}$  embedded in  $M$ . So we now study the particular case of  $N_{2,2}$ .

### 4.3. Two-holed Klein Bottle.

4.3.1. *Character variety.* Let  $M$  be a two-holed Klein bottle.




FIGURE 4. Two-holed Klein bottle

Its fundamental group is as follows

$$\pi = \pi_1(M) = \langle A, B, C, K \mid A^2 B^2 C = K \rangle$$

So  $\pi$  a free group in three generators  $A, B, C$ . The elements  $C, K \in \pi$  correspond to the boundary components of the surface. According to Magnus [15], we have coordinates on the space  $\mathcal{X}(M) = \text{Hom}(\pi, \text{SU}(2)) / \text{SU}(2)$  given by the seven functions  $a, b, c, d, x, y, z$  defined on  $\mathcal{X}(M)$  by

$$a = \text{tr}(\rho(A)); \quad b = \text{tr}(\rho(B)); \quad c = \text{tr}(\rho(C)); \quad x = \text{tr}(\rho(AB));$$

$$y = \text{tr}(\rho(BC)); \quad z = \text{tr}(\rho(CA)); \quad d = \text{tr}(\rho(ABC)). \quad \text{for any } [\rho] \in \mathcal{X}(M)$$

These seven coordinates satisfy the Fricke relation

$$(4.8) \quad a^2 + b^2 + c^2 + d^2 + x^2 + y^2 + z^2 - ((ab + cd)x + (bc + da)y + (ca + bd)z) + xyz + abcd - 4 = 0$$

For any element  $W \in \pi_1(M)$  written as a word in  $A, B, C, A^{-1}, B^{-1}, C^{-1}$ , it is possible to compute the value of  $\text{tr}(\rho(W))$  in function of the seven coordinates using the simple formulas  $\text{tr}(PQ^{-1}) = \text{tr}(P) \cdot \text{tr}(Q) - \text{tr}(PQ)$  and  $\text{tr}(PQP^{-1}) = \text{tr}(Q)$ . For words of great length, these computations can be done algorithmically on a computer using a recursive program.

The character variety of the boundary of  $M$  is

$$\mathcal{X}(\partial M) = \{(c, k) \in [-2, 2]^2\}$$

where  $k = \text{Tr}(K) = \text{tr}(A^2B^2C) = adb - az - by + c$ .

We split the surface along the curve  $X = AB$  to obtain a surface  $A$  which is a four-holed sphere. According to Proposition 7, a measurable function  $f : \mathcal{X}(A) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  which is  $\Gamma_A$ -invariant, is almost everywhere equal to a function depending only on the boundary traces  $(x, c, k)$ .

4.3.2. *Action of the twist about BBC.* The curve  $U$  shown in Fig. 4 is represented by  $U = BBC \in \pi_1(M)$ . It is a simple two-sided curve, so the Dehn twist can be defined about it.




FIGURE 5. The curve  $U$

The Dehn twist  $\tau_U$  about  $U$  is given by the automorphism of  $\pi_1(M)$

$$\begin{aligned} A &\mapsto A \\ B &\mapsto BBCBC^{-1}B^{-1}B^{-1} \\ C &\mapsto BBCB^{-1}B^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

The elements corresponding to  $X, Y, Z, D$  and  $K$  map to

$$\begin{aligned} X = AB &\mapsto ABBCBC^{-1}B^{-1}B^{-1} \\ Y = BC &\mapsto BBCCB^{-1} \\ Z = CA &\mapsto BBCCB^{-1}B^{-1}A \\ D = ABC &\mapsto ABCCB^{-1} \\ K = AABBC &\mapsto AABBC \end{aligned}$$

This automorphism is a lift of  $\tau_U \in \text{Out}(\pi_1(M))$  in  $\text{Aut}(\pi_1(M))$ . Two lifts differ by an inner automorphism, which leaves invariant the conjugacy class and hence the trace coordinates of an element  $[\rho] \in \mathcal{X}(M)$ . The transformation leaves invariant the conjugacy class of the elements corresponding to the boundary components, which means  $C$  and  $K$ .

The twist  $\tau_U$  induces an action on  $\mathcal{X}_c(M)$  which can be seen on the coordinates  $(a, b, x, y, z, d) \in [-2, 2]^6$  as :

$$\begin{aligned} a &\mapsto a \\ b &\mapsto b \\ x &\mapsto b^2xy^2 + b^2yz - b^3dy - aby^2 + b^2cd + c^2x \\ &\quad - 2bcxy + 2bdy - bcz + acy - yz - cd + ab - x \\ y &\mapsto y \\ d &\mapsto b^2d - bxy - bz + cx + ay - d \\ z &\mapsto b \times \tau_U(d) - bd + z \end{aligned}$$

We express the variable  $d$  in function of  $k$  and the other coordinates, to replace the coordinate  $d$  with the coordinate  $k$  which is  $\tau_U$ -invariant. For non-zero  $a, b$ , we can write

$$(4.9) \quad d = \frac{az + by - c + k}{ab}.$$

Then we can rewrite (4.8) in the following way

$$(4.10) \quad x^2 + (by - c)x \left(\frac{z}{b}\right) + \left(\frac{z}{b}\right)^2 + 2Dx + 2Ez + F = 0$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} D &= \frac{a^2b^2 - c^2 + ck + bcy}{-2ab}, E = \frac{2c - 2k - 2by - b^2c + b^2k + b^3y + a^2by}{-2ab^2}, \\ F &= \frac{k^2 + (by - c)^2 - (a^2 - 2)(by - c)k + a^2b^2(a^2 + b^2 + ck - 4) - a^2ck + a^2bcy}{a^2b^2}. \end{aligned}$$

We make a change of variable  $z' = \frac{z}{b}$ , and the equation (4.10) becomes

$$(4.11) \quad x^2 + (by - c)xz' + z'^2 + 2D'x + 2E'z + F = 0$$

with

$$D' = D, E' = \frac{(b^2 - 2)(by + k - c) + a^2by}{-2ab}.$$

We denote  $u = \text{Tr}(\rho(U)) = by - c$  and we rewrite (4.10) as

$$\frac{2+u}{4}((x+z') - (x_0(u) + z'_0(u)))^2 + \frac{2-u}{4}((x-z') - (x_0(u) - z'_0(u)))^2 = R$$

where  $x_0$  and  $z_0$  are functions in  $a, b, c, y, k$ , and therefore are  $\tau_U$ -invariants and

$$R = \frac{(b^2 + c^2 + y^2 - bcy - 4)((a^2 - 2)^2 + u^2 + k^2 - (a^2 - 2)uk - 4)}{a^2(4 - u^2)}$$

$R$  is also  $\tau_U$ -invariant. For a particular value of  $-2 < u < 2$ , the left term of the equation is a quadratic function on  $x, z'$  with positive coefficients. In the right term  $R$  we recognize  $(b, c, y)$  and  $(a^2 - 2, u, k)$  as the characters of representations in  $\text{SU}(2)$  of the free groups in two generators  $\langle B, C \rangle$  and  $\langle AA, BBC \rangle$  respectively, as we have  $BC = Y$  and  $AABBC = K$ . So according to Fricke's relation (see [16, 15])

$$(4.12) \quad (b^2 + c^2 + y^2 - bcy - 4) < 0 \quad ,$$

$$(4.13) \quad ((a^2 - 2)^2 + u^2 + k^2 - (a^2 - 2)uk - 4) < 0 \quad .$$

Moreover  $4 - u^2 > 0$ , so we deduce that  $R > 0$ . So the equation (4.11) corresponds to an ellipse. This exhibits  $\mathcal{X}_c(M)$  as a family of ellipses  $E_c(M)(a, b, y)$  that are parametrized by  $(c, k, a, b, y)$ . Now we express the action of  $\tau_U$  on  $x, z'$  using  $x_0, z'_0$ , which gives us :

$$\begin{bmatrix} x \\ z' \end{bmatrix} \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} x_0(u) \\ z'_0(u) \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} u^2 - 1 & u \\ -u & -1 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \left( \begin{bmatrix} x \\ z' \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} x_0(u) \\ z'_0(u) \end{bmatrix} \right)$$

This transformation is a rotation of angle  $2\theta_U = 2\cos^{-1}(\text{tr}(\rho(U))/2)$  on the ellipse  $E_c(M)(a, b, y)$  for fixed  $(c, k, a, b, y)$ . For all boundary traces  $(c, k)$  and for almost all  $(a, b, y)$ ,  $\theta_U$  is an irrational multiple of  $\pi$ . So for almost all  $(a, b, y)$ , the action of  $\tau_U$  is ergodic on the ellipse  $E_c(M)(a, b, y)$ .

We then deduce that a  $\tau_U$ -invariant function is almost everywhere equal to a function which depends only on  $(c, k, a, b, y)$ . So there exists a function  $H : [-2, 2]^5 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  which is such that  $f([\rho]) = H(c, k, a, b, y)$  almost everywhere. On the other hand, we have  $f([\rho]) = G(c, k, x)$  almost everywhere according to Proposition 7. Then the function  $f$



which is  $\Gamma_M$ -invariant depends only on the traces of the boundary components  $(c, k)$ . This ends the proof of the Theorem ?? in the case of a two-holed Klein bottle.

**4.4. Conclusion.** In the general case of a non-orientable surface of even genus, the hypothesis on  $g$  and  $m$  are sufficient for us to find an embedding of the two-holed Klein bottle  $A = N_{2,2}$  in the surface  $M = N_{g,m}$  with the curve  $X \subset A$  defined as previously. The mapping class group  $\Gamma_A$  of the two-holed Klein bottle can be seen as a subgroup of  $\Gamma_M$ . The restriction map  $\mathcal{X}(M) \rightarrow \mathcal{X}(A)$  is  $\Gamma_A$ -equivariant. The Proposition 7 states that for any  $\Gamma_M$ -invariant function  $f : \mathcal{X}(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  there is a function  $G : [-2, 2]^{m+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $f([\rho]) = G(x, c_1, \dots, c_m)$  almost everywhere. The ergodicity of  $\Gamma_A$  on the relative character variety of  $A$  proves that the function  $f$  is almost everywhere equal to a function that does not depend on the function  $x = \text{tr}(\rho X)$ . The two arguments combine to prove that a  $\Gamma_M$ -invariant function is almost everywhere equal to a function depending only on the traces of the boundaries  $\mathcal{C} = (c_1, \dots, c_m)$ . Hence, the action of  $\Gamma_M$  is ergodic on  $\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{C}}(M)$  and this ends the proof of the theorem ?? in the case of a surface of even genus.

## 5. THREE-HOLED PROJECTIVE PLANE AND $N_{3,1}$

**5.1. Character Variety of  $N_{1,3}$ .** In this section, we consider the case where  $M = N_{1,3}$  the non-orientable surface of genus 1 with three boundary components denoted  $B, C$  and  $K$




FIGURE 6. The three-holed projective plane

Its fundamental group admits the following presentation

$$\pi = \pi_1(M) = \langle A, B, C, K \mid A^2BC = K \rangle.$$

We see that  $\pi$  is a free group on three generators  $A, B, C$ , so the coordinates on the space  $\mathcal{X}(M)$  are given by the seven functions  $a, b, c, d, x, y, z$  defined as previously. These seven functions satisfy the fricke relation (4.8). The character of the boundary of  $M$  is

$$\mathcal{X}(\partial M) = (b, c, k),$$

where  $k = \text{tr}(\rho(K)) = \text{tr}(\rho(A^2BC)) = ad - y$ . We define new coordinates on  $\mathcal{X}(M)$  by replacing  $y$  with its expression in function of  $a, d$  and  $k$ . The equation (4.8) becomes

$$a^2 + b^2 + c^2 + d^2 + x^2 + z^2 + k^2 -$$

$$(5.14) \quad ((ab + cd)x + (xz - bc - da)k + (ca + bd)z) + adxz - 4 = 0.$$

For a fixed character of the boundary  $\mathcal{C} = (b, c, k)$ , the character variety relative to  $\mathcal{C}$  is given by

$$\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{C}}(M) = \{(a, x, z, d) \in [-2, 2]^4 \mid (a, x, z, d) \text{ satisfies (5.14)}\}.$$

The complete character variety can be expressed as

$$\mathcal{X}(M) = \bigcup_{-2 \leq b, c, k \leq 2} \mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{C}}(M).$$

The theorem ?? becomes in this particular case

**Proposition 8.** *For all boundary components  $\mathcal{C} = (b, c, k) \in ]-2, 2[^3$ , the action of  $\Gamma_M$  on  $\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{C}}(M)$  is ergodic.*

## 5.2. Action of Dehn twists.

5.2.1. *Twist about  $T = AAB$ .* The curve  $T$  shown in Fig. 6 is represented by  $T = AAB \in \pi_1(M)$ . It is a simple two-sided curve, so the Dehn twist can be defined about it.




FIGURE 7. The curve  $T$

The Dehn twist  $\tau_U$  about  $U$  is given by the automorphism of  $\pi_1(M)$

$$\begin{aligned} A &\mapsto AABAB^{-1}A^{-1}A^{-1} \\ B &\mapsto AABA^{-1}A^{-1} \\ C &\mapsto C \end{aligned}$$

The curves corresponding to  $X, K, Z$  and  $D$  map to

$$\begin{aligned} X = AB &\mapsto AABA^{-1} \\ Z = CA &\mapsto CAABAB^{-1}A^{-1}A^{-1} \\ D = ABC &\mapsto AABA^{-1}C \\ K = AABC &\mapsto AABC \end{aligned}$$

We easily check that this transformation leaves invariant the boundary character  $\mathcal{C}$ . So  $\tau_T$  induces an action on  $\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{C}}(M)$  which can be seen on the coordinates  $(a, x, z, d) \in ]-2, 2[^4$

$$\begin{aligned} a &\mapsto a \\ x &\mapsto x \\ z &\mapsto a^2x^2z - a^2kx - a^2cx + b^2z - 2abxz \\ &\quad + axd + bcx + abk + kx - bc + ac - z \\ d &\mapsto ak - axz + cx + bz - d \end{aligned}$$

The coordinates  $a$  and  $x$  are  $\tau_T$ -invariant. We denote  $t = \text{Tr}(\rho(T)) = ax - b$  and when  $t \neq \pm 2$ , and we rewrite (5.14) as

$$(5.15) \quad \frac{2+t}{4} \left( (d+z) - \frac{(a+x)(c+k)}{t+2} \right)^2 + \frac{2-t}{4} \left( (d-z) - \frac{(a-x)(k-c)}{t-2} \right)^2 = R_T$$

with

$$R_T := \frac{(t^2 + c^2 + k^2 - tck - 4)(a^2 + b^2 + x^2 - abx - 4)}{4 - t^2}.$$

The function  $R_T$  is also  $\tau_T$ -invariant. For fixed value of  $-2 < t < 2$ , the left term of the equation (5.15) is a quadratic function of  $d$  and  $z$  with positive coefficients. In the right term  $R$  we recognize  $(a, b, x)$  and  $(t, c, k)$  as the characters of representations in  $\text{SU}(2)$  of the free groups in two generators  $\langle A, B \rangle$  and  $\langle T, C \rangle$  respectively as we have  $AB = X$  and  $TC = K$ . So according to Fricke's relation

$$(5.16) \quad (a^2 + b^2 + x^2 - abx - 4) < 0,$$

$$(5.17) \quad (t^2 + c^2 + k^2 - tck - 4) < 0.$$

Moreover  $4 - t^2 > 0$ , so that  $R_T > 0$ . So the set of coordinates  $d$  and  $z$  satisfying the equation (5.15) is an ellipse. For fixed values of  $b, c, k, a, x$ , the intersection

$$\begin{aligned} E_C &:= \mathcal{X}_C(M) \cap (\{a, x\} \times \mathbb{R}^2) \\ &= \{a, x\} \times \{(d, z) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid (d, z) \text{ satisfies 5.15}\} \end{aligned}$$

is an ellipse preserved by  $\tau_T$ . This exhibits  $\mathcal{X}_C(M)$  as a family of ellipses  $E_C(M)(a, x)$  parametrized by  $(b, c, k, a, x)$ . We can rewrite the equation in the following way :

$$Q_t(d - d_0(t), z - z_0(t)) = R_T$$

with

$$(5.18) \quad d_0(\nu) = ac + xk - \nu(ak + cx)$$

$$(5.19) \quad z_0(\nu) = ak + xc$$

$$(5.20) \quad Q_\nu(\eta, \zeta) = \frac{\eta^2 + \zeta^2 - \nu\eta\zeta}{4 - \nu^2}$$

Now we express the action of  $\tau_T$  on  $d, z$  in terms of  $d_0, z_0$ , which gives us (after simplification) :


$$(5.21) \quad \begin{bmatrix} d \\ z \end{bmatrix} \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} d_0(t) \\ z_0(t) \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} -1 & -t \\ t & t^2 - 1 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \left( \begin{bmatrix} d \\ z \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} d_0(t) \\ z_0(t) \end{bmatrix} \right)$$

This transformation is the rotation of angle  $-\theta_T = -2 \cos^{-1}(t/2)$  on the ellipse  $E_C(M)(a, x)$  defined for  $(b, c, k, a, x)$  fixed. In particular, for fixed boundary traces  $(b, c, k)$  and for almost all  $(a, x)$ , the angle  $\theta_T$  is an irrational multiple of  $\pi$ . So for almost all  $(a, x)$ , the action of  $\tau_T$  is ergodic on the ellipse  $E_C(M)(a, x)$ .

5.2.2. *Twist about  $U = CAA$ .* The curve  $U$  shown in Fig. 6 is represented by  $U = CAA \in \pi_1(M)$ . It is a simple two-sided curve, so the Dehn twist can be defined about it.

The Dehn twist  $\tau_U$  about  $U$  is given by the automorphism of  $\pi_1(M)$

$$\begin{aligned} A &\mapsto CAAAA^{-1}A^{-1}C^{-1} = CAC^{-1} \\ B &\mapsto B \\ C &\mapsto CAACA^{-1}A^{-1}C^{-1} \end{aligned}$$


 FIGURE 8. The curve  $U$ 

The curves corresponding to  $X, K, Z$  and  $D$  map to :

$$X = AB \mapsto CAC^{-1}B$$

$$Z = CA \mapsto CAACA^{-1}C^{-1}$$

$$D = ABC \mapsto CAC^{-1}BCAACA^{-1}A^{-1}C^{-1}$$

$$K = AABC \mapsto CAAC^{-1}BCAACA^{-1}A^{-1}C^{-1}$$

We easily check that this transformation leaves invariant the boundary character  $\mathcal{C}$ . So  $\tau_U$  induces an action on  $\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{C}}(M)$  which can be seen on the coordinates  $(a, x, z, d) \in ]-2, 2[^4$

$$a \mapsto a$$

$$x \mapsto ab - zy + cd - x$$

$$z \mapsto z$$

$$d \mapsto ad - ay + abc - acx + abz - axz + acdz - acyz +$$

$$a^2d + ac^2d - ayz^2$$

The coordinates  $a$  and  $z$  are  $\tau_U$ -invariant. We denote  $u = \text{Tr}(\rho(U)) = az - c$  and when  $u \neq \pm 2$ , and we rewrite (5.14) as

$$Q_u(d - d_0(u), x - x_0(u)) = R_U$$

with  $u := \text{Tr} U = az - c$  which is  $\tau_U$ -invariant and

$$(5.22) \quad d_0(u) = ab + zk - \nu(ak + bz)$$

$$(5.23) \quad x_0(u) = ak + zb$$

$$(5.24) \quad R_U = \frac{(u^2 + b^2 + k^2 - ubk - 4)(a^2 + c^2 + z^2 - acz - 4)}{4 - u^2}$$

The function  $R_U$  is also  $\tau_U$ -invariant. For fixed value of  $-2 < u < 2$ , the left term of the equation is a quadratic function of  $d$  and  $x$  with positive coefficients. In the right term  $R_U$  we recognize  $(a, c, z)$  and  $(u, b, k)$  as the characters of representations in  $SU(2)$  of the free groups in two generators  $\langle C, A \rangle$  and  $\langle U, B \rangle$  respectively, as we have  $CA = Z$  and  $UB = CKC^{-1}$ . So according to the Fricke relation :

$$(5.25) \quad (a^2 + c^2 + z^2 - acz - 4) < 0$$

$$(5.26) \quad (u^2 + b^2 + k^2 - ubk - 4) < 0$$

Moreover  $4 - u^2 > 0$ , so that  $R_U > 0$  and hence the equation defines an ellipse. This exhibits  $\mathcal{X}_C(M)$  as a family of ellipses  $E_C(M)(a, x)$  parametrized by  $(b, c, k, a, x)$ . Now we express the transformation  $\tau_U$  on the coordinates  $d, x$  in terms of  $d_0, x_0$ , which gives us :

$$(5.27) \quad \begin{bmatrix} d \\ x \end{bmatrix} \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} d_0(u) \\ x_0(u) \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} -1 & -u \\ u & u^2 - 1 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \left( \begin{bmatrix} d \\ x \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} d_0(u) \\ x_0(u) \end{bmatrix} \right)$$

This transformation is the rotation of angle  $-\theta_U = -2 \cos^{-1}(u/2)$  on the ellipse  $E_C(M)(a, z)$  defined earlier for fixed  $(b, c, k, a, z)$ . In particular, for fixed boundary traces  $(b, c, k)$  and for almost all  $(a, z)$ ,  $\theta_U$  is an irrational multiple of  $\pi$ . So for almost all  $(a, z)$ , the action of  $\tau_U$  is ergodic on the ellipse  $E_C(M)(a, z)$ .

5.2.3. *Twist about  $W$ .* The curve  $W$  shown in Fig. 7 is represented by  $W = CAB^{-1}A^{-1} \in \pi_1(M)$ . It is a simple two-sided curve, so the Dehn twist can be defined about it.




FIGURE 9. The curve  $W$

*Remark 9.* The curve  $W$  is the smallest curve (in terms of word-length in  $\pi_1(M)$ ), such that the Dehn twist  $\tau_W$  acts in a non-trivial way on the

coordinate  $a$ . In the case of the two-holed projective plane  $N_{1,2}$ , we can not find such a curve and hence the mapping class group of a two-holed projective plane is trivial.

The Dehn twist  $\tau_U$  about  $U$  is given by the automorphism of  $\pi_1(M)$

$$\begin{aligned} A &\mapsto CAB^{-1}A^{-1}C^{-1}AB \\ B &\mapsto (B^{-1}A^{-1}CA)B(A^{-1}C^{-1}AB) \\ C &\mapsto CAB^{-1}A^{-1}CABA^{-1}C^{-1} = WCW^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

The curves corresponding to  $X, K, Z$  and  $D$  map to :

$$\begin{aligned} X = AB &\mapsto AB \\ Z = CA &\mapsto CA \\ D = ABC &\mapsto ABCAB^{-1}A^{-1}CABA^{-1}C^{-1} \\ K = AABC &\mapsto (CAB^{-1}A^{-1}C^{-1}AB)ABCA(B^{-1}A^{-1}CABA^{-1}C^{-1}) \end{aligned}$$

We easily check that this transformation leaves invariant the boundary character  $\mathcal{C}$ . So  $\tau_W$  induces an action on  $\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{C}}(M)$  which can be seen on the coordinates  $(a, x, z, d) \in ]-2, 2[^4$

$$\begin{aligned} a &\mapsto w(xc - d) - (x(cw - b) - (zc - a)) \\ x &\mapsto x \\ z &\mapsto z \\ d &\mapsto w(dw - (zc - a)) - (x(wb - c) - (zb - d)), \end{aligned}$$

where  $w = \text{Tr } W = xz - k$ . The coordinates  $x$  and  $z$  are  $\tau_W$ -invariant and when  $w \neq \pm 2$  we rewrite (5.14) as

$$Q_w(a - a_0(w), d - d_0(w)) = R_W$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} a_0(w) &= bx + cz - \nu(bz + cx) \\ d_0(w) &= bz + cx \\ R_W &= \frac{(x^2 + z^2 + k^2 - xzk - 4)(b^2 + c^2 + w^2 - bcw - 4)}{4 - w^2} \end{aligned}$$

$R_W$  is still  $\tau_W$ -invariant. For fixed value of  $-2 < w < 2$ , the left term of the equation is a quadratic function of  $a$  and  $d$  with positive coefficients. In the right term  $R_W$  we recognize  $(x, z, k)$  and  $(b, c, w)$  as characters of representations in  $\text{SU}(2)$  of the free groups  $\langle X, Z \rangle$  and  $\langle C, AB^{-1}A^{-1} \rangle$  respectively, because  $XZ = ABCA = A^{-1}WA$  and  $CAB^{-1}A^{-1} = W$ .

So according to Fricke relation :

$$\begin{aligned} (x^2 + z^2 + k^2 - xzk - 4) &< 0 \\ (b^2 + c^2 + w^2 - bcw - 4) &< 0 \end{aligned}$$

Moreover  $4 - w^2 > 0$ , which implies  $R_W > 0$ . So we deduce from the preceding facts that the equation defines an ellipse. This exhibits  $\mathcal{X}_C(M)$  as a family of ellipses  $E_C(M)(b, c)$  parametrized by  $(b, c, k, x, z)$ . Then we express the transformation  $\tau_W$  on the coordinates  $a$  and  $d$  in terms of  $a_0$  and  $d_0$ , which gives us :

$$(5.28) \quad \begin{bmatrix} a \\ d \end{bmatrix} \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} a_0(w) \\ d_0(u) \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} -1 & -w \\ w & w^2 - 1 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \left( \begin{bmatrix} a \\ d \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} a_0(w) \\ d_0(u) \end{bmatrix} \right)$$

This transformation is the rotation of angle  $-\theta_W = -2 \cos^{-1}(w/2)$  on the ellipse  $E_C(M)(x, z)$  defined earlier for fixed  $(b, c, k, x, z)$ . In particular, for fixed boundary traces  $(b, c, k)$  and for almost all  $(x, z)$ ,  $\theta_W$  is an irrational multiple of  $\pi$ . So for almost all  $(x, z)$ , the action of  $\tau_W$  is ergodic on the set  $E_C(M)(x, z)$ .

**5.2.4. Conclusion.** Let  $f : \mathcal{X}(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a measurable  $\Gamma_M$ -invariant function. In particular  $f$  is  $\tau_T, \tau_U$  and  $\tau_W$ -invariant. We know, thanks to the ergodicity of these twists actions, that a function  $\tau_T$ -invariant (resp.  $\tau_U$  et  $\tau_W$ ) only depends on the coordinates  $(a, x)$  (resp.  $(a, z)$  and  $(x, z)$ ) and on the traces of the boundary components  $\mathcal{C} = (b, c, k)$ , almost everywhere. We then deduce that the function  $f$  is almost everywhere equal to a function depending only of  $\mathcal{C} = (b, c, k)$ . This means that  $f$  is almost everywhere constant on  $\mathcal{X}_C(M)$ , and this proves the ergodicity of the  $\Gamma_M$ -action on  $\mathcal{X}_C(M)$ , and hence the proposition 8 .

**5.3. The case of  $N_{3,1}$ .** In this section, we consider the case where  $M = N_{3,1}$  the non-orientable surface of genus 3 with one boundary components denoted  $K$ .

Its fundamental group admits the following presentation

$$\pi = \pi_1(M) = \langle A, B, C, K \mid A^2 B^2 C^2 = K \rangle.$$

We see that  $\pi$  is a free group on three generators  $A, B, C$ , so the coordinates on the space  $\mathcal{X}(M)$  are given by the seven functions  $a, b, c, d, x, y, z$  defined as previously. These seven functions satisfy the fricke relation (4.8). The character of the boundary of  $M$  is  $\mathcal{X}(\partial M) = \{k \in [-2, 2]\}$ , where  $k = \text{tr}(\rho(K)) = \text{tr}(\rho(A^2 B^2 C^2)) = abcd - bcy - acz - bax + a^2 + b^2 + c^2 - 2$ .

The theorem ?? becomes in this particular case






FIGURE 10. The surface  $N_{3,1}$

**Proposition 10.** *For all boundary component  $C = k \in ] - 2, 2[$ , the action of  $\Gamma_M$  on  $\mathcal{X}_C(M)$  is ergodic.*

*Proof.* The curve  $U$  shown in Fig. 9 is represented by  $W = AACC \in \pi_1(M)$ . It is a simple two-sided curve, so the Dehn twist can be defined about it.




FIGURE 11. The curve  $U$

The Dehn twist  $\tau_U$  about the curve  $U$  is given by the automorphism of  $\pi_1(M)$  :

$$\begin{aligned} A &\mapsto A \\ B &\mapsto A^{-2}C^{-2}BC^2A^2 \\ C &\mapsto C \end{aligned}$$

The elements corresponding to  $X, Y, Z, D$  and  $K$  map to :

$$\begin{aligned} X = AB &\mapsto A^{-1}C^{-2}BC^2AA \\ Y = BC &\mapsto A^{-2}C^{-2}BC^2A^2C \\ Z = CA &\mapsto CA \\ D = ABC &\mapsto A^{-1}C^{-2}BC^2A^2C \\ K = AABBC &\mapsto AABBC \end{aligned}$$

We easily check that this transformation leaves invariant the boundary character  $\mathcal{C}$ . So  $\tau_U$  induces an action on  $\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{C}}(M)$  which can be seen on the coordinates  $(a, b, c, x, y, z, d) \in ]-2, 2[^7$

$$\begin{aligned} a &\mapsto a \\ b &\mapsto b \\ c &\mapsto c \\ z &\mapsto z \\ x &\mapsto c^3d - c^2yz - c^2x - 2cd + cbz + cay + x \\ y &\mapsto \tau_U(y) \\ d &\mapsto \tau_U(d) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_U(y) = &-a^4bc^3 + a^4bc + a^4c^2y + a^3bc^4z - a^3bc^2z + a^3c^3x - a^3c^3yz - a^3c^2d - \\ &2a^3cx + a^3d - a^2bc^5 - a^2bc^3z^2 + 4a^2bc^3 + a^2bcz^2 - 2a^2bc - a^2c^4xz + a^2c^4y + \\ &a^2c^3dz + 3a^2c^2xz + a^2c^2yz^2 - 3a^2c^2y - 2a^2cdz - a^2xz - a^2y + abc^4z - \\ &3abc^2z + abz + ac^5x - ac^4d - 5ac^3x - ac^3yz + 4ac^2d + 5acx + 2acyz - 2ad + y \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_U(d) = &-a^3bc^3 + a^3bc + a^3c^2y + a^2bc^4z - a^2bc^2z + a^2c^3x - a^2c^3yz - \\ &a^2c^2d - 2a^2cx + a^2d - abc^5 - abc^3z^2 + 3abc^3 + abc^2z^2 - abc - ac^4xz + \\ &ac^4y + ac^3dz + 3ac^2xz + ac^2yz^2 - 2ac^2y - 2acd - axz - ay + bc^4z - \\ &2bc^2z + bz + c^5x - c^4d - 4c^3x - c^3yz + 3c^2d + 3cx + cyz - d. \end{aligned}$$

We define new coordinates on  $\mathcal{X}(M)$  by replacing  $d$  with its expression in function of  $a, b, c, x, y, z$  and  $k$ .

$$(5.29) \quad d = \frac{bcy + acz + abx - a^2 - b^2 - c^2 + 2 + k}{abc}.$$

The equation (4.8) becomes

$$(5.30) \quad \left(\frac{x}{c}\right)^2 + (acz - a^2 - c^2 + 2) \left(\frac{xy}{ca}\right) + \left(\frac{y}{a}\right)^2 + 2Dx + 2Ey + F = 0,$$

with constants  $D, E, F$  depending on the  $\tau_U$ -invariant coordinates  $a, b, c, z, k$ . We make a change of variable  $y' = \frac{y}{a}$  and  $x' = \frac{x}{c}$  and the equation (5.30)

becomes

$$(5.31) \quad x'^2 + (acz - a^2 - c^2 + 2)x'y' + y'^2 + 2D'x + 2E'y + F = 0,$$

with

$$D' = \frac{1}{abc}(a^2c^2 - 2a^2 - ab^2cz - ac^3z + 2acz + b^2c^2 - 2b^2 + c^4 - c^2k - 4c^2 + 2k + 4)$$

$$E' = \frac{1}{abc}(a^4 - a^3cz + a^2b^2 + a^2c^2 - a^2k - 4a^2 - ab^2cz + 2acz - 2b^2 - 2c^2 + 2k + 4)$$

$$F = \frac{1}{c^2b^2a^2}(a^4 + a^3b^2cz - 2a^3cz + a^2b^2c^2k - 2a^2b^2c^2 + 2a^2b^2 + a^2c^2z^2 + 2a^2c^2 - 2a^2k - 4a^2 + ab^4cz + ab^2c^3z - ab^2ckz - 4ab^2cz - 2ac^3z + 2ackz + 4acz + b^4 + 2b^2c^2 - 2b^2k - 4b^2 + c^4 - 2c^2k - 4c^2 + k^2 + 4k + 4).$$

We denote  $u = \text{tr}(\rho(U)) = acz - a^2 - c^2 + 2$  and when  $u \neq \pm 2$  we rewrite 5.30 as

$$Q_u(x - x_0(u), y - y_0(u)) = R$$

where

$$x'_0 = \frac{1}{abc(u^2-4)}(-a^4u + a^3cuz - a^2b^2u - a^2c^2u + 2a^2c^2 + a^2ku + 4a^2u - 4a^2 + ab^2cuz - 2ab^2cz - 2ac^3z - 2acuz + 4acz + 2b^2c^2 + 2b^2u - 4b^2 + 2c^4 - 2c^2k + 2c^2u - 8c^2 - 2ku + 4k - 4u + 8)$$

$$y'_0 = \frac{1}{abc(u^2-4)}(2a^4 - 2a^3cz + 2a^2b^2 - a^2c^2u + 2a^2c^2 - 2a^2k + 2a^2u - 8a^2 + ab^2cuz - 2ab^2cz + ac^3uz - 2acuz + 4acz - b^2c^2u + 2b^2u - 4b^2 - c^4u + c^2ku + 4c^2u - 4c^2 - 2ku + 4k - 4u + 8)$$

and

$$R = \frac{(a^2 + c^2 + z^2 - acz - 4)((b^2 - 2)^2 + u^2 + k^2 - (b^2 - 2)uk - 4)}{b^2(4 - u^2)}.$$

$R$  is also  $\tau_U$ -invariant. For a particular value of  $-2 < u < 2$ , the left term of the equation is a quadratic function on  $x', z'$  with positive coefficients. In the right term  $R$  we recognize that  $(a, c, z)$  and  $(b^2 - 2, u, k)$  are the characters of representations in  $\text{SU}(2)$  of the free groups  $\langle A, C \rangle$  and  $\langle BB, CCAA \rangle$  respectively, as we have  $AC = Z$  and  $CCAABB = K$ . So according to Fricke's relation :

$$(5.32) \quad (a^2 + c^2 + z^2 - acz - 4) < 0$$

$$(5.33) \quad ((b^2 - 2)^2 + u^2 + k^2 - (b^2 - 2)uk - 4) < 0$$

Moreover  $4 - u^2 > 0$ , so we deduce that  $R > 0$ . So the equation corresponds to an ellipse. This exhibits  $\mathcal{X}_C(M)$  as a family of ellipses  $E_C(M)(a, b, c, z)$  that are parametrized by  $(k, a, b, c, z)$ . Now we express the action of  $\tau_U$  on  $x', y'$  using  $x'_0, y'_0$ , which gives us :

$$\begin{bmatrix} x' \\ y' \end{bmatrix} \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} x'_0(u) \\ y'_0(u) \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} -1 & -u \\ (u^2 - 1) & u \end{bmatrix} \cdot \left( \begin{bmatrix} x' \\ y' \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} x'_0(u) \\ y'_0(u) \end{bmatrix} \right)$$

This transformation is a rotation of angle  $2\theta_U = -2 \cos^{-1}(U/2)$  on the ellipse  $E_C(M)(a, b, c, z)$  defined for fixed  $(k, a, b, c, z)$ . In fact, for boundary trace  $k$  and for almost all  $(a, b, c, z)$ ,  $\theta_U$  is an irrational multiple of  $\pi$ . So for almost all  $(a, b, c, z)$ , the action of  $\tau_U$  is ergodic on the set  $E_C(M)(a, b, c, z)$ .


Finally, let  $f : \mathcal{X}(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a  $\tau_U$ -invariant function. With the ergodicity of the  $\tau_U$ -action, we deduce that there exists a function  $H : [-2, 2]^5 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  which is such that  $f([\rho]) = H(k, a, b, c, z)$  almost everywhere. Then we split the surface along the curve  $X = AB$  to obtain a surface  $A$  which is a three holed projective plane whose boundary components are  $K$  and  $X_-, X_+$  which correspond to the two sides of  $X$ . According to the proposition 8, a measurable function  $f : \mathcal{X}(A) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  which is  $\Gamma_A$ -invariant, is almost everywhere equal to a function depending only on the boundary character  $(x, x, k)$ . So we have  $f([\rho]) = G(k, x)$  almost everywhere. Combining the two arguments, the function  $f$  which is  $\Gamma_M$ -invariant depends only on the traces of the boundary component  $k$ .  $\square$

## 6. THE CASE OF ODD GENUS

In this section, we prove the Theorem ?? in the general case of a non-orientable surface  $M$  of odd genus  $k$ . Two different situations are possible, when  $k = 1$  and when  $k \geq 3$ . The idea is to split the surface  $M$  into subsurfaces such that the result holds for both subsurfaces.

**6.1. In genus  $k \geq 3$ .** . Let  $M = N_{k,m}$  with  $k \geq 3$  and  $\chi(M) < -2$ , and let  $C$  be a separating circle such that one of the two subsurfaces is the surface  $A = \mathbb{N}_{3,1}$  and the other is the orientable surface  $B = \Sigma_{g,m+1}$  of genus  $g = \frac{k-3}{2}$  with  $m+1$  boundary components.

Let  $f : \mathcal{X}(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a measurable  $\Gamma_M$ -invariant function. The Dehn twist  $\tau_C$  about the curve  $C$  acts on the generic fiber of the application  $j : \mathcal{X}(M) \rightarrow \mathcal{X}(M; A, B, C)$  as the rotation of angle  $2\theta_C = 2 \cos^{-1}(\text{tr}(\rho(C)))$  for any  $\rho \in j^{-1}([\rho_A], [\rho_B])$ . For almost all  $([\rho_A], [\rho_B]) \in \mathcal{X}(M; A, B, C)$ , the angle is an irrational multiple of  $\pi$  and the  $\tau_C$ -action is ergodic. By the lemma of ergodic decomposition, there exists


 FIGURE 12. Decomposition of  $N_{k,m}$ 

a measurable function  $h : \mathcal{X}(M; A, B, C) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $f = h \circ j$  almost everywhere. Moreover, the function  $h$  is  $\Gamma_A$  and  $\Gamma_B$ -invariant

Next, consider the projection

$$\begin{aligned} \phi : \mathcal{X}(M; A, B, C) &\longrightarrow \mathcal{X}(B) \\ ([\rho_A], [\rho_B]) &\longmapsto [\rho_B]. \end{aligned}$$

The fiber  $\phi^{-1}([\rho_B])$  can be identified with  $\mathcal{X}_c(A)$  where  $c = \text{tr}(\rho_B(C))$ . According to Proposition 10, the mapping class group  $\Gamma_A$  acts ergodically on the fibers of  $\phi$ . Hence by the lemma of ergodic decomposition, there exists a measurable function  $H : \mathcal{X}(B) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $h = H \circ \phi$  almost everywhere. Moreover, the function  $H$  is  $\Gamma_B$ -invariant.

By Goldman's theorem, the  $\Gamma_B$ -invariant function  $H$  is almost everywhere constant on almost every level set of the application

$$\begin{aligned} \partial^\# : \mathcal{X}(B) &\longrightarrow [-2, 2]^{m+1} \\ [\rho] &\longmapsto (\text{tr}(\rho(k_1)), \dots, \text{tr}(\rho(k_m)), \text{tr}(\rho(C))). \end{aligned}$$

In conclusion, there exists a measurable function  $F : [-2, 2]^{m+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $f = F \circ \partial^\# \circ \phi \circ j = F(\text{tr}(\rho(k_1)), \dots, \text{tr}(\rho(k_m)), \text{tr}(\rho(C)))$  almost everywhere. The last argument is to show that  $f$  does not depend on  $\text{tr}(\rho(C))$ .

As  $\chi(M) < -2$  we have that  $\chi(B) \leq -1$  and hence we can find a pants decomposition of  $B$ . We can also decompose the surface  $A$  as the gluing of a pair of pants and a 2-holed projective plane such that the curve  $C$  is a boundary component of the pair of pants. Gluing the two pairs of pants containing  $C$  as a boundary component gives a 4-holed sphere  $S$  embedded in  $M$  such that  $C$  is an essential circle. Applying Goldman's result for the 4-holed sphere  $S$  shows that a  $\Gamma_S$ -invariant function is almost everywhere equal to a function that does not depend on  $\text{tr}(\rho(C))$ . The proof is complete as  $f$  is  $\Gamma_S$ -invariant.

**6.2. In genus  $k = 1$ .** . Let  $M = N_{1,m}$  such that  $m > 3$ , and let  $C$  be a separating circle such that one of the two subsurfaces is the

surface  $A = \mathbb{N}_{1,3}$  and the other is the orientable surface  $B = \Sigma_{0,m+1}$ , the  $(m+1)$ -holed sphere.




FIGURE 13. Decomposition of  $N_{1,m}$

Let  $f : \mathcal{X}(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a measurable  $\Gamma_M$ -invariant function. The proof uses the same arguments as in the previous case, the only difference is that we have to keep track of the two other boundary components of the subsurface  $A$ . The action of the Dehn twist about the curve  $C$  is ergodic on almost all fibers of  $j : \mathcal{X}(M) \rightarrow \mathcal{X}(M; A, B, C)$ . The mapping class group  $\Gamma_A$  acts ergodically on almost every fibers of the map

$$\begin{aligned} \phi' : \mathcal{X}(M; A, B, C) &\longrightarrow \mathcal{X}(B) \times [-2, 2]^2 \\ ([\rho_A], [\rho_B]) &\longmapsto ([\rho_B], \text{tr}(\rho_A(D)), \text{tr}(\rho_A(E))). \end{aligned}$$

And the mapping class group  $\Gamma_B$  acts ergodically on almost every fiber of the map

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\partial}^\# : \mathcal{X}(B) \times [-2, 2]^2 &\longrightarrow [-2, 2]^{m+3} \\ ([\rho], d, e) &\longmapsto (\text{tr}(\rho(k_1)), \dots, \text{tr}(\rho(k_m)), \text{tr}(\rho(C)), d, e). \end{aligned}$$

Hence there exists a measurable function  $F : [-2, 2]^{m+3} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $f = F \circ \tilde{\partial}^\# \circ \phi' \circ j = F(\text{tr}(\rho(k_1)), \dots, \text{tr}(\rho(k_m)), \text{tr}(\rho(C)), \text{tr}(\rho(D)), \text{tr}(\rho(E)))$  almost everywhere. As in the previous case we can find a 4-holed sphere  $S$  embedded in  $M$  such that  $C$  is an essential circle. In conclusion, the function  $F$  does not depend on  $\text{tr}(\rho(C))$  completing the proof in this case.

## REFERENCES

- [1] FREED D., *Reidemeister torsion, spectral sequences, and Brieskorn spheres*, J. Reine Angew. Math. , **429** (1992), 75–89.
- [2] FURSTENBERG H., *Recurrence in Ergodic Theory and Combinatorial Number Theory*, Princeton University Press (1981)

- [3] GENDULPHE M., *Paysage Systolique Des Surfaces Hyperboliques Compactes De Caracteristique -1*, e-print arXiv:math/0508036v1 .
- [4] GOLDMAN W., *The symplectic nature of fundamental groups of surfaces*, Adv. Math. , **85** (1984),200–225.
- [5] GOLDMAN W., *Invariant functions on Lie groups and Hamiltonian flows of surface group representations*, Invent. Math. **85** (1986), no. 2, 263–302.
- [6] GOLDMAN W., *Ergodic theory on moduli spaces*, Ann. of Math. (2) **146** (1997), no. 3, 475–507.
- [7] GOLDMAN W., *The complex-symplectic geometry of  $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ -characters over surfaces*, Algebraic groups and arithmetic, 375–407, Tata Inst. Fund. Res., Mumbai, 2004.
- [8] GOLDMAN W., *Mapping class group dynamics on surface group representations* .
- [9] JEFFREY L., WEITSMAN J., *Bohr-Sommerfeld orbits in the moduli space of flat connections and the Verlinde dimension formula*, Commun. Math. Phys. **150** (1992), 595–620.
- [10] HO N., JEFFREY L. *The volume of the moduli space of flat connections on a nonorientable 2-manifold*, Commun. Math. Phys. **256** (2005), 539–564.
- [11] MULASE M., PENKAVA M., *Volume of representation varieties*, e-print arXiv:math/0212012v1
- [12] KLEIN D., *Goldman flows on non-orientable surfaces*, e-print arXiv:0710.5265v1 .
- [13] KORKMAZ M., *Mapping class group of nonorientable surfaces*, Geom. Dedicata V **89** (2002) 109–133.
- [14] LICKORISH W.B.R., *Homeomorphism on non-orientable two-manifolds*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **59** (1963), 307–317.
- [15] MAGNUS W., *Rings of Fricke characters and automorphism group of free groups*, Math. Zeit **170** (1980), 91–103.
- [16] NIELSEN J., *Die isomorphismen der allgemeinen unendlichen Gruppen mit zwei Erzeugenden*, Math. Ann. **78** (1918) , 385–397.
- [17] PICKRELL D., XIA E., *Ergodicity of Mapping Class Group Actions on representation Variety, I. Closed Surfaces*, Comment. Math. Helv. **77** (2001), 339–362.
- [18] PICKRELL D., XIA E., *Ergodicity of Mapping Class Group Actions on representation Variety, II. Surfaces with Boundaries*, Transformation Groups **8** (2003), 397–402.
- [19] PREVITE J., XIA E., *Topological dynamics on Moduli Spaces I*, Pac. J. Math. **193** (2000), 397–417.
- [20] PREVITE J., XIA E., *Topological dynamics on Moduli Spaces II*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **354** (2002), 2475–2494.
- [21] PREVITE J., XIA E., *Exceptional discrete mapping class group orbits in moduli spaces*, Forum Math. **15** (2003), 949–954.
- [22] STUKOW M., *The twist subgroup of the mapping class group of a nonorientable surface.*, e-print arXiv:0709.2798v1
- [23] WITTEN E., *On quantum gauge theory in two dimensions*, Commun. Math. Phy. **141** (1991), 153–209.